Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijppaw

# How many threatened lice are there? An approximation to the red list of the Spanish Phthiraptera

## Jesús M. Pérez

Departamento de Biología Animal, Biología Vegetal y Ecología, Área de Zoología, Universidad de Jaén, Campus Las Lagunillas, s.n., E-23071, Jaén, Spain

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
Keywords: Birds Conservation Lice Mammals Phthiraptera Spain	Although the idea of conserving parasites as part of biodiversity is not new, these in general and lice in particular, are not included in the threatened list of invertebrate fauna. Assuming that the conservation status of a lice species is similar to that of its host, the number of threatened lice within the Spanish entomofauna was estimated based on the known host-lice assemblages. The lice parasitizing many of the Spanish birds and mammals are unknown. Overall, I found 6 extinct (EX) species; 4 critically endangered (CR); 15 endangered (EN), 7 vulnerable (VU) and 1 species near threatened (NT), at regional level. Since the status of hosts varies through time and space, it, (together with those of their lice, must be periodically updated. In addition to a number of reasons that justify the conservation of parasites, lice deserve being conserved, particularly, because of their scientific value.

## 1. Introduction

Parasites constitute a major part of biodiversity (Price, 1980; Dobson et al., 2008) and, consequently, an important component of global biomass (Kuris et al., 2008). Moreover, as trophic regulators (Dougherty et al., 2015) they play an important ecological role in Nature providing important ecosystem functions and services (Rubio-Godoy and Pérez-Ponce de León, 2023), are indicators of ecosystem quality (Lymbery and Smit, 2023), and have their own evolutionary value (Windsor, 1995). So, despite the fact that parasites may be confounded as pests (Pérez et al., 2006), they deserve to be conserved according to the World Conservation Strategy (IUCN, UNEP, IUCN UNEP WWF, 1980). Information on parasites may be used to highlight multiple threats to global biodiversity (Gagne et al., 2021). Parasites are part of the "hidden diversity" and most of them exert apparently negligible negative effects on their host, and, therefore, they may be considered as non-pathogenic (Rubio-Godoy and Pérez-Ponce de León, 2023). Recently, Kwak (2018) defined the term holistic conservation as a subfield of conservation biology oriented to conserving threatened parasites with their hosts.

Parasitism involves exploiting small, discontinuous and ephemeral environments: for a parasite each host constitutes a patch of habitat within the matrix of an inhospitable environment. Therefore, colonizing new hosts may become very difficult and hazardous (Price, 1980; Combes, 2001). Some parasite species, particularly those host-specific, may become more scarce and, therefore, more endangered than their hosts themselves (Pérez et al., 2013). But, if a co-extinction risk for both parasites and hosts exists (Rózsa, 1992; Stork and Lyal, 1993), when a hosts species is reintroduced in an area, following a local extinction, parasites may be co-reintroduced and co-recovered as well (Jørgensen, 2014; Pérez et al., 2023). Nevertheless, such parasite conservation success events are often unintentional (Gustafsson et al., 2021).

The sucking and chewing lice (Insecta: Phthiraptera) are hemimetabolous insects which spend their entire lives on the bodies of their hosts. Becoming accidently dislodged from their hosts involves almost certainly death (Galloway, 2018). As host-specific parasites of birds and mammals, the host biogeographic history is to some extent a determinant of that of its lice (Lima et al., 2023). Moreover, genealogies and population genetics of lice may be used for elucidate the evolutionary and demographic history of their hosts (Whiteman and Parker, 2005). If, consequently, their conservation status depends largely on their habitat availability, that is, the conservation status of their hosts (Kwak et al., 2019), the host preening behaviour and molt, among other factors, may reduce their number and prevalence (Pérez et al., 1996; Galloway and Lamb, 2021; Bush and Clayton, 2023), exacerbating their extinction risk. Over 5300 lice species have been described: 575 of Anoplura, 3 of Rhynchophthirina, 1525 of Amblycera, 2830 of Ischnocera and 382 of Trichodectera (Price et al., 2003; Galloway, 2018; Dong, 2022).

Both at global and local scale, parasites in general and lice in particular, are not usually included within the threatened invertebrate

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2023.100903

Received 24 October 2023; Received in revised form 4 December 2023; Accepted 27 December 2023 Available online 28 December 2023

E-mail address: jperez@ujaen.es.

