



Article Spatial-Temporal Evolution and Driving Forces of NDVI in China's Giant Panda National Park

Mengxin Pu¹, Yinbing Zhao^{1,2,3,4,*}, Zhongyun Ni^{1,2,4}, Zhongliang Huang¹, Wanlan Peng², Yi Zhou², Jingjing Liu² and Yingru Gong²

- ¹ College of Earth Sciences, Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu 610059, China; pumengxin@163.com (M.P.); nizhongyun2012@mail.cdut.edu.cn (Z.N.); hzl19961002@gmail.com (Z.H.)
- ² College of Tourism and Urban-Rural Planning, Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu 610059, China; pengwanlan@stu.cdut.edu.cn (W.P.); zhouyi1@stu.cdut.edu.cn (Y.Z.); lj0011822@gmail.com (J.L.); yr852587432@163.com (Y.G.)
- ³ Hebei Province Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecology and Conservation, Hengshui University, Hengshui 053000, China
- ⁴ International Network for Environment and Health, School of Geography and Archaeology & Ryan Institute, National University of Ireland, Galway (NUIG), H91 CF50 Galway, Ireland
- * Correspondence: zhaoyinbing06@cdut.cn

Abstract: Identifying the ecological evolution trends and vegetation driving mechanisms of giant panda national parks can help to improve the protection of giant panda habitats. Based on the research background of different geomorphological zoning, we selected the MODIS NDVI data from 2000 to 2020 to analyze the NDVI trends using a univariate linear model. A partial correlation analysis and multiple correlation analysis were used to reveal the influence of temperature and precipitation on NDVI trends. Fourteen factors related to meteorological factors, topographic factors, geological activities, and human activities were selected, and the Geographically Weighted Regression model was used to study the mechanisms driving NDVI change. The results were as follows: (1) The NDVI value of Giant Panda National Park has fluctuated and increased in the past 21 years, with an annual growth rate of 4.7%/yr. Affected by the Wenchuan earthquake in 2008, the NDVI value fluctuated greatly from 2008 to 2012, and reached its peak in 2018. (2) The NDVI in 94% of the study area improved, and the most significant improvement areas were mainly distributed in the northern and southern regions of Southwest Subalpine and Middle Mountain and the Xiaoxiangling area. Affected by the distribution of fault zones and their local activities, vegetation degradation was concentrated in the Dujiangyan-Anzhou area of Hengduan Mountain Alpine Canyon. (3) The Geographically Weighted Regression analysis showed that natural factors were dominant, with climate and elevation having a double-factor enhancement effect, the peak acceleration of ground motion and fault zone having a superimposed effect, and river density and slope having a double effect, all of which had a significant impact on the NDVI value of the surrounding area. To optimize the ecological security pattern of the Giant Panda National Park, we recommended strengthening the construction of ecological security projects through monitoring meteorological changes, preventing, and controlling geo-hazards, and optimizing the layout and intensity of human activities.

Keywords: vegetation; geographically weighted regression; climate change; Wenchuan earthquake; ecological security

1. Introduction

The giant panda is an important national protected animal in China, and changes in its habitat quality have received extensive attention from researchers. Affected by urban and rural construction activities, the fragmentation of giant panda habitats is serious, and the natural and anthropogenic threats to the habitat quality continue to intensify [1–3]. National parks are key areas for strictly protecting biodiversity in various countries [4], with



Citation: Pu, M.; Zhao, Y.; Ni, Z.; Huang, Z.; Peng, W.; Zhou, Y.; Liu, J.; Gong, Y. Spatial-Temporal Evolution and Driving Forces of NDVI in China's Giant Panda National Park. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2022**, 19, 6722. https://doi.org/10.3390/ ijerph19116722

Academic Editor: Paul B. Tchounwou

Received: 6 May 2022 Accepted: 26 May 2022 Published: 31 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). the purpose of achieving effective ecological protection, rational utilization of resources and sustainable social and economic development [5,6]. In order to adapt to the multidepartmental collaborative management of giant panda reserves, the Chinese government proposed the establishment of the China Giant Panda National Park (CGPNP) in 2017 and announced its formal establishment in 2021 [7]; this balances the needs of regional ecological protection and socio-economic development and continuously improves the ability of biodiversity protection to balance the needs of regional ecological protection and socio-economic conservation [8,9]. Vegetation in CGPNP is affected by the complex interaction of ecological elements such as soil, atmosphere, and water, and is also an important medium for natural ecosystems and human production activities [10]. Among many types of remote sensing data, the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is a sensitive parameter of surface vegetation coverage and vegetation growth which reflects the difference between the radiation absorption in the red spectral region caused by chlorophyll and the reflectivity of canopy structure caused by the NIR spectral region, and it can effectively characterize the vegetation environment and its changes and effects [11,12]. The NDVI generated from remote sensing data have the advantage of a long time series, wide coverage, and high spatial resolution [13], and there are many cases of NDVI being used to monitor national park vegetation, ecological environments and their changes all over the world, including passive monitoring over a long time series [14], vegetation and climate coupling characteristics research [15], vegetation phenology characteristics research [16], and so on.

Many studies have been conducted on vegetation changes in CGPNP reserves in China [17], but these study areas consisted mainly of independent reserves in CGPNP, and the data used are mostly SAR images [18–20]. Although the use of SAR image improves the accuracy of image recognition, the coverage area of SAR images is small and the period is limited, so it is not suitable for long-term dynamic monitoring of vegetation. The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) can better solve this problem and can be used for monitoring the vegetation environment and its changes in CGPNP. The research on the trends of changes in vegetation environments is the key component of dynamic monitoring, and the methods involved include the linear regression analysis [21], Mann–Kendall test [22,23], BFAST trend analysis and Theil–Sen median slope trend analysis [24]. Among these, the linear regression analysis is a more effective method in this kind of research.

The driving mechanisms of climate and other factors based on the trends of changes in NDVI form the basis for the formulation of national park protection strategies. Studying the response mechanism of climate change to NDVI changes is of great significance in predicting vegetation dynamics [25]. In the global research on the relationship between vegetation and climate, the climate-driving mechanisms in different regions show significant geographical differentiation [26–29]. The CGPNP is located in a climate transition zone, and climate drives NDVI with great complexity and uncertainty. Most previous studies on NDVI drivers in this region have only considered climatic factors. For example, Lin et al. focused on the two factors of temperature and precipitation in their research on NDVIdriving forces in North China [30], and Liu et al. also only considered the spatial characteristics of climate factors in the study of vegetation change in China [31]. The impact of geological activities, topography, and human activities was not considered sufficiently [32]. Affected by the 12 May 2008 Wenchuan earthquake and its triggered geo-hazards, the local NDVI decreased rapidly and the habitat quality deteriorated seriously [33,34]. In the more than 10 years since the earthquake, the ecological geological environment has undergone great changes, which has increased the spatial instability and complexity of the analysis of the driving forces of NDVI changes [35]. At present, there are many research methods on NDVI's driving forces, such as the enhanced regression tree model [36], the Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) model [37], the correlation analysis method [38] and residual trend analysis [39], most of which are only used for unilateral aspects such as climate factors, ignoring the correlation and coupling between multiple factors and failing to take into account the spatial difference of the action of driving factors. To study the driving

mechanisms of NDVI changes, the GWR model is a suitable choice because it enables one to change the parameter settings on the local scale, facilitates the determination of local coefficients, and can solve the problems of spatial instability and scale dependence to a certain extent in the analysis [40,41]. The GWR model can reveal the spatiotemporal variability between each driving factor and vegetation activity by studying the spatial non-stationary relationship between each driving factor and NDVI change value.

Aiming at the complex eco-geological environment of CGPNP, the MODIS NDVI data products were selected, and the Savitzky–Golay filter was used to construct NDVI serial data from 2000 to 2020. In this study, the univariate linear model was used to monitor the interannual NDVI trends in the study area based on geomorphological zoning. The GWR model was used to identify the driving mechanisms of NDVI trends by integrating the effects of natural and human factors. Finally, combined with the temporal and spatial differentiation characteristics and driving mechanisms of NDVI change, eco-geological environmental protection countermeasures were proposed according to the local conditions.

2. Study Area and Data Processing

2.1. Study Area

The CGPNP is located in the ecological barrier area of the Sichuan–Yunnan Loess Plateau in the "two screens and three belts" ecological barrier area in China's ecological security strategy [42] with the largest population, protected area type and quantity in China [43]. The establishment of CGPNP brings together various nature reserves and increases the connectivity of giant panda habitats [44–46]. From northeast to southwest, the Sichuan Area of China Giant Panda National Park (SCOCGPNP) consists of seven cities (prefectures), including 19 counties (cities and districts). It spans five areas: Qinling Mountains, Baishui River, Minshan Mountain, Qionglai Mountain–Daxiangling, and Xiaoxiangling (Figure 1a). The SCOCGPNP ranges from 102°27' to 105°57' E and 29°42' to 33°34' N, covering an area of 20,177 km². As the study area is located in the transition area from a subtropical zone to a warm temperate zone (Figure 1b) [47], the average annual precipitation is 830 mm, and the average temperature is 10–17 °C. In the study area, the altitude decreases from northwest to southeast, and the vertical distribution of vegetation is obvious: subtropical evergreen deciduous forest, evergreen deciduous broad-leaved mixed forest, temperate coniferous forest, cold temperate coniferous forest, shrub, and meadow.

In order to fully describe the influence of geomorphology on the basement of NDVI and its changes, the study area was divided into five geomorphological areas (Figure 1c) [48], including the Baishui River–Minshan Area of Southwest Subalpine and Middle Mountain (BSMS), the Minshan Area of Hengduan Mountain Alpine Canyon (MS), the Dujiangyan– Anzhou Area of Hengduan Mountain Alpine Canyon (DA), the Daxiangling Area of Hengduan Mountain Alpine Canyon (DXL), and the Daxiangling-Xiaoxiangling Area of Southwest Subalpine and Middle Mountain (DXLXXL).

2.2. Data Sources

2.2.1. MODIS NDVI Data

In this research, the Moderate-resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) spectral imager on the EOS/Terra satellite was used to obtain MOD13A1 products, among which MODIS VI products can be used to monitor the terrestrial photosynthetic vegetation activities of the earth and support the phenology and change monitoring of vegetation in national parks. The NDVI data from 2000 to 2020 in this research were downloaded from the official website of NASA (https://earthdata.nasa.gov/, accessed on 20 February 2021). The product used in this research was the collection 6 data set. Compared with the collection V data set, the 8-day surface reflection data (pre-synthesized based on the Terra and Aqua data) were used, the CV-MVC synthesis method was modified, and the necessary SDS was adjusted to reflect the new input data flow, which improves the change-detection ability of the product [49]. We selected the best available pixel value from the data set



collected in 16 days with a resolution of 500 m, resulting in NDVI values with low cloud cover and low viewing angles [50].

Figure 1. (a) Location of the study area. (b) Climatic zoning. (c) Geomorphological zoning.

2.2.2. Driving Factors

The GWR model was selected to explore the driving mechanism of various factors influencing NDVI trends, and five categories and 14 variables were selected (Table 1).

As the main controlling factor of vegetation structure, composition, and distribution, there is a strong correlation between precipitation and NDVI [51]. Since temperature can regulate the photosynthesis of vegetation [52], annual mean temperature and variability were used as analytical indicators (Figure 2a–d).

Located in a geomorphological boundary area, the study area has complex geomorphology. Among the topographic factors, elevation often determines the temperature and CO_2 content of vegetation growth (Figure 2e), slope represents soil moisture and sunlight exposure for vegetation growth (Figure 2f), and aspect also has a certain impact on vegetation lighting conditions [53] (Figure 2g).

Located near the Longmenshan fault zone, the crustal activity in the study area affects the growth of vegetation, the looseness of soil, and the stability of its growth state. The Euclidean distance from fault (Figure 2h) and the peak acceleration of ground motion (Figure 2i) were used to characterize the activity of the geological activities [54].

Variable Class	Variable Name	Definition and Units	Data Sources	Spatial Resolution	
	TEM_MN	Annual mean precipitation 2000–2020 (mm/yr)		1 km	
Climatic	PRE_MN	Annual mean temperature 2000–2020 (°C/yr)	National Oinghai	1 km	
	TEM_BT	<i>t</i> -test grading of precipitation trends (OLS) during the growing season 2000–2020		1 km	
	PRE_BT	<i>t</i> -test grading of temperature trends (OLS) during the growing season 2000–2020		1 km	
Geomorphological	ELEVATION	Elevation represents macroscopic geomorphology (m)	Geospatial data 30 m		
	SLOPE	Slope represents groundcutting condition (°)	Geospatial data cloud ^b	30 m	
	ASPECT	Aspect represents ground orientation			
Geological activities —	ED_FAULT	Euclidean distance from fault (m)	China Geological Survey ^c	Vector	
	PGA	Peak ground acceleration (g) China earthqu administration		Vector	
Human activity —	ED_BLAND	Euclidean distance from built-up land (m)	Data Sharing and Service Portal ^e	30 m	
	DEN_ROAD	Road density (km/km ²)	National Geomatics Center of China ^f	Vector	
Others —	DEN_RIVER	River density (km/km ²)	National Geomatics Center of China ^f	Vector	
	LUCC	Land-use change index	European Space Agency ^g 300 m		

Table 1. Data sources.

