## Association Between Cancer and **Utilization of Traditional Chinese** Medicine in U.S. Chinese Women: Findings From the PINE Study

Gerontology & Geriatric Medicine Volume 4: I-II © The Author(s) 2018 Reprints and permissions: sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav DOI: 10.1177/2333721418778199 journals.sagepub.com/home/ggm



XinQi Dong, MD, MPH<sup>1</sup> and Jialing Jiang, BA<sup>1</sup>

#### Abstract

Objective: To examine U.S. Chinese older women and the association between their cancer screening behaviors and self-reported cancers with Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) use across sociodemographic characteristics. Method: Through the Population Study of Chinese Elderly in Chicago (PINE), 1,830 Chinese older women selfreported history of cancer screening, presence of women's cancers, and TCM use according to type. Analyses were performed using multivariate regression models. Results: Chinese older women who underwent breast cancer screening are more likely to use herbal TCM (rate ratio [RR] = 1.15, 95% confidence interval [CI] = [1.03, 1.29]), acupuncture (RR = 1.62, CI = [1.21, 2.15]), massage therapy (RR = 2.05, CI = [1.46, 2.88]), and tai chi (RR = 1.86, CI = [1.28, 2.69]). Those who had cervical cancer screening are more likely to use herbal TCM (RR = 1.32, CI = [1.17, 1.48]), acupuncture (RR = 1.66, CI = [1.27, 2.18]), massage therapy (RR = 1.61, CI = [1.17, 2.21]), tai chi (RR = 1.69, CI = [1.19, 2.40]), and other forms of TCM (RR = 1.36, CI = [1.04, 1.79]). Those with cervical cancer are less likely to use herbal TCM (RR = 0.42, CI = [0.19, 0.93]). Conclusion: Contrary to previous studies, our results suggest that U.S. Chinese older women who have engaged in cancer screening use TCM while those with self-reported cancer did not use TCM at a higher rate. This warrants further research on TCM utilization to identify reasons of use in this population and encourage patient-centered care.

#### **Keywords**

U.S. Chinese older women, traditional Chinese medicine, cancer, cancer screening

Manuscript received: December 14, 2016; final revision received: December 29, 2017; accepted: February 5, 2018.

## Introduction

In the United States, cancer screenings and cancer are common health concerns; cancer is the second leading cause of death (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2016), and screenings aid in early detection and treatment of cancer to prevent cancerrelated mortality ("Cancer Screening Overview [PDQ]-Health Professional Version," 2016). Older adults face higher cancer incidence and death rates (Berger et al., 2006), and older women, in particular, are at high risk for breast cancer, uterine cancer, ovarian cancer, and cervical cancer (CDC, 2016). However, there are health disparities in cancer and cancer screening behaviors among older minority women (Peek & Han, 2004; "Study Finds Racial and Ethnic Disparities in US Cancer Screening Rates," 2012), particularly U.S. Chinese older women. First, evidence suggests that cancer screening rates are low for Chinese women (E. S. Chang, Simon, & Dong, 2016) and lowest among older Chinese women

above 70 (B. Wang et al., 2013). Researchers have postulated that these low rates may be due to socioeconomic, linguistic, and cultural reasons, such as language barriers, lack of access to services, and traditional beliefs regarding cancer screenings and Eastern medicine practices (J. H. Wang et al., 2009). Second, as among older women in general, cancer is common among Chinese women (Y.-C. Wang, Wei, Liu, Li, & Wang, 2012). Often times, Chinese women with cancer choose to use traditional practices of medicine either in conjunction with or instead of biomedical treatment options (Tsai, Lai, Wu, & Lin, 2014; J. Wang et al., 2014).

<sup>1</sup>Rush University, Chicago, IL, USA

**Corresponding Author:** 

XinQi Dong, Institute for Health, Health Care Policy, and Aging Research, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 112 Paterson Street, New Brunswick, NJ, 08901, USA. Email: xinqi.dong@rutgers.edu

(0)Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits noncommercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) has been practiced for thousands of years among Chinese women, yet its current application toward health conditions in the United States is poorly understood. TCM, which includes herbal medication, acupuncture, massage therapy, and tai chi, is frequently used as forms of treatment or to maintain health. Among older Chinese adults in the United States, many are foreign-born with low acculturation levels (Dong, Bergren, & Chang, 2015a) and face language and financial barriers that may contribute to their health care choices (L. Chang & Basnyat, 2015; Dong & Bergren, 2016; Dong et al., 2010; Ma, 1999). Within this population, older Chinese women value the applications of TCM (Xu, Towers, Li, & Collet, 2006) and are more likely to use TCM compared with their male counterparts (Dong, Bergren, & Chang 2015b). This suggests that TCM is an especially relevant modality of health practices among older Chinese women in the United States.

Cancer screening, cancers, and TCM are important facets of health for Chinese older women; relationships between them have been found in China and other countries. Existing literature suggests that Chinese women maintain their health and prevent asymptomatic cancers with their own means of TCM use, instead of getting cancer screening (S. C. H. Chang, Woo, Yau, Gorzalka, & Brotto, 2013; Kwok & Sullivan, 2006). Low cancer screening rates may be a result of cultural beliefs toward health, preference for TCM, perceived barriers, lack of health knowledge, and stigma (S. C. H. Chang et al., 2013; H.-Y. Chen, Kessler, Mori, & Chauhan, 2012; Kwok & Sullivan, 2006; J. H. Wang et al., 2009). In addition, evidence suggests that Chinese older women with cancer commonly use TCM (Z. Chen et al., 2008), most likely also due to cultural beliefs and evidence toward its efficacy (Chu et al., 2013; Fan et al., 2014; Yang, Hu, & Liu, 2013). TCM use, especially in conjunction with Western medicine, may be of particular concern as interactions can lead to unintended side effects ("Traditional Chinese Medicine: In Depth," 2013). However, there is a paucity of community-level data in the United States regarding relationships between cancer screening, cancer, and TCM use among U.S. Chinese older women. Understanding cancer screening and cancer trends with traditional medicine may be helpful in enhancing patient-centered care for this population. To understand and address low cancer screening rates and health practices related to cancer among Chinese women, examining TCM use and its relevance may promote culturally relevant patient-centered care.

Chinese older women in the United States belong to the largest and oldest subgroup of Asians in the United States (Dong, 2014), however, public health efforts for this population remain scarce. This population is growing and aging rapidly (Dong, 2014), which prompts a need to better understand their health outcomes and practices, such as the use of TCM. A comprehensive understanding of screening behaviors, cancer prevalence, and TCM use among U.S. Chinese women may aid in devising interventions to increase cancer screening rates and deliver efficient, culturally appropriate health care. To better understand cancer and cancer screenings' connection with TCM use among older Chinese women in the United States, this study aims to (a) study sociodemographic associations with TCM use, (b) observe cancer screening and self-reported cancer rates as well as the frequency and type of TCM use within this population, and (c) examine potential associations between those with women's cancers and TCM use as well as cancer screenings and TCM use.

