

# Unbiased high-throughput characterization of mussel transcriptomic responses to sublethal concentrations of the biotoxin okadaic acid

Victoria Suarez-Ulloa<sup>1</sup>, Juan Fernandez-Tajes<sup>2</sup>, Vanessa Aguiar-Pulido<sup>3</sup>, M. Veronica Prego-Faraldo<sup>1,4</sup>, Fernanda Florez-Barros<sup>5</sup>, Alexia Sexto-Iglesias<sup>4</sup>, Josefina Mendez<sup>4</sup> and Jose M. Eirin-Lopez<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Chromatin Structure and Evolution Group (Chromevol), Department of Biological Sciences, Florida International University, Miami, FL, United States of America

<sup>2</sup> McCarthy Group, Wellcome Trust Center for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup> Bioinformatics Research Group (BioRG), School of Computing & Information Sciences, Florida International University, Miami, FL, United States of America

<sup>4</sup> Xenomar Group, Department of Cellular and Molecular Biology, University of A Coruña, A Coruña, Spain

<sup>5</sup> Centre for Nephrology, Royal Free Hospital, University College London, London, United Kingdom

## ABSTRACT

**Background.** Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs) responsible for Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning (DSP) represent a major threat for human consumers of shellfish. The biotoxin Okadaic Acid (OA), a well-known phosphatase inhibitor and tumor promoter, is the primary cause of acute DSP intoxications. Although several studies have described the molecular effects of high OA concentrations on sentinel organisms (e.g., bivalve molluscs), the effect of prolonged exposures to low (sublethal) OA concentrations is still unknown. In order to fill this gap, this work combines Next-Generation sequencing and custom-made microarray technologies to develop an unbiased characterization of the transcriptomic response of mussels during early stages of a DSP bloom.

**Methods.** Mussel specimens were exposed to a HAB episode simulating an early stage DSP bloom (200 cells/L of the dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum lima* for 24 h). The unbiased characterization of the transcriptomic responses triggered by OA was carried out using two complementary methods of cDNA library preparation: normalized and Suppression Subtractive Hybridization (SSH). Libraries were sequenced and read datasets were mapped to Gene Ontology and KEGG databases. A custom-made oligonucleotide microarray was developed based on these data, completing the expression analysis of digestive gland and gill tissues.

**Results.** Our findings show that exposure to sublethal concentrations of OA is enough to induce gene expression modifications in the mussel *Mytilus*. Transcriptomic analyses revealed an increase in proteasomal activity, molecular transport, cell cycle regulation, energy production and immune activity in mussels. Oppositely, a number of transcripts hypothesized to be responsive to OA (notably the Serine/Threonine phosphatases PP1 and PP2A) failed to show substantial

Submitted 15 September 2015

Accepted 3 November 2015

Published 19 November 2015

Corresponding author

Jose M. Eirin-Lopez,  
jeirinlo@fiu.edu

Academic editor

María Ángeles Esteban

Additional Information and  
Declarations can be found on  
page 15

DOI 10.7717/peerj.1429

© Copyright

2015 Suarez-Ulloa et al.

Distributed under

Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

modifications. Both digestive gland and gill tissues responded similarly to OA, although expression modifications were more dramatic in the former, supporting the choice of this tissue for future biomonitoring studies.

**Discussion.** Exposure to OA concentrations within legal limits for safe consumption of shellfish is enough to disrupt important cellular processes in mussels, eliciting sharp transcriptional changes as a result. By combining the study of cDNA libraries and a custom-made OA-specific microarray, our work provides a comprehensive characterization of the OA-specific transcriptome, improving the accuracy of the analysis of expression profiles compared to single-replicated RNA-seq methods. The combination of our data with related studies helps understanding the molecular mechanisms underlying molecular responses to DSP episodes in marine organisms, providing useful information to develop a new generation of tools for the monitoring of OA pollution.

**Subjects** Aquaculture, Fisheries and Fish Science, Marine Biology, Toxicology

**Keywords** Okadaic acid, Marine pollution, Transcriptomics, Diarrhetic shellfish poisoning, Biomonitoring, Harmful algal blooms, Microarray

## INTRODUCTION

Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs) constitute an environmental phenomenon encompassing critical relevance due to their increasing frequency and impact in coastal areas ([Anderson, 2009](#)). Diarrhetic Shellfish Poisoning (DSP) blooms represent a major threat in widespread geographic areas comprising the Atlantic coast of Europe, Chile and Japan ([Reguera et al., 2014](#)), where natural outbreaks of toxic *Dinophysis* and *Prorocentrum* microalgae produce large amounts of DinophysisToXins (DTXs) and Okadaic Acid (OA) biotoxins ([Sellner, Doucette & Kirkpatrick, 2003](#)). OA is the primary cause of acute DSP intoxication of human consumers of shellfish, causing strong economic losses for the aquaculture industry. This biotoxin constitutes a well-known phosphatase inhibitor encompassing tumorigenic and apoptotic effects, even at low concentrations ([Prego-Faraldo et al., 2015](#)). Indeed, OA is capable of inducing genotoxic and cytotoxic damage, representing a hazard under chronic exposure conditions ([Prego-Faraldo et al., 2013](#); [Valdiglesias et al., 2013](#)).

Given the noted risks of OA for human health and marine ecosystems, DSP events represent one of the most important threats for the shellfish aquaculture industry. Consequently, important efforts have been dedicated to develop rapid and sensible DSP biomonitoring methods, most notably using bivalve molluscs (e.g., mussels, oysters, clams, etc.) as sentinel organisms ([Manfrin et al., 2010](#); [Fernandez-Tajes et al., 2011](#); [McNabb et al., 2012](#); [Romero-Geraldo, Garcia-Lagunas & Hernandez-Saavedra, 2014](#); [Huang et al., 2015](#)). The choice of these organisms is supported by their wide distribution, sessile and filter-feeding lifestyles as well as their ability to accumulate high amounts of biotoxins, while displaying a particularly strong resilience to their harmful effects ([Svensson, Sarngren & Forlin, 2003](#); [Prado-Alvarez et al., 2012](#); [Prado-Alvarez et al., 2013](#)). During

the last decade, the increasing availability of genomic resources in bivalves has improved classical biomonitoring approaches (e.g., quantification of biotoxin content in mollusc tissues), notably by developing molecular high-throughput studies evaluating omic (transcriptomic and proteomic) responses to HAB stress and their potential biomarker application (Manfrin *et al.*, 2010; Suarez-Ulloa *et al.*, 2013a; Gerdol *et al.*, 2014; Huang *et al.*, 2015). Nonetheless, while this approach has proven to be a promising venue for pollution biomonitoring (Campos *et al.*, 2012; Suarez-Ulloa *et al.*, 2013b), additional efforts are still required to clarify the cause–effect relationships between environmental stressors and changes in gene expression patterns. In doing so, it will be possible to transform the extraordinary amount of molecular data resulting from omic experiments into a practical tool for marine pollution biomonitoring.

