Mobility of the Native *Bacillus subtilis* Conjugative Plasmid pLS20 Is Regulated by Intercellular Signaling

Praveen K. Singh¹, Gayetri Ramachandran¹, Ricardo Ramos-Ruiz², Ramón Peiró-Pastor¹, David Abia¹, Ling J. Wu³, Wilfried J. J. Meijer¹*

1 Centro de Biología Molecular "Severo Ochoa" (CSIC-UAM), Instituto de Biología Molecular "Eladio Viñuela" (CSIC), Universidad Autónoma, Canto Blanco, Madrid, Spain, 2 Parque Científico Madrid, Unidad de Genómica, Madrid, Spain, 3 Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Institute for Cell and Molecular Biosciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, United Kingdom

Abstract

Horizontal gene transfer mediated by plasmid conjugation plays a significant role in the evolution of bacterial species, as well as in the dissemination of antibiotic resistance and pathogenicity determinants. Characterization of their regulation is important for gaining insights into these features. Relatively little is known about how conjugation of Gram-positive plasmids is regulated. We have characterized conjugation of the native *Bacillus subtilis* plasmid pLS20. Contrary to the enterococcal plasmids, conjugation of pLS20 is not activated by recipient-produced pheromones but by pLS20-encoded proteins that regulate expression of the conjugation genes. We show that conjugation is kept in the default "OFF" state and identified the master repressor responsible for this. Activation of the conjugation genes requires relief of repression, which is mediated by an anti-repressor that belongs to the Rap family of proteins. Using both RNA sequencing methodology and genetic approaches, we have determined the regulatory effects of the repressor and anti-repressor on expression of the pLS20 genes. We also show that the activity of the anti-repressor is in turn regulated by an intercellular signaling peptide. Ultimately, this peptide dictates the timing of conjugation. The implications of this regulatory mechanism and comparison with other mobile systems are discussed.

Citation: Singh PK, Ramachandran G, Ramos-Ruiz R, Peiró-Pastor R, Abia D, et al. (2013) Mobility of the Native *Bacillus subtilis* Conjugative Plasmid pLS20 Is Regulated by Intercellular Signaling. PLoS Genet 9(10): e1003892. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003892

Editor: Josep Casadesús, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

Received May 23, 2013; Accepted September 5, 2013; Published October 31, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Singh et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: Work in the Madrid lab was funded by grant BFU2008-04034/BMC from the Ministry of Science and Innovation of the Spanish Government. PKS is holder of a JaePre fellowship from the Spanish Research Council (CSIC). Work in the Newcastle lab was funded by a Wellcome Trust Investigator Award to Jeff Errington (098374/Z/12/Z). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: wmeijer@cbm.uam.es

Introduction

Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT) plays a significant role not only in bacterial evolution but also in the spread of antibiotic resistance and pathogenicity determinants. The main mechanisms responsible for HGT are transformation mediated by natural competence, transduction, phage-related chromosomal islands (PRCI) and conjugation performed by plasmids or ICEs [1–4]. Conjugation is the process by which a DNA element is transferred from a donor cell to a recipient cell. Consequently, conjugation requires direct contact between the donor and the recipient cells. Often conjugative elements are present on plasmids, but they can also be found as mobile elements that are integrated in a bacterial chromosome. These latter forms are generally named integrative and conjugative elements (ICE).

The basics of the conjugation mechanism among plasmids are conserved. For a plasmid to be conjugative it requires a set of genes encoding proteins that (i) process the plasmid DNA into the form that can be transferred, which generally is single-stranded DNA, and (ii) generate a membrane-associated mating channel, called transferosome, through which the ssDNA is transported. The intercellular transferosome is a form of type IV secretion system. Generation of the ssDNA plasmidic form involves a relaxase, which forms a nucleoprotein complex called the relaxosome that introduces a site- and strand-specific nick within the origin of transfer (*oriT*). The relaxase remains covalently attached to the nicked DNA and the relaxasome is linked to the transferosome via the so-called coupling protein. Upon transfer of the ssDNA strand into the recipient cell through the transferosome, the attached relaxase directs recircularization of the ssDNA in the recipient cell.

Good understanding of the process of conjugation and its transcriptional regulation can provide insights into bacterial evolution. Such knowledge will also have important socioeconomic, medical and biotechnological implications. For instance, it may provide valuable information to help control the explosive global spread of antibiotic resistance, and it may form the basis to construct tools to modify clinically or industrially important bacteria that are reluctant to genetic manipulation by other approaches. The process of conjugation and its transcriptional regulation has been studied in considerable detail for various plasmids present in Gram-negative (Gram⁻) bacteria (for review see, [5-8]). However, comparatively little is known about conjugation systems on plasmids from Gram-positive (Gram⁺) bacteria, many of them industrially and medically important organisms, although interest in this field is increasing (for general review see, [7,9]). The conjugation machineries of plasmids from some Gram⁺ bacteria have been studied in more depth. Examples of these are (i) the broad host-range plasmid pIP501, originally isolated from Streptococcus agalactiae [10,11, and references therein],

Author Summary

Bacteria evolve rapidly due to their short generation time and their ability to exchange genetic material, which can occur via different processes, collectively named Horizontal Gene Transfer (HGT). Most bacteria contain, besides a single chromosome, autonomously replicating units called plasmids. Many plasmids carry genes enabling them to be transferred into plasmid-free bacteria. This process, called conjugation, contributes significantly to HGT. Many plasmids also contain antibiotic resistance genes. Therefore, plasmid conjugation plays a major role in the spread of antibiotic resistance. Understanding the regulation of conjugation genes is essential for designing strategies to combat the spread of antibiotic resistance. We have studied the regulation of the native plasmid pLS20 from Bacillus subtilis. Besides being a soil bacterium, B. subtilis is a gut commensal in animals and humans. Here we unraveled the mechanisms controlling conjugation and found that pLS20 conjugation genes become activated when plasmid-free recipient cells are present. We have identified the repressor protein that keeps conjugation in an 'OFF' state, and an anti-repressor that activates conjugation. The activity of the anti-repressor is inhibited by a pLS20-encoded peptide that is secreted from the cell and can be absorbed by cells, after a secondary processing step. Ultimately, it is the signaling-peptide that dictates when conjugation genes become activated.

pCW3 of *Clostridium perfringens* [12], the *Staphylococcal aureus* plasmids pGO1 and pSK41 [13,14], and the *Enterococcus faecalis* plasmids pAD1 and pCF10. For the latter plasmids their transcriptional regulation has also been studied (for review see, [15–17]). A characteristic feature of these latter plasmids is that conjugation is induced by pheromones that are produced by plasmid-free recipient cells.

Bacillus subtilis is one of best studied Gram⁺ bacteria [18,19]. Although many natural isolates of B. subtilis harbor one or more plasmids [20], little is known about conjugation systems present on B. subtilis plasmids. The main reason for this is that most B. subtilis studies are based on a few plasmid-free strains. For this reason and the other reasons stated below, we chose to study the regulation of plasmid conjugation in B. subtilis. First, due to its ability to develop natural competence, its genome and resident plasmids are amenable to genetic manipulation [18,19]. Second, B. subtilis is closely related to fastidious and pathogenic bacilli like B. cereus and B. anthracis, respectively, and more distantly related to the Gram+ pathogen Listeria. Third, being a soil-dwelling bacterium that is found all over the world, B. subtilis may interact with a plethora of other bacteria and can be an effective vehicle for the transit of genes to and from other bacteria. This may be further underlined by the fact that it has become clear in recent years that various B. subtilis strains are also gut commensals in animals and humans [21]. It is therefore not unlikely that B. subtilis plasmids play an important role in HGT at various levels and this warrants a better understanding of them. For our studies we chose the 65 kb B. subtilis plasmid pLS20, which has been identified originally in the Bacillus subtilis natto strain IFO3335 [22] and shown to be conjugative even in liquid medium [23,24].

Earlier studies have determined the replication region of pLS20 [25], and showed that it uses a dedicated mechanism involving the actin-like Alp7A protein for its segregation [26]. In addition, we recently discovered that pLS20cat, a derivative of pLS20 carrying

a chloramphenicol-resistance gene (Cm) [24], encodes a protein that suppresses the development of natural competence of its host [27]. Although it has been shown that the conjugation machinery is predominantly formed at the cell poles [28], little is known about the process of conjugation itself.

In this work we studied the transcriptional regulation of the pLS20 conjugation genes. We identified an Xre-type repressor as the main transcriptional repressor that keeps the pLS20 conjugation system in the default "OFF" state. We show that pLS20 conjugation is not activated by recipient pheromones. Instead, activation of conjugation is exerted by a plasmid-encoded anti-repressor that belongs to the family of Rap proteins; most other members of which are involved in regulation of developmental processes in *B. subtilis*. Moreover, we show that activation of the conjugation genes is ultimately controlled by a signaling peptide that regulates the activity of the anti-repressor. To our knowledge, such a regulatory circuitry mechanism has never been described before for plasmids.