^a http://data.tpdc.ac.cn/zh-hans/ (accessed on 25 February 2021); ^b http://www.gscloud.cn/ (accessed on 5 May 2021); ^c https://www.cgs.gov.cn/ (accessed on 20 May 2021); ^d https://www.cea.gov.cn/ (accessed on 20 May 2021); ^e http://data.casearth.cn/en/ (accessed on 16 April 2021); ^f http://www.ngcc.cn/ngcc/ (accessed on 18 April 2021); ^g https://www.esa.int/ (accessed on 25 April 2021).

Human activities around construction land, such as logging, grazing, fire, etc., which disturb the original structure of the vegetation landscape, are closely related to changes in the NDVI [55]. In addition, most of the study area is mountainous. Therefore, compared with nighttime light data and POI data, choosing the Euclidean distance for construction land can more intuitively characterize the intensity of human activities, and can exclude errors caused by terrain fluctuations and weak human activities (Figure 2j). The construction and use of roads have a devastating impact on the ecological environment, and the towns and villages connected by them imply the impact of human activities. The denser the road distribution (Figure 2k), the more serious the damage to the vegetation [31]; so the road density was selected as one influencing factor. Due to the large proportion of forest land and mountainous terrain in the study area, the dynamic change in human activities was small, so the static data of 2020 were selected to characterize the impact of human activities.



Figure 2. Driving factors used for GWR Model. (a) Temperature mean value; (b) temperature slope; (c) precipitation mean value; (d) precipitation slope; (e) elevation; (f) slope; (g) aspect; (h) Euclidean distance from fault; (i) seismic peak acceleration; (j) Euclidean distance from built-up land; (k) road density; (l) river density; (m) land-use change index; (n) NDVI in 2000.

The distribution of rivers affects the stability of regional NDVI and controls ecological changes and landscape dynamics [56]; therefore, the river density was chosen to characterize its impact (Figure 2l). Different land-use types represent different NDVI values and different NDVI change possibilities. For example, the conversion of construction land or cultivated land to grassland or forest land is beneficial to the improvement of NDVI [57]. In the same geographical environment, the order of NDVI values from high to low is forest land > cultivated land > grassland [58]; whereas a negative value of NDVI usually represents water [59]. Referring to this law, the forest land, cultivated land, grassland,

construction land, water bodies, and other land types in the initial and final years were designated as 25, 15, 10, 0, -5, and 0, respectively (Figure 2m). A measure of the transformation of land-use types was obtained by subtracting the initial year from the final year. The NDVI value of the starting year in 2000 was used to characterize the vegetation trends and to assess whether the regional vegetation had reached a saturated state [60] (Figure 2n).

Different driving factors have spatial differences in different partitions (Table A1) and applying this fact to the GWR model is conducive to the discussion of the driving mechanisms of NDVI.

2.3. Method

2.3.1. Data Preprocessing

We selected the annual data synthesized from monthly data from 2000 to 2020 as the research data of long time series. There are various synthesis methods available for NDVI data, such as the average value method (AVM), maximum value composite (MVC), time series reconstruction method, and so on. To remove the NDVI outliers in multi-temporal images to a certain extent [61], the MVC method was selected to synthesize the data with a period of 16 days to obtain the monthly NDVI value from 2000 to 2020, so as to eliminate the deviation caused by atmospheric interference, solar elevation, cloud coverage, etc. To eliminate the noise caused by sensor error or cloud cover in data acquisition, Savitzky–Golay filtering was carried out on the NDVI value of continuous time series, and the NDVI value images of 21 consecutive years from 2000 to 2020 were obtained (Figure A1).

2.3.2. NDVI Change Detection

The univariate linear regression analysis model monitors the NDVI time series through the regression time function. The model can calculate the interannual variability of vegetation, which is the slope of the linear regression equation [62]. The calculation formula is as follows:

$$\theta_{slope} = \frac{n \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} i \times \text{NDVI}_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} i \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{NDVI}_i}{n \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} i)^2}$$
(1)

where *n* represents the time span and *i* represents the NDVI value in the *i*th year; when $\theta_{slope} > 0$, it indicates that NDVI is in an improved state, and when $\theta_{slope} < 0$, it indicates that NDVI is degraded.

The *t*-test was used to obtain the significance of the temporal trend of NDVI:

$$t = \frac{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2}{s \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}}} \tag{2}$$

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{n_1 S_1^2 + n_2 S_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \tag{3}$$

where \overline{x}_1 and \overline{x}_2 represent the mean of the two subsamples, n_1 and n_2 represent the number of the two subsamples and S_1 and S_2 represent the standard deviation of the two subsamples.

Through the above process, the change in slope of NDVI and *t*-test results were obtained. The θ_{slope} was divided into improvement and degradation, with the value of 0 as the boundary. Two confidence levels of 0.01 and 0.05 were selected in the *t*-test to evaluate the significance of the change in NDVI. A *p*-value of 0.01 < *p* < 0.05 was considered significant, *p* < 0.01 was extremely significant, and *p* > 0.05 was considered insignificant. The combination of the two can be used to divide the NDVI trend into six categories: extremely significant degradation, insignificant degradation, insignificant improvement, significant improvement, and extremely significant improvement (Table 2).

θ_{slope}	p	Significance Test Classification
$\theta_{slope} < 0$	$\begin{array}{c} p \leq 0.01 \\ 0.01 0.05 \end{array}$	Extremely significant degradation Significant degradation Insignificant degradation
$\theta_{slope} > 0$	$\begin{array}{c} p > 0.05 \\ 0.01$	No significant improvement Significant improvement Extremely significant improvement

Table 2. Significance classification criteria.

2.3.3. NDVI Climate-Driven Analysis

In order to describe the correlation between NDVI, precipitation, and temperature, the partial correlation coefficient and multiple correlation coefficient were selected as quantitative indicators to test significance, and the study area was divided into different climate-driving types according to the test results.

A partial correlation analysis can measure the correlation between two factors under the exclusion of other factors [63]. The formula for calculating the partial correlation coefficient between temperature, precipitation, and NDVI is as follows:

$$r_{123} = \frac{r_{12} - r_{13} * r_{23}}{\sqrt{(1 - r_{13}^2) * (1 - r_{23}^2)}}$$
(4)

$$r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 * \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$$
(5)

where r_{12} , r_{13} , and r_{23} are the correlation coefficients between NDVI and temperature, NDVI and precipitation, and temperature and precipitation; r_{123} is the partial correlation coefficient between the two parameters based on the third parameter.

A statistically significant confidence level of 0.05 was selected, and a *t*-test was performed on the results of partial correlation analysis to obtain the significance between climate-driving factors.

$$t = \frac{r_{123}}{\sqrt{1 - r_{123}^2}}\sqrt{n - m - 1} \tag{6}$$

where *n* is the number of samples and *m* is the independent variable.

A multiple correlation analysis was used to study the degree of correlation between NDVI and precipitation and temperature, thereby revealing the driving mechanism of climate on NDVI changes.

$$r_{x,yz} = \sqrt{1 - (1 - r_{xy}^2)(1 - r_{xz,y}^2)}$$
(7)

A significant F-test was performed on the results of the multiple correlation analysis:

$$F = \frac{r^2_{x,yz}}{1 - r^2_{x,yz}} \times \frac{n - k - 1}{k}$$
(8)

where *n* is the number of samples and *k* is the number of independent variables.

Based on the existing precipitation, temperature, and NDVI data, a partial correlation and multiple correlation analysis were carried out to test the correlation of the two coefficients. In the partial correlation analysis, the *t*-test with a confidence level of 0.05 was used to divide the precipitation-driving type and temperature-driving type pixel by pixel. In the multiple correlation analysis, the F-test with a confidence level of 0.01 was used to determine whether it was a climate-driven region. The combination of the two can be used to obtain the climate-driven zoning map in the study area (Table 3).

Driven Type	Zoning Criteria				
Driven Type	r NDVI P, T *a	r NDVI T, P * ^b	r NDVI P, T * ^c		
Precipitation-driven Temperature-driven	$\underline{t} \ge t_{0.05} *^1$	$\underline{t} \ge t_{0.05}$	$\begin{array}{c} F \geq F_{0.01} \ ^{*2} \\ F \geq F_{0.01} \end{array}$		
Temperature- and precipitation-driven Other driving modes	$\underline{t} \ge t_{0.05}$	$\underline{t} \ge t_{0.05}$	$\begin{array}{l} F \geq F_{0.01} \\ F \leq F_{0.01} \end{array}$		

Table 3. Zoning criteria for climate-driving factors.

*a Partial correlation coefficient between NDVI and temperature. *b Partial correlation coefficient between NDVI and precipitation. *c Multiple correlation coefficient between precipitation and temperature. *1 Confidence *t*-test significance level of 0.05. *2 Confidence F-test significance level of 0.01.

2.3.4. NDVI-Driving Force Analysis Based on the GWR Model

The GWR model, which is a spatial decomposition of traditional regression models, can be extended via the estimation of local parameters. The parameters of each spatial point in the entire model are independently quantified, which is often used to test the existence of spatial non-stationarity in the relationship between dependent variables and independent variables [64]. The model can be used to characterize the effects of geology, meteorology, and human activities on vegetation coverage at different spatial locations. The GWR technique extends the traditional global regression by adding a geolocation parameter, and the formula is as follows:

$$y_{i} = \beta_{o} (\mu_{i}, v_{i}) + \sum_{k=1}^{p} \beta_{k}(\mu_{i}, v_{i}) x_{ik} + \varepsilon_{i}, \ i = 1, 2, \dots n$$
(9)

where y_i is the dependent variable, x is the independent variable of the explanatory factor, $\beta_0(\mu_i, v_i)$ represents the intercept at position i, $\beta_k(\mu_i, v_i)$ represents the local parameter estimation of the explanatory variable x_{ik} at position i, and ε_i is the random error term at point i.

The estimated coefficients of GWR are weighted according to the observations and the spatial proximity of a particular point *i*. The parameters can be estimated using the rectangular equation:

$$\hat{\beta}(\mu, v) = \left(X^T W(\mu_i, v_i) X\right)^{-1} X^T W(\mu_i, v_i) Y$$
(10)

where $\beta(\mu, v)$ represents the unbiased estimate of the regression coefficient β , $W(\mu_i, v_i)$ is the weighting matrix, and *X* and *Y* are the matrices of independent and dependent variables. $W(\mu_i, v_i)$ ensures that observations close to a specific location have greater weight, expressed using a Gaussian weighted kernel function:

$$w_{ij} = exp\left(-\frac{d_{ij}}{b^2}\right) \tag{11}$$

where w_{ij} represents the weight of observation *j* at position *i*, d_{ij} represents the Euclidean distance between regression point *i* and adjacent observation *j*, and *b* represents the basic width of the kernel function.

Stationarity exists when the variable x_{ik} does not vary with position *i*, and the GWR-based stationarity index is used to estimate spatial stationarity [65]:

$$SI = \frac{\beta_{GWR_iqr}}{2 \times GLM_se}$$
(12)

where *SI* is the stationarity index, β_{GWR_iqr} is the standard error interquartile range of the GWR coefficient and *GLM_se* is the standard error of the global regression analysis. When *SI* < 1, the explanatory variable *y* and the dependent variable *x* achieve spatial stationarity.

AIC can be used to determine the significance of the coefficients to compare relative measures of model performance, the smaller the AIC, the more reliable the model is, and *AICc* represents the limited sample size correction result of the AIC [66].

$$AICc = 2nIn(\hat{\sigma}) + nln(2\pi) + n\left(\frac{n + tr(S)}{n - 2 - tr(S)}\right)$$
(13)

where *n* is the number of samples, $\hat{\sigma}$ is the estimated value of the residual standard deviation, *tr*(*S*) represents the trajectory of the hat matrix, and when the *AICc* value is lower than three, the model performs better.

To study the driving mechanisms of NDVI in this study we used the local regression method in the GWR Model, taking 14 driving factors related to climate factors, terrain factors and geology and geomorphology as independent variables. In addition, the significance grading result of the NDVI value was used as the dependent variable. Among them, insignificant degradation, insignificant improvement, significant improvement, and extremely significant improvement were assigned as -1, 1, 2, and 3 respectively to represent the change in NDVI. Thus, the estimation coefficients of different factors on NDVI at more than 20,000 sampling points were obtained. To control the accuracy of the estimated coefficients, the outliers of the estimated coefficients was obtained through interpolation.

3. Results

3.1. Spatiotemporal Characteristics of NDVI Trends

3.1.1. Temporal Characteristics of NDVI Trends

The average value of NDVI in the whole region from 2000 to 2020 generally displayed an upward trend (Figure 3). The NDVI value changed from 0.40 to 0.51 over 21 years, roughly increasing by about 0.11, but the R² value was smaller, at 0.486. The variation in the mean value of NDVI had a small amount of fluctuation, and the fluctuation period was about 3 years, reaching a minimum value in 2012 and a maximum value in 2018. Affected by the Wenchuan earthquake and secondary geo-hazards, the NDVI value of the whole region was in a continuous downward trend from 2009 to 2012, and gradually increased in the following years. Although the NDVI of the whole region was generally on the rise, the variation characteristics of NDVI in each subregion were different. Among these, the NDVI value in DA generally showed a downward trend, with a serious and continuous decline from 2007 to 2012 and reached the lowest value of 0.3136 in 2012. In the past 21 years, NDVI values in other regions have been rising, but due to the influence of temperature, the NDVI values in the whole region decreased significantly in 2012.



