# CLINICAL COMMENTARY OPEN ACCESS

# A Rare Case of Delayed-Onset Hypersensitivity Reaction and Complete Secondary Treatment Failure Following Repeated Cosmetic Botulinum Toxin Type A Injections

Yan Bian<sup>1</sup> | Chi Zhang<sup>1</sup> 🕑 | Shaohua Wang<sup>2</sup> | Lili Zhang<sup>2</sup> | Hong Cai<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Dermatology, Air Force Medical Center, PLA, Beijing, People's Republic of China | <sup>2</sup>Beijing Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine Hospital, Beijing, People's Republic of China

Correspondence: Hong Cai (ch1031@163.com)

Received: 4 February 2025 | Revised: 13 March 2025 | Accepted: 18 March 2025

Keywords: adverse effects | botulinum toxins, type A | cosmetic procedures | skin hypersensitivity reaction | treatment failure

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Cosmetic botulinum toxin type A (BTX-A) injections have been widely used for improving facial aesthetics. Although the procedure is generally safe, immune-mediated adverse events, such as hypersensitivity reactions and secondary treatment failures, may rarely occur. We report the first case in which repeated BTX-A injections resulted in both a delayed-onset cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction and complete secondary treatment failure.

**Case Report/Methods:** A 42-year-old female, with a history of successful BTX-A treatments for glabellar lines and masseter hypertrophy, experienced diminished efficacy following a treatment session. Ten hours after a touch-up injection, she developed facial swelling and edematous erythema localized to the injection sites. These manifestations persisted for over one month without any observable aesthetic improvement, indicating complete secondary treatment failure. The therapeutic effect was not restored even after switching to an alternative BTX-A formulation. We hypothesize that the patient's local hypersensitivity reaction represents a type III immune complex-mediated response (Arthus reaction) driven by IgG antibodies. The repeated BTX-A injections may have induced neutralizing IgG antibodies that, in concert with the cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction, contributed synergistically to both the cutaneous reaction and the complete treatment failure. The short interval between the injections may have facilitated these immunologic events.

**Conclusion:** This case underscores the importance for clinicians to remain vigilant regarding the potential for delayed-onset cutaneous allergic reactions and complete secondary treatment failure following repeated BTX-A injections.

# 1 | Introduction

Botulinum toxin type A (BTX-A) injections rank among the most commonly performed cosmetic procedures worldwide. The pharmacological effect of intramuscular BTX-A is mediated through its binding to receptor sites at motor nerve terminals, resulting in partial chemical denervation of the targeted muscle [1]. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved BTX-A for treating moderate to severe glabellar lines associated with the activity of the corrugator and/or procerus muscles. In addition, off-label applications of BTX-A, such as for forehead lines, crow's feet, masseteric hypertrophy, and facial contouring, are widely practiced globally [2].

However, as a heterologous protein derived from bacteria, BTX-A's immunogenicity remains an ongoing concern. BTX-A cosmetic injections may induce cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions, although such occurrences are relatively rare [3].

Yan Bian and Chi Zhang contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>© 2025</sup> The Author(s). Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology published by Wiley Periodicals LLC.

Moreover, a small subset of patients may experience partial secondary treatment failure (PSTF) following multiple sessions—a phenomenon believed to be mediated by the formation of neutralizing antibodies; however, complete secondary treatment failure (CSTF) in cosmetic indications is exceedingly uncommon [4]. Herein, we report a rare case in which a patient developed a prolonged, delayed-onset cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction that coincided with CSTF following multiple sessions of cosmetic BTX-A injections.