<sup>2213-2244/© 2024</sup> The Author. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of Australian Society for Parasitology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

fauna (Whiteman and Parker, 2005; Barea-Azcón et al., 2008; Verdú and Galante, 2009). Out of the only 70 modern insect extinctions which have been documented (Dunn, 2005), at least 9 bird lice and 1 mammal louse (which are considered as extremely host-specific) became extinct (Koh et al., 2004). Recently, six lice species were listed as co-extinct, and 40–41 were recognized as co-endangered (Rózsa and Vas, 2014). At national level, 3 lice species became extinct, 4 were considered as critically endangered, and 8 as vulnerable in New Zealand (Buckley et al., 2012). Nevertheless, these numbers may be underestimated because a lack of data on the local status of a number of bird and mammal species and many host-lice assemblages as well, particularly regarding threat-ened and/or endemic host-species.

The aim of this work is to estimate the number of threatened lice species in Spain, taking into account that this country harbours a large proportion of the European bird and mammal diversity.

#### 2. Material and methods

The conservation status of the Spanish birds and mammals was assessed according to the Red Book of Birds of Spain (Madroño et al., 2012) and to the Atlas and Red Book of terrestrial mammals of Spain (Palomo et al., 2007), which adopted the IUCN criteria (version 3.1: IUCN, 2001) (Tables 1–2). The global status of these species was updated through the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species (https://www.iucnredlist.org/es) and SEO BirdLife (https://www.seo.org/). Then, the lice species parasitizing each host species were obtained from Martín Mateo (2002; 2009), Pajot (2000) and Price et al. (2003). This information was completed through a search in the Web of Science (WOS) using as key words: "lice" + "parasitizing" + "host species" (latin name).

Searches were made for each host species individually. The status assigned to a louse species was ultimately determined by the global (worldwide) status of it/s host/s. I followed criterium 6 of Kwak et al. (2020) for the assessment of the lice conservation status: a louse species has the same status of its threatened definitive host (if a monoxenic louse) or that of all of its threatened definitive hosts (if a polyxenic louse). Lice from hosts with deficient data about their conservation status in Spain were not included in the analysis.

The list of threatened lice is based on the morphospecies concept, taking into account that this approach presumably underestimates the number of the true biological species.

## 3. Results

In Spain 622 bird and 158 terrestrial mammal species can be found (Madrono et al., 2002; Palomo et al., 2007).

## 3.1. Bird lice

Two bird species became recently extinct globally (Madroño et al., 2002) and we have no knowledge about their lice fauna. Four other bird hosts became extinct regionally but these species are not globally

#### Table 1

The status of Spanish birds (modified from Madroño et al., 2002). EX: extinct; RE: extinct at regional level (Spain); CR: critically endangered; EN: endangered; VU: vulnerable; NT: near threatened; LC: low concern; DD: defficient data.

IUCN CATEGORY	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE	
EX	2	0.3	
RE	3	0.5	
CR	11	1.8	
EN	25	4.0	
VU	43	6.9	
NT	29	4.7	
LC	484	77.8	
DD	25	4.0	
TOTAL	622	100	

#### Table 2

The status of Spanish terrestrial mammals (modified from Palomo et al., 2007). EX: extinct; RE: extinct at regional level (Spain); CR: critically endangered; EN: endangered; VU: vulnerable; NT: near threatened; LC: low concern; DD: defficient data; NE: not evaluated.

IUCN CATEGORY	NUMBER	PERCENTAGE
EX	0	0
RE	0	0
CR	2	1.3
EN	5	3.2
VU	14	8.9
NT	14	8.9
LC	105	66.5
DD	3	1.9
NE	15	9.5
TOTAL	158	100

threatened ("low concern"): the lanner falcon (*Falco biarmicus*), the white tailed eagle (*Haliaeetus albicilla*), the demoiselle crane (*Anthropoides virgo*) and the common Buttonquail (*Turnix sylvaticus*). The parasitic lice of these 4 birds were studied outside of Spain (Price et al., 2003). Thus, up to 7 lice species could have became extinct regionally (Table 3).