Results

pLS20 conjugation is not activated by pheromones

Conjugation systems present on Gram-positive Enterococcus faecalis plasmids are induced upon sensing a recipient-produced pheromone (for review see, [16]). To study whether the conjugation system of pLS20 is also induced by pheromones we determined conjugation efficiencies in liquid medium under different conditions using a Cm-labeled derivative of pLS20, pLS20cat [24]. Under the first condition, overnight grown cultures of donor (PKS11) and recipient (PKS7) cells were diluted and grown separately. At different times during growth, aliquots of the donor and recipient cells (~1:1 ratio) were mixed and their conjugation efficiencies were determined after a mating period of 15 min. The results presented in Figure 1 show that conjugation efficiencies increased during growth, reaching maximum levels near the end of the exponential growth phase, followed by a steep decrease in efficiencies at later times. The conjugation efficiency patterns obtained are similar to that published previously [24]. The observed increase in conjugation efficiency during the exponential growth phase might be due to accumulation of a conjugation activating signaling molecule produced by recipient cells. If this were the case, replacing the growth medium of the recipient cells with fresh medium before mixing with the donor cells should result in a reduction in conjugation efficiency. Figure 1 shows however that this treatment did not significantly affect conjugation efficiencies, strongly indicating that regulation of conjugation of pLS20 is fundamentally different from that of the enterococcal plasmids.

We then considered the possibility that recipient cells were specifically competent for conjugation during the mid to late exponential growth phase. However this was not the case either as similar levels of conjugation efficiencies were obtained regardless of the growth stage of the recipient cells (in the range of 10^{-3} – 10^{-4} transconjugants/donor). Altogether, these results indicate that the pLS20 conjugation system is not activated by recipient-produced signaling molecules. Instead, they support the view that under our standard conditions the conjugation system is continuously repressed except for a rather small window of time near the end of the exponential growth phase.

Rco_{LS20}, an Xre-type regulator protein encoded by pLS20 gene 27c, represses conjugation of pLS20

The observation that efficient conjugation occurred only during a short time window raised the possibility that conjugation is kept



Figure 1. Conjugation kinetics of pLS20cat without and with replacing the recipient growth medium. Conjugation kinetics of pLS20cat was determined as described in Materials and Methods using strains PKS11 and PKS7 as donor and recipient strain, respectively. At each time point donor cells were mixed with recipient cells either directly (broken line) or after the recipient growth medium had been replaced with fresh LB medium (continuous line), and plated on selective agar plates after a 15 min mating period. t = 0 corresponds to the end of the exponential growth phase. Control experiments showed that the centrifugation step did not affect conjugation efficiency (not shown).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003892.g001

in the default "OFF" state by a transcriptional repressor protein, and is switched on only in a certain period during growth when the repressor is inactivated. To identify a possible conjugation repressor gene we sequenced and annotated pLS20cat, and used this information to construct a genetic map of pLS20cat (Figure 2). The following features identified gene 27c as a possible candidate encoding a conjugation repressor. First, in silico analysis indicated that it encodes an Xre-type, transcriptional regulator with a Helix-Turn-Helix (HTH) domain in its N-terminal region (see Figure S1). Second, gene 27c is located immediately upstream of a divergently oriented putative conjugation operon spanning genes 28 to 74. Several of the genes in the 28 to 74 region are predicted to be homologues of essential conjugation genes present on other conjugative plasmids, and homologues of essential conjugation genes are not found outside this region of pLS20cat (see Figure 2). Table S1 gives an overview of the comparative analysis of genes in this region that includes details on the putative translation start sites.

To test whether gene 27c indeed encodes a repressor of the conjugation genes we studied the effect of ectopic expression of gene 27c on pLS20cat conjugation. For this, we constructed strain PKS14 that harbors plasmid pLS20cat and contains an ectopic copy of gene 27c under the control of the IPTG-inducible P_{spank} promoter at the chromosomal *anyE* locus. Conjugation efficiencies for pLS20cat were determined when PKS14 donor cells were grown in the presence or absence of IPTG. Since maximum conjugation levels occur near the end of the exponential growth phase (see above), we first determined conjugation efficiencies of pLS20cat during this phase. As a control, conjugation efficiencies of pLS20cat were determined in the wild type background (strain PKS11). The results presented in

Table 1 show that ectopic expression of pLS20cat gene 27c resulted in a dramatic decrease (>50,000 fold) in pLS20cat conjugation efficiency, supporting the view that it encodes a repressor of conjugation. In the absence of inducer, strain PKS14 showed a small but noticeable decrease in conjugation efficiency (25 to 30-fold) compared to that of strain PKS11 (pLS20cat in the wild type background). This was probably due to the leakiness of the P_{spank} promoter. Based on these results and those presented below we denominated gene 27c of pLS20 rco_{LS20} (repressor of conjugation).

To test the function of gene 27c more directly, we constructed a derivative of pLS20cat, pLS20rco, in which gene 27c is deleted and replaced by a kanamycin marker. The expected constitutive de-repression of the conjugation operon in the absence of Rco in pLS20rco might pose a burden to the cell. Therefore, we introduced pLS20rco into strain PKS9 containing the Pspankrco_{LS20} construct. The resulting strain, PKS86, was used to determine the kinetics of conjugation during growth. Strain PKS14 containing the wild type pLS20cat in the same background, was included as a control. When rco_{LS20} gene was expressed ectopically, the conjugation levels of both pLS20cat and pLS20rco were below the detection level of 1×10^{-8} at all time points tested, confirming that RcoLS20 represses conjugation (Figure 3). Interestingly, in the absence of ectopic Rco_{LS20} expression conjugation efficiencies of pLS20rco differed in two aspects from that of pLS20cat. First, conjugation efficiencies were higher at all time points measured; and second, conjugation levels were high for a very broad window of time. Therefore, in the absence of a functional *rcoLS20* gene conjugation was no longer inhibited, most likely because the conjugation genes were not repressed (Figure 3).

Transcriptional analysis of pLS20cat genes by RNA-seq

Results presented above show that RcoLS20 suppresses conjugation. To establish whether RcoLS20 exerts its inhibitory effect on conjugation at the level of transcription and to identify genes that are under the control of Rco_{LS20}, we performed RNAseq analysis to determine the expression pattern of all pLS20cat genes in a wild type background, and when grown in the presence of ectopic Rco_{LS20} expression. Thus, total RNA was isolated from late exponential phase cells of PKS11, and of PKS14 grown in the presence of IPTG. In parallel, total RNA was isolated from plasmid-free B. subtilis 168 cells grown under the same conditions to serve as a negative control. After processing, the RNA samples were used to generate cDNA libraries using a "directional RNAseq" procedure that preserved information about a transcript's direction. The generated libraries were subjected to Illumina sequencing resulting in a total of about 56.5×10^6 reads of 36-nt that passed the quality control settings. Of these, 1,596,385 reads mapped to the pLS20cat genome, and were used to calculate the apparent expression level of individual genes. A heat map representation of the expression levels of the pLS20cat genes when conjugation efficiencies were at their maximum is shown in the left lane of Figure 4. The middle lane in Figure 4 represents the effect of ectopic $R\mathrm{co}_{\mathrm{LS20}}$ production on the expression of the pLS20cat genes. Thus, increasing and decreasing RNA levels of individual genes are reflected by the intensity of green and red colors, respectively. The right lane (+rap) is explained further below. The additional expression of gene 27c encoding Rco_{LS20} from the ectopic locus is reflected by the green color of the corresponding rectangle. Importantly, the heat map shows significantly reduced RNA levels for genes 28 to 72, as well as gene 74, indicating that Rco_{LS20} is responsible for repressing these genes. Some other genes outside the region spanning 28-74



Figure 2. Genetic map of pLS20cat. (Putative) genes are numbered. Gene 1 corresponds to the homologue of gene 1 of the related *Bacillus pumilus* NRS576 plasmid p576 [37]. The positions and the lengths of the (putative) genes are indicated by arrows. Rightward and leftward oriented genes are indicated in purple and orange, respectively. Putative Rho-independent transcriptional terminators are indicated with green hairpin structures. The origin of replication region and the gene conferring resistance to chloramphenicol are labeled with green rectangles. The DNA region containing the chloramphenicol gene was cloned into the unique *Sall* site located in pLS20 gene 13 [24]. The sequences flanking the Cm resistance cassette coding for the N- and C-terminal regions of gene 13 are labeled 13-N and 13-C, respectively. The putative conjugation operon encompassing genes 28 to 74, is highlighted by a blue background. Genes showing significant homology with genes reported to be involved in conjugation in other systems are shown in black. Recently, the complete pLS20cat sequence has been deposited by Itaya,M., *et al.* (Mitsuhiro Itaya Keio University, Japan) in public database under accession numbers NC_015148.1 and AB615352.1. pLS20cat gene 25, according to our nomenclature, corresponds to gene 001 of the deposited sequence. Due to differences in annotation we prefer to maintain our nomenclature.

are also repressed under these conditions. Further analysis using quantitative RT-PCR confirmed these results (data not shown). At present, we do not know whether Rco_{LS20} represses these genes directly or indirectly, but the results clearly show that Rco_{LS20} represses genes 28 to 72 as well as other putative plasmid genes encoding proteins of unknown function, such as genes 11 and 16–21c.