Figure 3. Average value of NDVI in different geomorphological zones from 2000 to 2020.

To obtain the correlation of the NDVI trends and average values between different zones, we conducted a Pearson analysis on the variations in the average NDVI values between different zones from 2000 to 2020 (Figure 4). Except for DA, the correlation coefficient between NDVI trends in the whole area and each area reached more than 0.85.

Affected by the surrounding crustal movement, the variation in the NDVI value in DA was unstable, and the correlation coefficient with other areas was low, ranging from 0.27 to 0.59. Due to the low altitude, suitable temperature and sufficient precipitation, the correlation coefficients of BSMS and DXLXXL with the whole region reached 0.96 and 0.97, respectively, which can better represent the NDVI trends in the whole region.



Figure 4. Correlation analysis of NDVI mean values in different geomorphological zones.

3.1.2. Spatial Characteristic of NDVI Trends

There were few human activities in the SCOCGPNP, and construction land accounted for only 0.6%, so the distribution of NDVI was mainly affected by natural factors. In the study area, the high NDVI values were mainly distributed in the BS, the eastern part of BSMS, the eastern part of DXL and other places with lower altitudes (Figure 5a). Affected by high altitude, the western region has barren vegetation, covered glaciers and low annual precipitation, with an annual precipitation level below 1000 mm all year. The average temperature in some areas was lower than 0 °C, and the basic conditions for vegetation growth were not met. Therefore, the grassland area accounted for more and the woodland accounted for less growth. The overall value of NDVI showed a decreasing trend from northwest to southeast.



Figure 5. Annual average value of NDVI and its change from 2000 to 2020; (**a**) NDVI average value; (**b**) NDVI θ_{slove} ; (**c**) NDVI trends.

To analyze the spatial improvement and degradation of NDVI values in the study area, in this study we calculated the NDVI variability (Figure 5b), and then divided the calculated NDVI variability per pixel into four levels according to the significance classification standards (Figure 5c) to obtain the spatial heterogeneity of the NDVI trends over 21 years. During the period from 2000 to 2020, the NDVI trends showed an overall

trend of improvement, and a vegetation coverage rate of 94% of the study area also showed a trend of improvement with an annual growth rate of about 4.7%/yr. The proportion of non-significantly degraded areas was 6%, mainly distributed in DA. The land-use types consisted mainly of shrubs and grasslands, and these were close to the Wenchuan earthquake-generating fault zone, which is prone to secondary geo-hazards. The extremely significant improvement area accounted for 47% of the whole area, mainly distributed in the north of BSMS, the southwest of DXLXXL, and the XXL area, which had high NDVI values, abundant precipitation, and high temperature. The significant improvement area accounted for 47% of the whole area, with scattered areas of non-significant improvement and extremely significant improvement, and most of the land-use types were classed as forest land. The SCOCGPNP, from northwest to southeast, is located in a transition zone from a subtropical zone to a warm temperate zone and a transition zone from the Qinghai Tibet Plateau to the Sichuan Basin. Therefore, the NDVI trends can be roughly divided into the northwest region and southeast region, and the temperature in the southwest region is low all year, which is not conducive to the growth of vegetation, whereas the temperature in the southeast region is high all year, especially in the south.

3.1.3. Verification of NDVI Trends

To test the accuracy of the NDVI trend analysis, we selected five verification areas in different nature reserves for accuracy verification (Figures 5c and A2). Figures show that the Landsat Image of each verification area is consistent with the change trend of vegetation coverage calculated by MODIS NDVI data. In addition, due to the significant degradation of DA, the images of 2000, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2020 were selected to verify the vegetation changes before and after the Wenchuan earthquake of 12 May 2008. The selected images are Landsat 5 images synthesized by bands 3, 2, and 1 in 2000, 2007, 2008, 2009 and Landsat 8 images synthesized by bands 4, 3, and 2 in 2020 (https://earthengine.google.com/, accessed on 10 May 2022). In DA, the NDVI value changed greatly from 2007 to 2009 (Figure 6). Due to the influence of the Wenchuan earthquake, the relatively strong vibration around the fault caused varying degrees of vegetation damage [67]. Secondary disasters such as landslides and collapses caused by the earthquake in this area gradually transformed the forest land into grassland or bare land [68,69].



Figure 6. Remote sensing images of DA in 2000, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2020.

3.2. NDVI Driver Analysis

3.2.1. NDVI Climate Driver Analysis

From 2000 to 2020, the average value of NDVI, annual precipitation, and annual temperature all showed an upward trend (Figure 7). The average range of NDVI was mainly between 0.4 and 0.5, showing a downward trend from 2009 to 2012, but there was a slight increase in the past 21 years. The average annual temperature range was mainly between 5.6 °C and 6.6 °C, reaching the highest value in 2006 and 2016 and the lowest value in 2000. The annual precipitation ranged from 700 mm to 900 mm, reaching the highest value in 2013 and the lowest value in 2006. The precipitation fluctuated greatly from 2000 to 2006, and the range of fluctuation in temperature was similar to that of NDVI.



Figure 7. Statistical chart of NDVI, temperature and precipitation from 2000 to 2020.

Temperature and precipitation are two factors that directly affect the spatial distribution of vegetation. In this study, we calculated the partial correlation coefficient between NDVI, precipitation and temperature from 2000 to 2020 pixel by pixel. In general, there was a strong positive correlation between temperature and precipitation and NDVI. The partial correlation coefficient between temperature and NDVI was greater than zero in more than 90% of the regions (Figure 8a), indicating that temperature and NDVI had a basically positive correlation. The high values of the partial correlation coefficient between temperature and NDVI were mainly distributed in the BSMS and DXLXXL regions, which have a low altitude and high temperature. The partial correlation coefficient between precipitation and NDVI was greater than zero in more than 30% of the regions (Figure 8b). The places with a high correlation between precipitation and NDVI were mainly distributed near the boundaries of three geomorphological divisions in MS, the Wolong Nature Reserve, and the eastern part of XXL.

In this study, a *t*-test with a confidence level of 0.05 was carried out on the analysis results. In the partial correlation *t*-test between NDVI and temperature and precipitation the proportions of results passing the 0.05 confidence test were 51.02% and 9.14%, respectively (Figure 8c,d). After the complex correlation analysis between precipitation and temperature (Figure 8e) the analysis results were tested with a confidence level of 0.05 (Figure 8f), and the value of NDVI in 34.22% of the region was driven by climate factors, which was mainly distributed in the north and south of Southwest Subalpine and Middle Mountain. The average temperature in this region was approximately more than 12 °C, the average annual precipitation was more than 1000 mm, and the variability of precipitation and temperature was large, providing sufficient water and light for vegetation.

According to certain climate-driving factor zoning principles, the climate-driving factors of the whole region were divided into four types: temperature-driven, precipitation-driven, temperature- and precipitation-driven, and other driving modes (Figure 9). The precipitation-driven area accounted for 1.32% of the whole area, which was distributed in the middle of the Xuebaoding Nature Reserve and the Wolong Nature Reserve. The area driven by temperature accounted for 27.46% of the whole region, which was distributed in the west of MS and DXLXXL. The area driven by other driving modes accounted for 65.77% of the whole region; the elevation was higher in this region, and the land-use type was mainly bare land or grassland. Most climate-driven regions had higher NDVI values, higher

temperatures, and higher average annual precipitation, which had a significant positive effect on NDVI. Other driving mode areas were generally located at higher altitudes, with lower NDVI values. In these areas, the vegetation was dominated by grasses, lichens, and mosses; the air pressure was low and the carbon dioxide content was much lower, so the driving effects of precipitation and temperature were weak. The driving mechanism in this region is very complex, so it is necessary to use the GWR model to identify the comprehensive driving mechanism of NDVI changes including climate factors based on the analysis of the correlation between climate and NDVI changes.



Figure 8. Partial correlation and complex correlation analysis results among NDVI, precipitation and temperature. (**a**) Partial correlation coefficient between temperature and NDVI; (**b**) partial correlation coefficient between precipitation and NDVI; (**c**) partial correlation *t*-test of temperature and NDVI; (**d**) partial correlation *t*-test of precipitation and NDVI; (**e**) multiple correlation coefficient between precipitation and temperature; (**f**) multiple correlation F-test of precipitation and temperature.



Figure 9. Climate-driven zoning map. (a) Temperature-driven; (b) precipitation-driven; (c) temperature- and precipitation-driven; (d) other driving modes.

3.2.2. NDVI Driver Analysis Based on the GWR Model

In this study we used the inverse distance weight to interpolate the spatial distribution relationship of the continuous estimated coefficients between each driving factor and NDVI (Figure 10). The average values of the estimated coefficients of each factor were sorted as follows: TEM_MN > TEM_BT > PRE_MN > PRE_BT > DEN_RIVER > PGA > LUCC >

ED_FAULT > NDVI2000 > ED_ROAD > Aspect > Elevation > ED_BLAND > Slope. This indicates that natural factors occupied a dominant position, the variability-driving effect of climate factors was strong, and the correlation between Euclidean distance from built-up land and slope was low.



Figure 10. Driving factor estimation coefficient in GWR Model. (a) Temperature mean value; (b) temperature slope value; (c) precipitation mean value; (d) precipitation slope value; (e) elevation; (f) slope; (g) aspect; (h) Euclidean distance from fault; (i) peak ground acceleration; (j) road density; (k) river density; (l) Euclidean distance from built-up land; (m) land-use change index; (n) NDVI in 2000.

(1) Driving Effect of Climate Factors

The driving effect of climate factors on NDVI is obvious, and the climate in most areas played a driving role. High temperature and more precipitation were conducive to the growth of vegetation. The positive-driving area of the average temperature accounted for 91.95%, mainly in DA. The negative-driving effect of the area was small, and the absolute value of the estimated coefficient was only 0.66. In areas with higher altitudes, due to the limitation of the growth environment, temperature mostly drove NDVI negatively, and had no obvious promoting effect on vegetation growth. The estimation coefficient of temperature variability was mainly positive-driven and concentrated in MS, whereas the region with a large increase in temperature had less of a negative-driving effect on NDVI.

The positive-driving effect of precipitation-related factors on NDVI was smaller than that of temperature-related factors. The positive-driving area accounted for 25.91% of the estimated coefficient of the average precipitation and was mainly located in DXLXXL. The negative-driving area accounted for a large proportion, but the absolute value of the estimation coefficient was small, and was concentrated in MS.

(2) Driving Effect of Geomorphological Factors

In areas where the altitude was higher, the reduction in temperature and oxygen was not conducive to the growth of vegetation, and the driving effect of slope and NDVI was weak. The negative values of altitude estimation coefficients were mainly concentrated in high-altitude mountainous areas in BSMS and MS. The driving effect of slope on NDVI values was generally small, and the negative-driving area was mainly concentrated in Wolong Nature Reserve where (compared with the surrounding areas) the slope was relatively large. Most of the aspect estimation coefficients were positive, accounting for 87.44%, mainly concentrated in the middle of the study area.

(3) Driving Effect of Geological Activities Factors

In this study, we selected the Euclidean distance from the fault and the peak acceleration of the ground motion as the driving factors related to the geological and geomorphology, and the driving effects of the two on the NDVI were mainly positive. The positive-driving area in terms of the Euclidean distance from the fault accounted for 46.46%. It was mainly concentrated in the large area near the Longmenshan fault zone, which is sensitive to the activity of the fault zone. The negative-driving area accounted for 53.54%, which was mainly concentrated in the south and north of the study area. The positive-driving area of seismic peak acceleration accounted for 64.74%. It was mainly concentrated in DA, which was seriously affected by the secondary disaster of the earthquake. In the northeast of BSMS, the Xuebaoding nature reserve, and the southwest of DXL, the stratum was not active, so its driving effect was weak.

(4) Driving Effect of Human Activity

Human activities have a certain blocking effect on vegetation, in which the high density of roads is not conducive to vegetation growth, and the distance from construction land also has a negative impact on vegetation growth. The negative-driving area of road density exceeded 60%, mainly concentrated in the north of BSMS and DA. The positive value of Euclidean distance from built-up land accounted for 52.52%, and it was concentrated in Da and DXL. The area was close to the construction land, and the NDVI value was low, reflecting the restrictive effect of human activities on vegetation growth.

(5) Driving Effect of Other Factors

The distribution of rivers and the change in land-use types can represent the distribution of NDVI values, and the NDVI value in the initial year can indicate whether the NDVI values in some areas have reached saturation. The river density mainly had a negative impact on the NDVI trend, accounting for 76.44%, mainly in the south of DXL. The change in land-use types reflected the changes in vegetation from a dynamic point of view. The land-use change index was highly consistent with the change trends of NDVI, and the positive-driving area accounted for 85.47%, mainly concentrated in DA and DXL. The negative distribution of the estimated coefficient of NDVI in 2000 was closely related to the elevation. In the southeast of the study area and other low-altitude areas, the positive-driving area of NDVI value in 2000 accounted for 52.43%. The regions with higher altitude were limited by the environment, and the NDVI value reached a certain degree of saturation and no longer had growth potential.

