International Seminars in Surgical Oncology



Research Open Access

Current management of the axilla in patients with clinically node-negative breast cancer: a nationwide survey of United Kingdom breast surgeons

Lucy Mansfield*, Isi Sosa, Roberta Dionello, Ash Subramanian, Haresh Devalia and Kefah Mokbel

Address: St. George's University of London, Blackshaw road, London, SW17 0QT, UK

Email: Lucy Mansfield* - lucymansfield@hotmail.com; Isi Sosa - dr_isi_sosa@yahoo.co.uk; Roberta Dionello - rdionello@gmail.com; Ash Subramanian - ashsubramanian@hotmail.com; Haresh Devalia - hareshdevalia@doctors.org; Kefah Mokbel - kefahmokbel@hotmail.com

Received: 14 November 2006 Accepted: 14 February 2007

* Corresponding author

Published: 14 February 2007

International Seminars in Surgical Oncology 2007, 4:4 doi:10.1186/1477-7800-4-4

This article is available from: http://www.issoonline.com/content/4/1/4

© 2007 Mansfield et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Precise knowledge of axillary lymph node status is essential in the treatment of operable carcinoma of the breast. For many years, axillary nodal clearance (ANC) has been an integral part of the conventional management of early-stage breast cancer. During the last few decades the trend of these surgical procedures has been one of decreasing invasiveness in order to try and achieve a much lower level of morbidity. To help reach this improved level of treatment the concept of the sentinel lymph node (SLN) was utilized. Recent studies have shown that SNB can provide an accurate assessment of the axillary nodal status in clinically node negative patients, negating the need to remove the majority of the axillary contents and thus reducing morbidity. A recent meta-analysis of all the literature to date appears to reveal that the dual technique (blue dye and technetium-labelled sulfur) is the gold-standard for successful identification of the SLN in the context of early-stage breast cancer. We aim to highlight the on-going wide range of differing methods employed, and compare this to the gold-standard recommended guidelines.

Methods: A questionnaire was devised to provide a snapshot overview of the current management of the axilla in patients with clinically node-negative TI invasive breast cancer amongst UK beast surgeons in August 2006.

Results: Of the 271 UK surgeons, 74 (27.3%) performed ANC as the initial management of the axilla in patients with clinically node negative T1 invasive breast cancer, 56 (20.7%) used axillary node sampling (not directed by sentinel node mapping) and a total of 141 (52.0%) used the technique of SNB, of which 50 (18.5%) used blue dye alone and 91 (33.6%) used a combination of blue dye and radioisotope.

Conclusion: Despite the obvious advantages, our survey has revealed that the procedure is only used by 52% of British breast surgeons in this subgroup of patients (clinically node negative, tumour equal of smaller than 2 cm) most of whom have no disease within the axilla. The reasons for this include limited hospital resources and lack of surgeons training and accreditation and ARSAC license (nuclear medicine license).

Background

Precise knowledge of axillary lymph node status is essential in the treatment of operable carcinoma of the breast for a number of reasons. In the first instance it permits the accurate staging of the cancer and aids in the selection of optimal adjuvant therapy. In fact it can be considered to be one of the most important prognostic indicators of disease outcome in women with early breast cancer [1,2]. Patients with histologically involved lymph nodes can anticipate a survival in the region of 50% [2]. For many years, axillary nodal clearance (ANC) has been an integral part of the conventional management of early-stage breast cancer. During the last few decades the trend of these surgical procedures has been one of decreasing invasiveness in order to try and achieve a much lower level of morbidity. To help reach this improved level of treatment the concept of the sentinel lymph node (SLN) was utilized. Since the introduction of sentinel node biopsy (SNB) in the mid 1990's, the optimal management of the axilla in breast cancer patients has become an issue [3]. Recent studies have shown that SNB can provide an accurate assessment of the axillary nodal status in clinically node negative patients, negating the need to remove the majority of the axillary contents [4,5]. In addition, the accuracy of SNB has been well documented, with recent large studies reporting false negative rates in the range of 2-5% [1,6-10]. The advantage of SNB in comparison to ANC is the significant reduction in long-term morbidity associated with the reduced level of tissue trauma [11]. Prospective observational studies have shown no increased incidence of axillary recurrence and confirmed the negligible morbidity associated with the SNB technique [9,12]. An up to date literature review reveals many studies are recommending SNB as the optimal management of the axilla in early stage breast cancer [11,13,19-21]. The aim of this nationwide study is to identify the current management of the axilla in patients with T1, clinically node negative breast cancers by UK breast surgeons. We aim to highlight the on-going wide range of differing methods employed, and compare this to the gold-standard recommended guidelines.