Rap_{LS20} is not involved in sporulation or competence but stimulates conjugation by counteracting Rco_{LS20} -mediated repression

Located downstream of the repressor gene rco_{LS20} in pLS20cat is a putative *rap-phr* cassette (genes 25–26); the genes which we name rap_{LS20} and phr_{LS20} , respectively (see Figure 2). The genome of *B. subtilis* contains eleven *rap* genes. The name *rap* refers to the activity of the founding member RapA shown to be a Regulator Aspartate Phosphatase [29]. The functions of Rap proteins are to interfere with developmental processes such as sporulation, competence development and production of degradative enzymes and antibiotics [29–35]. In addition, *rap* genes have been identified on rolling-circle and theta replicating plasmids from *B. subtilis* and on the *Bacillus anthracis* megaplasmid pXO1 [25,36–38]. For those analyzed, plasmid-encoded *rap* genes also affect the production of extracellular proteases or sporulation [38–40]. Based on this, it seemed plausible that *rap*_{LS20} too could play a role in sporulation and/or competence. To test this, we constructed strain GR20, which contains a copy of *rap*_{LS20} at the chromosomal *amyE* locus under the control of the inducible P_{spank} promoter. Surprisingly though, overexpression of Rap_{LS20} did not significantly affect sporulation or competence (supplemental Table S2).

The particular gene arrangement, being that the *rap-phr* cassette flanks rco_{LS20} , stimulated us then to investigate the possibility that rap_{LS20} could be involved in pLS20 conjugation. For this, we introduced pLS20cat into strain GR20 containing the inducible rap_{LS20} gene, and used the resulting strain GR23 to determine the kinetics of pLS20cat conjugation efficiencies in the absence and presence of ectopic Rap_{LS20} induction (Figure 5). Interestingly, ectopic expression of Rap_{LS20} stimulated conjugation. In fact, the kinetics of conjugation obtained under these conditions was similar to those obtained for pLS20rco, the derivative containing a deletion of gene rco_{LS20} encoding the repressor of conjugation. Thus, in both cases, the maximum levels of conjugation increased Table 1. pLS20 gene 27c (rco_{LS20}) encodes a repressor of conjugation.

Strain	genotype	Plasmid	IPTG (1 mM)	Conjugation efficiency *
PKS11	168 (wt)	pLS20cat	-	5.6 10 ⁻³
			+	3.8 10 ⁻³
PKS14	168, amyE::P _{spank-} rco _{LS20}	pLS20cat	-	1.6 10 ⁻⁴
			+	<1 10 ⁻⁸
PKS86	168, amyE::P _{spank-} rco _{LS20}	pLS20rco	-	5.7×10 ⁻²
			+	<1×10 ⁻⁸

*: Conjugation efficiencies are calculated as transconjugants/donor. Conjugation efficiencies are the mean value of at least three independent experiments. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003892.t001

and efficient conjugation occurred during a much broader time window. These results are a strong indication that Rap_{LS20} acts to counteract the Rco_{LS20}-mediated repression of pLS20 conjugation.

The results of two additional approaches support this view. First, we determined the expression profile of pLS20cat genes in strain GR23 when rap_{LS20} was expressed ectopically by RNAseq. A heat map representation of the results is presented in the right lane of Figure 4. Interestingly, almost all of the pLS20cat genes whose expression was repressed by Rco_{LS20} (middle lane, red rectangles), most noticeably genes 28 to 74 containing the predicted essential conjugation genes, were overexpressed when RapLS20 was induced ectopically (right lane, green rectangles). Second, we deleted rap_{LS20} from pLS20cat by replacing it with a Km marker, and then determined the conjugation kinetics of the resulting plasmid pLS20rap. Consistent with its role as a positive regulator, absence of rap_{LS20} resulted in a severe reduction in conjugation efficiency (strain PKS79) (Figure 5). The combination of these results provides compelling evidence that Rap_{LS20} stimulates conjugation by relieving Rco_{LS20} mediated repression of the conjugation genes.

Rapl of B. subtilis ICEBs1 affects sporulation

The chromosomes of some *B. subtilis* strains contain a conjugative element, named ICE*Bs1* [41]. Transfer of this ICE has been shown to be activated by a member of the *rap* gene family, *rapI*, which is located within the ICE*Bs1* element [42]. Hence, both *rapI* and *rap_{LS20}* play a role in the regulation of a conjugative element. Based on their similar function we expected that, like *rap_{LS20}*, *rapI* would not affect sporulation. To test this prediction we constructed PKS139, an ICE-negative strain in which *rapI* is placed at *amyE* under the control of the IPTG inducible P_{hyspank} promoter, and used it to determine the efficiency of sporulation with and without induction of RapI. Surprisingly, sporulation efficiency dropped more than 200-fold when RapI was overexpressed, demonstrating that unlike Rap_{LS20}, RapI severely affected sporulation (supplemental Table S3).

Phr*_{LS20} inhibits the activity of Rap_{LS20} and thereby determines the time window of efficient conjugation

Many *rap* genes are transcriptionally coupled to a downstreamlocated *phr* gene. The small *phr* genes encode a product that, after being subjected to an export-import-maturation process, produces a mature penta- or hexapeptide that inhibits the activity of its cognate Rap protein. A putative *phr* gene, *phr*_{LS20}, is located immediately downstream of *rap*_{LS20}. The stop/start codons of these genes overlap and hence *phr*_{LS20} is translationally coupled to *rap*_{LS20}, a situation that is similar to those observed for some other *rap-phr* cassettes. Inspection of the deduced protein sequence

suggests that phr_{LS20} indeed encodes a typical pre-pro-peptide. The 44 residue gene product is predicted to contain an N-terminal signal peptide, a conserved motif upstream of its predicted maturation cleavage site, as well as conserved residues within the putative mature peptide [25,43]. Based on this, the mature phrLS20-derived peptide is predicted to correspond to the five Cterminal residues of Phr*LS20, "QKGMY", which we will refer to as Phr*LS20. To test a possible effect we determined conjugation efficiencies at the end of the exponential growth phase in the absence or presence of synthetic "QKGMY" peptide. The results presented in Figure 6A show that the presence of synthetic Phr*LS20 in the medium greatly reduced the maximum level of conjugation. These results support the view that Phr*LS20 inhibits Rap_{LS20}-mediated de-repression of the conjugation genes. Conjugation efficiency did not alter significantly in the presence of another pentapeptide "EKAII", demonstrating the specificity of the Phr*_{LS20} (not shown). The "EKAII" peptide is the predicted



Figure 3. Gene 27c of pLS20cat encodes a repressor of conjugation. Conjugation kinetics of pLS20cat and pLS20rco were determined with and without ectopic expression of pLS20 gene 27c as described in Materials and Methods. PKS7 was used as recipient strain. Donor strains PKS14 (pLS20cat) and PKS86 (pLS20rco) both contain an ectopic copy of pLS20cat gene 27c under the IPTG inducible P_{spank} promoter at the chromosomal *amy*E locus. t = 0 corresponds to the end of the exponential growth phase. The conjugation efficiencies obtained for strains PKS14 and PKS86 were below the detection level of 1×10^{-8} when grown in the presence of IPTG.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003892.g003



Figure 4. Heat map representation of the expression levels of the pLS20cat genes at late exponential phase under various conditions analyzed by RNAseq. Left lane ("wt") shows the expression level of pLS20cat genes in the wild type strain background at late exponential phase when conjugation efficiency is at its maximum. Expression levels are presented on a log₂ scale covering a range from 0 (white, lowest level) to 16 (blue, highest level). Middle (+Rco) and right (+Rap) lanes represent the effects of ectopic expression of Rco1520 (middle lane) or Rap1520 (right lane), respectively, on the expression of the pLS20cat genes. Differential expression levels are presented on a \log_2 scale covering a range of -16 to 16 using shades of red and green for repression and overexpression, respectively. White reflects no change in expression. Gene numbers according to our nomenclature and those deposited in database under accession number NC 015148.1 (preceded by "J") are given on the right). "c" corresponds to leftward oriented genes. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003892.g004

mature Phr_{576}^* peptide encoded by a *rap-phr* cassette located on the related p576 plasmid [37].

Mature Phr* peptides encoded by other *rap-phr* cassettes are taken up by the oligo-peptide permease (Opp) of *B. subtilis* [30,32,42]. Figure 6A shows that Phr*_{LS20} forms no exception because the addition of Phr*_{LS20} peptide hardly affected conjugation when donor cells were *opp*-deficient.

The results of two further experiments provided additional evidence that Phr*_{LS20} inactivates Rap_{LS20}. First, the Phr*_{LS20}-mediated inhibition on conjugation was counteracted by ectopic expression of Rap_{LS20} (not shown). Second, we constructed a derivative of pLS20cat, pLS20phr, in which the *phr*_{LS20} gene was deleted and tested its conjugation kinetics. The results presented in Figure 6B show that inactivation of *phr*_{LS20} had similar effects on conjugation as those observed in the presence of ectopic expression of Rap_{LS20} (Figure 5) or inactivation of *rco*_{LS20} (Figure 3). Thus, in the absence of *phr*_{LS20} conjugation efficiencies are high and conjugation occurs during a very broad time window.

