# **Ecology and Evolution**

# Open Access

# Diversity and evolution of the *Wolbachia* endosymbionts of *Bemisia* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) whiteflies

Xiao-Li Bing, Wen-Qiang Xia, Jia-Dong Gui, Gen-Hong Yan, Xiao-Wei Wang & Shu-Sheng Liu Ministry of Agriculture Key Laboratory of Agricultural Entomology, Institute of Insect Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China

#### Keywords

*Bemisia tabaci*, FISH, horizontal transmission, multilocus sequence typing, vertical transmission, whiteflies, *Wolbachia*.

#### Correspondence

Shu-Sheng Liu, Institute of Insect Sciences, Zhejiang University, 886 Yuhangtang Road, Hangzhou 310058, China. Tel: (0086) 0571-88982505; Fax: (0086) 0571-88982355; E-mail: shshliu@zju.edu.cn

#### **Funding Information**

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project number 31321063 and 31390421).

Received: 24 February 2014; Revised: 30 April 2014; Accepted: 1 May 2014

#### Ecology and Evolution 2014; 4(13): 2714– 2737

doi: 10.1002/ece3.1126

#### Abstract

Wolbachia is the most prevalent symbiont described in arthropods to date. Wolbachia can manipulate host reproduction, provide nutrition to insect hosts and protect insect hosts from pathogenic viruses. So far, 13 supergroups of Wolbachia have been identified. The whitefly Bemisia tabaci is a complex containing more than 28 morphologically indistinguishable cryptic species. Some cryptic species of this complex are invasive. In this study, we report a comprehensive survey of Wolbachia in B. tabaci and its relative B. afer from 1658 insects representing 54 populations across 13 provinces of China and one state of Australia. Based on the results of PCR or sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene, the overall rates of Wolbachia infection were 79.6% and 0.96% in the indigenous and invasive Bemisia whiteflies, respectively. We detected a new Wolbachia supergroup by sequencing five molecular marker genes including 16S rRNA, groEL, gltA, hcpA, and fbpA genes. Data showed that many protein-coding genes have limitations in detecting and classifying newly identified Wolbachia supergroups and thus raise a challenge to the known Wolbachia MLST standard analysis system. Besides, the other Wolbachia strains detected from whiteflies were clustered into supergroup B. Phylogenetic trees of whitefly mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I and Wolbachia multiple sequencing typing genes were not congruent. In addition, Wolbachia was also detected outside the special bacteriocytes in two cryptic species by fluorescence in situ hybridization, indicating the horizontal transmission of Wolbachia. Our results indicate that members of Wolbachia are far from well explored.

# Introduction

*Wolbachia* are rickettsial endosymbiotic bacteria in the class Alphaproteobacteria. *Wolbachia* bacteria are considered the most widespread endosymbionts in animals as they are found in all major classes of arthropods and some nematodes (Jeyaprakash and Hoy 2000; Werren and Windsor 2000; Duron et al. 2008; Russell et al. 2012). A meta-analysis suggests that the proportion of *Wolbachia* infection in insect species in the terrestrial world is about 40% (Zug and Hammerstein 2012).

In some host species, the successful maintenance and spread of *Wolbachia* is mainly achieved by the induction of cytoplasmic incompatibility to produce more female offspring, thus enhancing its maternal transmission (Stouthamer et al. 1999). In addition, manipulation of reproduction by *Wolbachia* includes feminizing genetic males, causing parthenogenesis, and killing male progenies (Stouthamer et al. 1999; Werren et al. 2008). Recent studies found that *Wolbachia* benefits insect hosts by providing essential nutrition (Hosokawa et al. 2010), enhancing host stem cell's proliferation (Fast et al. 2011), and protecting insect from pathogenic RNA viruses (Hedges et al. 2008).

The genus *Wolbachia* is highly divergent and has so far been divided into 13 supergroups (A-N, except for G which is a combination of A and B) (Lo et al. 2002, 2007; Baldo and Werren 2007; Haegeman et al. 2009; Ros et al. 2009; Augustinos et al. 2011). *Wolbachia* supergroups are characterized mainly with molecular markers such as *rrs* (16S rRNA), *ftsZ* (cell division protein), *gltA* (Citrate synthase), *groEL* (Chaperonin GroEL) and *wsp* (*Wolbachia* surface protein) genes (O'Neill et al. 1992; Zhou et al. 1998; Werren and Windsor 2000; Casiraghi et al. 2005). *Wolbachia* genotyping is inferred mainly from multi locus sequence typing (MLST) genes (*gatB, coxA, hcpA, fbpA*, and *ftsZ* genes) and amino acid sequences of the four hypervariable regions (HVRs) of WSP protein (Baldo et al. 2005, 2006).

Bemisia tabaci (Hemiptera: Alevrodidae) is a complex containing more than 28 morphologically indistinguishable cryptic species (De Barro et al. 2011; Hu et al. 2011). Through millions of years of evolution, the various cryptic species of this complex show a clear geographic pattern of distribution around the globe (Boykin et al. 2007, 2013; De Barro et al. 2011). However, with the development of modern transport, whiteflies have been transferred frequently among different continents (Naranjo et al. 2010). During the last twenty years, two cryptic species of the B. tabaci complex, Middle East-Asia Minor 1 (formerly known as the B "biotype," hereafter MEAM1) and Mediterranean (formerly known as the Q "biotype," hereafter MED) have invaded many regions of the world (Dalton 2006; Hu et al. 2011). They have caused serious damages to local agriculture through direct plant sap sucking and transmission of plant pathogenic viruses (Oliveira et al. 2001). What is more, the rapid invasion of MEAM1 and MED has caused the replacement of many indigenous cryptic species of the B. tabaci complex (Liu et al. 2007; Hu et al. 2011; Muñiz et al. 2011; Rao et al. 2011). These events provide us a unique opportunity for studying the evolution and transmission of Wolbachia among different B. tabaci cryptic species, which were geographically isolated in history but have become sympatric recently.

Previous studies have investigated the diversity of Wolbachia in the B. tabaci species complex (Nirgianaki et al. 2003; Chiel et al. 2007; Gueguen et al. 2010; Chu et al. 2011; Pan et al. 2012; Singh et al. 2012; Bing et al. 2013a). However, most of these reports focused on the two invasive cryptic species MEAM1 and MED and only used one to three marker genes in the investigation, and the distribution of Wolbachia in most indigenous B. tabaci cryptic species remains largely unknown. In this study, we examined the distribution of Wolbachia in B. afer and 10 cryptic species of the B. tabaci species complex collected from 13 provinces of China and one state of Australia. We report: (1) the prevalence of Wolbachia in B. afer and B. tabaci; (2) the discovery of a probably new *Wolbachia* (supergroup O) in whiteflies by sequencing of rrs gene and four proteincoding genes (fbpA, hcpA, gltA, and groEL); (3) the diversity and phylogenetic status of Wolbachia strains within these whiteflies; and (4) evidence for horizontal transfer of Wolbachia among B. tabaci cryptic species.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### Whitefly collection and DNA extraction

*Bemisia* specimens were collected from 13 provinces of China and one state of Australia. Details for collection

(geographical locations, host plants, and dates) of those populations are summarized in Fig. 1 and Table A1. Whiteflies collected from the same locality and host plant were considered as one population. Whitefly samples were initially immersed in 95% ethanol after collection and subsequently kept at -20°C until DNA extraction. Total whitefly DNA was extracted from individual adult specimens according to the method of DeBarro and Driver (1997). The quality of the DNA samples was confirmed by PCR amplification of a 0.8 kb fragment of whitefly mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (mtCOI) gene using the primers C1-J-2195 and L2-N-3014 (Table A2). Cryptic species of B. tabaci were first identified based on the polymerase chain reaction restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method described by Qin et al. (2013), and the sex of whiteflies was identified through genital morphology. A total of 1658 whitefly DNA samples were positive for PCR amplification using the mtCOI primers, indicating satisfactory quality of the DNA templates.

#### Diagnostic screening of Wolbachia

The presence of Wolbachia was screened based on the amplification of a 0.6 kb fragment with the Wolbachia rrs primers (Table A2). Standard PCR analyses were performed using 2×EasyTaq PCR SuperMix (TransGen, Beijing, China) in a PTC-200 Thermocycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). PCR procedures were an initial step of 94°C for 3 min, followed by 32 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s and a final step of 72°C for 10 min. Amplified DNA products were electrophoresed on agarose gels and stained with GelRed (Biotium, San Francisco, CA). To verify PCR results, amplified bands (especially uncertain ones) were purified by AxyPrep DNA gel extraction kit (Axygen, Silicon Valley, CA) and cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega, Madison, WI). Plasmids containing the DNA inserts of expected sizes were confirmed by PCR and sequenced in an ABI 3730 DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequencing results were then checked by Blast in NCBI nr database (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Only those individuals, which were blasted to expected products of the specific primers, were considered to be infected. All PCRs included a negative control (sterile water instead of DNA) and a positive control (DNA of China 1 whitefly). For Wolbachia-positive populations, Bemisia species were further identified by phylogenetic analysis of the mtCOI gene (Fig. A3). Wolbachia infection rates between whitefly sexes were statistically tested using the Fisher's exact test. Statistical significance of the infection rates among different B. afer and B. tabaci cryptic species was calculated using the  $\chi^2$  test and corrected by the Bonferroni procedure. All



statistical analyses were performed using the Data Processing System (DPS) software (Tang and Zhang 2013).

