# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

OPEN ACCESS

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

# The anti-inflammatory activity of resveratrol in acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis of animal studies

Shangmei Cao<sup>a</sup>\*, Xiuhong Fu<sup>a,b</sup>\*, Shaozhe Yang<sup>a,c</sup> and Shuifu Tang<sup>d</sup> D

<sup>a</sup>Department of Science and Technology Innovation Center, Luohe Central Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Luohe Medical College, Henan Key Laboratory of Fertility Protection and Aristogenesis, Luohe, China; <sup>b</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Luohe Central Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Luohe Medical College, Henan Key Laboratory of Fertility Protection and Aristogenesis, Luohe, China; <sup>c</sup>Department of Reproductive and Genetic Center, Luohe Central Hospital, The First Affiliated Hospital of Luohe Medical College, Henan Key Laboratory of Fertility Protection and Aristogenesis, Luohe, China; <sup>d</sup>Division of Nephrology, First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, Guangzhou, China

## ABSTRACT

**Context:** Accumulated experimental evidence suggests that resveratrol (RSV) may have an effect on acute kidney injury (AKI) by inhibiting inflammation. However, the credibility of the evidence for this practice is unclear.

**Objective:** This study investigated the effect of RSV on AKI and the underlying mechanism.

**Methods:** We searched PubMed, EMBASE, and Web of Science from 2005 to April 2022 for controlled animal trials assessing the effect of conventional resveratrol versus placebo on renal function outcome after AKI. This study was registered within the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) as number CRD42022329596.

**Results:** We retrieved 455 studies, 25 studies comprising data of 436 animals that met the inclusion criteria. Our meta-analysis suggested that RSV treatment was significantly associated with lower levels of serum creatinine (Scr) and blood urea nitrogen (BUN). The greatest effects were recorded in low-dose (<20 mg/kg/day) groups rather than in high-dose (> 20 mg/kg/day) groups. For time-response effects, subgroup analysis indicated that intervention duration of RSV can influence the treatment effect, and more beneficial effects were observed when studies had a drug administration time of <2 weeks.

**Discussion and conclusions:** This systematic review of animal AKI studies showed a consistently favourable effect of RSV as compared to placebo on renal function outcomes that increased with lower TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and IL-1 $\beta$ . RSV has a more beneficial effect on SA-AKI animal models than the others. When the RSV intervention dose was low (< 20 mg/kg/day) and the intervention time was <2 weeks, more benefits could be observed.

## **ARTICLE HISTORY**

Received 5 July 2022 Revised 22 September 2022 Accepted 29 September 2022

## **KEYWORDS**

Plant-derived agents; antiinflammatory

# Introduction

Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a worldwide public health issue, estimated to result in millions of deaths annually (Kellum et al. 2021; Zankar et al. 2021). The sudden increase of serum creatinine level in a short time (generally within 7 days) (a marker of renal excretion function) and the decrease of urine volume (a quantitative marker of urine production) are the markers of acute renal injury, indicating the loss of renal function. If the acute renal injury continues unabated (the duration is usually 3 months), it will develop into chronic renal injury (Kang et al. 2021; Feng et al. 2022; Levey 2022). AKI describes a sudden loss of kidney function that is determined on the basis of increased serum creatinine levels (a marker of kidney excretory function) and reduced urinary output (oliguria) (a quantitative marker of urine production) and is limited to a duration of 7 days. The pathophysiology and diagnosis of AKI are applied to a variety of settings, such as infections, sepsis, surgery, trauma, nephrotoxic medications, and heart disease, including its long-term consequences (Farrar 2018).

Resveratrol (RSV), the main effective component of traditional Chinese medicine *Reynoutria japonica* Houtt. (Polygonaceae), is a natural activator of SIRT1, and has antioxidation and anti-aging effects. Resveratrol can alleviate a variety of renal injuries including renal injury caused by ischemia-reperfusion (IRI) (Buys-Gonçalves et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020; Hemsinli et al. 2021), drug-induced kidney injury (DKI) (Xiao et al. 2021) and sepsis-associated acute kidney injury (SA-AKI) (Wang et al. 2021). However, one study also indicated that RSV does not protect from ischemia-induced acute kidney injury in rat model (Bienholz et al. 2017).

Therefore, in view of whether RSV can significantly affect AKI renal function, it is necessary to conduct a systematic evaluation to understand the exact therapeutic effect of RSV on AKI caused by what causes, as well as the best intervention dose and time. In this study, we systematically evaluate the therapeutic

CONTACT Shuifu Tang 🐼 tsfchina2020@126.com 😰 Division of Nephrology, First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine, No. 16, Ji Chang Road, Baiyun District, Guangzhou 510405, Guangdong Province, China

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors.

<sup>© 2022</sup> The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria.	
Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
1. All animal models with AKI	1. Animals with co-morbidity, clinical trials, and in vitro models
2. RSV with all dosage and duration	2. RSV without batch number
3. Same solvent (e.g., water and saline), no intervention, etc.	3. Other preparation of RSV
<ol> <li>Serum creatinine (Scr), and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) were the primary outcomes, IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α were the secondary outcomes</li> </ol>	4. Case studies, cross over studies, and studies without a separate Control group
5. Controlled studies with a separate control group	5. Not an original full research paper
6. Language: English	6. Duplicate publication
	7.Studies without full text

value of RSV on AKI caused by different factors, so as to understand the therapeutic effect of RSV on AKI and its anti-inflammatory mechanism.

## **Methods**

This review used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses statement. The methodological quality of animal studies was assessed based on the Systematic Review Centre for Laboratory animal Experimentation Risk of Bias (SYRCLE's RoB) tool. The meta-analysis was performed based on the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions by using Review Manager 5.3 (the Cochrane Collaboration). The International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews registration code was CRD42022329596.

## Article selection

We searched PubMed, EMBASE, and Web of Science scholar electronic databases. We included only English-language articles published from 2005 to April 2022. The databases were searched using the following search terms in titles and abstracts (also in combination with MESH terms): (mice OR rat) AND (Acute kidney injury OR AKI) AND (resveratrol OR trans-resveratrol OR cis resveratrol). The electronic search was complemented by manual searching of the references of the included publications. The studies were included if they met specific inclusion and exclusion criteria (Table 1). No restriction in terms of year of publication was applied.

