

REVIEW

An Investigation of the Status of Commercial Meat Analogs and Their Ingredients: Worldwide and South Korea

Da Young Lee, Jin Soo Kim, Jinmo Park, Dahee Han, Yeongwoo Choi, Ji Won Park, Juhyun Lee, Ermie Jr Mariano, Seok Namkung, and Sun Jin Hur*

Department of Animal Science and Technology, Chung-Ang University, Anseong 17546, Korea

 OPEN ACCESS

Received September 12, 2024

Revised October 17, 2024

Accepted October 18, 2024

*Corresponding author : Sun Jin Hur
 Department of Animal Science and Technology, Chung-Ang University, Anseong 17546, Korea
 Tel: +82-31-670-4673
 Fax: +82-31-670-3108
 E-mail: hursj@cau.ac.kr

*ORCID
 Da Young Lee
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3172-0815>
 Jin Soo Kim
<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-7974-7885>
 Jinmo Park
<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-9626-1025>
 Dahee Han
<https://orcid.org/0009-0005-6423-3414>
 Yeongwoo Choi
<https://orcid.org/0009-0000-1882-4890>
 Ji Won Park
<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-9500-5763>
 Juhyun Lee
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6777-4447>
 Ermie Jr Mariano
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2630-4603>
 Seok Namkung
<https://orcid.org/0009-0005-4533-7971>
 Sun Jin Hur
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9386-5852>

Abstract Meat analogs are a burgeoning industry, with plant-based meat analogs, insect-based meat analogs, algae-based meat analogs, mycoprotein-based meat analogs, and cell-based meat analogs. However, despite the industry's growth potential, market expansion faces hurdles due to taste and quality disparities compared to traditional meats. The composition and characteristics of meat analogs currently available in the market are analyzed in this study to inform the development of future products in this sector. The results show that plant-based meat analogs are mainly based on soy protein together with wheat gluten and methylcellulose or spices. Insect-based meat analogs tend to contain processed larvae as the protein source. Seaweed or spirulina is often the main ingredient in algae-based meat analogs. Mycoprotein-based meat analogs all use mycoproteins. Cell-based beef, pork, chicken, and seafood products are already under various stages of development around the world, although many are still at the prototype level.

Keywords plant-based meat, insect-based meat, algae-based meat, mycoprotein-based meat, cell-based meat

Introduction

Animal proteins are used and consumed in a variety of foods not only because they have high nutritional value but also because of their unique texture, taste, and flavor (Day et al., 2022). In particular, meat is a major food resource that provides humans with high-quality protein, which contains a higher proportion of essential amino acids compared to other foods, such as vegetables and grains, and also provides several fatty acids as well as trace vitamins and minerals that are essential for the human body (Day et al., 2022; Godfray et al., 2018). Therefore, meat consumption plays an important role in physical development and maintenance, and its value as a food resource is expected to be preserved as it is associated with cultural, social, and individual preferences (Gorbunova, 2024). However, despite the large-scale development of animal husbandry

to meet the growing global meat consumption, many problems have been raised, such as environmental pollution caused by manure and carbon dioxide emissions from livestock, adult diseases caused by excessive meat consumption, emerging infectious diseases among animals, and the low efficiency of converting grains into animal protein (Boukid and Gagaoua, 2022; Godfray et al., 2018). These issues are driving the demand for the development and supply of protein foods that can replace meat (Day et al., 2022).

In the history of meat analogs development, peanut-based meat analogs were created in the 1896, and texturized vegetable proteins were developed and began to be produced in the 1960s (Maningat et al., 2022). In 1964, the British company Rank Hovis McDougall succeeded in developing the processed mycelia (called mycoprotein) of a *Fusarium graminearum* strain (Khan et al., 2024). This product has been sold under the name Quorn® since 1985, and since then, various forms of alternative foods have been developed, such as insect-based and cell culture-based meat analogs (Khan et al., 2024; Maningat et al., 2022). Initially, this product was not commercially successful due to its different texture, taste, and flavor from traditional meat, but it is gradually developing industrially (Boukid and Gagaoua, 2022).

Recently, plant-based, insect-based, algae-based, mycoprotein-based, and cell-based meat analogs have been proposed as meat analogs to replace animal protein (Boukid and Gagaoua, 2022). The global meat analog market, by region, is dominated by developed countries, followed by North America (44.6%), Europe (28.8%), Asia-Pacific (18.1%), and the rest of the world (8.5%), with investment, technological development, and consumption being driven by North America and Europe (Park et al., 2019). In 2023, the meat analog market size of South Korea is 17th in the world, approximately United States dollars (USD) 88.46 million, with China in first place and the United States (U.S.) in second (Statista, 2024). The South Korean meat analog market is mostly composed of plant-based meat analogs, with still only a few other protein products, although research is underway to develop new products (Cho et al., 2022). From the global perspective of the growth rate of the meat analog market size by type from 2019 to 2025, insect-based meat analogs accounted for 22.7%, followed by cell-based meat analogs (19.5%), algae-based meat analogs (8.3%), plant-based meat analogs (8.1%), and, lastly, mycoprotein-based meat analogs (5.0%; Park et al., 2020).

Despite the significant increase in research related to the development of materials that can replace traditional meat products and the related market, the industrialization of the technology has been insufficient, and meat analogs have not proven that their taste and flavor are equivalent to traditional meat products. In particular, the processing technologies developed to improve the appearance, texture, and flavor of meat analogs require long processing times and high production costs, and product safety assessments are required for the use of wheat gluten and synthetic materials, such as methylcellulose, used in processing (De Angelis et al., 2024; Ozturk and Hamaker, 2023). Depending on the alternative protein used as a raw material for meat analogs, improvements in nutritional components, visual and sensory characteristics, and allergy issues compared to conventional meat are required (Dinali et al., 2024; Zahari et al., 2022). Therefore, this study analyzed the main ingredients and characteristics of meat product substitutes sold in the global market, with the aim to provide information that can be used for the development of meat analogs in the future.

Traditional High-Protein Foods and Their Characteristics

Tofu (bean curd)

Tofu originated in China around 2,000 years ago and is believed to have been introduced to Korea before the end of the Goryeo Dynasty (Anjum et al., 2023; Shin, 2011). Soybeans contain about 32%–45% protein and an excellent composition of

essential amino acids, including an even distribution of lysine and tryptophan, which are rare in cereals (Nowacka et al., 2023; Stein et al., 2008). Tofu, which is processed from soybeans, is an important plant food used as a valuable source of protein, replacing relatively expensive animal foods (Ali et al., 2021; Cai et al., 2021; Stein et al., 2008). Tofu is generally made by grinding the beans, mixing them with water, and boiling them. Then, the tofu coagulant, which contains divalent cations, binds and precipitates with negatively charged soy proteins, such as glycinin (Ali et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2023). The manufacturing process can be broadly divided into the production of soy milk from soybeans and the production of tofu from soy milk. First, soybeans are ground with water to produce soy milk, which is then produced into tofu through a series of processes, such as heating and fat removal (Chen et al., 2023; Huang et al., 2022). Firm tofu, soft tofu, silken tofu, oiled tofu, and fried tofu can all be prepared from soy milk (Anjum et al., 2023). The type of tofu is dependent on the heating time, coagulant, and hardening method during the manufacturing process. In addition to regular tofu, various types of processed tofu are now available that have undergone additional processing steps, such as fermentation, freezing, and fortification, and often, the health benefits have been enhanced by enrichment with other functional ingredients (Anjum et al., 2023; Cai et al., 2021).

Tempeh

Tempeh, a traditional fermented food from the Indonesian island of Java, refers to a mass of white mycelium that is peeled from soybeans and fermented by a fungus (*Rhizopus* sp.) to form a cake-like mass with a meat-like texture (Nout and Kiers, 2005). During fermentation, the enzymes decompose the proteins, fats, carbohydrates, and phytic acids into small molecules, generating a more nutritious and easily digestible product compared to unfermented soybeans (Borzekowski et al., 2019; Nout and Kiers, 2005). Tempeh is consumed not only in Indonesia but also in many other countries, such as the U.S., South Korea, Japan, England, and Singapore (Maitresya and Surya, 2023). Uncooked tempeh is composed of 55.3% moisture, 20.8% protein, 13.5% carbohydrates, 8.8% fat, and 1.4% dietary fiber, making it a food that can provide a notable quantity of protein (Indonesian Food Composition Data, 2017).

Tempeh is made from dehulled soybeans using mechanical wet dehulling with a disk impactor. Traditional equipment and seeds are used in small-scale production. After removing the hulls and dust, 0.5% lactic acid or 0.25% acetic acid may be added to prevent softening of the soybeans during soaking, or the previously used fermented soaking water (5% concentration) is sometimes used (Nout and Kiers, 2005). Tempeh is commonly made from yellow-seeded soybeans, which are the preferred raw material for its production (Nout and Kiers, 2005). Currently, for tempeh production in Indonesia, several local soybean varieties, as well as black-eyed beans and winged bean seeds, are also used to produce a variety of indigenous foods (Maitresya and Surya, 2023). After soaking and dehulling, the soybeans are cooked, inoculated with *Rhizopus* spores, and then allowed to ferment. Primarily, tempeh is made by fermentation with *Rhizopus oryzae*, *Rhizopus oligosporus*, *Rhizopus microsporus*, and *Rhizopus stolonifera*. Additionally, *Aspergillus oryzae* is often present in the fungal mixture used for fermentation (Borzekowski et al., 2019). *A. oryzae* is extremely enriched with genes involved in biomass degradation, primary and secondary metabolism, transcriptional regulation, and cell signaling, which widely used in the food industry beyond commercial use (Kobayashi et al., 2007). Temperature is an important factor in fermentation, which occurs within the temperature range of 25°C–37°C. The higher the temperature, the faster the fermentation rate, especially the growth rate of *R. oligosporus* (Nout and Kiers, 2005). In conclusion, the essential fermentation conditions for tempeh fermentation are adequate moisture, oxygen, and heat (Nout and Kiers, 2005).

Seitan

Seitan has been used for centuries in Buddhist cultures of China as a protein substitute for meat in Asian, vegetarian, and

Buddhist cuisines. It is also known as Miànjīn in China, Milgogi in Korea, and different names in other countries, such as Japan and Vietnam (Kim, 2019; Rödl, 2019). Unlike tofu and tempeh, seitan is made from wheat gluten. Wheat gluten is an insoluble protein with a meatier, chewier, or stringier texture than tofu (Bakhsh et al., 2021; He et al., 2020; Kim, 2019).

Seitan is made by hydrating wheat flour to activate the gluten, removing the starch, and then using the gluten powder. When mixed with water, the interactions between gluten proteins rearrange to form a network of polymers, and the covalent and non-covalent bonds between gliadins and glutenins give the flour dough its characteristic viscoelasticity that imitates the texture of meat (Maningat et al., 2022). Depending on how it is processed, seitan can vary in nutritional composition and *in vitro* protein digestibility. A nutritional analysis of raw seitan and fried seitan prepared using different frying methods showed that the moisture content and fiber did not change significantly, but the protein content of raw seitan was about 10% higher than that of fried seitan. The carbohydrate content of the fried treatment with flour was higher than the other treatments, and the *in vitro* protein digestibility of the fried treatments was lower compared to raw seitan (Anwar and Ghadir, 2019).































Current Status and Characteristics of Meat Analogs Produced through Cutting-Edge Food Technology

Plant-based meat analogs

Plant-based meat analogs can reduce land use and greenhouse gas emissions compared to conventional livestock farming. They also consume fewer resources than meat and produce fewer environmental pollutants, such as manure, making them more environmentally friendly (Arora et al., 2023). Some of the various sources of meat analog substitutes include soy, wheat gluten, kidney beans, chickpeas, rice, and corn, but products based on soybean protein are among the top choices for non-animal protein (Cho et al., 2022). Containing about 40% protein per 100 g, soybeans are a valuable source of protein (Nowacka et al., 2023). Meat analogs vary in flavor and nutritional content depending on the raw materials and processing methods used, and they exhibit differences in functionality based on specific technologies (Cho et al., 2022). Therefore, by varying the processing methods or technologies, new combinations can be created to improve the characteristics and texture of meat analogs. Extrusion processes, such as the dry or low-moisture method, high-moisture method, and steam method, are widely used for structuring plant-based proteins (Lee et al., 2024a; Maningat et al., 2022). Extrusion processes have many economic advantages in terms of structuring and differentiating plant-based meat analogs, especially high-moisture extrusion, which is more widely used than low-moisture extrusion due to superior structurization (Högg and Rauh, 2023). The second most commonly used plant-based protein sources after soy protein are wheat protein, which mainly contains gluten, and legumes, such as peas and chickpeas, which contain proteins like globulin, albumin, and glutelin (Nowacka et al., 2023).