# 2 | Case Presentation

A 42-year-old female sought cosmetic BTX-A (Botox, Allergan, Irvine, CA) injection for glabellar lines and masseter hypertrophy at our department on July 21, 2019 (Figure 1a). Over the preceding 5 years, she had received four similar treatments at our department, with dosages ranging from 65 to 70 units per session at intervals spanning 6 months to 3 years, and the patient reported satisfactory results. Her past medical history was unremarkable, and she had no known allergies. In this session, we administered 50 units into the masseter muscles and 20 units into the glabellar region, consistent with her prior treatments. One month later (August 28, 2019), the patient returned, reporting suboptimal results and requesting supplementary treatment (Figure 1b). On examination, her masseter muscles did not exhibit significant atrophy. Accordingly, we provided a touch-up injection of 30 units into the masseters and 75 units into the platysma band as part of a combined approach to enhance the lower facial contour. However, 10h post-treatment, the patient reported facial swelling accompanied by multiple edematous ervthematous lesions at the mandibular injection sites (Figure 1c). While a few lesions were pruritic, the majority were asymptomatic. The cutaneous symptoms gradually resolved spontaneously over 3 weeks, yet the facial swelling persisted for over a month; notably, this treatment session produced no aesthetic improvement (Figure 1d).

Five months later (January 22, 2020), the patient returned for further injection. Given her previous cutaneous reaction and

CSTF with Botox, we opted to switch to another BTX-A formulation, Hengli (Lanzhou Institute of Biological Products, China). Additionally, the dosage was increased to 100 units for the bilateral masseter muscles and 80 units for the platysma band. The active ingredient in Hengli is the botulinum neurotoxin type A complex derived from the Hall strain of *Clostridium botulinum* type A—identical to that in Botox—although their excipients differ: Hengli utilizes sucrose, dextran, and gelatin, whereas Botox employs albumin [5, 6]. Despite the absence of any cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction this time, the treatment again failed to yield the desired clinical outcome (Figure 1e). Dissatisfied with the results, the patient declined further examinations or interventions. The timeline of her BTX-A injections is presented in Table 1.

# 3 | Discussion

Cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions following cosmetic BTX-A injections are rare. Studies by Sethi et al., Lee et al. and Nicoletti et al., each involving over 5000 subjects, have reported incidences ranging from 2% to 3%, typically manifesting as transient erythema, edema, or urticaria [7–9]. In contrast, the present case exhibited erythema and edema that developed 10h after a touch-up injection and persisted for more than 1 month before complete resolution, with only a few lesions being pruritic. Notably, this reaction occurred after multiple treatments using the same BTX-A formulation (Botox), representing an exceedingly rare clinical scenario.

Moreover, the patient experienced CSTF, with no therapeutic effect observed even after switching to an alternative BTX-A formulation. It is widely accepted that secondary treatment failure (STF) is primarily attributable to the development of IgG antibodies against BTX-A. These antibodies can be classified as neutralizing (NAbs) and non-neutralizing antibodies, with the former capable of binding to the receptor sites of the toxin at nerve terminals, thereby inducing treatment resistance or even complete failure [10]. However, the generation of BTX-A NAb is more frequently encountered in patients with neurological indications and is rare



**FIGURE 1** | Photographic timeline of treatment progress. (a) Patient on July 21, 2019, before Botox injection. (b) One month post-injection, showing suboptimal results. (c) Four days after the touch-up injection, exhibiting facial swelling and erythematous lesions. (d) One month following the touch-up injection, demonstrating complete secondary treatment failure (CSTF). (e) One month after switching to Hengli injection, indicating persistent CSTF.

# TABLE 1 | Timeline of the Patient's BTX-A Injections.

Session	Date	Sites	Dosage	<b>BTX-A Formulation</b>	Interval	Treatment result
1	2014.09.05	Masseter and glabellar lines	70u (50u + 20u)	Botox	_	Normal
2	2015.04.12	Masseter and glabellar lines	65u (50u + 15u)	Botox	7 months	Normal
3	2018.04.30	Masseter and glabellar lines	70u (50u + 20u)	Botox	3 years	Normal
4	2019.01.19	Masseter and glabellar lines	70u (50u + 20u)	Botox	9 months	Normal
5	2019.07.21	Masseter and glabellar lines	70u (50u + 20u)	Botox	6 months	PSTF
6	2019.08.28	Masseter and platysmal bands	105u (30u + 75u)	Botox	1 month	CSTF
7	2020.01.22	Masseter and platysmal bands	180u (100u + 80u)	Hengli	5 months	CSTF

Abbreviations: CSTF, complete secondary treatment failure; PSTF, partial secondary treatment failure.