(6) Gradient Variation in Each Driving Factor

To study the gradient variation in each driving factor in SCOCGPNP from northeast to southwest, the study area was divided into five areas, and the numerical distribution of each driving factor estimation coefficient in each area was counted to obtain the histogram shown in Figure A3. The estimated coefficients of the average values of temperature and precipitation tended to increase from northeast to southwest, and most of the estimated coefficients of the average values of temperature were positively correlated, especially in DXL and DXLXXL, most of which were concentrated between 2 and 3. Among the topographic factors, the elevation of BSMS in the Sichuan Basin mainly had a negative correlation with the NDVI value, and the estimation coefficient was distributed between -4 and 1; whereas the estimation coefficient in DXLXXL was mainly concentrated between zero and three, with an obvious positive-driving effect. The road density had a great impact on the NDVI trends in DA, with the slope around the road being large and close to the fault zone. In DXLXXL, the negative impact of river density on vegetation was more obvious, and the area had high annual precipitation and a large river flow. The Euclidean distance to construction land had a great impact on the vegetation growth in DA, as this area is adjacent to the urban area of Chengdu in the southeast and close to the urban area of Wenchuan in the northwest and is thus greatly affected by the development of urbanization. The low-altitude areas of DA and DXLXXL were greatly affected by human activities, and the positive-driving effect of the land-use change index on the change in the NDVI value was more obvious.

4. Discussion

4.1. Analysis of NDVI Trends

In the past two decades, mechanisms such as natural forest protection, ecological compensation, ecological protection, and regional sustainable development in the study area have all played positive roles in improving the vegetation environment. The Chinese government implemented the project of returning farmland to forest in 2002, and the area of farmland being returned to forest in Sichuan Province reached 77.6 \times 10⁴ hm² [70,71]. From 2000 to 2020, the NDVI trends, precipitation, and temperature showed upward trends. The years with a decreasing trend in NDVI change were similar to the years with low values of temperature. With the decreases in temperature in the study area in 2008, 2012, 2014, and 2018, the NDVI value was affected to varying degrees. Affected by the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake and its secondary disasters, the NDVI value in DA showed a continuous downward trend from 2009 to 2012. As the precipitation in the study area in 2011 was lower than that in previous years [72] due to drought events, the NDVI value of the whole area showed an obvious downward trend in 2012.

The univariate linear regression model was able to accurately and intuitively analyze the past NDVI trends and obtain the variation in vegetation characteristics of SCOCGPNP in time and space. The results showed that the variations in vegetation in the study area were mainly affected by elevation and the fault zone, with spatial heterogeneity. Affected by external forces such as crustal instability near the fault zone, the insignificant degradation areas were relatively concentrated, mainly distributed in DA. This area is located in the core area of the Longmenshan fault zone, with a basic earthquake intensity of VIII and the Wenchuan earthquake intensity of XI. In addition, this area is located in the core area of the rain screen district on the eastern edge of the Qinghai Tibet Plateau, and there are problems relating to remaining mining sites. The stability of slopes in the area has decreased sharply, and collapses, landslides, and debris flows are relatively common [73,74]. Therefore, in the past two decades, the NDVI value in this area has shown no significant degradation. On the contrary, the crust in DXL is not active, the temperature is appropriate, the precipitation is sufficient, and the vegetation growth is relatively stable, so it was found to be in a state of extremely significant improvement. In addition to the geological activities and climatic factors, NDVI is also limited by topographic factors to a certain extent [75], and the boundary between significant improvement and non-significant improvement is roughly the same as the snow line. In high-altitude areas, due to perennial snow, thin oxygen, low temperature, insufficient sunshine, and other environmental factors, vegetation generally does not improve significantly.

4.2. Driving Force Analysis of NDVI Trends4.2.1. Climatic Factors

Climate affects vegetation types and spatial distribution [76]. Temperature and precipitation affect plant growth and distribution by affecting effective accumulated temperature and the amount of water available to regulate plant photosynthesis, respiration, and soil organic carbon decomposition. The climate conditions in the study area are diverse. From northwest to southeast, there are plateau temperate humid areas, northern subtropical humid areas, and middle subtropical humid areas [77]. With the increase in temperature, the annual precipitation increases to the level of more than 800 mm, and the water demands of vegetation gradually tend to be saturated, which shows that the sensitivity driven by temperature is higher than that driven by precipitation. In plateau temperate humid regions, such as DA and the Wolong Nature Reserve, due to the limitation of temperature, when NDVI reached saturation, the influence of other driving modes increases. In areas with abundant precipitation, such as the southern DXLXXL and XXL, the area of temperature-driven areas accounts for a larger proportion, because when the precipitation reaches saturation, the increase in temperature enhances the fertilization effect of CO_2 , and the photosynthesis of vegetation is enhanced under high CO_2 concentration and water stress [78].

Affected by the complex topography of the study area and the transition from a subtropical zone to a warm temperate zone, there was spatial heterogeneity in the distribution of NDVI trends and climate factor regression coefficients obtained via GWR analysis. In the study area, affected by the superposition effect of climate factors, low-altitude areas are positively correlated with NDVI trends, and high-altitude areas are mostly negatively correlated [79]. In BS and the west of MS, the driving effect of average temperature on NDVI trends was mainly negative. In high-altitude, low-temperature, and relatively arid areas affected by the alpine climate the vegetation types are mainly grassland and alpine meadow [80]; the transpiration of vegetation becomes weaker and the improvement effect of temperature rise on vegetation is not significant [81,82]. The negative-driving force of annual precipitation variability on NDVI trends in the study area was mainly distributed in DXL. Because the surface of this area is deeply cut, coupled with frequent seismic activities, the increase in precipitation is accompanied by the occurrence of geo-hazards. At the same time, the presence of complex landforms and a cloudy and rainy environment can also reduce the accuracy of remote sensing results in vegetation monitoring [83].

4.2.2. Geomorphological Factors

Under natural conditions, plant growth and changes are closely related to regionalscale topographic conditions [84] and elevation, cutting depth, and aspect affect soil moisture and the solar radiation distribution. The northwest of the study area is dominated by the Hengduan Mountain Alpine Canyon, which forms a deep elevation difference with the basin in the southeast. The positive and negative-driving effect of elevation on NDVI was about 2500 m, showing vertical zoning. From low altitude to high altitude, the driving effect of elevation on NDVI gradually decreased. The Ya'an area of the subalpine basin in southwestern Sichuan Province and central Yunnan, due to its low altitude, small slope, and sufficient light and heat conditions is conducive to returning farmland to forests. Thanks to the relatively stable geological environment, relatively balanced soil nutrients, and sufficient water conservation, the proportion of vegetation improvement in this area was relatively large [85].

Slope has an important impact on surface runoff and soil properties, and affects the intensity of human activities, so there are differences in vegetation growth conditions in areas with different slopes. In MS and DXL, some areas were affected by slope changes, and soil moisture increased [86], which is beneficial for vegetation to absorb water, and the slope had an obvious positive-driving effect on the NDVI trends. At the same time, ecological projects such as returning farmland to forests and closing mountains for afforestation since 1999 strengthened the protection of forest land [87], and the areas with significant impact were mainly concentrated in low-altitude areas such as the Zhonglongxi-Hongkou area and the Jiudingshan Nature Reserve in Aba Prefecture where there are more transitions of cultivated land to forests, and vegetation coverage increases with the increase in slope.

Aspect indicates the intensity of solar radiation received by the slope and the value and degree of changes in ground water, which affects the sunshine hours and light intensity of vegetation [88]. Compared with topographic factors such as elevation, the driving effect of aspect on NDVI was relatively small. Variations in vegetation were more positively affected by sunlight on sunny slopes. In the southern part of DXL, due to high precipitation, cloud cover and less solar radiation, and relatively wet conditions, the humidity change caused by aspect had little impact on vegetation growth, so the driving force of sunny slopes was small.

4.2.3. Geological Activities Factors

The peak acceleration of ground motion represents the differential movement of crustal fault blocks, and crustal movement is often accompanied by secondary disasters such as landslide and collapse, which affects the stability of the vegetation growth environment [89]. The terrain around DA in the Sichuan Basin is relatively large, and they are mainly considered part of the Longmenshan–Minshan strike-slip thrust seismic zones. When the crustal in situ stress caused by the earthquake exceeds the ultimate strength of the crustal rocks, the rocks fracture and cause surface damage. At the junction of mountains and basins in MS and DXL, the slope is high. Strong earthquakes not only cause a large number of co-seismic landslides, but also exacerbate slope instability for a long time after the earthquake, resulting in drastic changes in land cover in forest or shrubland areas [90], and the vegetation around the Wenchuan earthquake was affected to a certain extent.

Around the fault zone, crustal movement seriously damages the original vegetation and topsoil, forming a large area of secondary bare land [91]. The study area is pushed eastward by the western Qinghai Tibet Plateau [92], resulting in a thrust nappe structure with a sharp difference in altitude. Near the fault zone, the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake triggered rock faults and water and soil losses, which significantly reduced the species richness of woodlands and shrubs, destroyed tree roots, and reduced the density of forest crowns in the Longxi-Hongkou and the Caopo Nature Reserves near Wenchuan in the study area [61]. On the contrary, in the Wolong Nature Reserve, the NDVI showed an improving trend, indicating that after the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake, the vegetation near the fault zone was seriously damaged, resulting in a forest gap [93]. Affected by the amplification effect of slope-secondary geo-hazards after the earthquake, disasters secondary to the Wenchuan earthquake were relatively common in the middle and low mountainous areas. On the contrary, the geological environment of vegetation growth in alpine areas is relatively stable [94]. In XXL, the precipitation level is mostly more than 1000 mm. Affected by the superposition effect of secondary disasters, a large number of collapses and landslides caused by the Wenchuan earthquake provide rich loose solid materials for debris flow activities and also cause a large amount of slope instability and rock mass damage, which greatly reduces the precipitation threshold of debris flow outbreaks in earthquake-stricken areas [95].

4.2.4. Human Activity

The occupation of forest land by road construction for human activities is considered to be an important instigator of habitat fragmentation and biodiversity loss [96]. Road construction has mostly negative effects in areas with large topographic relief, especially in the north of BSMJ and DA. Road construction leads to a change in land use in the places the road passes, which can easily lead to the expansion of cultivated land and the increase in construction land, directly leading to habitat fragmentation and habitat loss, which is the most important and urgent factor threatening the habitat safety of wildlife [97]. In addition, in the south of Wolong Nature Reserve, the G317 national highway passes through areas with deep valleys and large slopes. The construction and use of roads affect the regeneration ability of vegetation to a certain extent, destroying the integrity of forest landscape, and affecting the richness and diversity of vegetation [98].

With the development of urbanization, the expansion of construction land has intensified the impact of human activities on vegetation, and human activities have brought about different degrees of ecosystem degradation. In DA, the area close to the construction land is affected by human activities, which has a high negative effect on NDVI [99], and there are residual problems of mineral development and a decline in the ecological restoration ability of giant panda habitats, which accelerates the fragmentation of protected areas [100]. For example, in the northwest of MS, the construction of the Jiuzhaigou–Mianyang expressway has affected the NDVI value since 2017. In addition, engineering construction activities related to the expansion and development of human society, such as road construction, mining, scenic spots, and water conservancy facilities, are threatening the habitats of giant pandas [101], and the contradiction between ecological environment protection and resource development and utilization is becoming more and more obvious. For example, the opening of the Jiuzhaigou–Chengdu tourism link in the north of the study area and the large-scale local hydropower development have seriously damaged the habitats of giant pandas [102]. However, China entered the scientific development stage of environmental protection in 2002 and then announced a new era of ecological civilization in 2012. During this period, policies for returning farmland to forest and grassland have been initiated as part of ecological immigration policy in extremely important areas of environmental protection [103]. Due to the large distribution of giant pandas in DXL, such as Wanglang, Wolong, and other nature reserves, the ecological migration policy of the nature reserve regulations coordinates the relationship between the community and the ecological environment [104], reducing the adverse impact of human activities. Especially around the Wolong Nature Reserve, construction land has an effect on improving the growth of the NDVI value, affected mainly by tourism, which has transformed the economic structure of the local community [105] and effectively achieved the purpose of sustainable development of the nature reserve.

4.2.5. Other Factors

The distribution of rivers has a regulating effect on the scope of human activities, and the development of agriculture and the construction of water conservancy projects are inseparable from the rivers, which have corresponding effects on the distribution and changes in vegetation. In protected areas such as Wolong in DXL, the positive-driving force of river density on NDVI changes is more obvious; benefiting from the higher elevations in these areas are the development of low-grade rivers, less human disturbance, and better vegetation preservation. However, Ya'an and other areas in DXLXXL were found to have steep slopes, long rainy seasons and concentrated rainfall, fast river velocity, frequent disasters such as floods and debris flows, and severe soil and water losses, resulting in low vegetation development. In addition, human activities around rivers in low-altitude areas are more frequent, and areas close to rivers are prone to the transformation of natural vegetation to farmland, which has a certain inhibitory effect on the improvement of NDVI [106].

Transformation between woodlands, shrubs, swamp wetlands and meadows, and built-up land imply changes in NDVI, resulting in changes in the temporal and spatial patterns of NDVI [58]. In the study area, land-use change mainly has a positive-driving effect on NDVI, which was concentrated in DA. The distribution of plant communities depends on the type of land use, and indirectly affects the vegetation coverage [107]. The transition from the main grassland in the study area to bare land or construction land shows an obvious trend of NDVI degradation. However, the study area was dominated by forest land, and the area of land-use type transformation was small, so the overall driving force of land-use change on NDVI changes was low.

