



Whole-Genome Sequences of 24 Brucella Strains

T. D. Minogue,^a H. A. Daligault,^b K. W. Davenport,^b K. A. Bishop-Lilly,^{c,d} S. M. Broomall,^e D. C. Bruce,^a P. S. Chain,^b O. Chertkov,^b S. R. Coyne,^a K. G. Frey,^{c,d} H. S. Gibbons,^e J. Jaissle,^a G. I. Koroleva,^f J. T. Ladner,^f C.-C. Lo,^b G. F. Palacios,^f C. L. Redden,^{c,d} C. N. Rosenzweig,^e M. B. Scholz,^{b*} Y. Xu,^b ⁽ⁱⁿ⁾ S. L. Johnson^b

Diagnostic Systems Division, U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Maryland, USA^a; Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA^b; Naval Medical Research Center, NMRC-Frederick, Fort Detrick, Maryland, USA^c; Henry M. Jackson Foundation, Bethesda, Maryland, USA^d; U.S. Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center, Aberdeen Proving Grounds, Maryland, USA^e; Center for Genome Sciences, U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Maryland, USA^e; Center for Genome Sciences, U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Maryland, USA^e; Center for Genome Sciences, U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Maryland, USA^e; Center for Genome Sciences, U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Fort Detrick, Maryland, USA^f

* Present address: M. B. Scholz, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, USA.

Brucella species are intracellular zoonotic pathogens which cause, among other pathologies, increased rates of abortion in ruminants. Human infections are generally associated with exposure to contaminated and unpasteurized dairy products; however Brucellae have been developed as bioweapons. Here we present 17 complete and 7 scaffolded genome assemblies of *Brucella* strains.

Received 13 August 2014 Accepted 20 August 2014 Published 18 September 2014

Citation Minogue TD, Daligault HA, Davenport KW, Bishop-Lilly KA, Broomall SM, Bruce DC, Chain PS, Chertkov O, Coyne SR, Frey KG, Gibbons HS, Jaissle J, Koroleva GJ, Ladner JT, Lo C-C, Palacios GF, Redden CL, Rosenzweig CN, Scholz MB, Xu Y, Johnson SL. 2014. Whole-genome sequences of 24 *Brucella* strains. Genome Announc. 2(5):e00915-14. doi:10.1128/genomeA.00915-14.

Copyright © 2014 Minogue et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported license.

Address correspondence to S. L. Johnson, shannonj@lanl.gov.

rucella are Gram-negative facultative intracellular pathogens D with reduced genomes, as typical for intracellular Alphaproteobacteria (1, 2). Globally, Brucella spp. have a substantial impact on rural areas of the world, where surveillance and vaccination programs are lacking (3, 4). Brucella spp. express a surface lipopolysaccharide that contributes to pathogenicity. The bacteria reside within white blood cells (primarily macrophages) without disrupting the cell function and cycle (5, 6), and there is overall a reduced immune response as compared to most other Gramnegative bacteria. They are largely host-specific zoonotic pathogens, and humans may be infected by less than 100 cells (6-8). Disease in animals (ruminants) often causes abortion and/or sterility, but disease in humans is characterized by undulant fever, at times progressing into severe and/or incapacitating complications (9, 10). Human infections are most commonly attributed to unpasteurized dairy consumption, but brucellosis can also be transmitted through aerosols (6, 11, 12). Due to its ease of airborne transmission and chronic, difficult-to-treat pathology, Brucella spp. have been developed as bioweapons and are listed as CDC Category B pathogens (6, 13).

High-quality genomic DNA was extracted from purified isolates of each strain using QIAgen Genome Tip-500 at the U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases, Diagnostic Systems Division (USARMIID-DSD). Specifically, 100-mL bacterial cultures were grown to the stationary phase and nucleic acid was extracted per the manufacturer's recommendations. For BSL3 *Brucella*, all extracted material was checked for sterility. If sterility was not achieved, then the nucleic acid was passed through a 0.45- μ M filter and rechecked for viable organisms before removal from the BSL3 suite. Sequence data for each draft genome was generated using a combination of Illumina and 454 technologies (14, 15). For each genome, we constructed and sequenced an Illumina "standard" library of 100-bp reads at high coverage and a separate long-insert paired-end library (Roche 454 Titanium or Illumina platform). The two datasets were assembled together in Newbler (Roche) and the consensus sequences computationally shredded into 2-Kbp overlapping fake reads (shreds). The raw reads were also assembled in Velvet and those consensus sequences were computationally shredded into 1.5-Kbp overlapping shreds (16). Draft data from all platforms were then assembled together with ALLPATHS and the consensus sequences were computationally shredded into 10-Kbp overlapping shreds (17). We then integrated the Newbler consensus shreds, Velvet consensus shreds, Allpaths consensus shreds, and a subset of the longinsert read-pairs using parallel Phrap (High Performance Software, LLC). Possible misassemblies were corrected and some gap closure was accomplished with manual editing in Consed (18–20). Of the 24 genomes, 7 are scaffolded draft assemblies and 17 are closed "finished" genomes.