## Method

## Population and Settings

The Population Study of Chinese Elderly in Chicago (PINE) is an epidemiological study of U.S. Chinese older adults in the Chicago metropolitan area. Under the supervision of Rush Institute for Health Aging and in collaboration with Northwestern University and other community organizations, 3,157 Chinese older adults (60+ years old) were collected for the study. For this particular study, men were excluded from our sample. This allowed for a sample size of 1,830 women. Trained bilingual research assistants interviewed participants about their health and well-being in Chinese or English while responses were recorded in both languages. This study is approved by the Institutional Review Boards of Rush University Medical Center. (Dong, Wong, & Simon, 2014)

## Measurements

Sociodemographics. The interview included basic demographic questions concerning the participants. These questions included age (in years), sex, education level (in years), annual income level, marital status, number of children, household composition (number of people at home), number of years in the neighborhood in which they reside, number of years in the United States, language preference, and country of origin (China, Hong Kong/Macau, Taiwan, or other).

Cancer screening and cancer. Cancer screening, specifically breast and cervical cancer screening, was included to study its impact on TCM usage. Participants were asked if they have ever received a mammography test and if they have had a pap smear test. Responses were coded dichotomously as 0 (no) or 1 (yes). To study the association between U.S. Chinese older women with self-reported cancer and TCM use, participants were asked to identify presence of cancer(s) that were uniquely coded numerically. Only women's cancers were included in this study (breast, uterine, ovarian, and cervical cancer). *TCM* use. TCM use was assessed by asking participants the frequency with which they use TCM if they used any at all in the past year. Questions specified the type of TCM, which included herbal, acupuncture, massage therapy, tai chi, and other forms of TCM. Responses were given on a 5-point scale and coded as 0 = no use, 1 = once in the previous year, 2 = once a month, 3 = once a week, and 4 = once a day.

#### Data Analysis

After calculating the prevalence of each cancer and TCM use, values generated from the chi-square tests were used to compare women's cancers and cancer screening methods with different TCM modalities. TCM responses were then recoded coded as 0 (no use) or 1 (TCM use at any rate in the past year). To observe the associations between cancers/screenings and the varying modalities of TCM use, multivariate linear regression models were used to control for possible confounding variables. The model used for analysis adjusted for education, income, marital status, number of children, number of years in the United States, years in a neighborhood, and living situation. Rate ratios (RRs), 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and significance levels were used for multivariate analyses. These analyses were conducted using SAS, Version 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

#### Results

## Sociodemographic Characteristics of Sample According to TCM Use

Altogether, 79% of the sample reported TCM use. Within this sample, herbal remedies were used the most, and tai chi was practiced the least (62.6% vs. 12.9%, respectively). TCM use varied between sociodemographic groups, as shown in Table 1. Herbal TCM users were less likely to have had a higher level of education versus lower level of education (p < .001). Massage therapy users were more likely to have been older than younger (p < .001) and more likely to not be married versus married (p < .001). Tai chi users were more likely to have higher levels of education than lower levels of education (p < .001). Finally, users of other forms of TCM were more likely to have lived in their neighborhoods for less time than more time (p < .01).

# Frequencies of Cancers According to TCM Use

The frequencies of cancer screening and self-reported women's cancers in relation to types of TCM use are presented in Table 2. U.S. Chinese older women who have received breast cancer screening in the form of mammography tests were more likely to use any TCM than not use TCM (62.0% vs. 50.3%, p < .001). They were

more likely to use acupuncture, massage therapy, tai chi, and other forms of TCM than not use TCM. In terms of cervical cancer screening, those who have had a pap smear test were more likely to use TCM than not (44.0% vs. 28.3%, p < .001). They were more likely to use herbal TCM, acupuncture, massage therapy, tai chi, and other forms of TCM than not. Those with breast cancer were more likely to use massage therapy and practice tai chi than not use TCM. For participants with uterine cancer, they were more likely to practice tai chi than not use TCM. Participants with ovarian or cervical cancer were not related to using any TCM at a higher rate.

#### Associations Between Cancer and TCM Use

Table 3 presents the association between U.S. Chinese older women who have had cancer screenings and TCM use. Table 4 presents the associations between those who have self-reported cancer and TCM use. Both tables present TCM as a continuous outcome and RRs were generated from a model of regressions that adjusted for age, education, income, marital status, household composition, number of children, number of years in the United States, and years in a neighborhood. Breast cancer screening (mammography tests) was associated with higher overall TCM use (RR = 1.27, CI = [1.16, 1.40]), herbal TCM use (RR = 1.15, CI = [1.03, 1.29]), acupuncture (RR = 1.62, CI = [1.21, 2.15]), massage therapy (RR = 2.05, CI = [1.46, 2.88]), and tai chi (RR = 1.86, CI = [1.28, 2.69]). Cervical cancer screening (pap smear tests) was associated with higher overall TCM use (RR = 1.38, CI = 1.26, 1.52), herbal TCM use (RR =1.32, CI = [1.17, 1.48]), acupuncture (RR = 1.66, CI = [1.27, 2.18]), massage therapy (RR = 1.61, CI = [1.17, 2.18]) 2.21]), tai chi (RR = 1.69, CI = [1.19, 2.40]), and other forms of TCM (RR = 1.36, CI = [1.04, 1.79]). The only significant finding for women's cancers was that those with cervical cancer were less likely to use herbal remedies (RR = 0.42, CI = [0.19, 0.93]).

#### Discussion

Our study is the first and largest population-based epidemiological study on older Chinese women in the Chicagoland area that examines the relationship between U.S. Chinese older women's cancer screening tendencies, cancer, and TCM use. About 79% of our sample used any form of TCM, and women were more likely to use TCM than not if they have a history of cancer screenings. However, breast, cervical, uterine, and ovarian cancer were not associated with overall TCM use. Overall, TCM is commonly used in conjunction with Western medicine, rather than instead of Western medicine.

Our study suggests that women are more likely to use TCM if they have specific sociodemographic characteristics. Those with higher levels of education are more likely to practice tai chi than not practice. Those with lower levels of education are more likely to use herbal