Methods

A questionnaire was devised to provide a snapshot overview of the current management of the axilla in patients with clinically node-negative T1 invasive breast cancer amongst UK beast surgeons in August 2006. This was distributed to all breast surgeons listed in the Royal College of Surgeons database (n = 403). Three questions were proposed: initial procedure performed (ANC, axillary node sampling or SNB), the use of intraoperative frozen section or cytology and the further management plan if the SNB or axillary node sample was identified to contain tumour cells (radiotherapy versus ANC). Importantly we subdivided the initial question to identify how many surgeons

used blue dye alone and how many utilized the dual technique (radioactive isotope and blue dye) in view of the current literature recommendations.

Results

A total of 271 (67.2%) completed questionnaires were received. Of the 271 UK surgeons, 74 (27.3%) performed ANC as the initial management of the axilla in patients with clinically node negative T1 invasive breast cancer, 56 (20.7%) used axillary node sampling (not directed by sentinel node mapping) and a total of 141 (52.0%) used the technique of SNB, of which 50 (18.5%) used blue dye alone and 91 (33.6%) used a combination of blue dye and radioisotope. Amongst the surgeons who performed SNB using blue dye alone 26 also sent an additional fournode sample. 13 of the surgeons using the dual technique for sentinel node biopsy also did this.

With regards to the use of intra-operative examination, 7 surgeons (2.58%) used intra-operative frozen section to identify malignant cells within axillary lymph nodes, and 8 (2.95%) used cytological techniques. One surgeon used both frozen section and intra-operative cytology.

Amongst the 197 (72.7%) surgeons who did not undertake ANC as the initial management of clinically nodenegative T1 invasive breast cancer, 124 (62.9%) proceeded directly to axillary node clearance if the sentinel node biopsy or four-node sample was positive for malignancy. However 31 (15.7%) completed treatment with radiotherapy alone. 8 (4.06%) used both axillary node clearance and radiotherapy. 33 (16.8%) used either axillary node clearance or radiotherapy dependent on a number of factors including extent of disease/number of positive nodes on axillary sampling, histological grade of the breast cancer, patient preference and general health/ co-morbidities of the patient involved.

Discussion

By definition, the sentinel node is the first node to which lymphatic drainage from the breast reaches. Thus these nodes are the most likely to harbor tumour cells if a breast cancer has indeed entered the lymphatics. These are usually located within the axilla, cranial to the intercostobrachial nerve, within 2 cm of the lateral edge to the pectoralis minor muscle [14]. However they may be found as an internal mammary node, a supraclavicular node or even a contralateral axillary node. The latter are very unusual. A tracer substance (a radioactive isotope, blue dye, and often both) injected into the breast provides a roadmap leading to the SLN(s). This follows the premise that the material (dye) will migrate through the lymphatics of the breast to the first lymph node(s) draining the tumour. These nodes are then removed and examined

microscopically for the presence of metastatic tumour cells, often by frozen section techniques.

The SLN concept was initially introduced by Cabanas in 1977 [15]. He applied it to the management of penile cancer. The technique was popularised in the 1980s and early 1990s in the management of melanoma by Morton et al [16]. They used the injection of isosulfan blue dye to allow visualisation as it flowed through and stained lymphatic channels and nodes, enabling identification, excision and assessment of blue-stained nodes. In the early 1990s the SLN concept was applied to the management of breast cancer patients. These initial efforts followed the progress made in the management of melanoma, predominantly with the use of both radiotracer and blue-dye techniques to help identify the SLN. The first description of the use of SNB within the context of breast cancer was by Krag et al in 1993 [14].