## Study selection and data extraction

From all eligible publications, the following data, including the first author, year of publication, sample size, weight, species, animal models of AKI in the experimental group, source of controls, intervention duration and dose, and outcome measures (Scr, BUN, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, IL-1 $\beta$ ), were carefully extracted by two authors (SY and SC) independently. Inconsistencies were resolved after discussion, and a consensus was reached for all extracted data. Any disagreements between reviewers over the data extraction were resolved through discussion with a third reviewer.

## **Quality assessment**

The risk of bias was assessed with the risk of bias tool of the Systematic Review Centre for Laboratory animal Experimentation (SYRCLE) for randomised trials. The SYRCLE's tool for animal experiments is based on types of bias as follows: (1) Baseline characteristics (selection bias); (2) Random sequence generation (selection bias); (3) Random housing (performance bias); (4) Allocation concealment (selection bias); (5) Random outcome assessment (detection bias); (6) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias); (7) Random outcome assessment; (8) Blinding (detection bias); (9) Selective outcome reporting (reporting bias); (10) Other sources of bias (other). The results of the assessment are 'yes', 'no', and 'unclear', representing 'low risk of bias', 'high risk of bias', and 'insufficient details have been reported to assess the risk of bias properly'. Two reviewers performed quality the assessment independently, and discrepancies were discussed with a third reviewer.

## Statistical analysis

All the outcome measures were continuous data (e.g., BUN and Scr), so we performed random-effects meta-analyses of continuous data with standardised mean differences (SMD) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), a p-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. A random-effect model was implemented to calculate the pooled results. Heterogeneity was assessed using a Q statistic (considered significant heterogeneity among the studies if p value < 0.10) and an I-squared ( $I^2$ ) value (Higgins and Green 2011). When heterogeneity of studies was significant, the DerSimonian and Laird random-effects model (DerSimonian and Laird 1986) was performed to calculate the pooled ORs. Otherwise, the Mantel-Haenszel fixed-effects model was used (Mantel and Haenszel 1959). We performed the sensitivity analysis to explore heterogeneity when significant heterogeneity was detected. Subgroup analysis was performed to investigate the possible sources of heterogeneity based on the following variables if there were adequate studies: dosage (low < $20 \text{ mg/kg/day}; \text{ high } \geq 20 \text{ mg/kg/day}), \text{ intervention duration}$ (<2 weeks; > 2 weeks), AKI models (ischemia AKI; SA-AKI; DKI), and species (rats; mice). Sensitivity analysis was conducted to evaluate whether a single study affects the overall effect sizes by removing one study at each stage. Moreover, publication bias was evaluated quantitatively using Begg's (Begg and Mazumdar 1994) and Egger's tests (Egger et al. 1997). Significant publication bias was indicated if the p-value < 0.05. Review Manager 5.3 (the Cochrane Collaboration) and STATA 16.0 (Stata Corporation) were used for the analysis.

## Results

## Study inclusion

The process of study selection is shown in Figure 1. The electronic search and other sources identified 455 animal studies, after removing duplicates, 355 publications remained, of which 206 were excluded after the title and abstract reading. Thus, a total of 25 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility.



Figure 1. Flow diagram of the study selection process for this review.

## Study characteristics

The 25 selected studies on animals were published between 2005 and 2021. The number of all animals in the experimental group was 218 and that in the control group was 218. The animal species included mice and rats, 3 studies (12%) used mice, and 22 studies (88%) used rats. The weight of rats ranged from 150 to 250 g in most of these studies, only one study reported the rat weight 40–60 g was used (Wang et al. 2018), the weeks old of rats ranged from 6 to 9 weeks. The weight of mice ranged from 150 to 200 g, which weeks old ranged from 7 to 40 weeks. There were three animal models in these studies, IRI (40%), DKI (32%), and SA-AKI (28%).

Two levels (low and high) of RSV doses were implemented in these studies and the dose ranged from 3 to 100 mg/kg/day. Control groups mainly used the same solvent saline. The intervention duration consisted of <2 weeks and  $\geq 2$  weeks, and the duration ranged from 3 h to 12 weeks. The intervention duration was  $\geq 2$  weeks in 9 studies (36%) and <2 weeks in 16 studies

(64%). The main characteristics and main results of the included studies are summarised in Table 2.

## Study quality

There were 13 studies (52%) that reported the random allocation to experimental and control groups. The distribution of relevant baseline levels and random outcome assessment was not mentioned in all studies. All these studies had complete outcome data and reported expected outcomes. As for other sources of bias, 17 studies (68%) stated that there was no conflict of interest among the authors, and the remaining 8 studies (32%) did not mention it. The risk of bias in individual studies is summarised in Table 3.

## Effect of resveratrol on blood urea nitrogen

Seventeen pair-wise comparisons reported the influence of RSV on BUN. The pooled results suggested that RSV could

## Table 2. Characteristics of the included studies.

					RSV			
			Weight		dose			
Study year	Ν	Species	(g/weeks)	Types of AKI	(mg/kg/day)	Duration	Control	Outcome index
Vikas et al. (2005)	7	Male SD rat	200–250 g	IRI	5	24h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Vikas C et al. (2006)	7	Male Wistar rat	150–200 g	IRI	5	24h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Vikas et al. (2006)	7	Male SD rat	150–200 g	DKI (glycerol)	10	24h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Cátia et al. (2008)	6	Male Wistar rat	180–220 g	DKI (cisplatin)	25	2days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Hideyuki et al. (2014)	8	Male SD rat	6 weeks	IRI	5	24h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Hussain et al. (2016)	4	Male Wistar rat	190–200 g	DKI(aluminum chloride)	20	40days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
QIUFA et al. (2016)	7	Male Wistar rat	180-200g	DKI (cisplatin)	10	2days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Y Gan et al. (2016)	10	Male SD rat	150–200 g	SA-AKI	10	72h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr 3. TNF-α 4. IL-1β
Honga et al. (2017)	6	Male C57BL/6J mice	7–10 weeks	DKI (contrast media)	30	24h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Nian et al. (2017)	5	Male SD rat	180–200g	SA-AKI	20	12h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr 3.IL-6 4. TNF-α 5. IL-1β
Mostafa et al. (2018)	6	Male Wistar rat	200–250 g	DKI (cisplatin)	30	14days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Seldag et al. (2019)	9	Male Wistar rat	220–250 g	DKI (cyclosporine)	10	14days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Gabriela et al. (2020)	10	Male Wistar rat	9 weeks	IRI	30	28days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Hemsinli et al. (2020)	8	Male SD rat	3–4 months	IRI	10	3h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Li et al. (2020)	5	Male SD rat	220–250 g	IRI	30	7days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Gabriela et al. (2015)	10	Male Wistar rat	9 weeks	IRI	30	28days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Sun et al. (2016)	10	Male SD rat	150–200 g	SA-AKI	20	28days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Qin et al. (2017)	6	Fisher and Lewis rats	150–220 g	IRI	30	12weeks	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Joseph et al. (2017)	9	Male C57/BL6 mice	39–40 weeks	SA-AKI	10	48h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Liang et al. (2020)	8	Female C57BL/6 mice	150–200 g	SA-AKI	100	20h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr 3.IL-6 4. TNF-α 5. IL-1β
YAN et al. (2020)	6	Male SD rat	40–60 g	SA-AKI	30	30h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr 3. TNF- $\alpha$ 4. IL-1 $\beta$
Yi-Hsin et al. (2015)	8	Male SD rat	150–200 g	DKI (contrast media)	30	3days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Anand et al. (2016)	8	Male SD rat	180–230 g	DKI(Nicotine)	8	28days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr
Benxi et al. (2017)	8	Male SD rat	250–300 g	DKI(uric acid)	100	21 days	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr 3.IL-6 4. TNF-α
Biao et al. (2017)	40	Male SD rat	150–200 g	SA-AKI	3	12h	Saline	1. BUN 2. Scr 3.IL-6 4. TNF-α 5. IL-1β

