### **CASE REPORT**

# Modified RURS Elbow Guard: An Alternative Approach for Thumb Sucking

Siddharth Anand<sup>1</sup>, Divya Jyoti<sup>2</sup>, Jeevendra N Shukla<sup>3</sup>, Aparna Singh<sup>4</sup>, Sulekha<sup>5</sup>

### Abstract

Digit sucking or thumb sucking is one of the most common habits usually seen in children. These habits habitually lapse in mid-childhood. The continued persistence of these habits will bring about harmful unbalanced pressures to alveolar ridges, changes in the position of teeth, and occlusion which may result in abnormality if they are continued for a long time. This case report presents a case of a 5-year-old male child patient with a habit of thumb sucking that was successfully ceased by a modified RURS elbow guard appliance.

Keywords: Digit sucking, Habit breaking appliance, Modified RURS elbow guard, RURS elbow guard.

International Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry (2021): 10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1993

#### INTRODUCTION

Habits are behaviors that are repeated and regularly tend to occur subconsciously. Thumb sucking, bruxism, lip and nail-biting, tongue thrusting, and mouth breathing may be considered as some of the habits that are frequently present in children. These repeated behaviors bring about harmful unbalanced pressures to the premature alveolar ridges and potential changes in the position of teeth and occlusion which may become abnormal if they are continued for a long time. Digit sucking receives attention from medical health professionals as well as it greatly concerns parents and families of a child. Thumb sucking habit or non-nutritive sucking habit ceases in childhood.<sup>1</sup> It is one of the most prevalent oral habits that range from 13 to 100% at the time of infancy.<sup>2</sup>

Various intra- and extraoral appliances are available in the management of thumb-sucking habits. Moreover, these appliances act as a punishment for indulging in this childhood activity.<sup>3</sup> A new approach of an extraoral appliance for digit sucking was given by Shetty et al.<sup>4,5</sup> as RURS elbow guard which was later modified by Anand et al.<sup>6</sup> for economic purposes and better grip of the appliance. The objective of this paper was to present a case with primary dentition along with a thumb-sucking habit that was successfully managed with a modified RURS elbow guard.

## **CASE DESCRIPTION**

A 5-year-old male patient with his parents reported to the Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry with a habit of thumb sucking during sleep. Parents reported a history of active digit sucking by the child since childhood. The child's mother revealed that he was unable to stop the habit even after repeated motivation from them. Extraoral examination revealed the presence of ovoid callus on the dorsal surface of the right thumb measuring  $2 \times 2$  cm in size (Fig. 1). Lips were competent both at rest and during swallowing. Intraoral examination revealed normal shape and size of the tongue. Mesial step molar relationship was present and interdental and primate spaces were absent. The parents were explained about various appliances for interception of the habit but they were not willing for any intraoral appliance. Hence, with mutual understanding, we came to the conclusion for RURS elbow guard

<sup>1-5</sup>Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, Buddha Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

**Corresponding Author:** Siddharth Anand, Department of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry, Buddha Institute of Dental Sciences and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India, Phone: +91 8409171918, e-mail: drsiddharthanand@yahoo.co.in

How to cite this article: Anand S, Jyoti D, Shukla JN, *et al.* Modified RURS Elbow Guard: An Alternative Approach for Thumb Sucking. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent 2021;14(4):590–592.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

as an alternative for an extraoral appliance. Modified RURS elbow guard was fabricated by the technique given by Anand et al. in 2017.<sup>6</sup>

Step 1—The patient's elbow impression was made using a double layer of the modeling wax at 45 to 60° angulations or at rest position which served as a spacer to make a negative replica of the elbow (Fig. 2).



Fig. 1: Presence of callus on right thumb

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s). 2021 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and non-commercial reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.



Fig. 2: Modeling wax impression making of right elbow



Fig. 3: Modified RURS elbow guard appliance



Fig. 4: Restriction of the elbow movement after delivery of the appliance

Step 2—A sheet of modeling wax was added vertically to both ends and the dental stone was poured to make a positive replica of the elbow.

Step 3—Self-cure acrylic was used to fabricate an acrylic elbow guard skeleton.

Step 4—Dewaxing was done in a hot water bathtub for 10 minutes, trimming and polishing of acrylic was done.

Step 5—A layer of sponge for cushioning was placed to allow limited movement of the elbow.

