



# **Influence of the Bioactive Diet Components on the Gene Expression Regulation**

Justyna Mierziak <sup>1,\*</sup>, Kamil Kostyn <sup>2</sup>, Aleksandra Boba <sup>1</sup>, Magdalena Czemplik <sup>1</sup>, Anna Kulma <sup>1</sup>, and Wioleta Wojtasik <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Biotechnology, University of Wrocław, Przybyszewskiego 63/77, 51-148 Wroclaw, Poland; aleksandra.boba@uwr.edu.pl (A.B.); magdalenaczemplik@tlen.pl (M.C.); anna.kulma@uwr.edu.pl (A.K.)
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Genetics, Plant Breeding & Seed Production, Faculty of Life Sciences and Technology, Wroclaw University of Environmental and Life Sciences, pl. Grunwaldzki 24A, 50-363 Wroclaw, Poland; kamil.kostyn@upwr.edu.pl
- \* Correspondence: justyna.mierziak@uwr.edu.pl (J.M.); wioleta.wojtasik@uwr.edu.pl (W.W.)

Abstract: Diet bioactive components, in the concept of nutrigenetics and nutrigenomics, consist of food constituents, which can transfer information from the external environment and influence gene expression in the cell and thus the function of the whole organism. It is crucial to regard food not only as the source of energy and basic nutriments, crucial for living and organism development, but also as the factor influencing health/disease, biochemical mechanisms, and activation of biochemical pathways. Bioactive components of the diet regulate gene expression through changes in the chromatin structure (including DNA methylation and histone modification), non-coding RNA, activation of transcription factors by signalling cascades, or direct ligand binding to the nuclear receptors. Analysis of interactions between diet components and human genome structure and gene activity is a modern approach that will help to better understand these relations and will allow designing dietary guidances, which can help maintain good health.

**Keywords:** bioactive diet components; gene expression; DNA methylation; histone modification; non-coding RNA; signalling cascades; nuclear receptor

# 1. Introduction

Application of new technologies and development of new fields of knowledge, such as nutrigenetics and nutrigenomics, allow for individual dietary recommendation. Nutrigenomics comprises investigations on interactions between nutrients and gene expression and identification of mechanisms that decide how food elements influence human health. Nutrigenetics focuses on genetic differences in genome of individual patients and on using analysis of these alterations for formulation of dietary guidance for personalized nutrition [1]. Transcriptome analysis constitutes a key tool for observation of the gene expression alteration in response to different factors. Diet, physical activity and drugs can alter gene expression and thus influence the risk of pathological changes in an organism [2,3]. Comparison of differential diet-dependent transcriptomes with the transcriptomes of healthy and sick populations enables the generation of biomarkers helpful for healthy and wellchosen diet preparation [4]. Human genetic variations within different populations results from evolutionary adaptation to different environment conditions, including food accessibility [5,6]. SNPs (single-nucleotide polymorphism) are the most common genetic variability, occurring every 500–2000 bp in the human genome [7]. The consequence of such mutations is generation of different RNA molecules and alterations in protein structure and function encoded by mutated genes, which can alter homeostasis of an organism and lead to diseases or health disorders [8,9]. SNP analysis is an important molecular tool for examining the nutrients' impact on human health [10].



Citation: Mierziak, J.; Kostyn, K.; Boba, A.; Czemplik, M.; Kulma, A.; Wojtasik, W. Influence of the Bioactive Diet Components on the Gene Expression Regulation. *Nutrients* 2021, *13*, 3673. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/nu13113673

Academic Editors: María-Teresa García Conesa and Marika Massaro

Received: 10 September 2021 Accepted: 14 October 2021 Published: 20 October 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Nutrigenomics and nutrigenetics focus on three main areas, namely the interactions of diet components and genome, organism homeostasis, and personalized nutrition. Studies focused on these areas allow for better understanding of molecular interactions between the consumed food and genome, and recognizing the effects of such interactions will help in preparation of personalized diets in order to prevent or support treatments of diseases [11,12].

#### 2. Mechanisms of Diet Components and Gene Expression Interaction

Bioactive diet components influence gene expression through changes in the chromatin structure (including DNA methylation, histone modification), non-coding RNA, activation of transcription factors via signalling cascades, or direct ligand binding to the nuclear receptors (Figure 1).

## DIETARY COMPOUNDS



**Figure 1.** Methods of dietary compound influence on gene expression (for epigenetic modifications -(Me) stands for methyl group in methylated DNA; -(Ac) stands for acetyl group in acetylated histones).

# 2.1. Chromatin Structure (Including DNA Methylation, Histone Modification, Telomere Length)

A significant and interesting issue within nutrigenetics and nutrigenomics is the influence of diet components on epigenetic alteration of genome. Epigenetic changes are heritable alterations of gene expression and chromatin organization, which do not result from changes in DNA sequence. Main epigenetic modifications consist of DNA methylation changes and histone modification. Food components are among factors that can trigger epigenetic changes [13], and abnormal profiles of epigenetic changes can lead to diseases. Unlike genetic changes, which are stable, epigenetic changes can be reversible, which suggests that humans can modulate them by their lifestyle and diet and even prevent the onset of diseases in their progeny [14,15].

DNA methylation is one of the best-known epigenetic modifications. It consists of attaching methyl groups to the nitrogen bases of nucleotides, mainly to cytosine and less often to adenine. DNA methylation is related to the regulation of gene expression and modulation of the chromatin structure but also participates in processes such as inactivation of the X chromosome or parent imprinting. Disturbances in the methylation profile have

been found in many diseases. The methyl group is transferred from S-adenosyl-methionine (SAM) to the carbon-5 of cytosine, resulting in 5-methyl cytosine (5mC). This process takes place mainly in CG context or the so-called CpG sites. DNA methyltransferases are responsible for attaching methyl groups to DNA during de-novo replication and methylation as well as conservative methylation, related to the passing of epigenetic information to daughter cells. In humans, these are DNMT1, DNMT3A, and DNMT3B methyltransferases [16,17]. DNA methylation regulates gene expression (mainly gene silencing) by recruiting proteins involved in gene repression (the MBD proteins (methyl-CpG binding proteins)), the UHRF proteins (ubiquitin-like, containing PHD and RING finger domain protein), and the zinc-finger proteins or by hindering the binding of transcription factors to DNA [18].

The DNA methylation pattern in the genome changes as a result of both DNA methylation and demethylation. DNA demethylation can be active or passive. As DNMT1 actively maintains DNA methylation during cell replication, its inhibition or dysfunction allows newly incorporated cytosine to remain unmethylated and consequently reduces the overall methylation level. Active DNA demethylation can occur in both dividing and non-dividing cells and is driven enzymatically, based on the DNA-BER (Base Excision Repair) system with the participation of DNA glycosylases. Several mechanisms of active DNA demethylation have been proposed. One of them is 5mC deamination to thymine with the participation of AID/APOBEC proteins (activation-induced cytidine deaminase/apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing enzyme complex). Deamination of the amine to a carbonyl group by AID/APOBEC effectively converts 5mC into thymine, thus creating a G/T mismatch and inducing the BER pathway to correct the base. Another mechanism is the process mediated by the ten-eleven translocation (Tet) enzymes Tet1, Tet2, and Tet3. Tet enzymes add a hydroxyl group to the 5mC methyl group to form 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC). Two separate mechanisms (iterative oxidation by Tet enzymes and deamination by AID/APOBEC) can convert 5hmC back into cytosine. The demethylation process plays an important role in the human body, as it is necessary during the reactivation of silenced genes or incorrectly methylated bases [18]. DNA methylation profile may alter as a result of SNP, environmental factors, as well as diet components. There are three ways in which nutrients influence the methylation patterns of DNA. The first is to provide the substrates necessary for proper DNA methylation; the second, changing the activity of the enzymes regulating the one-carbon cycle, the third, providing cofactors that modulate enzymatic activity of DNMT. All the three paths are interconnected, and often, a particular dietary component works in more than one way [19].

S-Adenosylmethionine (SAM) is a methyl-donor and is synthesized in the methionine cycle from several precursors present in the diet: methionine, folate, choline, betaine, and vitamins B2, B6, and B12 (Table 1). Reduced availability of methyl donors should result in low SAM synthesis and global DNA hypomethylation. There is no simple correlation between the concentration of methyl donors and the level of DNA methylation, as other mechanisms may contribute to this. One carbon (C1) metabolism utilizes a variety of nutrients, such as glucose, vitamins, and amino acids, to fuel a variety of metabolic pathways that utilize these one-carbon units and is essential for many cellular processes, including the DNA methylation. One carbon cycle requires serine, folic acid, and methionine, which make the folic acid and methionic acid cycles highly related and essential for cellular processes. In addition, many components of the diet regulate the activity of enzymes involved in single-carbon metabolism [19]. Folic acid has attracted a great deal of attention from researchers studying the effects of dietary components on DNA methylation. This is because folate plays a key role in C1 metabolism through its conversion to N-5-methyltetrahydrofolate, which in turn is converted to S-adenosyl methionine, the CH<sub>3</sub> donor in DNA methylation. Ethanol is an antagonist of folate and monocarbon metabolism. Other nutrients involved in monocarbon metabolism are vitamins B2, B6, and B12; methionine; choline; and betaine, but they show a weaker modulating effect on DNA methylation [20]. Evidence from animal, human, and in-vitro studies suggest

that the epigenetic effects of folate on DNA methylation are highly complex. The effects are gene and site specific and appear to depend on the cell type, target organ, degree and duration of folate manipulations, interactions with other methyl group donors and dietary factors, and genetic variants in the folate metabolic pathways. However, now, most of the evidence suggests that high folate deficiency in the body causes global DNA hypomethylation and disturbance of the normal methylation pattern of genes involved in many important physiological processes [21]. Vegetable products that are particularly rich in folic acid include leafy vegetables, broad beans, green peas, beets and tomatoes, citrus fruits, nuts, sunflower seeds, and cereals. It is also found in animal products, mainly eggs, cheese, liver, and yeast. Folic acid supplied to the body with food may not always be fully used, either due to the conditions of storage and preparation of products or individual properties related to their absorption and metabolism; therefore, in some cases, folic acid supplementation is recommended [22]. Zinc acts as a cofactor for several enzymes in the pathway producing methyl group donor. Zinc deficiency may cause a deficit of methyl groups, and as a consequence, the expression level of certain genes may be disturbed, and the risk of disturbances in cell development may increase [23]. The increase in DNA demethylation can also be caused by vitamin C, which is connected with the increased expression of DNMT1, DNMT3a, and the mRNA expression of Tet2 and Tet3 [24].

Mechanisms	<b>Bioactive Component</b>	Disorders	References
	omega-3 fatty acids: DHA, EPA	colorectal cancer	[25,26]
upregulation of DNMT	folic acid	colorectal cancer, breast cancer	[27,28]
upregulation of Divivit	methionine	lung cancer	[29]
	vitamin A	congenital heart defects	[30]
	kaempferol	bladder cancer	[31]
	gallic acid	lung cancer and oral cancer	[32]
DNA	epigallocatechin-3-gallate	breast cancer, diabetic kidney disease	[33,34]
methyltransferase inhibition	β-caroten	colorectal cancer	[35]
minoritori	sulforaphane	breast cancer, cardiomyopathy	[36,37]
	omega-3 fatty acids: EPA	hepatocarcinoma	[38]
	vitamin A congenital heart defects		[30]
	resveratrol	breast cancer, renal cell carcinoma, colorectal cancer	[39-42]
	apigenin	prostate cancer, lung cancer	[43,44]
	luteolin	lung cancer, leukemia	[45,46]
	chrysin	melanoma	[47]
histone deacetylase	cinnamic acid derivatives	colon and cervical cancer	[48]
inhibition	gallic acid	prostate cancer, cardiovascular diseases	[49]
	epigallocatechin-3-gallate	cardiac diastolic dysfunction, prostate cancer, acute promyelocytic leukemia	[50–52]
	sulforaphane	Alzheimer's disease, melanoma, colon cancer, cardiomyopathy	[37,53–55]
	omega-3 fatty acids: EPA	hepatocarcinoma	[38]
-	vitamin D	breast cancer	[56]
telomerase inhibition, telomere shortening	epigallocatechin-3-gallate	glioblastoma	[57]

Table 1. Bioactive components of the diet and their role in epigenetic changes in the genome.

5 of 33

Epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG), a polyphenol member, can reduce global DNA methylation levels, DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) activity, messenger RNA (mRNA), and protein levels of DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b. EGCG can directly inhibit the DNMT catalytic site. Catechins, which are also polyphenols, appear to inhibit DNMT activity through increasing the intracellular S-adenosylhomocysteine (SAH) levels. Quercetin, an important dietary flavonoid present in different vegetables, fruits, nuts, tea, red wine, and propolis, can also inhibit DNA methylases. Another flavonoid found in plant products, myricetin, has even stronger inhibitory potential against DNMT than quercetin. Other dietary phenolic compounds, including hesperetin, naringin, apigenin, and luteolin, can also modulate DNA methylation by indirectly regulating DNMT activity through regulating the SAM and SAH ratio [58–60]. Sulforaphane, an isothiocyanate found in vegetables from the Brassicaceae family, can also influence the abnormally methylated genes by modulating DNMT expression [61,62] (Table 1).

The polyphenolic extract of Annurca apples, a variety native to southern Italy, shows strong inhibition of DNMT methyltransferases, thus restoring the correct expression of silenced genes [63]. Lycopene, a carotenoid found, among other things, in tomatoes, also exhibits demethylating activity [64]. Cocoa is another nutritional product rich in polyphenols that affect DNA methylation. In-vitro experiments suggest that cocoa may exert this effect partially via the down-regulation of DNMTs, MTHFR (methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase), and MTRR (methionine synthase reductase) genes, which are key genes involved in this epigenetic process [65]. Data from animal model studies indicate that ingestion of genistein, the major phytoestrogen in soy, may induce persistent DNA hypermethylation in offspring [66].

Methyltransferases can be responsible for heritable DNA methylation changes. One of the methyltransferases, DNMT3, is responsible for DNA methylation during embryogenesis. Mother's diet and environmental factors can influence the methylation profile during embryogenesis [67,68]. Protein restriction is a frequently used model of maternal malnutrition. Feeding pregnant rats a low-protein diet resulted in global or locus-specific changes in DNA methylation [69]. Human data also show that the offspring of mothers who have experienced a history of famine are more likely to develop metabolic diseases that are associated with epigenetic changes that have occurred in foetal life. A low-protein and low-calorie diet leads to both hypomethylation and hypermethylation at specific loci in offspring [70–73]. A high-fat, high-calorie maternal diet can also alter DNA methylation and gene expression in offspring [74–76]. In adulthood, dietary habits can influence the methylation pattern but to a lesser extent than in developing individuals. Both high- and low-calorie diets, especially if used for a long time, can lead to epigenetic changes in the body and then affect its health [77,78]. Research on obese patients who applied a lowcalorie diet in order to reduce weight revealed significant differences in DNA methylation patterns in both those who exhibited high or low loss of weight [79]. The differences in the transcriptome of people well and poorly responsive to caloric restriction are mainly related to the genes associated with body weight control and insulin secretion [80].

Short fasting periods can influence health through DNA methylation [81]. In studies in which participants were subjected to a high-calorie diets, changes were observed in both gene expression and methylation patterns in human adipose tissue and muscle. These changes were not fully reversed by a low-calorie diet, suggesting that changes in methylation at certain loci may accumulate over time. DNA methylation of individual genes and CpG sites can be regulated differently by the supply of saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids (Table 1). Excessive supply of saturated fatty acids leads to increased methylation of genes in adipose tissue, especially genes that are involved in the carbohydrate metabolism, lipid metabolism, and oxidative phosphorylation. It must be noticed that alteration in DNA methylation in relation to diet (e.g., rich in compounds serving as methyl donors (folate, choline, vit. B2, B6, B12)) is gene and tissue specific at different life stages. Additionally, differences in methylation are dependent on sex and genotype. Another epigenetic process related to the chromatin structure is the histone modification. Histones are proteins that are part of the basic chromatin unit, which is the nucleosome, which consists of 147 base pairs of DNA wrapped around the octamer of histone proteins: H2A, H2B, 2xH3, and 2xH4. The chromatin also includes the H1 linker histone. Histones undergo various modifications with the participation of enzymes to be able to dynamically modulate the structure of chromatin in order to activate or silence gene expression. Histone modifications include acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, biotinylation, and ubiquitination and mostly concern the N-terminus of histones. Most of these modifications occur at lysine, arginine, and serine residues and regulate key processes, such as transcription, replication, and repair. Histone modifications are natural processes, but alteration in their modifications can influence changes in functions of individual genes [82].

Certain patterns of histone modification determine the binding of proteins regulating the structure of chromatin and determine its state as well as the activity of genes in its area, which is called the histone code. The histone code may be changed because various modifications of histones are potentially reversible and is dynamically regulated by a group of enzymes that add or remove covalent modifications to histone proteins. Histone acetyltransferases (HATs) and histone methyltransferases (HMTs) add acetyl and methyl groups, respectively, whereas histone deacetylases (HDACs) and histone demethylases (HDMs) remove acetyl and methyl groups, respectively, from histone proteins. Histone modifications are context dependent and can have opposing effects. Research focuses mainly on histone methylation and acetylation. Methylation may involve both the activation and silencing of gene expression, whereas acetylation mainly relates to gene activation [83,84].

Nutrients can influence alteration in histone modification through interacting with histone deacetylases. Butyrate (dietary fibre fermentation), diallyl sulfide (garlic), sulforaphane (brassica sp.), curcumin, polyphenols from garlic, green tea or cinnamon, and soybean genistein belong to compounds that inhibit those enzymes. Green tea polyphenols and copper can inhibit HATs [85–89] (Table 1).

Resveratrol, the active compounds of red grapes, is the activator of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1), the function of which is deacetylation of histones and other proteins [90]. Sirtuin 1 plays a key role in the rearrangement of chromatin and is involved in the regulation of some of transcription factors [91].

SAM is an essential co-factor not only for DNA methyltransferases but also for histone methyltransferases. Maternal choline deficiency, which is also associated with neural tube defects and perturbed neurogenesis in the foetus, results in diminished H3K9 methylation as well as CpG methylation [92].

In addition, research on diets, such as a high-fat, a low-protein, or a caloric restriction diet, showed that extreme dietary conditions affect multiple nutrient sensing pathways and can cause global histone modification changes [83].

Nutrigenomics and nutrigenetics allowed to indicate the relationships between particular consumed products and telomere length (TL) (Table 1). The telomere consists of DNA tandem repetitions TTAGG, which together with bound proteins protect chromosome endings and get shortened with every DNA replication round and thus determines cell lifespan [93]. Telomeres enable cells to distinguish chromosome ends from double-strand breaks and thus protect chromosomes from end-to-end fusion, recombination, and degradation. Telomeres prevent the loss of genomic DNA at the ends of linear chromosomes and in turn protect their physical integrity. Literature data indicate that the catalytic subunit of telomerase, the enzyme responsible for maintaining telomeric ends, is regulated by various epigenetic modifications in its gene promoter, including histone acetylation and methylation [94].

Diet with high consumption of fruits, vegetables, healthy fatty acid, and fibre results in longer telomere fragments [95,96]. Taking into account that there is a link between oxidative stress and the abrasion of telomere, it is likely that eating foods rich in antioxidants may have important health benefits. Bioactive ingredients contributing to the maintenance

of TL length are carotenoids; vitamins A, C, D, E; polyphenols; fibre; and omega-3 fatty acids. On the other hand, pro-inflammatory diets with high consumption of sugary drinks, processed meat, as well as increased amounts of saturated fatty acids were correlated with telomere shortening [97]. Current literature suggests that following a Mediterranean diet, with high consumption of antioxidants, fibre, and vegetables as well as seeds and walnuts, is associated with longer TL [98,99].

#### 2.2. Non-Coding RNA (microRNA and lnc-RNA)

Diet compounds may influence the activity of non-coding RNAs (microRNA and lnc-RNA), which possess epigenetic regulatory functions. It was shown that they modulate gene expression at various levels including transcription by associating with DNA and chromatin-modifying complexes, thereby mediating alteration of the local epigenetic landscape [100].