Abbreviations: BUN: blood urea nitrogen; DKI: drug-induced kidney injury; IL- 1 $\beta$ : interleukin-1 $\beta$ ; IL-6: interleukin-6; IRI: ischemia-reperfusion injury; SA-AKI: sepsisassociated acute kidney injury; Scr: serum creatinine; SOD: superoxide dismutase; TNF- $\alpha$ : tumour necrosis factor- $\alpha$ .

#### Table 3. Risk of bias of included studies.

Study year	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Vikas et al. (2005)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	U
Vikas C et al. (2006)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	U
Vikas et al. (2006)	Υ	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Υ	Y	U
Cátia et al. (2008)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	U
Hideyuki et al. (2014)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	U
Hussain et al. (2016)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	U
QIUFA et al. (2016)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	U
Y Gan et al. (2016)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Honga et al. (2017)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Nian et al. (2017)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Mostafa et al. (2018)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Seldag et al. (2019)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Gabriela et al. (2020)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Hemsinli et al. (2020)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Li et al. (2020)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Gabriela et al. (2015)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sun et al. (2016)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Qin et al. (2017)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Joseph et al. (2017)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Liang et al. (2020)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
YAN et al. (2020)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Yi-Hsin et al. (2015)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Anand et al. (2016)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y
Benxi et al. (2017)	Y	U	Y	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	U
Biao et al. (2017)	Y	U	U	U	U	U	Y	Y	Y	Y

SYRCLE RoB: Systematic Review Centre for Laboratory Animal Experimentation Risk of Bias; (1) random sequence generation (2) baseline characteristics (3) allocation concealment (4) random housing (5) blinding (performance bias) (6) random outcome assessment (7) blinding (detection bias) (8) incomplete outcome data (9) selective outcome reporting (10) other sources of bias. N: no (high risk of bias); U: unclear (unclear risk of bias); Y: yes (low risk of bias).

significantly decrease BUN level compared with the control group [n=398, SMD=-4.89, 95% CI (-6.15, -3.63), p < 0.00001; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 216.98, p < 0.00001$ ;  $I^2 = 90\%$ , Figure 2]. The included studies were stratified according to variables including AKI models, better therapeutic effects were observed when studies used SA-AKI models [n=176, n=176]

SMD = -4.34, 95% CI (-5.49, -3.20), p < =0.01; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 16.84$ , p < 0.00001;  $I^2 = 64\%$ , Figure 2]. Test for subgroup differences, P > 0.05, There was no significant difference between the groups, and the comparison between the groups was statistically significant. Funnel plots showed asymmetry for the effects of RSV on BUN (Figure 3), while the result of Egger's test was statistically significant [intercept: -5.53, 95% CI (-6.30, -2.84); p = 0.000].

## Effect of resveratrol on serum creatinine

Combining effect sizes from 27 pair-wise comparisons, a significant reduction in Scr level was observed after RSV administration, compared to that in the control group [n = 436, SMD = -2.35, 95% CI (-3.23, -1.78), p < 0.00001; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 163.80, p < 0.00001$ ;  $I^2 = 85\%$ , Figure 4]. Subgroup analysis was performed according to AKI models, more beneficial effects were observed when studies applied DKI models [n = 138, SMD = -3.10, 95% CI (-4.44, -1.77), p < 0.00001; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 54.37, p < 0.00001$ ;  $I^2 = 83\%$ , Figure 4]. Test for subgroup differences, p > 0.05, There was no significant difference between the groups, and the comparison between the groups was statistically significant. Furthermore, visual inspection of funnel plots showed asymmetry for the effects of RSV on Scr (Figure 5), and the result of Egger's test was statistically significant [intercept: -4.82, 95% CI (-6.17, -2.46); p = 0.000].

## Effect of resveratrol on TNF-a

Combining effect sizes from six pair-wise comparisons, there was a significant decrease in the level of TNF- $\alpha$  was observed after RSV administration, compared to that in the control group [n=154, SMD = -4.92, 95% CI (-5.68, 4.16), p < 0.00001; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 55.35, p < 0.00001; I^2 = 91\%$ , Figure 6].