Tables 1 and 2 show the most plant-based meat-like products sold by country. Products from five countries with large markets for plant-based meat-like products—China, the U.S, the United Kingdom (U.K.), Russia, and Germany—and South Korea were investigated (Statista, 2024). As of Oct. 2024, China's funding of plant-based meat analog research (governments vs. industry, including breakdowns by country) is 3.4 million USD across 7 projects, which is relatively modest (Airtable, 2024). However, regarding the revenue in the plant-based meat segment of the food market, China is leading with 2,130 USD million (Statista, 2024). The U.S. follows with 1,360 billion USD in sales (Statista, 2024). For research about plant-based meat analogs, the U.S. has invested 305.9 million USD across 246 projects, indicating active support for the development of plant-based analog industries (Airtable, 2024). The U.K, with a market sales figure of 697 million USD, has invested 126.9 million USD across 131 projects (Airtable, 2024; Statista, 2024). Russia has a market turnover of 676 million USD, while

Table 1. Major plant-based meat analogs on sale by country

Rank	China	United States	United Kingdom	Russia	Germany	South Korea
1	 Hoosier Hill Farm textured vegetable protein	 Beyond Steak	 Hoosier Hill Farm textured vegetable protein	 Good Catch fish-free tuna - naked in water	 Hoosier Hill Farm textured vegetable protein	 Hoosier Hill Farm textured vegetable protein
2	 Lorna Linda big franks - vegan hot dogs	 Gardein classic plant-based meatballs	 Primal spirit vegan jerky - Texas BBQ	 Good Catch fish-free tuna - oil & herbs	 Hearty plant protein - unflavored strips	 Verisoy vegan beef
3	 FriChik original (plant-based meat)	 Impossible burger patty	 Lorna Linda linketts -vegan hot dogs	 Hearty plant protein - unflavored chunks	 Good Catch fish-free tuna - naked in water	 Hearty plant protein - unflavored round
4	 Lorna Linda vegetable skallops	 Field Roast chef's signature plant-based burgers	 Plant Boss organic plant crumbles - all-purpose	 Hearty plant protein - unflavored crumbles	 Hearty plant protein - unflavored chunks	 Dojo Fresh plant protein mix - taco seasoned
5	 unMEAT wheat-free luncheon meat-style	 Hilary's world's best veggie burger	 Hearty plant protein - unflavored chunks	 Beyond burger	 Plant Boss organic plant crumbles - all-purpose	 Rani soya chunks

Keyword: “plant-based meat”, “meat alternative”, or “plant meat.” Search reference date: March 18–31, 2024. Search engine: Amazon, Walmart. All product references can be found in the Supplementary Materials.

Table 2. Characteristics and ingredients of plant-based meat analogs

Brands	Countries	Names	Meat analogs	Ingredients			
				Protein sources	Fat sources	Binders and stabilizers	Additives (e.g, flavorants, colorants, and meat extenders)
Beyond Meat	United States	Beyond steak	Steak type	Wheat gluten, faba bean protein, sunflower lecithin	Expeller-pressed canola oil	Fruit juice color, vegetable juice color, water	Fruit juice color, garlic powder, natural flavor, onion powder, pomegranate concentrate, salt, vegetable juice color, yeast extract
Beyond Meat	United States	Beyond burger	Patty type	Pea protein isolate	Expeller-pressed canola oil, refined coconut oil, sunflower oil	Cellulose from bamboo, gum arabic, maltodextrin, methylcellulose, non-GMO modified food starch, potato starch, vegetable glycerin	Acetic acid, annatto extract, ascorbic acid, beet juice extract, citrus fruit extract, natural flavors, salt, succinic acid, yeast extract
Dojo Fresh	United States	Plant protein mix-taco seasoned	Ground meat type	Chickpea flour, vital wheat gluten	Chipotle powder, cumin powder, garlic powder, kosher salt, light chili powder, Mexican oregano, nutritional yeast, onion powder, paprika	Rice concentrate	-
Field Roast	United States	Chef's signature plant-based burgers	Patty type	Vital wheat gluten, yellow pea flour	Expeller-pressed safflower oil, organic expeller-pressed palm fruit oil	Barley, Irish moss sea vegetable extract, filtered water	Balsamic vinegar, barley malt, black pepper, carrots, celery, celery seed, garlic, mushrooms, naturally flavored yeast extract, onion powder, onions, porcini mushroom powder, sea salt, shiitake mushrooms, spices
Gardein	Canada	Gardein classic meatless meatballs	Meatball type	Soy protein isolate, textured soy protein concentrate, vital wheat gluten, wheat flour	Canola oil	Methylcellulose, water	Barley malt extract, crushed red pepper, fennel, folic acid, garlic powder, natural flavors, niacin, onion powder, reduced iron, riboflavin, salt, spices, sugar, thiamine mononitrate, yeast, yeast extract
Good Catch	United States	Plant-based tuna-naked in water	Seafood type	Chickpea flour, faba protein, Good Catch® 6-plant protein blend (pea protein isolate, soy protein concentrate, chickpea flour, faba protein, lentil protein, soy protein isolate, navy bean powder), lentil protein, navy bean powder, pea protein isolate, soy protein concentrate, soy protein isolate	Algal oil, sunflower oil	Soy lecithin	Citric acid, garlic powder, onion powder, salt, seaweed powder, yeast extract

Table 2. Characteristics and ingredients of plant-based meat analogs (continued)

Brands	Countries	Names	Meat analogs	Ingredients			
				Protein sources	Fat sources	Binders and stabilizers	Additives (e.g., flavorants, colorants, and meat extenders)
Good Catch	United States	Plant-based tuna - oil & herbs	Seafood type	Chickpea flour, faba protein, Good Catch® 6-plant protein blend (pea protein isolate, soy protein concentrate, chickpea flour, faba protein, lentil protein, soy protein isolate, navy bean powder), lentil protein, navy bean powder, pea protein isolate, soy protein concentrate, soy protein isolate	Algal oil, olive oil, sunflower oil	Soy lecithin	Chives, citric acid, garlic powder, lemon juice concentrate, lemon oil, onion powder, salt, seaweed powder, spices, yeast extract
Hilary's	United States	Hilary's world's best veggie burger	Patty type	Cooked whole grain millet, ground flaxseed	Canola oil, safflower oil, sunflower oil	Potato starch	Apple cider vinegar, dried onion, granulated garlic, kale, sea salt, spinach, sweet potato
Hoosier Hill Farm	United States	Textured vegetable protein	Ground meat type	Defatted soy flour	-	-	-
Impossible Foods	United States	Impossible burger patty	Patty type	Soy protein concentrate, soy protein isolate, soy leghemoglobin	Coconut oil, sunflower oil	Methylcellulose	Natural flavors, yeast extract
Loma Linda	United States	Plant-based big franks	Sausage type	Soy protein concentrate, defatted soy flour, wheat gluten	Corn oil, diglycerides, monoglycerides	Hydrolyzed corn protein, hydrolyzed soy protein, soy lecithin	Autolyzed yeast extract, caramel color, dried onion, garlic powder, natural flavors from non-meat sources, natural smoke flavor, spices
Loma Linda	United States	FriChik original	Steak type	Soy protein concentrate, soy protein isolate, wheat gluten	Corn oil, soybean oil	Carrageenan, guar gum	Natural flavors from non-meat sources, onion powder
Loma Linda	United States	Vegetable skallops	Steak type	Textured vegetable protein (wheat gluten, soy protein concentrate, water for hydration)	-	Water	L-Lysine monohydrochloride, monosodium glutamate, salt
Loma Linda	United States	Plant-based linketts	Sausage type	Soy protein concentrate, defatted soy flour, wheat gluten	Corn oil	Hydrolyzed corn protein, hydrolyzed soy protein, soy lecithin	Caramel color, garlic powder, onion powder, natural smoke flavor
Plant Basics	United States	Hearty plant protein - unflavored chunks	Steak type	Defatted soy flour	-	-	-

Table 2. Characteristics and ingredients of plant-based meat analogs (continued)

Brands	Countries	Names	Meat analogs	Ingredients			
				Protein sources	Fat sources	Binders and stabilizers	Additives (e.g., flavorants, colorants, and meat extenders)
Plant Basics	United States	Hearty plant protein - unflavored crumbles	Ground meat type	Defatted soy flour	-	-	-
Plant Basics	United States	Hearty plant protein - unflavored strips	Steak type	Defatted soy flour	-	-	-
Plant Basics	United States	Hearty plant protein - unflavored ground	Ground meat type	Defatted soy flour	-	-	-
Plant Boss	United States	Plant boss organic plant crumbles - all-purpose	Ground meat type	Organic textured pea protein	-	Organic rice concentrate	Organic black pepper, organic chili pepper, organic garlic, organic lemon peel, organic mushroom, organic onion, organic paprika, organic parsley, organic yeast extract, sea salt
Primal Spirit Foods	United States	Primal spirit vegan jerky - Texas BBQ	Jerky type	Isolated high-fiber soy protein	Expeller-pressed canola oil	-	Licorice root, natural smoke flavoring, natural vegetarian spices, naturally brewed soy sauce (water, soybeans, sea salt), sea salt, unrefined evaporated cane juice, yeast
Rani Brand Factory Store	United States	Rani soya chunks	Nugget type	Defatted soya	-	-	-
unMEAT	Philippines	Luncheon style meat	Ham type	Soy protein	Palm olein	Modified vegetable gum, potato starch, wheat	Black pepper, natural flavors, onion, paprika oil, potassium salt, sugar, vinegar, yeast extract
Verisoy	United States	Verisoy vegan beef	Steak type	Soy protein isolate, wheat gluten	-	Wheat starch, calcium carbonate	Caramel color

Keyword: “plant-based meat”, “meat alternative” or “plant meat.” Search reference date: March 18–31, 2024. Search engine: Amazon, Walmart. All product references can be found in the Supplementary Materials.

Germany has a market turnover of 661 million USD and investments of 68 million USD (Airtable, 2024; Statista, 2024). Additionally, South Korea, despite showing a lower market sales figure of 86 million USD compared to other countries, has demonstrated significant investment with 23 million USD, indicating potential for growth through expanded support (Airtable, 2024; Statista, 2024).

The top two marketplaces with the largest global retailing (Amazon: U.S, Walmart: China, U.K, Russia, Germany, and South Korea) were used as search engines, and the top five selling products by country were selected (Deloitte, 2023). Hoosier Hill Farm's "Textured vegetable protein" product was the top-selling product in China, the U.K, Germany, and South Korea (Table 1). Plant Basics' "Hearty Plant Protein" is the brand with the highest number of rankings, with products in chunks, strips, and crumbles ranked in six categories (Table 1). When we checked the country of origin of the listed products, we found that the U.S. was the most represented with 21 products, followed by Canada and the Philippines with 1 product each (Table 2). The most common types of plant-based meat analogs sold were steak (6), ground meat (5), and patties (4), along with sausages, hams, meatballs, nuggets, and jerky (Table 2). There were also two products listed that replaced seafood with plant-based ingredients ("Plant-based tuna" products manufactured by Good Catch, Table 2). As mentioned earlier in this section, almost all plant-based meat analogs contain soy-based protein, often in combination with wheat gluten (Table 2). This is done to improve the texture of the plant-based meat analogs, and many other ingredients can be found in the formulation, such as methylcellulose, dextrin, starch, soybean oil, glutamic acid, dietary fiber, yeast extract, salt, sugar, soy sauce, or spices (Table 2). To compensate for the lack of fat, coconut oil, canola oil, palm oil, sunflower oil, algal oil, and corn oil are used (Kim et al., 2019; Maningat et al., 2022; Table 2).