MicroRNA (miRNA) are short 19-14 nucleotide in length fraction of non-coding RNA that constitute an essential post-transcriptional regulatory step in gene expression [101]. They work by blocking the translation or degrading the transcript (inducing exonuclease action, decapping, or deadenylating the poly (A) tail). A single miRNA can regulate several different mRNAs. In animals, miRNAs are involved in the regulation of many cellular processes, such as proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, and also in metabolism, immune response, hormone signalling, and cell development [102]. Disorders of miRNAs can contribute to the development of various diseases, including cancer [101]. In recent years, a great deal of controversy has been raised over the possibility of penetration of plant miRNAs through the gastrointestinal (GI) barrier, where, with the participation of extracellular vesicles, such as exosomes, they enter the circulatory system in mammals [103–105]. In 2012, Zang et al. [106] demonstrated for the first time that dietary miR168 can cross the GI barrier and inhibit the expression of human and mouse low-density lipoprotein receptor adapter protein 1 (LDLRAP1) in liver, which resulted in lowering of LDL removal from the plasma. In 2016, the presence of plant miR159 was demonstrated in human sera, the level of which was negatively correlated with the occurrence and development of breast cancer. This was caused by influencing the transcription factor TCF7 encoding Wnt signaling transcription factor, leading to the decrease in MYC protein levels [107]. In 2020, the presence of the SIDT1 receptor responsible for the absorption of miRNA from the diet was demonstrated in gastric pit cells in the stomach of mice, and the presence of this protein in human cells was previously indicated [108,109]. Despite the increasing amount of data indicating the possibility of penetration of miRNA from the diet, this topic is still unclear and requires more extensive research [102].

Dietary food components and especially bioactive dietary components, like vitamins (vitamin D, vitamin A, vitamin E), polyphenols (resveratrol, quercetin, catechins, curcumin), fatty acids (omega-3 and omega-6), and minerals (selenium, zinc), can affect the expression of miRNA, thus regulating gene expression and the phenotype impact [110] (Table 2).

Mechanisms	<b>Bioactive Component</b>	Disorders	References
$\downarrow$ <i>miR</i> -143 and <i>miR</i> -124		osteoarthritis	[111]
<i>↑ miR-99a</i>	curcumin	retinoblastoma	[112]
↑ miR-34a, miR-503, miR-424		breast cancer	[113]
<i>↑ miRNA-200</i>	<i>↑ miRNA-200</i>		[114]
<i>↑ miR-122-5p</i>	resveratrol	breast cancer	[115]
<i>↑ miR-200c</i>		colorectal cancer	[116]
↓ miR-155, miR-34a ↑ miR-21, miR-181, miR-186		type 2 diabetes, hypertensive patients with coronary artery disease	[117]
<i>↓ miR</i> -221		melanoma	[118]

Table 2. Bioactive components of the diet and their role in miRNA level changes.

# Table 2. Cont.

Mechanisms	<b>Bioactive Component</b>	Disorders	References
↑ <i>mi</i> R-29 <i>b</i>		diabetic retinopathy	[119]
↑ miR-146a	·	breast cancer	[120]
<i>↓ mi</i> R-206	·	osteoporosis	[121]
↓ <i>mi</i> R-21		breast cancer	[122]
<i>↓ miR</i> -22	quercetin	oral lichen planus	[123]
$\downarrow$ miR-216a		peripheral arterial disease	[124]
<i>↓ mi</i> R-21	·	hepatic steatosis and fibrosis	[125]
$\downarrow$ miR-15a and miR-16	·	hepatocellular carcinoma	[126]
<i>↓ mi</i> R-16	·	oral cancer	[127]
↑ hsa-miR-24, hsa-miR-6769b-3p, hsa-miR-6836-3p, hsa-miR-199a-3p, hsa-miR-663a, hsa-miR-4739, hsa-miR-6892-3p, hsa-miR-7107-5p, hsa-miR-1273g-3p, hsa-miR-1343, and hsa-miR-6089; ↓ hsa-miR-181a-5p and hsa-miR-148a-3p	anizanin	hepatocellular carcinoma	[128]
<i>↑ miR-34a-5p</i>	apigeiiii —	lung cancer	[129]
↑ miR-152-5p		cervical carcinoma	[130]
<i>↑ miRNA-215-5p</i>		colorectal cancer	[131]
<i>↑ miR-34a-5p</i>		lung cancer	[132]
↑ <i>mi</i> R-203	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	breast cancer	[133]
↑ miR-6809-5p	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hepatocellular carcinoma	[134]
↑ miRNA-34a	luteolin	gastric cancer	[135]
$\downarrow$ <i>miR</i> -21 and $\uparrow$ <i>miR</i> -16 and -34a	·	breast cancer	[136]
$\downarrow$ microRNA-132	·	Bronchopneumonia	[137]
$\downarrow$ miRNA-301-3p	·	pancreatic cancer	[138]
↑ microRNA-340		lung cancer	[139]
↑ miR-339-5p		colon cancer	[140]
$\downarrow$ microRNA-21	kaempferol	liver cancer	[141]
$\downarrow$ miR-146a	·	osteoarthritis	[142]
↑ <i>mi</i> R-203	·	hypertension	[143]
↑ miR-132 and miR-502c		breast cancer	[144]
↑ miR-9 and Let-7		gastric cancer	[145]
$\downarrow$ miR-18a, miR-21, and miR-221 genes	chrysin —	gastric cancer	[146]
↓ microRNA (miR)-92a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	atherosclerosis	[147]
$\downarrow$ miR-636	caffeic acid	diabetic nephropathy	[148]
<i>↑ mi</i> R-221		hepatic fibrosis	[149]
<i>↑ miR-548m</i>		hepatitis C	[150]
↑ microRNA-let-7b	epigallocatechin-3-	melanoma	[151]
↑ miR-520a-3p	gallate	prostate cancer	[152]
<i>↑ mi</i> R-384		ischemic heart disease	[153]
↓ <i>mi</i> R-25		breast cancer	[154]
<i>↑ miR-9-3</i>		lung cancer	[155]
↑ miR135b-5p		pancreatic cancer	[156]
$\downarrow$ miRNA-423-5p		liver fibrosis	[157]
↓ miR30a-3p	sulforanhane	pancreatic cancer	[158]
$\downarrow$ miR-155	sunoraphane	acute myeloid leukemia	[159]
<i>↓ mi</i> R-21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	colon cancer	
↑ miRNA-124-3p		nasopharyngeal cancer	[160]
↓ <i>miR-23b</i> , <i>miR-92b</i> , <i>miR-381</i> , and <i>miR-382</i>		breast cancer	[36]
↑ <i>miR-29a-3p</i> and <i>miR-200a</i>	Carotonoida: Iva	colorectal cancer	[161]
↑ miR-320d, miR-1246 and miRNA-1290	$\beta$ -carotenoids: lycopene, — $\beta$ -carotene, lutein,	neuroblastoma	[162]
↑ miR-let-7f-1	astaxanthin	prostate cancer	[163]

Mechanisms	<b>Bioactive Component</b>	Disorders	References
<i>↑ miR-99a</i>		breast cancer	[164]
<i>↑ miR-138-5p</i>		lung cancer	[165]
<i>↑ miR-34a</i>		multiple myeloma	[166]
$\uparrow$ miR-194 and $\downarrow$ miR-106b	omega-3 fatty acids:	breast cancer	[167]
$\downarrow$ miR-21	DHA, EPA —	breast cancer	[168]
$\downarrow$ microRNA-155		carotid restenosis	[169]
$\downarrow$ microRNA-20a		gastric cancer	[170]
$\downarrow$ miR-21		Parkinson's disease	[171]
$\downarrow$ miRNA-146a and -155	ite	obesity	[172]
↑ <i>miR-100</i> and <i>-125b</i>	vitamin D —	prostate cancer	[173]
<i>↑ miR-10a</i>	vitamin E	breast cancer	[174]
$\uparrow$ miR-374, miR-16, miR-199a-5p, miR-195, and miR-30e; ↓ miR-3571, miR-675, and miR-450a	selenium	cardiac dysfunction	[175]
$\downarrow$ <i>miR-21, miR-31,</i> and <i>miR-223;</i> $\downarrow$ <i>miR-375</i>	zinc	esophageal cancer	[176]

#### Table 2. Cont.

In recent years, a great deal of information has emerged about the presence of miRNA in both human and cow's milk. Importantly, miRNA in milk is transported in extracellular vesicles, which protects the molecules against the effects of RNase and unfavourable conditions in the gastrointestinal tract. The qualitative and quantitative composition of miRNA molecules in milk is related to many factors, such as the fraction of milk, the processing method, or, in the case of human milk, the mother's age, health, and her lifestyle [177]. For this reason, it is difficult to establish the exact profile of miRNA in human milk [178–180] and thus the exact effect of miRNA from milk on a child's development. It is known, however, that milk-derived exosomal miRNAs that target DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) (miRNA-148a, miRNA-21) and DNMT3B (miRNA-148a, miRNA-29b) have been suggested to play a fundamental epigenetic role for milk-induced FOXP3 expression and Treg stabilization. These miRNAs act to inhibit DNMT and increase the stability of FOXP3 gene expression to promote immune tolerance.

lncRNAs are longer that 200 nt non-coding RNA particles. Alteration in their function can contribute to the development of many diseases, including cancers. Sulforaphane, obtained from cruciferous vegetables like broccoli, can prevent and suppress cancer formation. Research revealed that sulforaphane influences expression of cancer-associated lncRNAs. Sulforaphane-mediated alterations in lncRNA expression are correlated with genes that regulate cell cycle, signal transduction, and metabolism [181] (Table 3).

Table 3.	Bioactive com	ponents of th	e diet and	their role in	IncRNA leve	el changes.

Mechanisms	<b>Bioactive Component</b>	Disorders	References
↓ BRAF-activated long noncoding RNA (BANCR)	luteolin	thyroid carcinoma	[182]
long non-coding RNA	opigallocatochin 3 gallato	lung cancer	[183]
$\downarrow$ lnc RNA LINC00511	epiganocatecrim-5-ganate	gastric cancer	[184]
$\downarrow$ lncRNAs H19	sulforaphane	pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma	[185]
$\downarrow$ lncRNA LUCAT1		oral squamous cell carcinoma	[186]
$\downarrow$ lncRNA CCAT2	vitamin D	ovarian cancer	[187]
↑ lncRNA MEG3		colorectal cancer	[188]

#### 3. Activation of Transcription Factors by Nutrients

Organisms deploy a number of ways to maintain metabolic and energy homeostasis, including hormones and/or the nervous system. The increasing number of research papers has shown that both primary and secondary nutrients or their derivatives regulate gene expression in a hormone-independent manner by direct interaction with cellular components (membrane or nuclear receptors). The main route of activation of transcription factors (TFs) governing the expression of their target genes after extracellular impulse perception is through cascaded signal transmission, in which the preceding elements (e.g., protein kinases) change the state/structure of the succeeding ones, leading to the activation of specific TFs.

The regulation of the metabolic pathways involved in glucose homeostasis is carried out in part by the transcriptional control of the genes coding for the regulatory enzymes of those pathways. The mechanism by which carbohydrates regulate transcription of these genes, besides the transcriptional control exercised by insulin and glucagon and their signalling cascade, was finally unravelled by the purification and characterization of the carbohydrate-responsive element binding protein (ChREBP). In response to glucose and fructose, this protein forms a heterodimer with its partner Mlx and then binds and activates the transcription of target genes that contain carbohydrate response element (ChoRE) motifs (e.g., glucokinase, pyruvate kinase, ATP citrate lyase, acetyl CoA carboxylase, fatty acid synthase) [189]. This regulation plays a critical role in sugar-induced lipogenesis and glucose global homeostasis through the coordination of hepatic intermediary metabolism, carbohydrate digestion, and transport [190,191]. ChREBP transcriptional activity can be modulated by other cofactors and transcriptional factors, such as the members of nuclear receptors family hepatic nuclear factor 4 (HNF-4), LXR, FXR, or the thyroid hormone receptor (TR) [192,193]. Glucose homeostasis and body weight is regulated also by Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 (STAT3), a transcription factor activated by different cell stimuli, like leptin, grow factors, or cytokines, such as IL-5 and IL-6 [194]. In in-vivo studies, it was shown that STAT3 activation was triggered by oleic acid, leading to intensified transcription of genes regulated by this transcription factor [195]. STAT3 protein is thought to be an important factor associated with increased risk of abdominal obesity. A high dietary saturated fatty acid intake amplifies the genetic predisposition to abdominal obesity which connected with certain STAT3 genotypes [196]. In addition, high continuous activation of STAT3 gene is connected with neoplastic transformation. STAT3 is broadly hyperactivated both in cancer and non-cancerous cells within the tumour ecosystem and plays crucial roles in reducing the expression of important immune activation regulators and promoting the production of immunosuppressive factors [197]. It was shown that high-fat diet increased the risk of prostate cancer and that palmitic acid levels were strictly connected with STAT3 activation [198].

Metabolism of glucose and lipids is regulated by a family of nuclear receptors known as the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs). The PPARs function as lipid sensors in a way that can be activated by both dietary fatty acids (FAs) and their derivatives in the body, consequently redirecting metabolism. Three types of these receptors are known. The PPAR $\alpha$  isoform plays a significant role in the oxidation of fatty acids and is important in body's response to fasting. PPAR $\gamma$  is abundant in the brown adipose tissue and is an important regulator of fat cells [199] and is crucial in regulating adipogenesis (through C/EBP cascade), thus playing a significant role in maintaining glucose and lipid metabolism balance. Among the identified functions of PPAR $\delta$  is that of its connection with the catabolism of fatty acids and energy homeostasis [200]. It is suggested that PPAR $\alpha$ may be the most important isoform in regulating de-novo fatty acid synthesis from carbohydrates and lipid deposition. It was shown that FASKOL (fatty acid synthase knockout in liver) mice, when fed a diet deprived of fat, were characterized by hypoglycaemia and hypercholesterolemia. This effect was reversed by PPAR $\alpha$  agonists, which further led to the conclusion that only dietary fat or fat synthesized de novo due to fatty acid synthase activity is capable of PPAR $\alpha$  activation, which in turn leads to gluconeogenesis [201]. In

contrast, PPAR $\delta$  may work as a widespread regulator in fat burning and probably could be used as a potential target in the treatment of obesity and related disorders [202]. It was proposed that the effect of fatty acids on gene expression mainly depends on the number of double bonds and the length of carbon tail, and hence, abnormal lipid profile can lead to severe aberration in cell function (Table 4). After PPAR binding, polyunsaturated fatty acids lower the level of triglycerides and increase blood HDL cholesterol fraction [203]. Fatty acids can also regulate liver X receptor (LXR), retinoid X receptor (RXR), and sterol receptor SREBP (sterol regulatory element-binding proteins) [204]. It is already known that LXRs, after forming a heterodimer with RXRs, regulate the nutrient metabolism pathways through their interactions with specific, naturally occurring oxysterols. It was found that LXRs could also form heterodimers with all the three PPAR subtypes with different binding affinities, and such receptor/receptor interactions could be regulated by ligand binding [205]. Formation of such heterodimers changes their target genes. By gel shift and in-vitro protein/protein binding assays, it has been discovered that the interactions between LXRs and PPAR $\alpha$  are involved in fatty acid degradation, which is a reverse of the fatty acid synthesis function of PPAR $\alpha$  [206]. Sterol regulatory element binding proteins (SREBPs) are membrane-bound transcription factors of the basic-helix-loop-helix-leucine zipper (bHLH-Zip) family that have been shown to regulate enzymes responsible for the synthesis of cholesterol, fatty acids, and the low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor. The target genes involved in cholesterol metabolism include the LDL receptor, 3-hydroxy-3methylglutaryl-CoA (HMG-CoA) synthase, HMG-CoA reductase, farnesyl-diphosphate (FPP) synthase, and squalene synthase, while genes involved in fatty acid and triglyceride synthesis that are regulated by SREBPs include acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC), fatty acid synthase (FAS), and glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase [207]. Diets rich in sterols prevent proteolytic cleavage of SREBPs that facilitates its translocation to the cell nucleus, thus weakening the transcription ratio of target genes. In studies with transgenic mice overexpressing the nuclear form of SREBP-1c in the liver, 2- to 4-fold increases in mRNAs for genes involved in fatty acid synthesis were observed [208]. SREBP-1c transcription can also be induced by the activation of liver X receptor  $(LXR)\alpha$ , a hormone nuclear receptor that is activated by oxysterols (cholesterol derivatives). It leads to the induction of expression of a number of genes connected with cholesterol removal, which share the same LXR response element, 5'-AGGTCANNNNAGGTCA-3' [209]. It is believed that LXR $\alpha$  acts as a cholesterol sensor, and after SREBP-1c induction, fatty acids are produced in order to esterify cholesterol, which in turn balances the cholesterol amount in the organism [210].

Table 4. Bioactive components of the diet and their role in transcription factor activity changes.

Mechanisms	<b>Bioactive Component</b>	Disorders	References
	resveratrol autism spectrum disorder, obesity and insulin resistance		[211,212]
	kaempferol	hyperlipidemia	[213]
PPAR	gallic acid and p-coumaric acid type 2 diabetes		[214]
	epigallocatechin-3-gallate Alzheimer's disease		[215]
	lycopene liver and lung cancer		[216]
	omega-3 fatty acids: DHA	pancreatic acinar, breast cancer, Parkinson's disease	[171,217,218]
	folic acid	non-alcoholic steatohepatitis	[219]
	vitamin D	cerebral ischemia, metabolic syndrome	[220,221]
downregulation of PPARγ	epigallocatechin-3-gallate	obesity	[222]

Mechanisms	<b>Bioactive Component</b>	Disorders	References
	quercetin	melanoma	[223]
NF-KB —	apigenin	multiple myeloma	[224]
	vitamin A	lung cancer	[225]
	curcumin	gastric cancer, breast cancer, acute lung injury, oral cancer, cerebral ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury	[226–230]
_	resveratrol	lung cancer, melanoma	[118,231]
_	quercetin	coronary artery disease, coronary heart disease, alcohol-induced liver injury	[232–234]
	apigenin	colon cancer, bladder cancer, breast cancer, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and colitis-associated cancer (CAC)	[235–238]
_	keampferol	spinal cord injury, hypertension	[143,239]
_	chrysin	melanoma	[240]
NF-κB inhibition	caffeic acid phenethyl ester	nasopharyngeal carcinoma, calcific aortic valve disease, periodontal diseases, glaucoma, neuropathic pain, ovarian cancer	[241–246]
	caffeic acid	hyperglycemia	[247]
	epigallocatechin-3-gallate	temporal lobe epilepsy, lung cancer	[248,249]
	sulforaphane	prostate cancer	[250]
-	lycopene	pancreatic cancer, prostate and breast cancer	[251,252]
	omega-3 fatty acids: DHA	liver cirrhosis, breast cancer, pancreatic cancer	[218,253,254]
	folic acid	steatohepatitis	[255]
	selenium	prostate cancer, breast cancer, type 2 diabetes	[256-259]
_	vitamin D	obesity	[172]
_	vitamin E	prostate cancer	[260]
	curcumin	cerebral ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury	[230]
	resveratrol	diabetic cardiomyopathy	[261]
	apigenin	vitiligo, diabetic nephropathy	[262,263]
	luteolin	colon cancer, colorectal cancer, diabetic cardiomyopathy	[264-266]
Nrf2 activation	epigallocatechin-3-gallate	hyperglycemia, obesity, colorectal cancer, retinal ischemia-reperfusion	[267–269]
	sulforaphane	colon cancer, Alzheimer's disease, cardiomyopathy	[37,270,271]
	omega-3 fatty acids: DHA	traumatic brain injury	[272]
_	vitamin A	cholestasis	[273]
_	vitamin E	chronic liver injury	[274]
	apigenin	lung cancer	[275]
	luteolin	colon cancer	[276]
-	keampferol	non-small cell lung cancer	[277]
Nrf2 inhibition	chrysin	breast cancer, glioblastoma	[278,279]
-	gallic acid	psoriasis-like skin disease, respiratory diseases	[280,281]
	vitamin E	asthma	[282]
	zinc	diabetic nephropathy	[283]

# Table 4. Cont.

Mechanisms	<b>Bioactive Component</b>	Disorders	References
_	curcumin	renal cell carcinoma, bladder cancer, oral cancer	[229,284,285]
	gallic acid	nasopharyngeal cancer	[286]
AP-1 inhibition	quercetin	coronary heart disease	[233]
	apigenin	bladder cancer	[236]
_	vitamin E	leukemia	[287]
-	zinc	prostate cancer	[288]
	curcumin	osteosarcoma, myeloproliferative neoplasms, retinoblastoma	[112,289,290]
_	resveratrol	osteosarcoma, colon cancer, ovarian cancer, cervical cancer	[291–294]
_	quercetin	hepatocellular carcinoma, alcohol-induced liver injury	[234,295]
STAT3 inhibition —	apigenin	hepatocellular carcinoma, breast cancer, colon cancer, visceral obesity, inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and colitis-associated cancer (CAC)	[237,238,296–299]
	luteolin	gastric cancer, pancreatic cancer, hepatic fibrosis, lung adenocarcinoma	[300–303]
	keampferol	diabetic nephropathy	[304]
	chrysin	bladder cancer	[305]
	gallic acid	non-small cell lung cancer	[306]
	omega-3 fatty acids: DHA	renal cancer, multiple myeloma, pancreatic cancer	[254,307,308]
	sulforaphane	nasopharyngeal cancer, glioblastoma multiforme	[160,309]
_	curcumin	gastric cancer, neuroblastoma, renal cell carcinoma	[310-312]
activation of p53	resveratrol	prostate cancer, colon cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, glioblastoma multiform, neuroblastoma, thyroid cancer	[313–319]
	epigallocatechin-3-gallate	liver cancer	[320]
	vitamin D	endometrial cancer	[321]
inhibition of	resveratrol	osteoporosis, breast cancer	[322,323]
p53 —	vitamin E	breast cancer	[324]

Table 4. Cont.