	Exp	eriment	al	С	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.1.1 DKI									
Anand 2019	25	1.1	8	45	2.1	8	3.4%	-11.28 [-15.89, -6.67]	
Benxi 2021	90.15	18	8	102	24	8	6.1%	-0.53 [-1.53, 0.47]	-
Hussain 2016	28	2	4	70	2	4	0.9%	-18.26 [-30.90, -5.62]	
Mostafa 2018	64.7	5.59	6	191.5	15.6	6	3.2%	-9.99 [-14.99, -4.98]	
QIUFA 2016	114	11.64	7	260	13.2	7	3.2%	-10.98 [-15.90, -6.07]	-
Seldag 2019	26.5	2.36	9	32.6	3.24	9	6.0%	-2.05 [-3.24, -0.85]	•
Yi-Hsin 2019	25	1.1	8	45	2.1	8	3.4%	-11.28 [-15.89, -6.67]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			50			50	26.3%	-7.58 [-10.94, -4.21]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 14.96; 0	Chi² = 68	3.34. di	f= 6 (P <	× 0.000	01); I <sup>2</sup> =	91%		
Test for overall effect:	Z= 4.42	2 (P < 0.0	0001)						
2.1.2 IRI									
Gabriela 2020	43.3	2.1	10	45.8	3.7	10	6.2%	-0.80 [-1.71, 0.12]	•
Gabriela2 2020	48	2	10	45	3	10	6.2%	1.13 [0.17, 2.09]	•
Hemsinli2020	59.19	7.28	8	75	16,78	8	6.1%	-1.16 [-2.24, -0.07]	•
Hidevuki 2014	80	35	8	240	30	8	5.4%	-4.64 [-6.74, -2.55]	•
Li 2020	66	2.36	5	96	3.24	5	2.9%	-9.56 [-15.08, -4.04]	
Qin 2022	48	2.5	6	70	3.2	6	4.2%	-7.07 [-10.71, -3.44]	<b>~</b>
Vikas 2005	55	2.3	7	76	5.68	7	5.3%	-4.54 [-6.78, -2.29]	+
Vikas 2006	12	1	7	58	2	.7	0.9%	-27 24 [-39 18 -15 29]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		·	61		~	61	37.2%	-3.73 [-5.851.62]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	6 83 <sup>.</sup> C	hi <sup>2</sup> = 78	80 df:	= 7 (P <	0 0000.	1): $ \vec{r}  = 9$	1%		
Test for overall effect:	7 = 3 48	$P = \Omega f$	10051	. (.	0.0000	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
	- 0.10		,000,						
2.1.3 SA-AKI									
Biao2021	48	7	40	72	6	40	6.3%	-3.65 [-4.37, -2.92]	
Joseph2012	38	3.5	9	64	3.6	9	4.9%	-6.97 [-9.71, -4.24]	-
Liang2015	132	15	8	318	25	8	4.2%	-8.53 [-12.07 -4.99]	+
Nian2017	66	12	5	180	16	5	3.7%	-7.28 [-11.56, -3.00]	
Sun2020	22	3	10	38	5	10	5.8%	-3.72 [-5.27, -2.16]	•
Y Gan 2016	48	6	10	78	12	10	5.9%	-3.03 [-4.39, -1.66]	•
YAN2018	68	18	6	130	21	6	5.6%	-2.93 [-4.75 -1.10]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)			88			88	36.5%	-4.34 [-5.493.20]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 1 29 <sup>.</sup> C	hi² = 16	84 df:	= 6 (P =	0 01 0\·	$1^2 = 64^{\circ}$	8	•	
Test for overall effect	Z = 7.45	5 (P < 0 0	00001	- v					
. set let everall elleet.									
Total (95% CI)			199			199	100.0%	-4.89 [-6.15, -3.63]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	= 6.42: C	hi² = 218	h .88.6	f = 21 (P	< 0.000	001); IF	= 90%	,	
Test for overall effect	Z = 7.63	3 (P < 0 0	00001)						-100 -50 0 50 100
Test for subaroup dif	ferences	: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.79. d	f=2(P	= 0.15).	$ ^2 = 47$	.2%		Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

Figure 2. Pooled estimate of BUN with RSV. Note: DKI: drug-induced kidney injury; IRI: ischemia-reperfusion injury; SA-AKI: sepsis-associated acute kidney injury.

Subgroup analysis was not conducted according to species because all included studies applied rat models of SA-AKI. In addition, publication bias was not conducted on TNF-  $\alpha$  as less than 10 studies were included.

# Effect of resveratrol on IL-6

As for the effect on IL-6, 4 pair-wise comparisons mentioned the influence of RSV on this outcome. The pooled effect sizes showed that RSV did significantly decrease IL-6 level compared with the control group [n = 122, SMD = -4.44, 95% CI (-5.66, 3.21), p < 0.00001; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 146.24, p < 0.00001$ ;  $I^2 = 98\%$ , Figure 7]. Furthermore, publication bias was not conducted on IL-6 as less than 10 studies were included.

# Effect of resveratrol on IL-1 $\beta$

Effect sizes for IL-1 $\beta$  were pooled from a total of 5 pair-wise comparisons. There was a significant association of RSV with IL-1 $\beta$  level [n=138, SMD = -4.29, 95% CI (-4.96, -3.61), p < 0.00001; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 25.56$ , p < 0.00001;  $I^2 = 84\%$ , Figure 8]. Subgroup analysis was not conducted according to species because all included studies applied rat models of SA-AKI. Publication bias was not performed on IL-6 as less than 10 studies were included.

## Effect of resveratrol on Scr in different dose and duration

Subgroup analysis was conducted according in different dose and duration showed that effect of RSV in low-dose (<20 mg/kg/day) groups [n = 240, SMD = -2.67, 95% CI (-3.23, -1.78), p < 0.00001; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 66.60$ , p < 0.00001;  $I^2 = 85\%$ , Figure 8], in high-dose ( $\geq 20$  mg/kg/day) groups [n = 196, SMD = -2.39, 95% CI (-3.44, -1.34), p < 0.00001; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 85.35$ , p < 0.00001;  $I^2 = 85\%$ , Figure 9].

Effect of RSV in administration time of <2 weeks [n = 294, SMD = -2.65, 95% CI (-3.57, -1.72), p < 0.00001; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 101.35$ , p < 0.00001;  $I^2 = 85\%$ , Figure 8], administration time of  $\ge 2$  weeks [n = 142, SMD = -2.30, 95% CI (-3.54, -1.06), p < 0.00001; Heterogeneity:  $X^2 = 55.69$ , p < 0.00001;  $I^2 = 86\%$ , Figure 10].

## Sensitivity analysis

For Scr, BUN the sensitivity analysis was conducted by removing one study at each stage, and the results indicated that no individual study significantly affected the pooled effect sizes.

Nevertheless, TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$  were influenced in one study (Wang et al. 2018), and there was a significant association of RSV With TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6 and IL-1 $\beta$  levels after removing this study [Before sensitivity analysis: TNF- $\alpha$ : SMD = -4.92, 95% CI

(-5.68, 4.16), p < 0.00001; IL-6: SMD = -4.44, 95% CI (-5.66, 3.21), p < 0.00001; IL-1 $\beta$ : SMD = -4.29, 95% CI (-4.96, -3.61), p < 0.00001. After sensitivity analysis: TNF- $\alpha$ : SMD = -6.30, 95% CI (-7.21, -5.40), p < 0.00001; IL-6: SMD = -28.42, 95% CI



Figure 3. Funnel plot for the effects of RSV on BUN.

(-32.50, -24.33), p < 0.00001; IL-1 $\beta$ : SMD = -5.31, 95% CI (-6.09, -4.52), p < 0.00001].