Under our laboratory conditions, efficient conjugation is limited to a rather small time window before the end of the exponential growth phase (see Figure 1). The results that Phr_{LS20}^* inhibits the activity of Rap_{LS20}, and that conjugation levels are high at all growth phases for pLS20phr indicate that the amount of Rap_{LS20} protein is not the limiting factor for activating conjugation but that its activity is inhibited by Phr*LS20 during early exponential as well as stationary growth phases. Phr*LS20-mediated inhibition of conjugation during stationary phase is most likely due to the accumulation of Phr_{LS20}^* during growth, which will reach Rap_{LS20}-inhibiting threshold levels at or near the end of the exponential growth phase. However, the low levels of conjugation during early exponential growth cannot be explained by a similar kind of reasoning because the freshly diluted culture will contain low levels of Phr*LS20 in the culture medium. One possible explanation for this is due to feasible intrinsic features of early exponential cells. This is very unlikely though taken into account that high levels of conjugation were obtained at early exponential growth phase with pLS20phr, pLS20rco or when Rap_{LS20} was ectopically expressed. An alternative explanation could be that Rap_{LS20}-inhibiting levels of Phr*_{LS20} are still present inside the cells after overnight grown cultures are diluted in fresh medium. If this were the case, then high conjugation levels would be expected at early exponential growth phase by first growing the diluted overnight culture of donor cells to the end of the exponential growth phase and then diluting it again. The result of this experiment (Figure 6C) shows that high conjugation levels were indeed observed at early exponential growth phase under these conditions. Altogether, these results provide strong evidence that



Figure 5. Rap_{LS20} stimulates conjugation. Conjugation kinetics of pLS20cat and pLS20rap were determined with and without ectopic expression of Rap_{LS20} as described in Materials and Methods. PKS7 was used as recipient strain. GR23 (pLS20cat) and PKS79 (pLS20rap) were used as donor strains. GR23 contains an ectopic copy of rap_{LS20} under the control of the IPTG inducible P_{spank} promoter at the chromosomal *amyE* locus. t = 0 corresponds to the end of the exponential growth phase. Control experiments showed that overexpression of Rap_{LS20} in strain GR20 did not significantly affect growth (not shown). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003892.g005

 Phr_{LS20}^{*} is the determining factor in regulating the time window at which conjugation genes are activated.

Discussion

Here, we report for the first time the regulation of a conjugation system present on a native *B. subtilis* plasmid. Our results show that the conjugation genes of pLS20cat are not induced by recipient-produced pheromones, demonstrating that regulation of the conjugation system of pLS20cat is fundamentally different from that of the enterococcal plasmids pAD1 and pCF10.

Using different experimental approaches we demonstrated that the pLS20cat gene 27c encodes the master regulator of conjugation, Rco_{LS20} . Interestingly, ectopic expression of Rco_{LS20} , predicted to be a DNA binding protein, resulted in the repression of not only the large, putative conjugation operon spanning genes 28 to 74, but also some other pLS20cat genes located outside the putative operon (for example, genes 11 and 16–21c). While it is possible that the effects of Rco_{LS20} on the expression of some of the genes are indirect, the combination of our results clearly show that Rco_{LS20} is the master regulator of conjugation. Further work to characterize the DNA-binding properties of Rco_{LS20} and to identify the operator site(s) of Rco_{LS20} will be able to provide important information on how the different genes on pLS20 are regulated.

We also show that conjugation is activated by anti-repression and that Rap_{LS20}, encoded by pLS20cat gene 25, is the antirepressor of Rco_{LS20}. Rap_{LS20} belongs to the large family of Rap proteins. At the moment of this writing, the number of *rap* genes present in databases exceeded 500 members. To our knowledge, this is the first time that a Rap protein has been demonstrated to activate plasmid conjugation.



Figure 6. Phr*_{LS20} pentapeptide inhibits conjugation in an *opp* dependent manner. A. Effects of synthetic Phr* peptide on conjugation in the wild type and an *opp* deficient background. Conjugation efficiencies of pLS20cat were determined at late exponential growth phase using as recipient strain PKS7, and as donor either strain PKS11 (wild type, black bars) or PKS98 (*oppA*, grey bars). Diluted overnight grown cultures of donor cells were split in two, and Phr*_{LS20} pentapeptide was added to a final concentration of 6 μ M to one of the cultures and equal volume of the peptide buffer to the other. B. Conjugation kinetics of pLS20cat and pLS20phr. Conjugation kinetics was determined as described in Materials and Methods using PKS7 as recipient strain and PKS14 (pLS20cat) or PKS117 (pLS20phr) as donor strains. t = 0 corresponds to the end of the exponential growth phase. Both donor strains contain an ectopic copy of *rco_{LS20}* under the IPTG inducible P_{spank} promoter at the chromosomal *amy*E locus. Overnight cultures of donor cells were grown in the presence of 1 mM IPTG and diluted in fresh pre-warmed LB medium without IPTG. C. Conjugation kinetics of pLS20cat after re-dilution of the donor cell culture. Conjugation kinetics using PKS7 and PKS11 as recipient and donor strains, respectively, was determined as described in Materials and Methods with the following modification. Overnight cultures were diluted, grown until late exponential growth phase. (OD₆₀₀ = 0,8), and diluted again (to OD₆₀₀ = 0.05) before starting the experiment. B and C. t = 0 corresponds to the end of the exponential growth phase.

Most *rap* genes are present on the genomes of bacilli. The genome of *B. subtilis* contains eleven *rap* genes. The majority of them inhibit directly or indirectly the activity of the transcriptional regulators that regulate processes such as sporulation, competence development and production of degradative enzymes and antibiotics [29–35]. *Rap* genes are also present on some rolling-circle and theta replicating *Bacillus* plasmids, and for those analyzed they too affect the production of extracellular proteases and sporulation [25,36–40]. Surprisingly, our results showed that *rap*_{LS20} plays no role in sporulation or competence.

Why Rap_{LS20} does not affect these differentiation routes may be explained by the recently obtained functional and structural data on how Rap proteins interact with regulatory proteins in the sporulation and competence pathways [44,45]. Initiation of sporulation is controlled by the master regulator of sporulation, Spo0A, which becomes activated upon phosphorylation through phosphorelay. Eight of the Rap proteins encoded by the chromosome of B. subtilis and some Rap proteins encoded by Bacillus plasmids have been shown to interact with and dephosphorylate the sporulation protein Spo0F, one of the intermediate signal transducers. This interrupts the phosphate flux in which the phosphate is transferred from kinases to Spo0A through phosphorelay [46]. Competence development, on the other hand, is controlled by the transcription factor of competence, ComA. Previous studies have shown that RapC, RapF and RapH inhibit competence by interacting with ComA and preventing it from binding to DNA [35,47,48]. Probably all Rap proteins contain a rather small N-terminal domain of about 70 residues that is composed of a 3-helix bundle, a flexible linker, and a much larger C-terminal domain that generally harbors the Rap characteristic tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) sequences [44,45]. The recently resolved crystal structure of the Spo0F-RapH complex revealed that Spo0F interacts with both the C-terminal TPR domain and the N-terminal 3-helix bundle of RapH, including Gln47 in the Nterminal domain. This glutamine residue (GLu49 in the case of RapP encoded by the B. subtilis plasmid pBS32) is highly conserved and it constitutes the catalytic residue responsible for dephosphorylating Spo0F~P [40,44]. The alignment of the N-terminal regions of Rap proteins, presented in Figure 7, shows that neither the catalytic residue nor other residues in this region shown to be important for RapH phosphatase activity in vitro and in vivo are

conserved in Rap_{LS20} or Rap₅₇₆, the latter is encoded by a related theta replicating plasmid p576 [37]. Moreover, neither residues located in the C-terminal TPR domain shown to be important for RapH phosphatase activity are conserved in Rap_{LS20} and Rap₅₇₆ (not shown).

In the case of ComA, several ComA-interacting residues of RapF, which are conserved among Rap proteins known to interact with ComA, have been identified and shown to be vital for the functionality of RapF [45]. The alignment in Figure 7 shows that these residues are not conserved in Rap_{LS20} or Rap₅₇₆, consistent with our finding that Rap_{LS20} does not affect competence. Thus, residues important for interaction with Spo0F or ComA are not conserved in Rap_{LS20}, which most probably explains why Rap_{LS20} does not affect sporulation or competence.

It is worth mentioning that Rap proteins involved in the regulation of the competence and sporulation pathways act as modulators, by inhibiting and/or delaying these developmental processes. On contrary, Rap_{LS20} functions as an activator, and rather than being a modulator, it plays a decisive role in the conjugation process by relieving Rco_{LS20} -mediated repression. Thus, whereas conjugation levels were severely affected in the absence of rap_{LS20} , conjugation was stimulated at all growth phases when Rap_{LS20} was ectopically expressed, accompanied by activation of the Rco_{LS20} -repressed genes as analyzed by transcriptional profiling.

However, the ultimate determining factor responsible for defining the time window during which conjugation occurs is Phr*_{LS20}. The observation that addition of synthetic Phr*_{LS20} peptide inhibits conjugation suggests that the peptide acts in cell-cell signaling rather than being an autocrine signal. Elevated conjugation levels were obtained at all growth phases for pLS20phr that lacked the *phr*_{LS20} gene. These results strongly indicate that sufficient amounts of Rap_{LS20} are available to stimulate conjugation at all growth phases but that, under our standard laboratory conditions, its activity is inhibited by Phr*_{LS20} during early exponential and stationary growth phases, allowing efficient conjugation to occur only during a rather narrow time window near the end of the exponential growth phase.