Automatic annotation for each genome utilized an Ergatisbased workflow at LANL with minor manual curation. Each genome is available in NCBI (accession numbers listed in Table 1) and the raw data can be provided upon request. In-depth comparative analyses of these genomes are currently under way and will be published in an upcoming manuscript.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. Genome accession numbers to public databases are listed in Table 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding for this effort was provided by the Defense Threat Reduction Agency's Joint Science and Technology Office (DTRA J9-CB/JSTO). This manuscript is approved by LANL for unlimited release (LA-UR-14-25134).

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, or the U.S. Government.

REFERENCES

- Wattam AR, Foster JT, Mane SP, Beckstrom-Sternberg SM, Beckstrom-Sternberg JM, Dickerman AW, Keim P, Pearson T, Shukla M, Ward DV, Williams KP, Sobral BW, Tsolis RM, Whatmore AM, O'Callaghan D. 2014. Comparative phylogenomics and evolution of the *Brucellae* reveal a path to virulence. J. Bacteriol. 196:920–930. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1128/JB.01091-13.
- Batut J, Andersson SG, O'Callaghan D. 2004. The evolution of chronic infection strategies in the α-proteobacteria. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2:933–945. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1044.
- O'Callaghan D, Whatmore AM. 2011. Brucella genomics as we enter the multi-genome era. Brief. Funct. Genomics 10:334–341. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/bfgp/elr026.
- McDermott JJ, Arimi SM. 2002. Brucellosis in sub-Saharan Africa: epidemiology, control and impact. Vet. Microbiol. 90:111–134. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1135(02)00249-3.
- Gorvel JP, Moreno E. 2002. *Brucella* intracellular life: from invasion to intracellular replication. Vet. Microbiol. 90:281–297. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/S0378-1135(02)00214-6.
- Pappas G, Panagopoulou P, Christou L, Akritidis N. 2006. Biological weapons. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 63:2229–2236. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/ s00018-006-6311-4.
- Young EJ. 2006. Brucella spp., p 265–271. In Gillespie S, Hawkey PM (ed), Principles and practice of clinical bacteriology. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ.
- 8. Hernández-Mora G, Palacios-Alfaro JD, González-Barrientos R. 2013. Wildlife reservoirs of brucellosis: *Brucella* in acquatic environments. Rev. Sci. Tech. 32:89–103.
- 9. World Health Organization. 2006. Brucellosis in humans and animals. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Kousoulis AA, Economopoulos KP, Poulakou-Rebelakou E, Androutsos G, Tsiodras S. 2012. The plague of Thebes, a historical epidemic in Sophocles' Oedipus Rex. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 18:153–157. http:// dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1801.AD1801.
- 11. American Academy of Pediatrics. 2014. Consumption of raw or unpas-

teurized milk and milk products by pregnant women and children. Pediatrics 133:175–179. http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-3502.

- Kaufmann AF, Fox MD, Boyce JM, Anderson DC, Potter ME, Martone WJ, Patton CM. 1980. Airborne spread of brucellosis. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 353:105–114. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.1980.tb18912.x.
- Rotz L, Khan AS, Lillibridge SR, Ostroff SM, Hughes JM. 2002. Public health assessment of potential biological terrorism agents. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 8:225–230. http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/8/2/01-0164_article.
- Bennett S. 2004. Solexa Ltd. Pharmacogenomics 5:433–438. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1517/14622416.5.4.433.
- 15. Margulies M, Egholm M, Altman WE, Attiya S, Bader JS, Bemben LA, Berka J, Braverman MS, Chen Y-J, Chen Z, Dewell SB, Du L, Fierro JM, Gomes XV, Godwin BC, He W, Helgesen S, Ho CH, Irzyk GP, Jando SC, Alenquer MLI, Jarvie TP, Jirage KB, Kim J-B, Knight JR, Lanza JR, Leamon JH, Lefkowitz SM, Lei M, Li J, Lohman KL, Lu H, Makhijani VB, McDade KE, McKenna MP, Myers EW, Nickerson E, Nobile JR, Plant R, Puc BP, Ronan MT, Roth GT, Sarkis GJ, Simons JF, Simpson JW, Srinivasan M, Tartaro KR, Tomasz A, Vogt KA, Volkmer GA, Wang SH, Wang Y, Weiner MP, Yu P, Begley RF, Rothberg JM. 2005. Genome sequencing in microfabricated high-density picolitre reactors. Nature 437:376–380. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03959.
- Zerbino DR, Birney E. 2008. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. Genome Res. 18:821–829. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.074492.107.
- Butler J, MacCallum I, Kleber M, Shlyakhter IA, Belmonte MK, Lander ES, Nusbaum C, Jaffe DB. 2008. ALLPATHS: de novo assembly of wholegenome shotgun microreads. Genome Res. 18:810–820. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.7337908.
- Ewing B, Hillier L, Wendl MC, Green P. 1998. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using *Phred*. I: accuracy assessment. Genome Res. 8:175–185. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.8.3.175.
- Ewing B, Green P. 1998. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using *Phred*. II: error probabilities. Genome Res. 8:186–194.
- Gordon D, Abajian C, Green P. 1998. Consed: A graphical tool for sequence finishing. Genome Res. 8:195–202. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/ gr.8.3.195.