The initial NDVI in the year 2000 can be used to represent the direction of variations, the degree of transition, and the spatial distribution characteristics of NDVI during the 21-year study period. The results show that the initial NDVI and the improvement of NDVI in DA showed an obvious negative correlation because the vegetation cover in this area significantly degraded from 2000 to 2020. The other negative correlations were concentrated in the northwest of BSMS, which was high in elevation and low in temperature, and was covered by ice, snow, and sparse vegetation all year, and the vegetation growth was limited and easily reached extreme values [108]. When the estimated coefficient of NDVI trends for the initial year was at a negative value, this usually indicated a constant or degrading trend in the GWR analysis.

4.3. Implications and Limitations

In this research we studied the change trends and driving mechanisms of NDVI in SCOCGPNP using univariate linear regression and the GWR model and obtained effective results, but there were some deficiencies. Due to the limitation of the spatial resolution of the NDVI data, the changes in vegetation growth at the slope scale caused by terrain factors such as surface relief, slope position, and slope may not be fully described. The Savitzky–Golay filtering was regarded as representative of annual data, and the different synthesis methods of data from multiple months in this study area are worth studying. In the GWR model, although the driving analysis considered the impact of land-use changes on NDVI changes, the main body of the study area consisted of forest land and the impact of different forest land types on NDVI changes was not subdivided.

With the continuous improvement of people's awareness of ecological protection, most of the vegetation in the study area is in a state of improvement through the implementation of measures such as ecological protection zones and artificial afforestation. In key areas of concern, ecological restoration will be gradually achieved through the combination of geological engineering and ecological engineering. At the same time, zoning management should be carried out according to the differences in different natural and human environments. In the Mianyang area of MS, the Ya'an area of DXL and XXL, precipitation is abundant, and the increase in temperature can stimulate the photosynthesis of vegetation which can further improve the vegetation coverage in these areas. To achieve the ecologically sustainable development of GPNP, the government should mobilize surrounding communities to participate in ecological protection projects and build a natural reserve system with national parks as the main body, nature reserves as the foundation, and various natural parks as supplements. During the operation of the national park, a long-term ecological public welfare forest compensation plan and monitoring mechanism should be added, so that residents can actively participate in ecological work. In ecological resource management, attention should be paid to the development of ecotourism, which is an important means of developing natural resource management by the community. At the same time, the construction of GPNP should take the impact of human activities as one of the monitoring indicators of the ecological environment, actively monitor climate change, reduce the risk of geo-hazards, optimize the layout and intensity of human activities, and actively implement measures such as ecological protection and ecological restoration.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we not only used the univariate linear model to visualize the trends of vegetation variations in the SCOCGPNP from 2000 to 2020, but also added driving factors such as topography and human activities on the basis of previous studies limited to the driving mechanisms of climate factors in GWR Model, so as to more comprehensively explain the variations in NDVI values. The main conclusions were as follows:

- (1) During 2000–2020, the NDVI value showed an upward trend as a whole, with a small amount of fluctuation. Affected by the Wenchuan earthquake of 12 May 2008 and its secondary disasters, the NDVI value in DA showed a continuous downward trend from 2009 to 2012. As the precipitation in the study area in 2011 was lower than that in previous years, affected by drought events, the NDVI value of the whole area showed an obvious downward trend in 2012;
- (2) The NDVI values of the study area showed an overall upward trend from 2000 to 2020, of which 94% of the areas were in an improved state, and the annual growth rate was about 4.7%/yr. The degraded area accounted for 7.94% of the total area, which was mainly concentrated in DA. This area was mainly affected by the Wenchuan earthquake, and the vegetation degradation caused by secondary geo-hazards was more serious;
- (3) As the study area is located on the geomorphic boundary and climate transition zone, the NDVI trends were mainly affected by the natural environment, in which climate factors were dominant. Moreover, due to the saturation of precipitation in most areas, the driving effect of temperature was more obvious than that of precipitation, mainly concentrated in DXL and DXLXL. The superposition effect of rainfall and topographic factors means the slope had a strong influence on vegetation change, and the areas affected by this were concentrated mainly in BSMS and DA;
- (4) In the protection of the ecological security patterns of the SCOCGPNP, we should closely monitor regional climate change, prevent, and control geo-hazards, optimize the vegetation growth environment, develop an ecological economy in combination with the current situation of human communities, reduce human interference in the reserve and finally realize sustainable development of the SCOCGPNP.

Author Contributions: M.P. wrote the paper with contributions from all co-authors. Y.Z. (Yinbing Zhao) and Z.N. conceived and designed the research. Z.H., W.P., Y.Z. (Yi Zhou), J.L. and Y.G. contributed to the data processing. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The National Park Research Center Project of Sichuan Key Research Base of Social Sciences (GJGY2019-ZD003), the Soft Science Project of Sichuan Provincial Department of Science and Technology (2022JDR0061), the Open Foundation of Hebei Key Laboratory of Wetland Ecology and Conservation (No. hklk201902), the Open Project of Human Geography Research Center of Qinghai Tibet Plateau and Its Eastern Edge (RWDL2021-ZD002), and the China Scholarship Council Fund (No.202108510096) supported this work.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

CGPNP	China Giant Panda National Park
SCOCGPNP	Sichuan Area of China Giant Panda National Park
BS	Baishui River
DXL	Daxiangling Area
XXL	Xiaoxiangling Area
MS	Minshan Area
DA	Dujiangyan–Anzhou Area

Appendix A



Figure A1. NDVI images from 2001 to 2020 in the study area.



Figure A2. Remote sensing image of verification area.