Plants as a food contain anti-nutritional factors (ANFs), such as trypsin inhibitors, protease inhibitors, tannins, lectins, phytates, and saponins, which have an inhibitory effect on digestion through the inactivation of digestive enzymes and the formation of specific sugar-protein complexes (Nowacka et al., 2023; Samtiya et al., 2020). Since most meat analogs contain wheat or soybeans as their main ingredients, preventing ANFs that inhibit the utilization of plant-based proteins is a major challenge to be addressed when manufacturing meat analogues. Processing methods such as fermentation, germination, cooking, soaking, and milling can effectively reduce the impacts of ANFs, and traditional high-protein foods such as tofu, tempeh and seitan are also foods with increased absorption rates of plant-based proteins by applying these processing methods (Nowacka et al., 2023; Samtiya et al., 2020). Wheat or soy, which are included in plant-based meat analogs, they have the potential to cause allergies to legumes, gluten, or wheat (Lima et al., 2023; Nowacka et al., 2023). Wheat should be avoided by people with gluten-related disorders, such as dermatitis herpetiformis and celiac disease, an immune-mediated intestinal disorder caused by prolamins in gluten acting as an immune-mediated reactive substance. These disorders can also cause gluten ataxia and wheat allergy (Jones, 2016; Kim, 2019). Legumes also contain a large amount of ANFs, such as trypsin inhibitors, tannins, and saponins, which have an inhibitory effect on digestion through the inactivation of digestive enzymes and the formation of specific sugar-protein complexes (Nowacka et al., 2023). In addition, it is difficult to completely mimic meat products due to the lack of texture and the presence of the distinct soy flavor, so the palatability of the products is not as good as meat (Kumari et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2022; Yoo et al., 2020). Therefore, it is necessary to develop technologies to reproduce the taste and texture of meat using various plant materials other than soy to develop meat analog products similar to real meat.

Insect protein-based meat analogs

In 2013, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) warned of a food crisis due to explosive







population growth and global warming and proposed “edible insects” as an alternative food source (FAO, 2013). Edible insect companies around the world are raising insects, mainly crickets, grasshoppers, and mealworms, for their use as powders and protein extracts (Tavares et al., 2022). Insects contain approximately 7%–48% protein, with some species containing up to 85% protein (Nowacka et al., 2023; Nowakowski et al., 2022). Additionally, mealworms, one of the most commonly consumed edible insects, have a fat content ranging from 31.65% to 43.21% on a dry matter basis and boast an n6/n3 ratio of 42.17, making them a high-quality energy source (Benzertiha et al., 2020). Many other insect species have also been found to contain high levels of polyunsaturated fatty acids (Nowakowski et al., 2022). In addition to protein and fatty acids, insects also contain higher levels of micronutrients, such as iron, zinc, and vitamin B12, compared to beef, pork, and chicken (Smith et al., 2021). Notably, house crickets contain approximately 5.4 mg of vitamin B12 per 100 g, which is about 10 times higher than that of beef (Nowakowski et al., 2022).

One of the great advantages of insect farming is that it is less resource-intensive than traditional meat production, as it uses relatively less water, feed, and land, and has low greenhouse gas emissions, which can minimize environmental pollution (Akhtar and Isman, 2018; Nowacka et al., 2023; van Huis and Oonincx, 2017). In addition, insects have a high reproductive rate and fast growth rate, making them of great value as a potential future protein source (Akhtar and Isman, 2018). In particular, the high digestibility of insect protein (76%–98%) makes it an excellent substitute for meat protein (Nowacka et al., 2023). In addition to their use as protein powders, insect-based meat analogs are also being incorporated into snacks such as sweets, energy bars, and chocolates, in pasta and bread products, and their use in the development of meat analogs is growing rapidly (Ismail et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2022). In Germany, companies such as Bold Foods and Bugfoundation have launched protein patties made of buffalo worms (larval form of *Alphitobius diaperinus*), and in the the Netherlands, Protix provides frozen and dried forms of the insect to be used in different food categories (Mancini et al., 2022; Shivanna, 2023). In South Korea, the Korea Edible Insect Laboratory (KEIL) is one of several companies in the insect-based meat analogs business, and large companies such as CJ Cheil Jedang and Nongshim are also researching the availability of edible insects (Shin et al., 2018).

We surveyed insect-based products that are currently marketed as meat analogs (Table 3). We found that most of them are sold in their original form, and there are only a few cases where they are consumed as powders, energy bars, and other products and served as finished dishes like meat (Imathiu, 2020). Compared to other meat analogs, the variety of products made from insect-based meat analogs is narrow as the companies tend to sell only one type of product. We identified a total of six insect products currently marketed as meat analogs, with four using buffalo worms and two using mealworms (larval form of *Tenebrio molitor*), and all containing less diverse materials compared to other edible insect species (Table 3). Among the plant-based meat analogs, five products were in the form of patties, and one was in the form of meatballs (Table 3). All products take the form of processed meat products that can hide the shape of insects, and various additives such as pepper, celery, garlic, and smoky spices are used to mask the unique off-flavor of insect protein (Table 3). To compensate for the lack of texture of insect meat analogs, the majority of the products also included plant-based ingredients, such as wheat gluten, soy protein, chickpeas, and quinoa.

Currently, various species of insects are consumed in many countries around the world, but the actual consumption of insect-based meat analogs is low due to the low consumer preference for insects as a material (Anusha and Negi, 2023). Consumer sensory evaluations suggest the “unattractive” features of insect-based meat analogs are their unique rough texture and fishy off-flavor (more fishy in terms of aroma and taste compared to traditional meat products; Mishyna et al., 2020). However, a survey by the Spire Food Group and the North American Coalition for Insect Agriculture (NACIA) found that

Table 3. Characteristics and ingredients of edible insect-based meat analogs

Brands	Countries	Products	Meat analogs	Ingredients			
				Protein sources	Fat sources	Binders and stabilizers	Additives (e.g, flavorants, colorants, and meat extenders)
Bold Foods	Germany	 Tex mex burger patties mit insektenprotein	Patty type	Buffalo worms (<i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i>), egg white, milk protein		Glucose syrup, plant fibers (wheat straw, potatoes)	Chili, full cream powder, garlic, herbs, onions salt, spices, sugar
Damhert	The Netherlands	 Damhert nutrition insecta groenteburger met buffalowormen	Patty type	Chicken egg protein powder, ground buffalo worms (<i>A. diaperinus</i>), wheat gluten	Vegetable oil (sunflower oil)	Inulin, potato fibers, water, wheat starch	Bell pepper, carrot, corn, salt, white pepper
Essento	Switzerland	 Insect protein balls mealworms	Meatballs type	Bulgur, chickpeas, organic mealworms (<i>Tenebrio molitor</i>), spelt, wheat gluten	Rapeseed oil	Methylcellulose, potato flakes	Carrots, celery, garlic, ground tomatoes, lemon juice, onions, soy sauce (water, soybeans, wheat, salt), spices (paprika, salt, garlic, onion, savory, pepper, chili, oregano, rosemary, thyme)
Kupfer	Germany	 Burger patties made from insects	Patty type	Ground buffalo worms (<i>A. diaperinus</i>), pea protein	Rapeseed oil, rosemary oil	Calcium alginate, methylcellulose, starch, water	Brandy vinegar, mustard flour, smoked dextrose (dextrose, smoke), spices, table salt
Yum Bug	United Kingdom	 Bug burger	Patty type	Black beans, dried mealworms (<i>T. molitor</i>), oats	-	-	Garlic clove, ketchup, smoked paprika, spring onions, tamari soy sauce, vegan smoky bacon seasoning
ZIRP	Germany	 ZIRP zuper burger	Patty type	Ground buffalo worms (<i>A. diaperinus</i>), pea protein, quinoa flour	Fried onion (onion, sunflower oil), linseed flour	Calcium alginate, methylcellulose, water	Beetroot juice powder, caramel sugar, mushrooms, parsley, rosemary extract, sauce (fermented rice flour, onion juice), seasoning salt (salt, spices), salt

Keyword: "insect-based meat" or "bug-based burger." Search reference date: March 18–31, 2024. Search engine: Google. All product references can be found in the Supplementary Materials.

about 50% of Western consumers are willing to try insects in their diet (Food Dive, 2022). In addition, a survey of more than 1,000 U.S. consumers conducted by Oklahoma State University found that one-third of consumers would be willing to eat food made using crickets if the taste and safety of the food were guaranteed (Reed et al., 2021).

Consequently, it has been suggested that the solution for improving the acceptance, production, and consumption of edible insects lies in the development of processing technology. In fact, processing insects into powder form can increase utilization and improve consumer preference (Sánchez-Velázquez et al., 2024). Because of the large lipid content in insects, which can accelerate decay and make transportation and storage of raw materials difficult, it is important to utilize various processing technologies to increase the applicability of insects as food materials (van Huis, 2022). To extract insect proteins, traditional extraction methods using water, salt, solvents, and alkalis, as well as more modern extraction methods using enzymes, ultrasound, microwaves, and electromagnetic fields, are being applied (Lee et al., 2024a; Pan et al., 2022). Various extraction methods for insect proteins are effective in enhancing their functional properties. Removing fats during the extraction of insect proteins, compared to whole insects in powder form, can improve foaming capacity and foam stability (Gravel and Doyen, 2020). Additionally, removing chitin enhances the emulsifying properties of fats, thereby improving the application of insect proteins as a food ingredient after processing (Purschke et al., 2018). Components such as chitin, a polysaccharide that makes up the exoskeleton of insects, hexamerin 1B precursor found in insect hemolymph, or arginine kinase have the potential to cause allergies (Jeong and Park, 2020; Pick et al., 2008; Srinroch et al., 2015; Yao et al., 2009). Consequently, when using insects as food, the potential risk of allergic reactions must be kept in mind, and the product's suitability for consumption after manufacture must be evaluated.

The market for insect protein is steadily growing, with the global market valued at 1,230 million USD in 2023 and projected to reach 7,620 million USD by 2033 at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 20% (Precedence Research, 2024). In particular, North America held a 34% share of the insect protein market in 2023, making it the largest consumer, and it is expected to grow at a CAGR of 20.03% through 2033 (Precedence Research, 2024). The aggressive growth of the insect industry and increasing demand for protein are driving the necessity for mass-production technologies. Automating the production and processing of insects can achieve cost efficiency and enhance competitiveness (Dossey et al., 2016; Kröncke et al., 2020). Specifically, utilizing information and communications technology-based smart farms for insect rearing monitoring and environmental control can create optimal breeding conditions, thereby promoting growth and shortening development periods (Seok, 2022).

However, while the insect protein market in the U.S. is growing, institutional arrangements at the national level are insufficient. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has stated that insects can be used as food but has not specified particular insects. Instead, insects are considered food if they comply with existing food regulations, including pre-market review and FDA approval (Larouche et al., 2023). In contrast, the European Union has approved crickets, mealworms, and locusts for human consumption and has implemented stringent safety measures for producers and retailers (Bloomberg, 2021; Stull and Patz, 2020). Therefore, the effective utilization of insect-based proteins with excellent nutritional value will require establishing a systematic system and conducting research for full-scale product sales and market expansion.

Algae-based meat analogs

Unlike the eating habits of East Asia (e.g. Korea, China, Japan), an area where a wide variety of algae is consumed by humans, in most countries, algae are a relatively underutilized food source due to an aversion to their texture or appearance (Govaerts, 2023). Algae, which are classified as red, green, or brown algae based on their major pigments, have high

concentrations of essential amino acids (Diaz et al., 2023). On a dry weight basis, red algae have been found to have a high protein content of up to 47%, green algae 32%, and brown algae 26%, and their high yields per area make them a low-cost alternative protein source (Forster and Radulovich, 2015; Pereira, 2011). These algae do not require land or fertilizer when grown and play an environmentally friendly role by absorbing carbon as they grow (Sayre, 2010). Therefore, using protein-rich algae as a main ingredient in alternative meat products can add value to discarded algae. Spirulina, a member of the cyanobacteria family with a protein content of up to 63%, contains balanced essential amino acids and is an excellent protein source with high digestibility (Lupatini et al., 2017; Soni et al., 2021). In order to use algae-based proteins as food ingredients, it is necessary to increase the extraction yield and concentrate the protein through chemical extraction techniques using enzymes, acids, and alkalis or physical extraction methods, such as freeze-thaw, osmotic shock, and compression (de Souza Celente et al., 2023). Heme, a complex of iron and porphyrin, can be biosynthesized by algae themselves or obtained by seawater uptake (Hogle et al., 2014). Heme molecules are structures that are also present in the myoglobin and hemoglobin of meat. When the heme cofactor is exposed during cooking, it reacts with amino acids, vitamins, and sugars in the tissues and acts as a catalyst to generate the characteristic flavor of meat (Fraser et al., 2018). Leghemoglobin, which can be obtained from plants, has a similar structure to heme and has been confirmed to perform the same catalytic role. Therefore, heme in seaweed can also be effective in imitating the flavor of meat in meat analogs using the same principle as above (Fraser et al., 2018).