	Overall	TCM	Her	bal	Acupun	cture	Massage	therapy	Tai (	chi	Other	TCM
	Any use, N (%)	No use, N (%)										
Age (in years)												
60-64 (N = 318)	255 (80.2)	63 (19.8)	212 (66.7)	106 (33.3)	46 (14.5)	272 (85.5)	31 (9.8)	287 (90.3)	23 (7.2)	295 (92.8)	78 (24.5)	240 (75.5)
$(65-69 \ (N=386))$	321 (83.2)	65 (16.8)	248 (64.3)	138 (35.8)	64 (16.6)	322 (83.4)	38 (9.8)	348 (90.2)	56 (14.5)	330 (85.5)	98 (25.4)	288 (74.6)
70-74 (N = 337)	272 (80.7)	65 (19.3)	208 (61.7)	129 (38.3)	50 (14.8)	287 (85.2)	52 (15.4)	285 (84.6)	49 (14.5)	288 (85.5)	98 (29.1)	239 (70.9)
75 (N = 783)	599 (76.5)	184 (23.5)	479 (61.3)	303 (38.8)	93 (11.9)	690 (88.1)	133 (17.0)	650 (83.0)***	108 (13.8)	675 (86.2)*	176 (22.5)	607 (77.5)
Education (in years)												
0 (N = 173)	125 (72.3)	48 (27.8)	103 (59.9)	69 (40.1)	16 (9.3)	157 (90.8)	24 (13.9)	149 (86.1)	6 (3.5)	167 (96.5)	36 (20.8)	137 (79.2)
1-6 (N = 760)	615 (80.9)	145 (19.1)	538 (70.8)	222 (29.2)	101 (13.3)	659 (86.7)	101 (13.3)	659 (86.7)	53 (7.0)	707 (93.0)	168 (22.1)	592 (77.9)
7-12 (N = 582)	463 (79.5)	119 (20.5)	343 (58.9)	239 (41.1)	96 (16.5)	486 (83.5)	90 (15.5)	492 (84.5)	95 (16.3)	487 (83.7)	149 (25.6)	433 (74.4)
13-16 (N = 272)	214 (78.7)	58 (21.3)	148 (54.4)	124 (45.6)	35 (12.9)	237 (87.1)	36 (13.2)	236 (86.8)	72 (26.5)	200 (73.5)	78 (28.7)	194 (71.3)
17 (N = 34)	29 (85.3)	5 (14.7)	15 (44.1)	19 (55.9)***	5 (14.7)	29 (85.3)	4 (11.8)	30 (88.2)	10 (29.4)	24 (70.6)***	18 (52.9)	16 (47.1)***
Income												
US\$0-US\$4,999 (N = 555)	445 (80.2)	110 (19.8)	350 (63.1)	205 (36.9)	82 (14.8)	473 (85.2)	61 (11.0)	494 (89.0)	77 (13.9)	478 (86.1)	141 (25.4)	414 (74.6)
US\$5,000-US\$9,999 (N = 985)	781 (79.3)	204 (20.7)	631 (64.1)	353 (35.9)	131 (13.3)	854 (86.7)	160 (16.2)	825 (83.8)	123 (12.5)	862 (87.5)	244 (24.8)	741 (75.2)
US\$10,000-US\$14,999 (N = 195)	156 (80.0)	39 (20.0)	125 (64.1)	70 (35.9)	34 (17.4)	161 (82.6)	24 (12.3)	171 (87.7)	25 (12.8)	170 (87.2)	38 (19.5)	157 (80.5)
US\$15,000 (N = 74)	59 (79.7)	15 (20.3)	39 (52.7)	35 (47.3)	7 (9.5)	67 (90.5)	8 (10.8)	66 (89.2)*	9 (12.2)	65 (87.8)	22 (29.7)	52 (70.3)
Marital status												
Married (N = 1,059)	854 (80.6)	205 (19.4)	670 (63.3)	388 (36.7)	143 (13.5)	916 (86.5)	117 (11.1)	942 (89.0)	137 (12.9)	922 (87.1)	268 (25.3)	791 (74.7)
Separated $(N = 33)$	26 (78.8)	7 (21.2)	21 (63.6)	12 (36.4)	5 (15.2)	28 (84.9)	4 (12.1)	29 (87.9)	7 (21.2)	26 (78.8)	5 (15.2)	28 (84.9)
Divorced $(N = 43)$	34 (79.1)	9 (20.9)	26 (60.5)	17 (39.5)	6 (14.0)	37 (86.1)	6 (14.0)	37 (86.1)	7 (16.3)	36 (83.7)	6 (14.0)	37 (86.1)
Widowed $(N = 681)$	530 (77.8)	151 (22.2)	429 (63.0)	252 (37.0)	99 (14.5)	582 (85.5)	125 (18.4)	556 (81.6)***	85 (12.5)	596 (87.5)	167 (24.5)	514 (75.5)
Number of children												
0 (N = 73)	60 (82.2)	13 (17.8)	28 (38.4)	45 (61.6)	12 (16.4)	61 (83.6)	12 (16.4)	61 (83.6)	12 (16.4)	61 (83.6)	36 (49.3)	37 (50.7)
1-2 (N = 680)	556 (81.8)	124 (18.2)	430 (63.2)	250 (36.8)	98 (14.4)	582 (85.6)	94 (13.8)	586 (86.2)	119 (17.5)	561 (82.5)	189 (27.8)	491 (72.2)
3 (N = 1,073)	834 (77.7)	239 (22.3)	692 (64.6)	380 (35.5)***	144 (13.4)	929 (86.6)	149 (13.9)	924 (86.1)	105 (9.8)	968 (90.2)***	225 (21.0)	848 (79.0)***
												(continued)

Table 1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of PINE Study Participants According to TCM Use.