BSMS -5.0829 15.7139 7.3521 70.1019 9.9531 Temperature mean value (°C) DA -9.9736 13.1671 2.2445 59.9676 44.233 DAI -12.6181 15.3026 7.0619 61.6296 40.9538 DXL -12.6181 15.4079 2.2980 51.3102 46.4041 Temperature slope (/yr) DA -0.0001 0.0004 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 DXL 0.0001 0.0003 0.0002 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 DXL 0.0001 0.0005 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 DXL 0.0001 0.0005 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 DXL 0.003 0.0007 0.0005 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 DXL 0.003 0.0007 0.0005 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 DXL 0.0011 0.0005 0.0005 0.0004 0.0044 0.0021 0.0022 98.8965	Driving Factors	Zone	MIN	MAX	MEAN	MEDIAN	STD
MS -9.9736 13.1671 2.34.15 59.9676 44.4233 Temperature mean value (°C) DA -4.7338 15.3926 7.0619 61.6296 44.90538 DXL -5.7426 16.7042 8.9454 66.6739 39.5315 Temperature slope (/yr) DA -0.001 0.0004 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 DXL 0.0001 0.0002 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 Temperature slope (/yr) DA -0.001 0.0005 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 DXL 0.0001 0.0007 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 DXLXXL 0.0003 0.0007 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0004 0.0011 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0025 0.0026 0.0032 0.0046 <t< td=""><td></td><td>BSMS</td><td>-5.0829</td><td>15.7139</td><td>7.3521</td><td>70.1019</td><td>39.5631</td></t<>		BSMS	-5.0829	15.7139	7.3521	70.1019	39.5631
Temperature mean value (°C) DA -4.7388 15.3926 7.0619 61.6296 40.9538 DXL -12.6181 15.4079 2.2980 51.3102 44.6441 DXLX -5.7426 16.7042 8.9454 66.6759 39.5315 BSMS -0.0001 0.0004 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 Temperature slope (/yr) DA -0.0001 0.0002 0.0003 0.0002 0.0003 DXL 0.0003 0.0007 0.0003 0.0002 0.0003 0.0001 DXLX 0.0003 0.0007 0.0003 0.0002 0.0003 0.0001 DXLX 0.0003 0.0007 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0011 0.002 0.0035 0.0035 0.0035 0.0035 0.0035 0.0025 0.0046 0.0019		MS	-9.9736	13.1671	2.3445	59.9676	44.4253
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Temperature mean value (°C)	DA	-4.7338	15.3926	7.0619	61.6296	40.9538
DXLXXL -5.7426 16.7042 8.9454 66.6759 39.5315 MS -0.0001 0.0004 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 Temperature slope (/yr) DA -0.0001 0.0002 0.0003 0.0002 0.0001 0.0001 DXL 0.0001 0.0002 0.0003 0.0002 0.0003 0.0002 0.0001 DXL 0.0001 0.0001 0.0002 0.0003 0.0002 0.0001 0.0001 DXLX 0.0003 0.0007 0.0005 0.0003 0.0002 0.0001 DXLXXL 0.0003 0.0007 0.0005 0.0004 0.0002 0.0001 DXLXXL 0.93854 1003.3723 789.6579 791.6530 31.8794 DXLXXL 0.0025 0.0066 0.0045 0.0046 0.0017 DXLXXL 0.0025 0.0066 0.0044 0.0017 0.0046 0.0012 DXLXXL 0.0011 0.0062 0.0032 0.0048 0.0012 0.0048		DXL	-12.6181	15.4079	2.2980	51.3102	46.4041
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DXLXXL	-5.7426	16.7042	8.9454	66.6759	39.5315
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		BSMS	-0.0001	0.0004	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		MS	0.0000	0.0003	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Temperature slope (/yr)	DA	-0.0001	0.0002	0.0000	0.0001	0.0001
DXLXXL 0.0003 0.0007 0.0005 0.0003 0.0001 BSMS 658.9722 859.2167 782.2140 784.7940 33.5782 Precipitation mean value (mm) DA 773.9556 1026.5611 849.5633 793.4415 37.9322 DXL 693.8834 1003.3723 789.6679 791.6530 31.8794 DXLX 733.5555 1406.8611 897.0535 805.3220 98.8965 DXLXXL 733.5555 1406.8611 897.0535 805.3220 98.8965 Precipitation slope (mm/yr) DA 0.0025 0.0066 0.0046 0.0009 DXL -0.0011 0.0062 0.0039 0.0048 0.0017 DXL -0.0011 0.0064 0.0022 0.0045 0.0022 Elevation (m) DA 798.0000 6573.0001 3392.9168 2724.0000 784.6719 DXL 1306.0000 679.0000 2579.0178 2807.0000 784.6719 DXL 1480.00000 587.6306 30.8077		DXL	0.0001	0.0005	0.0003	0.0002	0.0001
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DXLXXL	0.0003	0.0007	0.0005	0.0003	0.0001
MS 695,4556 873,4445 782,7805 784,2000 29,3065 Precipitation mean value (mm) DA 773,9556 1026,3611 849,6533 793,4415 37,9322 DXL 693,8834 1003,3723 789,6579 791,6530 31,8794 DXLXL 733,5555 1406,8611 897,0535 805,3220 98,8965 Precipitation slope (mm/yr) DA 0.0027 0.0066 0.0047 0.0046 0.0010 DXL -0.0011 0.0066 0.0047 0.0046 0.0012 DXL -0.0011 0.0062 0.0032 0.0048 0.0022 DXL -0.0011 0.0094 0.0032 0.0045 0.0022 MS 1480.0000 5573.0000 3392.9168 274.0000 692.7943 Elevation (m) DA 1306.0000 6697.90000 2788.717 2726.0000 784.6719 DXL 1306.0000 6892.73 31.7692 31.441 11.4606 DXL 0.0000 89.273 <td< td=""><td></td><td>BSMS</td><td>658.9722</td><td>859.2167</td><td>782.2140</td><td>784.7940</td><td>33.5782</td></td<>		BSMS	658.9722	859.2167	782.2140	784.7940	33.5782
Precipitation mean value (mm) DA DXL 773.9556 1026.3611 849.5633 793.415 37.9322 DXL 693.8834 1003.3723 789.6579 791.6530 31.8794 DXLXXL 733.5555 1406.8611 897.0535 805.3220 98.8965 Precipitation slope (mm/yr) DA 0.0025 0.0066 0.0047 0.0046 0.0010 DXL -0.0011 0.0062 0.0039 0.0048 0.0017 DXLXXL -0.0011 0.0062 0.0039 0.0048 0.0022 DXLXXL -0.0011 0.0062 0.0039 0.0045 0.0022 DXL -0.0011 0.0094 0.0032 0.0045 0.0022 Elevation (m) DA 798.0000 6679.0000 2738.7173 2726.0000 784.6719 DXL 1306.0000 6679.0000 2759.0178 2807.0000 742.5512 BSMS 0.0000 87.6306 30.8077 31.2169 11.0657 MS 0.0000 87.6306		MS	695.4556	873.4445	782.7805	784.2000	29.3065
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Precipitation mean value (mm)	DA	773.9556	1026.3611	849.5633	793.4415	37.9322
DXLXXL 733.5555 1406.8611 897.0535 805.3220 98.8965 Precipitation slope (mm/yr) BSMS 0.0027 0.0066 0.0047 0.0046 0.0019 DA 0.0027 0.0066 0.0047 0.0046 0.0019 DA 0.0056 0.0076 0.0066 0.0049 0.0021 DAL -0.0011 0.0062 0.0039 0.0048 0.0012 DXLXXL -0.0011 0.0094 0.0032 0.0045 0.0022 BSMS 540.0000 4925.0000 238.41665 2417.0000 6627.943 BSMS 1480.0000 5673.0000 3392.9168 2724.0000 692.7943 DA 798.0000 6679.0000 238.173 2726.0000 784.6719 DXLXXL 828.0000 5280.0000 2559.0178 2807.0000 742.5512 Slope (°) DA 0.0000 87.6306 30.8077 31.2169 11.0657 MS 0.0000 85.5856 30.6938 31.5075 <	-	DXL	693.8834	1003.3723	789.6579	791.6530	31.8794
BSMS 0.0025 0.0066 0.0045 0.0046 0.0009 MS 0.0027 0.0066 0.0047 0.0046 0.0011 DA 0.0056 0.0076 0.0066 0.0049 0.0014 DXL -0.0011 0.0062 0.0039 0.0045 0.0022 DXL -0.0011 0.0094 0.0032 0.0045 0.0022 BSMS 540.0000 4925.0000 2384.1665 2417.0000 763.3032 Elevation (m) DA 798.0000 6679.0000 2738.7173 2726.0000 784.6719 DXL 1306.0000 6049.0000 3617.0638 3006.0000 756.6816 DXLXXL 828.0000 5280.0000 2559.0178 2807.0000 742.5512 Slope (°) DA 0.0000 87.6306 30.8077 31.2169 11.0657 MS 0.0000 85.9273 31.7692 31.4317 11.632 14.6286 DXL 0.0000 85.9256 30.6938 31.0237 <t< td=""><td></td><td>DXLXXL</td><td>733.5555</td><td>1406.8611</td><td>897.0535</td><td>805.3220</td><td>98.8965</td></t<>		DXLXXL	733.5555	1406.8611	897.0535	805.3220	98.8965
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		BSMS	0.0025	0.0066	0.0045	0.0046	0.0009
Precipitation slope (mm/yr) DA 0.0056 0.0076 0.0066 0.0049 0.0004 DXL -0.0011 0.0062 0.0039 0.0048 0.0017 DXLXXL -0.0011 0.0094 0.0032 0.0045 0.0022 BSMS 540.0000 4925.0000 2384.1665 2417.0000 763.3032 BSMS 1480.0000 5573.0000 3392.9168 2724.0000 692.7943 DA 798.0000 6679.0000 2738.7173 2726.0000 784.6719 DXL 1306.0000 6049.0000 3617.0638 3006.0000 756.6816 DXLXXL 828.0000 5280.0000 2559.0178 2807.0000 742.5512 Slope (°) DA 0.0000 87.6306 30.8077 31.2169 11.0657 MS 0.0000 85.5856 30.6938 31.5075 11.0960 DXL 0.0000 85.5856 31.6237 11.4317 DXL 0.0000 85.9853 172.5753 165.9640 99.2998 </td <td></td> <td>MS</td> <td>0.0027</td> <td>0.0066</td> <td>0.0047</td> <td>0.0046</td> <td>0.0010</td>		MS	0.0027	0.0066	0.0047	0.0046	0.0010
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Precipitation slope (mm/yr)	DA	0.0056	0.0076	0.0066	0.0049	0.0004
DXLXXL -0.0011 0.0094 0.0032 0.0045 0.0022 BSMS 540.0000 4925.0000 2384.1665 2417.0000 662.7943 Elevation (m) DA 798.0000 6679.0000 2738.7173 2726.0000 784.6719 DXL 1306.0000 6049.0000 3617.0638 3006.0000 756.6816 DXLX 828.0000 5280.0000 2559.0178 2807.0000 742.5512 Slope (°) DA 0.0000 87.6306 30.8077 31.2169 11.0657 MS 0.0000 89.2268 34.3517 31.7692 31.4441 11.4606 DXL 0.0000 85.5856 30.6938 31.5075 11.0960 DXL 0.0000 85.9856 31.0237 11.4317 MS -1.0000 359.9654 174.0972 167.7350 99.2978 Aspect DA -1.0000 359.9691 182.2276 168.8225 106.1093 DXL -1.0000 359.9691 187.2376		DXL	-0.0011	0.0062	0.0039	0.0048	0.0017
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		DXLXXL	-0.0011	0.0094	0.0032	0.0045	0.0022
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		BSMS	540.0000	4925.0000	2384.1665	2417.0000	763.3032
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		MS	1480.0000	5573.0000	3392.9168	2724.0000	692.7943
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Elevation (m)	DA	798.0000	6679.0000	2738.7173	2726.0000	784.6719
DXLXXL 828.0000 5280.0000 2559.0178 2807.0000 742.5512 BSMS 0.0000 87.6306 30.8077 31.2169 11.0657 MS 0.0000 86.9273 31.7692 31.4441 11.4606 Slope (°) DA 0.0000 89.2268 34.3517 31.7632 14.6286 DXL 0.0000 85.5856 30.6938 31.5075 11.0960 DXL 0.0000 80.6044 29.6035 31.0237 11.4317 Aspect DA -1.0000 359.9654 174.0972 167.7350 99.2573 MS -1.0000 359.9651 182.2276 168.8225 106.1093 DXL -1.0000 359.8964 177.7604 168.6900 103.1578 Euclidean distance from fault (m) DA 3125.00 13.9579 13.956.48 MS 0.00 58,590.46 30,462.28 28,367.10 17.789.54 Euclidean distance from fault (m) DA 3125.00 14,950.59 10,578.60 24,974		DXL	1306.0000	6049.0000	3617.0638	3006.0000	756.6816
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		DXLXXL	828.0000	5280.0000	2559.0178	2807.0000	742.5512
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		BSMS	0.0000	87.6306	30.8077	31.2169	11.0657
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		MS	0.0000	86.9273	31.7692	31.4441	11.4606
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Slope (°)	DA	0.0000	89.2268	34.3517	31.7632	14.6286
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		DXL	0.0000	85.5856	30.6938	31.5075	11.0960
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		DXLXXL	0.0000	80.6044	29.6035	31.0237	11.4317
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		BSMS	-1.0000	359.9654	174.0972	167.7350	99.2573
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		MS	-1.0000	359.8953	172.5753	165.9640	99.2998
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Aspect	DA	-1.0000	359.9691	182.2276	168.8225	106.1093
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		DXL	-1.0000	359.8900	180.2346	169.4465	101.4384
BSMS 7964.65 57,340.76 33,154.21 29,525.50 13,556.48 MS 0.00 58,590.46 30,462.28 28,367.10 17,789.54 Euclidean distance from fault (m) DA 3125.00 14,950.59 10,578.60 24,974.55 2270.77 DXL 3334.18 44,026.04 20,923.41 22,911.85 9067.17 DXLXXXL 412.31 59,519.36 17,369.14 18,596.30 13,259.30 BSMS 0.1500 0.2000 0.1972 0.2000 0.0116 MS 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0000 Peak ground acceleration (g) DA 0.1500 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0013 DXL 0.1500 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0013 DXL 0.1000 0.2000 0.1664 0.2000 0.0277		DXLXXL	-1.0000	359.8964	177.7604	168.6900	103.1578
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		BSMS	7964.65	57,340.76	33,154.21	29,525.50	13,556.48
Euclidean distance from fault (m) DA 3125.00 14,950.59 10,578.60 24,974.55 2270.77 DXL 3334.18 44,026.04 20,923.41 22,911.85 9067.17 DXLXXL 412.31 59,519.36 17,369.14 18,596.30 13,259.30 Peak ground acceleration (g) BSMS 0.1500 0.2000 0.1972 0.2000 0.0000 DXL 0.1500 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0000 DA 0.1500 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0000 Peak ground acceleration (g) DA 0.1500 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.00013 DXL 0.1000 0.2000 0.1664 0.2000 0.0277		MS	0.00	58,590.46	30,462.28	28,367.10	17,789.54
DXL 3334.18 44,026.04 20,923.41 22,911.85 9067.17 DXLXXL 412.31 59,519.36 17,369.14 18,596.30 13,259.30 BSMS 0.1500 0.2000 0.1972 0.2000 0.0116 MS 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0000 Peak ground acceleration (g) DA 0.1500 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 DXL 0.1000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0013 DXL 0.1000 0.2000 0.1664 0.2000 0.0277	Euclidean distance from fault (m)	DA	3125.00	14,950.59	10 <i>,</i> 578.60	24,974.55	2270.77
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		DXL	3334.18	44,026.04	20,923.41	22,911.85	9067.17
BSMS 0.1500 0.2000 0.1972 0.2000 0.0116 MS 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0000 Peak ground acceleration (g) DA 0.1500 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0013 DXL 0.1000 0.2000 0.1664 0.2000 0.0277		DXLXXL	412.31	59,519.36	17,369.14	18,596.30	13,259.30
MS 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0000 Peak ground acceleration (g) DA 0.1500 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0013 DXL 0.1000 0.2000 0.1664 0.2000 0.0277		BSMS	0.1500	0.2000	0.1972	0.2000	0.0116
Peak ground acceleration (g) DA 0.1500 0.2000 0.2000 0.2000 0.0013 DXL 0.1000 0.2000 0.1664 0.2000 0.0277 DYL VVL 0.1500 0.2000 0.1664 0.2000 0.0249		MS	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.0000
DXL 0.1000 0.2000 0.1664 0.2000 0.0277	Peak ground acceleration (g)	DA	0.1500	0.2000	0.2000	0.2000	0.0013
		DXL	0.1000	0.2000	0.1664	0.2000	0.0277
DALXAL 0.1500 0.3000 0.1791 0.2000 0.0248		DXLXXL	0.1500	0.3000	0.1791	0.2000	0.0248
BSMS 0.0000 0.2720 0.0179 0.0000 0.0352		BSMS	0.0000	0.2720	0.0179	0.0000	0.0352
MS 0.0000 0.1675 0.0036 0.0000 0.0149		MS	0.0000	0.1675	0.0036	0.0000	0.0149
Road density (km/km ²) DA 0.0000 0.5618 0.0150 0.0000 0.0474	Road density (km/km ²)	DA	0.0000	0.5618	0.0150	0.0000	0.0474
DXL 0.0000 0.5000 0.0173 0.0000 0.0580		DXL	0.0000	0.5000	0.0173	0.0000	0.0580
DXLXXL 0.0000 0.3815 0.0207 0.0000 0.0443		DXLXXL	0.0000	0.3815	0.0207	0.0000	0.0443

 Table A1. Statistics of driving factors in different geomorphological zones.

Driving Factors	Zone	MIN	MAX	MEAN	MEDIAN	STD
	BSMS	0.0000	0.1821	0.0135	0.0000	0.0295
	MS	0.0000	0.2451	0.0166	0.0000	0.0402
River density (km/km ²)	DA	0.0000	0.2138	0.0122	0.0000	0.0333
	DXL	0.0000	0.2285	0.0102	0.0000	0.0265
	DXLXXL	0.0000	0.1656	0.0099	0.0000	0.0260
	BSMS	0.00	22,410.94	8355.13	7905.69	4923.44
Euclidean distance from built up land	MS	0.00	28,517.54	12,538.66	8746.43	6845.54
(m)	DA	0.00	12,379.42	5563.95	7632.17	2819.50
(111)	DXL	0.00	26,504.72	11,982.42	9013.88	5840.43
	DXLXXL	0.00	41,330.98	11,434.65	9219.54	7630.49
	BSMS	-25.0000	20.0000	-0.1732	0.0000	2.3752
	MS	-20.0000	20.0000	-0.2752	0.0000	3.4373
Land-use change index	DA	-25.0000	20.0000	-1.1994	0.0000	4.7261
	DXL	-25.0000	20.0000	-0.2004	0.0000	4.2528
	DXLXXL	-25.0000	20.0000	-0.3407	0.0000	3.6004
	BSMS	-0.0564	0.7470	0.4519	0.4870	0.1651
	MS	-0.0655	0.7716	0.2638	0.4457	0.2133
NDVI in 2000	DA	-0.0373	0.7750	0.4454	0.4550	0.1594
	DXL	-0.0701	0.8263	0.3059	0.4326	0.2331
	DXLXXL	-0.0594	0.7764	0.4500	0.4488	0.1652

Table A1. Cont.



Figure A3. Cont.

(g)

(i)

²⁰] (k)

15

requency(%)

20

15

(m)

index; (n) NDVI in 2000.

15



Figure A3. Histogram of estimated coefficients for each factor in different partitions. (a) Temperature mean value; (b) temperature slope value; (c) precipitation mean value; (d) precipitation slope value; (e) elevation; (f) slope; (g) aspect; (h) Euclidean distance from fault; (i) peak ground acceleration;

(j) road density; (k) river density; (l) Euclidean distance from built-up land; (m) land-use change

References

- 1. Qin, Q.; Huang, Y.; Liu, J.; Chen, D.; Zhang, L.; Qiu, J.; Tan, H.; Wen, Y. The landscape patterns of the giant panda protection area in Sichuan province and their impact on giant pandas. *Sustainability.* **2019**, *11*, 5993. [CrossRef]
- 2. Xiao, Y.; Ouyang, Z.Y.; Zhu, C.Q.; Zhao, J.Z.; Wang, X.K. An assessment of giant panda habitat in Minshan, Sichuan, China. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* 2004, 24, 1373–1379. (In Chinese)
- 3. Li, J.; Liu, F.; Xue, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Li, D. Assessing vulnerability of giant pandas to climate change in the Qinling Mountains of China. *Ecol. Evol.* 2017, *7*, 4003–4015. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Xue, C.; Shao, C.; Gao, J. Ecological compensation strategy for SDG-based basin-type national parks: A case study of the baoxing giant panda national park. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* **2020**, *17*, 3908. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Kang, D.; Ma, Y.; Zhou, S. Comprehensive evaluation on ecological security of the habitat of the giant panda, Ailuropoda melanoleuca in the Minshan Mountains and Qionglai Mountains. *J. Biosaf.* **2020**, *29*, 6. (In Chinese)
- 6. Du, A.; Cui, T.; Ouyang, Z. International experiences of national park selection criteria and the inspirations to China. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* **2020**, *40*, 7231–7237. (In Chinese)
- 7. Cui, Q.; Zhao, M.; Tang, T.; Wang, D.; Cheng, W.; Cheng, J. The public experience perception of giant panda national park based on network text analysis. *Ecol. Econ.* **2021**, *36*, 118–124.