TABLE 2	Case Reports of Suspected	Cutaneous Type III Hypers	ensitivity Reactions Followi	ng BTX-A Cosmetic	Injections in theLiterature.
---------	---------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------	------------------------------

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
Author, year	Li et al. [17]	Namazi et al. [18]	Namazi et al. [19]
BTX-A Formulation	Hengli	Canitox	Canitox
Injection sites	Forehead lines and crow's feet	Periorbital and glabellar rhytides	Face (multiple sites)
Injection details	Touch-up injection (15 days following the preceding injection)	Touch-up injection (2 weeks following the preceding injection)	Touch-up injection (2 weeks following the preceding injection)
Onset time	5–11 h	2 h	6 h
Cutaneous manifestations	Impalpable, wine-purpuric ecchymosis around the injection sites, particularly the upper-middle face and eyelids, with slight pruritus	Edema, purpuric papules, and erythema in the periorbital region and at the BTX-A injection sites on the forehead	Edema, erythema, and purpura at the injection sites with dissemination to the lower face and neck
Histopathology	Not available	Vasculitis with panniculitis	Vasculitis
Management	Systemic dexamethasone, vitamin C, and calcium gluconate	Oral prednisolone and hydroxyzine	Oral prednisolone
Duration	96 h; residual hyperpigmentation at 1 month- follow-up	2 weeks	2 weeks

in cosmetic applications; Ho et al. and Rahman et al. have reported NAb incidence rates of 0.2%–0.4%, separately [4, 11]. To date, CSTF following cosmetic injections has been documented only in case reports by Lee et al. and Dressler et al. separately [12, 13]. Given the rarity of both the cutaneous manifestations and the treatment outcome, we postulate that an underlying immunological mechanism may link these phenomena.

To date, no case reports have documented the simultaneous occurrence of cutaneous hypersensitivity and CSTF following BTX-A injections, as BTX-A typically remains effective even in the presence of hypersensitivity reactions. Immunologically, this may arise because drug allergies are usually immediate (IgE-mediated) responses rather than IgG-mediated reactions.

We therefore hypothesize that the patient's cutaneous hypersensitivity represents a localized type III hypersensitivity reaction (Arthus reaction) to Botox. This reaction typically emerges within hours to days and is mediated by IgG antibody-driven immune complex formation, which binds to Fc receptors on inflammatory cells and/or activates the complement system, thereby inducing erythema, edema, and pruritus [14]. With repeated Botox injections, the patient may have gradually developed various IgG antibodies against the toxin; some of these antibodies could precipitate a type III cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction, while others—namely, neutralizing antibodies—may lead to STF. These two immunologic events might synergistically enhance immune activation. Moreover, the touch-up injection administered only 1 month after the previous treatment may have enhanced antigen exposure, stimulating memory B cells to produce high levels of IgG antibodies, thereby amplifying this immune response [14–16]. We have identified three case reports linking cosmetic BTX-A injections to type III cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions, all occurring after touch-up injections. In two of these reports, histopathological analysis confirmed the presence of cutaneous vasculitis [17–19], supporting the notion that repeated botulinum toxin treatments can induce type III hypersensitivity reactions (see Table 2).

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first case report documenting the simultaneous occurrence of a delayed-onset cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction and CSTF following multiple BTX-A injections. Ideally, we would have conducted a skin biopsy, Botox intradermal test, skin prick and patch tests, as well as mouse protection/diaphragm assays, ELISA, and Western blot analyses to elucidate the underlying etiology further [12, 13, 20–22]. Unfortunately, due to the patient's dissatisfaction with prior treatment outcomes, she declined further diagnostic evaluations. Current perspectives also indicate that the immunogenicity of botulinum toxin complexes primarily arises from their complexing proteins rather than the neurotoxin itself. Notably, after switching to Hengli, the patient did not present any cutaneous hypersensitivity reaction despite the continuing STF. This raises the possibility that she may have been allergic to the excipients (albumin) or bacterial impurities in Botox [23]. In her case, transitioning to incobotulinumtoxinA-which contains only the 150kDa neurotoxin without any complexing proteins-might have restored therapeutic efficacy, though this benefit could be limited [22]. However, we could not pursue such a clinical strategy because only Botox and Hengli are approved in China.