International acceptance of the SNB over routine ANC is based on several considerations. In the first instance it is a much less invasive procedure that may be performed under local anaesthesia, often on an outpatient basis. It is well documented that it is associated with a much lower risk of common morbidities which are recognized features of full ANC. The results of the ALMANAC (a large prospective randomized control trial) support the use of SNB in patients with clinically node negative beast cancer in view of the benefits regarding arm functioning and quality of life [21]. In addition, the SNB allows the pathologist to study the few SLNs in greater detail compared with the larger number of lymph nodes removed in ANC. This leads to a greater degree of accuracy.

The SNB remains to be standardized universally; the methods, materials and patient selection vary by institution and surgeon. Identification of the SLN using 99 mtechnetium-labelled sulfur was shown to be successful by Krag et al [3]. Giulian et al [14] demonstrated the same findings using blue dye and Albertini et al [17] used the dual technique, i.e. a combination of the two. Initial identification rates were reported at 82%, 66% and 92% respectively [3,14,17]. A recent meta-analysis of all the literature to date appears to reveal that the dual technique is the gold-standard for successful identification of the SLN in the context of early-stage breast cancer [18].

A study by Smidt et al from 1998 to 2003 [22] revealed that although axillary recurrences after a negative SNB do occur, this is at a much lower rate than would be expected on the basis of histological figures and the false negative SLN findings. The axillary recurrence rate in the literature is quoted as 0.25% [22]. Following review of the results of this study, the natural history of axillary relapse after neg-

ative SNB resembles the locoregional recurrence of breast cancer [22].

In comparison to the success of SNB, ANC appears to have a limited role in the initial management of early stage breast cancer. The majority (in the region of 70%) of women with clinically node negative axilla will prove to be microscopically negative as well [13]. As mentioned previously the ALMANAC study performed in the United Kingdom has shown that compared with standard treatment, SNB is quicker, does not require drain usage, is associated with a shorter hospital stay but more importantly, it significantly reduces the morbidity for the patient. The SNB is gradually becoming a new standard of care in patients with early breast cancer. However certain criteria should be fulfilled for its safe application. Despite the obvious advantages, our survey has revealed that the procedure is only used by 52% of British breast surgeons in this subgroup of patients (clinically node negative, tumour equal of smaller than 2 cm) most of whom have no disease within the axilla. This percentage is well below that reported in a similar study in the USA (74% in 2001 and likely to be much higher now) [23]. Of these American surgeons, 89% used both sulfur colloid radioisotope and isosulfan blue dye. This can be compared to only 33.6% (64.5% of those that perform the SNB) of British surgeons utilizing this optimal approach [24]. Intra-operative assessment of the sentinel lymph node is performed by a minority of British surgeons. This is most likely to be the consequence of limited resources. The implication of this finding is that a significant number of patients are undergoing a second surgical procedure if the SNB is found to contain malignant cells.

The reasons for the low percentage of British breast surgeons performing SNB include limited hospital resources and lack of surgeons' training and accreditation and ARSAC license (nuclear medicine license). The National Health Service (NHS) focuses on targets and the financial difficulties encountering most NHS hospitals are contributing to the low prevalence of the optimal application of this procedure. Hospitals and surgeons should therefore be encouraged to make the optimal technique of SNB available to their patients as a good alternative to ANC. The SNB in breast cancer training course (NEW START) is a unique team-based national training programme in the technique comprising theoretical teaching lasting one day and on-site proctored training. A validation series of 30 cases, which is audited centrally, is performed by each surgeon participating. Despite the figures produced from this survey, the prevalence of the SNB in the UK should improve over the next few years. NEW START has current data on over 2500 patients in the programme (all using isotope), thus there are 80-100 surgeons currently in training with an estimated 80% of British hospitals that

have signed up for training but have yet all to complete their validation phase. The programme has now become international with training taking place in Norway and India, with visits planned for China next year.