Mussels start accumulating OA in their tissues during early stages of DSP blooms, however, their commercialization is still allowed by the applicable legislation as long as the concentration of this biotoxin does not exceed the legal threshold of 160 µg OA equivalents/kg shellfish meat (European Union legislation). Nonetheless, it has been demonstrated that exposure to low OA concentrations for short periods of time is enough to produce genotoxic and cytotoxic effects *in vitro* (Prego-Faraldo *et al.*, 2015). The present work aims to provide a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying the environmental responses of bivalve molluscs to sublethal concentrations of OA. For this purpose, Next-Generation sequencing and custom-made microarray technologies were combined to develop an unbiased characterization of the transcriptomic response of bivalve molluscs (mussels) to OA during early stages of a DSP bloom. These analyses build on previous studies (including our own) focused on specific subsets of genes (i.e., chromatin structure/function (Suarez-Ulloa *et al.*, 2013a); oxidative stress, cell cycle regulation and immune response (Romero-Geraldo, Garcia-Lagunas & Hernandez-Saavedra, 2014; Romero-Geraldo & Hernandez-Saavedra, 2014)), as well as on the application of microarray technology to study the OA-specific transcriptome (Manfrin *et al.*, 2010). Our results expand the scope, dimension and methodological approaches of these studies, improving the description of the cellular processes involved in the mussel response to OA toxicity. In doing so, this study generates omic information useful for identifying molecular signatures of marine pollution during DSP blooms. Contrary to quantitative analytical methods (i.e., LC-MS), this approach selectively identifies stressors of very different nature, assessing the magnitude of the toxic effects for organisms and communities. In addition, it provides further insights into the molecular strategies underlying the extraordinary resilience of bivalve molluscs to environmental stress.

## METHODS

### Specimen collection and experimental simulation of DSP HABs

Mussel specimens (*Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Lam.)) were collected in Valcobo beach, Galicia, NW Spain (43°19'02.71"N 8°21'56.35"W) in an area free of OA pollution during the resting period of the reproductive cycle (Banni *et al.*, 2011). Sampled individuals (adults between 10 and 15 cm) were randomly divided into two experimental groups;

exposed (exposed group) and non-exposed (control group) to the OA-producing dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum lima*. Both groups were kept in aerated seawater tanks and fed continuously with a suspension of the microalgae *Tetraselmis suecica* and *Isochrysis galbana*. After acclimation (one week), the exposed group was fed with 200 cells/L of a *Prorocentrum lima* culture (exponential phase) for 24 h. Specimens were dissected immediately after exposure, collecting samples from digestive gland and gill tissues. Each experimental sample consisted of tissue obtained from 5 individuals per group, dissected and pooled for RNA extraction.

### **RNA extraction and construction of cDNA libraries**

The OA content in exposed and control samples was quantified using high-resolution mass spectrometry ([Domenech et al., 2014](#)). Total RNA was extracted from digestive gland and gill tissues using TRIzol<sup>®</sup> (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration and quality check was measured using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA). cDNA library construction and pyrosequencing were performed using digestive gland samples, based on the larger absorption and accumulation of OA in this tissue. cDNA libraries were obtained from digestive gland tissue using the SMARTer<sup>™</sup> PCR cDNA synthesis kit (Clontech, Mountain View, California, USA) and purified with GeneJET<sup>™</sup> PCR Purification Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The construction of normalized cDNA libraries (norm), for both exposed (mgt) and control (mgc) samples was carried out using the Trimer cDNA Normalization Kit (Evrogen, Moscow, Russia) following manufacturer's protocol. This method enhances the detection of rare (lower concentration) transcripts by decreasing the prevalence of highly abundant transcripts ([Bogdanova et al., 2011](#)). The Suppression Subtractive Hybridization (SSH) libraries were constructed using the PCR-Select<sup>™</sup> cDNA subtraction kit (Clontech, Mountain View, California, USA), following manufacturer's instructions. Accordingly, two types of SSH libraries were produced: forward (fwd) and reverse (rev), representing upregulated and downregulated transcripts, respectively. This method was used to optimize the isolation of differentially expressed transcripts by removing commonly abundant cDNAs ([Diatchenko et al., 1996](#)).

### **Library sequencing and characterization**

Normalized (exposed and control) and SSH (forward and reverse) libraries were sequenced by means of Roche-454 FLX+ Titanium pyrosequencing (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA), with a sequencing depth of 40×. The obtained read datasets were preprocessed, assembled *de novo* and mapped to Gene Ontology (GO) and KEGG databases ([Kanehisa, 2002](#)). Additionally, low quality reads were discarded, and adaptors and low quality ends were trimmed before *de novo* assembly using MIRA v.3.9.16 ([Chevreux, Wetter & Suhai Suhai, 1999](#)). Both normalized and SSH read datasets are available at NCBI's Bioproject database under the accession number [PRJNA167773](#).

The generated contigs were annotated using BLAST (blastx) against the non-redundant protein sequence database (nr), setting a threshold e-value of  $1e^{-6}$  (Altschul *et al.*, 1997). Contigs were subsequently annotated with GO terms using the Blast2GO suite (Conesa *et al.*, 2005; Gotz *et al.*, 2008), including those terms obtained from InterPro and Annex analyses (Apweiler *et al.*, 2001; Myhre *et al.*, 2006).

### **Custom-made microarray construction and differential expression analysis**

The sequencing and assembly of normalized and SSH libraries allowed to design specific probes targeting many of the transcripts identified. Accordingly, an Agilent oligonucleotide microarray encompassing 51,300 probes was constructed using the eArray<sup>TM</sup> design tool (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California, USA) following a two-color Microarray-Based Gene Expression Analysis v.6.5 Agilent-specific protocol with dye swap. Two biological replicates per tissue sample were analyzed in microarray experiments. Expression analyses were conducted using the R package limma from the Bioconductor repository (Ritchie *et al.*, 2015). Results are organized based on the magnitude of the observed change in expression or Fold Change in a logarithmic scale (logFC) and the statistical significance of the observed change in expression represented by an adjusted *p*-value or False Discovery Rate by the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure (FDR). Probes showing an FDR < 0.05 were considered as differentially expressed. The correlation between logFC values of differentially expressed transcripts commonly observed in both digestive gland and gill tissues was analyzed using a linear regression based on Pearson's coefficient of determination. The GO terms for the most representative biological processes in both upregulated and downregulated groups of transcripts were determined using topGO with statistical significance (*p*-values) calculated according to the weight algorithm (Alexa & Rahnenfuhrer, 2010). Lastly, contigs were also mapped to the KEGG database for pathway analysis (Kanehisa, 2002).


## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Characterization of OA-specific cDNA libraries in the mussel *Mytilus***

The analysis of OA in pooled digestive gland tissue of exposed individuals revealed a concentration of 18.27 ng of OA per gram of fresh tissue in exposed individuals (OA content in controls individuals is below detection limit), an order of magnitude below the legal OA limit established for safe consumption of shellfish in the European Union (Reguera *et al.*, 2014). This result reinforces the focus of the present study on early stages of DSP HAB episodes, at a moment when mussels start accumulating OA in their tissues but their commercialization is still allowed by law. The construction of normalized (norm) cDNA libraries yielded 919,177 good quality reads, 514,276 for the exposed group (mgt) and 404,901 for the control group (mgc). After assembly, a total of 24,624 and 16,395 consensus sequences (contigs) were obtained, respectively. Complementary, the SSH libraries produced a set of 1,221,928 good quality reads (SSH) with 469,795 corresponding to the forward (fwd) library and 752,133 to the reverse (rev) library. Once assembled,

**Table 1** Reads and annotated contigs obtained from the cDNA libraries constructed.

	Normalized libraries		SSH libraries	
	Exposed	Control	Forward	Reverse
Reads	514,276	404,901	469,795	752,133
Contigs	24,624	16,395	21,591	33,437
Annotated contigs	10,617 (43%)	7,335 (45%)	6,448 (30%)	18,553 (55%)


**Figure 1** Venn diagram showing the extent of redundancy between the different libraries constructed in the present work: norm\_mgc, normalized control library; norm\_mgt, normalized exposed library; ssh\_fwd, SSH forward library; ssh\_rev, SSH reverse library.

a total of 21,591 contigs and 33,437 contigs were obtained, respectively. Overall, blastx searches against the nr database resulted in the identification of 17,952 contigs from normalized libraries and 25,001 contigs from SSH libraries (see details in Table 1).

Given the high level of redundancy among *de novo* assembled libraries (Fig. 1), contigs were combined into unigenes according to their annotation and were considered equivalent to the annotated transcripts (unigenes are therefore considered a set of uniquely identified transcripts). The normalized and SSH libraries constructed expand and complement partial sequence data previously released by our group in the Chromevaloa database (Suarez-Ulloa *et al.*, 2013a). By combining both sets of sequences, the present work was able to produce a microarray tool increasing the coverage of OA-specific transcriptome in the mussel *Mytilus*, improving the unbiased analysis of the differences in gene expression.

### Microarray-based analysis of transcriptomic responses to OA


The present work expanded previous analysis of the mussel's response to OA exposure using an omic approach using an oligonucleotide microarray designed from the sequences identified in pyrosequencing libraries. Accordingly, a medium-high coverage Agilent



**Figure 2** V-plots showing gene expression differences detected through microarray analysis in digestive gland (A) and gill (B) tissues. These differences are represented as net expression change (logFC) with statistical significance (FDR) indicated as a logarithmic scale. Probes highlighted in blue (FDR < 0.05) and purple (FDR < 0.05 and logFC > 2) represent the groups of transcripts displaying largest changes in gene expression between exposed and control treatments.

microarray (51,300 probes) was designed and developed using the sequences (contigs) obtained from the cDNA libraries constructed in this work. The hybridization of the microarray with RNA samples from exposed and control groups revealed a total number of 14,160 probes (digestive gland) and 6,913 probes (gill) differentially expressed (Fig. 2). The consistency between expression profiles in digestive gland and gill was assessed performing a linear regression of the logFC values of differentially expressed transcripts common for both tissues (i.e., those showing FDR < 0.05 in both cases), showing a good correlation between both sets of transcripts (Fig. 3). The detailed description of the transcripts displaying the highest differences in expression levels in both tissues, along with the maximum observed logFC value in the microarray analysis, is indicated in [Supplemental Information 1](#) and [2](#).

The microarray analysis identified a set of transcripts displaying sharp expression differences between exposed and control treatments [Supplemental Information 1](#) and [2](#), expanding the list of transcripts potentially involved in the response to OA ([Manfrin et al., 2010](#); [Suarez-Ulloa et al., 2013a](#)). This was primarily facilitated by a larger coverage in the transcriptomic assessment, but also by the increase in bivalve genomic information that has been incorporated to molecular databases in recent years ([Suarez-Ulloa et al., 2013b](#); [Gerdol et al., 2014](#)). Differentially expressed transcripts identified in this study include heat shock 70 kda protein 12b, proteases like cathepsins b and d, polyubiquitin and proteasomal subunit beta type-4, commonly associated with an accumulation of misfolded or oxidized proteins observed under different types of environmental stress ([Gotze et al., 2014](#)). A subset of the identified transcripts showing the highest fold-change classified according to their main functional role is presented in [Table 2](#). Our results corroborate previous analyses describing the responses of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* to OA stress ([Manfrin et al., 2010](#)), particularly the strong upregulation of vdg3 and elongation factor 2. In the case of vdg3, this gene is associated with developmental changes during



**Figure 3** Correlation between paired logFC values calculated for transcripts identified in digestive gland and gill tissues between exposed and control treatments. Overall, a good level of agreement is found for gene expression changes ( $R^2 \cong 0.6$ ).

the benthic settlement stage (*He et al., 2014*) and it has only been identified in bivalves, being particularly abundant in the digestive gland. On the other hand, the elongation factor 2 (EEF-2) is widely ubiquitous across eukaryotic taxa, playing an essential regulatory role in protein synthesis as a housekeeping gene. Although the functional implications of *vdg3* and EEF-2 in the context of this study are still unclear, the obtained results support previous reports discouraging the use of EEF-2 as an internal control for qPCR analyses on bivalves without further validation (*Du et al., 2013*).

Opposite to these findings, a number of transcripts hypothesized to be responsive to OA failed to show substantial expression modifications under the conditions of this study. Notably, the Serine/Threonine phosphatases PP1 and PP2A, specific targets in OA toxicity mechanisms, did not show significant expression changes between treatments. OA is a well known selective inhibitor of the enzymatic activity of PP1 and PP2A phosphatases with critical consequences for the cell's fate (*Shenolikar, 1994*). However, our results suggest that the upregulation of the PP1 and PP2A genes is not a relevant strategy versus the antagonist effects of OA. Similarly, Multi-Xenobiotic Resistance proteins (MXRs), good candidates to explain the high tolerance of bivalves versus pollution (*Contardo-Jara, Pflugmacher & Wiegand, 2008*), failed to show significant changes in expression. It is possible that their attributed role in OA uptake could be supplied by other proteins (e.g., the highly upregulated nose resistant to fluoxetine protein 6, a transport mediator of xenobiotics




**Table 2** Selected subsets of differentially expressed transcripts identified by microarray analysis representative of the following functional categories: (a) protein repair or degradation, (b) immune response, (c) transport and energy production and (d) cell cycle regulation.