Beside carbohydrates and fats, proteins are the third most important class of macromolecules that need to be received with diet. Although higher organisms are able to synthetize some amino acids, there is a group of these compounds, called essential amino acids, that must be obligatory acquired from food. Moreover, the synthesis of the remaining amino acids usually does not meet the requirement of an organism; therefore, a healthy and balanced diet must cover all the requirements in amino acids and should include proteins from different sources and in different proportions. The pool of amino acids in the body results from two counteracting processes, protein synthesis and protein breakdown. In the situation when amino acid level decreases (especially of the essential amino acids), the so-called amino acid response is triggered [325]. It leads to deacetylation of corresponding tRNAs, which in turn activates the general control nonderepressible 2 (GCN2) kinase. Then the kinase activates the eukaryotic initiation factor 2 alpha (eIF2 $\alpha$ ) [326], and the integrated stress response begins [327], in which mRNA synthesis is reduced or halted because of decreased eIF2B protein complex activity [328]. In contrast, activation of the activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) occurs that activates of specific genes involved in the adaptation to starving situation by binding to CCAAT enhancer-binding protein (C/EBP)-ATF response elements (CARE) [329]. Under continued stress of sufficient magnitude, ATF4-induced

apoptosis can also occur [330]. Activation of the AAR regulates gene expression at many steps, including chromatin structure, transcription start site, transcription rates, mRNA splicing, RNA export, RNA turnover, and translation initiation. Although major, this signaling pathway is not the only one activated during amino acid deficit. For instance, under asparagine but also leucine, isoleucine, and glutamine starvation the level of asparagine synthetase mRNA increases. A region of promoter sequence 5'-TGATGAAAC-3' -68 nt to -60 nt was identified as amino acid response element (AARE) [331]. Noteworthy, glucose depletion does the same through activation of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress response pathway. Both pathways act by binding to nutrient-sensing response elements 1 and 2 (NSRE-1 and NSRE-2), thereby increasing asparagine synthase transcription [332].

Many food components can modify the neoplastic progression. The modification of metabolism of carcinogens is one of the main possible mechanisms by which food components can minimize the risk of cancer. The responses to dietary compounds that have a role in preventing cancer may be related to the diversity of the enzymes being processed and modified. Key points in the cell cycle are regulated by different protein kinase complexes that are composed of cyclin and cyclin-dependent kinase molecules. Additionally, these cell cycle key points are affected by combined dietary components. It has been proven that the dietary factors either essential or nonessential can adjust and modify the cell cycle checkpoints and, consequently, have a role in reducing the progression and proliferation of tumour [333]. Beside affecting the function of proteins essential in the process of carcinogenesis, dietary components may accelerate cell death and enhance apoptosis. Bioactive diet components, such as quercetin, curcumin, and sulphoraphane, can influence signaling pathways by inhibiting NF-κB transcription factor [334] (Table 4). Bioactive diet components can block one or more stages of NF-kB signaling pathway, such as signaling cascade, NF-KB translocation, and its interaction with DNA [329]. In xenografted tumours, curcumin upregulated the expression of TRAIL-R1/DR4, TRAIL-R2/DR5, Bax, Bak, p21/WAF1, and p27/KIP1 and inhibited the activation of NF-κB and its gene products [335]. Pretreatment with a noncytotoxic concentration of luteolin significantly sensitized both TRAIL-sensitive as well as TRAIL-resistant cancer cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis [336]. Curcumin and also other curcuminoids form ginger regulate NF-κB transcription factor and gene products, such as COX-2 (cyclooxygenase-2) and cyclins. Curcumin inhibits mediators of NF- $\kappa$ B activation. Guggulsterone, one of the plant sterols, inhibits activation of NF-κB via direct interactions with kinases of this pathway [337,338]. Polyunsaturated fatty acids influence expression of genes, encoding factors that take part in inflammation processes via activation of NF-κB factor [339]. Resveratrol suppresses phosphorylation and translocation of one of the NF-κB subunits. It also blocks activation of NF-κB pathway by cancerogenic compounds. Other compounds that inhibit phosphorylation on this pathway are isoanthocyanins derived from cruciferous vegetables [340]. Green tea polyphenols inhibit activation of NF- $\kappa$ B via inhibition of kinase activity on this pathway [341]. Moreover, epicatechins are known to also target AP-1 and Nrf2 redox-sensitive transcription factor associated with cell proliferation, survival, differentiation, apoptosis, and stress responses [342] (Table 4). Epicatechin transiently activated the NF-κB cascade and Nrf2 signaling by stimulating PI3K/AKT and ERK pathways and induced a sustained enhancement of AP-1-bindingactivity by up-regulating the nuclear levels of c-Jun. The activation of the AP-1 signaling pathway controls cell proliferation through cell-cycle regulation in hepatocytes and other cell types [343]. Numerous reports seem to be contradictory, showing epicatechin to be unable to influence AP-1 [344] and to activate AP-1 [345]. Such variation in the effects of AP-1 activity modulation may result from the specific modulation of transcription factors in different cell types and from the concentration of epicatechins. Isoanthocyanins derived from cruciferous vegetables influence the activity of Nrf2 transcription factor, which binds to the ARE responsive element and activate transcription of genes coding for enzymes, such as quinone reductase or glutathione S-transferase 2 (enzymes of phase II of detoxication). Active compounds of cruciferous vegetables affect protein complex dissociation with Nrf2 and also phosphorylation of constituents of this complex [346].

Apoptosis can be induced due to the action of p53 tumour suppressor protein. Its expression is also activated by dietary components, like trans-resveratrol [347], silibinin [348], or curcumin. These compounds lead to apoptosis of tumour cells by inhibiting antiapoptoting proteins, like survivin or Bcl-2 [349] (Table 4).

#### 4. The Influence of Bioactive Diet Components on Diseases

Epidemiological studies revealed that populations whose diet is rich in fruits and vegetables rarely get cancer diseases [350–353]. Fruits and vegetables are the great source of fibre, vitamins, and minerals but also contain such compounds as terpenes, alkaloids, and phenolics, which comprise health benefits. Over 500 compounds derived from food were identified as putative modifiers of cancerogenesis. They not only consist of plant-derived compounds but also of animal and fungal origin and metabolites derived from processes driven by human microflora [354]. These compounds regulate gene expression through changes in the chromatin structure, epigenetic changes, or activation of transcription factors.

One of the more serious problems resulting from inadequate diet selection are allergies. Although the very mechanism of allergic reactions to nutrients is relatively well studied, some aspects require detailed research, especially when they relate to epigenetic regulation. For example, it is fact that a mother's diet (during pregnancy and breastfeeding) has an immense and lasting impact on the development of the immune system of the offspring and consequently on the occurrence of allergies. It has been shown that allergic disease intensification corresponds with the activity of PKC $\zeta$  (Protein Kinase C) gene in T cells during neonatal life. It was observed that dietary supplementation of pregnant women with  $\omega$ -3 poly-unsaturated fatty acids (PUFA)-rich fish oil leads to reduced incidence of allergies in their progeny due to elevated expression of the PKC $\zeta$  gene probably regulated epigenetically through changes in DNA methylation or histone acetylation [355–358]. Fish oil supplementation affects epigenetic changes also in other genes connected with the immune system, like IL13 or T-box 21 [359]. In another study, pregnant women's diet supplementation with olive oil was shown to influence histone acetylation in genes of immune system regulating proteins during foetal life. For example, increased acetylation of H3 histone in the FOXP3 gene (encoding one of the regulators of immune homeostasis) promoter was observed. FOXP3, as the major transcription factor in the regulatory T cells (Tregs), plays a crucial role in the induction of tolerance towards self- and environmental (including food) antigens [360]. However, it must be noted that PUFAs can be allergenic because they promote pro-inflammatory processes and thus affect DNA methylation and histone acetylation and modulate the expression of regulatory RNA forms (miRNAs, lncRNAs), and only well balanced and adequately selected diet composition must be considered.

Adequate, healthy nutrition (a diet rich in vegetables, fruits, vegetable fats, fish, eggs) is important at every stage of life because it is a factor in reducing the occurrence of not only cancer but also other non-infectious diseases, such as obesity, diabetes mellitus type 2, cardiovascular diseases, neurodegenerative diseases, or allergic diseases. Bioactive components of the diet, such as vitamins, minerals, polyphenols, carotenoids, and isothiocyanates, affect the expression of a number of genes, showing a positive effect on the occurrence and development of diseases. This happens through mechanisms such as DNA methylation, histone modifications, telomerase inhibition, and the regulating effect of RNA or transcription factors. The mechanisms of bioactive diet components together with the disorders they are active against are presented in detail in Tables 1–4, where also literature references can be found to facilitate extended search on particular issue (it must be noted that literature search was not systematic).

# 5. Application of Bioactive Diet Components in Dietician's Work

It is estimated that the human genome consists of over 30,000 coding genes, which generate around 100,000 functional proteins. Understanding the interactions between gene products and bioactive diet component consumption has a fundamental meaning for iden-

tification of these compounds, which will bring the highest benefits for health and will be correlated with risk of disease onset. Application of new, innovative technologies, such as microarrays, RNA interference, and nanotechnologies, provide information for identifying molecular mechanisms of bioactive components activity. Such knowledge allows proper diet application for individual phenotypes. Some general dietary recommendation adapted to specific diseases or applied in prevention will not bring the expected effects due to the individual genetic and epigenetic diversification. Analysis of association between food and gene expression allows to formulate the proper diet, which will prevent disease or bring back organism homeostasis [1,4,11,361].

Bioactive diet components, such as polyphenols, vitamins, flavonoids, carotenoids glucosinolates, isothiocyanates, terpenes, and fatty acids, are substances that can influence gene expression by transcription factors, epigenetic modification, and enzymes, which modify chromatin structure [4]. However, consuming foods with high amounts of products of selected group may sometimes be detrimental, especially if the diet is long term. For example, carotenoids belong to the most efficient physical quenchers of singlet oxygen ( $^{1}O_{2}$ ), the deactivation of which is based on the conversion of an excess of energy to heat via the carotenoid lowest excited triplet state ( $^{3}Carotenoid^{*}$ ) [362].

Conceivable damaging effects of excited carotenoids can be ignored because of their low energy and short lifetimes. However, carotenoids can also be chemical quenchers of  ${}^{1}O_{2}$ , undergoing modifications such as oxidation or oxygenation [363]. Free radical scavenging can lead to the formation of carotenoid radical cations or anions as well as neutral carotenoid radicals. The newly formed carotenoid radical products can undergo further transformations, leading to a variety of secondary carotenoid derivatives of different reactivity. This is especially important, as the newly generated carotenoid species may no longer act as efficient antioxidants but turn into potentially harmful, pro-oxidant agents and lead to alterations in amino acids or nucleotides that result in irreversible structural modifications of proteins or nucleic acids. Results obtained from trials with high carotenoid content diets involving heavy-cigarette-smoking men indicated a significantly higher occurrence of lung cancer and total mortality in comparison to individuals obtaining the placebo [364,365].

The use of foods that can modify the epigenome translates into a dietary regimen known as the "epigenetic diet". Such a diet may be used therapeutically for health or prophylactic purposes. Epigenetic therapy is a new area in the development of nutraceuticals, the lack of toxicity of which can be an important asset in disease prevention strategies. Recent advances in understanding the mechanisms of nutrigenomics, nutrigenetics, and nutraceuticals have led to the identification of foods capable of favourably conditioning gene expression. The epigenetic diet must include fruit and vegetables, in particular cruciferous vegetables, bean vegetables, grapes, citrus fruits, *Curcuma longa* L, garlic, as well as tea, nuts, and whole grain cereal products [366].

## *Limitations to the Studies on the Influence of the Bioactive Diet Components on the Gene Expression Regulation*

The data presented in this review are based mainly on human cell cultures and as such are subject to certain limitations. First of all, it is an artificial system, and the cultured cells have no chance to respond to a current factor in the way as they do in an organism, where they are exposed to a whole gamut of factors interacting to bring about any response. Moreover, treatments with particular compounds may not reflect the actual situation, where they are processed by the organism to yield a number of possible products/derivatives, which may have a quite different impact on the cell [367]. Moreover, often, there are technical discrepancies and heterogeneity in the approach to the conducted research, like different procedures of acquisition and processing as well as different conditions of storage and transport of the samples. The investigation methods are not unified, which translates to divergent extraction/isolation procedures (of RNA, DNA, proteins) and use of different reference standards and different enzyme assay protocols.

As a matter of fact, even studies on whole organisms are not free of limitations. It results from the fact that although such studies are often carried out on a representative group of subjects, they rarely take into account the inter-individual variability in the metabolism and bioavailability of the bioactive compounds nor the individual response to them [368]. The most reliable data comes from randomized controlled trials; however, when it comes to nutrition, not all of it can be ethically evaluated in this way. Therefore, even in human studies, the data mostly come from observational evidence, in particular cohort studies, where even differences in absorption in the gut are usually not evaluated, and the studies quite often lack appropriate control groups [369].

Considering the above information, in order to obtain reliable data that will undoubtedly prove the influence of a given bioactive component of the diet on the expression of selected genes, there is a need to apply standardized procedures and follow wellestablished methodological guidelines as well as to conduct bioavailability studies of such a component taking into account the population variability of the test and reference groups to achieve more reliable results in future research [370].

# 6. Conclusions

Bioactive diet components influence gene expression via different mechanisms, mainly by chromatin structure alteration, non-coding RNA, activation of transcription factors by signaling cascades, or direct ligand binding to the nuclear receptor. Identification of these compounds and elucidating their mechanism of function will allow more effective diet recommendation for whole population types or for individuals. Bioactive diet components play an important role in prevention and therapy of many diet-depending diseases, such as cancers, circulatory system diseases, diabetes, and obesity. It seems important to develop further the knowledge on nutrigenetics and nutrigenomics and to encourage dieticians to use this knowledge for more effective dietary recommendations.

**Author Contributions:** J.M. wrote the first draft of the majority of the manuscript, participated in manuscript corrections, and collected reference materials. K.K. participated in writing of the manuscript, collected reference materials, prepared figures, and edited the manuscript. A.B. participated in writing of the manuscript and collected reference materials. M.C. participated in writing of the manuscript and wrote first draft of the introduction. A.K. made the general plan of the manuscript and performed manuscript editing. W.W. participated in writing of the manuscript, collected reference materials, edited manuscript, and prepared tables. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: Not applicable.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The publication is partially financed by the program "Initiative of Excellence–Research University".

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Abbreviations

AAR	amino acid response
AARE	amino acid response element
AID/APOBEC	activation-induced cytidine deaminase/apolipoprotein B mRNA-editing enzyme
proteins	complex
ACC	acetyl-CoA carboxylase
AP-1	activator protein 1
ATF4	activating transcription factor 4
BANCR	BRAF-Activated Non-Protein Coding RNA

Zip-basic-helix–loop–helix–leucine zipper
colitis-associated cancer
enhancer-binding protein
carbohydrate response element motifs
carbohydrate-responsive element binding protein
cvclooxygenase-2
docosahexaenoic acid
DNA-Base Excision Renair
DNA methyltransforaso
Epigallocatochin 3 gallato
aukarwatic initiation factor 2
eicesanentaenois asid
fatty acids
fatty acid synthase
fatty acid synthase knockout in liver
forkhead box P3
farnesyl-diphosphate
farnesoid X receptor
general control nonderepressible 2
gastrointestinal barrier
histone acetylase
histone deacetylase
histone demethylases
3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA
methyltransferases
4-hepatic nuclear factor
inflammatory bowel disease
low-density lipoproteins
low-density lipoprotein receptor adapter protein 1
long non-coding RNAs
liver X receptor
methyl-CpG binding proteins
messenger RNA
microRNA
methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase
methionine synthese reductase
nuclear factor kappa-light chain-enhancer of activated B cells
nuclear factor kappa-light chant-enhancer of activated b cens
protoin Vinaso C
provineme proliferator estimated recentor
peroxisonie promerator-activated receptor
noting and X recenter
S-adenosyl-methionine
5-adenosylhomocysteine
defective-1 transmembrane family member 1
sırtuin
single-nucleotide polymorphism
sterol regulatory element-binding proteins
signal transducer and activator of transcription 3
ten-eleven translocation enzymes
telomere length
TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand
regulatory T cells
transcription factors
thyroid hormone receptor
ubiquitin-like, containing PHD and RING finger domain protein
5-hydroxymethylcytosine
5-methyl cytosine

# References

- Subbiah, M.T. Nutrigenetics and nutraceuticals: The next wave riding on personalized medicine. *Transl. Res.* 2007, 149, 55–61. [CrossRef]
- Panagiotou, G.; Nielsen, J. Nutritional systems biology: Definitions and approaches. *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* 2009, 29, 329–339. [CrossRef]
- 3. Phillips, C.M. Nutrigenetics and metabolic disease: Current status and implications for personalised nutrition. *Nutrients* **2013**, *5*, 32–57. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Trujillo, E.; Davis, C.; Milner, J. Nutrigenomics, proteomics, metabolomics, and the practice of dietetics. *J. Am. Diet Assoc.* 2006, 106, 403–413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Stover, P.J. Human nutrition and genetic variation. Food Nutr. Bull. 2007, 28 (Suppl. 1), S101–S115. [CrossRef]
- Tishkoff, S.A.; Verrelli, B.C. Role of evolutionary history on haplotype block structure in the human genome: Implications for disease mapping. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 2003, 13, 569–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. El-Sohemy, A. Nutrigenetics. Forum Nutr. 2007, 60, 25–30.
- 8. Kaput, J.; Rodriguez, R.L. Nutritional genomics: The next frontier in the postgenomic era. *Physiol. Genomics* **2004**, *16*, 166–177. [CrossRef]
- 9. Mooser, V.; Ordovas, J.M. 'Omic' approaches and lipid metabolism: Are these new technologies holding their promises? *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* **2003**, *14*, 115–119. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ferguson, L.R. Nutrigenomics: Integrating genomic approaches into nutrition research. *Mol. Diagn. Ther.* **2006**, *10*, 101–108. [CrossRef]
- 11. Afman, L.; Muller, M. Nutrigenomics: From molecular nutrition to prevention of disease. J. Am. Diet Assoc. 2006, 106, 569–576. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Ferguson, L.R. Nutrigenomics approaches to functional foods. J. Am. Diet Assoc. 2009, 109, 452–458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Jaenisch, R.; Bird, A. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: How the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. *Nat. Genet.* **2003**, *33*, 245–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Hardy, T.M.; Tollefsbol, T.O. Epigenetic diet: Impact on the epigenome and cancer. *Epigenomics* **2011**, *3*, 503–518. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Kirk, H.; Cefalu, W.T.; Ribnicky, D.; Liu, Z.; Eilertsen, K.J. Botanicals as epigenetic modulators for mechanisms contributing to development of metabolic syndrome. *Metabolism* **2008**, *57* (Suppl. 1), S16–S23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fila, M.; Chojnacki, C.; Chojnacki, J.; Blasiak, J. Is an "Epigenetic Diet" for Migraines Justified? The Case of Folate and DNA Methylation. Nutrients 2019, 11, 2763. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ling, C.; Rönn, T. Epigenetics in Human Obesity and Type 2 Diabetes. Cell Metab. 2019, 29, 1028–1044. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Moore, L.D.; Le, T.; Fan, G. DNA methylation and its basic function. Neuropsychopharmacology 2013, 38, 23–38. [CrossRef]
- 19. Zhang, N. Epigenetic modulation of DNA methylation by nutrition and its mechanisms in animals. *Anim. Nutr.* **2015**, *1*, 144–151. [CrossRef]
- 20. Choi, S.-W. Nutrients and DNA methylation. In Nutrients and Epigenetics; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2009.
- 21. Ly, A.; Hoyt, L.; Crowell, J.; Kim, Y.-I. Folate and DNA methylation. Antioxid. Redox Signal. 2012, 17, 302–326. [CrossRef]
- 22. McNulty, H.; Pentieva, K. Folate bioavailability. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 2004, 63, 529–536. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Nasir, A.; Bullo, M.M.H.; Ahmed, Z.; Imtiaz, A.; Yaqoob, E.; Jadoon, M.; Ahmed, H.; Afreen, A.; Yaqoob, S. Nutrigenomics: Epigenetics and cancer prevention: A comprehensive review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **2020**, *60*, 1375–1387. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Minor, E.A.; Court, B.L.; Young, J.I.; Wang, G. Ascorbate induces ten-eleven translocation (Tet) methylcytosine dioxygenasemediated generation of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine. J. Biol. Chem. 2013, 288, 13669–13674. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Moradi Sarabi, M.; Naghibalhossaini, F. The impact of polyunsaturated fatty acids on DNA methylation and expression of DNMTs in human colorectal cancer cells. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2018**, *101*, 94–99. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Qionglin, H.; Mingming, M.; Yu, Z.; Qingjin, Y.; Junjie, Z.; Xiaoxia, Y.; Lijian, Z.; Chun, C. The Anticancer Role of Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids was Closely Associated with the Increase in Genomic DNA Hydroxymethylation. *Anti-Cancer Agents Med. Chem.* 2019, 19, 330–336.
- 27. Farias, N.; Ho, N.; Butler, S.; Delaney, L.; Morrison, J.; Shahrzad, S.; Coomber, B.L. The effects of folic acid on global DNA methylation and colonosphere formation in colon cancer cell lines. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **2015**, *26*, 818–826. [CrossRef]
- Lubecka-Gajewska, K.; Kaufman-Szymczyk, A.; Stefanska, B.; Fabianowska-Majewska, K. Folic acid enforces DNA methylationmediated transcriptional silencing of PTEN, APC and RARbeta2 tumour suppressor genes in breast cancer. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2012, 430, 2623–2628.
- 29. Ham, M.-S.; Lee, J.-K.; Kim, K.-C. S-adenosyl methionine specifically protects the anticancer effect of 5-FU via DNMTs expression in human A549 lung cancer cells. *Mol. Clin. Oncol.* **2013**, *1*, 373–378. [CrossRef]
- 30. Feng, Y.; Zhao, L.-Z.; Hong, L.; Shan, C.; Shi, W.; Cai, W. Alteration in methylation pattern of GATA-4 promoter region in vitamin A-deficient offspring's heart. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **2013**, *24*, 1373–1380. [CrossRef]
- 31. Qiu, W.; Lin, J.; Zhu, Y.; Zhang, J.; Zeng, L.; Su, M.; Tian, Y. Kaempferol Modulates DNA Methylation and Downregulates DNMT3B in Bladder Cancer. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* **2017**, *41*, 1325–1335. [CrossRef]