## Discussion

AKI is a complex clinical syndrome with many possible causes and clinical symptoms. AKI is associated with high mortality and has an independent effect on the risk of death. Blood purification technology continues to improve, but the mortality of AKI patients has not decreased significantly, and a considerable number of surviving patients have progressed to end-stage renal disease, which requires long-term haemodialysis treatment, bringing heavy economic burden to society (Vijayan et al. 2021). The key to the treatment of AKI is to protect the renal function, which is likely to recover in a short period of time after active and effective treatment. Seising the window period of treatment is very important for the prognosis of patients with AKI. The mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of AKI are complex, oxidative stress and inflammatory response play important roles in the progression of AKI. At present, there are few drugs to improve the renal function of patients with AKI, and the commonly used drugs in clinical practice are some Chinese patent drugs.

	Expe	erimen	tal	С	ontrol			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.1.1 DKI									
Anand 2019	1.2	0.3	8	9.7	2.5	8	3.8%	-4.51 [-6.56, -2.46]	+
Benxi 2021	4.6	0.99	8	5.99	1.24	8	4.9%	-1.17 [-2.26, -0.09]	1
Cátia2008	0.42	0.02	6	1.22	0.18	6	2.8%	-5.77 [-8.80, -2.73]	-
Honga2017	0.6	0.3	6	1.6	0.2	6	3.7%	-3.62 [-5.72, -1.52]	+
Hussain 2016	0.7	0.1	4	1.8	0.4	4	3.2%	-3.28 [-5.93, -0.63]	+
Mostafa 2018	1.21	0.11	6	2.72	0.42	6	3.3%	-4.54 [-7.03, -2.05]	-
QIUFA 2016	7.22	0.98	7	21.71	1.29	7	1.4%	-11.84 [-17.12, -6.56]	
Seldag 2019	0.4	0.03	9	0.42	0.03	9	5.0%	-0.63 [-1.59, 0.32]	-
Vikas Chander 2006	1.6	0.5	7	3.7	0.8	7	4.2%	-2.95 [-4.61, -1.29]	-
Yi-Hsin 2019	0.27	0.8	8	0.41	0.2	8	4.9%	-0.23 [-1.21, 0.76]	1
Subtotal (95% CI)			69			69	37.3%	-3.10 [-4.44, -1.77]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	3 39 <sup>.</sup> Ch	$i^{2} = 54$	37 df=	9 (P <	ດ ດດດເ	))) I <sup>2</sup> =	83%	• • •	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 4.57	(P < 0.0	00001)	• (.					
2.1.2 IRI									
Gabriela 2020	0.46	0.05	10	0.47	0.03	10	5.0%	-0.23 [-1.11, 0.65]	4
Gabriela2 2020	0.48	0.3	10	0.42	0.2	10	5.0%	0.23 [-0.65, 1.11]	4
Hemsinli2020	0.38	0.67	8	0.59	0.14	8	4.9%	-0.41 [-1.40, 0.58]	4
Hidevuki 2014	1.21	0.11	8	3.2	0.42	8	3.2%	-6.13 [-8.76, -3.49]	-
Li 2020	16	2	5	23	3	5	4.0%	-2.48 [-4.35, -0.61]	-
Qin 2022	4.3	0.12	6	6	0.2	6	1.6%	-9.51 [-14.30, -4.73]	
Vikas 2005	24	0.12	7	31	0.5	7	4 7%	-1 59 [-2 85 -0 33]	•
Vikas 2006	0.6	0.0	. 7	1.5	0.0	. 7	4.1%	-3 30 [-5 09 -1 52]	-
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.0	0.2	61	1.5	0.5	61	32.6%	-2.10[-3.40]-0.80]	•
Hotorogonoity: Tou <sup>2</sup> -	264.00	i <sup>2</sup> - 17	01 df-	- 7 /P ~	0 000	11\.12-	06%	-2.10[-3.40,-0.00]	
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 3.17	(P = 0.0)	002)	- 7 (1 -	0.0000	51),1 =	0570		
2 1 3 SA-AKI									
Rian2021	84	0.8	40	10.2	0.6	40	5 2%	-2 52 63 11 -1 93	
Josenh2012	0.4	0.0	-0 Q	0.2	0.0	40 Q	5.0%	-0.57 [-1.51 0.39]	4
Liang2015	5.50	0.0	0	10.70	0.0	9	1 704	-0.57 [1.51, 0.30]	
Nian2017	0.1	1.1	0 5	0.00	1.0	0 5	1.7 %	-0.26[4.60.4.00]	
Qup2020	0.40	0.2	10	0.09	1.0	10	4.7 70	-0.20[*1.00, 1.00]	-
Sul12020	1.3	0.3	10	2.0	0.0	10	4.470	-3.40 [-4.97, -1.99]	+
	7.09	0.40	10	9.95	0.07	10	4.5%	-3.19 [-3.31, -2.22]	
TANZUT8 Subtatal (05% CI)	0.38	0.4	6	0.68	0.6	6	4.8%	-0.54 [-1.71, 0.62]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.64. 01		88	0.0	0.000	88	30.1%	-2.35 [-3.07, -1.03]	<b>'</b>
Heterogeneity: 1 au <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect: 2	2.51; Ch Z = 3.49	r = 49. (P = 0.0	/1, af = 0005)	= 0 (P <	0.0000	11); Iv=	88%		
Total (95% CI)			218			218	100.0%	-2.50 [-3.23, -1.78]	1
	0.55.04	iz - 16'	h 00 0	= 24 (P	< 0.00	1001) 1	<sup>2</sup> = 85%	,	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	2 55' Un		1 011 111						
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	2.55; Cn 7 = 6 73	(Ρ < Π (	1000, UI	- 24 (i	0.01				-100 -50 0 50
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = Test for overall effect: 2	Z= 6.73	(P < 0.0 (P < 0.0	00001)	f= 2 (P	- 0.66	) 13 - 0	04		-100 -50 0 50 Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

Research of natural drugs and their single components for AKI shows a hot trend (Boozari and Hosseinzadeh 2017). In recent years, with the revelation of the antioxidation and anti-aging mechanism of RSV, the research on RSV has become more and



Figure 5. Funnel plot for the effects of RSV on Scr.

more popular in kidney disease, especially in AKI (Galiniak et al. 2019). Many research funds and basic experiments have been invested in the research of RSV, which therapeutic effects cover many diseases and medical disciplines. Some experimenters have made benign results (Gu et al. 2021), but some researchers are not so lucky, because the research results on RSV are uneven, especially since there is not a unified public opinion on its therapeutic dose and maintenance time. The antioxidant mechanism of RSV has been confirmed in a large number of pieces of literature, but there is little relevant evidence about its relationship with the anti-inflammatory effect. The present systematic review and meta-analysis mainly intended to evaluate the anti-inflammatory properties of RSV when used in the treatment of AKI, RSV has a more beneficial effect on SA-AKI animal models than the others. The results showed that RSV was significantly associated with lower levels of TNF-a, IL-6, and IL-1B. Current evidence supports the anti-inflammatory properties of RSV.