## Sequencing and typing of Wolbachia

As the phylogenetic analysis of the 0.6 kb *rrs* sequences indicated a possible new supergroup of *Wolbachia*, we amplified the *rrs* gene from whitefly populations and introduced an endonuclease *VspI* (AT/TAAT) (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) to digest the target bands, to investigate the infection prevalence of the new supergroup of *Wolbachia*. Several whitefly individuals were then randomly selected for sequencing confirmation of PCR-RFLP results. The *groEL*, *wsp*, and MLST (*gatB*, *coxA*, *hcpA*, *fbpA*, and *ftsZ*) genes from every combination of host species and *rrs* genotype were amplified by TransTaq-T DNA Polymerase (TransGen), cloned into pEASY-T1 vectors (TransGen) and sequenced on ABI 3730 DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

In addition, to confirm the finding of the new *Wolbachia* supergroup, nearly a complete *rrs* sequence (1417 bp) and a partial *gltA* sequence (659 bp) were amplified from one *Wolbachia* new supergroup singularinfected population. PCR amplifications, DNA cloning and sequencing procedures were accomplished as described previously. The cycling procedures were the same as described earlier with changes on the annealing temperature for different primers. Primer sequences and annealing temperatures of *rrs*, *gltA*, *groEL*, *wsp*, and the MLST genes were listed in Table A2. Figure 1. Localities of sampling and infection frequencies of Wolbachia in 53 Chinese populations of Bemisia. About 30 individuals from each population were subjected to diagnostic PCR analysis. Whiteflies collected from the same host plant in the same locality were considered as one population. The Arabic numerals correspond to populations numbered in Table A1. Figures in parentheses indicate the numbers of individuals sampled from each of the populations. Different colors represent B. afer and different cryptic species of the B. tabaci complex. The "#" signs indicate the laboratory lines that had been maintained on cotton since collection, and the "\*" signs indicate the 5 populations that are positive for Wolbachia supergroup O (referred to in Table 3 and Fig. 2).

Sequences of MLST and *wsp* genes were manually trimmed in line with the template provided in *Wolbachia* MLST website and compared with sequences in the *Wolbachia* MLST database (http://pubmlst.org/wolbachia/). Novel sequences were submitted to the database curators as new alleles. Each unique combination of five MLST sequences was designated a strain type (ST) number in the *Wolbachia* MLST database (Baldo et al. 2006). Previously published sequences from other whiteflies were added to the data set to increase the power of statistical comparisons. All newly obtained allele numbers and ST numbers in this study are summarized in Table 1.

## **Molecular phylogenetic analysis**

Phylogenetic analyses were constructed using (1) *Wolbachia* sequences of *rrs*, *gltA*, *groEL*, MLST, and *wsp* genes of different supergroups from various hosts; and (2) whitefly *mtCOI* sequences. All sequences used in this study were edited and aligned manually using Clustal W (ver. 1.6) (Thompson et al. 1994) in MEGA (ver. 5.10) (Tamura et al. 2011). The Gblocks program (ver. 0.91b) (Castresana 2000) was used to remove poorly aligned positions and to obtain nonambiguous sequence alignments. The best-fit evolutionary model for the sequence data was determined using hierarchical likelihood ratio tests and Akaike information criterion with the program jModelTest (ver. 0.1.1) (Posada 2008). Phylogenetic trees were constructed with the Bayesian inference using MrBayes (ver 3.1.2) (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003).

	2
	5
	2
	a
-	₹
	ă
	ō
	Q
	>
c	É
	Ð
÷	Ē
-	≽
	>
	Ð
	2
2	Ħ
	30
	ă
	Ť
•	Ϋ́
-	5
	ĕ
2	ğ
	õ
	Ž
-	2
5	≒
	J
	ŝ
-	≝
ć	Ē
	2
	ã
	- م
-	≝
-	≝
	ā
-	0
	ž
	ສັ
	S
	έú
	Ч
	5
	ĭ
	ō
	ğ
	~
	ā
-	5
	Ř
	õ
-	5
2	z
-	>
ç	÷
	0
	Ś
	ē
	õ
	Ε
	∃
	Ē
	_
	č
•	š
	ŝ
	g
	5
	σ
	×
	⊆
	ğ
6	끋
	5
(	ř
`	0
	-
	Ð
Ē	ole
ļ	able
1	able

© 2014 The Authors. Ecology and Evolution published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

					5										
Pop.	Strain	Species	LTS	groEL	ST	gatB	сохА	hcpA	ftsZ	fbpA	dsm	HRV1	HRV2	-IRV3	HRV4
<del>~</del>	wBa_1	Bemisia afer	KF454753	KF452533	382	KF452586 (105)	KF452567 (11)	KF454725 <b>(220)</b>	KF452573 (11)	KF454737 (162)	KF465800 ( <b>670</b> )	(231)	(265)	(143)	(23)
2	wBt_2	MED	KF454762	Ι		I	I	I	I	KF454744 <b>(386)</b>	KF465817 ( <b>668</b> )	(230)	(264)	(3)	(23)
10	$wBt_10$	Asia II 1	KF454771	KF452543	391	KF452588 (207)	KF452561 (88)	KF454726 <b>(234)</b>	KF452576 (170)	KF454746 ( <b>390</b> )					
11	$wBt_11$	China 1	JF795502	KF452544	379	KF452591 (105)	KF452563 (88)	KF454731 (13)	KF452578 (170)	KF454752 (9)	KF465816 <b>(669)</b>	(2)	(17)	(3)	(2)
13	$wBt_13$	MED	KF454764	I		I	I	KF454719 <b>(236)</b>	KF452575 (181)	KF454745 (387)	KF465805 ( <b>671</b> )	(232)	(17)	(3)	(2)
26	wBt_26	Asia II 7	JF795503	KF452536	378	KF452590 (105)	KF452562 (88)	KF454730 (106)	KF452577 (7)	KF454747 (387)	KF465815 <b>(665)</b>	(78)	(88)	(06)	(2)
27	wBt_27	Asia I	KF454755	KF452540	385	KF452594 (210)	KF452565 (88)	KF454721 (106)	KF452580 (7)	KF454751 <b>(387)</b>	KF465810 (163)	(78)	(88)	(06)	(23)
28-1	<i>wBt_</i> 28-1	Asia II 1	KF454768	KF452534	392	KF452596 (207)	KF452560 (88)	KF454727 <b>(230)</b>	KF452581 (170)	KF454734( <b>392</b> )	KF465806 (669)	(2)	(17)	(3)	(2)
28-2	<i>wBt_</i> 28-2	Asia II 1	KF454769	KF465814		I	KF452558 (88)	KF454728 <b>(231)</b>	I	KF454733 (390)	1				
29-1	<i>wBt_</i> 29-1	Asia II 1	KF454758	KF452535	390	KF452595 (207)	KF452559 (88)	KF454729 <b>(232)</b>	KF452582 (170)	KF454738 (9)	KF465807 (669)	(2)	(17)	(3)	(2)
29-2	<i>wBt_</i> 29-2	Asia II 1	KF454757	KF452547		Ι	I	Ι	I	I	I				
30-1	<i>wBt_</i> 30-1	Asia II 1	KF454759	KF452538	389	KF452600 (208)	KF452551 (88)	KF454715 (106)	KF452584 (170)	KF454735 <b>(393</b> )	KF465808 (669)	(2)	(17)	(3)	(2)
30-2	<i>wBt_</i> 30-2	Asia II 1	KF454760	KF452539		Ι	KF452552 (88)	KF454716 (13)		KF454736 <b>(391)</b>	KF465809 (669)	(2)	(17)	(3)	(2)
35	wBt_35	Asia II 6	KF454761	KF452601	393	KF452599 (216)	KF452553 (88)	KF454722 (106)	KF452570 (7)	KF454741 (387)	KF465818 (163)	(78)	(88)	(06)	(23)
38	$wBt_38$	Asia II 6	KF454767	KF452537	394	KF452589 (207)	KF452550 (88)	KF471409 <b>(235)</b>	KF452569 (170)	KF454740 (9)	KF465812 (669)	(2)	(17)	(3)	(2)
39	wBt_39	Asia I	KF454766	KF452541	395	KF452587 (207)	KF452556 (88)	KF454718 (106)	KF452568 (182)	KF454750 (387)	KF465811 <b>(672)</b>	(2)	(17)	(261)	(2)
41	$wBt_41$	China 1	KF454765	KF452548	377	KF452597 (207)	KF452557 (88)	KF454724 (13)	KF452572 (170)	KF454743 (9)	KF465803 (669)	(2)	(17)	(3)	(2)
42	$wBt_42$	China 1	KF454770	KF452546	383	KF452598 <b>(209)</b>	KF452554 (88)	KF454723 (13)	KF452571 (170)	KF454742 (9)	KF465802 (669)	(2)	(17)	(3)	(2)
43	$wBt_43$	Asia II 9	KF454756	KF452542	384	KF452593 (207)	KF452566 (88)	KF454720 (13)	KF452583 (170)	KF454748 (386)	KF465813 (160)	(2)	(17)	(3)	(23)
49-1	<i>wBt_</i> 49-1	Asia II 3	KF454763	KF452549	396	KF452585 (207)	KF452555 (88)	KF454717 <b>(228)</b>	KF452574 (180)	KF454739 (386)	KF465804 (160)	(2)	(17)	(3)	(23)
54	$wBt_54$	Australia	KF454754	KF452545	380	KF452592 (207)	KF452564 (88)	KF454732 <b>(221)</b>	KF452579 (170)	KF454749 (9)	KF465801 (160)	(2)	(17)	(3)	(23)

ST numbers and allele profile numbers in bold represent new sequence data generated from this study.

For these gene data, 5 million generations were run; 50,000 trees were obtained, and the first 25% trees were discarded as burn-in. The resulting phylogenetic trees were visualized in TreeView (ver. 1.6.6) (Page 1996). The comparison between the phylogeny of whitefly *mtCOI* and *Wolbachia* concatenate MLST data sets was constructed with Dendroscope (ver. 3.2.8) (Huson and Scornavacca 2012). The new *Wolbachia rrs*, *gltA*, *groEL*, *wsp*, and MLST gene sequences and whitefly *mtCOI* gene sequences have been deposited in the GenBank database (Table 1, Fig. A3 and Table A4).

The pairwise genetic divergence of different *Wolbachia* supergroups was calculated using the Kimura 2-parameter method (Kimura 1980) in MEGA (ver. 5.10) (Tamura et al. 2011). Because recombination of sequences has potentially disruptive influences on phylogenetic-based molecular evolution analyses (Martin et al. 2011), alignments of individual and concatenated genes were checked for significant levels of recombination using the Phi test (Bruen et al. 2006) in SplitsTree4 under default conditions (Huson and Bryant 2006). When recombination was tested to be significant, a phylogenetic network framework was constructed based on uncorrected P distances using the Neighbor-net method (Bryant and Moulton 2004) implemented in SplitsTree4 (ver. 4.13.1) (Huson and Bryant 2006).