<b>Protein repair/degradation</b>	<b>Immune response</b>
Heat shock 70 kda protein 12b	Mytimacin-5
Cathepsin d	c1q domain-containing protein 1q3
Cathepsin b	c1q domain-containing protein 1q25
Proteasome subunit beta type-4	Mytimicin precursor
<b>Transport/energy production</b>	<b>Cell cycle regulation</b>
Nose resistant to fluoxetine protein 6	bcl2 adenovirus e1b 19-kd protein-interacting
Interferon-inducible GTPase 5-like	Apoptosis inhibitor iap
nadh dehydrogenase subunit	jagged 1
Atpase H <sup>+</sup> transporting lysosomal 21 kda v0 subunit	Oncoprotein-induced transcript 3 protein

across tissues). Indeed, lysosomal uptake has been suggested as a possible explanation for the extraordinary tolerance of mussels to the effects of DSP pollution (*Svensson, Sarngren & Forlin, 2003*).

In addition to transcripts previously linked to OA responses, our results found an upregulation of an antimicrobial peptide (mytimacin) as well as an antifungal peptide (mytimycin) specific from mussels (*Sonthei et al., 2011; Gerdol et al., 2012*). Interestingly, mytimacin-5 (partial) was identified as one of the most upregulated transcripts in both gill and digestive gland. This peptide is especially interesting among the mytimacin family due to two additional cysteines in conserved positions predicted to form an extra disulfide bridge with yet unknown functional implications (*Gerdol et al., 2012*). C1q domain-containing proteins 1q3 and 1q25 showed a strong upregulation in the digestive gland. C1q is involved in the mammalian classical component pathway, playing an important role in innate immunity. Although no clear homologues to the vertebrate C1q complex subunits have been found in invertebrates yet, a massive expansion in the C1q domain-containing protein family has been suggested in bivalves, including *Mytilus* (*Gerdol, Venier & Pallavicini, 2015*). C1q domain-containing proteins are very versatile and might display a wide range of ligand interactions and functions such as clearance of apoptotic cells through direct binding (*Kishore et al., 2004*). They have been found upregulated in molluscs challenged with different pathogens (*Perrigault, Tanguy & Allam, 2009; Taris et al., 2009*). Although their specific function remains unclear, the substantial upregulation found in the present work might be indicative of a relevant role during environmental stress responses.

Altogether, the obtained results provide valuable insights into the molecular effects of OA in the mussel *Mytilus* and will be improved by considering the following: (a) kinetic effects during transcription and its regulation could bias estimations of differential expression (*Bai et al., 2015*); (b) the strong upregulation observed in endo-beta xylanases and endo-beta glucanases (although coherent with energy production) might be emphasized by the composition of the cell wall from dinoflagellates; (c) the differential



**Figure 4** Graphical representation of the GO terms (general sub-categories in Biological Process ontology) most represented in transcripts differentially expressed for each mussel tissue according to the microarray analysis. The length of the bars is proportional to the number of sequences annotated for each specific GO term.

regulation of antimicrobial and antifungal peptides might be influenced by the presence of infiltrated hemocytes in digestive gland tissue.

### Expression and function profiles of transcripts differentially expressed in response to OA

The GO term annotation of transcripts differentially expressed in response to OA allowed the analysis of the biological processes in which their encoding genes are involved. A comparison of the functional profile for the two tissues studied is shown in Fig. 4. These profiles are based on the levels of representation for the most general sub-categories in GO stemming from Biological Process (Ashburner *et al.*, 2000). Although absolute differences in magnitude are evident between digestive gland and gill (Fig. 2), no major functional differences were found when comparing the profiles for both tissues (Figs. 3 and 4). Nonetheless, such comparison might be hampered by sample size differences (e.g., subtle tissue-specific differences could remain undetected) and the fact that the microarray could lack gill-specific transcripts. Indeed, recent reports suggest that OA might display tissue-specific effects. Accordingly, different cytotoxic effects of OA specific for different human cell types had been demonstrated *in vitro* (Rubiolo *et al.*, 2011). Furthermore, it has been reported that mussel gills display higher sensitivity to OA than hemocytes after one hour exposure (Prego-Faraldo *et al.*, 2015). Tissue specificity is further evidenced by comparisons among enriched GO terms determined for transcripts upregulated and downregulated in digestive gland and gill (Table 3).

GO terms related with transcription regulation and cell cycle are enriched in the set of transcripts downregulated in the digestive gland (e.g., transcription from RNA

**Table 3** Enriched GO terms in sets of differentially expressed transcripts in both digestive gland and gill tissues. Data is sorted based on *p*-value in increasing (*p*-values are calculated according to the weight algorithm in TopGO).

GO term description	GO number	Annotated	Expected	<i>p</i> -value
<b>Digestive gland—upregulated</b>				
Vesicle-mediated transport	GO:0016192	176	60.79	1.00E-09
Maintenance of protein localization in endoplasmic reticulum	GO:0035437	16	5.53	3.80E-08
Cellular response to glucose starvation	GO:0042149	19	6.56	5.70E-08
Cellular modified amino acid metabolic process	GO:0006575	72	24.87	7.40E-08
ER overload response	GO:0006983	15	5.18	1.10E-07
Activation of signaling protein activity involved in unfolded protein response	GO:0006987	15	5.18	1.10E-07
Cerebellum structural organization	GO:0021589	15	5.18	1.10E-07
Regulation of cell migration	GO:0030334	47	16.23	2.40E-06
Negative regulation of cellular response to growth factor stimulus	GO:0090288	23	7.94	2.80E-06
Endosomal transport	GO:0016197	31	10.71	7.00E-06
Pteridine-containing compound metabolic process	GO:0042558	17	5.87	7.00E-06
Secretion by cell	GO:0032940	58	20.03	1.50E-05
Coenzyme metabolic process	GO:0006732	117	40.41	1.70E-05
Regulation of actin filament polymerization	GO:0030833	25	8.63	2.60E-05
Cerebellar Purkinje cell layer development	GO:0021680	18	6.22	2.90E-05
Cellular response to interleukin-4	GO:0071353	27	9.33	3.00E-05
Regulation of protein ubiquitination	GO:0031396	68	23.49	4.40E-05
Negative regulation of protein polymerization	GO:0032272	15	5.18	4.60E-05
Aminoglycan metabolic process	GO:0006022	29	10.02	0.00015
Regulation of lipid metabolic process	GO:0019216	16	5.53	0.00017
<b>Digestive gland—downregulated</b>				
DNA metabolic process	GO:0006259	157	102.77	2.60E-09
Ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis	GO:0022613	130	85.1	4.20E-08
mRNA processing	GO:0006397	70	45.82	1.80E-06
Cilium morphogenesis	GO:0060271	53	34.69	4.10E-06
Transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter	GO:0006366	186	121.76	4.90E-06
Mitochondrial ATP synthesis coupled electron transport	GO:0042775	81	53.02	1.50E-05
Mitotic nuclear division	GO:0007067	82	53.68	2.30E-05
Inorganic cation transmembrane transport	GO:0098662	109	71.35	2.80E-05
Chromosome organization	GO:0051276	167	109.32	7.20E-05
Microtubule-based movement	GO:0007018	97	63.5	8.20E-05
Cilium organization	GO:0044782	42	27.49	0.00018
Positive regulation of ubiquitin-protein transferase activity	GO:0051443	32	20.95	0.00019
Sodium ion transport	GO:0006814	46	30.11	0.00022
Anaphase-promoting complex-dependent proteasomal ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process	GO:0031145	31	20.29	0.00028
G1/S transition of mitotic cell cycle	GO:0000082	44	28.8	0.00029
Mitotic S phase	GO:0000084	30	19.64	0.0004
Chromatin remodeling	GO:0006338	39	25.53	0.0005