Currently, algae-based meat analogs are manufactured in a relatively diverse range of countries, including the U.S, the Netherlands, South Korea, Thailand, Ireland, and Germany (Table 4). Seaweed-based meat-like products are often made from kelp, seaweed, Spirulina, and carrageenan, which, in many cases, are labeled collectively as “seaweed” or “sea moss extracts” rather than the exact variety (Table 4). This is believed to be because many countries in which the consumption of algae by humans is rare, “seaweed” is used as the collective term without distinguishing between seaweeds, and the scientific names are generally not listed among the food ingredients (exceptionally, there are meat analogs using scientific names in ingredients: Viva Maris ALGEN Wiener); but it was not possible to confirm accurate information on the processing method used to obtain the seaweed-based protein and the addition ratio. Similar to the previous type of meat analogs, most algae-based meat analogs contain plant-based soy protein, flour, starch, sunflower oil, or methylcellulose (Table 4). Carrageenan, which is added to algae-based meat analogs and many other meat analogs, is an algae-type hydrocolloid that is often used to bind ingredients and improve product texture (Majzoobi et al., 2017). The addition of carrageenan can reduce drip loss by improving the water holding capacity and hardness of sausages (meatless, low-salt, low-fat) and can be used in a variety of foods due to its excellent gelling, emulsifying, and stabilizing effects (García-García and Totosaus, 2008; Majzoobi et al., 2017).

Despite these benefits, several issues need to be addressed before algae can be used as a major protein substitute. For example, the characteristic off-odor and color of algae can reduce the sensory preference for products made from algae-based meat analogs (Espinosa-Ramírez et al., 2023). Moreover, excessive algae consumption can lead to excessive iodine intake, which has the potential to cause hyperthyroidism (Cherry et al., 2019). In a study involving women with an average age of 58, it was found that taking capsules containing 5 g of seaweed (*Alaria esculenta*), which included 475 µg of iodine daily for 7 weeks, increased serum thyroid-stimulating hormone levels from 1.69 to 2.19 µU/mL (Murai et al., 2021). This increase can potentially lead to thyroid dysfunction. Accordingly, in the U.K, the iodine content in seaweed-containing foods is disclosed, and iodine intake exceeding 600 µg/day is limited to prevent potential health risks (Cherry et al., 2019). Therefore, it is crucial to control the iodine content when producing algae-based meat analogs and to avoid excessive consumption of such ingredients. However, currently, most algae-based meat analogs are manufactured with soy, grain, or

Table 4. Characteristics and ingredients of algae-based meat analogs

Brands	Countries	Products	Meat analogs	Ingredients			
				Protein sources	Fat sources	Binders and stabilizers	Additives (e.g, flavorants, colorants, and meat extenders.)
AKUA	United States	 The kelp burger bundle	Patty type	Black beans, chickpea flour, kelp, pea protein	Organic extra virgin olive oil	Agar, konjac, pea starch, potato starch	Cremini mushrooms, nutritional yeast, organic coconut aminos (organic coconut nectar, organic pure coconut blossom sap, natural unrefined sea salt), spices, tomato powder
Hichung Farm	Korea	 Donggeurangtaeng with seaweed	Meatballs type	Beans, radish sprouts, soaked seaweed	-	-	Green onion, onion, vegetarian seasoning
HN Novatech	Korea	 FUSCA vegetable croquette	Croquette type	Intake innocent vegetable mince, seaweed extracted amino acid complex, soybeans	Margarine, soybean oil, sesame oil	Flour, leavening agent, modified starch, water	Black pepper, diced carrot, minced garlic, diced onion, other processed products, processed grain products, refined salt, sauce, soy sauce, spices sugar, yeast
Jtip Food	Thailand	 Vegetarian seaweed meat ball	Meatballs type	Seaweed, soy protein, wheat gluten	Soybean oil	Konjac, modified starch, wheat flour	-
Roaring Water Sea Vegetable	Ireland	 Sea burger	Patty type	Irish atlantic wakame, textured vegetable soy-protein	Olive oil	Buckwheat flour, xanthan gum	Blueberry powder, garlic powder, lemon juice, mixed herbs, onion powder, paprika, tamari
The Dutch Weed Burger	The Netherlands	 The dutch weed burger	Patty type	Seaweed, soy protein, wheat protein	Sunflower oil	Potato fiber, rice flour, thickener (methylcellulose, carrageenan, modified corn starch), wheat flour	Caramelized sugar, dried onion, flavoring, lemon granulate, spices, yeast extract
Tofurky	United States	 Plant-based pepp'roni	Sausage type	Faba bean protein, flax seed flour, pea protein	Expeller-pressed canola oil, sunflower oil	Buffer, carrageenan, dextrose, enzyme, konjac gum, modified cellulose gum, tapioca starch, water	Beetroot juice concentrate, crushed chili pepper, dried brandy vinegar, fermented sugar, fenugreek extract, garlic, lactic acid, lemon juice powder, natural flavors, paprika juice concentrate, salt, spices

Table 4. Characteristics and ingredients of algae-based meat analogs (continued)

Brands	Countries	Products	Meat analogs	Ingredients			
				Protein sources	Fat sources	Binders and stabilizers	Additives (e.g., flavorants, colorants, and meat extenders.)
Umaro Foods	United States	 Umaro plant-based vegan applewood bacon	Bacon type	Protein blend (chickpea protein, seaweed protein), sea moss extracts (agar, carrageenan)	Coconut oil, high oleic sunflower oil	-	Cane sugar, natural flavor, paprika extract, sea salt, vegetable juice added for color
Vegan Finest Foods	The Netherlands	 King no crab	Seafood type	-	Soybean oil	Humectant (D-sorbitol), water, wheat fiber	Acidity regulator (calcium hydroxide, calcium oxide, sodium carbonate), flavorings, humectant (D-sorbitol), paprika extract, salt, sugar, yeast extract powder
Viva Maris GmbH	Germany	 Viva maris ALGEN wiener	Sausage type	<i>Saccharina latissima</i> , potato protein, pea protein, seaweed	Rapeseed oil	Starch, thickener (methylcellulose), cellulose, processed eucheama algae, <i>S. latissima</i> , water, pea flour	Beechwood smoke, citric acid, dextrose, sea salt, sodium gluconate, spice extracts, spices, sucrose, table salt

Keyword: “algae meat”, “marine plant-based meat analogs,” or “seaweed meat”. Search reference date: March 18–31, 2024. Search engine: Google. All product references can be found in the Supplementary Materials.

their derived proteins, as well as various types of vegetables (Table 4). Phytochemicals in vegetables containing chlorine (e.g., isothiocyanates from cruciferous plants such as broccoli and cabbage, isoflavones from soybeans) can inhibit the absorption of iodine, and consuming seaweed as an extract (e.g., in broth) can reduce iodine intake by about 50% (Murai et al., 2021; Zava and Zava, 2011). The risk of contaminants from marine pollution should also be considered, as heavy metals can be adsorbed and present on the surface of algae, and there is a risk of heavy metal poisoning in cases of excessive consumption (Nowacka et al., 2023; Wells et al., 2017). Consideration must also be given to components such as phycobiliprotein and phycoerythrin in red algae, which are potentially allergenic (Thiviya et al., 2022). However, seaweed is a material that can provide excellent protein. Although the possibility of these ingredients remaining in the process of processing seaweed into food is relatively low, the above dangerous ingredients can be screened out in the process of verifying the food safety of the final product as a meat protein analog. Additionally, it will be important to identify safe algae-based meat analog manufacturing methods through research on the safety and tolerability of processed algae-based proteins.

Mycoprotein-based meat analogs

Mycoprotein-based meat analogs are a common ingredient for making meat analogs (Saeed et al., 2023). Extracted from mushrooms or molds, mycoproteins can be obtained in large quantities at the laboratory level by fermenting fungal mycelium on a carbohydrate substrate (Saeed et al., 2023). Mycoprotein contains essential amino acids, such as leucine, valine, and

threonine, and it provides 44 g of protein and 24 g of fiber per 100 g of dry weight (Saeed et al., 2023). Notably, the high fiber content in mycoprotein forms delicate layered structures and fiber–gel complexes, which effectively mimic the texture of meat analogs, especially chicken breast (Hashempour-Baltork et al., 2020; Kurek et al., 2022). Various fungi strains are used in mycoprotein production, and they exhibit diverse quality characteristics depending on the fungi type and fermentation conditions (Hashempour-Baltork et al., 2020). Koji protein is produced by solid-state fermentation of *A. oryzae* and is a representative mycoprotein and a high-protein food (Daba et al., 2021). Mycoprotein derived from *A. oryzae* represents a protein content of 37%–44%, whereas raw mushrooms have a protein content of 1%–5% (Manzi et al., 1999; Roustae et al., 2021). Additionally, it also offers numerous benefits, such as a rich vitamin content, high energy efficiency, and relatively low calories count, and it has higher essential amino acids than plant-based protein because of its high protein digestibility-corrected amino acid score (Gamarrá-Castillo et al., 2022; Hashempour-Baltork et al., 2020; Majumder et al., 2024). Quorn, a multinational food company specializing in the production of products made from mycoprotein, currently sells its products in 17 countries, including the U.S., the U.K, and Australia, so its market penetration rate is already relatively high (Finnigan et al., 2019).

In our research of mycoprotein-based products, we identified several mushroom-based meat analogs, but we did not specify these products (data not shown). This is because, as mentioned above, raw mushrooms have a low protein content and are often added to improve the texture of vegan products rather than to replace the protein in traditional meats. Our research shows that there are currently nine companies selling mycoprotein, four of which are based in the U.S. (Table 5). The products were added with mycoprotein-based meat analogs labeled as mycelium, mycoprotein, Fy Protein™ (Nutritional Fungi Protein), koji, and others (Table 5). Interestingly, one of the mycoprotein-based products is a protein replacement for tempeh—one of the traditional non- meat analogs—with 30% of the ingredients being mycoprotein (Table 5). Mycoprotein-based meat analog substitutes also contain a mix of milk protein, soy protein, and starch, and the lack of fat is met by canola, olive, coconut, sunflower, and palm oil (Table 5). Among the mycoprotein-based meat analogs, there is a greater number of bacon, ham, roast meat, and other forms of meat in which meat texture is of particular importance to acceptability (Table 5).

Although mycoprotein is relatively simple to use, its large-scale production using culture methods, such as solid-state fermentation, submerged fermentation, and surface culture, is capital-intensive and requires safety verification of food by-products that can be utilized during solid-state fermentation (Majumder et al., 2024). In addition, continuous consumption of mycoprotein has the potential to cause nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, hives, and anaphylactic shock due to mycelium-based toxins, such as aflatoxin, mycotoxin, and fumonisin (Hashempour-Baltork et al., 2020; Jacobson and DePorter, 2018). Nevertheless, the growth prospects for mycoprotein are bright, as it contains enough protein to replace meat protein and is a useful ingredient for mimicking meat-like textures, which is one of the main challenges manufacturers face when developing convincing meat analogs. Meat analogs manufactured by mixing mycoprotein and proteins based on other raw materials can be effective in supplementing nutritional and taste aspects and complementing the texture of the product.