- 32. Weng, Y.-P.; Hung, P.-F.; Ku, W.-Y.; Chang, C.-Y.; Wu, B.-H.; Wu, M.-H.; Yao, J.-Y.; Yang, J.-R.; Lee, C.-H. The inhibitory activity of gallic acid against DNA methylation: Application of gallic acid on epigenetic therapy of human cancers. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *9*, 361–374. [CrossRef]
- Sheng, J.; Shi, W.; Guo, H.; Long, W.; Wang, Y.; Qi, J.; Liu, J.; Xu, Y. The Inhibitory Effect of (-)-Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate on Breast Cancer Progression via Reducing SCUBE2 Methylation and DNMT Activity. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 2899. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, X.H.; Zhang, B.L.; Zhang, X.M.; Tong, J.D.; Gu, Y.H.; Guo, L.L.; Jin, H.M. EGCG Attenuates Renal Damage via Reversing Klotho Hypermethylation in Diabetic db/db Mice and HK-2 Cells. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2020, 2020, 6092715. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kim, D.; Kim, Y.; Kim, Y. Effects of β-carotene on Expression of Selected MicroRNAs, Histone Acetylation, and DNA Methylation in Colon Cancer Stem Cells. J. Cancer Prev. 2019, 24, 224–232. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lewinska, A.; Adamczyk-Grochala, J.; Deregowska, A.; Wnuk, M. Sulforaphane-Induced Cell Cycle Arrest and Senescence are accompanied by DNA Hypomethylation and Changes in microRNA Profile in Breast Cancer Cells. *Theranostics* 2017, 7, 3461–3477. [CrossRef]
- 37. Su, X.; Wang, S.; Zhang, H.; Yang, G.; Bai, Y.; Liu, P.; Meng, L.; Jiang, X.; Xin, Y. Sulforaphane Prevents Angiotensin II-Induced Cardiomyopathy by Activation of Nrf2 Through Epigenetic Modification. *SSRN Electron. J.* **2020**, *15*, 405–417. [CrossRef]
- Ceccarelli, V.; Ronchetti, S.; Marchetti, M.C.; Calvitti, M.; Riccardi, C.; Grignani, F.; Vecchini, A. Molecular mechanisms underlying eicosapentaenoic acid inhibition of HDAC1 and DNMT expression and activity in carcinoma cells. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA Gene Regul. Mech.* 2020, 1863, 194481. [CrossRef]
- 39. Chatterjee, B.; Ghosh, K.; Kanade, S.R. Resveratrol modulates epigenetic regulators of promoter histone methylation and acetylation that restores BRCA1, p53, p21CIP1 in human breast cancer cell lines. *BioFactors* **2019**, *45*, 818–829. [CrossRef]
- Izquierdo-Torres, E.; Hernández-Oliveras, A.; Meneses-Morales, I.; Rodríguez, G.; Fuentes-García, G.; Zarain-Herzberg, Á. Resveratrol up-regulates ATP2A3 gene expression in breast cancer cell lines through epigenetic mechanisms. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2019, 113, 37–47. [CrossRef]
- 41. Dai, L.; Chen, L.; Wang, W.; Lin, P. Resveratrol inhibits ACHN cells via regulation of histone acetylation. *Pharm. Biol.* **2020**, *58*, 231–238. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Alrafas, H.R.; Busbee, P.B.; Chitrala, K.N.; Nagarkatti, M.; Nagarkatti, P. Alterations in the Gut Microbiome and Suppression of Histone Deacetylases by Resveratrol Are Associated with Attenuation of Colonic Inflammation and Protection Against Colorectal Cancer. J. Clin. Med. 2020, 9, 1796. [CrossRef]
- 43. Pandey, M.; Kaur, P.; Shukla, S.; Abbas, A.; Fu, P.; Gupta, S. Plant flavone apigenin inhibits HDAC and remodels chromatin to induce growth arrest and apoptosis in human prostate cancer cells: In vitro and in vivo study. *Mol. Carcinogenes.* **2012**, *51*, 952–962. [CrossRef]
- 44. Yan, W.; Wu, T.H.Y.; Leung, S.S.Y.; To, K.K.W. Flavonoids potentiated anticancer activity of cisplatin in non-small cell lung cancer cells in vitro by inhibiting histone deacetylases. *Life Sci.* 2020, 258, 118211. [CrossRef]
- 45. Attoub, S.; Hassan, A.H.; Vanhoecke, B.; Iratni, R.; Takahashi, T.; Gaben, A.M.; Bracke, M.; Awad, S.; John, A.; Kamalboor, H.A.; et al. Inhibition of cell survival, invasion, tumor growth and histone deacetylase activity by the dietary flavonoid luteolin in human epithelioid cancer cells. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2011**, 651, 18–25. [CrossRef]
- Wang, S.-W.; Chen, Y.-R.; Chow, J.-M.; Chien, M.-H.; Yang, S.-F.; Wen, Y.-C.; Lee, W.-J.; Tseng, T.-H. Stimulation of Fas/FasLmediated apoptosis by luteolin through enhancement of histone H3 acetylation and c-Jun activation in HL-60 leukemia cells. *Mol. Carcinogenes.* 2018, 57, 866–877. [CrossRef]
- 47. Pal-Bhadra, M.; Ramaiah, M.J.; Reddy, T.L.; Krishnan, A.; Pushpavalli, S.N.; Babu, K.S.; Tiwari, A.K.; Rao, J.M.; Yadav, J.S.; Bhadra, U. Plant HDAC inhibitor chrysin arrest cell growth and induce p21WAF1 by altering chromatin of STAT response element in A375 cells. *BMC Cancer* **2012**, *12*, 180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Anantharaju, P.G.; Reddy, D.B.; Padukudru, M.A.; Chitturi, C.M.K.; Vimalambike, M.G.; Madhunapantula, S.V. Induction of colon and cervical cancer cell death by cinnamic acid derivatives is mediated through the inhibition of Histone Deacetylases (HDAC). *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0186208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Jang, Y.-G.; Ko, E.-B.; Choi, K.-C. Gallic acid, a phenolic acid, hinders the progression of prostate cancer by inhibition of histone deacetylase 1 and 2 expression. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **2020**, *84*, 108444. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Pan, B.; Quan, J.; Liu, L.; Xu, Z.; Zhu, J.; Huang, X.; Tian, J. Epigallocatechin gallate reverses cTnI-low expression-induced age-related heart diastolic dysfunction through histone acetylation modification. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2017, 21, 2481–2490. [CrossRef]
- Deb, G.; Shankar, E.; Thakur, V.S.; Ponsky, L.E.; Bodner, D.R.; Fu, P.; Gupta, S. Green tea–induced epigenetic reactivation of tissue inhibitor of matrix metalloproteinase-3 suppresses prostate cancer progression through histone-modifying enzymes. *Mol. Carcinogenes.* 2019, 58, 1194–1207. [CrossRef]
- Moradzadeh, M.; Roustazadeh, A.; Tabarraei, A.; Erfanian, S.; Sahebkar, A. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate enhances differentiation of acute promyelocytic leukemia cells via inhibition of PML-RARα and HDAC1. *Phytother. Res.* 2018, 32, 471–479. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, J.; Zhang, R.; Zhan, Z.; Li, X.; Zhou, F.; Xing, A.; Jiang, C.; Chen, Y.; An, L. Beneficial Effects of Sulforaphane Treatment in Alzheimer's Disease May Be Mediated through Reduced HDAC1/3 and Increased P75NTR Expression. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2017, 9, 121. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Mitsiogianni, M.; Trafalis, D.T.; Franco, R.; Zoumpourlis, V.; Pappa, A.; Panayiotidis, M.I. Sulforaphane and iberin are potent epigenetic modulators of histone acetylation and methylation in malignant melanoma. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2021, 60, 147–158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Martin, S.L.; Kala, R.; Tollefsbol, T.O. Mechanisms for the Inhibition of Colon Cancer Cells by Sulforaphane through Epigenetic Modulation of MicroRNA-21 and Human Telomerase Reverse Transcriptase (hTERT) Down-regulation. *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* 2018, 18, 97–106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hossain, S. Effect of Histone Deacetylase Inhibitors on Vitamin D Dependent Gene Expression in Human Colorectal and Breast Cancers. FASEB J. 2017, 31 (Suppl. 1), 644–647.
- 57. Udroiu, I.; Marinaccio, J.; Sgura, A. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate induces telomere shortening and clastogenic damage in glioblastoma cells. *Environ. Mol. Mutagenes.* 2019, 60, 683–692. [CrossRef]
- 58. Fang, M.; Chen, D.; Yang, C.S. Dietary polyphenols may affect DNA methylation. J. Nutr. 2007, 137 (Suppl. 1), 223S–228S. [CrossRef]
- 59. Lee, W.J.; Shim, J.Y.; Zhu, B.T. Mechanisms for the inhibition of DNA methyltransferases by tea catechins and bioflavonoids. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2005**, *68*, 1018–1030. [CrossRef]
- 60. Nandakumar, V.; Vaid, M.; Katiyar, S.K. (–)-Epigallocatechin-3-gallate reactivates silenced tumor suppressor genes, Cip1/p21 and p16INK4a, by reducing DNA methylation and increasing histones acetylation in human skin cancer cells. *Carcinogenesis* **2011**, *32*, 537–544. [CrossRef]
- 61. Meeran, S.M.; Patel, S.N.; Tollefsbol, T.O. Sulforaphane causes epigenetic repression of hTERT expression in human breast cancer cell lines. *PLoS ONE* **2010**, *5*, e11457. [CrossRef]
- 62. Mukherjee, N.; Kumar, A.; Ghosh, R. DNA Methylation and Flavonoids in Genitourinary Cancers. *Curr. Pharmacol. Rep.* **2015**, *1*, 112–120. [CrossRef]
- 63. Fini, L.; Selgrad, M.; Fogliano, V.; Graziani, G.; Romano, M.; Hotchkiss, E.; Daoud, Y.A.; De Vol, E.B.; Boland, R.; Ricciardiello, L. Annurca apple polyphenols have potent demethylating activity and can reactivate silenced tumor suppressor genes in colorectal cancer cells. *J. Nutr.* 2007, 137, 2622–2628. [CrossRef]
- 64. King-Batoon, A.; Leszczynska, J.M.; Klein, C.B. Modulation of gene methylation by genistein or lycopene in breast cancer cells. *Environ. Mol. Mutagenesis* **2008**, 49, 36–45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Crescenti, A.; Solà, R.; Valls, R.M.; Caimari, A.; Del Bas, J.M.; Anguera, A.; Anglés, N.; Arola, L. Cocoa Consumption Alters the Global DNA Methylation of Peripheral Leukocytes in Humans with Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e65744. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Dolinoy, D.C.; Weidman, J.R.; Waterland, R.A.; Jirtle, R.L. Maternal genistein alters coat color and protects Avy mouse offspring from obesity by modifying the fetal epigenome. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2006**, *114*, 567–572. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Dan, J.; Chen, T. Genetic Studies on Mammalian DNA Methyltransferases. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 2016, 945, 123–150. [PubMed]
- 68. Ding, Y.B.; He, J.L.; Liu, X.Q.; Chen, X.M.; Long, C.L.; Wang, Y.X. Expression of DNA methyltransferases in the mouse uterus during early pregnancy and susceptibility to dietary folate deficiency. *Reproduction* **2012**, 144, 91–100. [CrossRef]
- 69. Rees, W.D.; Hay, S.M.; Brown, D.S.; Antipatis, C.; Palmer, R.M. Maternal protein deficiency causes hypermethylation of DNA in the livers of rat fetuses. *J. Nutr.* 2000, *130*, 1821–1826. [CrossRef]
- Gluckman, P.D.; Hanson, M.A.; Cooper, C.; Thornburg, K.L. Effect of in utero and early-life conditions on adult health and disease. N. Engl. J. Med. 2008, 359, 61–73. [CrossRef]
- Heijmans, B.T.; Tobi, E.W.; Stein, A.D.; Putter, H.; Blauw, G.J.; Susser, E.S.; Slagboom, P.E.; Lumey, L.H. Persistent epigenetic differences associated with prenatal exposure to famine in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2008, 105, 17046–17049. [CrossRef]
- Painter, R.C.; Osmond, C.; Gluckman, P.; Hanson, M.; Phillips, D.I.W.; Roseboom, T.J. Transgenerational effects of prenatal exposure to the Dutch famine on neonatal adiposity and health in later life. *BJOG Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 2008, 115, 1243–1249. [CrossRef]
- Tobi, E.W.; Lumey, L.H.; Talens, R.P.; Kremer, D.; Putter, H.; Stein, A.D.; Slagboom, P.E.; Heijmans, B.T. DNA methylation differences after exposure to prenatal famine are common and timing- and sex-specific. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2009, *18*, 4046–4053. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dudley, K.J.; Sloboda, D.M.; Connor, K.L.; Beltrand, J.; Vickers, M.H. Offspring of mothers fed a high fat diet display hepatic cell cycle inhibition and associated changes in gene expression and DNA methylation. *PLoS ONE* 2011, 6, e21662. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Marco, A.; Kisliouk, T.; Tabachnik, T.; Meiri, N.; Weller, A. Overweight and CpG methylation of the Pomc promoter in offspring of high-fat-diet-fed dams are not "reprogrammed" by regular chow diet in rats. *FASEB J.* **2014**, *28*, 4148–4157. [CrossRef]
- Vucetic, Z.; Kimmel, J.; Totoki, K.; Hollenbeck, E.; Reyes, T.M. Maternal high-fat diet alters methylation and gene expression of dopamine and opioid-related genes. *Endocrinology* 2010, 151, 4756–4764. [CrossRef]
- 77. Li, Y.; Daniel, M.; Tollefsbol, T.O. Epigenetic regulation of caloric restriction in aging. BMC Med. 2011, 9, 98. [CrossRef]
- McKay, J.A.; Mathers, J.C. Diet. induced epigenetic changes and their implications for health. *Acta Physiol.* 2011, 202, 103–118. [CrossRef]
- 79. Milagro, F.I.; Campion, J.; Cordero, P.; Goyenechea, E.; Gomez-Uriz, A.M.; Abete, I.; Zulet, M.A.; Martinez, J.A. A dual epigenomic approach for the search of obesity biomarkers: DNA methylation in relation to diet-induced weight loss. *FASEB J* 2011, 25, 1378–1389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Bouchard, L.; Rabasa-Lhoret, R.; Faraj, M.; Lavoie, M.-E.; Mill, J.; Pérusse, L.; Vohl, M.-C. Differential epigenomic and transcriptomic responses in subcutaneous adipose tissue between low and high responders to caloric restriction. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2010, *91*, 309–320. [CrossRef]
- 81. Hjort, L.; Jørgensen, S.W.; Gillberg, L.; Hall, E.; Brøns, C.; Frystyk, J.; Vaag, A.A.; Ling, C. 36 h fasting of young men influences adipose tissue DNA methylation of LEP and ADIPOQ in a birth weight-dependent manner. *Clin. Epigen.* 2017, *9*, 40. [CrossRef]
- 82. Bannister, A.J.; Kouzarides, T. Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. Cell Res. 2011, 21, 381–395. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 83. Donohoe, D.R.; Bultman, S.J. Metaboloepigenetics: Interrelationships between energy metabolism and epigenetic control of gene expression. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **2012**, 227, 3169–3177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Rosenfeld, J.A.; Wang, Z.; Schones, D.E.; Zhao, K.; DeSalle, R.; Zhang, M.Q. Determination of enriched histone modifications in non-genic portions of the human genome. *BMC Genomics* **2009**, *10*, 143. [CrossRef]
- 85. Ciesielski, O.; Biesiekierska, M.; Balcerczyk, A. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate (EGCG) Alters Histone Acetylation and Methylation and Impacts Chromatin Architecture Profile in Human Endothelial Cells. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 2326. [CrossRef]
- 86. Dashwood, R.H.; Myzak, M.C.; Ho, E. Dietary HDAC inhibitors: Time to rethink weak ligands in cancer chemoprevention? *Carcinogenesis* 2006, 27, 344–349. [CrossRef]
- 87. Hu, J.; Shen, T.; Xie, J.; Wang, S.; He, Y.; Zhu, F. Curcumin modulates covalent histone modification and TIMP1 gene activation to protect against vascular injury in a hypertension rat model. *Exp. Ther. Med.* **2017**, *14*, 5896–5902. [CrossRef]
- Kang, J.; Lin, C.; Chen, J.; Liu, Q. Copper induces histone hypoacetylation through directly inhibiting histone acetyltransferase activity. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 2004, 148, 115–123. [CrossRef]
- 89. Li, Y.; Liu, L.; Andrews, L.G.; Tollefsbol, T.O. Genistein depletes telomerase activity through cross-talk between genetic and epigenetic mechanisms. *Int. J. Cancer* **2009**, *125*, 286–296. [CrossRef]
- 90. North, B.J.; Verdin, E. Sirtuins: Sir2-related NAD-dependent protein deacetylases. Genome Biol. 2004, 5, 224. [CrossRef]
- 91. Guarente, L.; Picard, F. Calorie restriction—The SIR2 connection. *Cell* 2005, 120, 473–482. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Mehedint, M.G.; Niculescu, M.D.; Craciunescu, C.N.; Zeisel, S.H. Choline deficiency alters global histone methylation and epigenetic marking at the Re1 site of the calbindin 1 gene. *FASEB J.* **2010**, *24*, 184–195. [CrossRef]
- 93. Babizhayev, M.A.; Savel'yeva, E.L.; Moskvina, S.N.; Yegorov, Y.E. Telomere length is a biomarker of cumulative oxidative stress, biologic age, and an independent predictor of survival and therapeutic treatment requirement associated with smoking behavior. *Am. J. Ther.* **2011**, *18*, e209–e226. [CrossRef]
- 94. Daniel, M.; Peek, G.W.; Tollefsbol, T.O. Regulation of the human catalytic subunit of telomerase (hTERT). *Gene* **2012**, *498*, 135–146. [CrossRef]
- 95. Mirabello, L.; Huang, W.Y.; Wong, J.Y.; Chatterjee, N.; Reding, D.; Crawford, E.D.; De Vivo, I.; Hayes, R.B.; Savage, S.A. The association between leukocyte telomere length and cigarette smoking, dietary and physical variables, and risk of prostate cancer. *Aging Cell* **2009**, *8*, 405–413. [CrossRef]
- 96. Ornish, D.; Lin, J.; Daubenmier, J.; Weidner, G.; Epel, E.; Kemp, C.; Magbanua, M.J.; Marlin, R.; Yglecias, L.; Carroll, P.R.; et al. Increased telomerase activity and comprehensive lifestyle changes: A pilot study. *Lancet Oncol.* **2008**, *9*, 1048–1057. [CrossRef]
- 97. Nettleton, J.A.; Diez-Roux, A.; Jenny, N.S.; Fitzpatrick, A.L.; Jacobs, D.R., Jr. Dietary patterns, food groups, and telomere length in the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2008**, *88*, 1405–1412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 98. Crous-Bou, M.; Molinuevo, J.-L.; Sala-Vila, A. Plant.-Rich Dietary Patterns, Plant. Foods and Nutrients, and Telomere Length. *Adv. Nutr.* **2019**, *10* (Suppl. 4), S296–S303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 99. Navarro-Ibarra, M.J.; Hernández, J.; Caire-Juvera, G. Diet, physical activity and telomere length in adults. *Nutr. Hosp.* 2019, 36, 1403–1417.
- Quintanilha, B.J.; Reis, B.Z.; Duarte, G.B.S.; Cozzolino, S.M.F.; Rogero, M.M. Nutrimiromics: Role of microRNAs and Nutrition in Modulating Inflammation and Chronic Diseases. *Nutrients* 2017, *9*, 1168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Plotnikova, O.; Baranova, A.; Skoblov, M. Comprehensive Analysis of Human microRNA–mRNA Interactome. *Front. Genet.* 2019, 10, 933. [CrossRef]
- 102. Mar-Aguilar, F.; Arreola-Triana, A.; Mata-Cardona, D.; Gonzalez-Villasana, V.; Rodríguez-Padilla, C.; Reséndez-Pérez, D. Evidence of transfer of miRNAs from the diet to the blood still inconclusive. *PeerJ* 2020, *8*, e9567. [CrossRef]
- Chen, X.; Zen, K.; Zhang, C.-Y. Reply to Lack of detectable oral bioavailability of plant microRNAs after feeding in mice. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2013, 31, 967–969. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Snow, J.W.; Hale, A.E.; Isaacs, S.K.; Baggish, A.L.; Chan, S.Y. Ineffective delivery of diet-derived microRNAs to recipient animal organisms. *RNA Biol.* 2013, 10, 1107–1116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 105. Tosar, J.; Rovira, C.; Naya, H.; Cayota, A. Mining of public sequencing databases supports a non-dietary origin for putative foreign miRNAs: Underestimated effects of contamination in NGS. *RNA* **2014**, *20*, 754–757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 106. Zhang, L.; Hou, D.; Chen, X.; Li, D.; Zhu, L.; Zhang, Y.; Li, J.; Bian, Z.; Liang, X.; Cai, X.; et al. Exogenous plant MIR168a specifically targets mammalian LDLRAP1: Evidence of cross-kingdom regulation by microRNA. *Cell Res.* 2012, 22, 107–126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Chin, A.R.; Fong, M.Y.; Somlo, G.; Wu, J.; Swiderski, P.; Wu, X.; Wang, S.E. Cross-kingdom inhibition of breast cancer growth by plant miR159. *Cell Res.* **2016**, *26*, 217–228. [CrossRef]
- 108. Chen, Q.; Zhang, F.; Dong, L.; Wu, H.; Xu, J.; Li, H.; Wang, J.; Zhou, Z.; Liu, C.; Wang, Y.; et al. SIDT1-dependent absorption in the stomach mediates host uptake of dietary and orally administered microRNAs. *Cell Res.* **2021**, *31*, 247–258. [CrossRef]