Eleven bird taxa are considered as "critically endangered" (CR) at regional level. Two CR birds at regional level are "near threatened" (NT) globally, the marbled duck (*Marmaronetta angustirostris*) and the Tenerife blue chaffinch (*Fringilla teydea*), but their ectoparasitic fauna is unknown. Other 7 CR bird species are abundant outside Spain: "low concern" (LC). But only two lice species, *Brueelia minor* and *Cummingsiella ovalis* (Ischnocera, Philopteridae) (Price et al., 2003) may be considered as CR regionally (Table 4).

Twenty five Spanish bird species are catalogued as "endangered" (EN). Fourteen lice species are catalogued as EN regionally (Martín Mateo, 2002, 2009; Price et al., 2003). Their hosts and the hosts status are included in Table 4.

Forty three bird species are catalogued "vulnerable" (VU) in Spain, which harbour up to 7 VU lice species regionally (Table 5).

Twenty nine bird species are catalogued as NT in Spain. One of them, the Iberian grey shrike (*Lanius meridionalis*) is considered as VU worldwide, but this species was never studied for lice. Two of them, the Eurasian oystercatcher (*Haematopus ostralegus*) and the woodchat shrike (*Lanius senator*) are also NT globally. The last one harbours *Philopterus trigonophorus* (Ischnocera, Philopteridae), which has the same status worldwide The remaining NT Spanish birds are not threatened globally as they as catalogued as LC.

Tal	ble 3	
	1.1.	

	5	-	
SPECIES	SUBORDER, FAMILY	HOST/S	STATUS (REGIONAL/ WORLDWIDE)
Heleonomus elbeli	Amblycera, Menoponidae	Anthropoides virgo	EX/LC
Heleonomus furgalai	Amblycera, Menoponidae	A. paradisea/ A. virgo	EX/VU-LC
Craspedorrhynchus macrocephalus	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Haliaeetus albicilla	EX/LC
Degeeriella discocephalus	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	H. albicilla/H. leucocephalus	EX/LC-LC
Esthiopterum elbeli	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Anthropoides virgo	EX/LC
Falcolipeurus sulcifrons	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	H. albicilla/ Nisaetus cirrhatus	EX/LC-LC
Turnicola nigrolineatus	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Turnix sylvatica	EX/LC

## Table 4

Bird lice species critically endangered or endangered in Spain.

SPECIES	SUBORDER, FAMILY	HOST/S	STATUS (REGIONAL/ WORLDWIDE)
Aegypoecus perspicuous	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Neophron percnopterus	EN/EN
Anatoecus regina	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Tadorna ferruginea	EN/LC
Aquiligogus imperialis	Amblycera, Menoponidae	Aquila adalberti	EN/VU
Ardeicola stellaris	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Botaurus stellaris	EN/LC
Ardeiphilus trochioxus	Amblycera, Menoponidae	Botaurus stellaris	EN/LC
Austromenopon echinatum	Amblycera, Menoponidae	Calonectris diomedea	EN/LC
Brueelia minor	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Lanius minor	CR/LC
Colpocephalum imperialis	Amblycera, Menoponidae	Aquila adalberti	EN/VU
Craspedorrhynchus fraterculus	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Aquila adalberti	EN/VU
Cummingsiella ovalis	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Numenius arquata	CR/NT
Falcolipeurus frater	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	N. percnopterus/ Gyps hymalayensis	EN/EN-NT
Halipeurus abnormis	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Calonectris diomedea	EN/LC
Neocolpocephalum percnopteri	Amblycera, Menoponidae	Neophron percnopterus	EN/EN
Otidoecus houbarae	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Chlamydotis undulata	EN/VU
Quadraceps obliquus	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Uria aalge/U. lomvia	EN/LC-LC
Saemundssonia (S.) calva	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Uria aalge/ U. lomvia	EN/LC-LC

#### Table 5

Bird lice species catalogued as vulnerable (VU) in Spain.

