



## **Draft Genome Sequence of a Chitinimonas Species from Hudson Valley Waterways That Expresses Violacein Pigment**

**[Brooke A. Judea](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0384-8173)**

a Reem-Kayden Center for Science and Computation, Program of Biology, Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, New York, USA

**ABSTRACT** Chitinimonas spp. are Gram-negative bacilli that are observed in freshwater and soil sources. A number of Chitinimonas species have been characterized, including the green-pigmented Chitinimonas viridis. The isolate described here, BJB300, was obtained from a freshwater source in the Hudson Valley, NY. BJB300 is the first Chitinimonas isolate expressing violacein, a pigment with biotherapeutic potential.

*C*hitinimonas bacteria are Gram-negative, motile bacilli that are found in freshwater and soil and include Chitinimonas koreensis, Chitinimonas taiwanensis, and Chitinimonas viridis [\(1](#page-1-0)[–](#page-1-1)[3\)](#page-1-2). Isolates are often associated with chitin or organisms with chitinous carapaces [\(2\)](#page-1-1). Chitinimonas spp. are capable of producing chitinases, whose production in other organisms is regulated by quorum sensing [\(4\)](#page-1-3).

Hudson Valley (NY) freshwater samples were cultured at 22 to 25°C on Reasoner's 2A (R2A) medium, and they displayed vibrantly colored bacterial isolates, including violet colonies [\(5\)](#page-1-4). The pigment violacein is produced via the expression of a five-gene biosynthetic operon, vioABCDE [\(6\)](#page-1-5). Since its initial characterization, violacein has been studied for its utility in biotherapeutics, most notably for its killing effect on invasive chytrid isolates [\(7\)](#page-1-6).

Chitinimonas sp. strain BJB300 was isolated from a freshwater source on R2A agar and incubated at 22 to 25°C for 48 hours. The isolate grew as diffuse, irregularly shaped, violet-pigmented colonies that could be maintained successfully on R2A agar and 1% tryptone yet is unable to grow on Lennox lysogeny broth [\(8\)](#page-1-7).

Genomic DNA extraction was completed with a Puregene yeast/bacteria kit (Qiagen). A 150-bp paired-end Illumina library was generated and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencer (Wright Labs, Huntington, PA), resulting in 2 Gbp of sequence. DNA was also isolated using the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen), and a library was constructed (see SRA accession numbers), without shearing, using the Nanopore rapid sequencing kit (catalog number SQN-RAD004; Oxford). The library was sequenced with the Nanopore MinION device (Oxford), yielding 18.5 million bases. Reads from both sequencing runs were archived through the NCBI and uploaded to the Galaxy Web platform, using the public server at [http://usegalaxy.eu,](http://usegalaxy.eu) for analysis [\(Ta](#page-1-8)[ble 1\)](#page-1-8). All programs were run on Galaxy-EU using standard installations except where noted. Illumina sequences were analyzed with FastQC [\(9\)](#page-1-9) and trimmed using fastp [\(10\)](#page-1-10), while Nanopore adapters were trimmed using Porechop [\(11\)](#page-1-11). Unicycler (v. 0.4.6) was used for assembly, removing contigs shorter than 500 bp in length [\(12,](#page-1-12) [13\)](#page-2-0). Sequences were mapped back to the assembly using Bowtie 2 and visualized with Tablet, with all contigs having least 230 $\times$  coverage [\(14,](#page-2-1) [15\)](#page-2-2).

The draft genome is 111 contigs. The  $N_{50}$  value of the assembly is 246,315 bp. The genome size is predicted to be 5.04 Mbp, with a  $G+C$  content of 54.56%. The  $G+C$ percentages in the literature for Chitinimonas species range from that for C. viridis (59.8% G+C) to that for C. koreensis (65.0% G+C) [\(1,](#page-1-0) [3\)](#page-1-2). Analysis with PlasFlow identified

**Citation** Jude BA. 2019. Draft genome sequence of a Chitinimonas species from Hudson Valley waterways that expresses violacein pigment. Microbiol Resour Announc 8:e00683-19. [https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00683-19) [.00683-19.](https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00683-19)