- Elhassan, M.O.; Christie, J.; Duxbury, M.S. Homo sapiens systemic RNA interference-defective-1 transmembrane family member 1 (SIDT1) protein mediates contact-dependent small RNA transfer and microRNA-21-driven chemoresistance. J. Biol. Chem. 2012, 287, 5267–5277. [CrossRef]
- 110. Simardeep, K.; Suresh, K. Crosstalk between food components and microRNAs: Role in metabolism, nutrition, health and diseases. *Integr. Food Nutr. Metab.* 2020, 7, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 111. Qiu, B.; Xu, X.; Yi, P.; Hao, Y. Curcumin reinforces MSC-derived exosomes in attenuating osteoarthritis via modulating the miR-124/NF-kB and miR-143/ROCK1/TLR9 signalling pathways. J. Cell. Mol. Med. 2020, 24, 10855–10865. [CrossRef]
- 112. Li, Y.; Sun, W.; Han, N.; Zou, Y.; Yin, D. Curcumin inhibits proliferation, migration, invasion and promotes apoptosis of retinoblastoma cell lines through modulation of miR-99a and JAK/STAT pathway. *BMC Cancer* **2018**, *18*, 1230. [CrossRef]
- 113. Otsuka, K.; Yamamoto, Y.; Ochiya, T. Regulatory role of resveratrol, a microRNA-controlling compound, in HNRNPA1 expression, which is associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer. *Oncotarget* **2018**, *9*, 24718–24730. [CrossRef]
- 114. Fu, J.; Shrivastava, A.; Shrivastava, S.K.; Srivastava, R.K.; Shankar, S. Triacetyl resveratrol upregulates miRNA-200 and suppresses the Shh pathway in pancreatic cancer: A potential therapeutic agent. *Int. J. Oncol.* **2019**, *54*, 1306–1316. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, W.; Jiang, H.; Chen, Y.; Ren, F. Resveratrol chemosensitizes adriamycin-resistant breast cancer cells by modulating miR-122-5p. J. Cell. Biochem. 2019, 120, 16283–16292. [CrossRef]
- Karimi Dermani, F.; Saidijam, M.; Amini, R.; Mahdavinezhad, A.; Heydari, K.; Najafi, R. Resveratrol Inhibits Proliferation, Invasion, and Epithelial–Mesenchymal Transition by Increasing miR-200c Expression in HCT-116 Colorectal Cancer Cells. J. Cell. Biochem. 2017, 118, 1547–1555. [CrossRef]
- 117. Tomé-Carneiro, J.; Larrosa, M.; Yáñez-Gascón, M.J.; Dávalos, A.; Gil-Zamorano, J.; Gonzálvez, M.; García-Almagro, F.J.; Ruiz Ros, J.A.; Tomás-Barberán, F.A.; Espín, J.C.; et al. One-year supplementation with a grape extract containing resveratrol modulates inflammatory-related microRNAs and cytokines expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of type 2 diabetes and hypertensive patients with coronary artery disease. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2013, *72*, 69–82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wu, F.; Cui, L. Resveratrol suppresses melanoma by inhibiting NF-κB/miR-221 and inducing TFG expression. Arch. Dermatol. Res. 2017, 309, 823–831. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 119. Wang, X.; Li, H.; Wang, H.; Shi, J. Quercetin attenuates high glucose-induced injury in human retinal pigment epithelial cell line ARPE-19 by up-regulation of miR-29b. *J. Biochem.* **2020**, *167*, 495–502. [CrossRef]
- Tao, S.-F.; He, H.-F.; Chen, Q. Quercetin inhibits proliferation and invasion acts by up-regulating miR-146a in human breast cancer cells. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 2015, 402, 93–100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 121. Zhang, Q.; Chang, B.; Zheng, G.; Du, S.; Li, X. Quercetin stimulates osteogenic differentiation of bone marrow stromal cells through miRNA-206/connexin 43 pathway. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* **2020**, *12*, 2062–2070.
- 122. Tofigh, R.; Tutunchi, S.; Akhavan, S.; Panahi, G. The effects of Quercetin on miRNA-21 expression in MCF-7 cells. *Arch. Med Lab. Sci.* **2017**. [CrossRef]
- 123. Wang, F.; Ke, Y.; Yang, L.; Wang, F.J. Quercetin protects human oral keratinocytes from lipopolysaccharide-induced injury by downregulating microRNA-22. *Hum. Exp. Toxicol.* 2020, *39*, 096032712091829. [CrossRef]
- 124. Wang, X.; Xue, X.; Wang, H.; Xu, F.; Xin, Z.; Wang, K.; Cui, M.; Qin, W. Quercetin inhibits human microvascular endothelial cells viability, migration and tube-formation in vitro through restraining microRNA-216a. J. Drug Target. 2020, 28, 609–616. [CrossRef]
- 125. Alshammari, G.; Al-Qahtani, W.; Alfaris, N.; Alzahrani, N.; Alkhateeb, M.; Yahya, M. Quercetin prevents cadmium chlorideinduced hepatic steatosis and fibrosis by downregulating the transcription of miR-21. *BioFactors* **2021**, *47*, 489–505. [CrossRef]
- 126. Youness, R.; Assal, R.; Ezzat, S.; Gad, M.; Abdel Motaal, A. A methoxylated quercetin glycoside harnesses HCC tumor progression in a TP53/miR-15/miR-16 dependent manner. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2018**, *34*, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 127. Zhao, J.; Fang, Z.; Zha, Z.; Sun, Q.; Wang, H.; Sun, M.; Qiao, B. Quercetin inhibits cell viability, migration and invasion by regulating miR-16/HOXA10 axis in oral cancer. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2019**, *847*, 11–18. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 128. Wang, S.-M.; Yang, P.-W.; Feng, X.-J.; Zhu, Y.-W.; Qiu, F.-J.; Hu, X.-D.; Zhang, S.-H. Apigenin Inhibits the Growth of Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cells by Affecting the Expression of microRNA Transcriptome. *Front. Oncol.* **2021**, *11*, 657665. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 129. Aida, R.; Hagiwara, K.; Okano, K.; Nakata, K.; Obata, Y.; Yamashita, T.; Yoshida, K.; Hagiwara, H. miR-34a-5p might have an important role for inducing apoptosis by down-regulation of SNAI1 in apigenin-treated lung cancer cells. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2021, 48, 2291–2297. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, X.; Zhou, H.-B.; Liu, J.; Xie, J.; Hu, R. Apigenin suppresses proliferation, invasion, and epithelial–mesenchymal transition of cervical carcinoma cells by regulation of miR-152/BRD4 axis. *Kaohsiung J. Med Sci.* 2021, 37, 583–593. [CrossRef]
- Cheng, Y.; Han, X.; Mo, F.; Zeng, H.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, H.; Zheng, Y.; Ma, X. Apigenin inhibits the growth of colorectal cancer through down-regulation of E2F1/3 by miRNA-215-5p. *Phytomedicine* 2021, *89*, 153603. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, Z.-Q.; Li, M.-H.; Qin, Y.-M.; Jiang, H.-Y.; Zhang, X.; Wu, M.-H. Luteolin Inhibits Tumorigenesis and Induces Apoptosis of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Cells via Regulation of MicroRNA-34a-5p. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2018, 19, 447. [CrossRef]
- Gao, G.; Ge, R.; Li, Y.; Liu, S. Luteolin exhibits anti-breast cancer property through up-regulating miR-203. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* 2019, 47, 3265–3271. [CrossRef]
- 134. Yang, P.-W.; Lu, Z.-Y.; Pan, Q.; Chen, T.-T.; Feng, X.-J.; Wang, S.-M.; Pan, Y.-C.; Zhu, M.-H.; Zhang, S.-H. MicroRNA-6809-5p mediates luteolin-induced anticancer effects against hepatoma by targeting flotillin 1. *Phytomedicine* **2019**, *57*, 18–29. [CrossRef]
- 135. Zhou, Y.; Ding, B.-Z.; Lin, Y.-P.; Wang, H.-B. MiR-34a, as a suppressor, enhance the susceptibility of gastric cancer cell to luteolin by directly targeting HK1. *Gene* **2018**, *644*, 56–65. [CrossRef]

- 136. Magura, J.; Moodley, R.; Mackraj, I. The effect of hesperidin and luteolin isolated from Eriocephalus africanus on apoptosis, cell cycle and miRNA expression in MCF-7. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* **2020**. [CrossRef]
- 137. Liu, X.; Meng, J. Luteolin alleviates LPS-induced bronchopneumonia injury in vitro and in vivo by down-regulating microRNA-132 expression. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2018**, *106*, 1641–1649. [CrossRef]
- 138. Mg, S.; Son, S.; Seo, H.; Lee, J.; Kim, C.k.; Kuh, H.-J.; Park, J.K. Luteolin-regulated MicroRNA-301-3p targets caspase-8 and modulates TRAIL sensitivity in PANC-1 cells. *Anticancer Res.* 2020, 40, 723–731.
- 139. Han, X.; Liu, C.-F.; Gao, N.; Zhao, J.; Xu, J. Kaempferol suppresses proliferation but increases apoptosis and autophagy by up-regulating microRNA-340 in human lung cancer cells. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2018**, *108*, 809–816. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 140. Wu, H.; Cui, M.; Li, C.; Li, H.; Dai, Y.; Cui, K.; Li, Z. Kaempferol Reverses Aerobic Glycolysis via miR-339-5p-Mediated PKM Alternative Splicing in Colon Cancer Cells. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2021, 69, 3060–3068. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 141. Zhu, G.; Liu, X.; Li, H.; Yan, Y.; Hong, X.; Lin, Z. Kaempferol inhibits proliferation, migration, and invasion of liver cancer HepG2 cells by down-regulation of microRNA-21. *Int. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *32*, 2058738418814341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jiang, R.; Hao, P.; Yu, G.; Liu, C.; Yu, C.; Huang, Y.; Wang, Y. Kaempferol protects chondrogenic ATDC5 cells against inflammatory injury triggered by lipopolysaccharide through down-regulating miR-146a. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2019, 69, 373–381. [CrossRef]
- 143. Cui, S.; Tang, J.; Wang, S.; Li, L. Kaempferol protects lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory injury in human aortic endothelial cells (HAECs) by regulation of miR-203. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2019**, *115*, 108888. [CrossRef]
- 144. Javan, N.; Khadem Ansari, M.H.; Dadashpour, M.; Khojastehfard, M.; Bastami, M.; Rahmati-Yamchi, M.; Zarghami, N. Synergistic Antiproliferative Effects of Co-nanoencapsulated Curcumin and Chrysin on MDA-MB-231 Breast Cancer Cells Through Upregulating miR-132 and miR-502c. *Nutr. Cancer* 2019, *71*, 1201–1213. [CrossRef]
- 145. Mohammadian, F.; Pilehvar-Soltanahmadi, Y.; Zarghami, F.; Akbarzadeh, A.; Zarghami, N. Upregulation of miR-9 and Let-7a by nanoencapsulated chrysin in gastric cancer cells. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* **2016**, *45*, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mohammadian, F.; Pilehvar-Soltanahmadi, Y.; Mofarrah, M.; Dastani-Habashi, M.; Zarghami, N. Down regulation of miR-18a, miR-21 and miR-221 genes in gastric cancer cell line by chrysin-loaded PLGA-PEG nanoparticles. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* 2016, 44, 1–7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 147. Lin, C.-M.; Wang, B.-W.; Pan, C.-M.; Fang, W.-J.; Chua, S.-K.; Cheng, W.-P.; Shyu, K.-G. Chrysin boosts KLF2 expression through suppression of endothelial cell-derived exosomal microRNA-92a in the model of atheroprotection. *Eur. J. Nutr.* 2021. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 148. Salem, A.M.; Ragheb, A.S.; Hegazy, M.G.A.; Matboli, M.; Eissa, S. Caffeic Acid Modulates miR-636 Expression in Diabetic Nephropathy Rats. *Indian J. Clin. Biochem.* **2019**, *34*, 296–303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 149. Arffa, M.; Zapf, M.; Kothari, A.; Chang, V.; Gupta, G.; Ding, X.; Al-Gayyar, M.; Syn, W.; Elsherbiny, N.; Kuo, P.; et al. Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate Upregulates miR-221 to Inhibit Osteopontin-Dependent Hepatic Fibrosis. *PLoS ONE* 2016, *11*, e0167435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mekky, R.Y.; El-Ekiaby, N.; El Sobky, S.A.; Elemam, N.M.; Youness, R.A.; El-Sayed, M.; Hamza, M.T.; Esmat, G.; Abdelaziz, A.I. Epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) and miR-548m reduce HCV entry through repression of CD81 receptor in HCV cell models. *Arch. Virol.* 2019, 164, 1587–1595. [CrossRef]
- Yamada, S.; Tsukamoto, S.; Huang, Y.; Makio, A.; Kumazoe, M.; Yamashita, S.; Tachibana, H. Epigallocatechin-3-O-gallate up-regulates microRNA-let-7b expression by activating 67-kDa laminin receptor signaling in melanoma cells. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 19225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 152. Zhang, R.; Yu, S.; Yu, Y.; Xu, G. (–)-Epigallocatechin-3-gallate suppresses prostate cancer cell growth via activating miR-520a-3p. *Rev. Bras. Farmacogn.* **2020**, *30*, 528–536. [CrossRef]
- 153. Zhang, C.; Liang, R.; Gan, X.; Yang, X.; Chen, L.; Jian, J. MicroRNA-384-5p/Beclin-1 As Potential Indicators For Epigallocatechin Gallate Against Cardiomyocytes Ischemia Reperfusion Injury By Inhibiting Autophagy Via PI3K/Akt Pathway. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* 2019, 13, 3607–3623. [CrossRef]
- 154. Zan, L.; Chen, Q.; Zhang, L.; Li, X. Epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) suppresses growth and tumorigenicity in breast cancer cells by downregulation of miR-25. *Bioengineered* 2019, *10*, 374–382. [CrossRef]
- 155. Gao, L.; Cheng, D.; Yang, J.; Wu, R.; Li, W.; Kong, A.-N. Sulforaphane epigenetically demethylates the CpG sites of the miR-9-3 promoter and reactivates miR-9-3 expression in human lung cancer A549 cells. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **2018**, *56*, 109–115. [CrossRef]
- 156. Yin, L.; Xiao, X.; Georgikou, C.; Luo, Y.; Liu, L.; Gladkich, J.; Gross, W.; Herr, I. Sulforaphane Induces miR135b-5p and Its Target Gene, RASAL2, thereby Inhibiting the Progression of Pancreatic Cancer. *Mol. Ther. Oncolytics* **2019**, *14*, 74–81. [CrossRef]
- 157. Feng, M.-H.; Li, J.-W.; Sun, H.-T.; He, S.-Q.; Pang, J. Sulforaphane inhibits the activation of hepatic stellate cell by miRNA-423-5p targeting suppressor of fused. *Hum. Cell* 2019, 32, 403–410. [CrossRef]
- Georgikou, C.; Yin, L.; Gladkich, J.; Xiao, X.; Sticht, C.; Torre, C.d.l.; Gretz, N.; Gross, W.; Schäfer, M.; Karakhanova, S.; et al. Inhibition of miR30a-3p by sulforaphane enhances gap junction intercellular communication in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 2020, 469, 238–245. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 159. Koolivand, M.; Ansari, M.; Piroozian, F.; Moein, S.; MalekZadeh, K. Alleviating the progression of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) by sulforaphane through controlling miR-155 levels. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2018**, *45*, 2491–2499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 160. Li, X.; Zhao, Z.; Li, M.; Liu, M.; Bahena, A.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Nambiar, C.; Liu, G. Sulforaphane promotes apoptosis, and inhibits proliferation and self-renewal of nasopharyngeal cancer cells by targeting STAT signal through miRNA-124-3p. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2018, 103, 473–481. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 161. Kim, H.-Y.; Kim, Y.-M.; Hong, S. Astaxanthin suppresses the metastasis of colon cancer by inhibiting the MYC-mediated downregulation of microRNA-29a-3p and microRNA-200a. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 9457. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 162. Kim, Y.S.; Gong, X.; Rubin, L.P.; Choi, S.-W.; Kim, Y. β-Carotene 15,15'-oxygenase inhibits cancer cell stemness and metastasis by regulating differentiation-related miRNAs in human neuroblastoma. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* **2019**, *69*, 31–43. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 163. Li, D.; Zhao, W.; Hao, J.; Ruihua, R. MicroRNA-let-7f-1 is induced by lycopene and inhibits cell proliferation and triggers apoptosis in prostate cancer. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2016**, *13*, 2708–2714. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 164. Zhang, J.; Xue, B.; Du, C.; Zhang, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Li, J. Docosahexaenoic acid supresses breast cancer cell proliferation and migration by promoting the expression of miR-99a and targeting mTOR signaling. *Arab. J. Chem.* **2021**, *14*, 103298. [CrossRef]
- 165. Bai, X.; Shao, J.; Zhou, S.; Zhao, Z.; Li, F.; Xiang, R.; Zhao, A.; Pan, J. Inhibition of lung cancer growth and metastasis by DHA and its metabolite, RvD1, through miR-138-5p/FOXC1 pathway. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* **2019**. [CrossRef]
- Dai, X.; Li, M.; Geng, F. Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Eicosapentaenoic Acid and Docosahexaenoic Acid Enhance Dexamethasone Sensitivity in Multiple Myeloma Cells by the p53/miR-34a/Bcl-2 Axis. *Biochemistry* 2017, 82, 826–833. [CrossRef]
- 167. Javadian, M.; Shekari, N.; Soltani Zangbar, M.S.; Mohammadi, A.; Mansoori, B.; Maralbashi, S.; Shanehbandi, D.; Baradaran, B.; Darabi, M.; Kazemi, T. Docosahexaenoic acid suppresses migration of triple-negative breast cancer cell through targeting metastasis-related genes and microRNA under normoxic and hypoxic conditions. J. Cell. Biochem. 2020, 121, 2416–2427. [CrossRef]
- LeMay-Nedjelski, L.; Ennis, J.; Taibi, A.; Comelli, E.; Thompson, L. Omega-3 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Time-Dependently Reduce Cell Viability and Oncogenic MicroRNA-21 Expression in Estrogen Receptor-Positive Breast Cancer Cells (MCF-7). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2018, 19, 244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yin, X.; Xu, C.; Xu, Q.; Lang, D. Docosahexaenoic acid inhibits vascular smooth muscle cell migration and proliferation by decreasing microRNA-155 expression levels. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 2020, 22, 3396–3404.
- Shekari, N.; Javadian, M.; Ghaffari, S.; Baradaran, B.; Darabi, M.; Kazemi, T. DHA Abolishes the Detrimental Effect of Docetaxel on Downregulation of the MICA via Decreasing the Expression Level of MicroRNA-20a in Gastric Cancer. J. Gastrointest. Cancer 2020, 51, 545–551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 171. Fu, Y.; Zhen, J.; Lu, Z. Synergetic Neuroprotective Effect of Docosahexaenoic Acid and Aspirin in SH-Y5Y by Inhibiting miR-21 and Activating RXRα and PPARα. DNA Cell Biol. 2017, 36, 482–489. [CrossRef]
- 172. Karkeni, E.; Bonnet, L.; Marcotorchino, J.; Tourniaire, F.; Astier, J.; Ye, J.; Landrier, J.-F. Vitamin D limits inflammation-linked microRNA expression in adipocytes in vitro and in vivo: A new mechanism for the regulation of inflammation by vitamin D. *Epigenetics* **2018**, *13*, 156–162. [CrossRef]
- 173. Giangreco, A.A.; Vaishnav, A.; Wagner, D.; Finelli, A.; Fleshner, N.; Van der Kwast, T.; Vieth, R.; Nonn, L. Tumor Suppressor microRNAs, miR-100 and -125b, Are Regulated by 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D in Primary Prostate Cells and in Patient Tissue. *Cancer Prev. Res.* **2013**, *6*, 483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 174. Khan, S.; Wall, D.; Curran, C.; Newell, J.; Kerin, M.; Dwyer, R. MicroRNA-10a is reduced in breast cancer and regulated in part through retinoic acid. *BMC Cancer* **2015**, *15*, 345. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 175. Xing, Y.; Liu, Z.; Yang, G.; Gao, D.; Niu, X. MicroRNA expression profiles in rats with selenium deficiency and the possible role of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in cardiac dysfunction. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **2015**, *35*, 143–152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. Liu, C.M.; Liang, D.; Jin, J.; Li, D.J.; Zhang, Y.C.; Gao, Z.Y.; He, Y.T. Research progress on the relationship between zinc deficiency, related microRNAs, and esophageal carcinoma. *Thorac. Cancer* **2017**, *8*, 549–557. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 177. Kirchner, B.; Pfaffl, M.W.; Dumpler, J.; von Mutius, E.; Ege, M.J. microRNA in native and processed cow's milk and its implication for the farm milk effect on asthma. *J. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2016**, 137, 1893–1895.e13. [CrossRef]
- 178. Tingö, L.; Ahlberg, E.; Johansson, L.; Pedersen, S.A.; Chawla, K.; Sætrom, P.; Cione, E.; Simpson, M.R. Non-Coding RNAs in Human Breast Milk: A Systematic Review. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, 3522. [CrossRef]
- 179. Kupsco, A.; Prada, D.; Valvi, D.; Hu, L.; Petersen, M.S.; Coull, B.; Grandjean, P.; Weihe, P.; Baccarelli, A.A. Human milk extracellular vesicle miRNA expression and associations with maternal characteristics in a population-based cohort from the Faroe Islands. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 5840. [CrossRef]
- 180. Carrillo-Lozano, E.; Sebastián-Valles, F.; Knott-Torcal, C. Circulating microRNAs in Breast Milk and Their Potential Impact on the Infant. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, 3066. [CrossRef]
- 181. Beaver, L.M.; Kuintzle, R.; Buchanan, A.; Wiley, M.W.; Glasser, S.T.; Wong, C.P.; Johnson, G.S.; Chang, J.H.; Lohr, C.V.; Williams, D.E.; et al. Long noncoding RNAs and sulforaphane: A target for chemoprevention and suppression of prostate cancer. *J. Nutr. Biochem.* 2017, 42, 72–83. [CrossRef]
- 182. Liu, C.; Lin, Y.; Xu, J.; Chu, H.; Hao, S.; Liu, X.; Song, X.; Jiang, L.; Zheng, H. Luteolin suppresses tumor progression through IncRNA BANCR and its downstream TSHR/CCND1 signaling in thyroid carcinoma. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* 2017, 10, 9591–9598. [PubMed]
- 183. Hu, D.-L.; Wang, G.; Yu, J.; Zhang, L.-H.; Huang, Y.-F.; Wang, D.; Zhou, H.-H. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate modulates long non-coding RNA and mRNA expression profiles in lung cancer cells. *Mol. Med. Rep.* **2019**, *19*, 1509–1520. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, Y.; Chen, X.; Jiang, J.; Wan, X.; Wang, Y.; Xu, P. Epigallocatechin gallate reverses gastric cancer by regulating the long noncoding RNA LINC00511/miR-29b/KDM2A axis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA Mol. Basis Dis.* 2020, 1866, 165856. [CrossRef]
- 185. Luo, Y.; Yan, B.; Liu, L.; Yin, L.; Ji, H.; An, X.; Gladkich, J.; Qi, Z.; Delatorre, C.; Herr, I. Sulforaphane Inhibits the Expression of Long Noncoding RNA H19 and its Target APOBEC3G and Thereby Pancreatic Cancer Progression. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 827. [CrossRef]