Cell-based meat analogs

Cell-based meat analogs are obtained by isolating stem cells from living animal tissues and growing them using cellular engineering techniques. Cell-based meat analogs have been studied since the early 20th century, starting with embryonic chick heart muscle (Carrel, 1912; Lee et al., 2024c). In 2013, Mark Post introduced cell-based meat analogs made from cultured cow tissue cells (Mosa Meat, 2013), and the world’s first hamburger made from cell-based meat analog was tasted (Post, 2014a; Post, 2014b). In 2016, U.S. startup Upside Foods (formerly known as Memphis Meats) introduced the first

Table 5. Characteristics and ingredients of mycoprotein-based meat analogs





Brands	Countries	Products	Meat analogs	Ingredients			
				Protein sources	Fat sources	Binders and stabilizers	Additives (e.g, flavorants, colorants, and meat extenders)
Eat Meati	United States	 Eat meati classic cutlets	Cutlet type	Chickpea flour, MushroomRoot™ (mycelium), oar fiber, potato protein	Canola oil	Acacia gum, rice flour, xanthan gum	Dried garlic, dried onion, natural flavor, paprika, paprika extract, spice, yellow corn flour
Libre Foods	Spain	 Libre bacon	Bacon type	Oyster mushrooms (<i>Pleurotus ostreatus</i>) 15%, pea protein, tapioca starch	Virgin olive oil	Carrageenan, konjac gum	Carrot, natural flavorings, paprika concentrate, radish, smoked flavoring, vinegar
MyForest Foods	United States	 MyBacon	Bacon type	Organic mushroom mycelium	Organic coconut oil	-	Natural flavoring, organic sugar, salt
Nature's Fynd	United States	 Meatless Fy breakfast patties	Patty type	Fy Protein™ (nutritional fungi protein), hydrolyzed rice protein, soy protein concentrate, soy protein isolate	High oleic sunflower oil	Carrageenan, ethyl cellulose, modified food starch	Black pepper, fruit juice color, lactic acid, natural flavors, onion powder, salt, spices, vinegar, yeast extract
Prime Roots	United States	 Classic smoked koji turkey	Ham type	Koji culture, pea fiber, rice, water	Rice bran oil, sesame oil	Calcium carbonate, konjac root flour, sea salt	Garlic powder, hydrated lime, natural flavor, natural smoke flavor, onion powder, sodium ascorbate, spices, yeast
Quorn	United Kingdom	 Quorn vegetarian beef roast	Roast meat type	Mycoprotein, milk proteins, rehydrated free-range egg white	Palm oil	Calcium acetate, calcium chloride, pea fiber	Gluten-free roasted barley malt extract, natural flavorings
Revo Foods	Austria	 THE FILET 3D structured	Seafood type	Mycoprotein, soy protein extrudate (water, soy protein concentrate), rapeseed protein	Sunflower oil, DHA- and EPA-rich oil from microalgae <i>Schizochytrium</i> sp.	Carrageenan, methylcellulose	Flavorings, iron oxide, lycopene
Schouten Food	The Netherlands	 Mycoprotein nuggets	Nugget type	Mycoprotein, vegetable protein (wheat, pea)	Vegetable oils (sunflower, rapeseed in varying proportions)	Methylcellulose	Natural flavoring, spices

Table 5. Characteristics and ingredients of mycoprotein-based meat analogs (continued)

Brands	Countries	Products	Meat analogs	Ingredients			
				Protein sources	Fat sources	Binders and stabilizers	Additives (e.g, flavorants, colorants, and meat extenders)
Tempty Foods	Denmark	 Tempty original	Tempeh type	Mycoprotein	Sunflower oil	Psyllium husk	Black pepper, dried parsley, onion powder, salt

Keyword: “fungi-based meat”, “mycoprotein-based meat,” or “product of mycoprotein-based meat”. Search reference date: March 18–31, 2024. Search engine: Google. All product references can be found in the Supplementary Materials.

meatballs made from beef cell-based meat analogs. The following year, the world’s first cultured chicken and duck meat were created, and in December 2018, Israel’s Aleph Farms produced the first laboratory-grown muscle-like pork steak (Business Insider, 2016; Dezzen, 2018; FoodNavigator-USA, 2017; Lee et al., 2023a). Then, Eat Just, another U.S. startup, produced chicken cell-based meat analogs, a blend of 70% cultured chicken cells and plant protein, and in December 2020, became the first company in the world to receive a formal license from the Singapore Food Authority (SFA) to produce and sell chicken cell-based meat analogs (The Guardian, 2020). In June 2023, chicken cell-based meat analogs developed by Upside Foods and Eat Just’s subsidiary, GOOD Meat, were approved for marketing by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the FDA, respectively, indicating the potential for further commercialization of the cell-based meat analogs industry (Reuters, 2023).

Because there are no commercially available cell-based meat analogs, we examined the number of cell-based meat analog prototypes announced by companies over a 5-year period from April 2019 to March 2024 (Table 6). Of the total 24 products, the U.S. announced the most prototypes (7), followed by South Korea with 6 (Table 6). We also found that cell-based meat analogs often do not clearly specify the form of the product being marketed, so in these cases, we categorized the product as “meat.” Eight of the products were beef, four were chicken, three were pork, and one was foie gras (Table 6). Unusually, seafood accounted for 25% of the total products (6), indicating a relatively high proportion of seafood substitute meat development, and the types of seafood substitutes included salmon, tuna, shrimp, and lobster (Table 6). We also found that cell-based meat analogs, like other meat analogs, are often blended with plant-based protein ingredients, probably to compensate for the scarcity of cell-based meat analogs, which are relatively more expensive to produce, rather than to compensate for product texture, as with other meat analogs (Table 6). Consequently, we were unable to determine the actual amount of cell-based meat analog protein in almost all of the cell-based meat analogs we examined (Table 6).

Cell-based meat analogs can theoretically be produced without the slaughter of animals, and in this context, they have the potential to reduce religious restrictions, the use of soil and water resources, and the risk of livestock infectious diseases (Bhat et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2023a). Cell-based meat analogs are also listed as one of the sustainable foods not only because nutritionally beneficial meats can be selected and produced in the production process but also because cells are cultured *in vitro*, so they are not affected by external environmental factors and can be continuously produced in a certain quantity (Bhat et al., 2014; Kepnews, 2021). However, despite the various potential benefits of cell-based meat analogs, there are technical limitations to their commercialization, such as a long production process and high cost compared to plant-based meat analogs (Lee and Kim, 2018). More research is needed to overcome these limitations. Additionally, research on cell-based meat analogs is primarily focused on innovations for scalable muscle tissue culture, with fat, blood, and connective tissue also

Table 6. Characteristics and ingredients of cell-based meat analogs

Brand	Country	Product	Meat analogs	Manufacturing technology
Aleph Farms	Israel		Steak (beef) type	Fertilized cow eggs are used, and young cells are cultured in a separate incubator to mature into various cell types. Additionally, a plant protein matrix is used to form the shape and texture.
BlueNalu	United States		Seafood (tuna) type	After obtaining and cultivating various cell types from the desired fish species, the cells are concentrated to form seafood parts.
Bluu Biosciences	Germany		Seafood (salmon) type	Cell-based meat analogs produced with non-GMO trout and salmon cell lines using animal serum-free growth media.
CellMEAT	South Korea		Seafood (shrimp) type	Development of cell line production technology to produce cell-based meat analogs, serum-free cell culture fluid source technology, and support physical texture realization technology using engineering technology.
DaNAgreen	South Korea		Meat (beef) type	Muscle tissue culture using a three-dimensional (3D) scaffold derived from vegetable protein.
Finless Foods	United States		Seafood (tuna) type	Cell-based meat analogs are manufactured through the differentiation of bluefin tuna tissue-derived cells.
Fork & Good	United States		Ground meat (pork) type	Increased yield and production density by growing without a scaffold through a patented biological process.
Future Meat Technologies	Israel		Meat (chicken) type	A floating cultivation method and plant-based meat analogs based scaffolds for adipogenic differentiation.
GOOD Meat	United States		Meat (chicken) type	Immortalized cells grow and differentiate in culture. Afterward, processing (e.g, molding and 3D printing) is performed.
Gourmey	France		Foie gras (duck) type	Stem cells from duck eggs are cultured, and cultured cells are harvested to produce products.
Joese Future Food	China		Meat (pork) type	Cell-based meat analogs are produced using low-cost serum-free medium, carrier-free cell suspension culture, and multi-channel precision control-based cell-based meat analogs printing.

Table 6. Characteristics and ingredients of cell-based meat analogs (continued)











Brand	Country	Product	Meat analogs	Manufacturing technology
Meatable	The Netherlands		Sausage (pork) type	Developing and refining its process to grow cell-based meat analogs using opti-ox™ technology without ever needing to use fetal bovine serum (FBS).
Steakholder Foods	Israel		Meat (beef) type	Creation of meat fiber texture based on extruding paste material through a narrow nozzle.
Mission Barns	United States		Bacon type	Producing cultured fat intended for addition to plant-based meat analog animal products.
Mosa Meat	The Netherlands		Patty (beef) type	Selected meat cells are cultured in a growth medium that does not contain animal ingredients, differentiated, and myotubes are placed in a gel composed of 99% water to grow into tissue.
HN Novatech	South Korea		Meat (beef) type	Research on 3D printer technology for the production of cell-based meat analogs and the development of technology to overcome antibiotic resistance.
SeaWith	South Korea		Meat (beef) type	ACE-gel (algae-based cell culture gel) is developed and used as the basis for cell-based meat analogs, and the cell culture medium also uses microalgae to replace FBS.
Shiok Meats	Singapore		Seafood (lobster) type	Cells are matured by culturing them in a nutrient-rich culture medium.
Space F	South Korea		Sausage (pork) type	Muscle tissue is created using an edible scaffold of muscle stem cells extracted from cows, pigs, and chickens by means of muscle stem cell culture techniques specialized for each livestock species, using a 3D differentiation technique. Mass culture optimization shortens the cell culture period and increases cell culture yield.
SuperMeat	Israel		Meat (chicken) type	Cells constituting chicken muscle, fat, and tissue are collected and cultured in a meat fermenter that provides an appropriate environment.
TissenBio Farm	South Korea		Meat (beef) type	Selected cells are obtained from muscle and fat tissue, and the meat is cultured by supplying nutrients in a sterilized incubator that resembles a beer fermentation tank.
Upside Foods	United States		Meat (chicken) type	Primary cells are obtained, and cell lines are formed and cultured with the same nutrients needed in the animal body.

Table 6. Characteristics and ingredients of cell-based meat analogs (continued)

Brand	Country	Product	Meat analogs	Manufacturing technology
Vow Food	Australia		Meat (beef) type	Meat product production and animal cell culture.
Wildtype	United States		Seafood (salmon) type	Cells collected from salmon are cultured in an incubator similar to a fermentation tank used in brewing, then harvested and combined with plant ingredients.

Keyword: “cell-based meat analogs”, “cultivated meat,” or “cell-based meat analogs technology”. Search reference date: March 18–31, 2024. Search engine: Google, Google Scholar. All product references can be found in the Supplementary Materials.

being important but often overlooked components of this technology (Slate, 2015). In addition, there are limitations to developing serum-free cell culture media or replacing other animal-derived additives that are essential for cell culture (Stout et al., 2022). Finally, in order for cell-based meat analogs to be commercialized as a new food source, they must adhere to food certification procedures, and it is necessary to establish standards for safety and nutritional content (Mariano et al., 2023; Oh et al., 2021).