The concentration of Phr_{LS20}^* is expected to be high when all or the majority of the cells in a population produce the peptide, and low when the majority of the cells are not producing the



Figure 7. Conserved residues important for Rap proteins known to interact with Spo0F or ComA are not conserved in Rap_{L520}. Alignment of the N-terminal regions of *Bacillus* Rap proteins. In addition to Rap_{L520} and Rap₅₇₆, the alignment includes Rap proteins that previously have been demonstrated to dephosphorylate Spo0F (RapP, RapA, RapB, RapE, RapI, RapJ RapH, Rap_{X01} (= BXA0205), and Rap60 [Spo0F-phosphatase activity has not been demonstrated biochemically for Rap60]), and those shown to interact with ComA (RapF, RapC and RapH). Regions adapting an α -helical formation in RapH are indicated with green cylinders above the alignment. The highly conserved tryptophan residue present in all these Rap proteins is indicated in green. The catalytic Gln47 residue of RapH that is conserved in six of the seven other Spo0F-interacting Rap proteins as well as in RapI is highlighted in red. Alanine substitutions in Rap proteins that cause complete or significant loss of function/interaction with Spo0F and ComA are highlighted by blue boxes [44,45]. RapH residue Leu55 is conserved in Rap_{L520} and Rap₅₇₆. It is worth mentioning that although the L55A mutant affected the function of RapH *in vivo*, no loss of RapH function was observed for this mutant *in vitro* [44]. Positions of the α -helices are indicated above the alignment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003892.g007

peptide. In other words, conjugation genes will be activated specifically when donor cells are surrounded by recipient cells, and not by other donor cells. Besides preventing futile expression of conjugation genes when recipient cells are not present, strict regulation of the conjugation genes is likely to serve other purposes. For instance, pLS20cat replicates via the theta mode of replication [25]. During conjugation, however, replication switches to the rolling circle mode to generate the ssDNA strand that is destined to be transferred into the recipient cell. Simultaneous replication of the theta and rolling circle modes are likely not compatible and strict regulation of the conjugation genes, amongst which are those involved in initiating rolling circle replication, contributes to selecting the mode of replication according to the circumstances. In addition, it is likely that expression of the conjugation genes poses a large burden to the cell. This view is supported by our observations that growth is affected in cells harboring pLS20rco and pLS20phr, i.e. plasmids containing alterations leading to constitutive expression of the conjugation genes.

A summary of the regulatory circuitry of the pLS20 conjugation genes is schematically presented in Figure 8. $R_{CO_{LS20}}$ is responsible for maintaining conjugation in the default "OFF" state by repressing the conjugation genes. Rap_{LS20} can activate conjugation by relieving Rco_{LS20}-mediated repression, but is only able to do so when its activity is not inhibited by the Phr^{*}_{LS20} signaling peptide. Therefore, conjugation of the pLS20cat plasmid is strictly regulated by the Phr_{LS20}^* peptide-mediated quorum sensing (QS) mechanism. QS is a common way by which bacteria communicate with one another using small and diffusible chemical signaling molecules. When the concentration of a signaling molecule reaches a certain "quorum", bacteria respond by altering its gene expression profile at a (sub)population-wide scale (for review see, [49,50]). Several cellular processes in both Gram+ positive and Gram- bacteria have been shown to be regulated by QS, among them the development of natural competence in B. subtilis and Streptococcus pneumonia, [30,43,50]. Here, we show that QS plays a role in HGT at another level by regulating expression of conjugation genes of plasmid pLS20. So far, QS has been reported to regulate conjugation genes of only a few other conjugative elements. These include the transfer of the tumorinducing pTI plasmid of the Gram- Agrobacterium tumefaciens into plant cells. In this case, activation of conjugation requires two signaling peptides, one produced by the plant and the other by the donor cell [51]. Regulation of conjugation of the enterococcal plasmid pCF10, -and probably in a similar way pAD1-, also involves two signaling peptides, one produced by donor and the other by recipient cells. The two peptides compete for binding to a single transcriptional regulator, PrgX, and act antagonistically on conjugation. However, instead of being an activator, PrgX is a repressor. When PrgX is bound to the donor-produced signaling peptide the complex binds DNA and represses the conjugation genes. Conjugation genes become activated when recipientproduced signaling peptide replaces the donor-produced signaling peptide in the PrgX/peptide complex thereby inactivating the repressor activity of PrgX. Consequently, conjugation genes are activated by recipient produced signaling peptides [17]. Our results show that the QS mechanism to regulate conjugation genes of pLS20 differs in various aspects from those regulating conjugation of the pTi and pCF10/pAD1 plasmids. First, regulation of pLS20 conjugation genes involves not two but only one signaling peptide, $\mathrm{Phr}*_{\mathrm{LS20}}.$ Second, the signaling peptide does not act directly on the transcriptional regulator but instead regulates activity of another protein, RapLS20, which functions as an anti-repressor. And third, the signaling peptide does not function to activate conjugation genes but to return the conjugation system to the default "OFF" state by inhibiting the activity of the anti-repressor.

Although rap-phr cassettes have not been shown before to regulate conjugation of a plasmid, the B. subtilis chromosomal rapIphrI cassette has been described to regulate activation of the integrative conjugative element ICEBs1 [42]. There are several similarities but also interesting differences between the conjugation systems present on plasmid pLS20 and the chromosomal ICEBs1. In both systems, transcription of the conjugation genes are repressed by an Xre-type repressor (Rco_{LS20} and ImmR, respectively) and the gene encoding the repressor protein is divergently oriented with respect to a large putative operon encoding the structural conjugation genes. In addition, in both cases conjugation is activated by a Rap protein (Rap_{LS20} and RapI, respectively) whose activity is controlled by a quorum sensing peptide encoded by the downstream *phr* gene. As we have proposed for rap_LS20-phr_LS20, a major function of the rapI-phrI cassette of ICEBs1 is a sensing mechanism to induce genes required for conjugation when recipient cells are present [42].

However, there are several important differences between the Rap_{LS20}-Phr_{LS20} and the RapI-PhrI systems. One significant difference is that transfer of ICEBs1 requires, besides RapI, the ICEBs1-encoded ImmA protein to relieve the ImmR-mediated repression of the ICEBs1 genes [52]. The immA gene is located immediately downstream of *immR*. ImmA is a protease that cleaves ImmR and its activity is probably controlled by RapI [53]. However, an *immA* homologue is not present on pLS20cat. More importantly, our preliminary results indicate that Rap_{LS20} functions directly as the anti-repressor of Rco_{LS20} (to be published elsewhere). Another major difference is that efficient mating of ICEBs1, like most other ICEs, is limited to solid media, whereas pLS20 mates also efficiently in liquid medium [23,24,54, this study]. In a typical solid medium conjugation experiment, a concentrated mixture of donor and recipient cells is incubated on a solid surface for several hours, permitting donor cells to intimately contact recipient cells for a prolonged period of time. These conditions also correlate with high cell density, cell crowding and starvation, i.e. stationary phase conditions at which cells generally induce developmental pathways in order to cope with the suboptimal growth conditions.

The third difference is the timing of transfer. Auchtung et al. [42] reported that conjugation of ICEBs1 is low during mid exponential and much higher during stationary growth. The authors provided evidence that rapI is negatively regulated by the transition state regulator AbrB, which represses transcription of several B. subtilis genes specifically during the exponential growth phase [55]. Thus, RapI stimulates transfer of ICEBs1 during stationary phase conditions that favor intimate intercellular contacts; conditions that typically occur during conjugation on solid medium. In B. subtilis such conditions also stimulate initiation of the sporulation pathway. Most probably, sporulation and conjugative transfer of an ICE element are not compatible and hence efficient transfer of the ICEBs1 element would benefit from inhibiting sporulation in that cell. Interestingly, we have found that ectopic expression of RapI strongly affects sporulation. In agreement with our results, it has been shown very recently that RapI can dephosphorylate Spo0F in vitro [56]. Together these results demonstrated that RapI has a dual function: it activates transfer of ICEBs1 during stationary phase and inhibits the initiation of sporulation that is normally stimulated under these conditions.