- 186. Jin, T.; Guo, Y.; Huang, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Huang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Huang, Z. Vitamin D inhibits the proliferation of Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma by suppressing lncRNA LUCAT1 through the MAPK pathway. J. Cancer 2020, 11, 5971–5981. [CrossRef]
- Wang, L.; Zhou, S.; Guo, B. Vitamin D Suppresses Ovarian Cancer Growth and Invasion by Targeting Long Non-Coding RNA CCAT2. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 2334. [CrossRef]
- 188. Zuo, S.; Wu, L.; Wang, Y.; Yuan, X. Long Non-coding RNA MEG3 Activated by Vitamin D Suppresses Glycolysis in Colorectal Cancer via Promoting c-Myc Degradation. *Front. Oncol.* **2020**, *10*, 274. [CrossRef]
- Haro, D.; Marrero, P.F.; Relat, J. Nutritional Regulation of Gene Expression: Carbohydrate-, Fat- and Amino Acid-Dependent Modulation of Transcriptional Activity. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, 1386. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 190. Lee, H.-J.; Cha, J.-Y. Recent insights into the role of ChREBP in intestinal fructose absorption and metabolism. *BMB Rep.* **2018**, *51*, 429–436. [PubMed]
- 191. Havula, E.; Hietakangas, V. Sugar sensing by ChREBP/Mondo-Mlx-new insight into downstream regulatory networks and integration of nutrient-derived signals. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **2018**, *51*, 89–96. [CrossRef]
- 192. Poupeau, A.; Postic, C. Cross-regulation of hepatic glucose metabolism via ChREBP and nuclear receptors. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2011**, *1812*, 995–1006. [CrossRef]
- 193. Meng, J.; Feng, M.; Dong, W.; Zhu, Y.; Li, Y.; Zhang, P.; Wu, L.; Li, M.; Lu, Y.; Chen, H.; et al. Identification of HNF-4α as a key transcription factor to promote ChREBP expression in response to glucose. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 23944. [CrossRef]
- 194. Aaronson, D.S.; Horvath, C.M. A road map for those who don't know JAK-STAT. Science 2002, 296, 1653–1655. [CrossRef]
- 195. Shi, H.; Kokoeva, M.V.; Inouye, K.; Tzameli, I.; Yin, H.; Flier, J.S. TLR4 links innate immunity and fatty acid-induced insulin resistance. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2006**, *116*, 3015–3025. [CrossRef]
- 196. Phillips, C.M.; Goumidi, L.; Bertrais, S.; Field, M.R.; Peloso, G.M.; Shen, J.; McManus, R.; Hercberg, S.; Lairon, D.; Planells, R.; et al. Dietary saturated fat modulates the association between STAT3 polymorphisms and abdominal obesity in adults. *J. Nutr.* 2009, 139, 2011–2017. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 197. Zou, S.; Tong, Q.; Liu, B.; Huang, W.; Tian, Y.; Fu, X. Targeting STAT3 in Cancer Immunotherapy. *Mol. Cancer* 2020, *19*, 145. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 198. Kwan, H.Y.; Liu, B.; Huang, C.; Fatima, S.; Su, T.; Zhao, X.; Ho, A.H.M.; Han, Q.; Hu, X.; Gong, R.-H.; et al. Signal transducer and activator of transcription-3 drives the high-fat diet-associated prostate cancer growth. *Cell Death Dis.* 2019, 10, 637. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tsai, Y.-S.; Maeda, N. PPARgamma: A critical determinant of body fat distribution in humans and mice. *Trends Cardiovasc. Med.* 2005, 15, 81–85. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 200. Evans, R.M.; Barish, G.D.; Wang, Y.-X. PPARs and the complex journey to obesity. *Nat. Med.* 2004, 10, 355–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chakravarthy, M.V.; Lodhi, I.J.; Yin, L.; Malapaka, R.R.V.; Xu, H.E.; Turk, J.; Semenkovich, C.F. Identification of a physiologically relevant endogenous ligand for PPARalpha in liver. *Cell* 2009, 138, 476–488. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, Y.-X.; Lee, C.-H.; Tiep, S.; Yu, R.T.; Ham, J.; Kang, H.; Evans, R.M. Peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor delta activates fat metabolism to prevent obesity. *Cell* 2003, 113, 159–170. [CrossRef]
- Herceg, Z. Epigenetics and cancer: Towards an evaluation of the impact of environmental and dietary factors. *Mutagenesis* 2007, 22, 91–103. [CrossRef]
- 204. Jump, D.B. Fatty acid regulation of gene transcription. Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci. 2004, 41, 41–78. [CrossRef]
- 205. Yue, L.; Ye, F.; Gui, C.; Luo, H.; Cai, J.; Shen, J.; Chen, K.; Shen, X.; Jiang, H. Ligand-binding regulation of LXR/RXR and LXR/PPAR heterodimerizations: SPR technology-based kinetic analysis correlated with molecular dynamics simulation. *Protein Sci.* 2005, 14, 812–822. [CrossRef]
- 206. Mangelsdorf, D.J.; Thummel, C.; Beato, M.; Herrlich, P.; Schütz, G.; Umesono, K.; Blumberg, B.; Kastner, P.; Mark, M.; Chambon, P.; et al. The nuclear receptor superfamily: The second decade. *Cell* **1995**, *83*, 835–839. [CrossRef]
- Horton, J.D.; Bashmakov, Y.; Shimomura, I.; Shimano, H. Regulation of sterol regulatory element binding proteins in livers of fasted and refed mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1998, 95, 5987–5992. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 208. Shimano, H.; Horton, J.D.; Shimomura, I.; Hammer, R.E.; Brown, M.S.; Goldstein, J.L. Isoform 1c of sterol regulatory element binding protein is less active than isoform 1a in livers of transgenic mice and in cultured cells. *J. Clin. Investig.* 1997, 99, 846–854. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 209. Zelcer, N.; Tontonoz, P. Liver X receptors as integrators of metabolic and inflammatory signaling. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2006, 116, 607–614. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 210. Martini, C.; Pallottini, V. Cholesterol: From feeding to gene regulation. Genes Nutr. 2007, 2, 181–193. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Barone, R.; Rizzo, R.; Tabbì, G.; Malaguarnera, M.; Frye, R.E.; Bastin, J. Nuclear Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptors (PPARs) as Therapeutic Targets of Resveratrol for Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 1878. [CrossRef]
- 212. Cheang, W.S.; Wong, W.T.; Wang, L.; Cheng, C.K.; Lau, C.W.; Ma, R.C.W.; Xu, A.; Wang, N.; Huang, Y.; Tian, X.Y. Resveratrol ameliorates endothelial dysfunction in diabetic and obese mice through sirtuin 1 and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor δ. *Pharmacol. Res.* **2019**, 139, 384–394. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hoang, M.-H.; Jia, Y.; Lee, J.H.; Kim, Y.; Lee, S.-J. Kaempferol reduces hepatic triglyceride accumulation by inhibiting Akt. J. Food Biochem. 2019, 43, e13034. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 214. Abdel-Moneim, A.; El-Twab, S.M.A.; Yousef, A.I.; Reheim, E.S.A.; Ashour, M.B. Modulation of hyperglycemia and dyslipidemia in experimental type 2 diabetes by gallic acid and p-coumaric acid: The role of adipocytokines and PPARγ. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2018, 105, 1091–1097. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, Z.X.; Li, Y.B.; Zhao, R.P. Epigallocatechin Gallate Attenuates β-Amyloid Generation and Oxidative Stress Involvement of PPARγ in N2a/APP695 Cells. *Neurochem. Res.* 2017, 42, 468–480. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cheng, J.; Miao, B.; Hu, K.-Q.; Fu, X.; Wang, X.-D. Apo-10'-lycopenoic acid inhibits cancer cell migration and angiogenesis and induces peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2018, 56, 26–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 217. Song, E.A.; Lim, J.W.; Kim, H. Docosahexaenoic acid inhibits IL-6 expression via PPARγ-mediated expression of catalase in cerulein-stimulated pancreatic acinar cells. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 2017, 88, 60–68. [CrossRef]
- 218. Hwang, J.-K.; Yu, H.-N.; Noh, E.-M.; Kim, J.-M.; Hong, O.-Y.; Youn, H.J.; Jung, S.H.; Kwon, K.-B.; Kim, J.-S.; Lee, Y.-R. DHA blocks TPA-induced cell invasion by inhibiting MMP-9 expression via suppression of the PPAR-γ/NF-κB pathway in MCF-7 cells. *Oncol. Lett.* 2017, 13, 243–249. [CrossRef]
- 219. Xin, F.-Z.; Zhao, Z.-H.; Zhang, R.-N.; Pan, Q.; Gong, Z.-Z.; Sun, C.; Fan, J.-G. Folic acid attenuates high-fat diet-induced steatohepatitis via deacetylase SIRT1-dependent restoration of PPARα. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2020**, *26*, 2203–2220. [CrossRef]
- 220. Guo, T.; Wang, Y.; Guo, Y.; Wu, S.; Chen, W.; Liu, N.; Wang, Y.; Geng, D. 1, 25-D(3) Protects From Cerebral Ischemia by Maintaining BBB Permeability via PPAR-γ Activation. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 2018, *12*, 480. [CrossRef]
- Hoseini, R.; Damirchi, A.; Babaei, P. Vitamin D increases PPARγ expression and promotes beneficial effects of physical activity in metabolic syndrome. *Nutrition* 2016, 36, 54–59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 222. Wu, M.; Liu, D.; Zeng, R.; Xian, T.; Lu, Y.; Zeng, G.; Sun, Z.; Huang, B.; Huang, Q. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate inhibits adipogenesis through down-regulation of PPARγ and FAS expression mediated by PI3K-AKT signaling in 3T3-L1 cells. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2017, 795, 134–142. [CrossRef]
- 223. Hawley, C.; Mankins, C.; Byrd, S.K. Effects of the Bioflavonoid Quercetin on TLR4 Expression and NFKb activation in A375 Melanoma Cells. *FASEB J.* **2020**, *34* (Suppl. 1), 1. [CrossRef]
- 224. Zhao, M.; Ma, J.; Zhu, H.Y.; Zhang, X.H.; Du, Z.Y.; Xu, Y.J.; Yu, X.D. Apigenin inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in human multiple myeloma cells through targeting the trinity of CK2, Cdc37 and Hsp90. *Mol. Cancer* **2011**, *10*, 104. [CrossRef]
- 225. De Bittencourt Pasquali, M.A.; Gelain, D.P.; Zeidán-Chuliá, F.; Pires, A.S.; Gasparotto, J.; Terra, S.R.; Moreira, J.C.F. Vitamin A (retinol) downregulates the receptor for advanced glycation endproducts (RAGE) by oxidant-dependent activation of p38 MAPK and NF-kB in human lung cancer A549 cells. *Cell. Signal.* 2013, 25, 939–954. [CrossRef]
- 226. Wongsirisin, P.; Yodkeeree, S.; Yamada, S.; Limtrakul, P. Curcumin inhibition of the effects of Tip α induced cytokine expression in gastric cancer patients. *PharmaNutrition* **2018**, *6*, 24, 100–106. [CrossRef]
- 227. Berrak, O.; Akkoc, Y.; Arisan, E.D.; Coker-Gurkan, A.; Obakan-Yerlikaya, P.; Palavan-Unsal, N. The inhibition of PI3K and NFkappaB promoted curcumin-induced cell cycle arrest at G2/M via altering polyamine metabolism in Bcl-2 overexpressing MCF-7 breast cancer cells. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2016, 77, 150–160. [CrossRef]
- 228. Fan, Z.; Yao, J.; Li, Y.; Hu, X.; Shao, H.; Tian, X. Anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effects of curcumin on acute lung injury in a rodent model of intestinal ischemia reperfusion by inhibiting the pathway of NF-Kb. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* 2015, *8*, 3451–3459.
- 229. Mishra, A.; Kumar, R.; Tyagi, A.; Kohaar, I.; Hedau, S.; Bharti, A.C.; Sarker, S.; Dey, D.; Saluja, D.; Das, B. Curcumin modulates cellular AP-1, NF-kB, and HPV16 E6 proteins in oral cancer. *Ecancermedicalscience* **2015**, *9*, 525. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 230. Li, W.; Suwanwela, N.C.; Patumraj, S. Curcumin by down-regulating NF-kB and elevating Nrf2, reduces brain edema and neurological dysfunction after cerebral I/R. *Microvasc. Res.* 2016, 106, 117–127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rasheduzzaman, M.; Jeong, J.-K.; Park, S.-Y. Resveratrol sensitizes lung cancer cell to TRAIL by p53 independent and suppression of Akt/NF-κB signaling. *Life Sci.* 2018, 208, 208–220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 232. Chekalina, N.; Burmak, Y.; Petrov, Y.; Borisova, Z.; Manusha, Y.; Kazakov, Y.; Kaidashev, I. Quercetin reduces the transcriptional activity of NF-kB in stable coronary artery disease. *Indian Heart J.* 2018, *70*, 593–597. [CrossRef]
- 233. Chen, T.; Zhang, X.; Zhu, G.; Liu, H.; Chen, J.; Wang, Y.; He, X. Quercetin inhibits TNF-α induced HUVECs apoptosis and inflammation via downregulating NF-kB and AP-1 signaling pathway in vitro. *Medicine* **2020**, *99*, e22241. [CrossRef]
- 234. Zhu, M.; Zhou, X.; Zhao, J. Quercetin prevents alcohol-induced liver injury through targeting of PI3K/Akt/nuclear factor-κB and STAT3 signaling pathway. *Exp. Ther. Med.* **2017**, *14*, 6169–6175. [CrossRef]
- 235. Tong, J.; Shen, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Hu, Y.; Zhang, X.; Han, L. Apigenin inhibits epithelial-mesenchymal transition of human colon cancer cells through NF-κB/Snail signaling pathway. *Biosci. Rep.* **2019**, *39*, BSR20190452. [CrossRef]
- 236. Xia, Y.; Yuan, M.; Li, S.; Thuan, U.T.; Nguyen, T.T.; Kang, T.W.; Liao, W.; Lian, S.; Jung, Y.D. Apigenin Suppresses the IL-1β-Induced Expression of the Urokinase-Type Plasminogen Activator Receptor by Inhibiting MAPK-Mediated AP-1 and NF-κB Signaling in Human Bladder Cancer T24 Cells. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2018, 66, 7663–7673. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 237. Seo, H.-S.; Choi, H.-S.; Kim, S.-R.; Choi, Y.K.; Woo, S.-M.; Shin, I.; Woo, J.-K.; Park, S.-Y.; Shin, Y.C.; Ko, S.-K. Apigenin induces apoptosis via extrinsic pathway, inducing p53 and inhibiting STAT3 and NFκB signaling in HER2-overexpressing breast cancer cells. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 2012, 366, 319–334. [CrossRef]
- Ai, X.-Y.; Qin, Y.; Liu, H.-J.; Cui, Z.-H.; Li, M.; Yang, J.-H.; Zhong, W.-L.; Liu, Y.-R.; Chen, S.; Sun, T.; et al. Apigenin inhibits colonic inflammation and tumorigenesis by suppressing STAT3-NF-κB signaling. *Oncotarget* 2017, 8, 100216–100226. [CrossRef]