## FISH

Localization of Portiera and Wolbachia was studied in nymphs and adults of B. tabaci Asia II 1 (Pop. 10) and Asia II 9 (Pop. 43) using fluorescence labeled probes specifically targeting the rrs genes of these bacteria. We followed the previous protocols for the FISH experiments (Bing et al. 2013b). Briefly, specimens were collected directly into Carnoy's fixative and fixed overnight. After fixation, the samples were hybridized overnight in hybridization buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 0.9 M NaCl, 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 30% deionized formamide) containing 10 pmol of fluorescent probes. The probe, BTP1-Cy3 (5'-Cy3- TGTCAGTGTCAGCCCAGAAG-3'), was used to target rrs gene of Portiera (Gottlieb et al. 2006). A new probe, Wolb-1-488 (5'- Alexa Fluor 488-TAATATAGGCTCATCTAATAGCAA -3'), was designed to target rrs gene of Wolbachia. The specificity of the detection was first checked by "probe match" in RDP 10 (update to May 14, 2013) (Cole et al. 2009) and BLAST in nr database of NCBI (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Blast.cgi) and then confirmed using the following controls: a no probe control and Wolbachia-free whiteflies (samples of the B. tabaci MED species and MEAM1 species). Stained samples were wholly mounted and viewed under a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope.

# **Results**

### Prevalence of Wolbachia in Bemisia species

*Bemisia tabaci* has a wide distribution in China. In this study, samples of *Bemisia* were obtained from 24 localities of 13 provinces of this country (Fig. 1). In all, these samples represent one population of *B. afer* and 52 populations of 9 cryptic species of the *B. tabaci* complex from China (Table A1). In addition, one sample of an indigenous species of the *B. tabaci* complex was obtained from Australia (Table A1).

Of the 1658 individuals examined, rrs PCR assays indicated that the infection rates of Wolbachia varied among species, and even among populations of a given species, ranging from 0% to 100% (Fig. 1; Table 2, Chi-square test, P < 0.0001), but did not differ between sexes in each of the populations that were tested statistically (Table A1). The incidence of Wolbachia infection in indigenous whiteflies (79.61%, n = 618) was significantly higher than that in invasive whiteflies (MEAM1 and MED, 0.96%, n = 1040; Fisher's exact 2-tailed test, P < 0.0001). The infection rate of Wolbachia also varied among different indigenous species of the B. tabaci complex. For instance, 88.4% of China 1 whiteflies were positive for Wolbachia while so were only 2.1% of Asia II 3 whiteflies (Table 2). The rate of Wolbachia infection in B. afer was 77.5% (Table 2).

## **Diversity of Wolbachia infections**

For those *Wolbachia*-positive populations, 2–3 individuals were further analyzed by sequencing *Wolbachia rrs* gene (592 bp) and performing Bayesian phylogenetic analysis. Most of whitefly *Wolbachia rrs* sequences were clustered

**Table 2.** Rates of Wolbachia infection in Bemisia afer and cryptic species of the B. tabaci complex.

Whitefly species	п	No. of localities	Infection rate (%) <sup>1</sup>
B. afer	40	1	77.5 a
Asia I	123	2	99.2 b
Asia II 1	151	4	96.7 bc
Asia II 3	48	2	2.1 de
Asia II 6	60	2	23.3 d
Asia II 7	38	1	97.4 ab
Asia II 9	35	1	88.6 ab
China 1	112	3	88.4 ac
Australia	11	1	100.0 ab
MEAM1	334	12	0.0 e
MED	706	26	1.4 e

<sup>1</sup>Figures followed by different letters differ significantly (adjusted P < 0.05, using Bonferroni corrections).



**Figure 2.** Phylogeny of the *Wolbachia* identified from *Bemisia afer* and cryptic species of the *B. tabaci* complex based on bacterial *rrs* gene sequences (592 sites). *Wolbachia* strains are characterized by the names of their host species. The tree was constructed using a TPM1uf + G substitution model for Bayesian analysis. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown on the branches. Sequences obtained in this study are shown in bold. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.1 substitutions/site. The sequence names and GenBank accession numbers are listed in Tables A4 and A6.

into the supergroup B (Fig. 2). However, several *Wolbachia rrs* sequences obtained from four Asia II 1 populations (*wBt*\_10, *wBt*\_28-2, *wBt*\_29-2, *wBt*\_30-2) and one MED population (*wBt*\_2) formed a strict and robust monophyletic clade (Fig. 2).

#### Identification of Wolbachia supergroup O

Further Bayesian phylogenetic analysis on a nearly complete *rrs* sequence (1317 bp) of the strange *Wolbachia* ( $wBt_10$ ) revealed that this *Wolbachia* differed widely from other known *Wolbachia* (Fig. A5). The divergence of *rrs* between  $wBt_10$  and the supergroup M is 2.52%, which is the smallest of that between  $wBt_10$  and all previously reported *Wolbachia* supergroups (A to N) (Table A6). In view of these apparent differences, we proposed to name these *Wolbachia* as supergroup O temporarily.

Our results thus showed the presence of two genetically distant *Wolbachia* supergroups in whiteflies. To clarify the detailed infection prevalence of *Wolbachia* O in *Bemisia* whiteflies, PCR-RFLP was introduced to digest all positive *rrs* PCR products by the restriction enzymes *VspI*. No *VspI* restriction site was found in *rrs* sequences of *Wolbachia* supergroup O, whereas *rrs* amplicons from *Wolbachia* supergroup B could be digested into multiple bands by *VspI* (Fig. A7). Whiteflies in populations 2 (MED species) and 10 (Asia II 1 species) are infected singly by *Wolbachia* O, whereas populations 28, 29, and 30 (all three are Asia II 1 species) are infected by both *Wolbachia* O and *Wolbachia* B (Table 3).

At least one of the eight tested protein-coding genes (*gltA*, *groEL*, MLST (*gatB*, *coxA*, *hcpA*, *fbpA*, *ftsZ*), and *wsp* genes) was successfully amplified and sequenced for all *Wolbachia*-infected populations in this study. Both neighbor-net analysis of *fbpA*, *gltA*, *hcpA* gene and Bayesian interference of *groEL* gene supported the existence of the *Wolbachia* supergroup O (*wBt*\_10) (Figs. 3 and 4, and Figs. A10 and A12). However, it should be noted that the results of phylogenetic analyses with different genes were not always consistent. In particular, analysis of *fbpA*, *groEL*, and *hcpA* gene clustered some strange *Wolbachia*, such as *wBt* 29-2 and *wBt* 30-2, which were identified as

,			% without	Single infect	ion (%)	
Pop. no.	Cryptic species	$n^1$	<i>Wolbachia</i> infection	0	В	Double infection (%)
2	MED	29	93.1	6.9		
10	Asia II 1	44		100		
28	Asia II 1	43	6.9	14.0	62.8	16.3
29	Asia II 1	35	2.9	5.7	65.7	25.7
30	Asia II 1	29	3.4	24.1	3.4	69.0

Table 3. Infection frequencies of the Wolbachia O in five populations of the Bemisia tabaci complex.

<sup>1</sup>Number of whitefly individuals collected from the five populations shown with asterisks in Fig. 1 and Table A1.



**Figure 3.** Phylogenetic position of the *Wolbachia* identified from the putative species Asia II 1 of the *Bemisia tabaci* complex based on bacterial *gltA* gene sequences (636 sites) using the Neighbor-net method. Each edge (or a set of parallel edges) corresponds to a split in the data set and has a length equal to the weight of the split. The sequence obtained in this study is shown in bold. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.1 substitutions/site. The names and sequence GenBank accession numbers are listed in Table A4.

**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic position of the *Wolbachia* identified from *Bemisia afer* and *B. tabaci* putative species based on bacterial *groEL* gene sequences (491 sites). *Wolbachia* strains are characterized by the names of their host species. The tree was constructed using a GTR + G substitution model for Bayesian analysis. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown on the branches. The sequence obtained in this study is shown in bold. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.1 substitutions/site. The names and sequence GenBank accession numbers are listed in Table 1 and Table A4.

O by *rrs* gene, into supergroup B (Fig. 4, and Figs. A10 and A12).

Sixteen STs were identified in whiteflies from this study, and all of them are new to the MLST database (Table 1). Though efforts were made, some PCRs failed when amplifying the MLST and *wsp* genes from supergroup O-infected whiteflies (Table 1). As a result, sequences from supergroup O-infected whiteflies were excluded from phylogenetic analysis of the concatenated MLST sequences. Respective Bayesian interference of separate *gatB*, *coxA*, *ftsZ*, and *wsp* genes showed that all *Wolbachia* detected in whiteflies belonged to supergroup B (Fig. 5, and Figs. A8, A9 and A11). Neighbor-net analysis clustered the majority of *Wolbachia* into supergroup B



**Figure 5.** Phylogenetic position of the *Wolbachia* identified from *Bemisia afer* and *B. tabaci* putative species based on bacterial *wsp* gene sequences (512 sites). *Wolbachia* strains are characterized by the names of their host species. The two *Drosophila wsp* sequences are the outgroups. The tree was constructed using a TIM3 + G substitution model for Bayesian analysis. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown on the branches. The sequence obtained in this study is shown in bold. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.1 substitutions/site. The names and sequence GenBank accession numbers are listed in parentheses and Table 1.

except for  $wBt_10$  (Figs. A10 and A12). The *hcpA* genes from  $wBt_10$  and *fbpA* from  $wBt_10$  and  $wBt_28-2$  formed a separate branch that differs distinctly from all known reference sequences.

# Co-divergence between the divergence of *Wolbachia* supergroup B and whitefly species

The codivergence of *Bemisia* and *Wolbachia* supergroup B was assessed by studying the sequences of partial *mtCOI* gene and *Wolbachia* MLST genes. For those *Wolbachia* identified from *B. tabaci*, very poor congruence was found between the phylogenies of *mtCOI* and concatenated MLST genes (Fig. 6). The topology of MLST tree differs obviously from that of *mtCOI*. Whiteflies belonging to the same cryptic species harbored distant *Wolbachia* strains. For instance, two populations (*wBt\_35*)

and *wBt\_38*) of *Wolbachia* identified from Asia II 6 are clustered in different phylogenetic groups.

## Localization of Wolbachia in Bemisia tabaci

The FISH of bacteria revealed that *Portiera* was seen exclusively in the bacteriocytes of whiteflies. In the tested nymphs, *Wolbachia* was strictly located in the bacteriocytes among the abundant *Portiera* (Fig. 7). Nevertheless, in the adults, *Wolbachia* was detected both outside and inside the bacteriocytes (Fig. 7). Signals of *Wolbachia* shown at the anterior pole of the oocytes of female adults indicate its vertical transmission (arrows marked in Fig. 7 D & H).