SPECIES	SUBORDER, FAMILY	HOST/S	STATUS (REGIONAL/ WORLDWIDE)
Aquiligogus barbate	Amblycera, Menoponidae	Gypaetus barbatus	VU/NT
Degeeriella punctifer	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Gypaetus barbatus	VU/NT
Falcolipeurus quadripunctatus	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Gypaetus barbatus	VU/NT
Coloceras brittanicum	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Streptopelis turtur	VU/VU
Hohortsiella streptopeliae	Amblycera, Menoponidae	Streptopelia turtur	VU/VU
Lunaceps haematopi	Amblycera, Menoponidae	Haematopus ostralegus	VU/NT
Quadraceps ornatus	Ischnocera, Philopteridae	Rissa brevirostris	VU/VU

## 3.2. Mammalian lice

Two mammals are classified as CR: the Mediterranean monk seal (*Monachus monachus*), which is considered as EN worldwide and the brown bear (*Ursus arctos*), which is classified as LC globally. The former is the host of an EN lice species: *Lepidophthirus piriformis* (Anoplura, Echinophthiriidae) (Rózsa and Vas, 2014). *Trichodectes pinguis* (Trichodectera, Trichodectidae) (Price et al., 2003) would be considered as CR regionally.

Five Spanish mammals are considered EN at regional level: three of them are bats (this group is not parasitized by Phthiraptera), and the lice of the European mink (*Mustela lutreola*), were never studied. The remaining one, the Iberian lynx (*Lynx pardinus*), which is also catalogued as EN at global level, is the host of *Felicola (Lorisicola) isidoroi* 

(Trichodectera, Trichodectidae) (Pérez and Palma, 2001, Fig. 1), which can also be considered as EN.

The Spanish VU mammals (n = 14) include 8 bat species, which are not parasitized by Phthiraptera; 3 species catalogued as EN worldwide: the Pyrenean desman (*Galemys pyrenaicus*), the Canarian shrew (*Crocidura canariensis*), and the European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), the last parasitized by *Haemodipsus venticosus* (Anoplura, Polyplacidae) (Smith, 2022). The ectoparasitofauna from the first two remains unknown. The remaining three ones, with global status ranging from VU to NT: the southern water vole (*Arvicola sapidus*), the broom hare (*Lepus castroviejoi*) and the Cabrera's vole (*Microtus cabrerae*), whose parasitic lice have not been studied elsewhere.

There are 14 mammal species in Spain catalogued as NT. Ten of them are bats (a group not parasitized by Phthiraptera), and the lice of remaining ones (n = 4) (LC at global level) are unknown.

## 4. Discussion

The results obtained in this study suggest that the number of threatened lice species in Spain is underestimated, taking into account that most of the avian and mammalian lice assemblages still remain unknown.

The status of threatened species may improve, particularly when recovery plans are applied (Bottrill et al., 2011). This is the case of the



**Fig. 1.** *Felicola (Lorisicola) isidoroi.* Adult male, habitus. This is the holotype of the species and is deposited in the collection of the Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC) in Madrid, Spain. Photography by Jean-Claude Stahl (Te Papa Tongarewa Museum, Wellington, New Zealand).

Iberian lynx (*Lynx pardinus*), the host of *Felicola (Lorisicola) isidoroi*, which formerly was considered one of the most threatened felids and recently has been classified as "endangered", after several years with increasing numbers (https://www.iucnredlist.org/es). On the contrary, the deterioration of the environmental conditions, among other factors, may upgrade the status of a species to categories of higher threat (Pereira Paglia and Fonseca, 2009). We must take into account that many host species analysed in this study currently show decreasing population trends despite their current lower threat status. Therefore, the status of the bird and mammal hosts (together with that of their lice species) must be periodically updated, even in the case of common and abundant species.

Concerning lice species parasitizing multiple host species, it seems to be reasonable assigning them the status of their less threatened host or considering them as LC. On the other hand, the extinction of a host species not always implies the extinction of its lice. It was thought that *Columbicola extinctus* (Ischnocera, Philopteridae), became extinct together with its host, *Ectopistes migratorius*, which formerly was one of the most abundant bird in the world (Stork and Lyal, 1993). But this louse species did not became extinct, as it parasitizes other columbiform host: *Columba fasciata* (Clayton and Price, 1999).

Diverse reasons have been argued to promote conserving parasites, in general, and lice in particular (Windsor, 1985; Whiteman and Parker, 2005; Lymbery and Smit, 2023). With regards to lice, they deserve being conserved because of their scientific value (US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1973; Kellert, 1985). If most of the host-lice assemblages remain unknown, we still know very little about the co-evolution patterns of lice and their hosts (Lyal, 1987), the co-infection processes between lice infesting the same host and between them and other ecto- and endoparasites (Fernández-Muñoz et al., 2023), the physiology of lice (Burgess, 2022), or their bacteriome, particularly that from sucking lice (Perotti et al., 2009), among other topics.

