



## DNA Methylation Variation Is Identified in Monozygotic Twins Discordant for Non-syndromic Cleft Lip and Palate

Juan I. Young<sup>1\*</sup>, Susan Slifer<sup>1</sup>, Jacqueline T. Hecht<sup>2†</sup> and Susan H. Blanton<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics, Dr. John T. Macdonald Foundation Department of Human Genetics, Miller School of Medicine, University of Miami, Miami, FL, United States, <sup>2</sup> McGovern Medical School, University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, TX, United States

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Miguel Constancia, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

#### Reviewed by:

Michele Rubini, University of Ferrara, Italy Michelle Holland, King's College London, United Kingdom

\*Correspondence:

Juan I. Young jyoung3@med.miami.edu

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Developmental Epigenetics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology

> Received: 21 January 2021 Accepted: 22 March 2021 Published: 12 May 2021

#### Citation:

Young JI, Slifer S, Hecht JT and Blanton SH (2021) DNA Methylation Variation Is Identified in Monozygotic Twins Discordant for Non-syndromic Cleft Lip and Palate. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 9:656865. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2021.656865 Non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate (NSCLP) is the most common craniofacial birth defect. The etiology of NSCLP is complex with multiple genes and environmental factors playing causal roles. Although studies have identified numerous genetic markers associated with NSCLP, the role of epigenetic variation remains relatively unexplored. Because of their identical DNA sequences, monozygotic (MZ) twins discordant for NSCLP are an ideal model for examining the potential contribution of DNA methylation to non-syndromic orofacial clefting. In this study, we compared the patterns of whole genome DNA methylation in six MZ twin pairs discordant for NSCLP. Differentially methylated positions (DMPs) and regions (DMRs) were identified in NSCLP candidate genes, including differential methylation in MAFB and ZEB2 in two independent MZ twin pairs. In addition to DNA methylation differences in NSCLP candidate genes, we found common differential methylation in genes belonging to the Hippo signaling pathway, implicating this mechanosensory pathway in the etiology of NSCLP. The results of this novel approach using MZ twins discordant for NSCLP suggests that differential methylation is one mechanism contributing to NSCLP, meriting future studies on the role of DNA methylation in familial and sporadic NSCLP.

Keywords: methylation, NSCLP, non-syndromic cleft lip and cleft palate, twins, whole genome bisulfite sequencing

### INTRODUCTION

Non-syndromic cleft lip with and without cleft palate (NSCLP) is a common birth defect occurring in 1/700-1000 livebirths, affecting 135,000 newborns worldwide. The severity varies based on laterality (bi- versus unilateral involvement) and extensiveness (involves only the lip, the primary palate and/or the secondary palate). The defect affects feeding initially and, in the long term, speech articulation, hearing, dental hygiene, dentition and overall well-being as there is always residual scarring. The cost of multiple surgical interventions exact a large emotional burden for patients and families, and high financial burden for families and society.

Despite many decades of research, only limited environmental agents and genetic causes of NSCLP are known (Chiquet et al., 2011; Letra et al., 2012, 2014; Cvjetkovic et al., 2015; Leslie et al., 2015, 2016a,b; Fish et al., 2017; Ludwig et al., 2017; Cox et al., 2018). While candidate gene and

genome-wide studies of case-control and family-based datasets have identified causal/susceptibility loci and variants, the majority of the genetic causes remain elusive. Based on the analysis of multiplex families, in which there are multiple, but not necessarily first degree, affected relatives, there are likely extra-genic factors affecting penetrance and/or expression of the genetic liability. For example, MZ twins have a concordance rate of »25–50% for NSCLP (compared to 1–3% in DZ twins) with a heritability estimate of approximately 70%, indicating that other factors play a role (Christensen, 1999; Grosen et al., 2011). It is likely that variation in multiple genes is etiologically necessary, with extra-genic factors contributing with a threshold effect.

Epigenetics is well-recognized as a critical mediator of the interplay between genes and the environment and influences phenotypic outcomes. DNA methylation, a major substrate of epigenetic information, regulates genome activity and provides an alternative mechanism for modulating cellular physiology compared to those arising solely from genetic changes (Esteller, 2008). Thus, a potential mechanism by which environmental factors could elicit altered tissue morphogenesis is variation in gene methylation. Support for this hypothesis comes from the observation that maternal use of the methyl-group donor folic acid may decrease the risk for NSCLP (Butali et al., 2013; Wallenstein et al., 2013).

Methylation differences within normal MZ twin pairs are well documented (Kaminsky et al., 2009; Czyz et al., 2012; Ollikainen et al., 2015). Importantly, methylation differences have been identified in MZ twin pairs discordant for a variety of congenital defects, including Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (OMIM 130650), congenital cataracts, congenital renal agenesis, cryptorchidism, Mayer-Rokitansky-Kuster-Hauser syndrome (OMIM 277000) and congenital heart disease (OMIM 217095), yielding insights into the underlying pathology (Weksberg et al., 2002; Rall et al., 2011; Tierling et al., 2011; Jin et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2017; Lyu et al., 2018). Given the relevance of DNA methylation in neural crest development, which plays a critical role in craniofacial development (Hu et al., 2014; Seelan et al., 2019), and the potential influence of epigenetics in the etiology of NSCLP, we compared genomic DNA methylation patterns between six pairs of monozygotic twins discordant for NSCLP.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Editorial Policies and Ethical Considerations

All DNA samples were collected and studied following informed and signed written consent from a parent or guardian. This study was approved by the University of Texas Health Science Center Committee for Protection of Human Subjects (HSC-MS-03-090) and the University of Miami Human Subject Research Office (IRB #20100505).

#### Dataset

Six monozygotic twin pairs discordant for NSCLP were ascertained and characterized as part of the larger genetic study of

NSCLP that has been previously described (Chiquet et al., 2007; Morris et al., 2020). Briefly, participants were recruited at the craniofacial clinics affiliated with the UTHealth Science Center at Houston under IRB-approved protocols and written informed consent was obtained from parents and assents from the children. All participants were evaluated and syndromic forms of NSCLP were excluded. Study inclusion was irrespective of ethnicity and/or sex. Family history and a minimum of a three-generation pedigree were obtained from all families. The characteristics of the six pairs of MZ twins and their samples are listed in Table 1. All affected individuals were post-surgical repair. Saliva samples were collected using the Oragene® Saliva Collection Kit or the Oragene® Saliva Self-Collection Kit for Young Children (Sponge Kit) according to manufacturer's protocol. Genomic DNA was isolated from saliva samples obtained from these male MZ twins discordant for NSCLP and from their available parents.

### **Candidate Gene Assembly**

A list of candidate genes for NSCLP was assembled from a thorough review of the literature of orofacial clefting including human association and sequencing studies and animal models. Genes associated with non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate, cleft palate only, and syndromic forms of clefting were included (**Supplementary Table 1**).