- Zhao, Y.; Chen, Y.P.; Ellison, A.M.; Liu, W.G.; Chen, D. Establish an environmentally sustainable Giant Panda National Park in the Qinling Mountains. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 668, 979–987. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wang, Y.; Yang, H.; Qi, D.; Songer, M.; Bai, W.; Zhou, C.; Zhang, J.; Huang, Q. Efficacy and management challenges of the zoning designations of China's national parks. *Biol. Conserv.* 2021, 254, 108962. (In Chinese) [CrossRef]
- Testa, S.; Soudani, K.; Boschetti, L.; Borgogno Mondino, E. MODIS-derived EVI, NDVI and WDRVI time series to estimate phenological metrics in French deciduous forests. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 2018, 64, 132–144. (In Chinese) [CrossRef]
- 11. León-Tavares, J.; Roujean, J.-L.; Smets, B.; Wolters, E.; Toté, C.; Swinnen, E. Correction of directional effects in vegetation NDVI time-series. *Remote Sens.* 2021, *13*, 1130. [CrossRef]
- 12. Morawitz, D.F.; Blewett, T.M.; Cohen, A.; Alberti, M. Using NDVI to assess vegetative land cover change in central Puget Sound. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2006, 114, 85–106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Cai, Z.; Jönsson, P.; Jin, H.; Eklundh, L. Performance of smoothing methods for reconstructing NDVI time-series and estimating vegetation phenology from MODIS data. *Remote Sens.* **2017**, *9*, 1271. [CrossRef]
- 14. Khaznadar, M. Vegetation and land cover change in the National park of EL Kala: Application of NDVI differencing and classification analysis. *J. Biodivers. Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *7*, 231–244.
- 15. Plessis, W. Linear regression relationships between NDVI, vegetation and rainfall in Etosha National Park, Namibia. *J. Arid. Environ.* **1999**, *42*, 235–260. [CrossRef]
- 16. Wagenseil, H.; Samimi, C. Assessing spatio-temporal variations in plant phenology using Fourier analysis on NDVI time series: Results from a dry savannah environment in Namibia. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* **2007**, *27*, 3455–3471. [CrossRef]
- 17. Yang, B.; Qin, S.; Xu, W.; Busch, J.; Yang, X.; Gu, X.; Yang, Z.; Wang, B.; Dai, Q.; Xu, Y. Gap analysis of giant panda conservation as an example for planning China's national park system. *Curr. Biol.* **2020**, *30*, 1287–1291. [CrossRef]
- 18. Zhou, X.; Chen, F. Change detection of forest coverage in sichuan giant panda sanctuaries using PALSAR time series data. *Remote Sens. Technol. Appl.* **2018**, *32*, 1100–1106.
- Chen, F.; Guo, H.; Ishwaran, N.; Zhou, W.; Yang, R.; Jing, L.; Chen, F.; Zeng, H. Synthetic aperture radar (SAR) interferometry for assessing wenchuan earthquake (2008) deforestation in the Sichuan giant panda site. *Remote Sens.* 2014, 6, 6283–6299. [CrossRef]
- Tang, P.; Chen, F.; Guo, H.; Tian, B.; Wang, X.; Ishwaran, N. Large-area landslides monitoring using advanced multi-temporal InSAR technique over the giant panda habitat, Sichuan, China. *Remote Sens.* 2015, 7, 8925–8949. [CrossRef]
- Fensholt, R.; Rasmussen, K.; Nielsen, T.T.; Mbow, C. Evaluation of earth observation based long term vegetation trends— Intercomparing NDVI time series trend analysis consistency of Sahel from AVHRR GIMMS, Terra MODIS and SPOT VGT data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2009, 113, 1886–1898. [CrossRef]
- 22. De Beurs, K.M.; Henebry, G.M. Trend analysis of the pathfinder AVHRR Land (PAL) NDVI data for the deserts of central Asia. *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* 2004, *1*, 282–286. [CrossRef]
- Fensholt, R.; Langanke, T.; Rasmussen, K.; Reenberg, A.; Prince, S.D.; Tucker, C.; Scholes, R.J.; Le, Q.B.; Bondeau, A.; Eastman, R.; et al. Greenness in semi-arid areas across the globe 1981–2007—An Earth Observing Satellite based analysis of trends and drivers. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2012, 121, 144–158. [CrossRef]
- 24. Huang, C.; Yang, Q.; Guo, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Guo, L. The pattern, change and driven factors of vegetation cover in the Qin Mountains region. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 20591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- He, P.; Xu, L.; Liu, Z.; Jing, Y.; Zhu, W. Dynamics of NDVI and its influencing factors in the Chinese Loess Plateau during 2002–2018. *Reg. Sustain.* 2021, 2, 36–46. [CrossRef]
- Kaufmann, R.K.; Zhou, L.; Tucker, C.J.; Slayback, D.; Shabanov, N.V.; Myneni, R.B. Reply to Comment on "Variations in northern vegetation activity inferred from satellite data of vegetation index during 1981–1999" by J. R. Ahlbeck. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 2002, 107, 1–3. [CrossRef]
- 27. Myneni, R.B.; Keeling, C.D.; Tucker, C.J.; Asrar, G.; Nemani, R.R. Increased plant growth in the northern high latitudes from 1981 to 1991. *Nature*. **1997**, *386*, 698–702. [CrossRef]
- Mohammat, A.; Wang, X.; Xu, X.; Peng, L.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Myneni, R.B.; Piao, S. Drought and spring cooling induced recent decrease in vegetation growth in Inner Asia. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 2013, 178–179, 21–30. [CrossRef]
- 29. Wang, J.; Rich, P.M.; Price, K.P. Temporal responses of NDVI to precipitation and temperature in the central Great Plains, USA. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* **2010**, *24*, 2345–2364. [CrossRef]
- 30. Lin, X.; Niu, J.; Berndtsson, R.; Yu, X.; Zhang, L.; Chen, X. NDVI dynamics and its response to climate change and reforestation in northern China. *Remote Sens.* **2020**, *12*, 4138. [CrossRef]
- 31. Liu, Y.; Lei, H. Responses of natural vegetation dynamics to climate drivers in China from 1982 to 2011. *Remote Sens.* 2015, 7, 10243–10268. [CrossRef]
- 32. Zou, W.C.; Xiao, G.R. Scale-location dependence of the relationship between NDVI and environmental factors in Wuyi Mountain. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2014**, *9559*, 3828–3834. [CrossRef]
- 33. Cui, P.; Lin, Y.-M.; Chen, C. Destruction of vegetation due to geo-hazards and its environmental impacts in the Wenchuan earthquake areas. *Ecol. Eng.* **2012**, *44*, 61–69. [CrossRef]
- 34. Vina, A.; Chen, X.; McConnell, W.J.; Liu, W.; Xu, W.; Ouyang, Z.; Zhang, H.; Liu, J. Effects of natural disasters on conservation policies: The case of the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake, China. *Ambio.* **2011**, *40*, 274–284. [CrossRef]
- 35. Zhao, W.; Zhou, X.; Pu, H. Construction of ecological security pattern in Chengdu-Chongqing Twin-City Economic Circle. *China Environ. Sci.* **2021**, *41*, 2423–2433. (In Chinese)

- 36. Yang, Y.; Wang, S.; Bai, X.; Tan, Q.; Li, Q.; Wu, L.; Tian, S.; Hu, Z.; Li, C.; Deng, Y. Factors affecting long-term trends in global NDVI. *Forests.* **2019**, *10*, 372. [CrossRef]
- Gao, J.; Jiao, K.; Wu, S. Investigating the spatially heterogeneous relationships between climate factors and NDVI in China during 1982 to 2013. J. Geogr. Sci. 2019, 29, 1597–1609. [CrossRef]
- Li, J.; Su, Z.; Jiang, J.; Chen, W.; Yu, N.; Li, X.; Xie, J.; Wei, J. Spatial-Temporal Change in Vegetation Cover and Climate Factor Drivers of Variation in the Haihe River Basin 2003–2016. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2021, 697, 012005. [CrossRef]
- He, C.; Tian, J.; Gao, B.; Zhao, Y. Differentiating climate- and human-induced drivers of grassland degradation in the Liao River Basin, China. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2015, 187, 4199. [CrossRef]
- 40. Propastin, P.; Kappas, M.; Erasmi, S. Application of geographically weighted regression to investigate the impact of scale on prediction uncertainty by modelling relationship between vegetation and climate. *Int. J. Spat. Data Infrastruct. Res.* **2008**, *3*, 73–94.
- 41. Zhao, Z.; Gao, J.; Wang, Y.; Liu, J.; Li, S. Exploring spatially variable relationships between NDVI and climatic factors in a transition zone using geographically weighted regression. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* **2014**, *120*, 507–519. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.; Lesi, M.; Zhang, M. Ecosystem pattern change and its influencing factors of "two barriers and three belts". *Chin. J. Ecol.* 2019, *38*, 11. (In Chinese)
- Zhao, Z.; Luan, X.; Cheng, J.; Ye, J.; Li, J.; Zhang, Z.; Li, M.; Wang, H.; Yang, L. Improving management of Giant Panda National Park by quantitative assessment of ecological management. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* 2019, *39*, 3885–3894. (In Chinese)
- Chen, L.D.; Liu, X.H.; Fu, B.J.; LÜ, Y.H.; Qiu, J. Identification of the potential habitat for giant panda in the Wolong Nature Reserve by using landscape ecology methodology. Landscape Ecological Applications in Man-Influenced Areas; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2008; pp. 95–112.
- Bu, H.; McShea, W.J.; Wang, D.; Wang, F.; Chen, Y.; Gu, X.; Yu, L.; Jiang, S.; Zhang, F.; Li, S. Not all forests are alike: The role of commercial forest in the conservation of landscape connectivity for the giant panda. *Landsc. Ecol.* 2021, 36, 2549–2564. [CrossRef]
- 46. Huang, Q.; Fei, Y.; Yang, H.; Gu, X.; Songer, M. Giant Panda National Park, a step towards streamlining protected areas and cohesive conservation management in China. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **2020**, *22*, e00947. [CrossRef]
- 47. Zheng, J.; Bian, J.; Ge, Q.; Hao, Z.; Yin, Y.; Liao, Y. The climate regionalization in China for 1981–2010. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* **2013**, *58*, 3088–3099.
- 48. Li, B.; Pan, B.; Cheng, W.; Han, J.; Qi, D.; Zhu, C. Research on geomorphological regionalization of China. *Acta Geogr. Sin.* **2013**, *68*, 16. (In Chinese)
- 49. Didan, K.; Munoz, A.B.; Solano, R.; Huete, A. *MODIS Vegetation Index User's Guide (MOD13 Series)*; University of Arizona, Vegetation Index and Phenology Lab.: Tucson, AZ, USA, 2015.
- 50. Faisal, B.; Rahman, H.; Sharifee, N.H.; Sultana, N.; Islam, M.I.; Ahammad, T. Remotely sensed boro rice production forecasting using MODIS-NDVI: A bangladesh perspective. *AgriEngineering* **2019**, *1*, 27. [CrossRef]
- Bolstad, P.V.; Swank, W.; Vose, J. Predicting Southern Appalachian overstory vegetation with digital terrain data. *Landsc. Ecol.* 1998, 13, 271–283. [CrossRef]
- 52. Liu, Y.; Liu, R.; Ge, Q. Evaluating the vegetation destruction and recovery of Wenchuan earthquake using MODIS data. *Nat. Hazards.* **2010**, *54*, 851–862. [CrossRef]
- Wingate, V.R.; Phinn, S.R.; Kuhn, N. Mapping precipitation-corrected NDVI trends across Namibia. Sci. Total Environ. 2019, 684, 96–112. [CrossRef]
- 54. Gao, Y.; Huang, J.; Li, S.; Li, S. Spatial pattern of non-stationarity and scale-dependent relationships between NDVI and climatic factors-A case study in Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, China. *Ecol. Indic.* **2012**, *20*, 170–176. [CrossRef]
- 55. Hong, S.K. Changes in landscape patterns and vegetation process in the Far-Eastern cultural landscapes: Human activity on pine-dominated secondary vegetations in Korea and Japan. *Phytocoenologia* **1998**, *28*, 45–66. [CrossRef]
- 56. Sun, Z.; Chang, N.-B.; Opp, C.; Hennig, T. Evaluation of ecological restoration through vegetation patterns in the lower Tarim River, China with MODIS NDVI data. *Ecol. Inform.* **2011**, *6*, 156–163. [CrossRef]
- 57. Zhang, J.; Jia, C.; Peng, L.; Hui, T. NDVI spatial distribution and its correlation with land-use in Dan River Watershed of Shaanxi Province. *Sci. Soil Water Conserv.* **2016**, *14*, 67–73.
- Hua, M.D.; Ming, W.Z.; Shan, S.K.; Wei, L.D.; Bai, Z.; Mei, Z.S.; Ling, L.; Hua, Z.C. The vegetation NDVI variation and its responses to climate change and LUCC from 1982 to 2006 year in northeast permafrost region. *China Environ. Sci.* 2011, 31, 283–292. (In Chinese)
- Kumar, B.P.; Babu, K.R.; Ramachandra, M.; Krupavathi, C.; Swamy, B.N.; Sreenivasulu, Y.; Rajasekhar, M. Data on identification of desertified regions in Anantapur district, Southern India by NDVI approach using remote sensing and GIS. *Data Brief.* 2020, 30, 105560. [CrossRef]
- 60. Zhao, Y.; Sun, R.; Ni, Z. Identification of natural and anthropogenic drivers of vegetation change in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei megacity region. *Remote Sens.* 2019, *11*, 1224. [CrossRef]
- Pan, G.; Tang, H.J.; Chen, Z.X.; Zhang, F.R. Land cover classification based on MODIS NDVI & LST time series data in northeast China. *Resour. Sci.* 2006, 27, 163–169. (In Chinese)
- 62. Ye, Q.; Liu, H.; Lin, Y.; Han, R. Study of Maowusu sandy land vegetation coverage change based on modis Ndvi. *ISPRS Int. Arch. Photogramm. Remote Sens. Spat. Inf. Sci.* **2018**, *42*, 2127–2133. [CrossRef]
- 63. Xia, H.; Li, A.; Feng, G.; Li, Y.; Qin, Y.; Lei, G.; Cui, Y. The effects of asymmetric diurnal warming on vegetation growth of the Tibetan Plateau over the past three decades. *Sustainability.* **2018**, *10*, 1103. [CrossRef]