In any event, clinicians should be vigilant regarding the potential for delayed-onset cutaneous hypersensitivity reactions following repeated BTX-A injections and remain alert to the possibility that such allergic responses may promote the production of neutralizing antibodies, ultimately leading to CSTF. Given the widespread use of BTX-A injections in aesthetic medicine, further research into the immunogenicity and allergenic potential of BTX-A is warranted to enhance its clinical safety and effectiveness.

#### **Author Contributions**

All authors have reviewed and approved the article for submission. Conceptualization: Yan Bian and Hong Cai. Writing – original draft preparation: Chi Zhang, Shaohua Wang, and Lili Zhang. Writing – review and editing: Chi Zhang. Visualization: Yan Bian. Supervision: Hong Cai.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors have nothing to report.

# **Ethics Statement**

This study was conducted under the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki. All procedures performed were in compliance

with ethical standards and guidelines for medical research involving human subjects. Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for participation in the study and for publication of the case details and accompanying images, with explicit permission granted for image reuse.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

# Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## References

1. M. F. Brin and R. Burstein, "Botox (onabotulinumtoxinA) Mechanism of Action," *Medicine (Baltimore)* 102 (2023): e32372, https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000032372.

2. H. Hügül, D. Özkoca, and Z. Kutlubay, "A Retrospective Analysis of the Uses of BoNT-A in Daily Dermatological Practice," *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology* 21, no. 5 (2022): 1948–1952, https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.14845.

3. M. F. Brin, T. I. Boodhoo, J. M. Pogoda, et al., "Safety and Tolerability of onabotulinumtoxinA in the Treatment of Facial Lines: A Meta-Analysis of Individual Patient Data From Global Clinical Registration Studies in 1678 Participants," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 61, no. 6 (2009): 961–970, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2009. 06.040.

4. E. Rahman, H. K. Alhitmi, and A. Mosahebi, "Immunogenicity to Botulinum Toxin Type A: A Systematic Review With Meta-Analysis Across Therapeutic Indications," *Aesthetic Surgery Journal* 42, no. 1 (2022): 106–120, https://doi.org/10.1093/asj/sjab058.

5. Drugs@FDA, "FDA-Approved Drugs," 2024, https://www.acces sdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/index.cfm?event=overview.process& ApplNo=103000.

6. D. Dressler, L. Pan, J. Su, F. Teng, and L. Jin, "Lantox—The Chinese Botulinum Toxin Drug—Complete English Bibliography and Comprehensive Formalised Literature Review," *Toxins* 13, no. 6 (2021): 370, https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins13060370.

7. N. Sethi, S. Singh, K. DeBoulle, and E. Rahman, "A Review of Complications due to the Use of Botulinum Toxin A for Cosmetic Indications," *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery* 45, no. 3 (2021): 1210–1220, https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00266-020-01983-w.

8. K. C. Lee, A. B. Pascal, S. Halepas, and A. Koch, "What Are the Most Commonly Reported Complications With Cosmetic Botulinum Toxin Type A Treatments?," *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 78, no. 7 (2020): 1190, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2020.02.016.

9. M. M. Nicoletti, A. Anatriello, V. Liguori, et al., "Skin Toxicities Associated With Botulin Toxin Injection for Aesthetic Procedures: Data From the European Spontaneous Reporting System," *Pharmaceuticals* 16, no. 11 (2023): 1611, https://doi.org/10.3390/ph16111611.

10. J. Y. Park, N. Corduff, J. Frevert, R. Wanitphakdeedecha, and Y. Y. Y. Chao, "Immunogenicity Associated With Aesthetic Botulinumtoxin A: A Survey of Asia-Pacific Physicians' Experiences and Recommendations," *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. Global Open* 10, no. 4 (2022): e4217, https://doi.org/10.1097/GOX.00000000004217.