# Whole Genome Sample Preparation and Sequencing

gDNA samples were evaluated for concentration by Qubit<sup>®</sup> fluorometric assay or by using Picogreen<sup>®</sup>. The integrity of the gDNA was assessed by loading approximately 100 ng per sample on a 0.75% agarose gel and comparing size distribution to a size marker (Quick-Load<sup>®</sup> 1 kb Extend DNA Ladder, NEB). 0.6–1  $\mu$ g of gDNA was fragmented using the Covaris L-series or E-series instrument and sheared to a final insert size of approximately 400 bp. The purified fragmented DNA was repaired by the phosphorylation of the 5' end and the

TABLE 1   Description of twin pairs.					
Pair*	Sex <sup>#</sup>	Status <sup>&amp;</sup>	Age (yrs)^	Cleft type	Ethnicity <sup>@</sup>
MZ1	М	А	8	Left	NHW
	Μ	U	8	-	
MZ2	Μ	А	1	Left	Н
	Μ	U	1	-	
MZ3	Μ	А	10	Left	Н
	Μ	U	10	-	
MZ6	Μ	А	8	Left	Н
	Μ	U	7	-	
MZ7	Μ	А	2	Left	NHW
	Μ	U	2	-	
MZ8	Μ	А	15	Left	NHW
	Μ	U	15	-	

\*All samples were saliva. MZ, monozygotic; <sup>#</sup>M, male; <sup>&</sup>A, affected; U, unaffected;^Age, Age at sampling; <sup>®</sup>NHW, Non-Hispanic White; H, Hispanic.

conversion of overhangs to blunt ends. One "A" nucleotide was added to each 3' end of the blunt fragments. The indexing-specific paired-end adaptors were ligated to the ends of the DNA fragments and the adaptor-ligated library was PCR amplified. Quality and quantity of the purified ligated products were verified using the Caliper LabChip<sup>®</sup> GX. Libraries were pooled and sequenced according to manufacturer's instructions *via* the Illumina HiSeq X platform. Multiplexing 6 whole human genomes per flow cell generated an average depth of  $30 \times$  per sample, exceeding read depth recommendations for Whole genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS) analysis (Ziller et al., 2015).

Comparison of the whole genome sequencing (WGS, **Table 2**) between the affected and unaffected co-twins did not identify a pathogenic point mutation, insertion/deletion, or structural alteration in any of the known CLP-associated genes listed in **Supplementary Table 1**.

#### Whole Genome Bisulfite Sequencing

Whole genome bisulfite sequencing gDNA library preparations were carried out using the TruSeq DNA Sample Prep Kit v2 (Illumina Inc.) combined with sodium bisulfite conversion. Briefly, 1  $\mu$ g of gDNA spiked with 5 ng unmethylated  $\lambda$  DNA (Promega) was fragmented to 200-300 bp peak size (Covaris, Woburn, MA, United States). Fragment size was analyzed on a Bioanalyzer DNA 1000 Chip (Agilent). End repair, sample purification with AMPure beads (Agencourt Bioscience Corp.), adenylation of 3' ends, and adaptor ligation were carried out following the Illumina standard protocol. Ligation DNA was purified with AMPure, analyzed on a Bioanalyzer and subjected to bisulfite conversion using the MethylCode Bisulfite Conversion Kit (ThermoFisher) according to manufacturer's instructions. Adaptor-ligated DNA was amplified through five cycles of PCR using the Hifi Uracil + DNA polymerase (Kapa Biosystems, Woburn, MA, United States) according to manufacturer's protocol. Library quality was monitored using the Bioanalyzer (Agilent) and the concentration of fragments carrying adapters at both ends determined by quantitative PCR using the Library Quantification Kit from KAPA Biosystem. Paired-end DNA sequencing (124 bp each) using the Illumina Hi-Seq 2500 was performed.

Generated sequencing reads (average read length 120.9) were trimmed using Trim Galore<sup>1</sup> and aligned to the bisulfiteconverted human reference genome (GRCh37/hg19) using Burrows–Wheeler alignment. Methylation states were called using Bismark v0.10.0 (Krueger and Andrews, 2011). Confirmed clonal reads and reads with low mapping quality score were removed (Johnson et al., 2012), as well as, reads aligning to regions of the reference genome identified as troublesome for high- throughput sequencing aligners [DAC Blacklisted Regions (DBR) and Duke Excluded Regions (DER)<sup>2</sup>].

After data preprocessing, »54.3% of the resulting reads were uniquely mapped to the human reference genome. The median bisulfite conversion efficiency of CpGs was determined to be ~98%. CpGs not covered by at least 20 reads were excluded, which resulted in a minimum of two reads per strand across all twelve samples. Reads on opposite strands with an absolute strand difference in methylation > 20% were excluded, leaving on average  $2.4 \times 10^6$  unique and high-confidence CpGs per sample. Most CpG sites retained were highly methylated (over 50%, **Supplementary Figure 1**).

#### Array-Based Methylation Assay

Array-based methylation profiling was performed using the MethylationEPIC BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States) assay. Briefly, 300 ng gDNA per sample were bisulfite converted using the EZ-96 DNA Methylation kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, United States) and processed as described by Illumina. The MethylationEPIC BeadChip measures over 850,000 CpG loci across a diverse set of functionally relevant genomic regions that include CpG islands (CGI) and promoters as well as CGI shores, gene body and intergenic CpGs. BeadChip images were scanned and the data analyzed using the R software (version 3.2.4<sup>3</sup>). Raw intensity files (.idat) were obtained and processed using RnBeads package (Assenov et al., 2014). For quality control, we selected probes that had a detection p-value < 0.01 for all samples. The detection p-value represents the quality of the probes compared to background noise. Because all samples were male, we did not filter probes associated with sex. Methylated and unmethylated signal intensities were quantile normalized for each probe type separately and both beta and M values were estimated. The beta values are the ratio of the methylated signal intensity to the sum of both methylated and unmethylated signals after background subtraction (beta values range from 0 to 1, corresponding to completely unmethylated and fully methylated sites, respectively). M values are logit transformation of the beta values, which is  $M = \log (\frac{1-1}{2})$ . M values were used as outcome variables for subsequent analysis because they have been shown to have better statistical properties such as homoscedasticity (Du et al., 2017). For the analysis of individual

<sup>1</sup>https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim\_galore/

<sup>2</sup>http://hgwdev.cse.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgFileUi?db=hg19&g=wgEncodeMapability <sup>3</sup>https://www.r-project.org/

IABLE 2   Whole genome DNA sequencing statistics.						
Average reads uniquely aligned to human genome*	Average genome coverage	Average discordant SNP calls	Average discordant exonic/splicing SNP calls	Discordant SNP calls in NSCLP candidate genes		
9.19E + 10 (8.61E + 10–1.01E + 11)	29.12 (28.42–32.52)	196,253 (187,112–213,468)	14,920 (13,435–19,423)	0 (0–0)		
*Range in brackets.						

CpGs, an absolute delta beta > 0.30 was used to detect differential methylation between twins.

## Identification of Differentially Methylated Positions Within Twin Pairs

From the strand-overlapping sites with > 20 reads, we selected differentially methylated sites based on the following criteria: (1) intra-twin pair difference in methylation levels of at least 30% (delta methylation > | 0.3 |) and (2) a coefficient of variation in methylation levels of the unaffected individuals of less than 0.25. This strategy of restricting the search on differential methylation to sites in which the variation in unaffected twins is <25% is based on the idea that sites that exhibit large inter-individual variation in methylation levels have less regulatory potential than those sites in which the methylation levels are more tightly preserved between unaffected individuals.

#### **DMR** Analysis

Differentially methylated regions (DMR) analysis used a Bayesian hierarchical model (Wu et al., 2015) to detect regional methylation differences with  $\geq$  3 contiguous sites each with a methylation difference > 20% within a 2 kb distance with unidirectional methylation changes and a region-wise average methylation difference > 25%.

# DNA Bisulfite Conversion and Bisulfite Specific PCR

Flanking primers (methylation-unbiased nested PCR primers) were designed for a subset of differentially methylated CpG sites and quantitative levels of methylation for each CpG dinucleotide were evaluated using the BiQ Analyzer software. Bisulfite-PCR was followed by cloning and Sanger sequencing of multiple clones for short-range mapping of methylation levels in selected loci. Genomic DNA (0.25  $\mu$ g) was bisulfite converted using the EpiTect Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Converted DNA was amplified with primers designed in MethPrimer. Optimized PCR reactions were followed by cloning using the TOPO TA Kit (Invitrogen). Multiple clones were sequenced. Bisulfite-cloning validated 70% of the DMS (**Supplementary Figure 2**).