continued)
$\sim$
•
Table

	Overall	TCM	Her	bal	Acupun	cture	Massage	therapy	Tai	chi	Other	TCM
	Any use, N (%)	No use, N (%)										
Household composition (number o	f people)											
0 (N = 521)	410 (78.7)	111 (21.3)	323 (62.0)	198 (38.0)	74 (14.2)	447 (85.8)	96 (18.4)	425 (81.6)	75 (14.4)	446 (85.6)	141 (27.1)	380 (72.9)
I (N = 670)	531 (79.3)	139 (20.8)	411 (61.4)	258 (38.6)	96 (14.33)	574 (85.67)	81 (12.1)	589 (87.9)	94 (14.0)	576 (86.0)	170 (25.4)	500 (74.6)
2-3 (N = 249)	201 (80.7)	48 (19.3)	166 (66.7)	83 (33.3)	35 (14.1)	214 (85.9)	35 (14.1)	214 (85.9)	25 (10.0)	224 (90.0)	50 (20.1)	199 (79.9)
4 (N = 390)	311 (79.7)	79 (20.3)	252 (64.6)	138 (35.4)	49 (12.6)	341 (87.4)	43 (11.0)	347 (89.0)**	43 (11.0)	347 (89.0)	90 (23.1)	300 (76.9)
Years in the United States												
0-10 (N = 453)	355 (78.4)	98 (21.6)	277 (61.2)	176 (38.9)	57 (12.6)	396 (87.4)	38 (8.4)	415 (91.6)	74 (16.3)	379 (83.7)	117 (25.8)	336 (74.2)
11-20 (N = 566)	466 (82.3)	100 (17.7)	374 (66.1)	192 (33.9)	67 (11.8)	499 (88.2)	83 (14.7)	483 (85.3)	69 (12.2)	497 (87.8)	143 (25.3)	423 (74.7)
21-30 (N = 461)	360 (78.1)	101 (21.9)	295 (64.0)	166 (36.0)	78 (16.9)	383 (83.1)	76 (16.5)	385 (83.5)	45 (9.8)	416 (90.2)	106 (23.0)	355 (77.0)
30 (N = 338)	264 (78.1)	74 (21.9)	200 (59.4)	137 (40.7)	52 (15.4)	286 (84.6)	56 (16.6)	282 (83.4)**	49 (14.5)	289 (85.5)*	81 (24.0)	257 (76.0)
Years in neighborhood												
0-10 (N = 1,027)	808 (78.7)	219 (21.3)	621 (60.5)	406 (39.5)	123 (12.0)	904 (88.0)	4 (   . )	913 (88.9)	139 (13.5)	888 (86.5)	280 (27.3)	747 (72.7)
11-20 (N = 443)	361 (81.5)	82 (18.5)	300 (67.7)	143 (32.3)	72 (16.3)	371 (83.8)	78 (17.6)	365 (82.4)	53 (12.0)	390 (88.0)	108 (24.4)	335 (75.6)
21-30 (N = 224)	175 (78.1)	49 (21.9)	145 (64.7)	79 (35.3)	38 (17.0)	186 (83.0)	41 (18.3)	183 (81.7)	23 (10.3)	201 (89.7)	39 (17.4)	185 (82.6)
30 (N = 129)	104 (80.6)	25 (19.4)	82 (64.1)	46 (35.9)	21 (16.3)	108 (83.7)	21 (16.3)	108 (83.7)**	22 (17.1)	107 (83.0)	23 (17.8)	106 (82.2)**
Country of origin												
Mainland China ( $N = 1,691$ )	1,340 (79.2)	351 (20.8)	1,091 (64.6)	599 (35.4)	236 (14.0)	I,455 (86.0)	234 (13.8)	,457 (86.2)	210 (12.4)	1,481 (87.6)	395 (23.4)	,296 (76.6)
Hong Kong/Macau (N = 65)	51 (78.5)	14 (21.5)	33 (50.8)	32 (49.2)	9 (13.85)	56 (86.15)	9 (13.9)	56 (86.2)	10 (15.4)	55 (84.6)	28 (43.1)	37 (56.9)
Taiwan (N = 32)	25 (78.1)	7 (21.9)	7 (21.9)	25 (78.1)	3 (9.4)	29 (90.6)	4 (12.5)	28 (87.5)	7 (21.9)	25 (78.1)	13 (40.6)	19 (59.4)
Other $(N = 42)$	37 (88.1)	5 (11.9)	21 (50.0)	21 (50.0)***	6 (14.3)	36 (85.7)	8 (19.1)	34 (81.0)	10 (23.8)	32 (76.2)	15 (35.7)	27 (64.3)***
Language preference												
English ( $N = 15$ )	13 (86.7)	2 (13.3)	5 (33.3)	10 (66.7)	0 (0.0)	15 (100.0)	2 (13.3)	13 (86.7)	5 (33.3)	10 (66.7)	5 (33.3)	10 (66.7)
Cantonese ( $N = 924$ )	739 (80.0)	185 (20.0)	607 (65.7)	317 (34.3)	138 (14.9)	786 (85.1)	139 (15.0)	785 (85.0)	109 (11.8)	815 (88.2)	198 (21.4)	726 (78.6)
Mandarin ( $N = 417$ )	323 (77.5)	94 (22.5)	204 (48.9)	213 (51.1)	45 (10.8)	372 (89.2)	60 (14.4)	357 (85.6)	106 (25.4)	311 (74.6)	127 (30.5)	290 (69.5)
Taishanese (N = 474)	378 (79.8)	96 (20.3)	336 (71.0)	137 (29.0)***	71 (15.0)	403 (85.0)	54 (11.4)	420 (88.6)	17 (3.6)	457 (96.4)***	121 (25.5)	353 (74.5)**

Note. p values are based on  $\chi^2$  statistics. PINE = Population Study of Chinese Elderly in Chicago; TCM = Traditional Chinese Medicine. \*p < .05. \*\*p < .001. \*\*p < .001.

	Overal	I TCM	Hert	bal	Acupu	ncture	Massage	e therapy	Tai	i chi	Ō	ther
	Any (N = 1,453)	None (N = 377)	Any (N = 1,152)	None (N = 676)	Any (N = 254)	None (N = 1,575)	Any (N = 255)	None (N = 1,574)	Any (N = 237)	None (N = 1,592)	Any (N = 451)	None (N = 1,378)
Breast cancer scree	ning											
Yes (N = 1,076)	890 (62.0)	186 (50.3)	682 (59.9)	394 (59.1)	180 (71.7)	896 (57.7)	174 (69.9)	902 (58.0)	176(75.2)	900 (57.3)	290 (65.5)	786 (57.7)
No (N = 729)	545 (38.0)	184 (49.7)***	456 (40.1)	273 (40.9)	71 (28.3)	658 (42.3)***	75 (30.1)	654 (42.0)***	58 (24.8)	67I (42.7)***	153 (34.5)	576 (42.3)**
Cervical cancer scre	ening											
Yes $(N = 736)$	631 (44.0)	105 (28.3)	488 (42.9)	248 (37.1)	136 (54.0)	600 (38.6)	122 (49.2)	614 (39.4)	133 (57.1)	603 (38.3)	219 (49.5)	517 (37.9)
$N_{0}$ ( $N = 1,070$ )	804 (56.0)	266 (71.7)***	649 (57.1)	420 (62.9)*	116 (46.0)	954 (61.4)***	126 (50.8)	944 (60.6)**	100 (42.9)	970 (61.7)***	223 (50.5)	847 (62.1)***
Breast cancer												
Yes $(N = 36)$	32 (2.2)	4 (I.I)	23 (2.0)	13 (1.9)	7 (2.8)	29 (1.8)	10 (3.9)	26 (1.7)	II (4.6)	25 (1.6)	II (2.4)	25 (1.8)
No (N = 1,794)	1,421 (97.8)	373 (98.9)	1,129 (98.0)	664 (98.1)	247 (97.2)	I ,547 (98.2)	245 (96.1)	l,549 (98.4)*	226 (95.4)	I,568 (98.4)**	440 (97.6)	I,354 (98.2)
Cervical cancer												
Yes $(N = 13)$	9 (0.6)	4 (I.I)	5 (0.4)	8 (1.18)	I (.4)	12 (.8)	2 (0.8)	11 (0.7)	4 (1.7)	9 (0.6)	2 (0.4)	II (0.8)
No (N = 1,817)	l ,444 (99.4)	373 (98.9)	1,147 (99.6)	669 (98.82)	253 (99.6)	l ,564 (99.2)	253 (99.2)	l ,564 (99.3)	233 (98.3)	1,584 (99.4)	449 (99.6)	I,368 (99.2)
Ovarian cancer												
Yes $(N = I)$	1 (0.1)	0 (0:0)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	0 (0:0)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)
No (N = 1,829)	l ,452 (99.9)	377 (100.0)	1,151 (99.9)	677 (100.0)	254 (100.0)	I ,575 (99.9)	255 (100.0)	I ,574 (99.9)	237 (100.0)	1,592 (99.9)	451 (100.0)	1,378 (99.9)
Uterine cancer												
Yes $(N = 14)$	11 (0.8)	3 (0.8)	8 (0.7)	6 (0.9)	2 (0.8)	12 (0.8)	3 (1.2)	11 (0.7)	6 (2.5)	8 (0.5)	2 (0.4)	12 (0.9)
No (N = 1,816)	l,442 (99.2)	374 (99.2)	I,I44 (99.3)	671 (99.1)	252 (99.2)	l ,564 (99.2)	252 (98.8)	1,564 (99.3)	23I (97.5)	I,585 (99.5)***	449 (99.6)	1,367 (99.1)
Moto TCM = Tradition	I Chinoso Modici	ç										

Table 2. Frequency of Cancer Screening and Cancer By TCM Use, N (&).