**Editor** Julie C. Dunning Hotopp, University of Maryland School of Medicine

**Copyright** © 2019 Jude. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license.](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Address correspondence to [bjude@bard.edu.](mailto:bjude@bard.edu)

**Received** 10 June 2019 **Accepted** 14 August 2019 **Published** 29 August 2019

<span id="page-1-8"></span>**TABLE 1** Accession numbers for sequence and assembly files

Category	Accession no.	<b>Description</b>
Umbrella project (BioProject)	<b>PRJNA326269</b>	Violacein-producing microbial strains
BioProject	<b>PRJNA263247</b>	Chitinimonas sp. strain BJB300 genome sequencing
BioSample	SAMN11883734	Microbe sample from Chitinimonas sp. BJB300
SRA-Nanopore	SRX6461400	SRR9151613
BioSample	SAMN03098007	Microbe sample from Chitinimonas sp.
SRA-Illumina	SRX3373346	SRR6267149
Unicycler assembly (GenBank)	<b>VDCU00000000</b>	

33 potential plasmid sequences, many with  $G+C$  contents divergent from the reported average [\(16\)](#page-2-3).

Contigs were annotated using Prokka (v. 1.13.3) [\(17\)](#page-2-4), RASTtk [\(https://www.patricbrc](https://www.patricbrc.org) [.org\)](https://www.patricbrc.org) [\(18,](#page-2-5) [19\)](#page-2-6), and the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline [\(20\)](#page-2-7) Annotations averaged 4,652 coding sequences (CDS). A BLAST search of the 16S rRNA found that it was 94% identical to that of C. koreensis. As expected, the violacein operon was identified, describing the purple colony pigmentation. Additionally, a chitinase gene was noted, pointing to a functional ability to degrade chitin similar to that of other Chitinimonas isolates.

This report places Chitinimonas into a group of strains capable of producing violacein, enlarging the cohort of bacterial strains available for bioremediation and biotherapeutic purposes. Further analysis of this strain and its biological properties is ongoing.

**Data availability.** SRA files for Illumina sequencing (SRA accession number [SRS2670610\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX3373346%5baccn%5d) have been deposited, as well as those for Nanopore sequencing (SRA accession number [SRX6461400\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX6461400). This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession number [VDCU00000000.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/VDCU00000000) The version described in this paper is the second version, VDCU02000000.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I thank Veronica Steckler for water collection and initial strain culturing.

B.A.J. was provided funding by the New York State Water Resources Institute. Support for training was provided by GCAT-SEEK. GCAT-SEEK has been supported by U.S. National Science Foundation award DBI-1248096 (RCN-UBE–GCAT-SEEK: The Genome Consortium for Active Undergraduate Research and Teaching Using Next-Generation Sequencing). The Galaxy server that was used for some calculations is funded in part by Collaborative Research Centre 992 Medical Epigenetics (DFG grant SFB 992/1 2012), and the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF grants 031 A538A/A538C RBC, 031L0101B/031L0101C de.NBI-epi, and 031L0106 de.STAIR [de.NBI]).

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

- 1. Kim B-Y, Weon H-Y, Yoo S-H, Chen W-M, Kwon S-W, Go S-J, Stackebrandt E. 2006. Chitinimonas koreensis sp. nov., isolated from greenhouse soil in Korea. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 56:1761–1764. [https://doi.org/10.1099/](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64163-0) [ijs.0.64163-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64163-0)
- <span id="page-1-1"></span>2. Chang S-C, Wang J-T, Vandamme P, Hwang J-H, Chang P-S, Chen W-M. 2004. Chitinimonas taiwanensis gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel chitinolytic bacterium isolated from a freshwater pond for shrimp culture. Syst Appl Microbiol 27:43– 49. [https://doi.org/10.1078/0723-2020-00252.](https://doi.org/10.1078/0723-2020-00252)
- <span id="page-1-3"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span>3. Joung Y, Lee BI, Kang H, Kim H, Joh K. 2014. Chitinimonas viridis sp. nov., isolated from a mesotrophic artificial lake. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:1123–1126. [https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.055442-0.](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.055442-0)
- 4. Kim IS, Yang SY, Park SK, Kim YC. 2017. Quorum sensing is a key regulator for the antifungal and biocontrol activity of chitinaseproducing Chromobacterium sp. C61. Mol Plant Pathol 18:134 –140. [https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12379.](https://doi.org/10.1111/mpp.12379)
- <span id="page-1-4"></span>5. Agate L, Beam D, Bucci C, Dukashin Y, Jo'Beh R, O'Brien K, Jude BA. 2016. The search for violacein-producing microbes to combat Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis: a collaborative research project between secondary