- 64. Tian, F.; Qiu, G.Y.; Yang, Y.H.; Xiong, Y.J.; Wang, P. Studies on the relationships between land surface temperature and environmental factors in an inland river catchment based on geographically weighted regression and MODIS data. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.* **2012**, *5*, 687–698. [CrossRef]
- 65. Brunsdon, C.; Fotheringham, A.S.; Charlton, M.E. Geographically weighted regression: A method for exploring spatial nonstationarity. *Geogr. Anal.* 2010, *28*, 281–298. [CrossRef]
- Leung, Y.; Mei, C.L.; Zhang, W.X. Statistical test for local patterns of spatial association. *Environ. Plan. A* 2003, 35, 725–744. [CrossRef]
- 67. Li, S.; Ni, Z.; Zhao, Y.; Hu, W.; Long, Z.; Ma, H.; Geng, C. Susceptibility analysis of geohazards in the Longmen Mountain Region after the Wenchuan Earthquake. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* **2022**, *19*, 3229. [CrossRef]
- 68. Huang, R.Q.; Li, W.L. Analysis of the geo-hazards triggered by the 12 May 2008 Wenchuan Earthquake, China. *Bull. Eng. Geol. Environ.* **2009**, *68*, 363–371. [CrossRef]
- 69. Li, W.L.; Huang, R.Q.; Tang, C.; Xu, Q.; van Westen, C. Co-seismic landslide inventory and susceptibility mapping in the 2008 Wenchuan earthquake disaster area, China. *J. Mt. Sci.* **2013**, *10*, 339–354. [CrossRef]
- Yang, L.; Zhang, M.; Luo, M.L.; Zhou, X. Landscape pattern change of vegetation coverage in hilly area of central Sichuan, Southwest China based on MODIS NDVI. *Chin. J. Ecol.* 2013, *32*, 171–177. (In Chinese)
- 71. Qin, Q.; Liu, J.; Yu, Q.; Ma, B.; Tan, H.; Xie, L.; Wen, Y. Ecological security and spatio-temporal evolution of giant panda protected areas in Sichuan Province. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* **2020**, *40*, 7255–7266. (In Chinese)
- Xiao, J.; Zhou, D.; Bai, X.; Qian, Q.; Yan, M. Spatial-temporal evolution of vegetation coverage and analysis of future trends in Sichuan Province. *Renmin Chang. Yangtze River.* 2018, 49, 16–21. (In Chinese)
- 73. Ge, Y.; Song, G.; Guo, Z.; Kong, Y. Characteristics and causes of 8.18 debris flow hazards at the Longmenshan Town, Pengzhou, Sichuan. *J. Hydraul. Eng.* **2012**, *43*, 147–154. (In Chinese)
- Zeng, Q.; Xie, Q.; Xu, J. Analysis on the debris flow characteristics and effectiveness of prevention projects in Huangyang Gully in Longchi town of Dujiangyan City. Northwestern Geol. 2014, 47, 8. (In Chinese)
- Liu, D.L.; Zhang, Y.S.; Lin, N. Association analysis of NDVI changes and topographic factors. *Appl. Mech. Mater.* 2013, 333, 1205–1208. [CrossRef]
- 76. Shi-Bo, F.; Xin-Shi, Z. Control of vegetation distribution: Climate, geological substrate, and geomorphic factors. A case study of grassland in Ordos, Inner Mongolia, China. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* **2014**, *39*, 167–174. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, J.; Bian, J.; Ge, Q.; He, Z.; Yin, Y.; Liao, Y. China's climate zoning from 1981 to 2010. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 2013, 58, 3088–3099. (In Chinese)
- 78. Fan, X.; Cao, X.; Zhou, H.; Hao, L.; Dong, W.; He, C.; Xu, M.; Wu, H.; Wang, L.; Chang, Z.; et al. Carbon dioxide fertilization effect on plant growth under soil water stress associates with changes in stomatal traits, leaf photosynthesis, and foliar nitrogen of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 2020, *179*, 104203. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.; He, B.; Quan, X.; Yin, C.; Liao, Z.; Qiu, S.; Bai, X. Recent change of vegetation growth trend and its relations with climate factors in Sichuan, China. In Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium (IGARSS), Milan, Italy, 26–31 July 2015; pp. 342–345.
- 80. Li, P.; He, Z.; He, D.; Xue, D.; Wang, Y.; Cao, S. Fractional vegetation coverage response to climatic factors based on grey relational analysis during the 2000–2017 growing season in Sichuan Province, China. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* **2019**, *41*, 1170–1190. [CrossRef]
- 81. Liu, Y.; Li, Y.; Li, S.; Motesharrei, S. Spatial and temporal patterns of global NDVI Trends: Correlations with climate and human factors. *Remote Sens.* **2015**, *7*, 13233–13250. [CrossRef]
- Kong, D.; Zhang, Q.; Singh, V.P.; Shi, P. Seasonal vegetation response to climate change in the Northern Hemisphere (1982–2013). *Glob. Planet. Change.* 2016, 148, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 83. Mao, D.; Wang, Z.; Luo, L.; Ren, C. Integrating AVHRR and MODIS data to monitor NDVI changes and their relationships with climatic parameters in Northeast China. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* **2012**, *18*, 528–536. [CrossRef]
- 84. Liu, L.; Jing, X.; Wang, J.; Zhao, C. Analysis of the changes of vegetation coverage of western Beijing mountainous areas using remote sensing and GIS. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **2009**, *153*, 339–349. [CrossRef]
- Zhan, Z.-Z.; Liu, H.-B.; Li, H.-M.; Wu, W.; Zhong, B. The Relationship between NDVI and Terrain Factors—A case study of Chongqing. *Procedia Environ. Sci.* 2012, 12, 765–771. [CrossRef]
- Xiong, Y.; Li, Y.; Xiong, S.; Wu, G.; Deng, O. Multi-scale spatial correlation between vegetation index and terrain attributes in a small watershed of the upper Minjiang River. *Ecol. Indic.* 2021, 126, 107610. [CrossRef]
- 87. Lin, B.; Liu, Q.; You, X.; Pang, X. Engineering of Changing cultivation into tree planting and its effects to the development of rural economics in Western Sichuan, China. *J. Mt. Sci.* **2002**, *20*, 438–444. (In Chinese)
- 88. Bennie, J.; Hill, M.O.; Baxter, R.; Huntley, B. Influence of slope and aspect on long-term vegetation change in British chalk grasslands. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* 2006, *94*, 355–368. (In Chinese) [CrossRef]
- 89. Gan, B.R.; Yang, X.G.; Zhang, W.; Zhou, J.W. Temporal and spatial evolution of vegetation coverage in the Mianyuan River basin influenced by strong earthquake disturbance. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 16762. [CrossRef]
- 90. Lin, J.; Zhou, G. Quick Identification of Regional Earthquake-Induced Landslides Based on Sharp NDVI Change; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; pp. 715–723.
- 91. Qing, L.; Jun, Z.; Tao, Z.; Jing, M.A.; Zhong, W.; Kai, L. The influence of vegetation restoration on soil archaeal communities in Fuyun earthquake fault zone of Xinjiang. *Acta Geogr. Sin.* **2013**, *33*, 454–463. (In Chinese) [CrossRef]

- 92. Zhang, Y. Seismogenic structures of the south Sichuan basin seismic zone and its neotectonic setting. *Acta Geol. Sin.* **2020**, *94*, 3161–3177. (In Chinese)
- Meng, Q.; Miao, F.; Zhen, J.; Wang, X.; Wang, A.; Peng, Y.; Fan, Q. GIS-based landslide susceptibility mapping with logistic regression, analytical hierarchy process, and combined fuzzy and support vector machine methods: A case study from Wolong Giant Panda Natural Reserve, China. *Bull. Eng. Geol. Environ.* 2015, *75*, 923–944. (In Chinese) [CrossRef]
- Luo, Y.; Wang, Y. Mountain slope ground motion topography amplification effect induced by Wenchuan earthquake. J. Mt. Sci. 2013, 31, 200–210.
- 95. Guo, X.; Fan, J.; Cui, P.; Yan, Y. Thresholds of Rainfall Trigoerciy Debris Flows in Wenchuan Earthquake Area. *Mt. Res.* 2015, 33, 579–586.
- He, G.; Chen, X.; Beaer, S.; Colunga, M.; Mertig, A.; An, L.; Zhou, S.; Linderman, M.; Ouyang, Z.; Gage, S.; et al. Spatial and temporal patterns of fuelwood collection in Wolong Nature Reserve: Implications for panda conservation. *Landsc. Urban Plan.* 2009, 92, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Gong, M.; Ouyang, Z.; Xu, W.; Song, Y.; Dai, B. The location of wildlife corridors under the impact of road disturbance: Case study of a giant panda conservation corridor. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* 2015, 35, 3447–3453.
- Kang, D.; Zhao, Z.; Chen, X.; Lin, Y.; Wang, X.; Li, J. Evaluating the effects of roads on giant panda habitat at two scales in a typical nature reserve. *Sci. Total. Environ.* 2020, 710, 136351. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 99. Zhang, C. Using MODIS vegetation index to study urban expansion and change. *Meteorological.* 2006, 32, 20–26.
- Liu, J.; Ouyang, Z.; Taylor, W.W.; Groop, R.; Tan, Y.; Zhang, H. A framework for evaluating the effects of human factors on wildlife habitat: The case of giant pandas. *Conserv. Biol.* 1999, 13, 1360–1370. [CrossRef]
- 101. Zhou, M.; Li, D.; Zhou, J. Vegetation change of giant panda habitats in Qionglai Mountains through dense Landsat Data. *Chin. J. Plant Ecol.* **2021**, *45*, 15. (In Chinese) [CrossRef]
- 102. Zhang, Y.; Wang, M.; Li, J. The impact of conservation projects on Giant Panda Habitat. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* 2011, 31, 154–163. (In Chinese)
- 103. Rong, Y.; Zhuang, Y.; Yang, R. Analysis on the problems and solutions of community resettlement in national parks of China. *Chin. Landsc. Archit.* **2020**, *36*, 36–40. (In Chinese)
- Yin, W.C.; Eagles, P.F.J. Development and ranking of tourism management goals for Wolong and Wanglang Giant Panda Nature Reserves, China. Int. J. Biodivers. Sci. Manag. 2010, 1, 137–149. [CrossRef]
- 105. Liu, J.; Miao, H.; Zheng, H.; Ouyang, Z.; Wang, X.; Li, X.; Jiang, B. Discussion about the relationship pattern between Wolong Nature Reserve and local community. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* **2009**, *29*, 259–271. (In Chinese)
- 106. Zoungrana, B.J.B.; Conrad, C.; Thiel, M.; Amekudzi, L.K.; Da, E.D. MODIS NDVI trends and fractional land cover change for improved assessments of vegetation degradation in Burkina Faso, West Africa. J. Arid. Environ. 2018, 153, 66–75. [CrossRef]
- 107. Tappeiner, T.U. Impact of land use changes on mountain vegetation. Appl. Veg. Sci. 2002, 5, 173–184.
- Deng, Y.; Yao, S.; Hou, M.; Zhang, T. Temporal and spatial variation of vegetation NDVI and its topographic differentiation effect in the middle and upper reaches of the Yangtze River Basin. *Resour. Environ. Yangtze Basin.* 2020, 29, 66–78.