Note. TCM = Traditional Chinese Medicine. \*p < .05. \*\*p < .01. \*\*\*p < .001.

Outcome: TCM use, RR (95	% CI)				
	Overall TCM	Herbal	Acupuncture	Massage therapy	Tai chi
Age	[10, 1,00, 1,01]	1.00 [1.00, 1.01]	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]	1.04 [1.02, 1.06]**	1.01 [0.99, 1.04]
Education	1.01 [1.00, 1.02]	0.98 [0.97, 0.99]**	1.02 [0.99, 1.05]	1.02 [0.99, 1.06]	1.13 [1.09, 1.18]***
Income	0.99 [0.94, 1.03]	0.98 [0.93, 1.04]	0.87 [0.76, 1.01]	1.00 [0.86, 1.16]	0.92 [0.77, 1.10]
Marital status	0.93 [0.84, 1.03]	0.97 [0.85, 1.10]	0.91 [0.68, 1.22]	0.60 [0.43, 0.84]**	0.99 [0.67, 1.48]
Household composition	0.97 [0.95, 1.00]*	1.00 [0.97, 1.03]	0.94 [0.87, 1.01]	0.99 [0.91, 1.08]	0.88 [0.79, 0.98]*
Children	0.99 [0.95, 1.02]	1.01 [0.97, 1.05]	0.97 [0.88, 1.07]	0.92 [0.83, 1.03]	1.00 [0.88, 1.13]
Years in United States	0.99 [0.99, 1.00]***	0.99 [0.98, 0.99]***	0.99 [0.98, 1.01]	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]
Years in neighborhood	1.00 [1.00, 1.01]	1.01 [1.00, 1.02]**	1.02 [1.01, 1.04]**	1.02 [1.00, 1.03]	1.01 [0.99, 1.03]

Use.
TCM
and
Prevalence
Screening
Cancer
Between
Associations
ň
ole
Tat

ratio;	
e; RR = rate	
ese Medicin	
litional Chin	
TCM = Trac	
ghborhood.	
years in nei	
d States, and	
ars in Unite	
f children, ye	
n, number o	
l compositio	
s, household	
marital statu	
on, income, I	
age, educatic	terval.
Adjusted for	onfidence int
Vote. /	ں ا

.36 [1.04, 1.79]\*

.69 [1.19, 2.40]\*\*

**..61** [1.17, 2.21]\*\*

.66 [1.27, 2.18]\*\*\*

I.32 [I.I7, I.48]\*\*\*

.38 [1.26, 1.52]\*\*\*

Cervical cancer screening

Years in neighborhood Years in United States

1.02 [1.00, 1.04]\*\*

0.99 [0.98, 1.01] 0.99 [0.90, 1.08]

0.99 [0.98, 0.99]\*\*\*

0.99 [0.99, 1.00]\*\*\*

[100 [1.00, 1.01]

1.01 [1.00, 1.02]\*\*

0.99 [0.97, 1.01]

0.99 [0.97, 1.01] 1.02 [1.00, 1.03]

1.01 [0.99, 1.03]

0.98 [0.97, 1.00]\*

0.98 [0.97, 1.00]\*

1.01 [0.90, 1.14] 1.03 [0.76, 1.40] 0.96 [0.89, 1.03] 0.97 [0.89, 1.05] 1.00 [0.99, 1.02]

1.00 [0.98, 1.02] 1.02 [0.99, 1.05]

Other

1.23 [0.94, 1.61] 1.00 [0.98, 1.02] 1.01 [0.99, 1.04] 1.02 [0.90, 1.15]

**I.86** [**I.28**, **2.69**]\*\*

2.05 [1.46, 2.88]\*\*\*

1.62 [1.21, 2.15]\*\*

I.I5 [I.03, I.29]\*

I.27 [I.16, I.40]\*\*\*

Breast cancer screening

Years in neighborhood

1.01 [1.00, 1.01]\*

1.01 [1.00, 1.02]\*\*

0.99 [0.97, 1.01] 1.02 [0.99, 1.05] 0.87 [0.76, 1.01] 0.94 [0.70, 1.25] 0.93 [0.86, 1.00]

0.98 [0.97, 0.99]\*\*\*

0.98 [0.92, 1.03]

0.99 [0.94, 1.03] 0.93 [0.84, 1.04]

1.01 [1.00, 1.02]

1.00 [0.97, 1.03] 1.01 [0.97, 1.06]

0.97 [0.95, 0.99]\*

Household composition

Children

Marital status

Education

Age

Income

0.99 [0.96, 1.02]

0.97 [0.86, 1.10]

1.01 [1.00, 1.01]

1.02 [1.01, 1.04]\*\*

1.04 [1.01, 1.06]\*\*

1.02 [0.99, 1.04]

1.14 [1.09, 1.18]\*\*\*

0.92 [0.77, 1.10]

1.00 [0.67, 1.50]

0.64 [0.46, 0.89]\*\*

0.97 [0.89, 1.06]

0.91 [0.82, 1.02]

1.01 [0.87, 1.18]

1.02 [0.99, 1.06]

1.01 [0.75, 1.37] 0.95 [0.89, 1.02] 0.98 [0.90, 1.07] 1.00 [0.99, 1.02]

0.88 [0.79, 0.97]\*

1.00 [0.88, 1.13]

\*p < .05. \*\*p < .01. \*\*\*p < .001.

Table 4. Associations Between Cancer Prevalence and TCM Use.

อิ
(95%
RR
use,
TCM
Outcome:

8

	Overall TCM	Herbal	Acupuncture	Massage therapy	Tai chi	Other
Age	1.00 [1.00, 1.01]	1.00 [0.99, 1.01]	0.98 [0.96, 1.00]*	1.03 [1.01, 1.05]*	1.01 [0.98, 1.04]	1.00 [0.98, 1.01]
Education	1.02 [1.01, 1.03]**	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]*	1.03 [1.00, 1.05]	1.03 [1.00, 1.07]*	1.15 [1.10, 1.19]***	1.02 [0.99, 1.05]
Income	0.99 [0.94, 1.03]	0.98 [0.92, 1.04]	0.89 [0.77, 1.02]	0.99 [0.85, 1.16]	0.91 [0.76, 1.09]	1.02 [0.90, 1.14]
Marital status	0.92 [0.83, 1.03]	0.96 [0.85, 1.09]	0.88 [0.65, 1.17]	0.65 [0.47, 0.91]*	0.99 [0.66, 1.47]	1.02 [0.75, 1.37]
Household composition	0.97 [0.95, 0.99]*	1.00 [0.97, 1.03]	0.92 [0.85, 1.00]*	0.97 [0.89, 1.06]	0.87 [0.79, 0.97]*	0.95 [0.89, 1.02]
Children	0.99 [0.96, 1.02]	1.01 [0.97, 1.06]	0.98 [0.89, 1.08]	0.94 [0.84, 1.04]	1.00 [0.88, 1.14]	0.97 [0.89, 1.06]
Years in United States	0.99 [0.99, 1.00]**	0.99 [0.98, 0.99]***	1.00 [0.98, 1.01]	1.00 [0.98, 1.02]	0.99 [0.97, 1.01]	1.01 [0.99, 1.02]
Years in neighborhood	1.00 [1.00, 1.01]	1.01 [1.00, 1.02]*	1.02 [1.00, 1.03]*	1.01 [0.99, 1.03]	1.01 [0.99, 1.03]	0.98 [0.96, 1.00]*
Breast cancer	1.31 [0.97, 1.78]	1.03 [0.70, 1.52]	1.47 [0.69, 3.13]	2.27 [0.93, 5.53]	2.32 [0.75, 7.15]	1.19 [0.49, 2.89]
Age	1.00 [1.00, 1.01]	1.00 [0.99, 1.01]	0.98 [0.96, 1.00]	1.03 [1.01, 1.05]*	1.01 [0.98, 1.04]	1.00 [0.98, 1.02]
Education	1.02 [1.01, 1.03]**	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]	1.03 [1.00, 1.06]	1.04 [1.00, 1.07]*	1.15 [1.10, 1.19]***	1.02 [0.99, 1.05]
Income	0.99 [0.94, 1.03]	0.98 [0.92, 1.04]	0.88 [0.77, 1.02]	0.99 [0.85, 1.15]	0.92 [0.77, 1.10]	1.01 [0.90, 1.14]
Marital status	0.92 [0.83, 1.02]	0.95 [0.84, 1.08]	0.87 [0.65, 1.16]	0.65 [0.46, 0.90]*	1.01 [0.68, 1.51]	1.01 [0.75, 1.36]
Household composition	0.97 [0.95, 0.99]*	1.00 [0.97, 1.03]	0.92 [0.85, 1.00]*	0.97 [0.89, 1.06]	0.87 [0.78, 0.97]**	0.95 [0.89, 1.02]
Children	0.99 [0.96, 1.02]	1.01 [0.97, 1.05]	0.97 [0.88, 1.07]	0.93 [0.84, 1.04]	0.99 [0.88, 1.12]	0.97 [0.89, 1.06]
Years in United States	0.99 [0.99, 1.00]**	0.99 [0.98, 0.99]***	1.00 [0.98, 1.01]	1.00 [0.98, 1.02]	1.00 [0.97, 1.02]	1.01 [0.99, 1.02]
Years in neighborhood	1.00 [1.00, 1.01]	1.01 [1.00, 1.02]*	1.02 [1.00, 1.03]*	1.01 [0.99, 1.03]	1.01 [0.98, 1.03]	0.98 [0.96, 1.00]*
Cervical cancer	0.72 [0.42, 1.23]	0.42 [0.19, 0.93]*	0.40 [0.05, 3.22]	1.84 [0.38, 8.92]	1.81 [0.29, 11.44]	0.59 [0.13, 2.69]
Age	1.00 [0.99, 1.01]	1.00 [0.99, 1.01]	0.98 [0.96, 1.00]*	0.16 [0.09, 0.29]*	1.01 [0.98, 1.04]	1.00 [0.98, 1.01]
Education	1.02 [1.01, 1.03]**	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]*	1.03 [1.00, 1.05]	1.03 [1.00, 1.05]*	I.I5 [I.II, I.20]***	1.02 [0.99, 1.05]
Income	0.99 [0.94, 1.03]	0.98 [0.92, 1.03]	0.87 [0.76, 1.01]	1.03 [1.00, 1.07]	0.91 [0.76, 1.09]	1.02 [0.90, 1.15]
Marital status	0.95 [0.86, 1.05]	0.99 [0.88, 1.12]	0.93 [0.69, 1.24]	0.99 [0.86, 1.15]*	1.01 [0.68, 1.51]	1.01 [0.75, 1.37]
Household composition	0.98 [0.95, 1.00]	1.00 [0.97, 1.03]	0.93 [0.86, 1.01]	0.71 [0.51, 0.99]	0.88 [0.79, 0.97]*	0.96 [0.89, 1.03]
Children	0.99 [0.96, 1.03]	1.02 [0.98, 1.06]	0.98 [0.90, 1.08]	0.98 [0.90, 1.07]	0.99 [0.88, 1.13]	0.97 [0.89, 1.06]
Years in United States	0.99 [0.99, 1.00]*	0.99 [0.98, 0.99]***	1.00 [0.99, 1.02]	0.95 [0.85, 1.05]	1.00 [0.98, 1.02]	1.01 [0.99, 1.02]
Years in neighborhood	1.00 [1.00, 1.01]	1.01 [1.00, 1.02]**	1.02 [1.00, 1.03]*	1.00 [0.99, 1.02]	1.01 [0.98, 1.03]	0.98 [0.96, 1.00]*
Ovarian cancer	0.51 [0.04, 6.15]	1.95 [0.14, 26.41]	0.00 []	0.00 []	0.00 []	0.00 []
Age	1.00 [1.00, 1.01]	1.00 [0.99, 1.01]	0.98 [0.96, 1.00]*	1.03 [1.01, 1.05]*	1.01 [0.98, 1.04]	1.00 [0.98, 1.01]
Education	1.02 [1.01, 1.03]**	0.99 [0.98, 1.00]*	1.03 [1.00, 1.06]	1.04 [1.00, 1.07]*	1.15 [1.10, 1.19]***	1.02 [0.99, 1.05]
Income	0.99 [0.94, 1.03]	0.98 [0.92, 1.04]	0.88 [0.77, 1.02]	0.99 [0.85, 1.16]	0.92 [0.77, 1.10]	1.01 [0.90, 1.14]
Marital status	0.92 [0.83, 1.03]	0.96 [0.85, 1.09]	0.87 [0.65, 1.17]	0.65 [0.47, 0.91]*	1.01 [0.68, 1.50]	1.01 [0.75, 1.36]
Household composition	0.97 [0.95, 0.99]*	1.00 [0.97, 1.03]	0.92 [0.85, 1.00]*	0.97 [0.89, 1.06]	0.87 [0.78, 0.97]**	0.95 [0.89,1.02]
Children	0.99 [0.96, 1.02]	1.01 [0.97, 1.06]	0.97 [0.88, 1.07]	0.93 [0.84, 1.04]	0.99 [0.87, 1.12]	0.97 [0.89, 1.06]
Years in United States	0.99 [0.99, 1.00]**	0.99 [0.98, 0.99]***	1.00 [0.98,1.01]	1.00 [0.98, 1.02]	1.00 [0.97, 1.02]	1.01 [0.99, 1.02]
Years in neighborhood	1.00 [1.00, 1.01]	1.01 [1.00, 1.02]*	1.02 [1.00, 1.03]*	1.01 [0.99, 1.03]	1.01 [0.98, 1.03]	0.98 [0.96, 1.00]*
Uterine cancer	0.95 [0.57, 1.58]	0.94 [0.50, 1.74]	0.61 [0.13, 2.94]	1.66 [0.35, 7.84]	1.82 [0.30, 10.95]	0.51 [0.11, 2.32]
Note Adjusted for and odjustical is	como marital status hausahal	d composition number of chil	dron voore in United Ctates	T Poorhaddhaian ai moov ba	M = Traditional Chinage	ino: DD - mto mtio.