## Discussion

Wolbachia is widely distributed among invertebrates and is considered as the most prevalent symbiont identified so



**Figure 6.** Comparisons of *Bemisia* and *Wolbachia* phylogenies. A, the whitefly phylogeny constructed based on Bayesian analysis of *mtCOI* sequences (817 bp) as shown in Fig. A3 using TIM3 + I + G model. B, the *Wolbachia* phylogeny constructed based on Bayesian analysis of concatenated sequences of MLST genes (2079 bp) as shown in Table 1 using GTR + I + G model. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown on the branches. Dashed lines connect hosts to their respective *Wolbachia* strains. The scale bar is in units of substitutions/site.

far. Though several research groups have investigated the prevalence of *Wolbachia* in some cryptic species of the *B. tabaci* complex, our study represents the first comprehensive analysis of *Wolbachia* infection among both invasive and indigenous cryptic species of the *B. tabaci* complex in Asia. In addition, compared with previous investigations, we used five more molecular markers in our analyses.

# Prevalence of *Wolbachia* varies between invasive and indigenous whiteflies

In this study, Wolbachia infection rates in five (Asia I, Asia II 1, Asia II 7, Asia II 9, and China 1) of the seven Chinese indigenous species reached over 70%. In contrast, Wolbachia infection rate in the MED populations from China was only 1.4% (10/706), and no infection (0/334) was detected in all MEAM1 populations from this country. The low rates of Wolbachia infection in MEAM1 and MED agree with those observed in most previous studies. For example, in populations of MEAM1 and MED from Europe and Western Africa, infection rates of Wolbachia varied from 0-8.3% and 0-33% (Nirgianaki et al. 2003; Chiel et al. 2007; Gueguen et al. 2010; Skaljac et al. 2010; Chu et al. 2011; Thierry et al. 2011; GnankinÉ et al. 2013). And in populations of MEAM1 and MED from China, the rates of Wolbachia infection were 0.2% (1/456) and 0% (0/1149), respectively (Pan et al. 2012). As a whole, our data indicate a high variability of prevalence of Wolbachia between cryptic species of the B. tabaci complex. In our sampling, we obtained adequate numbers of whitefly individuals for five (Asia II 1, Asia II 6, China 1, MEAM1, and MED) of the 11 whitefly species from both laboratory and field. The data indicate that the frequencies of Wolbachia infection between laboratory and field populations in each of the five species appeared

similar (Table A1). Thus, the laboratory rearing seemed to have exerted little effects on the frequencies of *Wolbachia* infection in these whitefly species. Until now, factors underlying the high variability of *Wolbachia* infection between the whitefly species are virtually unknown but certainly warrant future investigations.

In contrast to a previous study that reports absence of *Wolbachia* infection in *B. afer* populations from China (Chu et al. 2010), the rate of *Wolbachia* infection in the *B. afer* population examined in the current study reached 77.5%. Phylogenetic analysis of *rrs*, *groEL*, MLST, and *wsp* genes showed that the *Wolbachia* detected from *B. afer* belongs to supergroup B, which agrees with the report of Nirgianaki et al. (2003).

# Identification of a novel *Wolbachia* supergroup O

Preliminary Bayesian phylogenetic analysis based on rrs gene sequences strongly supports the existence of one strange monophyletic group compared with the other Wolbachia identified in whiteflies. The rrs sequences from five of the whitefly populations (wBt\_2, wBt\_10, wBt\_28-2, wBt\_29-2, and wBt\_30-2) were clustered into group O. Average distance among those strange *rrs* sequences (592 bp) are 0.48%. The divergence of rrs between wBt\_10 and all previously described Wolbachia supergroups (A to N) is higher than the 2% distance, a level of divergence that may merit the establishment of a new supergroup (Stouthamer et al. 1993; Augustinos et al. 2011). What is more, independent Bayesian analysis of rrs and groEL gene sequences and Neighbor-net analysis of gltA, hcpA, and *fbpA* gene sequences confirmed the distinct phylogenetic position of wBt\_10 from the other supergroups. Based on the evidence, we propose the strange Wolbachia group as a new supergroup - Supergroup O.



**Figure 7.** Whole-mount FISH of *Bemisia tabaci* nymphs and female adults using a *Portiera*-specific probe (red) and a *Wolbachia*-specific probe (green). Upper column, Asia II 1 nymph and female adult; lower column, Asia II 9 nymph and female adult. A, C, E, G: *Wolbachia* channel on a dark-field channel. B, D, F, H: Overlay of *Portiera* and *Wolbachia* channels on a bright-field channel. White triangles in D and H indicate anterior poles of the oocytes. Signals on legs, joints, and wings are chitin autofluoresence.

# All previously known *Wolbachia* in *Bemisia tabaci* belong to supergroup B

Except for the five supergroup O strains, phylogenetic analysis of eight molecular markers (*rrs*, *groEL*, *gatB*, *coxA*, *hcpA*, *fbpA*, *ftsZ*, and *wsp* genes) showed that all the *Wolbachia* strains detected from Chinese whiteflies as well as one strain from the Australia species belong to supergroup B. This is consistent with previous diversity studies on *Bemisia* and *Trialeurodes* whiteflies (Nirgianaki et al. 2003; Sintupachee et al. 2006; Gueguen et al. 2010; Singh et al. 2012; Tsagkarakou et al. 2012).

# The protein-coding genes are limited in *Wolbachia* diversity investigation

At the early stage of Wolbachia research, the identification of Wolbachia strains was inferred based on the rrs gene (O'Neill et al. 1992; Stouthamer et al. 1993; Dumler and Walker 2005). As the research progressed, the rrs gene was found too conserved for further analysis of the Wolbachia genus. Subsequently, additional protein-coding genes (gltA, groEL, ftsZ, and wsp genes) were developed for infection and evolutionary analysis of Wolbachia (Werren et al. 1995b; Zhou et al. 1998; Lo et al. 2002, 2007; Casiraghi et al. 2005). Baldo et al. (2006) developed a standard MLST-based system (gatB, coxA, hcpA, ftsZ, and *fbpA*) for genotyping and strain classification of Wolbachia infections. However, with more exploration of Wolbachia diversity, conflict results occurred among these different markers (Augustinos et al. 2011). In this study, the presence of supergroup O was confirmed by rrs and four protein-coding genes (fbpA, gltA, groEL, and hcp genes) (Figs. 2-4, and Figs. A10 and A12). Whereas phylogenetic analysis of several protein-coding genes (coxA, groEL, gatB, ftsZ, and wsp) clustered many Wolbachia O strains into supergroup B (Figs. 4 and 5, and Figs. A9, A8 and A11). Similar phenomena have been noticed in previous studies. For example, even though the supergroup M and N have been identified as new groups of Wolbachia by rrs gene clustering, Augustinos et al. (2011) found that several popular protein-coding sequences such as gltA, groEL, and MLST genes clustered some individuals of those new groups into the old supergroup B. Besides, failures of amplifying MLST and wsp genes in many Wolbachia O-infected whiteflies (Table 1) indicated proteincoding genes may not be sufficient for investigating the diversity of Wolbachia in B. tabaci. The failure of amplification of *ftsZ* and *wsp* genes were also observed in Wolbachia-infected aphids (Augustinos et al. 2011). Consequently, it seems clear that phylogenetic analysis merely using protein-coding genes may underestimate the diversity of Wolbachia.

That inadequacy of protein-coding genes for analyzing the diversity of Wolbachia may be explained by: (1) primers of protein-coding genes are designed based on the earliest known Wolbachia (mostly A and B); and (2) different protein-coding genes suffer different selective pressure and thus have different evolutionary patterns. The rrs gene sequence is more conserved than wsp gene and the results of amplification are more stable compared with that of wsp or ftsZ genes which often produces unexpected bands (not target-size bands or not the gene of Wolbachia). In fact, no single pair of primers can ensure detection of all Wolbachia specifically among various samples (SimÕEs et al. 2011). In view of the limitation of the various primers, we suggest that infection data obtained by any of these genes should be confirmed by vector cloning and sequencing of all representative bands.

# *Wolbachia* in *Bemisia tabaci* are transmitted horizontally

Our FISH data indicate that Wolbachia can be vertically transmitted in whiteflies (Fig. 7), a result in agreement with that of a previous report (Gottlieb et al. 2008). In addition, our FISH data show the distribution of Wolbachia outside of bacteriocytes of the whitefly adults and thus also indicate potential horizontal transmission of Wolbachia. Not surprisingly, incongruence was found between the phylogeny of Bemisia mtCOI sequences and that of Wolbachia supergroup B based on concatenated MLST sequences (Fig. 6). In addition, in several cases, a population of a given whitefly species harbored divergent Wolbachia strains (e.g., Population of 28 in Table A1). As speculated by a rate of rrs gene divergence of 1-2% per 50 million years in bacterial endosymbionts (Moran et al. 1993; Ochman et al. 1999), the divergence between supergroup B and supergroup O probably started more than 120 million years ago. While the divergence date of B. tabaci complex was speculated to start about 50 million years ago, much more recent than that of supergroup B and O Wolbachia (Boykin et al. 2013). The double infection of Wolbachia supergroups B and O in the same population indicates horizontal transmission of Wolbachia. Horizontal transmission of Wolbachia has often been speculated based on phylogenetic analysis (Werren et al. 1995a; Sintupachee et al. 2006; Stahlhut et al. 2010; Schuler et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2013). Wolbachia has also been reported from other whitefly genera such as Trialeurodes and some parasitoids (Raychoudhury et al. 2009; Cass et al. 2014), and this diversity of distribution may also hint horizontal transmission. Sintupachee et al. (2006) hypothesized that the horizontal transmission of Wolbachia from whiteflies to other arthropods may occur through plants, because whiteflies could feed on plants without ruining plant cells.

Caspi-Fluger et al. (2011) presented a case study of horizontal transmission of *Rickttesia* in whiteflies via plants. Though we are yet unable to speculate on the origin of *Wolbachia* in whiteflies, we suggest that horizontal transmission of *Wolbachia* in whiteflies via plants warrants investigation especially as this bacterium has been detected outside of the bacteriocytes in the insect hosts.