### **Pyrosequencing Validation**

Locus-specific pyrosequencing was conducted to validate the methylation data at selected loci. Pyrosequencing assays were designed and implemented by EpigenDx (Worcester, MA, United States) following the manufacturer's recommended protocol. The correlation between the WGBS and pyrosequencing data was evaluated using the Spearman rank order correlation test. Pyrosequencing validated 73% of the DMS. Interestingly, the majority of validated DMSs had contiguous CpGs that also showed differential methylation in the same direction and with a similar magnitude to the targeted CpG.

#### RESULTS

### DNA Methylation Profiles Are Different in Monozygotic Twins Discordant for NSCLP

Methylome analysis was performed on saliva samples from six sets of male MZ twins discordant for NSCLP using whole-genome bisulfite sequencing (WGBS). Globally, DNA methylation profiles generated by WGBS were highly correlated across all individuals, indicating inter-individual conservation in methylation levels. The correlation of methylation patterns of the co-twins was significantly higher compared to unrelated individuals, indicative of low within-pair DNA methylation variation (average r = 0.84 for twins and r = 0.75for unrelated individuals at 30X coverage; Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure 3). Removal of areas of extreme variability across individuals (variably methylated regions, VMRs) (Feinberg et al., 2010) from the analysis of the 30X coverage increased the correlation for intra-twin comparisons (average r = 0.93), but decreased it for unrelated samples (average r = 0.65) (Figure 1B). This pattern of correlation change suggests that the polymorphic nature of these VMRs is affected to a larger extent by environmental rather than genetic influences.

The WGBS data was validated globally by subjecting DNA from three of the MZ pairs to the MethylationEPIC microarray (Illumina) assay. This assay interrogates the DNA methylation status of ~865,000 CpG sites, which account for only ~3% of all human autosomal CpGs. The methylation values obtained from the microarray were correlated with those from WGBS. WGBS data (prefiltered as described in the Methods section) were further filtered to include only CpGs present in the MethylationEPIC microarray, resulting in a total of 16,920 loci for comparison (**Figure 1C**). The mean methylation level correlation between WGBS and the microarray determinations for the six samples was r = 0.90 and, on average, 97.63% of loci have delta methylation levels < |0.2|, indicating that the methylation values derived from the sequencing and the array methods are consistent across commonly interrogated loci.

To identify CpGs displaying differential methylation in any of the discordant twin pairs, the differences in CpG methylation between any two paired samples were estimated. **Figure 1D** shows the distribution of the mean absolute differences in DNA methylation levels per individual CpG within twins, as well as between unrelated samples. The overall distribution of average intra-twin DNA methylation differences showed a significant skew to the left as compared to the distribution of the average unrelated pair differences, with fewer CpG sites having large average differences in DNA methylation (**Figure 1D**). However, there is still variability in DNA methylation levels at individual CpG sites within the pairs of MZ twins.

# DNA Methylation Differences in MZ Twin Pairs

The differentially methylated CpG sites (DMSs) identified within twin pairs is presented in **Supplementary Table 2**. The number



FIGURE 1 [MZ co-twins are highly correlated for DNA methylation but have site-specific differences. (A) Pearson's correlation coefficients derived from comparing intra-twin, parent-child and parent to parent (unrelated) methylation levels at 100X (blue) or 30X (brown) genome coverage. (B) Correlation coefficients using only variable CpGs as identified by Feinberg et al. (2010) at 30X genome coverage. (C) Comparison between DNA methylation values for 16,920 individual CpG loci generated by MethylationEPIC microarray and whole-genome bisulfite sequencing. Methylation values generated by Rnbeads for the MethylationEPIC microarray were subtracted from methylation levels calculated from the sequencing data for the same sample (MZ1A to MZ3U). Averaged comparisons contrasting EPIC and WGBS data from unpaired (Unp.) samples is also included (black line). (D) Distribution of average absolute differences in DNA methylation within the MZ twins as well as comparisons of unrelated individuals. A significantly (P<1.2e-10 for WGBS and P<1.9E-12 for EPIC, Kolmogorov–Smirnov test) smaller number of CpG sites with a large average within-twin differences in methylation level was observed in within twin comparisons as contrasted with comparisons of unrelated individuals (unaffected-unaffected, NSCLP-unaffected (inter-twins) or NSCLP-NSCLP).

of DMS per MZ pair varies from 7 (MZ2) to 42(MZ1), for a total of 151 DMSs associated with 147 genes. No single CpG is differentially methylated in more than one twin pair. This lack of overlap in DMS per pair is also seen at the gene level; there are no shared genes containing DMSs in the different MZ pairs. **Table 3** lists the five genes with the most differential methylation per twin pair.

To evaluate epigenetic involvement of candidate NSCLP genes, we tested whether any of the identified DMSs in the discordant twins were located in known or suspected NSCLP genes (**Supplementary Table 1**). Evidence of aberrant methylation in single CpGs was observed in seven NSCLP genes, four in MZ1, two in MZ2 and one in MZ6 (**Table 4**). Note that the number of DMSs in candidate genes is more than expected by chance in MZ1 (*p*-value < 0.003) and in MZ2 (*p*-value < 0.0001).

DNA methylation levels are strongly correlated among neighboring sites across the genome, and identification of clusters of differentially methylated positions rather than single CpGs usually predicts functional relevance (Eckhardt et al., 2006). Regional analysis is also less prone to be affected by the technical artifacts associated with individual sites. Thus, we performed systematic regional analyses of the WGBS data to identify differentially methylated regions (DMRs) in the MZ NSCLP discordant twins. Amongst the six MZ pairs, we identified a total of 768 DMRs, varying in numbers from 61 in MZ2 to 349 in MZ1 (Supplementary Table 2). Table 5 lists the 10 most differentially methylated DMRs per MZ twin pair. Candidate genes containing DMRs are listed in Table 6. While each twin pair had DMRs in one or more candidate genes, only twin pairs MZ1 MZ2, and MZ3 had an overrepresentation of candidate genes among all their respective DMRs (MZ1 p < 0.004, MZ2 p < 0.02, and MZ3 p < 0.006). A genebased overlap analysis detected only two candidate genes containing DMRs in more than a single MZ pair, MAFB (MZ6 and MZ8) and ZEB2 (MZ3 and MZ8). The DMRs in ZEB2 are in two different areas of intron 2 (AB011141) while the

TABLE 3	Five most	differentially	methylated	CpGs for	r each NSCLF	<sup>&gt;</sup> twin pair.
---------	-----------	----------------	------------	----------	--------------	----------------------------

	Chr	Position	Gene 1	Gene 2
MZ1	16	84479557	TLDC1	ATP2C2
	10	24754430	KIAA1217	ARHGAP21
	10	24754448	KIAA1217	ARHGAP21
	6	33518020	BAK1	ZBTB9
	16	23296275	SCNN1B	SCNN1G
MZ2	12	4141700	CCND2	PARP11
	15	48849784	FBN1	DUT
	8	28206128	PNOC	ZNF395
	12	4141713	CCND2	PARP11
	6	129494272	LAMA2	ARHGAP18
MZ3	Х	85175162	POF1B	CHM
	1	234913189	IRF2BP2	TOMM20
	15	41104572	DNAJC17	
	15	41104527	DNAJC17	
	1	45280071	TCTEX1D4	PTCH2
MZ6	2	132153021	TUBA3D	POTEE
	5	10869280	DAP	
	7	50850334	GRB10	COBL
	7	50850302	GRB10	COBL
	22	30617445	LIF	HORMAD2
MZ7	Y	15676869	TMSB4Y	UTY
	Y	15676854	TMSB4Y	UTY
	6	28663545	SCAND3	TRIM27
	1	52616167	ZFYVE9	CC2D1B
	1	52616219	ZFYVE9	CC2D1B
MZ8	8	71368648	NCOA2	TRAM1
	16	2141571	NTHL1	PKD1
	5	1331076	TERT	CLPTM1L
	7	150822700	AGAP3	GBX1
	7	150822698	AGAP3	GBX1

DMRs in *MAFB* are overlapping and located in the proximal upstream region.