We have shown that Rap_{LS20} regulates conjugation of pLS20cat in a strikingly different manner. Several results showed that efficient pLS20cat conjugation occurs during exponential growth







Figure 8. Model of regulatory circuitry of pLS20 conjugation genes. A. Repressed state due to Rco_{L520} . Gene rco_{L520} (red arrow, rco) encoding the master repressor of conjugation genes Rco_{L520} is divergently transcribed from the putative conjugation operon encompassing genes 28 to 74 (light blue arrows). Rco_{L520} inhibits expression of the conjugation genes by repressing a promoter, P_c , located upstream of gene 28, the first gene of the putative conjugation operon (our unpublished results). B. Activation of conjugation by Rap_{L520} anti-repressor. Gene rap_{L520} (green arrow, rap) encodes the anti-repressor of Rco_{L520} leading to de-repression of the conjugation genes. C. Repressed state due to inactivation of Rap_{L520} by signaling peptide Phr^*_{L520} . Gene phr_{L520} (brown arrow, phr) encodes a pre-pro-protein of 44 residues. This protein is subject to an export-maturation-import route. The mature peptide inhibits activity of the Rap_{L520} anti-repressor protein. For simplicity, import of the mature peptide has been shown into the cell producing the peptide. Grey cylinders labeled sec and imp, respectively, indicate the secretion and import routes. Extracellular processing of the secreted peptide is symbolized by the brown interrupted rectangle. QS, quorum sensing. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003892.g008

and that it is strongly inhibited during stationary growth. This important difference may be related to the fact that conjugation of pLS20cat occurs efficiently in liquid medium when cells have a planktonic lifestyle and probably spend more time in the exponential growth phase than cells growing in sessile communities. Our results on Rap_{LS20}, together with published results on other Rap proteins, demonstrate the enormous plasticity of how these proteins have evolved into versatile regulatory proteins that control diverse cellular processes by interacting with a wide range of other regulatory proteins.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, media, oligonucleotides and peptides

Escherichia coli and *B. subtilis* strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium or on 1.5% LB agar plates [57]. When appropriate, media or agar plates were supplemented with the following

antibiotics: ampicillin (100 µg/ml), erythromycin (1 and 150 µg/ml for *B. subtilis* and *E. coli*, respectively), chloramphenicol (5 µg/ml), spectinomycin (100 µg/ml), kanamycin (10 µg/ml). Competent cells were prepared as described before [58]. Transformants were selected on LB agar plates with appropriate antibiotics. For sporulation experiments, *Bacillus* strains were grown in Schaeffer's medium [59]. Plasmids and strains used are listed in supplemental Table S4. *B. subtilis* strains are all isogenic with *B. subtilis* strain 168 (Bacillus Genetic Stock Centre Code 1A700). Oligonucleotides used (Isogen Life Sciences, The Netherlands) are listed in supplemental Table S5. Phr*_{LS20} and Phr*₅₇₆ peptides were synthesized by the Proteomics department of our Institute.

Transformation

E. coli cells were transformed using standardized methods [57]. For standard *B. subtilis* transformations, competent cells were prepared as described by Bron (1990). For making knockout version of pLS20cat, high competency protocol was used as described by Zhang and Zhang [60].

Construction of plasmids and strains

DNA techniques were performed using standard molecular methods [57]. All enzymes used were purchased from New England Biolabs, USA. The correctness of all constructs was verified by sequence analysis. To construct a strain containing rcoLS20 gene under the control of the IPTG-inducible Pspank promoter, the gene was amplified from plasmid pLS20cat by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), using primers Xre20UpHind and Xre20DnNhe. The PCR product was cleaved with HindIII and NheI and cloned into these sites of vector pDR110 (a gift from D. Rudner, see Table S4) to produce pDRrco_{LS20}. Plasmid pDR110 is a *B. subtilis amyE* integration vector that contains a multiple cloning site located behind the IPTG-inducible P_{sbank} promoter. Next, the Pspank-rcoLS20 construct was placed at amyE locus at the *B. subtilis* chromosome by transforming competent *B.* subtilis 168 cells with plasmid DNA pDRrco_{LS20} and selecting for spectinomycin resistant colonies. Double cross over event of the resulting strain PKS9 was confirmed by the loss of a functional amylase gene. Plasmid pLS20cat was conjugated into strain PKS9 to give strain PKS14. The same strategy, using primers Rap20UpSal and Rap20DnNhe, was applied to obtain strain GR20 that contains a P_{spank-rapLS20} fusion at the amyE locus. GR23 strain was obtained by conjugating plasmid pLS20cat into strain GR20. In plasmid pPKS26 rapI is placed under the control of the P_{hyspank} promoter. This plasmid was constructed by first amplifying a rapI containing DNA fragment by PCR using oligos oGR85 and oGR86 and B. subtilis 168 DNA as template. Next the PCR fragment was digested with NheI and SphI and cloned in vector pDR111 digested with the same enzymes. Finally, the Physpank-rapI construct was placed at amyE locus of the ICEBs1 negative strain PY79 by using plasmid pPKS26 to transform competent PY79 cells resulting in strain PKS139. A standard protocol was used to construct derivatives of pLS20cat in which the rap_{LS20} , phr_{LS20} or rco_{LS20} genes were replaced by an antibiotic resistance marker [37].

Conjugation assays

Unless specified otherwise, conjugation was carried out in liquid medium as described by Itaya et al. [24]. Thus, for standard conjugation experiments, overnight cultures of donor and recipient cells, grown in the presence of appropriate antibiotics, were diluted 25 fold in fresh 37°C pre-warmed LB medium without antibiotics and grown for 2.5 h in shaking (125 rpm) water bath. Next, 200 µl of both donor and recipient cells were mixed in 2.5 ml eppendorf tube and incubated for 15 min at 37°C without shaking to permit conjugation. Finally, appropriate dilutions were plated on LB agar plates supplemented with proper antibiotics to select either for transconjugants or for donor cells. When conjugation efficiencies were determined as a function of growth, overnight cultures were diluted to an OD_{600} of 0.01. Next, donor and recipient cells were grown separately (180 rpm) and 200 µl of the donor and recipient cultures were withdrawn at different times and proceeded as described above. Growth was followed by measuring OD_{600} at regular intervals. In order to study the effect on conjugation of over-expression of a given gene placed under the control of the inducible P_{spank} promoter, IPTG was added to prewarmed LB medium used for inoculation of the overnight grown cultures. Unless mentioned otherwise, IPTG was added to a final concentration of 1 mM.

All conjugation experiments were repeated at least three times. The entry into stationary growth (t = 0) is determined in retrospect based on the growth curve. Consequently, time points at which samples were taken fluctuate slightly between each experiment. Values for specific time points extrapolated from the curves of repeated experiments showed that they differed by less than 10%. Therefore, the results of representative experiments are presented in Figures 1, 3, 5 and 6.

RNA isolation and RNA sequencing

Total RNA was isolated from late exponentially growing cells by using RNeasy Mini Kit from Oiagen according to manufacturer's protocol. RNA protect solution provided by Oiagen was used to ensure the integrity of RNA during isolation and also to stop transcription at given time points. RNA was treated with DNAseTurbo (Ambion) to remove possible traces of contaminant DNA. Between 5 to 15 µg of total RNA was subjected to rRNA removal using RiboZero (Epicentre, either Gram-positive specific or metabacteria-specific) following the manufacturer instructions to obtain 150-250 ng of rRNA-depleted RNA. Next, RNA of each sample was used to prepare cDNA libraries using a procedure that preserves information about transcript's direction (ScriptSeq mRNA library preparation kit, Illumina compatible; Epicentre) [61]. As specified by the supplier, samples were fragmented for 5 min at 85°C and subsequently bar-coded so that they could be run in combination.

After library prep, samples were titrated by quantitative PCR, pooled and bound at a final concentration of about 10 pM to an Illumina SR-flowcell using a Cluster Station apparatus (Illumina). Libraries were then run on a GAiix equipment (Unidad de Genómica, Parque Científico de Madrid) by SBS under a single-read 1×36 protocol. Quality filtering was performed automatically according to Illumina specifications and fastq files generated.

Bioinformatic analysis of RNAseq data

Data set. The analyzed data set was constituted by five *B. subtilis* subsp. *subtilis* str. 168 and plasmid pLS20cat samples corresponding to four different experimental conditions (see supplemental Table S6), with a total of 56,439,165 single end reads of 36 nt length in FASTQ format. Data were analyzed using the standard bioinformatic analysis workflow of a RNA-seq experiment detailed below.

Reads quality: A preliminary analysis of the quality of the reads was performed using FastQC, a Java tool with graphic interface (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). Percentages between 93.02% and 93.24% of all bases had a quality score of 30 or higher (probability of incorrect base call of 10^{-3} or lower) and between 85.43% and 85.85% of all bases had a quality score of 35 or higher (probability of incorrect base call of $3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ or lower), being 40 the maximum score quality reported in FASTQ format (probability of incorrect base call of 10^{-4} or lower). Because of the high quality it was not necessary to process the reads by filtering or trimming them. The results are summarized in supplemental Table S6.

Alignment: The reads were mapped to the published *B. subtilis* subsp. *subtilis* str. 168 and plasmid pLS20cat reference genomes using Bowtie software [62] with the following parameters. Maximum allowed number of mismatches 3, input qualities are Phred+33 [63,64], and the "--best" option was switched on, ensuring that reported alignments are "best" in terms of chosen criteria (allowed number of mismatches), and that alignments are reported in best-to-worst order. Of the total reads, a percentage between 92.48 and 98.51% could be mapped to the reference genome with 79 to 106-fold sequencing coverage across the entire

genome. Unmapped reads were searched in UniVec database using BLAST [65]. UniVec is a database that contains DNA sequences of cloning/expression vectors, adapters, linkers, and primers that are commonly used in the process of cloning and sequencing nucleic acids (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/ vecscreen/univec/). This database was used to identify such contaminating sequences from the unmapped reads. Of total reads, percentages between 0.18% and 0.56% were assigned to UniVec database sequences, revealing very low levels of vector contamination. Unmapped reads were discarded for further analysis. These results were summarized in supplemental Table S6. Out of the total of 56,439,165 reads, 1,596,385 (2.83%) mapped to the pLS20cat genome, which were used to calculate expression levels of individual pLS20cat genes under the different conditions.

Expression levels: The alignment files were processed using EpiCenter software (http://www.niehs.nih.gov/research/resources/ software/biostatistics/epicenter/), an analysis tool of genome-wide mRNA-seq or ChIP-seq data for detecting differentially expressed genes [66].