# Conclusion

We conducted a comprehensive screening for *Wolbachia* in whiteflies, and the findings have broadened substantially the host spectrum of *Wolbachia* and revealed a new supergroup of *Wolbachia* in whiteflies. Our study also shows the limitations of protein-coding genes as molecular markers for *Wolbachia* investigation. Both specific and efficient molecular markers are needed for intensive surveys of *Wolbachia*. *Wolbachia* are transmitted vertically and horizontally in whiteflies. Clarifying the *Wolbachia* strains of whiteflies and their biological functions may provide novel clues for the development of efficient control technologies against invasive whiteflies and whiteflytransmitted plant viruses.

# Acknowledgments

The study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project number 31321063 and 31390421). We thank Qiong Rao and Yun-Lin Su for comments on the manuscript, Qi-Yi Tang for help in doing the statistical analysis, Amanda Avery for assistance in submitting *Wolbachia* sequences to the *Wolbachia* MLST Databases, and Paul De Barro for providing whitefly samples of the "Australia" species.

# **Conflict of Interest**

None declared.

#### References

- Augustinos, A. A., D. Santos-Garcia, E. Dionyssopoulou, M. Moreira, A. Papapanagiotou, M. Scarvelakis, et al. 2011. Detection and characterization of *Wolbachia* infections in natural populations of aphids: is the hidden diversity fully unraveled? PLoS ONE 6:e28695.
- Baldo, L., and J. H. Werren. 2007. Revisiting *Wolbachia* supergroup typing based on WSP: spurious lineages and discordance with MLST. Curr. Microbiol. 55:81–87.
- Baldo, L., N. Lo, and J. H. Werren. 2005. Mosaic nature of the Wolbachia surface protein. J. Bacteriol. 187:5406–5418.
- Baldo, L., J. C. Dunning Hotopp, K. A. Jolley, S. R. Bordenstein, S. A. Biber, R. R. Choudhury, et al. 2006. Multilocus sequence

typing system for the endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:7098–7110.

- Bing, X. L., Y. M. Ruan, Q. Rao, X. W. Wang, and S. S. Liu. 2013a. Diversity of secondary endosymbionts among different putative species of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*. Insect Sci. 20:194–206.
- Bing, X. L., J. Yang, E. Zchori-Fein, X. W. Wang, and S. S. Liu. 2013b. Characterization of a newly discovered symbiont in the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 79:569–575.
- Boykin, L. M., R. G. Shatters, R. C. Rosell, C. L. McKenzie, R. A. Bagnall, P. De Barro, et al. 2007. Global relationships of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) revealed using Bayesian analysis of mitochondrial COI DNA sequences. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 44:1306–1319.
- Boykin, L., C. Bell, G. Evans, I. Small, and P. De Barro. 2013. Is agriculture driving the diversification of the *Bemisia tabaci* species complex (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aleyrodidae)?: dating, diversification and biogeographic evidence revealed. BMC Evol. Biol. 13:228.
- Bruen, T. C., H. Philippe, and D. Bryant. 2006. A simple and robust statistical test for detecting the presence of recombination. Genetics 172:2665–2681.
- Bryant, D., and V. Moulton. 2004. Neighbor-net: an agglomerative method for the construction of phylogenetic networks. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21:255–265.
- Casiraghi, M., S. R. Bordenstein, L. Baldo, N. Lo, T. Beninati, J. J. Wernegreen, et al. 2005. Phylogeny of *Wolbachia pipientis* based on *gltA*, *groEL* and *ftsZ* gene sequences: clustering of arthropod and nematode symbionts in the F supergroup, and evidence for further diversity in the *Wolbachia* tree. Microbiology 151:4015–4022.
- Caspi-Fluger, A., M. Inbar, N. Mozes-Daube, N. Katzir, V. Portnoy, E. Belausov, et al. 2011. Horizontal transmission of the insect symbiont *Rickettsia* is plant-mediated. Proc. R. Soc. B. Biol. Sci. 279:1791–1796.
- Cass, B. N., N. Mozes-Daube, L. Iasur-Kruh, E. C. Bondy, S. E. Kelly, M. S. Hunter, et al. 2014. Bacterial endosymbionts in field-collected samples of *Trialeurodes* sp. nr. *abutiloneus* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Res. Microbiol. 165:77–81.
- Castresana, J. 2000. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17:540–552.
- Chiel, E., Y. Gottlieb, E. Zchori-Fein, N. Mozes-Daube, N. Katzir, M. Inbar, et al. 2007. Biotype-dependent secondary symbiont communities in sympatric populations of *Bemisia tabaci*. Bull. Entomol. Res. 97:407–413.
- Chu, D., G. Liu, F. Wan, Y. Tao, and R. J. Gill. 2010. Phylogenetic analysis and rapid identification of the whitefly, *Bemisia afer*, in China. J. Insect Sci. 10:1–9.
- Chu, D., C. Gao, P. De Barro, Y. Zhang, F. Wan, and I. Khan. 2011. Further insights into the strange role of bacterial endosymbionts in whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*: comparison of

secondary symbionts from biotypes B and Q in China. Bull. Entomol. Res. 101:477–486.

Cole, J. R., Q. Wang, E. Cardenas, J. Fish, B. Chai, R. J. Farris, et al. 2009. The Ribosomal Database Project: improved alignments and new tools for rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. 37:D141–D145.

Dalton, R. 2006. Whitefly infestations: the Christmas invasion. Nature 443:898–900.

De Barro, P. J., and Boykin, L. M. (2013) Global *Bemisia* dataset release version 31 Dec 2012. v1. CSIRO. Data Collection. 10.4225/08/50EB54B6F1042

De Barro, P. J., S. S. Liu, L. M. Boykin, and A. B. Dinsdale. 2011. *Bemisia tabaci*: a statement of species status. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 56:1–19.

DeBarro, P. J., and F. Driver. 1997. Use of RAPD PCR to distinguish the B biotype from other biotypes of *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Aust. J. Entomol. 36:149–152.

Dumler, J. S., and D. H. Walker. 2005. Order II. Rickettsiales Gieszczykiewicz 1939, 25 AL emend. Dumler, Barbet, Bekker, Dasch, Palmer, Ray, Rikihisa and Rurangirwa 2001, 2156. Pp. 96–160 *in* D. J. Brenner, N. R. Krieg and J. T. Staley, eds. Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology. Springer, New York, NY.

Duron, O., D. Bouchon, S. Boutin, L. Bellamy, L. Q. Zhou, J. Engelstadter, et al. 2008. The diversity of reproductive parasites among arthropods: *Wolbachia* do not walk alone. BMC Biol. 6:27.

Fast, E. M., M. E. Toomey, K. Panaram, D. Desjardins, E. D. Kolaczyk, and H. M. Frydman. 2011. Wolbachia enhance Drosophila stem cell proliferation and target the germline stem cell niche. Science 334:990–992.

Frohlich, D. R., I. Torres-Jerez, I. D. Bedford, P. G. Markham, and J. K. Brown. 1999. A phylogeographical analysis of the *Bemisia tabaci* species complex based on mitochondrial DNA markers. Mol. Ecol. 8:1683–1691.

Gnankiné, O., L. Mouton, H. Henri, G. Terraz, T. Houndeté, T. Martin, et al. 2013. Distribution of *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) biotypes and their associated symbiotic bacteria on host plants in West Africa. Insect Conserv. Divers. 6:411–421.

Gottlieb, Y., M. Ghanim, E. Chiel, D. Gerling, V. Portnoy, S. Steinberg, et al. 2006. Identification and localization of a *Rickettsia* sp in *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72:3646–3652.

Gottlieb, Y., M. Ghanim, G. Gueguen, S. Kontsedalov, F. Vavre, F. Fleury, et al. 2008. Inherited intracellular ecosystem: symbiotic bacteria share bacteriocytes in whiteflies. FASEB J. 22:2591–2599.

Gueguen, G., F. Vavre, O. Gnankine, M. Peterschmitt, D. Charif, E. Chiel, et al. 2010. Endosymbiont metacommunities, mtDNA diversity and the evolution of the *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) species complex. Mol. Ecol. 19:4365–4378. Haegeman, A., B. Vanholme, J. Jacob, T. T. M. Vandekerckhove, M. Claeys, G. Borgonie, et al. 2009. An endosymbiotic bacterium in a plant-parasitic nematode: member of a new *Wolbachia* supergroup. Int. J. Parasitol. 39:1045–1054.

Heddi, A., A. M. Grenier, C. Khatchadourian, H. Charles, and P. Nardon. 1999. Four intracellular genomes direct weevil biology: nuclear, mitochondrial, principal endosymbiont, and *Wolbachia*. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 96:6814–6819.

Hedges, L. M., J. C. Brownlie, S. L. O' Neill, and K. N. Johnson. 2008. *Wolbachia* and virus protection in insects. Science 322:702.

Hosokawa, T., R. Koga, Y. Kikuchi, X.-Y. Meng, and T. Fukatsu. 2010. *Wolbachia* as a bacteriocyte-associated nutritional mutualist. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107: 769–774.

Hu, J., P. De Barro, H. Zhao, J. Wang, F. Nardi, and S. S. Liu. 2011. An extensive field survey combined with a phylogenetic analysis reveals rapid and widespread invasion of two alien whiteflies in China. PLoS ONE 6:e16061.

Huson, D. H., and D. Bryant. 2006. Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 23:254.

Huson, D. H., and C. Scornavacca. 2012. Dendroscope 3: an interactive tool for rooted phylogenetic trees and networks. Syst. Biol. 61:1061–1067.

Jeyaprakash, A., and M. A. Hoy. 2000. Long PCR improves *Wolbachia* DNA amplification: *wsp* sequences found in 76% of sixty-three arthropod species. Insect Mol. Biol. 9:393–405.

Kimura, M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. 16:111–120.

Liu, S. S., P. J. De Barro, J. Xu, J. B. Luan, L. S. Zang, Y. M. Ruan, et al. 2007. Asymmetric mating interactions drive widespread invasion and displacement in a whitefly. Science 318:1769–1772.

Lo, N., M. Casiraghi, E. Salati, C. Bazzocchi, and C. Bandi. 2002. How many *Wolbachia* supergroups exist? Mol. Biol. Evol. 19:341–346.

Lo, N., C. Paraskevopoulos, K. Bourtzis, S. O'Neill, J. Werren, S. Bordenstein, et al. 2007. Taxonomic status of the intracellular bacterium *Wolbachia pipientis*. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 57:654.