Step 6—A blue color velvet cloth was stitched over the acrylic elbow guard with four velcro straps for better retention (Fig. 3).

The appliance was then delivered to the patient (Fig. 4). It permitted slight movement of the elbow and restricted the thumb to reach the patient's mouth. The child was happy and contented with the modified RURS elbow guard appliance. The patient was asked to wear the appliance during sleeping hours. Regular follow-up was done every month till the habit was terminated. The habit of the child was ceased in 6 months including 2 months of the retention period. The callus present on the dorsal surface of the right thumb was healed (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5: Callus healed after 6 months

#### DISCUSSION

The severity of malocclusion depends on the intensity, frequency, and duration of thumb sucking. Clinical and experimental evidence suggests that 4 to 6 hours per day is the minimum necessary to cause tooth movement. There are various methods available for the management of thumb sucking such as age-appropriate explanation, positive reinforcement, preventive technique, and mechanotherapy. Some of these treatment modalities have reported limitations and disadvantages.<sup>6</sup>

Preventive methods comprise the appliance with a bitter and harsh solution, wearing socks, adhesive tapes, long sleeves gown, and gloves.<sup>2</sup> New anti-thumb sucking solutions like femite and thumb up are also being marketed but they also showed moderate success.<sup>7</sup> Application of adhesive tapes carries the risk of reducing blood circulation and reported to cause infection or sweating.<sup>8</sup> Long sleeve nightgown makes it difficult for the child to suck but at the same time, it increases the child's frustration and wakefulness.<sup>9</sup> Other appliances include a thumb guard, acrylic shield, and thermoplastic thumb post.<sup>10</sup> Use of fixed habit-breaking appliances might result in decalcification of enamel. The success of removable

appliances depends on patient cooperation. In the present case, a modified RURS elbow guard was considered because impression making of the elbow was easy, it had a better grip on the elbow and the child accepted it as a stylish appliance.

## CONCLUSION

The modified RURS elbow guard has proven to be an additional useful appliance in the mechanotherapy armamentarium especially because of its better compliance and exclusion of the need for an additional intraoral appliance. It is easy to fabricate and can be used effectively in a young patient where other treatment modalities are difficult to render. However, further research is required to draw a better conclusion.

# **D**ECLARATION OF **P**ATIENT **C**ONSENT

The author certified that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms.

## REFERENCES

1. Bengi AO, Karacay S, Guven G. A unique treatment of fingersucking habit in children with mental retardation: report of 2 cases. Quitessence Int 2007;38(3):e158–e163.

- 2. Punithavathy R, John B, Stalin R. Tiny tots and thumb sucking. J Ind Acad Dent Spec 2010;1:5–8.
- Green SE. Confirmational study: a positive based thumb and finger sucking elimination program. Int J Orofacial Myology 2010;36(1):44– 59. DOI: 10.52010/ijom.2010.36.1.5.
- Shetty RM, Dixit U, Hegde R, et al. RURS' elbow guard: an innovative treatment of the thumb-sucking habit in a child with Hurler's syndrome. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent 2010;28(3):212–218. DOI: 10.4103/0970-4388.73796.
- 5. Shetty RM, Shetty M, Shetty NS, et al. Management of thumb sucking by RURS-elbow guard: report of two cases. Int J Dent Med Res 2015;1(5):116–118.
- 6. Anand S, Hegde DY, Yeluri R, et al. Modified RURS' elbow guard: an extraoral appliance for the digit sucking habit. Int J Pedod Rehabil 2017;2(1):34–36. DOI: 10.4103/2468-8932.205470.
- 7. Alemran SE. A new method in reminder therapy technique for ceasing digit sucking habit in children. J Clin Pediatr Dent 2000;24(4):261–263. DOI: 10.17796/jcpd.24.4.c303020414023862.
- 8. Benjamin LS. The beginning of thumb sucking. Child Dev 1967;38(4):1065–1078. DOI: 10.2307/1127104.
- 9. Morley M. Management of non-nutritive or digit sucking habits in children. A practical approach. J Can Dent Assoc 1994;60(11): 969–971.
- 10. Allen KD, Flegle JH, Watson TS. A thermoplastic thumb post for the treatment of thumb-sucking. Am J Occup Ther 1992;46(6):552–554. DOI: 10.5014/ajot.46.6.552.