## Enrichment of Identified DMRs in Shared Disease-Relevant Pathways

To identify enriched molecular pathways, EnrichR (Chen et al., 2013; Kuleshov et al., 2016) was used to analyze the DMRgene set from each twin pair (**Table 7**). Across the six twin pairs, comparison of the top 10 significantly enriched Kegg pathways from all differentially methylated genes identified common enrichment of the "Hippo Signaling Pathway" in three pairs (MZ1, MZ3 and MZ8) and "Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells" in two pairs (MZ1 and MZ3). Interestingly, pathway analysis using only our candidate genes identified enrichment in Hippo signaling in MZ1 (BMP4, FZD2, WNT7A, CTNNB1, GDF6, and SMAD7) and Wnt signaling in MZ3 (CREBBP, WNT9B, and WNT3). Additionally, we found that the combined set of genes identified as differentially methylated in any twin pair (set of 1,234 genes) was significantly enriched in the terms Osteoblast differentiation (p < 6.6E-8), and Neural crest migration (p < 4.1E-4) (**Supplementary Figure 4**).

## Between-Group Analyses Identified Additional DMRs

Group level DNA methylation differences between NSCLP cases and controls were also examined. Unlike the within-pair discordant MZ twin design, between-group DNA methylation differences can be attributed to both genetic and epigenetic factors. This analysis identified significantly different methylation regions only in the genes KCNAB3, NWD1, IFLTD1, and TRBJ2-3. No DMRs in NSCLP candidate genes were identified in this analysis.

### DISCUSSION

This is the first comprehensive study of epigenetic differences in MZ twins discordant for NSCLP. This epigenome-wide study was performed using saliva DNA from six male MZ twin pairs discordant for NSCLP to determine if differences in DNA methylation could explain the discordant NSCLP phenotype. Results from this study provide novel and exciting findings which point to the importance of methylation in craniofacial development.

We found regional methylation differences in a number of genes in each twin pair (range 61–349). Less than 3% (37 out of 1,338) of these genes were differentially methylated in more than one twin pair. A single gene, TRIM27, was differentially methylated in three pairs. TRIM27 has not been previously associated with clefting or craniofacial development but has been shown to be differentially methylated in prostate and lung cancers

TABLE 4   [	ABLE 4   Differentially methylated positions in candidate genes identified in three NSCLP twin pairs.							
MZ pair	Gene	Chr	Position	Delta methylation	Genomic feature*	Distance to TSS (kb) <sup>#</sup>	Candidate group <sup>&amp;</sup>	
MZ1	TP63	3	189509045	0.80	In (alt. promoter)	1.6	AGV	
	WNT7A	3	13787881	-0.78	IGR	133	AGV	
	MAFB	20	39373248	-0.84	IGR	-55	AGV	
	GDF6	8	96365815	0.85	IGR	807	AGV	
MZ2	MAF	16	79211133	0.63	IGR	400	AGV	
	FBN1	15	48849784	-0.78	In	88	SCLP	
MZ6	SOX9	17	71010900	-0.59	IGR	890	SCLP	

\*In, Intron; IGR, intergenic region; #TSS, transcriptional start site; Genome assembly, GRCh37/hg19; <sup>&</sup>AGV, associated genetic variant; SCLP, syndromic cleft lip and palate.

#### **TABLE 5** | Top ten differentially methylated regions in each NSCLP twin pair.

	Chr	Start	End	# CpGs	Gene 1	Gene2
MZ1	10	133992228	133994340	25	DPYSL4	JAKMIP3
	1	231475643	231476069	20	EXOC8	SPRTN
	2	206723874	206723953	6	NRP2	INO80D
	22	30309896	30310188	9	HORMAD2	MTMR3
	2	95537267	95537391	11	TEKT4	
	17	46632154	46632211	7	HOXB3	
	4	185251292	185251345	7	ENPP6	IRF2
	9	136405836	136405917	6	ADAMTSL2	FAM163B
	10	69664114	69664588	7	SIRT1	HERC4
	17	35285044	35285218	9	LHX1	MRM1
MZ2	12	4141672	4141751	5	CCND2	PARP11
	19	38803473	38804151	5	YIF1B	C19orf33
	7	2832917	2832990	10	GNA12	AMZ1
	17	14358178	14358230	4	HS3ST3B1	PMP22
	17	57860285	57860352	7	VMP1	TUBD1
	2	223481094	223481197	7	FARSB	SGPP2
	6	158619635	158619734	6	TULP4	GTF2H5
	21	19274639	19274704	7	CHODL	C21orf91
	12	64216292	64216411	6	SRGAP1	TMEM5
	2	131852087	131852175	6	FAM168B	
MZ3	15	41104483	41104658	7	DNAJC17	
	1	45280056	45280131	8	TCTEX1D4	PTCH2
	1	11178496	11178611	8	ANGPTL7	EXOSC10
	22	19825520	19825636	6	C22orf29	TBX1
	11	44359724	44359809	6	CD82	ALX4
	16	85033868	85033924	4	ZDHHC7	CRISPLD2
	7	137154759	137154861	5	PTN	DGKI
	12	69069932	69070032	5	NUP107	RAP1B
	17	48520295	48520396	6	ACSF2	CHAD
	17	77638489	77639656	6	RBFOX3	ENPP7
MZ6	6	169977358	169977440	12	THBS2	WDR27
	19	2960100	2960479	11	TLE6	ZNF77
	19	13129284	13129382	6	NFIX	LYL1
	6	28945493	28945566	10	TRIM27	ZNF311
	16	15083564	15083666	9	PDXDC1	NTAN1
	8	54442087	54442157	8	OPRK1	ATP6V1H
	22	30617390	30617470	7	LIF	HORMAD2
	14	71721206	71721284	9	SIPA1L1	PCNX
	12	3948535	3948614	9	EFCAB4B	PARP11
	6	24647358	24647446	8	KIAA0319	
MZ7	6	28663014	28665327	29	SCAND3	TRIM27
	Y	15676834	15676905	7	TMSB4Y	UTY
	6	25882373	25882434	8	SLC17A3	SLC17A2
	3	169377635	169378355	11	MECOM	ACTRT3
	1	154908464	154908782	8	PMVK	
	5	15008177	15008861	7	FBXL7	ANKH
	17	56634655	56634821	8	SEPT4	TEX14
	Х	2994672	2994725	7	ARSF	MXRA5
	9	138339180	138339399	8	PPP1R26	OLFM1
	8	141220160	141220218	6	TRAPPC9	C8orf17
MZ8	10	135343183	135343281	16	CYP2E1	SYCE1
	11	71210236	71210315	9	KRTAP5-7	NADSYN1
	9	139546440	139546629	11	NOTCH1	EGFL7

(Continued)

#### TABLE 5 | Continued

Chr	r Start	End	# CpGs	Gene 1	Gene2
22	46279171	46279335	9	WNT7B	ATXN10
5	171541730	171541852	11	FBXW11	STK10
3	107416865	107416968	9	BBX	CD47
2	68907054	68907187	7	ARHGAP25	PROKR1
7	5205136	5205268	7	WIPI2	RBAK
22	23605028	23605090	9	BCR	IGLL1
1	64135554	64135639	6	ROR1	PGM1

(Ji et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). Interestingly, differential methylation of TRIM27 was reported in a study comparing cleft lip only to cleft palate only (Sharp et al., 2017).