Future Perspectives

Interest in meat analogs has been gradually increasing, and the global alternative food market size has accelerated and is estimated to reach 290 billion USD by 2035 (Tyndall et al., 2024). In addition, if meat analogs that perfectly mimic traditional meat are developed, the continued growth of the meat analogs market can be accelerated. However, several factors are hindering the market growth of currently produced meat substitutes. A representative example is that they do not perfectly embody the sensory characteristics of traditional meat, such as texture, aroma, color, and flavor. In response, research and technology development of processing technologies, raw materials, and additives to increase consumer satisfaction and preference are actively being conducted worldwide (Xiong, 2023). In order to improve the texture of meat substitutes, delicate processing technologies and additives, such as binders, are being developed to replicate the long and thick fiber structure characteristic of animal proteins (Ozturk and Hamaker, 2023). Regarding the processing technology for plant-based alternative foods, various processing methods such as extrusion, electrospinning, freeze-structuring, shear cell technology, and 3D printing have been developed to form a more solid fiber structure (Dinali et al., 2024; Ozturk and Hamaker, 2023). In particular, the extrusion method is a method of structuring through mixing melted protein molecules under high temperature, high pressure, and shear conditions, and low-moisture and high-moisture extrusion methods are widely used because of their high resource- and energy-efficiency (Dinali et al., 2024; Schmid et al., 2022). Additives are also used to increase the binding strength of plant-based alternative meat, which easily collapses due to low hardness and viscoelasticity (Marczak and Mendes, 2024). Additives act as binders when forming fiber structures, and methylcellulose and wheat gluten, which have the characteristics of high binding strength, low cost, and high availability, are widely used. However, methylcellulose is chemically synthesized from cellulose using a concentrated sodium hydroxide solution, and wheat gluten may cause allergies in consumers, which may raise concerns about food safety (Ozturk and Hamaker, 2023). Therefore, to avoid such concerns, natural additives, such as corn zein or dietary fiber, can be developed to maintain the fibrous structure of alternative meat foods and increase hardness, texture, and mouthfeel (Marczak and Mendes, 2024; Ozturk and Hamaker, 2023; Twarogowska

et al., 2022). In a study by Ong et al. (2021), it was discovered that one of the plants, jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*), has a structure that can mimic marbling in meat, and a cultured meat scaffold was manufactured using color changes caused by the oxidation of natural polyphenols hidden in the vacuoles of jackfruit cells. The development of these additives can be used to improve the texture and mouthfeel of alternative meat products, as well as the color and remove off-flavors (Ong et al., 2021). Off-flavors and colors are factors that greatly affect consumer preference in sensory evaluations. Off-flavors are mainly removed using spices with antioxidant properties, which delay oxidation reactions and the Maillard reaction involved in flavor formation, thereby reducing unpleasant volatile compounds (Yuan et al., 2023). In order to imitate a color similar to cooked meat, natural colorants and leghemoglobin are used (Ryu et al., 2023). Mishyna et al. (2020) removed off-flavors using spices and herbs, such as garlic, basil, chili, and lemongrass, in insect-based alternative foods and representative plant-based alternative food brands. Beyond Burger® and Impossible Burger® mimicked the color of traditional meat using beet juice/powder or soy leghemoglobin (Gastaldello et al., 2022; Mishyna et al., 2020). Finally, flavor is an important sensory characteristic that determines the quality of traditional meat, and flavor ingredients are essential in meat-like products because they act as a texture and binding agent. In order to mimic the flavor of meat, proteins and fats are processed by mixing them appropriately. As briefly mentioned in the mechanism of removing off-flavors above, flavors are changed by volatile or nonvolatile compounds generated by pathways such as oxidation reactions and the Maillard reaction. Because these compounds also originate from fatty acid oxidation processes, fat is important in determining flavor (Yuan et al., 2023). Vegetable oils, which are used as raw materials to replace animal fats, provide excellent health benefits and processing properties. These vegetable oils can be mixed with polysaccharides and then processed into proteins to obtain a protein–polysaccharide network structure, which can enhance the binding effect of fat and protein and improve the shape, texture, and flavor (Zhao et al., 2022). A study by Lee et al. (2024b) designed compounds of flavor components released when traditional meat is cooked and applied them to the scaffold, showing that the flavor properties of cultured meat were similar to those of beef.

Awareness of meat analogs can act as an important factor in changing consumer dietary preferences. Results from a survey of Dutch and Finnish consumers showed that the intention to purchase plant-based meat analogs was relatively high due to the traditionally consumed products, such as tofu and tempeh, but this was not the case for cell-based meat analogs (van Dijk et al., 2023). In addition, vegetarians, flexitarians, or even vegans are more likely to become the largest consumers of meat analog compared to omnivores, and many are already considering consuming alternative proteins instead of meat (Joseph et al., 2020; van Dijk et al., 2023). Analyses of the characteristics of meat analog consumption groups can help strategically promote meat analogs and expand the market. Conflicts with the traditional livestock industry are one of the biggest factors hindering the sales and market growth of meat analogs (Lee et al., 2023b). Traditional meat producers around the world are opposing the use of the term “meat” to refer to meat analogs, arguing that it could cause confusion among consumers (Lee et al., 2023b). Therefore, appropriate support and regulatory measures from each government ministry are needed for the development of the alternative food industry. These include setting standards for distinguishing between traditional meat and meat analogs, selecting relevant government agencies, and enacting laws related to meat analogs. Moreover, it will require verification of the safety of consumption of meat analogs, selection of the name of meat analogs (e.g, meat, egg, milk), and the establishment of management standards according to the content of traditional/alternative mixed meat (Lee et al., 2024a).

Nevertheless, the growth potential of the meat analog market appears bright. In 2022, the global plant-based beef market was valued at 2.1 billion USD, and the plant-based pork market was valued at 1.8 billion USD (Caputo et al., 2024). Looking ahead, it is expected that by 2040, the meat analog market could replace 60% of global meat consumption (Lee et al., 2023a).

While the market growth rate of traditional meat analogs, such as tofu, tempeh, and seitan, is only 5%–6%, the growth potential of the plant-based meat analog market is much higher at 13%–35% (Caputo et al., 2024). In addition, cell-based meat analogs have been approved for sale in the U.S. and Singapore, and are expected to generate sales of more than USD 300 million by 2028, centered on the North American market (Caputo et al., 2024; Lee et al., 2023a). In line with this trend, research into the development of meat analogs should continue. Finally, the development of meat and dairy alternative foods as potential substitutes for animal-sourced foods may impact the overall food market due to changes in food trends, innovative alternative protein materials, and new sales and distribution methods.

Summary

In this study, we examined traditional and emerging technologies and product formulations for meat analogs. We found a significant number of plant-based, edible insect-based, algae-based, mycoprotein-based, and cell-based meat analogs on the market. However, it was not possible to determine the amount of cell-based protein in cell-based meat analogs, and none were officially marketed. Plant-based meat analogs were almost always based on soy protein and combined with other ingredients, including wheat gluten. Insect-based meat analogs were more likely to be processed from larval forms rather than adult insects and contained a combination of soy protein, gluten, and starch. Following commercialized plant-based meat products, mycoprotein-based meat products were the second largest category, and texture based on mycoprotein characteristics was an important aspect of many products. Algae-based meat analogs were often not clearly labeled as such, and, in general, these products were formulated with many types of binding agents (e.g. wheat gluten, starch, carrageenan), flavors, and spices to compensate for the lack of meat flavor or texture. Products containing cell-based meat analogs are not limited to animal products; many seafood products have been investigated, including salmon, tuna, shrimp, and lobster. However, at the time of writing, most of the cell-based meat analogs are still at the prototype stage. This study found that the various meat analogs are similar in composition and often use a mixture of alternative protein sources from different sources to replace meat protein. However, these products have not yet been evaluated as better than traditional meat products in the market, and further research on the development of new ingredients, formulation methods, or manufacturing technologies is needed to develop meat analogs. In the case of cell-based meat analogs, it is expected that research will continue to be needed to produce them in large quantities in order to increase the proportion of cell-based meat analog ingredients in food products and, thus, confirm their feasibility as alternative protein sources.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary materials are only available online from: <https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2024.e106>.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was conducted with the support of Chung-Ang University. This work was supported by Korea Institute of Planning

and Evaluation for Technology in Food, Agriculture and Forestry (IPET) through High Value-added Food Technology Development Program, funded by Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs (MAFRA) (321028-5, 322008-5).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Hur SJ. Data curation: Lee DY, Kim JS, Park J, Han D, Choi Y, Park JW, Lee J, Mariano EJ, Namkung S. Investigation: Lee DY, Kim JS, Park J, Han D, Choi Y, Park JW, Lee J, Mariano EJ, Namkung S. Writing - original draft: Lee DY. Writing - review & editing: Lee DY, Kim JS, Park J, Han D, Choi Y, Park JW, Lee J, Mariano EJ, Namkung S, Hur SJ.

Ethics Approval

This article does not require IRB/IACUC approval because there are no human and animal participants.

References

- Airtable. 2024. Alternative protein research grants dashboard and tracker. Available from: <https://airtable.com/appgQryq0yPpcf3Dx/shrUv14p3ipJRGmh4/tblTWFw7U4vstxQNw?viewControls=on>. Accessed at Oct 16, 2024.
- Akhtar Y, Isman MB. 2018. Insects as an alternative protein source. In *Proteins in food processing*. 2nd ed. Yada RY (ed). Woodhead, Sawston, UK. pp 263-288.
- Ali F, Tian K, Wang ZX. 2021. Modern techniques efficacy on tofu processing: A review. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 116:766-785.
- Anjum S, Agnihotri V, Rana S, Pandey A, Pande V. 2023. The impact of processing methods and conditions on nutritional properties of soybean-based tofu: A review. *J Food Eng Technol* 12:1-13.
- Anusha S, Negi PS. 2023. Edible insects as innovative ingredients: Processing technologies and insect incorporated foods. *J Insects Food Feed* 9:1003-1016.
- Anwar D, Ghadir EC. 2019. Nutritional quality, amino acid profiles, protein digestibility corrected amino acid scores and antioxidant properties of fried tofu and seitan. *Food Environ Saf J* 18:176-190.
- Arora S, Kataria P, Nautiyal M, Tuteja I, Sharma V, Ahmad F, Haque S, Shahwan M, Capanoglu E, Vashishth R, Gupta AK. 2023. Comprehensive review on the role of plant protein as a possible meat analogue: Framing the future of meat. *ACS Omega* 8:23305-23319.
- Bakhsh A, Lee SJ, Lee EY, Hwang YH, Joo ST. 2021. Traditional plant-based meat alternatives, current, and future perspective: A review. *J Agric Life Sci* 55:1-11.
- Benzertih A, Kierończyk B, Rawski M, Mikołajczak Z, Urbański A, Nogowski L, Józefiak D. 2020. Insect fat in animal nutrition: A review. *Ann Anim Sci* 20:1217-1240.
- Bhat ZF, Bhat H, Pathak V. 2014. Prospects for *in vitro* cultured meat: A future harvest. In *Principles of tissue engineering*. 4th ed. Lanza R, Langer R, Vacanti J (ed). Academic Press, Cambridge, MA, USA. pp 1663-1683.
- Bloomberg. 2021. Crickets, mealworms and grasshoppers are human food, EU says. Available from: <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-12-09/eu-designates-crickets-mealworms-and-grasshoppers-as-human-food>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.

- Borzekowski A, Anggriawan R, Auliyati M, Kunte HJ, Koch M, Rohn S, Karlovsky P, Maul R. 2019. Formation of zearalenone metabolites in tempeh fermentation. *Molecules* 24:2697.
- Boukid F, Gagaoua M. 2022. Meat alternatives: A proofed commodity? *Adv Food Nutr Res* 101:213-236.
- Business Insider. 2016. This startup is making real meatballs in a lab without killing a single animal. Available from: <https://www.businessinsider.com/memphis-meats-lab-grown-meatballs-2016-11>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Cai JS, Feng JY, Ni ZJ, Ma RH, Thakur K, Wang S, Hu F, Zhang JG, Wei ZJ. 2021. An update on the nutritional, functional, sensory characteristics of soy products, and applications of new processing strategies. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 112:676-689.
- Caputo V, Sun J, Staples AJ, Taylor H. 2024. Market outlook for meat alternatives: Challenges, opportunities, and new developments. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 148:104474.
- Carrel A. 1912. On the permanent life of tissues outside of the organism. *J Exp Med* 15:516-528.
- Chen CC, Hsieh JF, Kuo MI. 2023. Insight into the processing, gelation and functional components of tofu: A review. *Processes* 11:202.
- Cherry P, O'Hara C, Magee PJ, McSorley EM, Allsopp PJ. 2019. Risks and benefits of consuming edible seaweeds. *Nutr Rev* 77:307-329.
- Cho C, Lim H, Kim B, Jung H, Park S. 2022. Current status of research and market in alternative protein. *Food Life* 2022:9-18.
- Daba GM, Mostafa FA, Elkhateeb WA. 2021. The ancient koji mold (*Aspergillus oryzae*) as a modern biotechnological tool. *Bioresour Bioprocess* 8:52.
- Day L, Cakebread JA, Loveday SM. 2022. Food proteins from animals and plants: Differences in the nutritional and functional properties. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 119:428-442.
- De Angelis D, van der Goot AJ, Pasqualone A, Summo C. 2024. Advancements in texturization processes for the development of plant-based meat analogs: A review. *Curr Opin Food Sci* 58:101192.
- de Souza Celente G, Sui Y, Acharya P. 2023. Seaweed as an alternative protein source: Prospective protein extraction technologies. *Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol* 86:103374.
- Deloitte. 2023. Global powers of retailing 2023. Available from: <https://www2.deloitte.com/kr/ko/pages/consumer/articles/2023/20230411.html>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Dezzen. 2018. World's first lab-grown steak is made from beef but slaughter-free. Available from: <https://www.dezzen.com/2018/12/18/lab-grown-steak-beef-slaughter-free-aleph-farms-design/>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Diaz CJ, Douglas KJ, Kang K, Kolarik AL, Malinovski R, Torres-Tiji Y, Molino JV, Badary A, Mayfield SP. 2023. Developing algae as a sustainable food source. *Front Nutr* 9:1029841.
- Dinali M, Liyanage R, Silva M, Newman L, Adhikari B, Wijesekara I, Chandrapala J. 2024. Fibrous structure in plant-based meat: High-moisture extrusion factors and sensory attributes in production and storage. *Food Rev Int* 40:2940-2968.
- Dossey AT, Tatum JT, McGill WL. 2016. Modern insect-based food industry: Current status, insect processing technology, and recommendations moving forward. In *Insects as sustainable food ingredients: Production, processing and food applications*. Dossey AT, Morales-Ramos JA, Guadalupe Rojas M (ed). Academic Press, Cambridge, MA, USA. pp 113-152.
- Espinosa-Ramírez J, Mondragón-Portocarrero AC, Rodríguez JA, Lorenzo JM, Santos EM. 2023. Algae as a potential source of protein meat alternatives. *Front Nutr* 10:1254300.