Martin, D. P., P. Lemey, and D. Posada. 2011. Analysing recombination in nucleotide sequences. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 11:943–955.

Moran, N. A., M. A. Munson, P. Baumann, and H. Ishikawa. 1993. A molecular clock in endosymbiotic bacteria is calibrated using the insect hosts. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 253:167–171.

Muniz, Y., M. Granier, C. Caruth, P. Umaharan, C. Marchal, C. Pavis, et al. 2011. Extensive settlement of the invasive MEAM1 population of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) in the Caribbean and rare detection of indigenous populations. Environ. Entomol. 40:989–998. Naranjo, S. E., S. J. Castle, P. J. Barro, and S. S. Liu. 2010. Population dynamics, demography, dispersal and spread of *Bemisia tabaci*. Pp. 185–226 *in* P. A. Stansly and S. E. Naranjo, eds. Bemisia: Bionomics and management of a global pest. Springer, New York, NY.

Nirgianaki, A., G. K. Banks, D. R. Frohlich, Z. Veneti, H. R. Braig, T. A. Miller, et al. 2003. *Wolbachia* infections of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*. Curr. Microbiol. 47:93–101.

Ochman, H., S. Elwyn, and N. A. Moran. 1999. Calibrating bacterial evolution. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 96:12638–12643.

Oliveira, M. R. V., T. J. Henneberry, and P. Anderson. 2001. History, current status, and collaborative research projects for *Bemisia tabaci*. Crop Prot. 20:709–723.

O'Neill, S. L., R. Giordano, A. M. Colbert, T. L. Karr, and H. M. Robertson. 1992. 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 89:2699–2702.

Page, R. D. M. 1996. Tree View: an application to display phylogenetic trees on personal computers. Comput. Appl. Biosci. 12:357–358.

Pan, H., X. Li, D. Ge, S. Wang, Q. Wu, W. Xie, et al. 2012. Factors affecting population dynamics of maternally transmitted endosymbionts in *Bemisia tabaci*. PLoS ONE 7: e30760.

Posada, D. 2008. jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25:1253–1256.

Qin, L., J. Wang, X. L. Bing, and S. S. Liu. 2013. Identification of nine cryptic species of *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) from China by using the *mtCOI* PCR-RFLP technique. Acta Entomol. Sin. 56:186–194.

Rao, Q., C. Luo, H. Zhang, X. Guo, and G. J. Devine. 2011. Distribution and dynamics of *Bemisia tabaci* invasive biotypes in central China. Bull. Entomol. Res. 101:81–88.

Raychoudhury, R., L. Baldo, D. C. Oliveira, and J. H. Werren. 2009. Modes of acquisition of *Wolbachia*: horizontal transfer, hybrid introgression, and codivergence in the *Nasonia* species complex. Evolution 63:165–183.

Ronquist, F., and J. P. Huelsenbeck. 2003. MrBayes 3: bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. Bioinformatics 19:1572–1574.

Ros, V. I. D., V. M. Fleming, E. J. Feil, and J. A. J. Breeuwer. 2009. How diverse is the genus *Wolbachia*? multiple-gene sequencing reveals a putatively new *Wolbachia* supergroup recovered from spider mites (Acari: Tetranychidae). Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 75:1036–1043.

Russell, J. A., C. F. Funaro, Y. M. Giraldo, B. Goldman-Huertas, D. Suh, D. J. C. Kronauer, et al. 2012. A veritable menagerie of heritable bacteria from ants, butterflies, and beyond: broad molecular surveys and a systematic review. PLoS ONE 7:e51027.

Schuler, H., C. Bertheau, S. P. Egan, J. L. Feder, M. Riegler, B.C. Schlick-Steiner, et al. 2013. Evidence for a recent horizontal transmission and spatial spread of *Wolbachia* from endemic *Rhagoletis cerasi* (Diptera: Tephritidae) to invasive *Rhagoletis cingulata* in Europe. Mol. Ecol. 22:4101–4111.

SimÕEs, P. M., G. Mialdea, D. Reiss, M. F. Sagot, and S. Charlat. 2011. Wolbachia detection: an assessment of standard PCR Protocols. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 11:567–572.

Singh, S. T., N. G. Priya, J. Kumar, V. S. Rana, R. Ellango, A. Joshi, et al. 2012. Diversity and phylogenetic analysis of endosymbiotic bacteria from field caught *Bemisia tabaci* from different locations of North India based on 16S rDNA library screening. Infect. Genet. Evol. 12:411–419.

Sintupachee, S., J. R. Milne, S. Poonchaisri, V. Baimai, and P. Kittayapong. 2006. Closely related *Wolbachia* strains within the pumpkin arthropod community and the potential for horizontal transmission via the plant. Microb. Ecol. 51:294–301.

Skaljac, M., K. Zanic, S. G. Ban, S. Kontsedalov, and M. Ghanim. 2010. Co-infection and localization of secondary symbionts in two whitefly species. BMC Microbiol. 10:142.

Stahlhut, J. K., C. A. Desjardins, M. E. lark, L. Baldo, J. A. Russell, J. H. Werren, et al. 2010. The mushroom habitat as an ecological arena for global exchange of *Wolbachia*. Mol. Ecol. 19:1940–1952.

Stouthamer, R., J. A. J. Breeuwer, R. F. Luck, and J. H. Werren. 1993. Molecular identification of microorganisms associated with parthenogenesis. Nature 361:66–68.

Stouthamer, R., J. Breeuwer, and G. Hurst. 1999. *Wolbachia pipientis*: microbial manipulator of arthropod reproduction. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 53:71–102.

Tamuram, K., D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei, and S. Kumar. 2011. MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28:2731–2739.

Tang, Q. Y., and C. X. Zhang. 2013. Data Processing System (DPS) software with experimental design, statistical analysis and data mining developed for use in entomological research. Insect Sci. 20:254–260.

Thierry, M., N. Becker, A. Hajri, B. Reynaud, J. M. Lett, and H. Delatte. 2011. Symbiont diversity and non-random hybridization among indigenous (Ms) and invasive (B) biotypes of *Bemisia tabaci*. Mol. Ecol. 20:2172–2187.

Thompson, J. D., D. G. Higgins, and T. J. Gibson. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22:4673–4680.

Tsagkarakou, A., L. Mouton, J. B. Kristoffersen, E. Dokianakis, M. Grispou, and K. Bourtzis. 2012. Population genetic structure and secondary endosymbionts of Q *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) from Greece. Bull. Entomol. Res. 102:353–365.

Weisburg, W. G., S. M. Barns, D. A. Pelletier, and D. J. Lane. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. J. Bacteriol. 173:697–703.

- Werren, J. H., and D. M. Windsor. 2000. Wolbachia infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium? Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 267:1277–1285.
- Werren, J. H., D. Windsor, and L. Guo. 1995a. Distribution of Wolbachia among neotropical arthropods. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 262:197–204.

Werren, J. H., W. Zhang, and L. R. Guo. 1995b. Evolution and phylogeny of *Wolbachia*: reproductive parasites of arthropods. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 261:55–63.

- Werren, J. H., L. Baldo, and M. E. Clark. 2008. Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 6:741–751.
- Zhang, Y. K., K. J. Zhang, J. T. Sun, X. M. Yang, C. Ge, and X. Y. Hong. 2013. Diversity of *Wolbachia* in natural populations of spider mites (genus *Tetranychus*): evidence for complex infection history and disequilibrium distribution. Microb. Ecol. 65:731–739.
- Zhou, W., F. Rousset, and S. O'Neill. 1998. Phylogeny and PCR– based classification of *Wolbachia* strains using *wsp* gene sequences. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. 265:509–515.
- Zug, R., and P. Hammerstein. 2012. Still a host of hosts for *Wolbachia*: analysis of recent data suggests that 40% of terrestrial arthropod species are infected. PLoS ONE 7:e38544.

# Appendix

Table A1. Details of screen of *Bemisia afer* and *B. tabaci* cryptic species for *Wolbachia*. Rates of infections do not differ between sexes in each of the populations analyzed with Fisher's exact test (Populations with fewer than 10 individuals were excluded from the analysis).

	Whitefly				Collection		Sar	nple	size	Infection	rate (%)	2
No.	species	Location	Latitude	Longitude	date	Host plant (family) <sup>1</sup>	F	Μ	UN	Females	Males	Overall
1	Bemisia afer	Linyi, Shandong, China	35°47′N	118°37′E	July 2012	Broussonetia papyrifera (1)	24	16	-	87.50	62.50	77.50
Bemisia tabaci												
2 <sup>3</sup>	MED	Hefei, Anhui, China	31°95′N	117°48′E	October 2009	Solanum melongena (2)	21	8	_	9.52	0.00	6.90
3	MED	Hefei, Anhui, China	31°95′N	117°48′E	October 2009	Solanum lycopersicum (2)	17	13	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	MEAM1	Hefei, Anhui, China	31°92′N	117°14′E	October 2009	Salvia splendens (3)	21	7	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
5	MED	Nanjing, Jiangsu, China	32°02′N	118°54′E	September 2009	Solanum melongena (2)	25	5	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
6	MED	Nanjing, Jiangsu, China	32°02′N	118°54′E	September 2009	Brassica oleracea var. capitata (4)	15	15	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
7	MEAM1	Chongmingdao, Shanghai, China	31°50′N	121°80′E	November 2009	Brassica oleracea var. capitata (4)	16	11	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
8	MED	Chongmingdao, Shanghai, China	31°50′N	121°80′E	November 2009	Capsicum annuum (2)	21	10	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
9 <sup>4</sup>	Asia II 3	Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China	30°23′N	120°18′E	April 2009	Glycine max (5)	26	21	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
10 <sup>3,4</sup>	Asia II 1	Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China	29°27′N	119°18′E	October 2010	Gossypium hirsutum (6)	29	15	-	100.00	100.00	100.00
11 <sup>4</sup>	China 1	Hangzhou, Zhejiang, China	30°23′N	120°18′E	November 2009	Solanum Ivcopersicum (2)	23	29	_	100.00	82.76	90.38
12 <sup>4</sup>	MED	Ningbo, Zhejiang, China	29°48′N	121°35′E	June 2009	Capsicum annuum (2)	22	16	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
13	MED	Taizhou, Zhejiang, China	28°30′N	121°34′E	October 2012	Cucurbita moschata (7)	22	11	_	31.82	9.09	24.24
14 <sup>4</sup>	MEAM1	Wenzhou, Zhejiang, China	27°47′N	120°39′E	September 2008	Solanum melongena (2)	20	20	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
15	MED	Nanchang, Jiangxi, China	28°72′N	115°91′E	October 2009	Cucurbita moschata (7)	16	11	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
16	MED	Nanchang, Jiangxi, China	28°72′N	115°91′E	October 2009	Ipomoea batatas (8)	16	14	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
17	MED	Nanchang, Jiangxi, China	28°72′N	115°91′E	October 2009	Brassica campestris ssp. Pekinensis (4)	21	8	-	0.00	0.00	0.00