*(continued on next page)*

Table 3 (continued)

GO term description	GO number	Annotated	Expected	p-value
Regulation of multi-organism process	GO:0043900	43	28.15	0.00058
Cilium or flagellum-dependent cell motility	GO:0001539	17	11.13	0.00073
Histone acetylation	GO:0016573	33	21.6	0.00078
<b>Gill—upregulated</b>				
Biological process	GO:0008150	926	516.95	1.60E-06
Positive regulation of cell growth	GO:0030307	12	6.7	0.00087
Carbohydrate metabolic process	GO:0005975	39	21.77	0.00149
Cellular catabolic process	GO:0044248	30	16.75	0.04401
Protein folding	GO:0006457	23	12.84	0.05753
Protein polyubiquitination	GO:0000209	17	9.49	0.06629
Lipid metabolic process	GO:0006629	24	13.4	0.09686
Intracellular transport	GO:0046907	18	10.05	0.11899
Nucleobase-containing compound catabolic process	GO:0034655	12	6.7	0.14577
Proteolysis	GO:0006508	22	12.28	0.16789
Single-organism developmental process	GO:0044767	94	52.48	0.19993
Cellular macromolecular complex assembly	GO:0034622	11	6.14	0.20518
Protein complex subunit organization	GO:0071822	11	6.14	0.20518
Generation of neurons	GO:0048699	16	8.93	0.21463
Vesicle-mediated transport	GO:0016192	21	11.72	0.21627
Response to oxygen-containing compound	GO:1901700	10	5.58	0.28271
Protein complex assembly	GO:0006461	10	5.58	0.31076
Cell cycle	GO:0007049	17	9.49	0.31266
Response to external stimulus	GO:0009605	17	9.49	0.31266
Positive regulation of transcription, DNA-templated	GO:0045893	12	6.7	0.32401
<b>Gill—downregulated</b>				
Microtubule-based process	GO:0007017	25	7.31	1.40E-07
Energy derivation by oxidation of organic compounds	GO:0015980	10	2.92	0.0012
Heterocycle biosynthetic process	GO:0018130	35	10.23	0.0041
Aromatic compound biosynthetic process	GO:0019438	35	10.23	0.0041
Cellular nitrogen compound biosynthetic process	GO:0044271	37	10.82	0.0086
Organic cyclic compound biosynthetic process	GO:1901362	38	11.11	0.0121
Biological process	GO:0008150	926	270.74	0.0161
Regulation of signal transduction	GO:0009966	18	5.26	0.0165
Cellular protein modification process	GO:0006464	57	16.67	0.0537
Nucleotide metabolic process	GO:0009117	11	3.22	0.0687
Response to organic substance	GO:0010033	26	7.6	0.1048
Single-organism transport	GO:0044765	66	19.3	0.1202
Cell morphogenesis involved in differentiation	GO:0000904	10	2.92	0.1363
Regulation of multicellular organismal process	GO:0051239	10	2.92	0.1363
Purine-containing compound metabolic process	GO:0072521	10	2.92	0.1363
Single-organism biosynthetic process	GO:0044711	19	5.56	0.1603
Cell surface receptor signaling pathway	GO:0007166	31	9.06	0.1635
Regulation of biological quality	GO:0065008	17	4.97	0.202
Anatomical structure morphogenesis	GO:0009653	33	9.65	0.2438
Protein modification by small protein conjugation	GO:0032446	28	8.19	0.2836

polymerase II promoter, histone acetylation, mitotic nuclear division, mitotic S phase). On the contrary, these terms are mostly represented in the upregulated set of transcripts in the gill (e.g., positive regulation of cell growth, cell cycle, positive regulation of transcription, DNA-templated). Although this might suggest a higher degree of stress in digestive gland, both tissues consistently show enrichment in GO terms connected to DNA repair and degradation of damaged proteins. Therefore, while the mechanisms involved in OA toxicity could be consistent across these tissues, different responses could be elicited depending on the level of the accumulation. Further research will be required to clarify the extent in which the effects of OA are determined by the nature of the tissue, the time/dose or a combination of both.

Our results show an overall larger number of upregulated transcripts compared with those downregulated, in agreement with previous reports although a strong dependence of the expression profiles with time was demonstrated ([Manfrin et al., 2010](#)). Such findings are further supported by the analysis of the response of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* to OA exposure using time-series ([Romero-Geraldo, Garcia-Lagunas & Hernandez-Saavedra, 2014](#)), showing a strong dependence on time and dose. Altogether, it seems that expression profiles can hardly be extrapolated to other conditions different to those being studied. Given the highly dynamic nature of the transcriptome, only consistent patterns in expression can be informative of environmental stress conditions ([Aardema & MacGregor, 2002](#)). This supports the use of expression signatures rather than individual biomarkers for biomonitoring purposes. Modeling systems of greater complexity including time and dose as variables would provide valuable information about the dynamics of the expression profiles.

The present work was completed by investigating the metabolic pathways associated with those enzymes identified as differentially expressed under OA exposure conditions ([Supplemental Information 3](#)). Most of these pathways are involved in energy production (e.g., glycolysis/gluconeogenesis pathway, the citrate cycle, the pentose phosphate pathway and the oxidative phosphorylation pathway) as well as the regulation of the cell cycle and metabolism of drugs and xenobiotics. The observed functional profiles are consistent between tissues and also with observations in other organisms and types of abiotic stress. Accordingly, the role of metabolic functions was observed at the proteomic level in the mussel *Perna viridis* exposed to OA pollution ([Huang et al., 2015](#)). The differential expression of enzymes involved in metabolic pathways such as Glycolysis, TCA and oxidative phosphorylation suggests that energy production becomes critical in situations of environmental stress. Such observations agree with the responses found in the Eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica* exposed to different types of abiotic stress ([Chapman et al., 2011](#)). Furthermore, the role of the mTOR pathway as key regulator of the balance between energy consumption and cellular development was also evidenced in bivalves under environmental stress ([Clark et al., 2013](#)). An upregulation of enzymes PI3K, AMPK, LKB1 and ERK1/2 from this pathway (responsible for arresting the cell cycle when energy is required for resisting stress conditions) was found in the present work, suggesting that such mechanism is activated in the mussel as a response to OA toxicity. Lastly, the

differential expression of enzymes involved in immunity-related pathways like biosynthesis of antibiotics further supports a link between environmental stress and changes in the immunity system (*Malagoli et al., 2007*).