Scr and BUN are the most important markers that reflect renal function status. Our meta-analysis suggested that RSV treatment was significantly associated with lower levels of Scr and BUN. Dose-response effects and time-response effects play an important role in clinical medication. However, no animal studies reported the dose-response effects and time-response effects of RSV when used in the treatment of AKI. In the meta-

	Resv	<i>ratr</i>	ol	pla	iceb	0		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Benxi 2021	245	22	8	343	23	8	15.7%	-4.12 [-6.03, -2.20]	
Biao2021	256	27	40	461	35	40	45.7%	-6.50 [-7.62, -5.37]	➡
Liang2015	170	8	8	420	15	8	0.9%	-19.66 [-27.57, -11.75]	←
Nian2017	211	25	5	382	32	5	5.4%	-5.38 [-8.65, -2.11]	
Y Gan 2016	260	12	10	450	15	10	2.6%	-13.40 [-18.11, -8.68]	
YAN2018	55	11	6	85	21	6	29.8%	-1.65 [-3.04, -0.26]	-=-
Total (95% CI)			77			77	100.0%	-4.92 [-5.68, -4.16]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	55.35, d	f=5(	P < 0.0	0001); I	<sup>2</sup> = 9	1%			
Test for overall effect:	Z=12.7	2 (P <	0.000	01)					Favours Resveratrol Favours placebo

Figure 6. Pooled estimate of TNF- $\alpha$  with RSV.

	Resv	ratr	ol	pla	icebo	)		Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Benxi 2021	165	24	8	221	27	8	91.0%	-2.07 [-3.36, -0.79]	
Biao2021	760	43	40	2,107	49	40	7.0%	-28.94 [-33.56, -24.32]	•
Liang2015	985	35	8	1,982	41	8	1.5%	-24.73 [-34.65, -14.81]	←
Nian2017	825	36	5	2,154	37	5	0.4%	-32.88 [-51.44, -14.33]	←
Total (95% CI)			61			61	100.0%	-4.44 [-5.66, -3.21]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	146.24,	df = 3	(P < 0.	00001)					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.11	(P < I	0.0000	1)					Favours Resveratrol Favours placebo

Figure 7. Pooled estimate of IL-6 with RSV.

	Resv	ratr	ol	placebo				Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
Biao2021	120	18	40	240	25	40	48.2%	-5.46 [-6.43, -4.48]	=
Liang2015	60	18	8	145	15	8	9.7%	-4.85 [-7.02, -2.68]	
Nian2017	88	23	5	232	35	5	5.9%	-4.39 [-7.16, -1.63]	
Y Gan 2016	110	23	10	230	18	10	10.2%	-5.57 [-7.68, -3.45]	
YAN2018	160	21	6	195	25	6	26.0%	-1.40 [-2.72, -0.08]	
Total (95% CI)			69			69	100.0%	-4.29 [-4.96, -3.61]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	25.56, di	f=4 (	P < 0.0	001); l²	= 84	%			-20 -10 0 10 20
Test for overall effect:	Z=12.4	7 (P •	0.000	01)					Favours Resveratrol Favours placebo

Figure 8. Pooled estimate of IL-1 $\beta$  with RSV.

	Exp	eriment	al	c	Control			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
2.1.1 DKI										
Anand 2019	25	1.1	8	45	2.1	8	3.4%	-11.28 [-15.89, -6.67]	-	
Benxi 2021	90.15	18	8	102	24	8	6.1%	-0.53 [-1.53, 0.47]	1	
Hussain 2016	28	2	4	70	2	4	0.9%	-18.26 [-30.90, -5.62]		
Mostafa 2018	64.7	5.59	6	191.5	15.6	6	3.2%	-9.99 [-14.99, -4.98]		
QIUFA 2016	114	11.64	7	260	13.2	7	3.2%	-10.98 [-15.90, -6.07]	-	
Seldag 2019	26.5	2.36	9	32.6	3.24	9	6.0%	-2.05 [-3.24, -0.85]	•	
Yi-Hsin 2019	25	1.1	8	45	2.1	8	3.4%	-11.28 [-15.89, -6.67]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			50			50	26.3%	-7.58 [-10.94, -4.21]	◆	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	14.96; 0	Chi² = 6	8.34, di	f= 6 (P ·	< 0.000	01); I <sup>2</sup> =	91%			
Test for overall effect:	Z= 4.42	? (P < 0.1	0001)	`						
2.1.2 schemia AKI										
Gabriela 2020	43.3	2.1	10	45.8	3.7	10	6.2%	-0.80 [-1.71, 0.12]	1	
Gabriela2 2020	48	2	10	45	3	10	6.2%	1.13 [0.17, 2.09]	h	
Hemsinli2020	59.19	7.28	8	75	16.78	8	6.1%	-1.16 [-2.24, -0.07]	1	
Hideyuki 2014	80	35	8	240	30	8	5.4%	-4.64 [-6.74, -2.55]	*	
Li 2020	66	2.36	5	96	3.24	5	2.9%	-9.56 [-15.08, -4.04]		
Qin 2022	48	2.5	6	70	3.2	6	4.2%	-7.07 [-10.71, -3.44]	-	
Vikas 2005	55	2.3	7	76	5.68	7	5.3%	-4.54 [-6.78, -2.29]	+	
Vikas 2006	12	1	7	58	2	7	0.9%	-27.24 [-39.18, -15.29]		
Subtotal (95% CI)			61			61	37.2%	-3.73 [-5.85, -1.62]	♦	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	6.83; C	hi² = 78.	80, df=	= 7 (P <	0.0000	1); I <sup>2</sup> = 9	91%			
Test for overall effect:	Z= 3.46	6 (P = 0.1	0005)							
2.1.3 LPS AKI										
Biao2021	48	7	40	72	6	40	6.3%	-3.65 [-4.37, -2.92]	•	
Joseph2012	38	3.5	9	64	3.6	9	4.9%	-6.97 [-9.71, -4.24]	-	
Liang2015	132	15	8	318	25	8	4.2%	-8.53 [-12.07, -4.99]	-	
Nian2017	66	12	5	180	16	5	3.7%	-7.28 [-11.56, -3.00]	-	
Sun2020	22	3	10	38	5	10	5.8%	-3.72 [-5.27, -2.16]	•	
Y Gan 2016	48	6	10	78	12	10	5.9%	-3.03 [-4.39, -1.66]	•	
YAN2018	68	18	6	130	21	6	5.6%	-2.93 [-4.75, -1.10]	-	
Subtotal (95% CI)			88			88	36.5%	-4.34 [-5.49, -3.20]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	1.29; C	hi <sup>2</sup> = 16.	84, df=	= 6 (P =	0.010);	1 <sup>2</sup> = 64	%			
Test for overall effect:	Z=7.45	5 (P < 0.1	00001)							
Total (95% CI)	NA SCORE AND		199		14 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 -	199	100.0%	-4.89 [-6.15, -3.63]	· · · ·	
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	6.42; C	hi <sup>2</sup> = 21	6.98, di	f = 21 (P	< 0.00	001); I²	= 90%		-100 -50 0 50	100
Test for overall effect:	Z = 7.63	) (P < 0.1	00001)						Favours (experimental) Eavours (control)	100
Test for subaroup dif	erences	: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.79. d	f=2(P	= 0.15).	$ ^2 = 47$	.2%		r avours levbenmentail i avours leontroll	