Including threatened Phthiraptera in future revisions of red lists of invertebrates may be a first step to draw the attention of the competent authorities and promote the conservation of these species and their respective hosts. Some measures, such as avoiding use of insecticides and/or mechanic extirpation of ectoparasites of animals captured and/ or maintained in captivity (except in extreme clinical cases), and maintaining lice in vivo and in vitro (when possible) (Pérez et al., 2013) may be useful.

#### 5. Conclusions

The conservation of parasites in general and lice in particular is still a neglected issue. The current biodiversity crisis may favour the extinction of a number of bird and mammalian species, with the extinction of their parasitic lice in parallel. Only in Spain, up to 69 lice species with some extent of threat have been identified.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

With regards to the submitted manuscript, I declare to have no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgements

The research activities of the author are partially supported by the Junta de Andalucía (RNM-118 group) and by the Universidad de Jaén (acción 1b). The author acknowledges the Museum Te Papa Tongarewa (Welington, New Zealand) and the Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC) (Madrid, Spain) for giving permission to use photographies of *Felicola (Lorisicola) isidoroi*.

#### References

- Barea-Azcón, J.M., Ballesteros-Duperón, E., Moreno, D. (Eds.), 2008. Libro rojo de los invertebrados de Andalucía. Consejería de Medio Ambiente. Junta de Andalucía, Sevilla.
- Bottrill, M.C., Walsh, J.C., Watson, J.E.M., Joseph, L.N., Ortega-Argueta, A., Possingham, H.P., 2011. Does recovery planning improve the status of threatened species? Biol. Conserv. 144, 1595–1601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biocom.2011.02.008.
- Buckley, T.R., Palma, R.L., Johns, P.M., Gleeson, D.M., Heath, A.C.G., Hitchmough, R.A., Stringer, I.A.N., 2012. The conservation status of small or less well known groups of New Zealand terrestrial invertebrates. N. Z. Entomol. 35, 137–143. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/00779962.2012.686319.
- Burgess, I.F., 2022. Sucking lice and spiracular transpiration: turning a liability into a benefit and a necessity. J. Exp. Biol. 2022, 225. https://doi.org/10.1242/ jeb.244041.
- Bush, S.E., Clayton, D.H., 2023. Does preening behavior reduce the prevalence of avian feather lice (Phthiraptera: Ischnocera)? J. Parasitol. 109, 145–147. https://doi.org/ 10.1645/23-2.
- Clayton, D.H., Price, R.D., 1999. Taxonomy of new world *Columbicola* (Phthiraptera: Philopteridae) from the Columbiformes (Aves), with descriptions of five new species. Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am, 92, 675–685. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/92.5.675.
- Combes, C., 2001. Parasitism. The Ecology and Evolution of Intimate Interactions. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Dobson, A., Lafferty, K.D., Kuris, A.M., Hechinger, R.F., Jetz, W., 2008. Homage to Linnaeus: how many parasites? How many hosts? Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105, 11482–11489. www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0803232105.
- Dong, Y., 2022. Mitochondrial Genome Evolution and Molecular Phylogeny of Parasitic Lice (Infraorder: Phthiraptera). Ph.D. Thesis. University of the Sunshine Coast, Oueensland. https://doi.org/10.25907/00712.
- Dougherty, E.R., Carlson, C.J., Bueno, V.M., Burgio, K.R., Cizauskas, C.A., Clements, C.F., Seidel, D.P., Harris, N.C., 2015. Paradigms for parasite conservation. Conserv. Biol. 30, 724–733. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12634.
- Dunn, R.R., 2005. Modern insect extinctions, the neglected majority. Conserv. Biol. 19, 1030–1036. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00078.x.
- Fernández-Muñoz, M.J., Castillo-Contreras, R., Pérez, J.M., Granados, J.E., Márquez, F. J., López-Montoya, A.J., 2023. Co-infection patterns in the ectoparasitic community affecting the Iberian ibex *Capra pyrenaica*. Parasites Vectors 16, 172. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s13071-023-05797-y.