Within this survey we did not investigate the use of routine preoperative lymphoscintigraphy to facilitate sentinel node identification. However recent studies [25] suggest that although it may be valuable for surgeons within the learning phase or in patients who have increased risk of intraoperative failed localisation (obese or elderly patients), this step is not essential to successful SNB given the time and cost required to perform this. In addition we did not examine the practice patterns of internal mammary dissection due to the lack of conclusive evidence that this procedure has a significant clinical benefit [26].

Competing interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

LM was responsible for all literature reviews, data analysis and writing of the manuscript.

IS was responsible for design and distribution of the questionnaire.

RD was involved in data collection and analysis.

AS was involved in all literature reviews and editing of the manuscript.

HD contributed to the final editing of the manuscript.

KM conceived of the study and participated in its design and coordination, in addition to the final edit of the manuscript.

References

- Bonadonna G, Valagussa P, Moliterni A, Zambetti M, Brambilla C: Adjuvant cyclophosphamide, methotrexate and flurouracil in node-positive breast cancer: the results of 20 years of follow-up. N Engl J Med 1995, 332:901-6.
- Fisher B, Wolmark N, Bauer M, Wolmark N, Wickerham DL, Deutsch M, Montague E, Margolese R, Foster R: The accuracy of clinical nodal staging and of limited axillary dissection as a determinant of histological nodal status in carcinoma of the breast. Surg Gynaecol Obstet 1981, 152:765-72.
- Chung MA, Steinhoff MM, Cady B: Clinical axillary recurrence in breast cancer patients after a negative sentinel node biopsy. Am J Surg 2002, 184:310-14.
- Albertini JJ, Lyman GH, Cox C, Yeatman T, Balducci L, Ku N, Shivers S, Berman C, Wells K, Rapaprt D, Shons A, Horton J, Greenberg H, Nicosia S, Clark R, Cantor A, Reintgen DS: Lymphatic mapping and sentinel node biopsy in patients with breast cancer. JAMA 1996. 276:1818-22.
- Veronesi U, Paganelli G, Galimberti V, Viale G, Zurrida S, Bedoni M, Costa A, De Cicco C, Geraghty JG, Luini A, Sacchini V, Veronesi P: Sentinel node biopsy to avoid axillary dissection in breast