Figure 9. Funnel plot for the effects of RSV on Scr in different dose.

analysis reported here, with regard to Scr and BUN, the greatest effects were recorded in low-dose (<20 mg/kg/day) groups rather than in high-dose (>20 mg/kg/day) groups. Thus, it is necessary to notice that the dosage of RSV should be increased slowly starting from a low dosage in clinical use. Nevertheless, whether an excessive dose of RSV will suppress its therapeutic effects in the treatment of AKI should be further investigated. For timeresponse effects, subgroup analysis indicated that intervention duration of RSV can influence the treatment effect, and more beneficial effects were observed when studies had a drug administration time of <2 weeks. Therefore, it is difficult to determine the appropriate intervention duration based on current evidence. In multi-arm clinical trials, multiple levels of dosage or intervention duration are required to evaluate the dose-response effects or time-response effects and determine the optimal dosage or intervention duration.

Pro-inflammatory cytokines including TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , and IL-6 are critical mediators in the development and progression of AKI. Our study suggested that RSV was significantly associated with a lower level of TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , and IL-6. Based on the above results, there is no consensus about the anti-inflammatory property of RSV in the treatment of AKI. Therefore, the anti-inflammatory effects of RSV still need to be confirmed in more studies.

Several limitations should be considered in this systematic review and meta-analysis. First, a number of studies did not report baseline characteristics between experimental groups and control groups. Second, Egger's test and asymmetry of funnel plots showed that publication bias existed, which could exaggerate the therapeutic effects of RSV. Therefore, the positive findings on RSV should be interpreted with caution. Third, some studies did not report a randomisation process.

## Conclusions

Our meta-analysis suggested that RSV treatment was significantly associated with lower levels of Scr and BUN. This result indicated that RSV has a beneficial effect on AKI animal models. The present systematic review and meta-analysis mainly intended to evaluate the anti-inflammatory properties of RSV when used in the treatment of AKI, RSV has a more beneficial effect on SA-AKI animal models than the others. The results showed that RSV was significantly associated with a lower level of TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-6, and IL-1 $\beta$ . Nevertheless, whether an excessive dose of RSV will suppress its therapeutic effects in the treatment of AKI should be further investigated.

	1	RSV		pl	aecbo			Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
2.3.1 >=2w									
Anand 2019	1.2	0.3	8	9.7	2.5	8	3.8%	-4.51 [-6.56, -2.46]	-
Benxi 2021	4.6	0.99	8	5.99	1.24	8	4.9%	-1.17 [-2.26, -0.09]	4
Gabriela 2020	0.46	0.05	10	0.47	0.03	10	5.0%	-0.23 [-1.11, 0.65]	1
Gabriela2 2020	0.48	0.3	10	0.42	0.2	10	5.0%	0.23 [-0.65, 1.11]	ł
Hussain 2016	0.7	0.1	4	1.8	0.4	4	3.2%	-3.28 [-5.93, -0.63]	-
Mostafa 2018	1.21	0.11	6	2.72	0.42	6	3.3%	-4.54 [-7.03, -2.05]	-
Qin 2022	4.3	0.12	6	6	0.2	6	1.6%	-9.51 [-14.30, -4.73]	
Seldag 2019	0.4	0.03	9	0.42	0.03	9	5.0%	-0.63 [-1.59, 0.32]	4
Sun2020	1.3	0.3	10	2.8	0.5	10	4.4%	-3.48 [-4.97, -1.99]	•
Subtotal (95% CI)			71			71	36.3%	-2.30 [-3.54, -1.06]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 3	2.72; Ch	i² = 55	.69, df :	= 8 (P <	0.000	01); I <sup>2</sup> =	86%		
Test for overall effect: 2		(P = 0.	0003)						
2.3.2 <2w									
Biao2021	8.4	0.8	40	10.2	0.6	40	5.2%	-2.52 [-3.11, -1.93]	•
Cátia2008	0.42	0.02	6	1.22	0.18	6	2.8%	-5.77 [-8.80, -2.73]	~
Hemsinli2020	0.38	0.67	8	0.59	0.14	8	4.9%	-0.41 [-1.40, 0.58]	1
Hideyuki 2014	1.21	0.11	8	3.2	0.42	8	3.2%	-6.13 [-8.76, -3.49]	-
Honga2017	0.6	0.3	6	1.6	0.2	6	3.7%	-3.62 [-5.72, -1.52]	-
Joseph2012	0.36	0.6	9	0.78	0.8	9	5.0%	-0.57 [-1.51, 0.38]	1
Li 2020	16	2	5	23	3	5	4.0%	-2.48 [-4.35, -0.61]	-
Liang2015	5.1	0.3	8	10.1	0.5	8	1.7%	-11.47 [-16.14, -6.79]	
Nian2017	0.48	1.1	5	0.89	1.8	5	4.7%	-0.25 [-1.50, 1.00]	ł
QIUFA 2016	7.22	0.98	7	21.71	1.29	7	1.4%	-11.84 [-17.12, -6.56]	
Vikas 2005	2.4	0.3	7	3.1	0.5	7	4.7%	-1.59 [-2.85, -0.33]	4
Vikas 2006	0.6	0.2	7	1.5	0.3	7	4.1%	-3.30 [-5.09, -1.52]	•
Vikas Chander 2006	1.6	0.5	7	3.7	0.8	7	4.2%	-2.95 [-4.61, -1.29]	-
Y Gan 2016	7.69	0.45	10	9.95	0.67	10	4.3%	-3.79 [-5.37, -2.22]	-
YAN2018	0.38	0.4	6	0.68	0.6	6	4.8%	-0.54 [-1.71, 0.62]	1
Yi-Hsin 2019	0.27	0.8	8	0.41	0.2	8	4.9%	-0.23 [-1.21, 0.76]	t t
Subtotal (95% CI)			147			147	63.7%	-2.65 [-3.57, -1.72]	1
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 3	2.61; Ch	i² = 10	1.35, d	f = 15 (F	< 0.0	0001); I	²= 85%		
Test for overall effect: 2	2 = 5.62	(P < 0.	00001)						
		2							
Total (95% CI)			218			218	100.0%	-2.50 [-3.23, -1.78]	1
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 3	2.55; Ch	i <b>²</b> = 16	3.80, d	f = 24 (F	° < 0.0	0001); I	²= 85%		
Test for overall effect: 2	2 = 6.73	(P < 0.	00001)						Favours RSV Favours plaacho
Test for subaroup diffe	rences:	Chi <sup>2</sup> =	0.19.0	f=1 (P	= 0.66	$  _{1}^{2} = 0$	%		Favours Nov Favours plaetho