Note: Adjusted for age, education, income, marital status, household composition, number of children, years in United States, and years in neighborhood. TCM = Traditional Chinese Medicine; RR = rate ratio; CI = confidence interval. \*p < .05. \*\*p < .01. \*\*\*p < .001.

TCM than not. Older adults are more likely to receive massage therapy than not receive massage therapy while those who are married are more likely to not use massage than to use massage therapy. These findings contribute to past research that suggests that health practices are related to one's sociodemographic background, potentially related to cultural beliefs of TCM efficacy and application (Kwok & Sullivan, 2006; B. Wang et al., 2013). However, the association between these factors is unclear as our study did not collect qualitative data on traditional TCM beliefs. Further research is needed to understand how cultural factors of TCM beliefs and sociodemographic characteristics interact, potentially predisposing participants to use TCM. An understanding of TCM use is important for culturally appropriate patient-centered care because TCM application among U.S. Chinese older women is not understood despite its frequent usage. Past literature suggests that TCM contributes to the overall management of cancer patients and could potentially improve care (Hui, Hui, & Johnston, 2006), which emphasizes the need for health professionals to exercise cultural awareness and implement patient-centered care.

Our results demonstrate that older Chinese women who have had breast or cervical cancer screening using mammography tests or pap smear tests are more likely to use TCM than not use TCM. For those who have received a mammography test, they are more likely to use herbal remedies, acupuncture, massage therapy, and tai chi than not use TCM. In regard to pap smear tests, individuals are more likely to use herbal remedies, acupuncture, massage therapy, tai chi, and other forms of TCM than not use TCM. While both Eastern and Western countries practice preventive health, Chinese women often favor the multifunctionality of TCM over cancer screening (J. H. Wang et al., 2009) as it is used as a form of treatment and/or as a means to maintain one's health (Liu, Sun, & Louie, 2015). Previous literature suggests that TCM is used instead of Western medicine (S. C. H. Chang et al., 2013; Kwok & Sullivan, 2006), however, our results differ from past studies and demonstrate that Chinese older women who have received cancer screenings also elect to use TCM. Rather than solely choosing one, they report utilizing both Eastern and Western health practices. Higher levels of acculturation may contribute to this trend as individuals adopt new health outlooks and norms of health practices. However, acculturation's role in health practices and TCM use is not explored in our study. Further qualitative research is needed to observe acculturation's impact on the association between older Chinese women's cancer screening tendencies and TCM use.

Looking at women's cancers, our findings suggest that, overall, there is not a significant association between older Chinese women with self-reported cancer and higher TCM use. Alternatively, past research conducted in China and other countries has emphasized the association between presence of women's cancers and TCM use. Herbal TCM is commonly used as cancer treatment (Z. Chen et al., 2008), yet our results indicate that U.S. Chinese older women with cervical cancer are more likely to not use herbal remedies than to use herbal remedies. This discrepancy may be due to differences in samples. One such example is that TCM is more mainstream and accessible in China and other countries, which may indicate increased likelihood of TCM use. In addition, our sample was drawn from a population study, whereas other studies focused solely on women with cancer; there were relatively fewer participants with specific women's cancers. It is important to consider these differences and note that our findings are specific to U.S. Chinese older women in the Chicagoland area. Those with women's cancers are not more likely to use TCM than Chinese older women in general. However, Chinese older women with cancer often use TCM (Dong, Bergren, & Chang, 2015b) in other countries, which warrants further research to explore the context in which TCM is used and reasons for use. These reasons can be compared with cancer symptoms and beliefs, which may elucidate why U.S. Chinese older women with cancer are not associated with higher TCM use in addition to unveiling health trends of TCM use.

Our study has a few limitations. First, the influence of cultural factors (TCM beliefs) on sociodemographic factors, and subsequently TCM use, was not observed. Second, we lack information on reasons for TCM use and other health practices. Third, we cannot apply our findings to other populations; these results can only be applied to U.S. Chinese older women.

Despite these limitations, our study has important implications for future research and health care efforts. There is currently paucity in quantitative and qualitative data concerning reasons for TCM use and how cultural beliefs influence sociodemographics and TCM use. Therefore, future studies should conduct a more thorough review of cultural TCM beliefs and the context in which TCM is used. These studies may unveil other patterns of TCM use, which could establish new standards for physicians when assessing patients and an understanding of TCM applications. Our findings demonstrate that Chinese older women use both Eastern and Western medicine, which prompts the need for physicians to inquire TCM usage to anticipate potential interactions with Western medications. In addition, health professionals could better cater health education in a manner that resonates with this population. Health workshops can help improve community members' understandings of screenings and health conditions (Dong, Li, Chen, Chang, & Simon, 2013), yet these resources are often underutilized. To increase awareness, past research has suggested incorporating TCM knowledge (X. Wang et al., 2014), however, our findings demonstrate that TCM users already receive cancer screening. This challenges our previous assumption that Chinese women use less Western medicine due to beliefs in Eastern medicine. Therefore, other factors contributing to low cancer screening rates and health education attendance need to be explored, such as inaccessibility, language issues, or health literacy levels. If these barriers are addressed, U.S. Chinese older women may become more receptive to workshops, which can improve health knowledge and potentially their overall health status.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, our study found that sociodemographic characteristics and cancer screenings (mammography tests and pap smear tests) are related to TCM use. These results indicate that further research on TCM use is necessary to better understand U.S. Chinese older women's health practices and factors that may contribute to the use of TCM. In addition, we found that those with cervical cancer are less likely to use herbal medicine. Although those with self-reported women's cancers are not more likely to have higher TCM utilization, future studies should be conducted to understand how this population differs from others that exhibit an association with TCM use as well as reasons for TCM use. Altogether, our findings and continued research will contribute to a better understanding of TCM use and improve the delivery of health care for this population while fostering a transition to culturally relevant patientcentered care.