- Gagne, R.B., Crooks, K.R., Craft, M.E., Chiu, E.S., Fountain-Jones, N.M., Malmberg, J.L., Carver, S., Funk, W.C., VandeWoude, S., 2021. Parasites as conservation tools. Conserv. Biol. 30, e13719 https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13719.
- Galloway, T.D., 2018. Biodiversity of ectoparasites: lice (Phthiraptera) and fleas (Siphonaptera). In: Foottit, R.G., Adler, P.H. (Eds.), Insect Biodiversity. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, pp. 457–482. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118945582.ch17.
- Galloway, T.D., Lamb, R.J., 2021. Population dynamics of chewing lice (Phthiraptera) infesting birds (Aves). Annu. Rev. Entomol. 66, 209–224. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-ento-041420-075608.
- Gustafsson, D.R., Tian, C., Yu, X., Xu, L., Wu, S., Zou, F., 2021. Unintentional parasite conservation success: chewing lice recovered from crested ibis, *Nipponia nippon*, in breeding program facilities in Shaanxi, China. Biodivers. Conserv. 30, 3939–3963. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-021-02283-8.
- IUCN, UNEP, WWF, 1980. World Conservation Strategy. Living resource conservation for sustainable development. IUCN, Gland.
- IUCN, 2001. Categorías y criterios de la Lista Roja de la IUCN: Versión 3.1. Comisión de Supervivencia de Especies de la IUCN. IUCN, Gland and Cambridge.
- Jørgensen, D., 2014. Conservation implications of parasite co-reintroduction. Conserv. Biol. 29, 602–604. https://www.jstor.org/stable/24482669.
- Kellert, S.R., 1985. Social and perceptual factors in endangered species management. J. Wildl. Manag. 49, 528–536. https://doi.org/10.2307/3801568.
- Koh, L.P., Dunn, R.R., Sodhi, N.S., Colwell, R.K., Proctor, H.C., Smith, V.S., 2004. Species coextinctions and the biodiversity crisis. Science 305, 1632–1634. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1101101.
- Kuris, A.M., Hechinger, R.F., Shaw, J.C., Whitney, K.L., Aguirre-Macedo, L., Boch, C.A., Dobson, A.P., Dunham, E.J., Fredensborg, B.L., Huspeni, T.C., Lorda, J., Mababa, L., Mancini, F.T., Mora, A.B., Pickering, M., Talhouk, N.L., Torchin, M.E., Lafferty, K.D., 2008. Ecosystem energetic implications of parasite and free-living biomass in three estuaries. Nature 454, 515–518. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06970.
- Kwak, M.L., 2018. Australia's vanishing fleas (Insecta: Siphonaptera): a case study in methods for the assessment and conservation of threatened flea species. J. Insect Conserv. 22, 545–550. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10841-018-0083-7.
- Kwak, M.L., Heath, A.C.G., Cardoso, P., 2020. Methods for the assessment and conservation of threatened animal parasites. Biol. Conserv. 248, 108696 https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108696.
- Kwak, M.L., Heath, A.C.G., Palma, R.L., 2019. Saving the Manx Searwater flea Ceratophyllus (Emmareus) fionnus (Insecta: Siphonaptera): the road to developing a recovery plan for a threatened ectoparasite. Acta Parasitol. 64, 903–910. https://doi. org/10.2478/s11686-019-00119-8.
- Lima, M.A., Weckstein, J.D., Batista, R., Ribas, C.C., 2023. Do parasitic lice exhibit endemism in paralell with their avian hosts? A comparison across northern Amazonian areas of endemism. J. Parasitol. 109, 506–513. https://doi.org/10.1645/ 18-135.
- Lyal, C.H.C., 1987. Co-evolution of trichodectid lice (Insecta: Phthiraptera) and their mammalian hosts. J. Nat. Hist. 21, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00222938700770011.

Lymbery, A.J., Smit, N.J., 2023. Conservation of parasites: a primer. Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl. 21, 255–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2023.07.001.

Madroño, A., González, C., Atienza, J.C. (Eds.), 2002. Libro rojo de las aves de España. Dirección General para la Biodiversidad-SEO/BirdLife, Madrid.

Martín Mateo, M.P., 2002. Fauna Ibérica, vol. 20. Mallophaga, Amblycera. Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC), Madrid

Martín Mateo, M.P., 2009. Fauna Ibérica, vol. 32. Phthiraptera, Ischnocera. Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC), Madrid.