Figure 10. Funnel plot for the effects of RSV on Scr in different duration.

# **Author contributions**

SC and ST designed the study. SC searched databases. SY collected the data. XF assessed the quality of the studies. SC and ST performed all analyses. SC wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to this systematic review and meta-analysis.

## **Disclosure statement**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

# Funding

This work was supported by the Henan Natural Science Foundation [No. 222300420247], the Scientific Research Project of Luohe Medical College in 2019 [No. 2019-LYZKYZD013], and the Medical Science and Technology Research Plan of Henan Province [No. LHGJ20191406].

## ORCID

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## References

- Begg CB, Mazumdar M. 1994. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics. 50(4):1088–1101.
- Bienholz A, Mae Pang R, Guberina H, Rauen U, Witzke O, Wilde B, Petrat F, Feldkamp T, Kribben A. 2017. Resveratrol does not protect from ischemia-induced acute kidney injury in an *in vivo* rat model. Kidney Blood Press Res. 42(6):1090–1103.
- Boozari M, Hosseinzadeh H. 2017. Natural medicines for acute renal failure: a review. Phytother Res. 31(12):1824–1835.
- Buys-Gonçalves GF, Sampaio F, Silva M, Pereira-Sampaio MA, De Souza DB. 2020. Histomorphometric evaluation of the rat kidney submitted to warm ischemia and the protective effect of resveratrol. Am J Surg. 220(4):1119–1123.
- DerSimonian R, Laird N. 1986. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Controlled Clin Trials. 7(3):177–188.
- Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. 1997. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 315(7109):629–634.
- Farrar A. 2018. Acute kidney injury. Nurs Clin North Am. 53(4):499-510.
- Feng Q, Yu X, Qiao Y, Pan S, Wang R, Zheng B, Wang H, Ren KD, Liu H, Yang Y. 2022. Ferroptosis and acute kidney injury (AKI): molecular mechanisms and therapeutic potentials. Front Pharmacol. 13:858676.

- Galiniak S, Aebisher D, Bartusik-Aebisher D. 2019. Health benefits of resveratrol administration. Acta Biochim Pol. 66(1):13–21.
- Gu T, Wang N, Wu T, Ge Q, Chen L. 2021. Antioxidative stress mechanisms behind resveratrol: a multidimensional analysis. J Food Qual. 2021:1–12.
- Hemsinli D, Tumkaya L, Ergene S, Karakisi SO, Mercantepe T, Çı nar S, Yilmaz A. 2021. Resveratrol prevents acute renal injury in a model of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm. Hum Exp Toxicol. 40(4):555–565.
- Higgins JPT, Green S. 2011. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.1.0 (Updated March 2011). Cochrane Collaboration website. [accessed 2021 Nov 20]. http://training.cochrane.org/handbook.
- Kang X, Zheng X, Xu D, Su T, Zhou Y, Ji J, Yu Q, Cui Y, Yang L. 2021. A cross-sectional study of chemotherapy-related AKI. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 77(10):1503–1512.
- Kellum JA, Romagnani P, Ashuntantang G, Ronco C, Zarbock A, Anders HJ. 2021. Acute kidney injury. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 7(1):52.
- Levey AS. 2022. Defining AKD: the spectrum of AKI, AKD, and CKD. Nephron. 146(3):302–305.
- Mantel N, Haenszel W. 1959. Statistical aspects of the analysis of data from retrospective studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst. 22(4):719-748.

- Vijayan A, Abdel-Rahman EM, Liu KD, Goldstein SL, Agarwal A, Okusa MD, Cerda J. 2021. Recovery after critical illness and acute kidney injury. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 16(10):1601–1609.
- Wang B, Wang Y, Xu K, Zeng Z, Xu Z, Yue D, Li T, Luo J, Liu J, Yuan J. 2021. Resveratrol alleviates sepsis-induced acute kidney injury by deactivating the lncRNA MALAT1/MiR-205 axis. cejoi. 46(3):295–304.
- Wang M, Weng X, Chen H, Chen Z, Liu X. 2020. Resveratrol inhibits TNFα-induced inflammation to protect against renal ischemia/reperfusion injury in diabetic rats. Acta Cir Bras. 35(5):e202000506.
- Wang Y, Feng F, Liu M, Xue J, Huang H. 2018. Resveratrol ameliorates sepsis-induced acute kidney injury in a pediatric rat model via Nrf2 signaling pathway. Exp Ther Med. 16(4):3233–3240.
- Xiao B, Ma W, Zheng Y, Li Z, Li D, Zhang Y, Li Y, Wang D. 2021. Effects of resveratrol on the inflammatory response and renal injury in hyperuricemic rats. Nutr Res Pract. 15(1):26–37.
- Zankar S, Trentin-Sonoda M, Viñas JL, Rodriguez RA, Bailey A, Allan D, Burns KD. 2021. Therapeutic effects of micro-RNAs in preclinical studies of acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Sci Rep. 11(1):9100.