#### **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### References

- Berger, N. A., Savvides, P., Koroukian, S. M., Kahana, E. F., Deimling, G. T., Rose, J. H., . . . Miller, R. H. (2006). Cancer in the elderly. *Transactions of the American Clinical and Climatological Association*, 117, 147-156.
- Cancer Screening Overview (PDQ)-Health Professional Version. (2016). Retrieved from http://www.cancer.gov/ about-cancer/screening/hp-screening-overview-pdq
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2016). *Cancer* prevention and control. Retrieved from https://www.cdc. gov/cancer/
- Chang, E. S., Simon, M. A., & Dong, X. (2016). Using community-based participatory research to address Chinese older women's health needs: Toward sustainability. *Journal of Women & Aging*, 28, 276-284. doi:10.1080/0 8952841.2014.950511
- Chang, L., & Basnyat, I. (2015). Negotiating biomedical and traditional Chinese medicine treatments among elderly Chinese Singaporean women. *Qualitative Health Research*, 25, 241-252. doi:10.1177/1049732314551991

- Chang, S. C. H., Woo, J. S. T., Yau, V., Gorzalka, B. B., & Brotto, L. A. (2013). Cervical cancer screening and Chinese women: Insights from focus groups. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 4, Article 48. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00048
- Chen, H.-Y., Kessler, C. L., Mori, N., & Chauhan, S. P. (2012). Cervical cancer screening in the United States, 1993-2010: Characteristics of women who are never screened. *Journal of Women's Health*, 21, 1132-1138. doi:10.1089/ jwh.2011.3418
- Chen, Z., Gu, K., Zheng, Y., Zheng, W., Lu, W., & Shu, X. O. (2008). The use of complementary and alternative medicine among Chinese women with breast cancer. *Journal* of Alternative & Complementary Medicine, 14, 1049-1055. doi:10.1089/acm.2008.0039
- Chu, E. S. M., Sze, S. C. W., Cheung, H. P., Liu, Q., Ng, T. B., & Tong, Y. (2013). An in vitro and in vivo investigation of the antimetastatic effects of a Chinese medicinal decoction, erxian decoction, on human ovarian cancer models. *Integrative Cancer Therapies*, 12, 336-346. doi:10.1177/1534735412464519
- Dong, X. (2014). Addressing health and well-being of U.S. Chinese older adults through community-based participatory research: Introduction to the PINE Study. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 69(Suppl. 2), S1-S6. doi:10.1093/ gerona/glu112
- Dong, X., & Bergren, S. (2016). Qigong among older adults: A global review. *Clinical Research and Trials*, 2, 120-144.
- Dong, X., Bergren, S. M., & Chang, E. S. (2015a). Levels of acculturation of Chinese older adults in the greater Chicago area—The population study of Chinese elderly in Chicago. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 63, 1931-1937. doi:10.1111/jgs.13604
- Dong, X., Bergren, S. M., & Chang, E. S. (2015b). Traditional Chinese medicine use and health in community-dwelling Chinese-American older adults in Chicago. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*, 63, 2588-2595. doi:10.1111/ jgs.13828
- Dong, X., Chang, E.-S., Wong, E., Wong, B., Skarupski, K. A., & Simon, M. A. (2010). Assessing the health needs of Chinese older adults: Findings from a community-based participatory research study in Chicago's Chinatown. *Journal of Aging Research*, 2010, 124246. doi:10.4061/2010/124246
- Dong, X., Li, Y., Chen, R., Chang, E.-S., & Simon, M. (2013). Evaluation of community health education workshops among Chinese older adults in Chicago: A community-based participatory research approach. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 1, 170-181.
- Dong, X., Wong, E., & Simon, M. A. (2014). Study design and implementation of the PINE Study. *Journal of Aging and Health*, 26, 1085-1099. doi:10.1177/0898264314526620
- Fan, H. E., Hui-Ni, W. U., Mu-Yan, C. A. I., Chang-Peng, L. I., Xin, Z., Quan, W. A. N., . . . Jian-Ding, C. (2014). Inhibition of ovarian cancer cell proliferation by Pien Tze Huang via the AKT-mTOR pathway. *Oncology Letters*, 7, 2047-2052. doi:10.3892/ol.2014.1989
- Hui, K. K., Hui, E. K., & Johnston, M. F. (2006). The potential of a person-centered approach in caring for patients with cancer: A perspective from the UCLA center for East-West medicine. *Integrative Cancer Therapies*, 5, 56-62. doi:10.1177/1534735405286109

- Kwok, C., & Sullivan, G. (2006). Influence of traditional Chinese beliefs on cancer screening behaviour among Chinese-Australian women. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 54, 691-699. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2648.2006.03872.x
- Liu, S., Sun, Y., & Louie, W. (2015). Symptom distress and its association with traditional Chinese medicine use in Chinese American women with cancer. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 42, E24-E32. doi:10.1188/15.ONF.E24-E32
- Ma, G. X. (1999). Between two worlds: The use of traditional and western health services by Chinese immigrants. *Journal of Community Health*, 24, 421-437. doi:10.1023/a:1018742505785
- Peek, M. E., & Han, J. H. (2004). Disparities in screening mammography: Current status, interventions, and implications. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 19, 184-194. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1497.2004.30254.x
- Study finds racial and ethnic disparities in US cancer screening rates. (2012). Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/ media/releases/2012/p0126 cancer screening.html
- Traditional Chinese Medicine: In Depth. (2013). Retrieved from https://nccih.nih.gov/health/whatiscam/chinesemed. htm
- Tsai, Y.-T., Lai, J.-N., Wu, C.-T., & Lin, S.-K. (2014). Concurrent use in Taiwan of Chinese herbal medicine therapies among hormone users aged 55 years to 79 years and its association with breast cancer risk: A population-based study. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2014, 683570. doi:10.1155/2014/683570
- Wang, B., He, M., Wang, L., Engelgau, M. M., Zhao, W., & Wang, L. (2013). Breast cancer screening among adult women in China, 2010. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 10, E183. doi:10.5888/pcd10.130136

- Wang, J., Burke, A., Tsoh, J. Y., Le, G. M., Stewart, S., Gildengorin, G., . . . Nguyen, T. T. (2014). Engaging traditional medicine providers in colorectal cancer screening education in a Chinese American community: A pilot study. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 11, E217. doi:10.5888/pcd11.140341
- Wang, J. H., Mandelblatt, J., Liang, W., Yi, B., Ma, I. J., & Schwartz, M. D. (2009). Knowledge, cultural, and attitudinal barriers to mammography screening among nonadherent immigrant Chinese women: Ever versus never screened status. *Cancer*, 115, 4828-4838. doi:10.1002/ cncr.24517
- Wang, X., Li, Z., Liang, L., Luo, S., Wang, X., Wang, Y., & Luo, F. (2014). Treating breast cancer radiotherapyinduced moist desquamation with a traditional Chinese medicine formula: A case series pilot study. *Journal of Alternative & Complementary Medicine*, 20, 732-735. doi:10.1089/acm.2013.0419
- Wang, Y.-C., Wei, L.-J., Liu, J.-T., Li, S.-X., & Wang, Q.-S. (2012). Comparison of cancer incidence between China and the USA. *Cancer Biology & Medicine*, 9, 128-132. doi:10.3969/j.issn.2095-3941.2012.02.009
- Xu, W., Towers, A. D., Li, P., & Collet, J. P. (2006). Traditional Chinese medicine in cancer care: Perspectives and experiences of patients and professionals in China. *European Journal of Cancer Care*, 15, 397-403. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2354.2006.00685.x
- Yang, P.-Y., Hu, D.-N., & Liu, F.-S. (2013). Cytotoxic effect and induction of apoptosis in human cervical cancer cells by Antrodia camphorata. *American Journal of Chinese Medicine*, 41, 1169-1180. doi:10.1142/S01924 15X13500791