- 239. Liu, Z.; Yao, X.; Sun, B.; Jiang, W.; Liao, C.; Dai, X.; Chen, Y.; Chen, J.; Ding, R. Pretreatment with kaempferol attenuates microglia-mediate neuroinflammation by inhibiting MAPKs–NF–κB signaling pathway and pyroptosis after secondary spinal cord injury. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2021**, *168*, 142–154. [CrossRef]
- 240. Chen, H.-Y.; Jiang, Y.-W.; Kuo, C.-L.; Way, T.-D.; Chou, Y.-C.; Chang, Y.-S.; Chung, J.-G. Chrysin inhibit human melanoma A375.S2 cell migration and invasion via affecting MAPK signaling and NF-κB signaling pathway in vitro. *Environ. Toxicol.* **2019**, *34*, 434–442. [CrossRef]
- 241. Liang, Y.; Feng, G.; Wu, L.; Zhong, S.; Gao, X.; Tong, Y.; Cui, W.; Qin, Y.; Xu, W.; Xiao, X.; et al. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester suppressed growth and metastasis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells by inactivating the NF-κB pathway. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* 2019, 13, 1335–1345. [CrossRef]
- 242. Liu, M.; Li, F.; Huang, Y.; Zhou, T.; Chen, S.; Li, G.; Shi, J.; Dong, N.; Xu, K. Caffeic Acid Phenethyl Ester Ameliorates Calcification by Inhibiting Activation of the AKT/NF-κB/NLRP3 Inflammasome Pathway in Human Aortic Valve Interstitial Cells. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2020**, *11*, 826. [CrossRef]
- 243. Li, L.; Sun, W.; Wu, T.; Lu, R.; Shi, B. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester attenuates lipopolysaccharide-stimulated proinflammatory responses in human gingival fibroblasts via NF-κB and PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2017, 794, 61–68. [CrossRef]
- 244. Jia, Y.; Jiang, S.; Chen, C.; Lu, G.; Xie, Y.; Sun, X.; Huang, L. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester attenuates nuclear factor-κB-mediated inflammatory responses in Müller cells and protects against retinal ganglion cell death. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 2019, 19, 4863–4871. [PubMed]
- 245. Cheng, H.; Zhang, Y.; Lu, W.; Gao, X.; Xu, C.; Bao, H. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester attenuates neuropathic pain by suppressing the p38/NF-κB signal pathway in microglia. *J. Pain Res.* 2018, *11*, 2709–2719. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 246. Liu, G.-L.; Han, N.-Z.; Liu, S.-S. Caffeic acid phenethyl ester inhibits the progression of ovarian cancer by regulating NF-κB signaling. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2018**, *99*, 825–831. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 247. Fratantonio, D.; Speciale, A.; Canali, R.; Natarelli, L.; Ferrari, D.; Saija, A.; Virgili, F.; Cimino, F. Low nanomolar caffeic acid attenuates high glucose-induced endothelial dysfunction in primary human umbilical-vein endothelial cells by affecting NF-κB and Nrf2 pathways. *BioFactors* **2017**, *43*, 54–62. [CrossRef]
- 248. Qu, Z.; Jia, L.; Xie, T.; Zhen, J.; Si, P.; Cui, Z.; Xue, Y.; Sun, C.; Wang, W. (–)-Epigallocatechin-3-Gallate Protects Against Lithium-Pilocarpine-Induced Epilepsy by Inhibiting the Toll-Like Receptor 4 (TLR4)/Nuclear Factor-κB (NF-κB) Signaling Pathway. *Med Sci. Monit. Int. Med J. Exp. Clin. Res.* 2019, 25, 1749–1758. [CrossRef]
- 249. Zhang, L.; Xie, J.; Gan, R.; Wu, Z.; Luo, H.; Chen, X.; Lu, Y.; Wu, L.; Zheng, D. Synergistic inhibition of lung cancer cells by EGCG and NF-κB inhibitor BAY11-7082. *J. Cancer* 2019, *10*, 6543–6556. [CrossRef]
- 250. Xu, C.; Shen, G.; Chen, C.; Gelinas, C.; Kong, A.N. Suppression of NF-kappaB and NF-kappaB-regulated gene expression by sulforaphane and PEITC through IkappaBalpha, IKK pathway in human prostate cancer PC-3 cells. *Oncogene* 2005, 24, 4486–4495. [CrossRef]
- 251. Jeong, Y.; Lim, J.; Kim, H. Lycopene Inhibits Reactive Oxygen Species-Mediated NF-κB Signaling and Induces Apoptosis in Pancreatic Cancer Cells. *Nutrients* 2019, 11, 762. [CrossRef]
- Assar, E.; Vidalle, M.; Chopra, M.; Hafizi, S. Lycopene acts through inhibition of IkB kinase to suppress NF-kB signaling in human prostate and breast cancer cells. *Tumor Biol.* 2016, 37, 9375–9385. [CrossRef]
- 253. Enguita, M.; Razquin, N.; Pamplona, R.; Quiroga, J.; Prieto, J.; Fortes, P. The cirrhotic liver is depleted of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), a key modulator of NF-κB and TGFβ pathways in hepatic stellate cells. *Cell Death Dis.* **2019**, *10*, 14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 254. Park, M.; Lim, J.W.; Kim, H. Docoxahexaenoic Acid Induces Apoptosis of Pancreatic Cancer Cells by Suppressing Activation of STAT3 and NF-κB. *Nutrients* 2018, 10, 1621. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 255. Bagherieh, M.; Kheirollahi, A.; Zamani-Garmsiri, F.; Emamgholipour, S.; Meshkani, R. Folic acid ameliorates palmitate-induced inflammation through decreasing homocysteine and inhibiting NF-κB pathway in HepG2 cells. *Arch. Physiol. Biochem.* **2021**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 256. Gasparian, A.V.; Yao, Y.J.; Lü, J.; Yemelyanov, A.Y.; Lyakh, L.A.; Slaga, T.J.; Budunova, I.V. Selenium Compounds Inhibit IκB Kinase (IKK) and Nuclear Factor-κB (NF-κB) in Prostate Cancer Cells 1 Supported by Department of Defense Prostate Cancer Research Program DAMD17-01-1-0015. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 2002, *1*, 1079. [PubMed]
- 257. Christensen, M.; Nartey, E.; Hada, A.; Legg, R.; Barzee, B. High Selenium Reduces NF-κB-Regulated Gene Expression in Uninduced Human Prostate Cancer Cells. *Nutr. Cancer* **2007**, *58*, 197–204. [CrossRef]
- 258. Chen, Y.-C.; Sosnoski, D.; Gandhi, U.; Novinger, L.; Prabhu, K.S.; Mastro, A. Selenium modifies the osteoblast inflammatory stress response to bone metastatic breast cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2009, *30*, 1941–1948. [CrossRef]
- Faure, P.; Ramon, O.; Favier, A.; Halimi, S. Selenium supplementation decreases nuclear factor-kappa B activity in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from type 2 diabetic patients. *Eur. J. Clin. Investig.* 2004, 34, 475–481. [CrossRef]
- 260. Crispen, P.L.; Uzzo, R.G.; Golovine, K.; Makhov, P.; Pollack, A.; Horwitz, E.M.; Greenberg, R.E.; Kolenko, V.M. Vitamin E succinate inhibits NF-κB and prevents the development of a metastatic phenotype in prostate cancer cells: Implications for chemoprevention. *Prostate* 2007, 67, 582–590. [CrossRef]
- Wang, G.; Song, X.; Zhao, L.; Li, Z.; Liu, B. Resveratrol Prevents Diabetic Cardiomyopathy by Increasing Nrf2 Expression and Transcriptional Activity. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2018, 2018, 2150218. [CrossRef]

- Zhang, J.; Zhao, X.; Zhu, H.; Wang, J.; Ma, J.; Gu, M. Apigenin Protects Against Renal Tubular Epithelial Cell Injury and Oxidative Stress by High Glucose via Regulation of NF-E2-Related Factor 2 (Nrf2) Pathway. *Med Sci. Monit. Int. Med J. Exp. Clin. Res.* 2019, 25, 5280–5288. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, B.; Wang, J.; Zhao, G.; Lin, M.; Lang, Y.; Zhang, D.; Feng, D.; Tu, C. Apigenin protects human melanocytes against oxidative damage by activation of the Nrf2 pathway. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 2020, 25, 277–285. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 264. Kang, K.; Piao, M.; Hyun, Y.; Zhen, A.X.; Cho, S.; Ahn, M.; Yi, J.; Hyun, J. Luteolin promotes apoptotic cell death via upregulation of Nrf2 expression by DNA demethylase and the interaction of Nrf2 with p53 in human colon cancer cells. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 2019, 51, 1–14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 265. Zuo, Q.; Wu, R.; Xiao, X.; Yang, C.; Yang, A.; Wang, C.; Lin, L.; Kong, A.-N. The dietary flavone luteolin epigenetically activates the Nrf2 pathway and blocks cell transformation in human colorectal cancer HCT116 cells. *J. Cell. Biochem.* 2018, 119, 9573–9582. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 266. Yang, H.; Liu, B.-F.; Xie, F.-J.; Yang, W.-L.; Cao, N. Luteolin induces mitochondrial apoptosis in HT29 cells by inhibiting the Nrf2/ARE signaling pathway. *Exp. Ther. Med.* 2020, 19, 2179–2187. [CrossRef]
- Sampath, C.; Rashid, M.R.; Sang, S.; Ahmedna, M. Green tea epigallocatechin 3-gallate alleviates hyperglycemia and reduces advanced glycation end products via nrf2 pathway in mice with high fat diet-induced obesity. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2017, *87*, 73–81. [CrossRef]
- 268. Enkhbat, T.; Nishi, M.; Yoshikawa, K.; Jun, H.; Tokunaga, T.; Takasu, C.; Kashihara, H.; Ishikawa, D.; Tominaga, M.; Shimada, M. Epigallocatechin-3-gallate Enhances Radiation Sensitivity in Colorectal Cancer Cells Through Nrf2 Activation and Autophagy. *Anticancer Res.* 2018, 38, 6247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 269. Rivera-Pérez, J.; Martínez-Rosas, M.; Conde-Castañón, C.A.; Toscano-Garibay, J.D.; Ruiz-Pérez, N.J.; Flores, P.L.; Mera Jiménez, E.; Flores-Estrada, J. Epigallocatechin 3-Gallate Has a Neuroprotective Effect in Retinas of Rabbits with Ischemia/Reperfusion through the Activation of Nrf2/HO-1. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, *21*, 3716. [CrossRef]
- 270. Zhou, J.-W.; Wang, M.; Sun, N.-X.; Qing, Y.; Yin, T.-F.; Li, C.; Wu, D. Sulforaphane-induced epigenetic regulation of Nrf2 expression by DNA methyltransferase in human Caco-2 cells. *Oncol. Lett.* **2019**, *18*, 2639–2647. [CrossRef]
- 271. Zhao, F.; Zhang, J.-L.; Chang, N. Epigenetic modification of Nrf2 by sulforaphane increases the antioxidative and antiinflammatory capacity in a cellular model of Alzheimer's disease. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *824*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, W.; Ding, Y.; Kong, W.; Li, T.; Chen, H. Docosahexaenoic Acid (DHA) Provides Neuroprotection in Traumatic Brain Injury Models via Activating Nrf2-ARE Signaling. *Inflammation* 2018, 41, 1182–1193. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, G.; Xiu, P.; Li, F.; Xin, C.; Li, K. Vitamin A Supplementation Alleviates Extrahepatic Cholestasis Liver Injury through Nrf2 Activation. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev. 2014, 2014, 273692. [CrossRef]
- 274. Fang, J.; Yin, H.; Yang, Z.; Tan, M.; Wang, F.; Chen, K.; Zuo, Z.; Shu, G.; Cui, H.; Ouyang, P.; et al. Vitamin E protects against cadmium-induced sub-chronic liver injury associated with the inhibition of oxidative stress and activation of Nrf2 pathway. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2021, 208, 111610. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 275. Mahmoudi, S.; Ghorbani, M.; Sabzichi, M.; Ramezani, F.; Hamishehkar, H.; Samadi, N. Targeted hyaluronic acid-based lipid nanoparticle for apigenin delivery to induce Nrf2-dependent apoptosis in lung cancer cells. J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol. 2019, 49, 268–276. [CrossRef]
- 276. Li, L.; Luo, W.; Qian, Y.; Zhu, W.; Qian, J.; Li, J.; Jin, Y.; Xu, X.; Liang, G. Luteolin protects against diabetic cardiomyopathy by inhibiting NF-κB-mediated inflammation and activating the Nrf2-mediated antioxidant responses. *Phytomedicine* 2019, 59, 152774. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 277. Fouzder, C.; Mukhuty, A.; Kundu, R. Kaempferol inhibits Nrf2 signalling pathway via downregulation of Nrf2 mRNA and induces apoptosis in NSCLC cells. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2021**, 697, 108700. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 278. Sabzichi, M.; Mohammadian, J.; Bazzaz, R.; Pirouzpanah, M.; Shaaker, M.; Hamishehkar, H.; Chavoshi, H.; Salehi, R.; Samadi, N. Chrysin loaded nanostructured lipid carriers (NLCs) triggers apoptosis in MCF-7 cancer cells by inhibiting the Nrf2 pathway. *Process Biochem.* 2017, 60, 84–91. [CrossRef]
- 279. Wang, J.; Wang, H.; Sun, K.; Wang, X.; Pan, H.; Zhu, J.; Ji, X.; Li, X. Chrysin suppresses proliferation, migration, and invasion in glioblastoma cell lines via mediating the ERK/Nrf2 signaling pathway. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* **2018**, *12*, 721–733. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, J.; Li, X.; Wei, J.a.; Chen, H.; Lu, Y.; Li, L.; Han, L.; Lu, C. Gallic acid inhibits the expression of keratin 16 and keratin 17 through Nrf2 in psoriasis-like skin disease. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 2018, 65, 84–95. [CrossRef]
- 281. Radan, M.; Dianat, M.; Badavi, M.; Mard, S.A.; Bayati, V.; Goudarzi, G. In vivo and in vitro evidence for the involvement of Nrf2-antioxidant response element signaling pathway in the inflammation and oxidative stress induced by particulate matter (PM10): The effective role of gallic acid. *Free Radic. Res.* 2019, 53, 210–225. [CrossRef]
- Dworski, R.; Han, W.; Blackwell, T.S.; Hoskins, A.; Freeman, M.L. Vitamin E prevents NRF2 suppression by allergens in asthmatic alveolar macrophages in vivo. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 2011, 51, 516–521. [CrossRef]
- 283. Wang, S.; Nie, P.; Lu, X.; Li, C.; Dong, X.; Yang, F.; Luo, P.; Li, B. Nrf2 participates in the anti-apoptotic role of zinc in Type 2 diabetic nephropathy through Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2020, 84, 108451. [CrossRef]
- 284. Zhang, T.; Zhao, L.; Zhang, T.; Wu, W.; Liu, J.; Wang, X.; Wan, Y.; Geng, H.; Sun, X.; Qian, W.; et al. Curcumin Negatively Regulates Cigarette Smoke-Induced Renal Cell Carcinoma Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition Through the ERK5/AP-1 Pathway. *OncoTargets Ther.* **2020**, *13*, 9689–9700. [CrossRef]

- 285. Liu, Z.; Liu, J.; Zhao, L.; Geng, H.; Ma, J.; Zhang, Z.; Yu, D.; Zhong, C. Curcumin reverses benzidine-induced epithelialmesenchymal transition via suppression of ERK5/AP-1 in SV-40 immortalized human urothelial cells. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2017, 50, 1321–1329. [CrossRef]
- 286. Pang, J.-H.S.; Yen, J.-H.; Wu, H.-T.; Huang, S.-T. Gallic Acid Inhibited Matrix Invasion and AP-1/ETS-1-Mediated MMP-1 Transcription in Human Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, *18*, 1354. [CrossRef]
- 287. Chuang, C.-H.; Huang, C.-S.; Hu, M.-L. Vitamin E and rutin synergistically inhibit expression of vascular endothelial growth factor through down-regulation of binding activity of activator protein-1 in human promyelocytic leukemia (HL-60) cells. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* **2009**, *183*, 434–441. [CrossRef]
- 288. Uzzo, R.; Crispen, P.; Golovine, K.; Makhov, P.; Horwitz, E.; Kolenko, V. Diverse effects of zinc on NF-κB and AP-1 transcription factors: Implications for prostate cancer progression. *Carcinogenesis* **2006**, *27*, 1980–1990. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 289. Lu, K.-H.; Wu, H.-H.; Lin, R.-C.; Lin, Y.-C.; Lu, P.W.-A.; Yang, S.-F.; Yang, J.-S. Curcumin Analogue L48H37 Suppresses Human Osteosarcoma U2OS and MG-63 Cells' Migration and Invasion in Culture by Inhibition of uPA via the JAK/STAT Signaling Pathway. *Molecules* 2020, *26*, 30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Petiti, J.; Rosso, V.; Lo Iacono, M.; Panuzzo, C.; Calabrese, C.; Signorino, E.; Pironi, L.; Cartellà, A.; Bracco, E.; Pergolizzi, B.; et al. Curcumin induces apoptosis in JAK2-mutated cells by the inhibition of JAK2/STAT and mTORC1 pathways. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* 2019, 23, 4349–4357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 291. Peng, L.; Jiang, D. Resveratrol eliminates cancer stem cells of osteosarcoma by STAT3 pathway inhibition. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0205918. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, D.; Wang, G.; Jin, G.; Yao, K.; Zhao, Z.; Bie, L.; Guo, Y.; Li, N.; Deng, W.; Chen, X.; et al. Resveratrol suppresses colon cancer growth by targeting the AKT/STAT3 signaling pathway. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 2019, 43, 630–640. [CrossRef]
- 293. Zhong, L.-X.; Nie, J.-H.; Liu, J.; Lin, L.-Z. Correlation of ARHI upregulation with growth suppression and STAT3 inactivation in resveratrol-treated ovarian cancer cells. *Cancer Biomark.* 2018, 21, 787–795. [CrossRef]
- 294. Sun, X.; Xu, Q.; Zeng, L.; Xie, L.; Zhao, Q.; Xu, H.; Wang, X.; Jiang, N.; Fu, P.; Sang, M. Resveratrol suppresses the growth and metastatic potential of cervical cancer by inhibiting STAT3(Tyr705) phosphorylation. *Cancer Med.* 2020, *9*, 8685–8700. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 295. Wu, L.; Li, J.; Liu, T.; Li, S.; Feng, J.; Yu, Q.; Zhang, J.; Chen, J.; Zhou, Y.; Ji, J.; et al. Quercetin shows anti-tumor effect in hepatocellular carcinoma LM3 cells by abrogating JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway. *Cancer Med.* 2019, *8*, 4806–4820. [CrossRef]
- 296. Omar, H.; Obaya, E.; Sabry, D.; Abdelkader, A.; Maher, M.; Mekawy, D. Apigenin inhibits proliferation of hepatocellular carcinoma cell by upregulation of cleaved caspases-3/8 and downregulation of pSTAT-3/pJAK-1/pJAK-2. *Gene Rep.* 2020, 21, 100964. [CrossRef]
- 297. Seo, H.-S.; Ku, J.M.; Choi, H.S.; Woo, J.-K.; Lee, B.H.; Kim, D.S.; Song, H.J.; Jang, B.-H.; Shin, Y.C.; Ko, S.-G. Apigenin overcomes drug resistance by blocking the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 signaling in breast cancer cells. *Oncol. Rep.* 2017, 38,715–724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 298. Maeda, Y.; Takahashi, H.; Nakai, N.; Yanagita, T.; Ando, N.; Okubo, T.; Saito, K.; Shiga, K.; Hirokawa, T.; Hara, M.; et al. Apigenin induces apoptosis by suppressing Bcl-xl and Mcl-1 simultaneously via signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 signaling in colon cancer. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2018, 52, 1661–1673. [CrossRef]
- 299. Su, T.; Huang, C.; Yang, C.; Jiang, T.; Su, J.; Chen, M.; Fatima, S.; Gong, R.; Hu, X.; Bian, Z.; et al. Apigenin inhibits STAT3/CD36 signaling axis and reduces visceral obesity. *Pharmacol. Res.* 2020, *152*, 104586. [CrossRef]
- 300. Song, S.; Su, Z.; Xu, H.; Niu, M.; Chen, X.; Min, H.; Zhang, B.; Sun, G.; Xie, S.; Wang, H.; et al. Correction: Luteolin selectively kills STAT3 highly activated gastric cancer cells through enhancing the binding of STAT3 to SHP-1. *Cell Death Dis.* 2018, 9, 787. [CrossRef]
- 301. Kato, H.; Naiki-Ito, A.; Suzuki, S.; Inaguma, S.; Komura, M.; Nakao, K.; Naiki, T.; Kachi, K.; Kato, A.; Matsuo, Y.; et al. DPYD, down-regulated by the potentially chemopreventive agent luteolin, interacts with STAT3 in pancreatic cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 2021, 42(7), 940–950. [CrossRef]
- Cummins, C.B.; Wang, X.; Nunez Lopez, O.; Graham, G.; Tie, H.-Y.; Zhou, J.; Radhakrishnan, R.S. Luteolin-Mediated Inhibition of Hepatic Stellate Cell Activation via Suppression of the STAT3 Pathway. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, 19, 1567. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 303. Sonoki, H.; Tanimae, A.; Endo, S.; Matsunaga, T.; Furuta, T.; Ichihara, K.; Ikari, A. Kaempherol and Luteolin Decrease Claudin-2 Expression Mediated by Inhibition of STAT3 in Lung Adenocarcinoma A549 Cells. *Nutrients* **2017**, *9*, 597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 304. Zhang, M.; He, L.; Liu, J.; Zhou, L. Luteolin Attenuates Diabetic Nephropathy through Suppressing Inflammatory Response and Oxidative Stress by Inhibiting STAT3 Pathway. *Exp. Clin. Endocrinol. Diabetes* **2020**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 305. Xu, Y.; Tong, Y.; Ying, J.; Lei, Z.; Wan, L.; Zhu, X.; Ye, F.; Mao, P.; Wu, X.; Pan, R.; et al. Chrysin induces cell growth arrest, apoptosis, and ER stress and inhibits the activation of STAT3 through the generation of ROS in bladder cancer cells. *Oncol. Lett.* 2018, 15, 9117–9125. [CrossRef]
- 306. Zhang, T.; Ma, L.; Wu, P.; Li, W.; Li, T.; Gu, R.; Dan, X.; Li, Z.; Fan, X.; Xiao, Z. Gallic acid has anticancer activity and enhances the anticancer effects of cisplatin in non-small cell lung cancer A549 cells via the JAK/STAT3 signaling pathway. Oncol. Rep. 2019, 41, 1779–1788. [CrossRef]
- 307. Tasaki, S.; Horiguchi, A.; Asano, T.; Ito, K.; Asano, T.; Asakura, H. Docosahexaenoic acid inhibits the phosphorylation of STAT3 and the growth and invasion of renal cancer cells. *Exp. Ther. Med.* **2017**, *14*, 1146–1152. [CrossRef]