- Finnigan TJA, Wall BT, Wilde PJ, Stephens FB, Taylor SL, Freedman MR. 2019. Mycoprotein: The future of nutritious nonmeat protein, a symposium review. *Curr Dev Nutr* 3:nzz021.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations [FAO]. 2013. Edible insects: Future prospects for food and feed security. Available from: <https://edepot.wur.nl/258042>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Food Dive. 2022. Insects rise as a sustainable alternative protein option. Available from: <https://www.fooddive.com/news/insects-rise-as-a-sustainable-alternative-protein-option/617885/>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- FoodNavigator-USA. 2017. Memphis Meats unveils poultry meat (without raising animals): ‘An unprecedented milestone for clean meat’. Available from: <https://www.foodnavigator-usa.com/Article/2017/03/15/Memphis-Meats-unveils-cultured-chicken-duck-meat>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Forster J, Radulovich R. 2015. Seaweed and food security. In *Seaweed sustainability: Food and non-food applications*. Tiwari BK, Troy DJ (ed). Academic Press, Cambridge, MA, USA. pp 289-313.
- Fraser RZ, Shitut M, Agrawal P, Mendes O, Klapholz S. 2018. Safety evaluation of soy leghemoglobin protein preparation derived from *Pichia pastoris*, intended for use as a flavor catalyst in plant-based meat. *Int J Toxicol* 37:241-262.
- Gamarra-Castillo O, Echeverry-Montaña N, Marbello-Santrich A, Hernández-Carrión M, Restrepo S. 2022. Meat substitute development from fungal protein (*Aspergillus oryzae*). *Foods* 11:2940.
- García-García E, Totosaus A. 2008. Low-fat sodium-reduced sausages: Effect of the interaction between locust bean gum, potato starch and κ -carrageenan by a mixture design approach. *Meat Sci* 78:406-413.
- Gastaldello A, Giampieri F, De Giuseppe R, Grosso G, Baroni L, Battino M. 2022. The rise of processed meat alternatives: A narrative review of the manufacturing, composition, nutritional profile and health effects of newer sources of protein, and their place in healthier diets. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 127:263-271.
- Godfray HCJ, Aveyard P, Garnett T, Hall JW, Key TJ, Lorimer J, Pierrehumbert RT, Scarborough P, Springmann M, Jebb SA. 2018. Meat consumption, health, and the environment. *Science* 361:eaam5324.
- Gorbunova NA. 2024. Assessing the role of meat consumption in human evolutionary changes. A review. *Theory Pract Meat Process* 9:53-64.
- Govaerts F. 2023. Factors influencing seaweed consumption: The role of values, self-identity, norms and attitudes. Ph.D. dissertation, Arctic Univ., Tromsø, Norway.
- Gravel A, Doyen A. 2020. The use of edible insect proteins in food: Challenges and issues related to their functional properties. *Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol* 59:102272.
- Hashempour-Baltork F, Khosravi-Darani K, Hosseini H, Farshi P, Reihani SFS. 2020. Mycoproteins as safe meat substitutes. *J Clean Prod* 253:119958.
- He J, Evans NM, Liu H, Shao S. 2020. A review of research on plant-based meat alternatives: Driving forces, history, manufacturing, and consumer attitudes. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Soc* 19:2639-2656.
- Högg E, Rauh C. 2023. Towards a better understanding of texturization during high-moisture extrusion (HME)—Part I: Modeling the texturability of plant-based proteins. *Foods* 12:1955.
- Hogle SL, Barbeau KA, Gledhill M. 2014. Heme in the marine environment: From cells to the iron cycle. *Metallomics* 6:1107-1120.
- Huang Z, Liu H, Zhao L, He W, Zhou X, Chen H, Zhou X, Zhou J, Liu Z. 2022. Evaluating the effect of different processing methods on fermented soybean whey-based tofu quality, nutrition, and flavour. *LWT-Food Sci Technol* 158:113139.
- Imathiu S. 2020. Benefits and food safety concerns associated with consumption of edible insects. *NFS J* 18:1-11.

- Indonesian Food Composition Data. 2017. Tempeh, pure soybean, uncooked. Available from: <https://www.panganku.org/en-EN/view>. Accessed at Jun 12, 2024.
- Ismail I, Hwang YH, Joo ST. 2020. Meat analog as future food: A review. *J Anim Sci Technol* 62:111-120.
- Jacobson MF, DePorter J. 2018. Self-reported adverse reactions associated with mycoprotein (Quorn-brand) containing foods. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 120:626-630.
- Jeong KY, Park JW. 2020. Insect allergens on the dining table. *Curr Protein Pept Sci* 21:159-169.
- Jones OG. 2016. Recent advances in the functionality of non-animal-sourced proteins contributing to their use in meat analogs. *Curr Opin Food Sci* 7:7-13.
- Joseph P, Searing A, Watson C, McKeague J. 2020. Alternative proteins: Market research on consumer trends and emerging landscape. *Meat Muscle Biol* 4:16.
- Kepnews. 2021. Lotte Daesang, leading the future food industry with 'meat that is not meat'. Overcoming 'technological limitations' is key. Available from: <http://kpenews.com/View.aspx?No=1658242>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Khan R, Brishti FH, Arulrajah B, Goh YM, Abd Rahim MH, Karim R, Hajar-Azhari S, Kit SK, Anwar F, Saari N. 2024. Mycoprotein as a meat substitute: Production, functional properties, and current challenges: A review. *Int J Food Sci Technol* 59:522-544.
- Kim H, Bae J, Wi G, Kim HT, Cho Y, Choi MJ. 2019. Physicochemical properties and sensory evaluation of meat analog mixed with different liquid materials as an animal fat substitute. *Food Eng Prog* 23:62-68.
- Kim HG. 2019. Physicochemical, sensory and storage stability of meat analogue by applied emulsion technique. Ph.D. dissertation, Konkuk Univ., Seoul, Korea.
- Kim TK, Cha JY, Yong HI, Jang HW, Jung S, Choi YS. 2022. Application of edible insects as novel protein sources and strategies for improving their processing. *Food Sci Anim Resour* 42:372-388.
- Kobayashi T, Abe K, Asai K, Gomi K, Juvvadi PR, Kato M, Kitamoto K, Takeuchi M, Machida M. 2007. Genomics of *Aspergillus oryzae*. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 7:646-670.
- Kröncke N, Baur A, Bösch V, Demtröder S, Benning R, Delgado A. 2020. Automation of insect mass rearing and processing technologies of mealworms (*Tenebrio molitor*). In African edible insects as alternative source of food, oil, protein and bioactive components. Mariod AA (ed). Springer, Cham, Switzerland. pp 123-139.
- Kumari S, Alam AN, Hossain MJ, Lee EY, Hwang YH, Joo ST. 2024. Sensory evaluation of plant-based meat: Bridging the gap with animal meat, challenges and future prospects. *Foods* 13:108.
- Kurek MA, Onopiuk A, Pogorzelska-Nowicka E, Szpicer A, Zalewska M, Póltorak A. 2022. Novel protein sources for applications in meat-alternative products: Insight and challenges. *Foods* 11:957.
- Larouche J, Campbell B, Hénault-Éthier L, Banks IJ, Tomberlin JK, Preyer C, Deschamps MH, Vandenberg GW. 2023. The edible insect sector in Canada and the United States. *Anim Front* 13:16-25.
- Lee DY, Lee SY, Jung JW, Kim JH, Oh DH, Kim HW, Kang JH, Choi JS, Kim GD, Joo ST, Hur SJ. 2023a. Review of technology and materials for the development of cultured meat. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 63:8591-8615.
- Lee DY, Lee SY, Yun SH, Lee J, Mariano E Jr, Park J, Choi Y, Han D, Kim JS, Hur SJ. 2024a. Current technologies and future perspective in meat analogs made from plant, insect, and mycoprotein materials: A review. *Food Sci Anim Resour* 44:1-18.
- Lee JM, Kim YR. 2018. Alternative livestock product development trends and implications. Available from: <https://www.krei.re.kr/krei/researchReportView.do?key=70&pageType=010301&biblioId=518093#>. Accessed at Aug 15, 2024.

- Lee M, Park S, Choi B, Choi W, Lee H, Lee JM, Lee ST, Yoo KH, Han D, Bang G, Hwang H, Koh WG, Lee S, Hong J. 2024b. Cultured meat with enriched organoleptic properties by regulating cell differentiation. *Nat Commun* 15:77.
- Lee SY, Lee DY, Jeong JW, Kim JH, Yun SH, Mariano E Jr, Lee J, Park S, Jo C, Hur SJ. 2023b. Current technologies, regulation, and future perspective of animal product analogs: A review. *Anim Biosci* 36:1465-1487.
- Lee SY, Lee DY, Yun SH, Lee J, Mariano E Jr, Park J, Choi Y, Han D, Kim JS, Hur SJ. 2024c. Current technology and industrialization status of cell-cultivated meat. *J Anim Sci Technol* 66:1-30.
- Lima DC, Nogueira NH, Rezende-de-Souza JH, Pflanzler SB. 2023. What are Brazilian plant-based meat products delivering to consumers? A look at the ingredients, allergens, label claims, and nutritional value. *J Food Compos Anal* 121:105406.
- Lupatini AL, Colla LM, Canan C, Colla E. 2017. Potential application of microalga *Spirulina platensis* as a protein source. *J Sci Food Agric* 97:724-732.
- Maitresya LB, Surya R. 2023. Development of tempeh made from soybeans, black-eyed beans, and winged beans. *IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci* 1200:012008.
- Majumder R, Miatur S, Saha A, Hossain S. 2024. Mycoprotein: Production and nutritional aspects: A review. *Sustain Food Technol* 2:81-91.
- Majzooobi M, Talebanfar S, Eskandari MH, Farahnaky A. 2017. Improving the quality of meat-free sausages using κ -carrageenan, konjac mannan and xanthan gum. *Int J Food Sci Technol* 52:1269-1275.
- Mancini S, Sogari G, Espinosa Diaz S, Menozzi D, Paci G, Moruzzo R. 2022. Exploring the future of edible insects in Europe. *Foods* 11:455.
- Maningat CC, Jeradechachai T, Buttshaw MR. 2022. Textured wheat and pea proteins for meat alternative applications. *Cereal Chem* 99:37-66.
- Manzi P, Gambelli L, Marconi S, Vivanti V, Pizzoferrato L. 1999. Nutrients in edible mushrooms: An inter-species comparative study. *Food Chem* 65:477-482.
- Marczak A, Mendes AC. 2024. Dietary fibers: Shaping textural and functional properties of processed meats and plant-based meat alternatives. *Foods* 13:1952.
- Mariano E Jr, Lee DY, Yun SH, Lee J, Lee SY, Hur SJ. 2023. Checkmeat: A review on the applicability of conventional meat authentication techniques to cultured meat. *Food Sci Anim Resour* 43:1055-1066.
- Mishyna M, Chen J, Benjamin O. 2020. Sensory attributes of edible insects and insect-based foods: Future outlooks for enhancing consumer appeal. *Trends Food Sci Technol* 95:141-148.
- Mosa Meat. 2013. The mission: To fundamentally reshape the global food system. Available from: <https://mosameat.com/the-mission>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Murai U, Yamagishi K, Kishida R, Iso H. 2021. Impact of seaweed intake on health. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 75:877-889.
- Nout MJR, Kiers JL. 2005. Tempe fermentation, innovation and functionality: Update into the third millenium. *J Appl Microbiol* 98:789-805.
- Nowacka M, Trusinska M, Chraniuk P, Drudi F, Lukasiewicz J, Nguyen NP, Przybyszewska A, Pobiega K, Tappi S, Tylewicz U, Rybak K, Wiktor A. 2023. Developments in plant proteins production for meat and fish analogues. *Molecules* 28:2966.
- Nowakowski AC, Miller AC, Miller ME, Xiao H, Wu X. 2022. Potential health benefits of edible insects. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 62:3499-3508.
- Oh H, Sung M, Shin J, Yoon Y. 2021. Development aspects and safety concerns of cultured meat. *Food Sci Anim Resour Ind*

10:80-85.