11. W. W. S. Ho, P. Albrecht, P. E. Calderon, et al., "Emerging Trends in Botulinum Neurotoxin A Resistance: An International Multidisciplinary Review and Consensus," *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. Global Open* 10, no. 6 (2022): e4407, https://doi.org/10.1097/GOX.00000 00000004407. 12. S. K. Lee, "Antibody-Induced Failure of Botulinum Toxin Type A Therapy in a Patient With Masseteric Hypertrophy," *Dermatologic Surgery* 33, no. s1 (2007): S105–S110, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-4725. 2006.32340.x.

13. D. Dressler, K. Wohlfahrt, E. Meyer-Rogge, L. Wiest, and H. Bigalke, "Antibody-Induced Failure of Botulinum Toxin a Therapy in Cosmetic Indications," *Dermatologic Surgery* 36, no. 4 (2010): 2182–2187, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-4725.2010.01710.x.

14. B. Peng, M. Wei, F. C. Zhu, and J. X. Li, "The Vaccines-Associated Arthus Reaction," *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics* 15, no. 11 (2019): 2769–2777, https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2019.1602435.

15. M. Naumann, L. M. Boo, A. H. Ackerman, and C. J. Gallagher, "Immunogenicity of Botulinum Toxins," *Journal of Neural Transmission (Vienna)* 120, no. 2 (2013): 275–290, https://doi.org/10.1007/s0070 2-012-0893-9.

16. L. Mathevon, A. Declemy, I. Laffont, and D. Perennou, "Immunogenicity Induced by Botulinum Toxin Injections for Limb Spasticity: A Systematic Review," *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine* 62, no. 4 (2019): 241–251, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rehab.2019.03.004.

17. Y. Li, Q. Jiang, H. Wang, and X. Wang, "Hypersensitivity Reactions Following Onabotulinum Toxin A Touch-Up Injection Possibly Associated With Covid-19 Infection," *Aesthetic Plastic Surgery* 48, no. 15 (2023): 3053–3055, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00266-023-03584-9.

18. N. Namazi, R. M. Robati, S. Dadkhahfar, A. Shafiee, and F. Bidari-Zerehpoush, "Vasculitis With Panniculitis Following Botulinum Toxin A Injection for Cosmetic Use," *Dermatology Practical & Conceptual* 6, no. 1 (2016): 19–21, https://doi.org/10.5826/dpc.0601a06.

19. N. Namazi and N. Najar Nobari, "Vasculitis Beyond the Areas of Botulinum Toxin-A Injection, Increasing Concerns?," *Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology* 19, no. 8 (2020): 1936–1939, https://doi.org/10.1111/jocd.13271.

20. M. F. Brin, C. L. Comella, J. Jankovic, F. Lai, M. Naumann, and CD-017 BoNTA Study Group, "Long-Term Treatment With Botulinum Toxin Type a in Cervical Dystonia has Low Immunogenicity by Mouse Protection Assay," *Movement Disorders* 23, no. 10 (2008): 1353–1360, https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22157.

21. U. Walter, C. Mühlenhoff, R. Benecke, et al., "Frequency and Risk Factors of Antibody-Induced Secondary Failure of Botulinum Neurotoxin Therapy," *Neurology* 94, no. 20 (2020): e2109–e2120, https://doi. org/10.1212/WNL.00000000009444.

22. R. Wanitphakdeedecha, W. Kantaviro, P. Suphatsathienkul, et al., "Association Between Secondary Botulinum Toxin A Treatment Failure in Cosmetic Indication and Anti-Complexing Protein Antibody Production," *Dermatology and Therapy* 10, no. 4 (2020): 707–720, https://doi. org/10.1007/s13555-020-00397-5.

23. M. Alam and R. Tung, "Injection Technique in Neurotoxins and Fillers: Indications, Products, and Outcomes," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 79, no. 3 (2018): 423–435, https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jaad.2018.01.037.