TABLE 1 Listing of strains, accession numbers, and basic annotation statistics for each genome sequenced

Strain		Size (bp)	%GC	Draft			
	Accession no. ^a			coverage	CDS	tRNA	rRNA
Brucella abortus							
870 BEV	CP007700 Chr I	2,123,615 Chr I	57.2	474	3,124	55	9
	CP007701 Chr II	1,161,664 Chr II					
870 BFX	CP007709 Chr I	2,124,096 Chr I	57.2	150	3,127	55	9
	CP007710 Chr II	1,157,058 Chr II					
2308	JMRZ0000000	3,269,686 total	57.2	793	3,124	52	5
	WGS (12)						
BEU	JMSA0000000 WGS (5)	3,321,680 total	57.2	167	3,226	55	9
BDW	CP007681 Chr I	2.128.683 Chr I	57.2	161	3,141	55	9
	CP007680 Chr II	1,160,817 Chr II	0,12	101	0,111	00	-
292 DPG	JMSB0000000	3,262,739 total	57.2	272	3,135	49	3
	WGS (43)						
63 75	CP007663 Chr I	2,124,677 Chr I	57.3	176	3,112	55	9
	CP007662 Chr II	1,155,633 Chr II					
86/8/59	CP007765 Chr I	2,123,991 Chr I	57.2	327	3,434	55	9
	CP007764 Chr II	1,162,137 Chr II					
B3196	CP007707 Chr I	2,123,890 Chr I	57.2	342	3,113	55	9
	CP007708 Chr II	1,155,864 Chr II					
Tulya BER	CP007682 Chr I	2,125,180 Chr I	57.2	216	2,121	54	9
	CP007683 Chr II	1,163,338 Chr II					

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

				Draft			
Strain	Accession no. ^a	Size (bp)	%GC	coverage	CDS	tRNA	rRNA
Tulya BFY	CP007738 Chr I CP007737 Chr II	2,124,832 Chr I 1,163,326 Chr II	57.2	300	3,120	54	9
C68	CP007705 Chr I CP007706 Chr II	2,124,100 Chr I 1,155,846 Chr II	57.2	119	3,111	55	9
Brucella canis RM6/66	CP007758 Chr I CP007759 Chr II	2,105,950 Chr I 1,206,801 Chr II	57.2	320	3,108	55	9
Brucella melitensis							
16 M	CP007762 Chr I CP007763 Chr II	2,116,984 Chr I 1,177,791 Chr II	57.2	762	3,140	54	9
63/9	CP007789 Chr I CP007788 Chr II	2,127,512 Chr I 1,185,446 Chr II	57.2	152	3,156	55	9
Ether	CP007760 Chr I CP007761 Chr II	2,122,766 Chr I 1,187,961 Chr II	57.2	321	3,156	55	9
Brucella neotomae 5K33	JMSC0000000 WGS (7)	3,328,864 total	57.2	321	3,199	55	11
Brucella pinnipedialis 6/566	CP007743 Chr I CP007742 Chr II	2,139,033 Chr I 1,191,996 Chr II	57.3	217	3,137	55	9
Brucella suis							
686	CP007719 Chr I CP007718 Chr II	2,107,052 Chr I 1,190,208 Chr II	57.2	304	3,091	55	9
1330	JMUC00000000 WGS (16)	3,294,601 total	57.3	319	3,124	51	3
513UK	CP007717 Chr I CP007716 Chr II	2,131,717 Chr I 1,187,980 Chr II	57.3	300	3,091	55	9
40 BSP	CP008757 Chr I CP008756 Chr II	1,902,870 Chr I 1,410,995 Chr II	57.2	790	3,101	55	9
BSQ (40)	JMUD00000000 WGS (5)	3,308,964 total	57.3	399	3,099	55	9
Thompsen	JMUE00000000 WGS (10)	3,316,531 total	57.2	314	3,152	52	6

^{*a*} Chr I, chromosome I; Chr II, chromosome II.