When we restricted our analysis to NSCLP candidate genes, evidence of methylation differences was found, suggesting their possible contribution to NSCLP through epigenetic variation. Of the 49 candidate genes which exhibited differential methylation, only two, MAFB and ZEB2, were differentially methylated in more than one twin pair. Importantly, the changes were all in the same direction, suggesting that these two genes are epigenetically important in orofacial development. This provides additional support for the previously reported association between NSCLP and MAFB, which is expressed in palatal shelves (Beaty et al., 2010). ZEB2 is involved in embryonic development of facial features (Ghoumid et al., 2013; Funato and Nakamura, 2017), and Zeb2 mutant mice exhibit alterations in neural crest migration and midfacial clefting (Van de Putte et al., 2003; Miyoshi et al., 2006). The lack of significant gene overlap points to the underlying heterogeneity of NSCLP.

Interestingly, although the majority of the identified DMRs do not lie in genes previously associated with NSCLP, pathway enrichment analysis revealed overrepresentation of the Hippo pathway in three of the six twin pairs. This signaling pathway was initially discovered as a key regulator of tissue growth in flies and regulates organ size and tissue repair by controlling cell proliferation, survival, mobility, stemness, and differentiation. The Hippo pathway is tightly regulated by both intrinsic and extrinsic signals, such as mechanical force, cell-cell contact, polarity, energy status, stress, and many diffusible hormonal factors. Studies have shown evidence of crosstalk between the Hippo pathway and other key signaling pathways, such as Wnt signaling (Li et al., 2019), and has recently been associated with craniofacial development (Wang et al., 2016; Wang and Martin, 2017; Sun et al., 2018) and mouse secondary palate formation (Goodwin et al., 2020). The common enrichment in this pathway is not due to alterations in a small subset of similar genes in all pairs (see Supplementary Figure 5). Rather, there is pathway convergence through alterations in MZ pair-specific methylation in different genes. A comparison of our results with a recent orofacial clefting case-control report revealed a little overlap (Alvizi et al., 2017). Interestingly, this overlap includes the NSCLP candidate gene MAFB (Supplementary Table 3). The different findings between these studies provides further

evidence for complex genetic and epigenetics involvement in the etiology of NSCLP.

It is well known that genetic variation in a single gene can cause syndromic cases of orofacial clefting. It is therefore possible that extreme epigenetic variation in a few key genes could produce a clefting phenotype. However, it is more likely that the effects of most DNA methylation changes are subtle, similar to some noncoding genetic variants, and that multiple changes act in concert to ultimately contribute to non-sydromic orofacial clefting, as discussed above. The observation of DMPs in genes previously implicated in NSCLP, such as TP63, TBX1, CRISPLD2, CREBBP, and BMP4, suggest that de novo epimutations, which could imitate genetic mutations (Martin et al., 2005), are likely involved in the pathogenesis of NSCLP. Since we found methylation changes in several NSCLP candidate genes in each affected twin, we propose that an "epigenetic load" mechanism is involved in the dysregulation of orofacial morphogenesis, rather than a single dysfunctional NSCLP gene. This is consistent with the accepted multifactorial inheritance of NSCLP (Carter, 1969; Hecht et al., 1991; Howe et al., 2018). Based on the important role of epigenetic mechanisms in regulating gene expression, it is likely that methylomic variation could mediate disease susceptibility by cumulative altered gene expression, similar to genetic variation in regulatory regions of the genome.

The use of saliva as a proxy for the lip and palatal tissues is justified by their common developmental origin (Patel and Hoffman, 2014). Salivary glands, lip, and palate all arise from neural crest cells, making cells from saliva a developmentally appropriate proxy for these tissues. In addition, saliva is a good source of high quality DNA for use in epigenomics (Thompson et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2015) and has been deemed more informative than blood for DNA methylation analysis (Lowe et al., 2013) in spite of the possibility of bacterial contamination resulting in non-human reads in human sequencing data (Samson et al., 2020).

Our sample size, while small, exceeds the number of twins in most epigenetic studies of birth defects with most being based on a single discordant twin pair (Weksberg et al., 2002; Rall et al., 2011; Tierling et al., 2011; Jin et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2015; Lu et al., 2017; Lyu et al., 2018). NSCLP has an estimated frequency of 1 in 700; thus, discordant MZ twin pairs occur infrequently but are rich source of information.

The stringent parameters used in this study, together with the validation of selected DMPs by either bisulfite sequencing, pyrosequencing, or methylation arrays, suggest TABLE 6 | Differentially methylated regions identified in candidate genes.

	Start	End# CpG	Delta methylation	Gene	distance to TSS	Genomic feature*	Candidate group <sup>&amp;</sup>
MZ11	2976318	297910310	0.50	PRDM16	-8	IGR	MGI
1	55359014	553591535	-0.51	DHCR24	-6	IGR	SCLP
1	93425751	934258035	0.50	RPL5	128	In	SCLP
1	243451450	2434515444	-0.53	SDCCAG8	32	In	MGI
2	114099657	1141003026	-0.59	PAX8	-63	IGR	AGV
3	13787881	137879714	-0.78	WNT7A	134	IGR	AGV
3	41282685	412832684	-0.52	CTNNB1	42	IGR	AGV
3	129328813	1293291188	0.54	PLXND1	-3	IGR	MGI
3	189509045	1895095354	0.58	TP63	160	In	AGV
5	149861203	1498614154	-0.51	NDST1	-26	IGR	MGI
8	96365815	963658694	0.85	GDF6	807	IGR	SCLP
10	131555549	1315558496	-0.51	MGMT	290	In	AGV
12	99652840	996528965	0.54	APAF1	614	In	MGI
12	125008438	1250117835	0.55	NCOR2	30	In	SCLP
13	106929698	1069302854	0.55	EFNB2	257	IGR	MGI
14	37123643	371237005	-0.62	PAX9	-7	IGR	MGI
14	53976736	539769235	0.64	BMP4	447	IGR	AGV
15	26877653	268778594	0.54	GABRB3	140	In	MGI
16	79361536	793616375	0.60	MAF	273	IGR	AGV
17	42646731	426467965	-0.66	FZD2	12	IGR	MGI
17	72038593	720406385	0.53	RPL38	-160	IGR	MGI
18	46345407	463455535	0.52	SMAD7	132	In	MGI
22	34207931	342113294	-0.50	LARGE	107	In	AGV
MZ21	87668656	876687255	-0.63	HS2ST1	288	IGR	MGI
1	164904923	1649049784	-0.54	PBX1	376	IGR	AGV
3	57741006	577431715	0.50	SLMAP	0	Prom	MGI
4	157863234	1578633284	-0.55	PDGFC	29	In	AGV
6	44424513	444245704	-0.54	RUNX2	-872	IGR	MGI
14	29568885	295695974	-0.56	FOXG1	334	IGR	SCLP
MZ32	145216430	1452167095	-0.58	ZEB2	62	In	MGI
5	176551269	1765513574	-0.52	NSD1	-10	IGR	SCLP
9	17005412	170055224	0.58	BNC2	-135	IGR	MGI
16	4000600	40006524	0.54	CREBBP/ADCY9	-70/166	IGR	AGV
16	85033868	850339244	0.73	CRISPLD2	180	In	AGV
17	44918054	449198024	-0.53	WNT3/WNT9B	-23/-10	IGR	AGV
22	19825520	198256366	-0.62	TBX1	81	In	AGV
22	46438139	464382034	0.51	WNT7B	-65	IGR	AGV
MZ61	156095505	1560956085	0.63	LMNA	11	In	MGI
2	43859116	438592215	0.52	THADA	-36	IGR	AGV
9	129250270	1292503326	-0.57	LMX1B	-126	IGR	SCLP
11	61143440	611435494	0.56	TMEM216	-16	IGR	SCLP
15	28338841	283388985	0.50	OCA2	6	In	MGI
20	39319752	393209396	-0.68	MAFB	-2	Prom	AGV
MZ713	22473353	224734475	0.51	FGF9	228	IGR	MGI
2	158607860	1586080196	0.55	ACVR1	124	In	MGI
21	43048389	430485686	0.50	RIPK4	139	IGR	SCLP
MZ820	39320842	393210114	-0.59	MAFB	-3	IGR	AGV
17	8869579	88696508	-0.51	PIK3R5	-1	Prom	AGV
16	68829893	688299584	0.51	CDH1	59	In	AGV
2	145247233	1452472934	0.60	ZEB2	31	In	MGI