Pajot, F.X., 2000. Les poux (Insecta, Anoplura) de la región Afrotropicale. Éditions de l'Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Paris.

Palomo, L.J., Gisbert, J., Blanco, J.C., 2007. Atlas y libro rojo de los mamíferos terrestres de España. Dirección General para la Biodiversidad-SECEM-SECEMU, Madrid.

Pereira Paglia, A., Fonseca, G.A.B., 2009. Assessing changes in the conservation status of threatened Brazilian vertebrates. Biodivers. Conserv. 18, 3563–3577. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10531-009-9660-0.

Pérez, J.M., Meneguz, P.G., Dematteis, A., Rossi, L., Serrano, E., 2006. Parasites and conservation biology: the 'ibex-ecosystem'. Biodivers. Conserv. 15, 2033–2047. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-005-0773-9.

Pérez, J.M., Palma, R.L., 2001. A new species of *Felicola* (Phthiraptera: Trichodectidae) from the endangered Iberian lynx: another reason to ensure its survival. Biodivers. Conserv. 10, 929–937. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1016688529572.

Pérez, J.M., Ruiz-Martínez, I., Cooper, J.E., 1996. Occurrence of lice on Spanish raptors. ARDEOLA 43, 129–138.

Pérez, J.M., Sáez-Ventura, A., Liébanas, G., Rossi, L., Fernández, M., Fraija-Fernández, N., 2023. Detailed morphological structure and phylogenetic relationships of *Degeeriella punctifer* (Phthiraptera: Philopteridae), a parasite of the bearded vulture *Gypaetus barbatus* (Accipitriformes: Accipitridae). Sci. Rep. 13, 512. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-27774-2.

Pérez, J.M., Sánchez, I., Palma, R.L., 2013. The dilemma of conserving parasites: the case of *Felicola (Lorisicola) isidoroi* (Phthiraptera: Trichodectidae) and its host, the

endangered Iberian lynx (*Lynx pardinus*). Insect Conserv. Divers. 6, 680–686. https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12021.

Perotti, M.A., Kirkness, E.E., Reed, D.L., Braig, H.R., 2009. Endosymbionts of lice. In: Bourtzis, K., Miller, T.A. (Eds.), Insect Symbyosis. CRC-Press, Boca Raton, pp. 205–219.

Price, P.W., 1980. Evolutionary Biology of Parasites. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New York.

Price, R.D., Hellenthall, R.A., Palma, R.L., Johnson, K.P., Clayton, D.H., 2003. The Chewing Lice: World Checklist and Biographical Overview, vol. 24. Illinois Natural History Survey Special Publication, pp. 1–501.

Rózsa, L., 1992. Endangered parasite species. Int. J. Parasitol. 22, 265–266. https://doi. org/10.1016/s0020-7519(05)80002-5.

Rózsa, L., Vas, Z., 2014. Co-extinct and critically co-endangered species of parasitic lice, and conservation-induced extinction: should lice be reintroduced to their hosts? Oryx 49, 107–110. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605313000628.

Rubio-Godoy, M., Pérez-Ponce de León, G., 2023. Equal rights for parasites: Windsor 1995, revisited after ecological parasitology has come of age. Biol. Conserv. 284, 110174 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2023.110174.

Smith, M.V., 2022. Textbook of Rabbit Medicine, third ed.tion. Elsevier, Amsterdam. Stork, N., Lyal, C.H.C., 1993. Extinction or 'co-extinction' rates? Nature 366, 307.

https://doi.org/10.1038/366307a0.

US Fish and Wildlife Service, 1973. Endangered Species Act of 1973. Department of Interior, Washington, D.C.

Verdú, J.R., Galante, E. (Eds.), 2009. Atlas de los invertebrados amenazados en España. Dirección General para la Biodiversidad, Ministerio de Medio Ambiente, Madrid.

Whiteman, N.K., Parker, P.G., 2005. Using parasites to infer host population history: a new rationale for parasite conservation. Anim. Conserv. 8, 175–181. https://doi. org/10.1017/S1367943005001915.

Windsor, D.A., 1995. Equal rights for parasites. Conserv. Biol. 9, 1-2.