### Table A1. Continued.

	Whitefly				Collection		San	nple	size	Infection	rate (%)	2
No.	species	Location	Latitude	Longitude	date	Host plant (family) <sup>1</sup>	F	Μ	UN	Females	Males	Overall
18	MED	Nanchang, Jiangxi, China	28°72′N	115°91′E	October 2009	Humulus scandens (9)	16	12	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
19	MED	Nanchang, Jiangxi, China	28°29′N	116°01′E	October 2009	Citrullus lanatus (7)	13	16	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
20	MED	Nanchang, Jiangxi, China	28°29′N	116°01′E	October 2009	Ipomoea batatas (8)	20	7	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
21	MED	Jiujiang, Jiangxi, China	29°76′N	115°79′E	October 2009	Capsicum annuum (2)	16	11	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
22	MED	Jiujiang, Jiangxi, China	29°76′N	115°79′E	October 2009	Ipomoea batatas (8)	24	6	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
23	MED	Jiujiang, Jiangxi, China	29°76′N	115°79′E	October 2009	Cucumis sativus (7)	20	8	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
24	MED	Jiujiang, Jiangxi, China	29°76′N	115°79′E	October 2009	Solanum melongena (2)	13	2	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
25	MED	Jiujiang, Jiangxi, China	29°76′N	115°79′E	October 2009	Phaseolus vulgaris (5)	16	11	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
26 <sup>4</sup>	Asia II 7	Guangzhou, Guangdong, China	23°09′N	113°21′E	October 2007	Gossypium hirsutum (6)	30	8	_	100.00	87.50	97.37
27 <sup>4</sup>	Asia I	Zhaoqing, Guangdong, China	23°56′N	112°1′E	November 2010	Ipomoea batatas (8)	20	20	-	100.00	100.00	100.00
28 <sup>3</sup>	Asia II 1	Zhaoqing, Guangdong, China	23°56′N	112°1′E	August 2012	Arachis hypogaea (5)	31	12	_	96.77	83.33	93.02
29 <sup>3</sup>	Asia II 1	Zhaoqing, Guangdong, China	23°56′N	112°1′E	August 2012	Ipomoea batatas (8)	32	3	_	96.88	100.00	97.14
30 <sup>3</sup>	Asia II 1	Sanya, Hainan, China	18°24′N	109°42′E	August 2009	Ipomoea batatas (8)	24	5	-	100.00	80.00	96.55
31	MEAM1	Nanning, Guangxi, China	22°38′N	108°23′E	August 2009	Vigna unguiculata (5)	17	13	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
32	MEAM1	Nanning, Guangxi, China	22°38′N	108°23′E	August 2009	Gossypium hirsutum (6)	22	0	_	0.00	-	0.00
33	MEAM1	Nanning, Guangxi, China	22°38′N	108°23′E	August 2009	Cucumis sativus (7)	17	13	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
34	MED	Beihai, Guangxi, China	21°29′N	109°09'E	August 2009	Ipomoea batatas (8)	10	12	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
35	Asia II 6	Baise, Guangxi, China	22°94′N	108°54′E	August 2009	Luffa cylindrica (7)	15	3	-	53.33	0.00	44.44
36	MEAM1	Baise, Guangxi, China	23°52′N	106°37′E	August 2009	Vigna unguiculata (5)	4	9	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
37	MEAM1	Baise, Guangxi, China	23°45′N	106°47′E	August 2009	Benincasa hispida (7)	15	12	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
38 <sup>4</sup>	Asia II 6	Baise, Guangxi, China	22°94′N	108°54′E	November 2011	Ipomoea batatas (8)	36	6	-	13.89	16.67	14.29
39 <sup>4</sup>	Asia I	Honghe, Yunnan, China	24°38′N	103°46′E	November 2011	Ipomoea batatas (8)	46	37	-	97.83	100.00	98.80
40	MED	Guiyang, Guizhou, China	26°40′N	106°67′E	July 2009	Glechoma longituba (3)	9	21	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
41	China 1	Zunyi, Guizhou, China	27°39′N	107°70'E	July 2009	Solanum melongena (2)	24	6	-	75.00	83.33	76.67
42	China 1	Zunyi, Guizhou, China	27°39′N	107°70'E	July 2009	Ipomoea batatas (8)	23	7	-	100.00	85.71	96.67

Table A1.	Continued.
-----------	------------

	Whitefly				Collection		San	nple	size	Infection	rate (%)	)2
No.	species	Location	Latitude	Longitude	date	Host plant (family) <sup>1</sup>	F	Μ	UN	Females	Males	Overall
43 <sup>4</sup>	Asia II 9	Shaoyang, Hunan, China	26°59′N	111°16′E	October 2011	Ipomoea batatas (8)	19	16	_	89.47	87.50	88.57
44	MED	Jishou, Hunan, China	28°18′N	109°38′E	September 2009	Raphanus sativus (4)	7	8	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
45	MED	Luoyang, Henan, China	34°46′N	112°46′E	September 2009	Ipomoea batatas (8)	16	5	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
46	MEAM1	Luoyang, Henan, China	34°66′N	112°51′E	September 2009	Gossypium hirsutum (6)	15	15	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
47	MEAM1	Luoyang, Henan, China	34°59′N	112°58′E	September 2009	Solanum melongena (2)	20	8	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
48	MEAM1	Luoyang, Henan, China	34°59'N	112°58′E	September 2009	Cucumis sativus (7)	26	4	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
49-1	Asia II 3	Zhengzhou, Henan, China	34°78′N	113°66′E	September 2009	Solanum melongena (2)	0	1	_	_	100.00	100.00
49-2	MED	Zhengzhou, Henan, China	34°78′N	113°66′E	September 2009	Solanum melongena (2)	18	9	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
50	MEAM1	Zhengzhou, Henan, China	34°78′N	113°66′E	September 2009	Cucumis sativus (7)	27	2	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
51	MED	Xinxiang, Henan, China	35°47′N	113°75′E	September 2009	Phaseolus vulgaris (5)	12	4	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
52	MED	Xinxiang, Henan, China	35°47′N	113°75′E	September 2009	Raphanus sativus (4)	26	3	_	0.00	0.00	0.00
53	MED	Xinxiang, Henan, China	35°47′N	113°75′E	September 2009	Brassica campestris ssp. Pekinensis (4)	20	8	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
54	Australia	Bundaberg, Queensland, Australia	24°48′S	152°27′E	_	Euphorbia cyathophora (10)	_	_	11	-	_	100.00

F, female adult; M, male adult; UN, unknown sex; -, not ascertained.

<sup>1</sup>In all 22 species of host plants from 10 families, figures in parentheses indicate the names of the families: (1), Moraceae; (2), Solanaceae, (3), Lamiaceae, (4), Cruciferae, (5), Fabaceae, (6), Malvaceae, (7), Cucurbitaceae, (8), Convolvulaceae, (9), Cannabaceae, (10), Euphorbiaceae. <sup>2</sup>Infection rates of *Wolbachia* detected by diagnostic PCR of *rrs* gene.

<sup>3</sup>Populations for the detection of *Wolbachia* supergroup O (Table 3). <sup>4</sup>Populations maintained in the laboratory on cotton since collection.

Table A2. List of the primers used for screening and sequencing.

Gene	Hypothetical product	Primer name	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Tm	Product size	Reference
Bemisia s	spp.					
mtCOI	Mitochondrial cytochrome	COI-F-C1-J-2195:	TTGATTTTTTGGTCATCCAGAAGT	54°C	759 bp	Frohlich et al. (1999)
	oxidase subunit I	COI-R-TL2-N-3014:	TCCAATGCACTAATCTGCCATATTA			
Universal	bacteria					
rrs	Ribosomal RNA 16S	27F:	AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG	50°C	1417 bp	Weisburg et al. (1991)
		1494R:	CTACGGCTACCTTGTTACGA			
Wolbach	<i>ia</i> spp.					
rrs	Ribosomal RNA 16S	Wol-16S-F:	CGGGGGAAAAATTTATTGCT	55°C	589 bp	Heddi et al. (1999)
		Wol-16S-R:	AGCTGTAATACAGAAAGTAAA			
gatB	Glutamyl-tRNA(Gln)	gatB_F1:	GAKTTAAAYCGYGCAGGBGTT	54°C	471 bp	Baldo et al. (2006)
	amidotransferase, subunit B	gatB_R1:	TGGYAAYTCRGGYAAAGATGA			
coxA	Cytochrome coxidase, subunit I	coxA_F1:	TTGGRGCRATYAACTTTATAG	54°C	487 bp	Baldo et al. (2006)
		coxA_R1:	CTAAAGACTTTKACRCCAGT			
hcpA	Conserved hypothetical protein	hcpA_F1:	GAAATARCAGTTGCTGCAAA	54°C	515 bp	Baldo et al. (2006)
		hcpA_R1:	GAAAGTYRAGCAAGYTCTG			

Table A2. Continued.