## CONCLUSIONS

The present work dissects the gene expression changes in different mussel tissues during early stages of DSP HAB episodes, suggesting that low concentrations of OA (below the legal OA limit established for safe consumption of shellfish) are enough to elicit sharp changes in the expression of genes involved in the response to this biotoxin. Prior to this work, a few studies attempted to investigate the transcriptomic changes in bivalves during HABs using high-throughput methods (*Manfrin et al., 2010; Suarez-Ulloa et al., 2013a; Gerdol et al., 2014*). However, the combined application of normalized and SSH libraries together with the development of a custom-made OA-specific microarray in the present work, provides a more comprehensive characterization of the OA-specific transcriptome, improving the accuracy of the analysis of expression profiles compared to single-replicated RNA-seq methods (*Suarez-Ulloa et al., 2013a*). The custom-made microarray platform generated in this work represents a convenient tool for long-term monitoring projects, offering a good level of standardization with lower requirements in computational resources comparing to the otherwise more informative RNA-seq methodology (*Guo et al., 2013*). In addition, the transcriptomic coverage of this microarray is comparable to recent estimations for the size of the complete transcriptome in digestive gland of *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (*Gerdol et al., 2014*) as well as for the transcriptome of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (*Zhang et al., 2012*), thus representing a good approximation to an unbiased tool for expression analysis.

Our results suggest that the response to OA found in mussels is consistent with the model of intracellular response to stress previously reported for bivalve molluscs (*Anderson et al., 2015*). Accordingly, the activation of energy production mechanisms observed in the present work could be producing potentially harmful Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), which unless controlled by chaperones or eliminated in the proteasomes, would induce apoptosis. An increase in ROS production has been recently reported for the mussels exposed to saxitoxins (i.e., neurotoxins responsible for the paralytic shellfish poisoning), supporting the applicability of this model to HABs exposure (*Astuya et al., 2015*). Indeed, our results show an upregulation in important chaperones (Hsp70) and proteases (cathepsins b and d) (*Table 2*) consistently with this model. Particularly the strong upregulation of cathepsins, known to be activated in the lysosomes (*Kagedal, Johansson & Ollinger, 2001*), in conjunction with the activation of transport mechanisms suggested by our results (*Table 3*), offer support to the lysosomal uptake hypothesis proposed by *Svensson, Sarngren & Forlin (2003)*. In addition, the upregulation of antimicrobial peptides suggests the activation of immunity mechanisms in conjunction with the general environmental stress response. However, it remains unclear whether this immune response is automatically triggered by abiotic factors or whether there is an opportunistic attack of

pathogens present in the microbiota of the mussels. Current efforts are directed to clarify this question (*De Rijcke et al., 2015*).

Further work studying more restricted conditions with shorter periods of exposure and lower concentrations of dinoflagellates would better inform about the sensitivity of the transcriptomic approach for the detection of OA-pollution in the ocean. Complementary, long-term monitoring projects in combination with meta-analysis of publicly available data could provide valuable information on the basal transcriptomic changes constituting a general environmental response as well as on the specific transcriptomic signature of DSP toxicity stress.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

### Funding

The present work was supported by grants from the Biomolecular Sciences Institute (800005997) and start-up funds from the College of Arts and Sciences at Florida International University (JME-L), and from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (AGL2012-30897, JM). VS-U was supported by a Graduate Assistantship from the Department of Biological Sciences at FIU. VA-P was supported by the College of Engineering and Computing at Florida International University, under the supervision of Dr. Giri Narasimhan. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

### Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

Biomolecular Sciences Institute: 800005997.

College of Arts and Sciences at Florida International University.

Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness: AGL2012-30897.

### Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

### Author Contributions

- Victoria Suarez-Ulloa conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Juan Fernandez-Tajes conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Vanessa Aguiar-Pulido and M. Veronica Prego-Faraldo analyzed the data, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Fernanda Florez-Barros performed the experiments, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Alexia Sexto-Iglesias performed the experiments.
- Josefina Mendez conceived and designed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, reviewed drafts of the paper.

- Jose M. Eirin-Lopez conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.

### DNA Deposition

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences:

Normalized and SSH read datasets are available in the NCBI's Bioproject database under the accession number [PRJNA167773](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA167773).

### Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The accession number for our raw microarray dataset in the GEO database is: [GSE72817](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE72817).

### Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.1429#supplemental-information>.

## REFERENCES

- Aardema MJ, MacGregor JT. 2002.** Toxicology and genetic toxicology in the new era of “toxicogenomics”: impact of “-omics” technologies. *Mutation Research/DNA Repair* **499**:13–25.
- Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J. 2010.** *topGO: enrichment analysis for gene ontology*. R package version 2.18.0.
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997.** Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research* **25**:3389–3402 DOI [10.1093/nar/25.17.3389](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389).
- Anderson DM. 2009.** Approaches to monitoring, control and management of harmful algal blooms (HABs). *Ocean & Coastal Management* **52**:342–347 DOI [10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2009.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2009.04.006).
- Anderson K, Taylor DA, Thompson EL, Melwani AR, Nair SV, Raftos DA. 2015.** Meta-analysis of studies using suppression subtractive hybridization and microarrays to investigate the effects of environmental stress on gene transcription in oysters. *PLoS ONE* **10**:e0118839 DOI [10.1371/journal.pone.0118839](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118839).
- Apweiler R, Attwood TK, Bairoch A, Bateman A, Birney E, Biswas M, Bucher P, Cerutti L, Corpet F, Croning MD, Durbin R, Falquet L, Fleischmann W, Gouzy J, Hermjakob H, Hulo N, Jonassen I, Kahn D, Kanapin A, Karavidopoulou Y, Lopez R, Marx B, Mulder NJ, Oinn TM, Pagni M, Servant F, Sigrist CJ, Zdobnov EM. 2001.** The InterPro database, an integrated documentation resource for protein families, domains and functional sites. *Nucleic Acids Research* **29**:37–40 DOI [10.1093/nar/29.1.37](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.1.37).
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, Kasarskis A, Lewis S, Matese JC, Richardson JE, Ringwald M, Rubin GM, Sherlock G. 2000.** Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The gene ontology consortium. *Nature Genetics* **25**:25–29 DOI [10.1038/75556](https://doi.org/10.1038/75556).