- 308. D'Eliseo, D.; Di Renzo, L.; Santoni, A.; Velotti, F. Docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) promotes immunogenic apoptosis in human multiple myeloma cells, induces autophagy and inhibits STAT3 in both tumor and dendritic cells. *Genes cancer* 2017, *8*, 426–437. [CrossRef]
- Miao, Z.; Yu, F.; Ren, Y.; Yang, J. d,l-Sulforaphane Induces ROS-Dependent Apoptosis in Human Gliomablastoma Cells by Inactivating STAT3 Signaling Pathway. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2017, 18, 72. [CrossRef]
- 310. Fu, H.; Wang, C.; Yang, D.; Wei, Z.; Xu, J.; Hu, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, W.; Yan, R.; Cai, Q. Curcumin regulates proliferation, autophagy, and apoptosis in gastric cancer cells by affecting PI3K and P53 signaling. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 2018, 233, 4634–4642. [CrossRef]
- 311. Sidhar, H.; Giri, R.K. Induction of Bex genes by curcumin is associated with apoptosis and activation of p53 in N2a neuroblastoma cells. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 41420. [CrossRef]
- 312. Xu, S.; Yang, Z.; Fan, Y.; Guan, B.; Jia, J.; Gao, Y.; Wang, K.; Wu, K.; Wang, X.; Zheng, P.; et al. Curcumin enhances temsirolimusinduced apoptosis in human renal carcinoma cells through upregulation of YAP/p53. Oncol. Lett. 2016, 12, 4999–5006. [CrossRef]
- 313. Singh, S.K.; Banerjee, S.; Acosta, E.P.; Lillard, J.W.; Singh, R. Resveratrol induces cell cycle arrest and apoptosis with docetaxel in prostate cancer cells via a p53/ p21WAF1/CIP1 and p27KIP1 pathway. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 17216–17228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 314. Wang, D.; Gao, Z.; Zhang, X. Resveratrol Induces Apoptosis in Murine Prostate Cancer Cells via Hypoxia-Inducible Factor 1-alpha (HIF-1α)/Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)/P53 Signaling. *Med Sci. Monit. Int. Med J. Exp. Clin. Res.* 2018, 24, 8970–8976. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 315. Liu, Z.; Wu, X.; Lv, J.; Sun, H.; Zhou, F. Resveratrol induces p53 in colorectal cancer through SET7/9. *Oncol. Lett.* 2019, 17, 3783–3789. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 316. Zhang, B.; Yin, X.; Sui, S. Resveratrol inhibited the progression of human hepatocellular carcinoma by inducing autophagy via regulating p53 and the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/protein kinase B pathway. Oncol. Rep. 2018, 40, 2758–2765. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 317. Clark, P.A.; Bhattacharya, S.; Elmayan, A.; Darjatmoko, S.R.; Thuro, B.A.; Yan, M.B.; van Ginkel, P.R.; Polans, A.S.; Kuo, J.S. Resveratrol targeting of AKT and p53 in glioblastoma and glioblastoma stem-like cells to suppress growth and infiltration. *J. Neurosurg.* 2017, 126, 1448–1460. [CrossRef]
- 318. Liontas, A.; Yeger, H. Curcumin and resveratrol induce apoptosis and nuclear translocation and activation of p53 in human neuroblastoma. *Anticancer Res.* 2004, 24, 87–98.
- 319. Shih, A.; Davis, F.B.; Lin, H.Y.; Davis, P.J. Resveratrol induces apoptosis in thyroid cancer cell lines via a MAPK- and p53dependent mechanism. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* **2002**, *87*, 1223–1232. [CrossRef]
- 320. Kuo, P.L.; Lin, C.C. Green tea constituent (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate inhibits Hep G2 cell proliferation and induces apoptosis through p53-dependent and Fas-mediated pathways. J. Biomed. Sci. 2003, 10, 219–227. [PubMed]
- 321. Karagül, M.İ.; Aktaş, S.; Yetkin, D.; Bayrak, G.; Çelikcan, D. P53, Bcl2 and Bax Expression and Apoptosis in Perifosine and Vitamin D-Treated Endometrial Cancer Cell Line (HEC1A). *Proceedings* **2018**, *2*, 1564. [CrossRef]
- 322. Yu, T.; Wang, Z.; You, X.; Zhou, H.; He, W.; Li, B.; Xia, J.; Zhu, H.; Zhao, Y.; Yu, G.; et al. Resveratrol promotes osteogenesis and alleviates osteoporosis by inhibiting p53. *Aging* **2020**, *12*, 10359–10369. [CrossRef]
- 323. Ferraz da Costa, D.C.; Campos, N.P.C.; Santos, R.A.; Guedes-da-Silva, F.H.; Martins-Dinis, M.M.D.C.; Zanphorlin, L.; Ramos, C.; Rangel, L.P.; Silva, J.L. Resveratrol prevents p53 aggregation in vitro and in breast cancer cells. *Oncotarget* 2018, 9, 29112–29122. [CrossRef]
- 324. Diao, Q.X.; Zhang, J.Z.; Zhao, T.; Xue, F.; Gao, F.; Ma, S.M.; Wang, Y. Vitamin E promotes breast cancer cell proliferation by reducing ROS production and p53 expression. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* **2016**, *20*, 2710–2717.
- 325. Hellsten, S.V.; Tripathi, R.; Ceder, M.M.; Fredriksson, R. Nutritional Stress Induced by Amino Acid Starvation Results in Changes for Slc38 Transporters in Immortalized Hypothalamic Neuronal Cells and Primary Cortex Cells. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 2018, *5*, 45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 326. Anthony, T.G.; McDaniel, B.J.; Byerley, R.L.; McGrath, B.C.; Cavener, D.R.; McNurlan, M.A.; Wek, R.C. Preservation of liver protein synthesis during dietary leucine deprivation occurs at the expense of skeletal muscle mass in mice deleted for eIF2 kinase GCN2. J. Biol. Chem. 2004, 279, 36553–36561. [CrossRef]
- 327. Costa-Mattioli, M.; Walter, P. The integrated stress response: From mechanism to disease. *Science* **2020**, *368*, eaat5314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bogorad, A.M.; Lin, K.Y.; Marintchev, A. eIF2B Mechanisms of Action and Regulation: A Thermodynamic View. *Biochemistry* 2018, 57, 1426–1435. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 329. Shan, J.; Ord, D.; Ord, T.; Kilberg, M.S. Elevated ATF4 expression, in the absence of other signals, is sufficient for transcriptional induction via CCAAT enhancer-binding protein-activating transcription factor response elements. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2009, 284, 21241–21248. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kilberg, M.S.; Balasubramanian, M.; Fu, L.; Shan, J. The transcription factor network associated with the amino acid response in mammalian cells. *Adv. Nutr.* 2012, *3*, 295–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sensing, Signaling and Cell Adaptation, 1st ed. eBook. Volume 3. Available online: https://www.elsevier.com/books/sensingsignaling-and-cell-adaptation/storey/978-0-444-51147-8 (accessed on 13 October 2021).
- 332. *Nutrient Metabolism*, 2nd ed. eBook. Available online: https://www.elsevier.com/books/nutrient-metabolism/kohlmeier/978-0-12-387784-0 (accessed on 13 October 2021).

- 333. Meeran, S.M.; Katiyar, S.K. Cell cycle control as a basis for cancer chemoprevention through dietary agents. *Front. Biosci. J. Virtual Libr.* **2008**, *13*, 2191–2202. [CrossRef]
- 334. Anand, P.; Thomas, S.G.; Kunnumakkara, A.B.; Sundaram, C.; Harikumar, K.B.; Sung, B.; Tharakan, S.T.; Misra, K.; Priyadarsini, I.K.; Rajasekharan, K.N.; et al. Biological activities of curcumin and its analogues (Congeners) made by man and Mother Nature. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2008, 76, 1590–1611. [CrossRef]
- Shankar, S.; Ganapathy, S.; Chen, Q.; Srivastava, R.K. Curcumin sensitizes TRAIL-resistant xenografts: Molecular mechanisms of apoptosis, metastasis and angiogenesis. *Mol. Cancer* 2008, 7, 16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 336. Shi, R.-X.; Ong, C.-N.; Shen, H.-M. Protein kinase C inhibition and x-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein degradation contribute to the sensitization effect of luteolin on tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand-induced apoptosis in cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 2005, 65, 7815–7823. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 337. Shishodia, S.; Aggarwal, B.B. Guggulsterone inhibits NF-kappaB and IkappaBalpha kinase activation, suppresses expression of anti-apoptotic gene products, and enhances apoptosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2004**, 279, 47148–47158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 338. Singh, V.; Belloir, C.; Siess, M.H.; Le Bon, A.M. Inhibition of carcinogen-induced DNA damage in rat liver and colon by garlic powders with varying alliin content. *Nutr. Cancer* 2006, 55, 178–184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 339. Calder, P.C. Polyunsaturated fatty acids and inflammation. Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2005, 33, 423–427. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 340. Kwon, K.H.; Barve, A.; Yu, S.; Huang, M.T.; Kong, A.N. Cancer chemoprevention by phytochemicals: Potential molecular targets, biomarkers and animal models. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* **2007**, *28*, 1409–1421. [CrossRef]
- 341. Yang, F.; Oz, H.S.; Barve, S.; de Villiers, W.J.; McClain, C.J.; Varilek, G.W. The green tea polyphenol (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate blocks nuclear factor-kappa B activation by inhibiting I kappa B kinase activity in the intestinal epithelial cell line IEC-6. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2001, 60, 528–533.
- 342. Shen, G.; Jeong, W.-S.; Hu, R.; Kong, A.-N.T. Regulation of Nrf2, NF-kappaB, and AP-1 signaling pathways by chemopreventive agents. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 2005, 7, 1648–1663. [CrossRef]
- Hess, J.; Angel, P.; Schorpp-Kistner, M. AP-1 subunits: Quarrel and harmony among siblings. J. Cell Sci. 2004, 117, 5965–5973. [CrossRef]
- 344. Jeong, W.-S.; Kim, I.-W.; Hu, R.; Kong, A.-N.T. Modulation of AP-1 by natural chemopreventive compounds in human colon HT-29 cancer cell line. *Pharm. Res.* **2004**, *21*, 649–660. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 345. Granado-Serrano, A.B.; Martín, M.A.; Haegeman, G.; Goya, L.; Bravo, L.; Ramos, S. Epicatechin induces NF-kappaB, activator protein-1 (AP-1) and nuclear transcription factor erythroid 2p45-related factor-2 (Nrf2) via phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase/protein kinase B (PI3K/AKT) and extracellular regulated kinase (ERK) signalling in HepG2 cells. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2010, 103, 168–179. [PubMed]
- Zhou, C.; Verma, S.; Blumberg, B. The steroid and xenobiotic receptor (SXR), beyond xenobiotic metabolism. *Nucl. Recept. Signal.* 2009, 7, e001. [CrossRef]
- 347. Alkhalaf, M. Resveratrol-induced apoptosis is associated with activation of p53 and inhibition of protein translation in T47D human breast cancer cells. *Pharmacology* **2007**, *80*, 134–143. [CrossRef]
- 348. Singh, R.P.; Tyagi, A.; Sharma, G.; Mohan, S.; Agarwal, R. Oral silibinin inhibits in vivo human bladder tumor xenograft growth involving down-regulation of survivin. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2008**, *14*, 300–308. [CrossRef]
- 349. Tian, B.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, D.; Li, Y.; Ma, L.; Li, X.; Li, J.; Xiao, N.; Tian, J.; et al. Effects of curcumin on bladder cancer cells and development of urothelial tumors in a rat bladder carcinogenesis model. *Cancer Lett.* 2008, 264, 299–308. [CrossRef]
- 350. Block, G.; Patterson, B.; Subar, A. Fruit, vegetables, and cancer prevention: A review of the epidemiological evidence. *Nutr. Cancer* **1992**, *18*, 1–29. [CrossRef]
- Li, Y.H.; Niu, Y.B.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, F.; Liu, C.X.; Fan, L.; Mei, Q.B. Role of phytochemicals in colorectal cancer prevention. World J. Gastroenterol. 2015, 21, 9262–9272. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 352. Reddy, L.; Odhav, B.; Bhoola, K.D. Natural products for cancer prevention: A global perspective. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **2003**, *99*, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 353. Vieira, A.R.; Abar, L.; Vingeliene, S.; Chan, D.S.; Aune, D.; Navarro-Rosenblatt, D.; Stevens, C.; Greenwood, D.; Norat, T. Fruits, vegetables and lung cancer risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann. Oncol.* **2016**, *27*, 81–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 354. Dzialo, M.; Mierziak, J.; Korzun, U.; Preisner, M.; Szopa, J.; Kulma, A. The Potential of Plant Phenolics in Prevention and Therapy of Skin Disorders. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17, 160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 355. Harb, H.; Irvine, J.; Amarasekera, M.; Hii, C.S.; Kesper, D.A.; Ma, Y.; D'Vaz, N.; Renz, H.; Potaczek, D.P.; Prescott, S.L.; et al. The role of PKCζ in cord blood T-cell maturation towards Th1 cytokine profile and its epigenetic regulation by fish oil. *Biosci. Rep.* 2017, *37*, BSR20160485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 356. Harb, H.; Alashkar Alhamwe, B.; Garn, H.; Renz, H.; Potaczek, D.P. Recent developments in epigenetics of pediatric asthma. *Curr. Opin. Pediatr.* 2016, 28, 754–763. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 357. Dunstan, J.A.; Mori, T.A.; Barden, A.; Beilin, L.J.; Taylor, A.L.; Holt, P.G.; Prescott, S.L. Fish oil supplementation in pregnancy modifies neonatal allergen-specific immune responses and clinical outcomes in infants at high risk of atopy: A randomized, controlled trial. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2003, 112, 1178–1184. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 358. Acevedo, N.; Alashkar Alhamwe, B.; Caraballo, L.; Ding, M.; Ferrante, A.; Garn, H.; Garssen, J.; Hii, C.S.; Irvine, J.; Llinás-Caballero, K.; et al. Perinatal and Early-Life Nutrition, Epigenetics, and Allergy. *Nutrients* 2021, 13, 724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 359. Alaskhar Alhamwe, B.; Khalaila, R.; Wolf, J.; von Bülow, V.; Harb, H.; Alhamdan, F.; Hii, C.S.; Prescott, S.L.; Ferrante, A.; Renz, H.; et al. Histone modifications and their role in epigenetics of atopy and allergic diseases. *Allergy Asthma Clin. Immunol.* **2018**, *14*, 39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 360. Acevedo, N.; Frumento, P.; Harb, H.; Alashkar Alhamwe, B.; Johansson, C.; Eick, L.; Alm, J.; Renz, H.; Scheynius, A.; Potaczek, D.P. Histone Acetylation of Immune Regulatory Genes in Human Placenta in Association with Maternal Intake of Olive Oil and Fish Consumption. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 1060. [CrossRef]
- 361. Fenech, M.; El-Sohemy, A.; Cahill, L.; Ferguson, L.R.; French, T.A.; Tai, E.S.; Milner, J.; Koh, W.P.; Xie, L.; Zucker, M.; et al. Nutrigenetics and nutrigenomics: Viewpoints on the current status and applications in nutrition research and practice. J. Nutrigenet. Nutrigenomics 2011, 4, 69–89. [CrossRef]
- 362. Fiedor, J.; Burda, K. Potential role of carotenoids as antioxidants in human health and disease. *Nutrients* **2014**, *6*, 466–488. [CrossRef]
- 363. Fiedor, J.; Fiedor, L.; Haessner, R.; Scheer, H. Cyclic endoperoxides of beta-carotene, potential pro-oxidants, as products of chemical quenching of singlet oxygen. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2005, 1709, 1–4. [CrossRef]
- 364. Alpha-Tocopherol Beta Carotene Cancer Prevention Study Group. The effect of vitamin E and beta carotene on the incidence of lung cancer and other cancers in male smokers. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **1994**, *330*, 1029–1035. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 365. Satia, J.A.; Littman, A.; Slatore, C.G.; Galanko, J.A.; White, E. Long-term use of beta-carotene, retinol, lycopene, and lutein supplements and lung cancer risk: Results from the VITamins And Lifestyle (VITAL) study. Am. J. Epidemiol. 2009, 169, 815–828. [CrossRef]
- 366. Divella, R.; Daniele, A.; Savino, E.; Paradiso, A. Anticancer Effects of Nutraceuticals in the Mediterranean Diet: An Epigenetic Diet Model. *Cancer Genom. Proteom.* 2020, 17, 335–350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Murphy, H.C. The Use of Whole Animals Versus Isolated Organs or Cell Culture in Research. *Trans. Neb. Acad. Sci. Affil. Soc.* 1991, 18, 4.
- 368. Morand, C.; De Roos, B.; Garcia-Conesa, M.T.; Gibney, E.R.; Landberg, R.; Manach, C.; Milenkovic, D.; Rodriguez-Mateos, A.; Van de Wiele, T.; Tomas-Barberan, F. Why interindividual variation in response to consumption of plant food bioactives matters for future personalised nutrition. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 2020, *79*, 225–235. [CrossRef]
- Maki, K.C.; Slavin, J.L.; Rains, T.M.; Kris-Etherton, P.M. Limitations of Observational Evidence: Implications for Evidence-Based Dietary Recommendations. *Adv. Nutr.* 2014, 5, 7–15. [CrossRef]
- 370. Pokimica, B.; García-Conesa, M.-T. Critical Evaluation of Gene Expression Changes in Human Tissues in Response to Supplementation with Dietary Bioactive Compounds: Moving Towards Better-Quality Studies. *Nutrients* **2018**, *10*, 807. [CrossRef]