- cancer with clinically negative lymph nodes. Lancet 1997, 349:1864-7.
- Cox CE, Bass SS, McCann CR, Ku NN, Berman C, Durand K, Bolano M, Wang J, Peltz E, Cox S, Salud C, Reintgen DS, Lyman GH: Lymphatic mapping and sentinel node biopsy in patients with breast cancer. Annu Rev Med 2000, 51:525-42.
- Cody HS III, Fey J, Akhurst T, Fazzari M, Mazumdar M, Yeung H, Yeh SD, Borgen Pl: Complementarity of blue due and isotope in sentinel node localisation for breast: univariate and multivariate analysis of 966 procedures. Ann Surg Oncol 2001, 8:13-19.
- McMasters KM, Tuttle TM, Carlson DJ, Brown CM, Noyes RD, Glaser RL, Vennekotter DJ, Turk PS, Tate PS, Sardi A, Cerrito PB, Edwards MJ: Sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer: a suitable alternative to routine axillary dissection in multi-institutional practice when optimal technique is used. J Clin Oncol 2000, 18:2560-6.
- 9. Giuliano AE, Haigh PI, Brennan MB, Hansen NM, Kelley MC, Ye W, Glass EC, Turner RR: Prospective observational study of sentinel lymphadenectomy without further axillary dissection in patients with sentinel node-negative breast cancer. J Clin Oncol 2000, 18:2553-9.
- Hill AD, Tran KN, Akhurst T, Yeung H, Yeh SD, Rosen PP, Borgen PI, Cody HS III: Lessons learned from 500 cases of lymphatic mapping for breast cancer. Ann Surg 1999, 229:528-35.
- Schulze T, Mucke J, Markwardt J, Schlag PM, Bembenek A: Longterm morbidity of patients with early breast cancer after sentinel lymph node biopsy compared to axillary lymph node dissection. J Surg Oncol 2006, 93:109-119.
- Veronesi U, Galimberti V, Zurrida S, Pigatto F, Veronesi P, Robertson C, Paganelli G, Sciascia V, Viale G: Sentinel node biopsy as an indicator for axillary dissection in early breast cancer. Eur J Cancer 2001. 37:454-8.
- Schwartz GF: Clinical practice guidelines for the use of axillary sentinel lymph node biopsy in carcinoma of the breast: current update. Breast J 2004, 10(2):85-8. Review
- Krag DN, Weaver DL, Alex JC, Fairbank JT: Surgical resection and radiolocalisation of the sentinel lymph node in breast cancer using a gamma probe. Surg Oncol 1993, 2:335-40.
- Cabanas RM: An approach for the treatment of penile cancer. Cancer 1977, 39:456-466.
- Morton DL, Wanek L, Nizze JA, Elashoff RM, Wong JH: Improved long-term survival after lymphadenectomy of melanoma metastatic to regional lymph nodes. Analysis of prognostic factors in 1134 patients from John Wayne Cancer Clinic. Ann Surg 1991, 214:491-499.
- Giuliano AE, Kirgan DM, Guenther JM, Morton D: Lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymphadenectomy for breast cancer. Ann Surg 1994, 220:391-401.
- Kim T, Giuliano , Lyman G: Lymphatic mapping and sentinel lymph node biopsy in early-stage breast carcinoma. Cancer 2006, 106(1):4-16.
- Cox C, White L, Allred N, Meyers M, Dickson D, Dupont E, Cantor A, Ly Q, Dessaureault S, King J, Nicosia S, Vrcel V, Diaz N: Survival outcomes in node-negative breast cancer patients evaluated with complete axillary node dissection versus sentinel lymph node biopsy. Ann Surg Oncol 2006, 13(5):708-11.
- McNeil C: Guidelines promote use of sentinel node biopsy in breast cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2005, 97(23):1718-9.
- Fleissig A, Fallowfield LJ, Langridge CI, Johnson L, Newcombe RG, Dixon JM, Kissin M, Mansel RE: Post-operative arm morbidity and quality of life. Results of the ALMANAC randomised trail comparing sentinel node biopsy with standard axillary treatment in the management of patients with early breast cancer. Breast Cancer Re Treat 2006, 95(3):279-93.
- Smidt ML, Janssen CM, Kuster DM, Bruggink ED, Strobbe LJ: Axillary recurrence after a negative sentinel node biopsy for breast cancer: incidence and clinical significance. Ann Surg Oncol 2005, 12(1):29-33.
- Davis KG, Schriver JP: Prevalence of teaching sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer in general surgery residency programs. Curr Surg 2002, 59(4):420-2.
- 24. McMasters KM, Tuttle TM, Carlson DJ, Brown CM, Noyes RD, Glaser RL, Vennekotter DJ, Turk PS, Tate PS, Sardi A, Cerrito PB, Edwards MJ: Sentinel lymph node biopsy for breast cancer: a suitable alternative to routine axillary dissection in multi-

- institutional practice when optimal technique is used. *J Clin Oncol* 2000, **18(13):**2560-6.
- 25. Goyal A, Newcombe RG, Mansel RE, Chetty U, Ell P, Fallowfield L, Kissin M, Sibbering M: Role of preoperative lymphoscintigraphy in sentinel node biopsy for breast cancer. Eur J Cancer 2005, 41(2):238-43.
- Mansel RE, Goyal A, Newcombe RG: Internal mammary node drainage and its role in sentinel lymph node biopsy: the initial ALMANAC experience. Clin Breast Cancer 2004, 5(4):279-84.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- \bullet yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