- Ong S, Loo L, Pang M, Tan R, Teng Y, Lou X, Chin SK, Naik MY, Yu H. 2021. Decompartmentalisation as a simple color manipulation of plant-based marbling meat alternatives. *Biomaterials* 277:121107.
- Ozturk OK, Hamaker BR. 2023. Texturization of plant protein-based meat alternatives: Processing, base proteins, and other constructional ingredients. *Future Foods* 8:100248.
- Pan J, Xu H, Cheng Y, Mintah BK, Dabbour M, Yang F, Chen W, Zhang Z, Dai C, He R, Ma H. 2022. Recent insight on edible insect protein: Extraction, functional properties, allergenicity, bioactivity, and applications. *Foods* 11:2931.
- Park MS, Lee YS, Kim GP, Park SH, Han JH. 2019. Actual conditions of the food industry's application of food tech and its tasks. Available from: <https://repository.krei.re.kr/bitstream/2018.oak/24656/1/R879.pdf>. Accessed at Aug 15, 2024.
- Park MS, Park SH, Lee YS. 2020. Current status of alternative foods and countermeasures. Available from: <https://www.krei.re.kr/krei/researchReportView.do?key=70&bibliId=525234&pageType=010301>. Accessed at Aug 15, 2024.
- Pereira L. 2011. A review of the nutrient composition of selected edible seaweeds. In *Seaweed: Ecology, nutrient composition and medicinal uses*. Pomin VH (ed). Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge, NY, USA. pp 15-47.
- Pick C, Hagner-Holler S, Burmester T. 2008. Molecular characterization of hemocyanin and hexamerin from the firebrat *Thermobia domestica* (Zygentoma). *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 38:977-983.
- Post MJ. 2014a. An alternative animal protein source: Cultured beef. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 1328:29-33.
- Post MJ. 2014b. Cultured beef: Medical technology to produce food. *J Sci Food Agric* 94:1039-1041.
- Precedence Research. 2024. Edible insects market (by product: caterpillar, beetles, cricket, others; by application: powder, protein bars, others): Global industry analysis, size, share, growth, trends, regional outlook, and forecast 2024-2034. Available from: <https://www.precedenceresearch.com/edible-insects-market>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Purschke B, Meinschmidt P, Horn C, Rieder O, Jäger H. 2018. Improvement of techno-functional properties of edible insect protein from migratory locust by enzymatic hydrolysis. *Eur Food Res Technol* 244:999-1013.
- Reed M, Norwood BF, Hoback WW, Riggs A. 2021. A survey of willingness to consume insects and a measure of college student perceptions of insect consumption using Q methodology. *Future Foods* 4:100046.
- Reuters. 2023. As lab-grown meat hits menus, the next investor hurdle is scaling. Available from: <https://www.reuters.com/markets/commodities/cell-cultivated-meat-hits-menus-investors-see-scaling-next-hurdle-2023-07-20/>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Rödl MB. 2019. What's new?: A history of meat alternatives in the UK. In *Environmental, health, and business opportunities in the new meat alternatives market*. Bogueva D, Marinova D, Raphaely T, Schmidinger K (ed). IGI Global, Hershey, PA, USA. p 202.
- Rousta N, Hellwig C, Wainaina S, Lukitawesa L, Agnihotri S, Rousta K, Taherzadeh MJ. 2021. Filamentous fungus *Aspergillus oryzae* for food: From submerged cultivation to fungal burgers and their sensory evaluation: A pilot study. *Foods* 10:2774.
- Ryu KK, Kang YK, Jeong EW, Baek Y, Lee KY, Lee HG. 2023. Applications of various natural pigments to a plant-based meat analog. *LWT-Food Sci Technol* 174:114431.
- Saeed F, Afzaal M, Khalid A, Shah YA, Ateeq H, Islam F, Akram N, Ejaz A, Nayik GA, Shah MA. 2023. Role of mycoprotein as a non-meat protein in food security and sustainability: A review. *Int J Food Prop* 26:683-695.
- Samtiya M, Aluko RE, Dhewa T. 2020. Plant food anti-nutritional factors and their reduction strategies: An overview. *Food Prod Process Nutr* 2:1-14.

- Sánchez-Velázquez OA, Ma Z, Mirón-Mérida V, Mondor M, Hernández-Álvarez AJ. 2024. Insect processing technologies. In *Insects as food and food ingredients: Technological improvements sustainability and safety aspects*. García-Vaquero M, Álvarez García C (ed). Academic Press, Cambridge, MA, USA. pp 67-92.
- Sayre R. 2010. Microalgae: The potential for carbon capture. *BioScience* 60:722-727.
- Schmid EM, Farahnaky A, Adhikari B, Torley PJ. 2022. High moisture extrusion cooking of meat analogs: A review of mechanisms of protein texturization. *Crit Rev Food Sci Food Saf* 21:4573-4609.
- Seok YS. 2022. Current status and future of insect smart factory farm using ICT technology. *Food Sci Ind* 55:188-202.
- Shin DH. 2011. Utilization of soybean as food stuffs in Korea. In *Soybean and nutrition*. El-Shemy H (ed). IntechOpen, London, UK. pp 81-110.
- Shin JT, Baker MA, Kim YW. 2018. Edible insects uses in South Korean gastronomy: “Korean edible insect laboratory” case study. In *Edible insects in sustainable food systems*. Halloran A, Flore R, Vantomme P, Roos N (ed). Springer, Cham, Switzerland. pp 147-159.
- Shivanna KR. 2023. Plant and fungal-based meat analogues for mitigating the impacts of global warming. *J Indian Bot Soc* 103:93-99.
- Slate. 2015. The problem with making meat in a factory. Available from: <https://slate.com/technology/2015/09/in-vitro-meat-probably-wont-save-the-planet-yet.html>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Smith MR, Stull VJ, Patz JA, Myers SS. 2021. Nutritional and environmental benefits of increasing insect consumption in Africa and Asia. *Environ Res Lett* 16:065001.
- Soni RA, Sudhakar K, Rana RS, Baredar P. 2021. Food supplements formulated with *Spirulina*. In *Algae: Multifarious applications for a sustainable world*. Mandotra SK, Upadhyay AK, Ahluwalia AS (ed). Springer, Singapore. pp 201-226.
- Srinroch C, Srisomsap C, Chokchaichamnankit D, Punyarit P, Phiriyangkul P. 2015. Identification of novel allergen in edible insect, *Gryllus bimaculatus* and its cross-reactivity with *Macrobrachium* spp. allergens. *Food Chem* 184:160-166.
- Statista. 2024. Worldwide meat substitute revenue in 2023, by country. Available from: <https://www.statista.com/forecasts/1276534/worldwide-meat-substitute-revenue-by-country>. Accessed at May 9, 2024.
- Stein HH, Berger LL, Drackley JK, Fahey GC Jr, Hernot DC, Parsons CM. 2008. Nutritional properties and feeding values of soybeans and their coproducts. In *Soybeans: Chemistry, production, processing, and utilization*. Johnson LA, White PJ, Galloway R (ed). AOCS Press, Urbana, IL, USA. pp 613-660.
- Stout AJ, Mirliani AB, Rittenberg ML, Shub M, White EC, Yuen JSK Jr, Kaplan DL. 2022. Simple and effective serum-free medium for sustained expansion of bovine satellite cells for cell cultured meat. *Commun Biol* 5:466.
- Stull V, Patz J. 2020. Research and policy priorities for edible insects. *Sustain Sci* 15:633-645.
- Tavares PPLG, dos Santos Lima M, Pessôa LC, de Andrade Bulos RB, de Oliveira TTB, da Silva Cruz LF, de Jesus Assis D, da Boa Morte ES, Di Mambro Ribeiro CV, de Souza CO. 2022. Innovation in alternative food sources: A review of a technological state-of-the-art of insects in food products. *Foods* 11:3792.
- The Guardian. 2020. Out of the lab and into your frying pan: The advance of cultured meat. Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/food/2020/jan/19/cultured-meat-on-its-way-to-a-table-near-you-cultivated-cells-farming-society-ethics>. Accessed at Apr 17, 2024.
- Thiviya P, Gamage A, Gama-Arachchige NS, Merah O, Madhujith T. 2022. Seaweeds as a source of functional proteins. *Phycology* 2:216-243.
- Twarogowska A, Van Droogenbroeck B, Fraeye I. 2022. Application of Belgian endive (*Cichorium intybus* var. foliosum)

- dietary fiber concentrate to improve nutritional value and functional properties of plant-based burgers. *Food Biosci* 48:101825.
- Tyndall SM, Maloney GR, Cole MB, Hazell NG, Augustin MA. 2024. Critical food and nutrition science challenges for plant-based meat alternative products. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 64:638-653.
- van Dijk B, Jouppila K, Sandell M, Knaapila A. 2023. No meat, lab meat, or half meat? Dutch and Finnish consumers' attitudes toward meat substitutes, cultured meat, and hybrid meat products. *Food Qual Prefer* 108:104886.
- van Huis A. 2022. Edible insects: Challenges and prospects. *Entomol Res* 52:161-177.
- van Huis A, Oonincx DGAB. 2017. The environmental sustainability of insects as food and feed. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev* 37:43.
- Wang Y, Tuccillo F, Lampi AM, Knaapila A, Pulkkinen M, Kariluoto S, Coda R, Edelmann M, Jouppila K, Sandell M, Piironen V, Katina K. 2022. Flavor challenges in extruded plant-based meat alternatives: A review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Food Saf* 21:2898-2929.
- Wells ML, Potin P, Craigie JS, Raven JA, Merchant SS, Helliwell KE, Smith AG, Camire ME, Brawley SH. 2017. Algae as nutritional and functional food sources: Revisiting our understanding. *J Appl Phycol* 29:949-982.
- Xiong YL. 2023. Meat and meat alternatives: Where is the gap in scientific knowledge and technology? *Ital J Anim Sci* 2:482-496.
- Yao CL, Ji PF, Kong P, Wang ZY, Xiang JH. 2009. Arginine kinase from *Litopenaeus vannamei*: Cloning, expression and catalytic properties. *Fish Shellfish Immunol* 26:553-558.
- Yoo GY, Yong HI, Yu MH, Jeon KH. 2020. A review of meat-like foods using vegetable proteins. *Korean J Food Sci Technol* 52:167-171.
- Yuan J, Qin F, He Z, Zeng M, Wang Z, Chen J. 2023. Influences of spices on the flavor of meat analogs and their potential pathways. *Foods* 12:1650.
- Zahari I, Östbring K, Purhagen JK, Rayner M. 2022. Plant-based meat analogues from alternative protein: A systematic literature review. *Foods* 11:2870.
- Zava TT, Zava DT. 2011. Assessment of Japanese iodine intake based on seaweed consumption in Japan: A literature-based analysis. *Thyroid Res* 4:14.
- Zhao D, Huang L, Li H, Ren Y, Cao J, Zhang T, Liu X. 2022. Ingredients and process affect the structural quality of recombinant plant-based meat alternatives and their components. *Foods* 11:2202.