school and college research students. J Microbiol Biol Educ 17:70 –73. [https://doi.org/10.1128/jmbe.v17i1.1002.](https://doi.org/10.1128/jmbe.v17i1.1002)

- <span id="page-1-6"></span><span id="page-1-5"></span>6. Antônio RV, Creczynski-Pasa TB. 2004. Genetic analysis of violacein biosynthesis by Chromobacterium violaceum. Genet Mol Res 3:85–91.
- 7. Becker MH, Brucker RM, Schwantes CR, Harris RN, Minbiole K. 2009. The bacterially produced metabolite violacein is associated with survival of amphibians infected with a lethal fungus. Appl Environ Microbiol 75: 6635– 6638. [https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01294-09.](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01294-09)
- <span id="page-1-9"></span><span id="page-1-7"></span>8. Lennox ES. 1955. Transduction of linked genetic characters of the host by bacteriophage P1. Virology 1:190 –206. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0042](https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(55)90016-7) [-6822\(55\)90016-7.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(55)90016-7)
- <span id="page-1-10"></span>9. Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. [http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/)
- <span id="page-1-11"></span>10. Chen S, Zhou Y, Chen Y, Gu J. 2018. fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. Bioinformatics 34:i884 –i890. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560) [bioinformatics/bty560.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560)
- <span id="page-1-12"></span>11. Wick RR. 2018. Porechop: adapter trimmer for Oxford Nanopore reads. [https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop.](https://github.com/rrwick/Porechop)
- 12. Afgan E, Baker D, Batut B, van den Beek M, Bouvier D, Cech M, Chilton
- <span id="page-2-0"></span>13. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol 13:e1005595. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595)
- <span id="page-2-2"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>14. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923)
- 15. Milne I, Stephen G, Bayer M, Cock PJA, Pritchard L, Cardle L, Shaw PD, Marshall D. 2013. Using Tablet for visual exploration of secondgeneration sequencing data. Brief Bioinform 14:193–202. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbs012) [10.1093/bib/bbs012.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbs012)
- <span id="page-2-3"></span>16. Krawczyk PS, Lipinski L, Dziembowski A. 2018. PlasFlow: predicting plasmid sequences in metagenomic data using genome signatures. Nucleic Acids Res 46:e35. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1321.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1321)
- <span id="page-2-4"></span>17. Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30:2068 –2069. [https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153)
- <span id="page-2-5"></span>18. Wattam AR, Abraham D, Dalay O, Disz TL, Driscoll T, Gabbard JL, Gillespie JJ, Gough R, Hix D, Kenyon R, Machi D, Mao C, Nordberg EK, Olson R, Overbeek R, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Schulman J, Stevens RL, Sullivan DE, Vonstein V, Warren A, Will R, Wilson MJC, Yoo HS, Zhang C, Zhang Y, Sobral BW. 2014. PATRIC, the bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis resource. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D581–D591. [https://doi.org/10](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1099) [.1093/nar/gkt1099.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1099)
- <span id="page-2-6"></span>19. Wattam AR, Davis JJ, Assaf R, Boisvert S, Brettin T, Bun C, Conrad N, Dietrich EM, Disz T, Gabbard JL, Gerdes S, Henry CS, Kenyon RW, Machi D, Mao C, Nordberg EK, Olsen GJ, Murphy-Olson DE, Olson R, Overbeek R, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Warren A, Xia F, Yoo H, Stevens RL. 2017. Improvements to PATRIC, the all-bacterial bioinformatics database and analysis resource center. Nucleic Acids Res 45: D535–D542. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1017.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1017)
- <span id="page-2-7"></span>20. Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44: 6614 – 6624. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw569)