Plasmid pLS20cat expression levels were additionally used to draw a heat map, by using Matrix2png software (http://www.chibi.ubc.ca/matrix2png/) [67], that graphically shows the expression levels of "wild type" experimental conditions (left lane on Figure 4). In addition, the heatmap shows the differences in expression of pLS20cat genes when Rco_{LS20} or Rap_{LS20} were ectopically expressed (middle and right lanes Figure 4, respective-ly) compared to the wild type situation.

Computer-assisted analysis

Protein blast (blastP and psi-blast) searches (http://blast.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) were performed for each ORF of pLS20cat to gain insights in the function of the proteins encoded by these ORFs. Alignments of the primary amino acid sequences of Rco homologues were made using the ClustalW2 program (http:// www.clustal.org/clustal2). Adobe Photoshop CS2 and Adobe Illustrator were used for creating figures and art work. The Excel program was used to create graphics.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Alignment of different Xre-type repressors. Helix-Turn-Helix region is highlighted in red. Conserved residues (present in at least 6 of the 10 proteins) are highlighted in yellow. Abbreviations (accession numbers given in brackets): DBHTH_Paeni.HGF7, DNA-binding helix-turn-helix protein of *Paenibacillus sp.* HGF7 (ZP_08510432); Xre_Paeni.polySC2, XRE family transcriptional regulator *Paenibacillus polymyxa* SC2

References

- Ochman H, Lawrence JG, Groisman EA (2000) Lateral gene transfer and the nature of bacterial innovation. Nature 405: 299–304. 10.1038/35012500 [doi].
- 2. Frost LS, Leplae R, Summers AO, Toussaint A (2005) Mobile genetic elements: the agents of open source evolution. Nat Rev Micobiol 3: 722–732.
- Thomas CM, Nielsen KM (2005) Mechanisms of, and barriers to, horizontal gene transfer between bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 3: 711–721. nrmicro1234 [pii];10.1038/nrmicro1234 [doi].
- Novick RP, Christie GE, Penades JR (2010) The phage-related chromosomal islands of Gram-positive bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 8: 541–551. nrmicro2393 [pii];10.1038/nrmicro2393 [doi].
- Frost LS, Koraimann G (2010) Regulation of bacterial conjugation: balancing opportunity with adversity. Future Microbiol 5: 1057–1071. 10.2217/fmb.10.70 [doi].
- Smillie C, Garcillán-Barcia MP, Francia MV, Rocha EPC, De la Cruz F (2010) Mobility of plasmids. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 74: 434–452.
- Alvarez-Martinez CE, Christie PJ (2009) Biological diversity of prokaryotic type IV secretion systems. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 73: 775–808.
- Fronzes R, Christie PJ, Waksman G (2009) The structural biology of type IV secretion systems. Nat Rev Microbiol 7: 703–714. nrmicro2218 [pii];10.1038/nrmicro2218 [doi].

(YP_003945377); Rco_{LS20}, Repressor of conjugation B. *subtilis* natto IFO 3335 plasmid pLS20 (YP_004243490); Xre_p576, Xre type repressor *B. pumilus* NRS576 plasmid p576; DBP_B.subp19, DNA binding protein of plasmid p19 of *B. subtilis* 19 (ABP52080); Regulator_P.elgii, transcriptional regulator *Paenibacillus elgii* B69 (ZP_09077606); Repressor_B.amylo, transcriptional repressor RghR of *B. amyloliquefaciens* DSM7 (YP_003921816); Xre_Dehalo.GT, XRE family transcriptional regulator *Dehalococcoides* sp. GT (YP_00346200); Regulator_Desulfo.DSM, putative transcriptional regulator *Desulfosporosinus youngiae* DSM 17734(ZP_09652311); ImmR_ICE168, XRE family transcriptional regulator of ICE element *B. subtilis* 168 (NP_388363). (DOCX)

 Table S1
 Characteristics of genes and ORFs located in the putative conjugation operon.

 (DOCX)
 (DOCX)

Table S2Ectopic expression of Rap_{LS20} does not affectcompetence or sporulation.(DOCX)

 Table S3
 ICEBs1 encoded RapI inhibits sporulation.

 (DOCX)
 (DOCX)

Table S5Oligonucleotides used in these studies.(DOCX)

Table S6 Summary of experimental RNA seq conditions, sequence reads, coverage, and quality score. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and criticisms that helped us to improve the paper. We thank Anne de Jong for advice on RNA-seq experiments and Jose Belio for preparing Figures 2, 7 and 8. We are grateful to Victor Fernández and Silvia Vázquez of the Genomics Unit of the "Parque Científico de Madrid" for transcriptome experiments, and Lucía Durán Alcalde for technical help. We thank Margarita Salas and Jeff Errington for their support on our work. We also want to thank our lab members for help and discussions, and David Rudner for plasmid pDR110.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: PKS GR RRR DA LJW WJJM. Performed the experiments: PKS GR WJJM. Analyzed the data: PKS GR RRR RPP DA LJW WJJM. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: RRR RPP DA LJW WJJM. Wrote the paper: PKS GR LJW WJJM.

- Grohmann E, Muth G, Espinosa M (2003) Conjugative plasmid transfer in gram-positive bacteria. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 67: 277–301.
- Horodniceanu T, Bougueleret L, El-Solh N, Bouanchaud DH, Chabbert YA (1979) Conjugative R plasmids in Streptococcus agalactiae (group B). Plasmid 2: 197–206.
- Goessweiner-Mohr N, Grumet L, Arends K, Pavkov-Keller T, Gruber CC, et al (2013) The 2.5 A structure of the enterococcus conjugation protein TraM resembles VirB8 type IV secretion proteins. J Biol Chem 288: 2018–2028. M112.428847 [pii];10.1074/jbc.M112.428847 [doi].
- Li J, Adams V, Bannam TL, Miyamoto K, Garcia JP, et al (2013) Toxin plasmids of Clostridium perfringens. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 77: 208–233. 77/ 2/208 [pii];10.1128/MMBR.00062-12 [doi].
- Liu MA, Kwong SM, Jensen SO, Brzoska AJ, Firth N (2013) Biology of the staphylococcal conjugative multiresistance plasmid pSK41. Plasmid 70: 42–51. S0147-619X(13)00022-X [pii];10.1016/j.plasmid.2013.02.001 [doi].
- Caryl JA, O'Neill AJ (2009) Complete nucleotide sequence of pGO1, the prototype conjugative plasmid from the Staphylococci. Plasmid 62: 35–38. S0147-619X(09)00027-4 [pii];10.1016/j.plasmid.2009.03.001 [doi].