TSS, transcriptional start site; Genome assembly, GRCh37/hg19; \*In, Intron; IGR, intergenic region; Prom, promoter; <sup>&</sup>AGV, associated genetic variant; SCLP, syndromic cleft lip and palate; MGI, Mouse Genome Informatics (orofacial cleft phenotype).

TABLE 7	Pathways enriched for	differentially methylated	genes*.
---------	-----------------------	---------------------------	---------

Index	Name	Adjusted p-value
MZ1		
1	Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells	0.009879
2	Thyroid hormone signaling pathway	0.01003
3	Longevity regulating pathway	0.0102
4	Transcriptional misregulation in cancer	0.01558
5	Small cell lung cancer	0.01709
6	Hippo signaling pathway	0.02574
7	Longevity regulating pathway	0.0261
MZ3		
1	Wnt signaling pathway	0.0008854
2	Melanogenesis	0.001263
3	Pathways in cancer	0.001309
4	Insulin secretion	0.00152
5	Glucagon signaling pathway	0.002417
6	Pancreatic secretion	0.002557
7	Cushing syndrome	0.002559
8	Basal cell carcinoma	0.002761
9	Salivary secretion	0.01002
10	cAMP signaling pathway	0.01172
11	Aldosterone synthesis and secretion	0.01216
12	Gastric cancer	0.01276
13	Hippo signaling pathway	0.01431
14	Gastric acid secretion	0.02412
15	Thyroid hormone synthesis	0.02429
16	Oocyte meiosis	0.02514
17	Relaxin signaling pathway	0.02519
18	Vascular smooth muscle contraction	0.02559
19	Cell cycle	0.02588
20	p53 signaling pathway	0.02664
21	Estrogen signaling pathway	0.02739
22	Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells	0.02791
23	Apelin signaling pathway	0.0287
24	Colorectal cancer	0.02993
25	Adrenergic signaling in cardiomyocytes	0.03086
MZ8		
1	Hippo signaling pathway	0.03994

\*No significant enrichment in any Kegg pathways was identified in MZ2, MZ6, and MZ7.

that these are likely real differences rather than reflecting experimental noise. Amongst the identified methylation differences between co-twins, we propose that many of them underlie the discordance in NSCLP but recognize that there will be some that may reflect individual exposure to environmental factors unrelated to NSCLP, or perhaps non-craniofacial phenotypic differences. However, identification of DMRs in NSCLP candidate genes and the pathway analyses of the unbiased genes containing DMRs suggest that these DMRs play an etiologic role in NSCLP.

In summary, we show for the first time that MZ twins discordant for NSCLP have differential methylation. The findings from this novel study demonstrate that methylation may play a significant role in the etiology of NSCLP and, more importantly, differential methylation could account for the "reduced penetrance" seen in many multiplex families (Hecht et al., 1991; Hecht and Blanton, 1998). Since the discordant twins share age, sex and socioeconomic status and do not exhibit CLP-related genotype variability (as analyzed by WGS), our data highlights the role of nonshared environmental and stochastic factors in the etiology of epigenetic differences and resulting discordant NSCLP phenotypes. Importantly, we find methylation differences in genes previously implicated by NSCLP genetic studies. Moreover, our data suggest that although DNA methylation at some CpG sites is consistently altered in affected twins, most differences are individual-specific, revealing epigenetic heterogeneity between NSCLP individuals. This suggests that each affected individual/family may have their own unique epigenetic signature making etiologic identification complex. However, the differences converge at the level of potentially affected pathways including the Hippo signaling pathway. Our findings complement a recent NSCLP case-control study suggesting that epigenetic mechanisms underlie NSCLP (Alvizi et al., 2017). Future studies are aimed at defining epigenetic changes in sporadic cases, as well as in multiplex NSCLP families in which DNA methylation patterns can be traced through multiple generations.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data presented in the study are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository, accession number GSE173211.

### **ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by this study was approved by the University of Texas Health Science Center Committee for Protection of Human Subjects (HSC-MS-03-090) and the University of Miami Human Subject Research Office (IRB #20100505). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JY, JH, and SB: conceptualization, investigation, writing—original draft, and writing—review and editing. JY and SS: formal analysis and methodology. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### FUNDING

This work was supported by National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research Grant R01DE011931-17 (JH and SB).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Anastasia Vedenko, Ian Ergui, Sathesh Sivasankaran, and Derek Van Booven for their research and analytical assistance.

#### REFERENCES

- Alvizi, L., Ke, X., Brito, L. A., Seselgyte, R., Moore, G. E., Stanier, P., et al. (2017). Differential methylation is associated with non-syndromic cleft lip and palate and contributes to penetrance effects. *Sci. Rep.* 7:2441. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-02721-0
- Assenov, Y., Muller, F., Lutsik, P., Walter, J., Lengauer, T., and Bock, C. (2014). Comprehensive analysis of DNA methylation data with RnBeads. *Nat. Methods* 11, 1138–1140. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3115
- Beaty, T. H., Murray, J. C., Marazita, M. L., Munger, R. G., Ruczinski, I., Hetmanski, J. B., et al. (2010). A genome-wide association study of cleft lip with and without cleft palate identifies risk variants near MAFB and ABCA4. *Nat. Genet.* 42, 525–529. doi: 10.1038/ng.580
- Butali, A., Little, J., Chevrier, C., Cordier, S., Steegers-Theunissen, R., Jugessur, A., et al. (2013). Folic acid supplementation use and the MTHFR C677T polymorphism in orofacial clefts etiology: an individual participant data pooled-analysis. *Birth Defects Res. A Clin. Mol. Teratol.* 97, 509–514. doi: 10.1002/bdra.23133
- Carter, C. O. (1969). Genetics of common disorders. *Br. Med. Bull.* 25, 52–57. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.bmb.a070671
- Chen, C. P., Lin, S. P., Chern, S. R., Wu, P. S., Su, J. W., and Wang, W. (2013). "A boy with cleft palate, hearing impairment, microcephaly, micrognathia and psychomotor retardation and a microdeletion in 6p25.3 involving the DUSP22 gene", in *Genetic Counseling*, Vol. 1, Geneva, 243–246.
- Chiquet, B. T., Lidral, A. C., Stal, S., Mulliken, J. B., Moreno, L. M., Arcos-Burgos, M., et al. (2007). CRISPLD2: a novel NSCLP candidate gene. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 16, 2241–2248. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddm176
- Chiquet, B. T., Henry, R., Burt, A., Mulliken, J. B., Stal, S., Blanton, S. H., et al. (2011). Nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate: CRISPLD genes and the folate gene pathway connection. *Birth Defects Res. A Clin. Mol. Teratol.* 91, 44–49. doi: 10.1002/bdra.20737.
- Christensen, K. (1999). The 20th century danish facial cleft populationepidemiological and genetic-epidemiological studies. *Cleft Palate Craniofac. J.* 36, 96–104. doi: 10.1597/1545-1569\_1999\_036\_0096\_tcdfcp\_2.3.co\_2
- Cox, L. L., Cox, T. C., Moreno Uribe, L. M., Zhu, Y., Richter, C. T., Nidey, N., et al. (2018). Mutations in the epithelial cadherin-p120-catenin complex cause mendelian non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 102, 1143–1157. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.04.009
- Cvjetkovic, N., Maili, L., Weymouth, K. S., Hashmi, S. S., Mulliken, J. B., Topczewski, J., et al. (2015). Regulatory variant in FZD6 gene contributes to nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate in an african-american family. *Mol. Genet. Genomic Med.* 3, 440–451. doi: 10.1002/mgg3.155
- Czyz, W., Morahan, J. M., Ebers, G. C., and Ramagopalan, S. V. (2012). Genetic, environmental and stochastic factors in monozygotic twin discordance with a focus on epigenetic differences. *BMC Med.* 10:93. doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-10-93
- Du, W., He, D., Wang, Y., Liu, H., Liao, C., Fei, W., et al. (2017). Upper airway changes after mandibular setback and/or advancement genioplasty in obese patients. J. Oral. Maxillofac. Surg. 75, 2202–2210. doi: 10.1016/j.joms.2017.04. 012
- Eckhardt, F., Lewin, J., Cortese, R., Rakyan, V. K., Attwood, J., Burger, M., et al. (2006). DNA methylation profiling of human chromosomes 6, 20 and 22. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 1378–1385. doi: 10.1038/ng1909
- Esteller, M. (2008). Epigenetics in cancer. N. Engl. J. Med. 358, 1148–1159. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra072067
- Feinberg, A. P., Irizarry, R. A., Fradin, D., Aryee, M. J., Murakami, P., Aspelund, T., et al. (2010). Personalized epigenomic signatures that are stable over time and covary with body mass index. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 2:49ra67. doi: 10.1126/ scitranslmed.3001262