- Astuya A, Carrera C, Ulloa V, Aballay A, Nunez-Acuna G, Hegaret H, Gallardo-Escarate C. 2015. Saxitoxin modulates immunological parameters and gene transcription in *Mytilus chilensis* hemocytes. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 16:15235–15250 DOI 10.3390/ijms160715235.
- Bai Y, Wang S, Zhong H, Yang Q, Zhang F, Zhuang Z, Yuan J, Nie X, Wang S. 2015. Integrative analyses reveal transcriptome-proteome correlation in biological pathways and secondary metabolism clusters in *A. flavus* in response to temperature. *Scientific Reports* 5:14582 DOI 10.1038/srep14582.
- Banni M, Negri A, Mignone F, Boussetta H, Viarengo A, Dondero F. 2011. Gene expression rhythms in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* (Lam.) across an annual cycle. *PLoS ONE* 6:e18904 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0018904.
- Bogdanova EA, Barsova EV, Shagina IA, Scheglov A, Anisimova V, Vagner LL, Lukyanov SA, Shagin DA. 2011. Normalization of full-length-enriched cDNA. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 729:85–98 DOI 10.1007/978-1-61779-065-2\_6.
- Campos A, Tedesco S, Vasconcelos V, Cristobal S. 2012. Proteomic research in bivalves: towards the identification of molecular markers of aquatic pollution. *Journal of Proteomics* 75:4346–4359 DOI 10.1016/j.jprot.2012.04.027.
- Chapman RW, Mancia A, Beal M, Veloso A, Rathburn C, Blair A, Holland AF, Warr GW, Didinato G, Sokolova IM, Wirth EF, Duffy E, Sanger D. 2011. The transcriptomic responses of the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*, to environmental conditions. *Molecular Ecology* 20:1431–1449 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05018.x.
- Chevreur B, Wetter T, Suhai S. 1999. Genome sequence assembly using trace signals and additional sequence information. In: *Proceedings of the German conference on bioinformatics (GCB)*, 45–56.
- Clark MS, Thorne MAS, Amaral A, Vieira F, Batista FM, Reis J, Power DM. 2013. Identification of molecular and physiological responses to chronic environmental challenge in an invasive species: the Pacific oyster, *Crassostrea gigas*. *Ecology and Evolution* 3:3283–3297 DOI 10.1002/ece3.719.
- Conesa A, Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Talon M, Robles M. 2005. Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics* 21:3674–3676 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti610.
- Contardo-Jara V, Pflugmacher S, Wiegand C. 2008. Multi-xenobiotic-resistance a possible explanation for the insensitivity of bivalves towards cyanobacterial toxins. *Toxicon* 52:936–943 DOI 10.1016/j.toxicon.2008.09.005.
- De Rijcke M, Vandegheuchte MB, Bussche JV, Nevejan N, Vanhaecke L, De Schamphelaere KA, Janssen CR. 2015. Common European Harmful Algal Blooms affect the viability and innate immune responses of *Mytilus edulis* larvae. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 47:175–181 DOI 10.1016/j.fsi.2015.09.003.
- Diatchenko L, Lau YF, Campbell AP, Chenchik A, Moqadam F, Huang B, Lukyanov S, Lukyanov K, Gurskaya N, Sverdlov ED, Siebert PD. 1996. Suppression subtractive hybridization: a method for generating differentially regulated or tissue-specific cDNA probes and libraries. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 93:6025–6030 DOI 10.1073/pnas.93.12.6025.
- Domenech A, Cortes-Francisco N, Palacios O, Franco JM, Riobo P, Llerena JJ, Vichi S, Caixach J. 2014. Determination of lipophilic marine toxins in mussels. Quantification and confirmation criteria using high resolution mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1328:16–25 DOI 10.1016/j.chroma.2013.12.071.

- Du Y, Zhang L, Xu F, Huang B, Zhang G, Li L. 2013. Validation of housekeeping genes as internal controls for studying gene expression during Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) development by quantitative real-time PCR. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 34:939–945 DOI 10.1016/j.fsi.2012.12.007.
- Fernandez-Tajes J, Florez F, Pereira S, Rabade T, Laffon B, Mendez J. 2011. Use of three bivalve species for biomonitoring a polluted estuarine environment. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 177:289–300 DOI 10.1007/s10661-010-1634-x.
- Gerdol M, De Moro G, Manfrin C, Milandri A, Riccardi E, Beran A, Venier P, Pallavicini A. 2014. RNA sequencing and de novo assembly of the digestive gland transcriptome in *Mytilus galloprovincialis* fed with toxinogenic and non-toxic strains of *Alexandrium minutum*. *BMC Research Notes* 7:722 DOI 10.1186/1756-0500-7-722.
- Gerdol M, De Moro G, Manfrin C, Venier P, Pallavicini A. 2012. Big defensins and mytimacins, new AMP families of the Mediterranean mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 36:390–399 DOI 10.1016/j.dci.2011.08.003.
- Gerdol M, Venier P, Pallavicini A. 2015. The genome of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas* brings new insights on the massive expansion of the C1q gene family in Bivalvia. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 49:59–71 DOI 10.1016/j.dci.2014.11.007.
- Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Williams TD, Nagaraj SH, Nueda MJ, Robles M, Talon M, Dopazo J, Conesa A. 2008. High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. *Nucleic Acids Research* 36:3420–3435 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkn176.
- Gotze S, Matoo OB, Beniash E, Saborowski R, Sokolova IM. 2014. Interactive effects of CO<sub>2</sub> and trace metals on the proteasome activity and cellular stress response of marine bivalves *Crassostrea virginica* and *Mercenaria mercenaria*. *Aquatic Toxicology* 149:65–82 DOI 10.1016/j.aquatox.2014.01.027.
- Guo Y, Li CI, Ye F, Shyr Y. 2013. Evaluation of read count based RNAseq analysis methods. *BMC Genomics* 14:S2 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-14-S8-S2.
- He TF, Chen J, Zhang J, Ke CH, You WW. 2014. SARP19 and vdg3 gene families are functionally related during abalone metamorphosis. *Development Genes and Evolution* 224:197–207 DOI 10.1007/s00427-014-0478-8.
- Huang L, Zou Y, Weng H-W, Li H-Y, Liu J-S, Yang W-D. 2015. Proteomic profile in *Perna viridis* after exposed to *Prorocentrum lima*, a dinoflagellate producing DSP toxins. *Environmental Pollution* 196:350–357 DOI 10.1016/j.envpol.2014.10.019.
- Kagedal K, Johansson U, Ollinger K. 2001. The lysosomal protease cathepsin D mediates apoptosis induced by oxidative stress. *FASEB Journal* 15:1592–1594.
- Kanehisa M. 2002. The KEGG database. In: *Novartis Foundation Symposium*, 247:91–101.
- Kishore U, Gaboriaud C, Waters P, Shrive AK, Greenhough TJ, Reid KB, Sim RB, Arlaud GJ. 2004. C1q and tumor necrosis factor superfamily: modularity and versatility. *Trends in Immunology* 25:551–561 DOI 10.1016/j.it.2004.08.006.
- Malagoli D, Casarini L, Sacchi S, Ottaviani E. 2007. Stress and immune response in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* 23:171–177 DOI 10.1016/j.fsi.2006.10.004.
- Manfrin C, Dreos R, Battistella S, Beran A, Gerdol M, Varotto L, Lanfranchi G, Venier P, Pallavicini A. 2010. Mediterranean mussel gene expression profile induced by okadaic acid exposure. *Environmental Science and Technology* 44:8276–8283 DOI 10.1021/es102213f.
- McNabb PS, Selwood AI, Van Ginkel R, Boundy M, Holland PT. 2012. Determination of brevetoxins in shellfish by LC/MS/MS: single-laboratory validation. *Journal of AOAC International* 95:1097–1105 DOI 10.5740/jaoacint.11-272.