- Clewell DB (2011) Tales of conjugation and sex pheromones: A plasmid and enterococcal odyssey. Mob Genet Elements 1: 38–54. 10.4161/mge.1.1.15409 [doi];2159-2543-1-1-6 [pii].
- Dunny GM, Johnson CM (2011) Regulatory circuits controlling enterococcal conjugation: lessons for functional genomics. Curr Opin Microbiol 14: 174–180. S1369-5274(11)00020-8 [pii];10.1016/j.mib.2011.01.008 [doi].
- Chatterjee A, Cook LC, Shu CC, Chen Y, Manias DA, et al (2013) Antagonistic self-sensing and mate-sensing signaling controls antibiotic-resistance transfer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110: 7086–7090. 1212256110 [pii];10.1073/ pnas.1212256110 [doi].
- Sonenshein AL, Hoch JA, Losick R (1993) *Bacillus subtilis* and other Grampositive bacteria; Biochemistry, physiology, and molecular genetics. Washington, D.C.: American Society for Microbiology. 987 p.
- Sonenshein AL, Hoch JA, Losick R (2001) Bacillus subtilis and its closest relatives: from genes to cells. ASM Press.
- Titok MA, Chapuis J, Selezneva YV, Lagodich AV, Prokulevich VA, et al (2003) Bacillus subtilis soil isolates: plasmid replicon analysis and construction of a new theta-replicating vector. Plasmid 49: 53–62.
- Cutting SM (2011) Bacillus probiotics. Food Microbiol 28: 214–220. S0740-0020(10)00049-3 [pii];10.1016/j.fm.2010.03.007 [doi].
- Tanaka T, Koshikawa T (1977) Isolation and characterization of four types of plasmids from *Bacillus subilis (natto)*. J Bacteriol 131: 699–701.
- Kochler TM, Thorne CB (1987) Bacillus subtilis (natto) plasmid pLS20 mediates interspecies plasmid transfer. J Bacteriol 169: 5271–5278.
- Itaya M, Sakaya N, Matsunaga S, Fujita K, Kaneko S (2006) Conjugational transfer kinetics of pLS20 between Bacillus subtilis in liquid medium. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 70: 740–742. JSTJSTAGE/bbb/70.740 [pii].
- Meijer WJJ, de Boer A, van Tongeren S, Venema G, Bron S (1995) Characterization of the replication region of the *Bacillus subtilis* plasmid pLS20: a novel type of replicon. Nucleic Acids Res 23: 3214–3223.
- 26. Derman AI, Becker EC, Truong BD, Fujioka A, Tucey TM, et al (2009) Phylogenetic analysis identifies many uncharacterized actin-like proteins (Alps) in bacteria: regulated polymerization, dynamic instability and treadmilling in Alp7A. Mol Microbiol 73: 534–552.
- Singh PK, Ramachandran G, Duran-Alcalde L, Alonso C, Wu LJ, et al (2012) Inhibition of Bacillus subtilis natural competence by a native, conjugative plasmid-encoded comK repressor protein. Environ Microbiol 14: 2812–2825. 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02819.x [doi].
- Bauer T, Rosch T, Itaya M, Graumann PL (2011) Localization pattern of conjugation machinery in a Gram-positive bacterium. J Bacteriol 193: 6244– 6256. JB.00175-11 [pii];10.1128/JB.00175-11 [doi].
- Perego M, Hanstein Č, Welsh KM, Djavakhishvili T, Glaser P, et al (1994) Multiple protein aspartate phosphatases provide a mechanism for the integration of diverse signals in the control of development in *Bacillus subtilis*. Cell 79: 1047– 1055.
- Solomon JM, Lazazzera BA, Grossman AD (1996) Purification and characterization of an extracellular peptide factor that affects different developmental pathways in *Bacillus subtilis*. Genes Develop 10: 2014–2024.
- Lazazzera BA, Solomon JM, Grossman AD (1997) An exported peptide functions intracellularly to contribute to cell density signaling in B. subtilis. Cell 89: 917–925.S0092-8674(00)80277-9 [pii].
- Perego M, Hoch JA (1996) Cell-cell communication regulates the effects of protein aspartate phosphatases on the phosphorelay controlling development in *Bacillus subtilis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 93: 1549–1553.
- Jiang M, Shao W, Perego M, Hoch JA (2000) Multiple histidine kinases regulate entry into stationary phase and sporulation in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 38: 535–542.
- Ogura M, Shimane K, Asai K, Ogasawara N, Tanaka T (2003) Binding of response regulator DegU to the aprE promoter is inhibited by RapG, which is counteracted by extracellular PhrG in Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol 49: 1685– 1697. 3665 [pii].
- Smits WK, Bongiorni C, Veening JW, Hamoen LW, Kuipers OP, et al (2007) Temporal separation of distinct differentiation pathwasys by a dual specificity Rap-Phr system in *Bacillus subtilis*. Mol Microbiol 65: 103–120.
- 36. Meijer WJJ, Wisman GBA, Terpstra P, Thorsted PB, Thomas CM, et al (1998) Rolling-circle plasmids from *Bacillus subtilis*: complete nucleotide sequences and analyses of genes of pTA1015, pTA1040, pTA1050 and pTA1060, and comparisons with related plasmids from Gram-positive bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 21: 337–368.
- Singh PK, Ballestero-Beltran S, Ramachandran G, Meijer WJ (2010) Complete nucleotide sequence and determination of the replication region of the sporulation inhibiting plasmid p576 from Bacillus pumilus NRS576. Res Microbiol 161: 772–782. S0923-2508(10)00186-5 [pii];10.1016/j.resmic.2010.07.007 [doi].
- Bongiorni C, Stoessel R, Shoemaker D, Perego M (2006) Rap phosphatases of virulence plasmid pXO1 inhibits *Bacillus anthracis* sporulation. J Bacteriol 188: 487–498.
- Koetje EJ, Hajdo-Milasinovic A, Kiewiet R, Bron S, Tjalsma H (2003) A plasmid-borne Rap-Phr system of Bacillus subtilis can mediate cell-density controlled production of extracellular proteases. Microbiology 149: 19–28.

- Parashar V, Konkol MA, Kearns DB, Neiditch MB (2013) A plasmid-encoded phosphatase regulates Bacillus subtilis biofilm architecture, sporulation, and genetic competence. J Bacteriol 195: 2437–2448. JB.02030-12 [pii];10.1128/ JB.02030-12 [doi].
- Burrus V, Pavlovic G, Decaris B, Guedon G (2002) The ICESt1 element of Streptococcus thermophilus belongs to a large family of integrative and conjugative elements that exchange modules and change their specificity of integration. Plasmid 48: 77–97. S0147619X02001026 [pii].
- Auchtung JM, Lee CA, Monson RE, Lehman AP, Grossman AD (2005) Regulation of a Bacillus subtilis mobile genetic element by intercellular signaling and the global DNA damage response. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 12554– 12559. 0505835102 [pii];10.1073/pnas.0505835102 [doi].
- Pottathil M, Lazazzera BA (2003) The extracellular Phr peptide-Rap phosphatase signaling circuit of Bacillus subtilis. Front Biosci 8: d32–d45.
- Parashar V, Mirouze N, Dubnau DA, Neiditch MB (2011) Structural basis of response regulator dephosphorylation by Rap phosphatases. PLoS Biol 9: e1000589. 10.1371/journal.pbio.1000589 [doi].
- Baker MD, Neiditch MB (2011) Structural basis of response regulator inhibition by a bacterial anti-activator protein. PLoS Biol 9: e1001226. 10.1371/ journal.pbio.1001226 [doi];PBIOLOGY-D-11-02308 [pii].
- Burbulys D, Trach KA, Hoch JA (1991) Initiation of sporulation in *B. subtilis* is controlled by a multicomponent phosphorelay. Cell 64: 545–552.
- Bongiorni Ć, Ishikawa Š, Stephenson S, Ogasawara N, Perego M (2005) Synergistic regulation of competence development in *Bacillus subtilis* by two Rap-Phr systems. J Bacteriol 187: 4353–4361.
 Core L, Perego M (2003) TPR-mediated interaction of RapC with ComA
- Core L, Perego M (2003) TPR-mediated interaction of RapC with ComA inhibits response regulator-DNA binding for competence development in Bacillus subtilis. Mol Microbiol 49: 1509–1522. 3659 [pii].
- Waters CM, Bassler BL (2005) Quorum sensing: cell-to-cell communication in bacteria. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol 21: 319–346. 10.1146/annurev.cellbio.21.012704.131001 [doi].
- Thoendel M, Horswill AR (2010) Biosynthesis of peptide signals in gram-positive bacteria. Adv Appl Microbiol 71: 91–112. S0065-2164(10)71004-2 [pii];10.1016/S0065-2164(10)71004-2 [doi].
- Zhu J, Oger PM, Schrammeijer B, Hooykaas PJ, Farrand SK, et al (2000) The bases of crown gall tumorigenesis. J Bacteriol 182: 3885–3895.
- Bose B, Auchtung JM, Lee CA, Grossman AD (2008) A conserved anti-repressor controls horizontal gene transfer by proteolysis. Mol Microbiol 70: 570–582. MMI6414 [pii];10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06414.x [doi].
- Bose B, Grossman AD (2011) Regulation of horizontal gene transfer in Bacillus subtilis by activation of a conserved site-specific protease. J Bacteriol 193: 22–29. JB.01143-10 [pii];10.1128/JB.01143-10 [doi].
- Guglielmini J, Quintais L, Garcillan-Barcia MP, De la Cruz F, Rocha EP (2011) The repertoire of ICE in prokaryotes underscores the unity, diversity, and ubiquity of conjugation. PLoS Genet 7: e1002222. 10.1371/journal.pgen. 1002222 [doi];PGENETICS-D-11-00532 [pii].
- Strauch MA, Hoch JA (1993) Transition state regulators: sentinels of *Bacillus subtilis* post-exponential gene expression. Mol Microbiol 7: 337–342.
- Parashar V, Jeffrey PD, Neiditch MB (2013) Conformational change-induced repeat domain expansion regulates rap phosphatase quorum-sensing signal receptors. PLoS Biol 11: e1001512. 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001512 [doi]; PBIOLOGY-D-12-03568 [pii].
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Bron S (1990) Plasmids. In: Harwood CR, Cutting SM, editors. Molecular Biological Methods for *Bacillus*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd. pp. 75– 174.
- Schaeffer P, Millet I, Aubert J (1965) Catabolite repression of bacterial sporulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 54: 704–711.
- Zhang XZ, Zhang YH (2011) Simple, fast and high-efficiency transformation system for directed evolution of cellulase in Bacillus subtilis. Microb Biotechnol 4: 98–105. 10.1111/j.1751-7915.2010.00230.x [doi].
- Parkhomchuk D, Borodina T, Amstislavskiy V, Banaru M, Hallen L, et al (2009) Transcriptome analysis by strand-specific sequencing of complementary DNA. Nucleic Acids Res 37: e123. gkp596 [pii];10.1093/nar/gkp596 [doi].
- Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL (2009) Ultrafast and memoryefficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol 10: R25. gb-2009-10-3-r25 [pii];10.1186/gb-2009-10-3-r25 [doi].
- 63. Ewing B, Hillier L, Wendl MC, Green P (1998) Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using phred. L Accuracy assessment, Genome Res 8: 175–185.
- sequencer traces using phred. I. Accuracy assessment. Genome Res 8: 175–185.
 64. Ewing B, Green P (1998) Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using phred. II. Error probabilities. Genome Res 8: 186–194.
 65. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol 215: 403–410. 10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2 [doi];S0022-2836(05)80360-2 [pii].
- Huang W, Umbach DM, Vincent JN, Abell AN, Johnson GL, et al (2011) Efficiently identifying genome-wide changes with next-generation sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 39: e130. gkr592 [pii];10.1093/nar/gkr592 [doi].
- Pavlidis P, Noble WS (2003) Matrix2png: a utility for visualizing matrix data. Bioinformatics 19: 295–296.