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2021. 656865/full#supplementary-material

- Fish, J. L., Albertson, C., Harris, M. P., Lozanoff, S., Marcucio, R. S., Richtsmeier, J. T., et al. (2017). The society for craniofacial genetics and developmental biology 39th annual meeting. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* 173, 985–1006. doi: 10. 1002/ajmg.a.38096
- Funato, N., and Nakamura, M. (2017). Identification of shared and unique gene families associated with oral clefts. *Int. J. Oral Sci.* 9, 104–109. doi: 10.1038/ijos. 2016.56
- Ghoumid, J., Drevillon, L., Alavi-Naini, S. M., Bondurand, N., Rio, M., Briand-Suleau, A., et al. (2013). ZEB2 zinc-finger missense mutations lead to hypomorphic alleles and a mild Mowat-Wilson syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 22, 2652–2661. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddt114
- Goodwin, A. F., Chen, C. P., Vo, N. T., Bush, J. O., and Klein, O. D. (2020). YAP/TAZ regulate elevation and bone formation of the mouse secondary palate. *J. Dent. Res.* 99, 1387–1396. doi: 10.1177/0022034520935372
- Grosen, D., Bille, C., Petersen, I., Skytthe, A., Hjelmborg, J., Pedersen, J. K., et al. (2011). Risk of oral clefts in twins. *Epidemiology* 22, 313–319. doi: 10.1097/EDE. 0b013e3182125f9c
- Hecht, J. T., Yang, P., Michels, V. V., and Buetow, K. H. (1991). Complex segregation analysis of nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 49, 674–681.
- Hecht, J. T., and Blanton, S. H. (1998). "Orofacial clefting," in *Principles of Molecular Medicine*, ed. J. L. Jameson (Totowa, NJ: Humana Press Inc.). doi: 10.1007/978-1-59259-726-0\_121
- Howe, L. J., Lee, M. K., Sharp, G. C., Davey Smith, G., St Pourcain, B., Shaffer, J. R., et al. (2018). Investigating the shared genetics of non-syndromic cleft lip/palate and facial morphology. *PLoS Genet.* 14:e1007501. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen. 1007501
- Hu, N., Strobl-Mazzulla, P. H., and Bronner, M. E. (2014). Epigenetic regulation in neural crest development. *Dev. Biol.* 396, 159–168. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2014. 09.034
- Ji, X., Lin, L., Shen, S., Dong, X., Chen, C., Li, Y., et al. (2020). Epigeneticsmoking interaction reveals histologically heterogeneous effects of TRIM27 DNA methylation on overall survival among early-stage NSCLC patients. *Mol. Oncol.* 14, 2759–2774. doi: 10.1002/1878-0261.12785
- Jin, M., Zhu, S., Hu, P., Liu, D., Li, Q., Li, Z., et al. (2014). Genomic and epigenomic analyses of monozygotic twins discordant for congenital renal agenesis. Am. J. Kidney Dis. 64, 119–122. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2014.01.423
- Johnson, M. D., Mueller, M., Game, L., and Aitman, T. J. (2012). Single nucleotide analysis of cytosine methylation by whole-genome shotgun bisulfite sequencing. *Curr. Protoc. Mol. Biol.* 99, 21.23.1–21.23.28. doi: 10.1002/ 0471142727.mb2123s99
- Kaminsky, Z. A., Tang, T., Wang, S. C., Ptak, C., Oh, G. H., Wong, A. H., et al. (2009). DNA methylation profiles in monozygotic and dizygotic twins. *Nat. Genet.* 41, 240–245. doi: 10.1038/ng.286
- Krueger, F., and Andrews, S. R. (2011). Bismark: a flexible aligner and methylation caller for bisulfite-seq applications. *Bioinformatics* 27, 1571–1572. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btr167
- Kuleshov, M. V., Jones, M. R., Rouillard, A. D., Fernandez, N. F., Duan, Q., Wang, Z., et al. (2016). Enrichr: a comprehensive gene set enrichment analysis web server 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W90–W97. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw377
- Leslie, E. J., Carlson, J. C., Shaffer, J. R., Feingold, E., Wehby, G., Laurie, C. A., et al. (2016a). A multi-ethnic genome-wide association study identifies novel loci for non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate on 2p24.2, 17q23 and 19q13. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 25, 2862–2872. doi: <doi>
- Leslie, E. J., Liu, H., Carlson, J. C., Shaffer, J. R., Feingold, E., Wehby, G., et al. (2016b). A genome-wide association study of nonsyndromic cleft palate identifies an etiologic missense variant in GRHL3. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 98, 744–754. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2016.02.014
- Leslie, E. J., Taub, M. A., Liu, H., Steinberg, K. M., Koboldt, D. C., Zhang, Q., et al. (2015). Identification of functional variants for cleft lip with or without cleft

palate in or near PAX7, FGFR2, and NOG by targeted sequencing of GWAS loci. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 96, 397-411. doi: 10.1016/j.ajhg.2015.01.004