Gene	Hypothetical product	Primer name	Primer sequences (5'-3')	Tm	Product size	Reference
ftsZ	Cell division protein	ftsZ_F1:	ATYATGGARCATATAAARGATAG	54°C	524 bp	Baldo et al. (2006)
		ftsZ_R1:	TCRAGYAATGGATTRGATAT			
fbpA	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	fbpA_F1:	GCTGCTCCRCTTGGYWTGAT	59°C	509 bp	Baldo et al. (2006)
		fbpA_R1:	CCRCCAGARAAAAYYACTATTC			
wsp	Outer surface protein	wsp_F1:	GTCCAATARSTGATGARGAAAC	59°C	546 bp	Baldo et al. (2006)
		wsp_R1:	CYGCACCAAYAGYRCTRTAAA			
groEL	Chaperonin GroEL	groEL-F:	CAACRGTRGSRRYAACTGCDGG	54°C	491 bp	Ros et al. (2009)
		groEL-R:	GATADCCRCGRTCAAAYTGC			
gltA	Citrate synthase	WgltAF1:	TACGATCCAGGGTTTGTTTCTAC	54°C	659 bp	Casiraghi et al. (2005)
		WgltARev2:	CATTTCATACCACTGGGC			



**Figure A3.** Phylogenetic analysis of the *Bemisia* spp. based on whitefly mtCOI gene sequences (657 sites). *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* is used as out group. Reference sequences are obtained from the CSIRO data access portal (De Barro and Boykin 2013). The tree was constructed using a TIM3 + I + G substitution model for Bayesian analysis. Bayesian posterior probabilities are indicated at nodes. The sequences obtained in this study are shown in bold. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.1 substitutions/site. The sequence GenBank accession numbers are shown in parentheses.

Table A4.	Taxonomic	details of	<sup>-</sup> Wolbachia	hosts	and the	GenBank	accession	numbers	of s	equences	included	in	the	anal	ysis
-----------	-----------	------------	------------------------	-------	---------	---------	-----------	---------	------	----------	----------	----	-----	------	------

Phylum	Class	Order	Host species	16S rRNA gene	gltA	groEL	Supergroup
Arthropoda	Insecta	Hymenoptera	Muscidifurax uniraptor	L02882	_	_	A
Arthropoda	Insecta	Hymenoptera	Nasonia vitripennis	M84688	AY714795	AY714812	А
Arthropoda	Prostigmata	Acarina	Bryobia sarothamni	EU499315	-	EU499330	В
Arthropoda	Prostigmata	Acarina	Bryobia praetiosa	EU499317	EU499327	EU499332	В
Arthropoda	Insecta	Hymenoptera	Nasonia vitripennis	M84686	AY714782	AY714796	В
Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Bemisia tabaci	JN204507	-	_	В
Nematoda	Secernentea	Spirurida	Onchocerca ochengi	AJ010276	AJ609640	_	С
Nematoda	Secernentea	Spirurida	Onchocerca gibsoni	AJ276499	AJ609639	AJ609652	С
Nematoda	Secernentea	Spirurida	Dirofilaria repens	AJ276500	_	AJ609653	С
Nematoda	Secernentea	Spirurida	Dirofilaria immitis	Z49261	AJ609641	_	С
Nematoda	Chromadorea	Spirurida	Brugia malayi	AF051145	AJ609643	AE017321	D
Nematoda	Secernentea	Spirurida	Litomosoides sigmodontis	AF069068	AJ609645	AF409113	D
Arthropoda	Collembola	Collembola	Folsomia candida	AF179630	AJ609649	_	E
Arthropoda	Ellipura	Collembola	Mesaphorura macrocheta	AJ422184	-	_	E
Arthropoda	Insecta	Neuroptera	Myrmeleon mobilis	DQ068882	-	_	F
Arthropoda	Insecta	lsoptera	Kalotermes flavicollis	Y11377	AJ609651	AJ609660	F
Nematoda	Secernentea	Spirurida	Mansonella ozzardi	AJ279034	_	AJ609657	F
Arthropoda	Insecta	lsoptera	Zootermopsis nevadensis	AY764280	AY764282	AY764277	Н
Arthropoda	Insecta	Siphonaptera	Ctenocephalides felis	AY335923	AJ609650	AJ609659	I
Arthropoda	Insecta	Siphonaptera	Orchopeas leucopus	AY335924	_	_	I
Nematoda	Secernentea	Spirurida	Dipetalonema gracile	AJ548802	AJ609648	AJ609658	J
Arthropoda	Arachnida	Prostigmata	<i>Bryobia</i> sp.	EU499316	EU499326	EU499331	К
Nematoda	Phasmida	Tylenchida	Radopholus similis	EU833482	_	EU833484	L
Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Tuberolachnus salignu	JN384085	_	_	Μ
Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Aphis sp.	JN384091			Μ
Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Cinara cedri	_	_	JN384053	Μ
Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Toxoptera aurantii	JN384094	_	_	Ν
Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Toxoptera aurantii	JN384095	_	-	Ν
Arthropoda	Insecta	Hemiptera	Bemisia tabaci	KF454771	KF587270	KF452543	0



**Figure A5.** Phylogenetic position of the *Wolbachia* identified from *Bemisia tabaci* putative species Asia II 1 (*wBt*\_10) based on bacterial *rrs* gene sequences (1317 sites). *Wolbachia* strains are characterized by the names of their host species. The tree was constructed using a HKY + G substitution model for Bayesian analysis. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown on the branches. The sequence obtained in this study is shown in bold. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.01 substitutions/site. The names and sequence GenBank accession numbers are listed in Tables A4 and A6.

**Table A6.** Divergence between the *Wolbachia* detected in the putative species Asia II 1 of the *B. tabaci* complex ( $wBt_10$ ) and other supergroups based on 16S rRNA gene sequences.

			GenBank
Host species	Supergroup	Divergence (%)	accession no.
Muscidifurax uniraptor	А	3.73	L02882
Nasonia vitripennis	А	3.64	M84688
Bryobia sarothamni	В	4.98	EU499315
Bryobia praetiosa	В	4.98	EU499317
Bemisia tabaci	В	5.18	JN204507
Nasonia vitripennis	В	4.83	M84686
Onchocerca ochengi	С	5.61	AJ010276
Onchocerca gibsoni	С	5.44	AJ276499
Dirofilaria repens	С	5.99	AJ276500
Dirofilaria immitis	С	5.63	Z49261
Brugia malayi	D	4.59	AF051145
Litomosoides sigmodontis	D	5.15	AF069068
Folsomia candida	E	3.65	AF179630
Mesaphorura macrocheta	E	4.04	AJ422184
Mansonella ozzardi	F	4.95	AJ279034
Myrmeleon mobilis	F	3.90	DQ068882
Kalotermes flavicollis	F	3.70	Y11377
Zootermopsis nevadensis	Н	4.41	AY764280
Ctenocephalides felis	1	7.22	AY335923
Orchopeas leucopus	I.	7.48	AY335924
Dipetalonema gracile	J	5.59	AJ548802
Bryobia sp.	К	3.25	EU499316
Radopholus similis.	L	4.79	EU833482
Tuberolachnus salignu	Μ	2.52	JN384085
Aphis sp.	Μ	2.59	JN384091
Toxoptera aurantii	Ν	4.50	JN384094
Toxoptera aurantii	Ν	4.80	JN384095



**Figure A7.** RFLP pattern of PCR products of *rrs* gene of the *Wolbachia* supergroup O and B in *Bemisia tabaci* corresponding to *Vspl* (*Asel*) digestion. The different profiles were obtained from individuals representing different *Wolbachia* in *B. tabaci*. The bands shown on the bottom are primer dimers. Lane 1, undigested *Wolbachia* O obtained by PCR from Asia II 1; lane 2–4, undigested *Wolbachia* B obtained by PCR from Asia II 7, Asia I and China 1, respectively; lane 5–6, *Wolbachia* PCR amplified production from MEAM1 and MED as controls; lane 8, digested *Wolbachia* O obtained by PCR from Asia II 7, Asia I and China 1, respectively; lane 1; lane 9–11, *Wolbachia* B obtained by PCR from Asia II 7, Asia I and China 1, respectively; lane 12–13, *Wolbachia* PCR amplified production from MEAM1 and MED as controls; lane M, DNA size markers (100, 250, 500, 750, 1000, and 2000 bp from bottom to top).



**Figure A8.** Phylogenetic position of the *Wolbachia* identified from *Bemisia afer* and *B. tabaci* putative species based on bacterial *gatB* gene sequences (369 sites). *Wolbachia* strains are characterized by the names of their host species and allele numbers from the MLST database. The tree was constructed using a TPM2uf + G substitution model for Bayesian analysis. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown on the branches. The sequences obtained in this study are shown in bold. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.1 substitutions/site.



**Figure A9.** Phylogenetic position of the *Wolbachia* identified from *Bemisia afer* and *B. tabaci* putative species based on bacterial *coxA* gene sequences (402 sites). *Wolbachia* strains are characterized by the names of their host species and allele numbers from the MLST database. The tree was constructed using a TIM1 + G substitution model for Bayesian analysis. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown on the branches. The sequences obtained in this study are shown in bold. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.1 substitutions/site.



**Figure A10.** Phylogenetic position of the *Wolbachia* identified from *Bemisia afer* and *B. tabaci* putative species based on bacterial *hcpA* gene sequences (444 sites) using the Neighbor-net method. Each edge (or a set of parallel edges) corresponds to a split in the data set and has length equal to the weight of the split. The sequence obtained in this study is shown in bold. MLST Database allele numbers of *hcpA* sequences are shown in parenthesis. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.01 substitutions/site.



**Figure A11.** Phylogenetic position of the *Wolbachia* identified from *Bemisia afer* and *B. tabaci* putative species based on bacterial *ftsZ* gene sequences (435 sites). *Wolbachia* strains are characterized by the names of their host species and allele numbers from the MLST database. The tree was constructed using a TrN + I substitution model for Bayesian analysis. Bayesian posterior probabilities are shown on the branches. The sequences obtained in this study are shown in bold. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.1 substitutions/site.



**Figure A12.** Phylogenetic position of the *Wolbachia* identified from *Bemisia afer* and *B. tabaci* putative species based on bacterial *fbpA* gene sequences (429 sites) using the Neighbor-net method. Each edge (or a set of parallel edges) corresponds to a split in the data set and has length equal to the weight of the split. The sequences obtained in this study are shown in bold. MLST Database allele numbers of *fbpA* sequences are shown in parenthesis. The bar indicates a branch length of 0.01 substitutions/site.



**Figure A13.** FISH controls. No probe control: Asia II 1; No *Wolbachia* probe control: Asia II 1; *Wolbachia*-free whiteflies control: Mediterranean (harboring *Portiera* and *Hamiltonella*) and Middle East-Asia Minor 1 (harboring *Portiera, Hamiltonella* and *Rickettsia*; Bing et al. 2013a). A–C, G–I: Overlay of channels of *Portiera* (red) and *Wolbachia* (green); D–F, J–L: Overlay of channels of *Portiera* (red), *Wolbachia* (green) and white light.