- Myhre S, Tveit H, Mollestad T, Laegreid A. 2006. Additional gene ontology structure for improved biological reasoning. *Bioinformatics* 22:2020–2027 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btl334.
- Perrigault M, Tanguy A, Allam B. 2009. Identification and expression of differentially expressed genes in the hard clam, *Mercenaria mercenaria*, in response to quahog parasite unknown (QPX). *BMC Genomics* 10:377 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-10-377.
- Prado-Alvarez M, Florez-Barros F, Mendez J, Fernandez-Tajes J. 2013. Effect of okadaic acid on carpet shell clam (*Ruditapes decussatus*) haemocytes by *in vitro* exposure and harmful algal bloom simulation assays. *Cell Biology and Toxicology* 29:189–197 DOI 10.1007/s10565-013-9246-1.
- Prado-Alvarez M, Florez-Barros F, Sexto-Iglesias A, Mendez J, Fernandez-Tajes J. 2012. Effects of okadaic acid on haemocytes from *Mytilus galloprovincialis*: a comparison between field and laboratory studies. *Marine Environmental Research* 81:90–93 DOI 10.1016/j.marenvres.2012.08.011.
- Prego-Faraldo MV, Valdiglesias V, Laffon B, Eirin-Lopez JM, Mendez J. 2015. *In vitro* analysis of early genotoxic and cytotoxic effects of okadaic acid in different cell types of the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A* 78:814–824 DOI 10.1080/15287394.2015.1051173.
- Prego-Faraldo MV, Valdiglesias V, Mendez J, Eirin-Lopez JM. 2013. Okadaic Acid meet and greet: an insight into detection methods, response strategies and genotoxic effects in marine invertebrates. *Marine Drugs* 11:2829–2845 DOI 10.3390/md11082829.
- Reguera B, Riobo P, Rodriguez F, Diaz PA, Pizarro G, Paz B, Franco JM, Blanco J. 2014. Dinophysins toxins: causative organisms, distribution and fate in shellfish. *Marine Drugs* 12:394–461 DOI 10.3390/md12010394.
- Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK. 2015. limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic Acids Research* 43 DOI 10.1093/nar/gkv007.
- Romero-Geraldo RDJ, Garcia-Lagunas N, Hernandez-Saavedra NY. 2014. Effects of *in vitro* exposure to diarrhetic toxin producer *Prorocentrum lima* on gene expressions related to cell cycle regulation and immune response in *Crassostrea gigas*. *PLoS ONE* 9:e97181 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0097181.
- Romero-Geraldo RDJ, Hernandez-Saavedra N. 2014. Stress Gene Expression in *Crassostrea gigas* (Thunberg, 1793) in response to experimental exposure to the toxic dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum lima* (Ehrenberg) Dodge, 1975. *Aquaculture Research* 45:1512–1522 DOI 10.1111/are.12100.
- Rubiolo JA, Lopez-Alonso H, Vega FV, Vieytes MR, Botana LM. 2011. Okadaic acid and dinophysin toxin 2 have differential toxicological effects in hepatic cell lines inducing cell cycle arrest, at G0/G1 or G2/M with aberrant mitosis depending on the cell line. *Archives of Toxicology* 85:1541–1550 DOI 10.1007/s00204-011-0702-5.
- Sellner KG, Doucette GJ, Kirkpatrick GJ. 2003. Harmful algal blooms: causes, impacts and detection. *Journal of Industrial Microbiology and Biotechnology* 30:383–406 DOI 10.1007/s10295-003-0074-9.
- Shenolikar S. 1994. Protein Serine/Threonine phosphatases—new avenues for cell regulation. *Annual Review of Cell Biology* 10:55–86 DOI 10.1146/annurev.cb.10.110194.000415.
- Sonthi M, Toubiana M, Pallavicini A, Venier P, Roch P. 2011. Diversity of coding sequences and gene structures of the antifungal peptide mytimycin (MytM) from the Mediterranean mussel, *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Marine Biotechnology* 13:857–867 DOI 10.1007/s10126-010-9345-4.

- Suarez-Ulloa V, Fernandez-Tajes J, Aguiar-Pulido V, Rivera-Casas C, Gonzalez-Romero R, Ausio J, Mendez J, Dorado J, Eirin-Lopez JM. 2013a. The CHROMEVALOA database: a resource for the evaluation of okadaic acid contamination in the marine environment based on the chromatin-associated transcriptome of the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis*. *Marine Drugs* 11:830–841 DOI 10.3390/md11030830.
- Suarez-Ulloa V, Fernandez-Tajes J, Manfrin C, Gerdol M, Venier P, Eirin-Lopez JM. 2013b. Bivalve omics: state of the art and potential applications for the biomonitoring of harmful marine compounds. *Marine Drugs* 11:4370–4389 DOI 10.3390/md11114370.
- Svensson S, Sarngren A, Forlin L. 2003. Mussel blood cells, resistant to the cytotoxic effects of okadaic acid, do not express cell membrane p-glycoprotein activity (multixenobiotic resistance). *Aquatic Toxicology* 65:27–37 DOI 10.1016/S0166-445X(03)00097-3.
- Taris N, Lang RP, Reno PW, Camara MD. 2009. Transcriptome response of the Pacific oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) to infection with *Vibrio tubiashii* using cDNA AFLP differential display. *Animal Genetics* 40:663–677 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2052.2009.01894.x.
- Valdiglesias V, Prego-Faraldo M, Pásaro E, Méndez J, Laffon B. 2013. Okadaic acid: more than a diarrhetic toxin. *Marine Drugs* 11:4328–4349 DOI 10.3390/md11114328.
- Zhang G, Fang X, Guo X, Li L, Luo R, Xu F, Yang P, Zhang L, Wang X, Qi H, Xiong Z, Que H, Xie Y, Holland PW, Paps J, Zhu Y, Wu F, Chen Y, Wang J, Peng C, Meng J, Yang L, Liu J, Wen B, Zhang N, Huang Z, Zhu Q, Feng Y, Mount A, Hedgecock D, Xu Z, Liu Y, Domazet-Loso T, Du Y, Sun X, Zhang S, Liu B, Cheng P, Jiang X, Li J, Fan D, Wang W, Fu W, Wang T, Wang B, Zhang J, Peng Z, Li Y, Li N, Chen M, He Y, Tan F, Song X, Zheng Q, Huang R, Yang H, Du X, Chen L, Yang M, Gaffney PM, Wang S, Luo L, She Z, Ming Y, Huang W, Huang B, Zhang Y, Qu T, Ni P, Miao G, Wang Q, Steinberg CE, Wang H, Qian L, Liu X, Yin Y. 2012. The oyster genome reveals stress adaptation and complexity of shell formation. *Nature* 490:49–54 DOI 10.1038/nature11413.