- Letra, A., Maili, L., Mulliken, J. B., Buchanan, E., Blanton, S. H., and Hecht, J. T. (2014). Further evidence suggesting a role for variation in ARHGAP29 variants in nonsyndromic cleft lip/palate. *Birth Defects Res. A Clin. Mol. Teratol.* 100, 679–685. doi: 10.1002/bdra.23286
- Letra, A., Silva, R. M., Motta, L. G., Blanton, S. H., Hecht, J. T., Granjeirol, J. M., et al. (2012). Association of MMP3 and TIMP2 promoter polymorphisms with nonsyndromic oral clefts. *Birth Defects Res. A Clin. Mol. Teratol.* 94, 540–548. doi: 10.1002/bdra.23026
- Li, N., Lu, N., and Xie, C. (2019). The Hippo and Wnt signalling pathways: crosstalk during neoplastic progression in gastrointestinal tissue. *FEBS J.* 286, 3745–3756. doi: 10.1111/febs.15017
- Lowe, R., Gemma, C., Beyan, H., Hawa, M. I., Bazeos, A., Leslie, R. D., et al. (2013). Buccals are likely to be a more informative surrogate tissue than blood for epigenome-wide association studies. *Epigenetics* 8, 445–454. doi: 10.4161/epi. 24362
- Lu, P., Wang, P., Li, L., Xu, C., Liu, J. C., Guo, X., et al. (2017). Exomic and epigenomic analyses in a pair of monozygotic twins discordant for cryptorchidism. *Twin Res. Hum. Genet.* 20, 349–354. doi: 10.1017/thg.2017.33
- Ludwig, K. U., Bohmer, A. C., Bowes, J., Nikolic, M., Ishorst, N., Wyatt, N., et al. (2017). Imputation of orofacial clefting data identifies novel risk loci and sheds light on the genetic background of cleft lip +/- cleft palate and cleft palate only. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 26, 829–842. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddx012
- Lyu, G., Zhang, C., Ling, T., Liu, R., Zong, L., Guan, Y., et al. (2018). Genome and epigenome analysis of monozygotic twins discordant for congenital heart disease. *BMC Genomics* 19:428. doi: 10.1186/s12864-018-4814-7
- Martin, D. I., Ward, R., and Suter, C. M. (2005). Germline epimutation: a basis for epigenetic disease in humans. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1054, 68–77. doi: 10.1196/annals.1345.009
- Miyoshi, T., Maruhashi, M., Van De Putte, T., Kondoh, H., Huylebroeck, D., and Higashi, Y. (2006). Complementary expression pattern of Zfhx1 genes Sip1 and deltaEF1 in the mouse embryo and their genetic interaction revealed by compound mutants. *Dev. Dyn.* 235, 1941–1952. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.20799
- Morris, V. E., Hashmi, S. S., Zhu, L., Maili, L., Urbina, C., Blackwell, S., et al. (2020). Evidence for craniofacial enhancer variation underlying nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate. *Hum. Genet.* 139, 1261–1272. doi: 10.1007/s00439-020-02169-9
- Ollikainen, M., Ismail, K., Gervin, K., Kyllonen, A., Hakkarainen, A., Lundbom, J., et al. (2015). Genome-wide blood DNA methylation alterations at regulatory elements and heterochromatic regions in monozygotic twins discordant for obesity and liver fat. *Clin. Epigenetics* 7:39. doi: 10.1186/s13148-015-0073-5
- Patel, V. N., and Hoffman, M. P. (2014). Salivary gland development: a template for regeneration. Semin. Cell Dev. Biol. 2, 52–60. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2013.12.001
- Rall, K., Barresi, G., Walter, M., Poths, S., Haebig, K., Schaeferhoff, K., et al. (2011). A combination of transcriptome and methylation analyses reveals embryologically-relevant candidate genes in MRKH patients. *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* 6:32. doi: 10.1186/1750-1172-6-32
- Samson, C. A., Whitford, W., Snell, R. G., Jacobsen, J. C., and Lehnert, K. (2020). Contaminating DNA in human saliva alters the detection of variants from whole genome sequencing. *Sci. Rep.* 10:19255. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-76022-4
- Seelan, R. S., Pisano, M., and Greene, R. M. (2019). Nucleic acid methylation and orofacial morphogenesis. *Birth Defects Res.* 111, 1593–1610. doi: 10.1002/bdr2. 1564
- Sharp, G. C., Ho, K., Davies, A., Stergiakouli, E., Humphries, K., McArdle, W., et al. (2017). Distinct DNA methylation profiles in subtypes of orofacial cleft. *Clin. Epigenetics* 9:63. doi: 10.1186/s13148-017-0362-2

- Smith, A. K., Kilaru, V., Klengel, T., Mercer, K. B., Bradley, B., Conneely, K. N., et al. (2015). DNA extracted from saliva for methylation studies of psychiatric traits: evidence tissue specificity and relatedness to brain. *Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* 168B, 36–44. doi: 10.1002/ajmg.b.32278
- Sun, Z., da Fontoura, C. S. G., Moreno, M., Holton, N. E., Sweat, M., Sweat, Y., et al. (2018). FoxO6 regulates Hippo signaling and growth of the craniofacial complex. *PLoS Genet.* 14:e1007675. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen. 1007675
- Thompson, T. M., Sharfi, D., Lee, M., Yrigollen, C. M., Naumova, O. Y., and Grigorenko, E. L. (2013). Comparison of whole-genome DNA methylation patterns in whole blood, saliva, and lymphoblastoid cell lines. *Behav. Genet.* 43, 168–176. doi: 10.1007/s10519-012-9579-1
- Tierling, S., Souren, N. Y., Reither, S., Zang, K. D., Meng-Hentschel, J., Leitner, D., et al. (2011). DNA methylation studies on imprinted loci in a male monozygotic twin pair discordant for Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Clin. Genet.* 79, 546–553. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-0004.2010.01482.x
- Van de Putte, T., Maruhashi, M., Francis, A., Nelles, L., Kondoh, H., Huylebroeck, D., et al. (2003). Mice lacking ZFHX1B, the gene that codes for Smadinteracting protein-1, reveal a role for multiple neural crest cell defects in the etiology of Hirschsprung disease-mental retardation syndrome. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 72, 465–470. doi: 10.1086/346092
- Wallenstein, M. B., Shaw, G. M., Yang, W., and Carmichael, S. L. (2013). Periconceptional nutrient intakes and risks of orofacial clefts in California. *Pediatr. Res.* 74, 457–465. doi: 10.1038/pr.2013.115
- Wang, J., and Martin, J. F. (2017). Hippo pathway: an emerging regulator of craniofacial and dental development. J. Dent. Res. 96, 1229–1237. doi: 10.1177/ 0022034517719886
- Wang, J., Xiao, Y., Hsu, C. W., Martinez-Traverso, I. M., Zhang, M., Bai, Y., et al. (2016). Yap and Taz play a crucial role in neural crest-derived craniofacial development. *Development* 143, 504–515. doi: 10.1242/dev.12 6920
- Wang, L., Wang, B., and Quan, Z. (2020). Identification of aberrantly methylated differentially expressed genes and gene ontology in prostate cancer. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 21, 744–758. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2019.10876
- Wei, T., Sun, H., Hu, B., Yang, J., Qiao, C., and Yan, M. (2015). Exome sequencing and epigenetic analysis of twins who are discordant for congenital cataract. *Twin Res. Hum. Genet.* 18, 393–398. doi: 10.1017/thg.2015.34
- Weksberg, R., Shuman, C., Caluseriu, O., Smith, A. C., Fei, Y. L., Nishikawa, J., et al. (2002). Discordant KCNQ1OT1 imprinting in sets of monozygotic twins discordant for Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 11, 1317–1325. doi: 10.1093/hmg/11.11.1317
- Wu, H., Xu, T., Feng, H., Chen, L., Li, B., Yao, B., et al. (2015). Detection of differentially methylated regions from whole-genome bisulfite sequencing data without replicates. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43:e141. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv715
- Ziller, M. J., Hansen, K. D., Meissner, A., and Aryee, M. J. (2015). Coverage recommendations for methylation analysis by whole-genome bisulfite sequencing. *Nat. Methods* 12, 230–232. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3152

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Young, Slifer, Hecht and Blanton. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.