# A Comparison of Low-Dose and Normal-Dose Gadobutrol in MR Renography and Renal Angiography

Ilkay Koray Bayrak, MD Zafer Ozmen, MD Mehmet Selim Nural, MD Murat Danaci, MD Baris Diren, MD

#### Index terms:

Magnetic resonance (MR) Contrast agent angiography Genitourinary tract Kidney Functional imaging Renography Angiography

DOI:10.3348/kjr.2008.9.3.250

#### Korean J Radiol 2008;9:250-257 Received May 31, 2007; accepted

after revision September 12, 2007.

All authors: Department of Radiology, School of Medicine, Ondokuz Mayis University, Samsun, Turkey

#### Address reprint requests to:

Ilkay Koray Bayrak, Ondokuz Mayis University hospital, Department of Radiology, Kurupelit 55139, Samsun, Turkey. Tel. 090 362 3121919- 2445

Fax. 090 362 4576041 e-mail: ilkaykoray@hotmail.com **Objective:** It has been advocated that a reduced injection volume with highly concentrated (1 M) contrast material can produce a sharper bolus peak and an increased intravascular first-pass gadolinium concentration when compared with the use of a lower concentration (0.5 M). A higher concentration would also cause a reduction in dose. The purpose of our study was to test the use of a low dose (0.05 mmol/kg) of gadobutrol in magnetic resonance renography and angiography and compare the findings with a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg.

**Materials and Methods:** One-hundred-thirty-four patients referred for magnetic resonance angiography for suspected renovascular disease participated in the study. Contrast enhanced MR renography and angiography were performed after administration of a bolus of 0.1 mmol/kg or 0.05 mmol/kg gadobutrol in randomized patients. The relative signal intensity-time curves of the aorta, peripheral cortex and parenchyma, were obtained. Two radiologists evaluated the angiographic images and evaluated the quality of angiography.

**Results:** The signal intensity with a low dose of gadobutrol was significantly lower in early phases, in the peripheral cortex (for 36, 54, 72 and 90 seconds), the parenchyma (for 36, 54, 72 seconds) and the aorta (for 18, 36, 54, 72 seconds). The decreases in the early phase obtained with a low dose of gadobutrol caused blunter time intensity curves. The difference in the quality scores of the readers for the angiographic images for the use of the two different doses was not statistically significant (p > 0.05).

**Conclusion:** A lower dose of gadobutrol can be used for MR renal angiography, but for MR renography the normal dose should be used.

agnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is widely used in the morphologic assessment of the renal parenchyma and excretory system. It is especially useful in evaluating renal masses, the prenatal genitourinary system, urinary obstructions or infections (1). The functional evaluation of kidneys has been of interest for many years (2–7). A very high percentage of the functional kidney studies concern renovascular diseases (RVDs). An RVD can be a cause of hypertension and 1-5% of patients with hypertension have a RVD (8, 9). Although rare, diagnosis is important because RVD is potentially curable. More than 50% of high-grade stenosis progress to occlusion within two years (10, 11). MR can demonstrate structural and functional changes and plays an important role in the diagnosis of RVD.

Gadobutrol (Gadovist, Schering, Berlin, Germany) is a gadolinium-based paramagnetic contrast agent. It is freely filtered by the glomerulus without tubular secretion or re-absorption. The total plasma clearance of gadobutrol is very close to renal clearance, so glomerular filtration is the main pathway of elimination (12-14). The

recommended gadobutrol dose changes depending on the region of interest (ROI), and ranges from 0.1 to 0.27 mmol/kg (15). The use of a concentration of 1 M, gadobutrol allows a reduction of the injection volume by 50% as compared with the use of equimolar doses of MR contrast agents used at a concentration of 0.5 M. It has been shown that a reduced injection volume can cause a sharper bolus peak and increased intravascular first-pass gadolinium concentration (13-18). A relative high contrast material concentration allows a reduction in injection volume and results in a sharper bolus peak that is important for MR angiography and perfusion imaging, but can cause a signal loss due to the T2\* effect (19, 20). A higher concentration would potentially cause a reduction in dose. The purpose of this study is to test the use of low dose (0.05 mmol/kg) of gadobutrol in MR renography and angiography and compare the findings with the use of a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Patients

A total of 134 patients (70 female, 64 male) with a mean age of 49 years referred for MR angiography for suspected RVD participated in the study. Patients were divided into two groups randomly: fifty-seven (43%) patients were included in the first group and 77 (56%) patients were included in the second group. The mean patient age was 50  $\pm$  15 years in the first group and 48  $\pm$  16 years in the second group. No age and sex difference were noted for patients in either group (p > 0.05). The study was designed and performed according to the principles of the Helsinki Declaration. All participants provided informed consent. Patients were recruited in the study based on an impaired renal function and/or poorly controlled hypertension. Patients were excluded from the study when they had a single functional kidney or when they had bilateral or unilateral renal atrophy with impossible cortical differentiation as seen in the early phase of contrast-enhanced images.

# MR Imaging

Contrast enhanced MR renography was performed on a 1.5-T Siemens Symphony system (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) using a phased array body coil. A bolus of 0.1 mmol/kg gadobutrol (Gadovist, Schering, Berlin, Germany) was administered to 57 patients and a bolus of 0.05 mmol/kg was administered to 77 patients in randomized order. Contrast material was delivered at 2 mL/second into an antecubital vein using an infusion pump injector (Misissipi, Ulrich Medizintechnik, Germany), and nine coronal three-dimensional image datasets were acquired at baseline, 18, 36, 54, 72, 90, 300, 420 and 540 seconds using a three-dimensional FLASH (fast low angle shot) sequence (TR = 3.0 msec, TE = 1.2msec, flip angle =  $25^{\circ}$ ) (7). The thickness of the slice block was variable and ranged from 70 to 90 mm, depending upon the size of patient and the tortuosity of the aorta as determined by scout examinations. A total of 46-54 coronal slices were acquired across the slice block, generating an objective slice thickness of 1.63 mm. The field of view (FOV) was also variable, and ranged from 257 to 512 mm, depending upon the size of patient. The scan time was restricted to 18 seconds to minimize respiratory artifacts. For morphologic assessment, a coronal 2D-trufi (TR = 4.3 msec, TE = 2.1 msec) MR sequence was applied to all patients before the administration of contrast material. All examinations were performed with breath holding at the end of inspiration.

# Image Analysis

Images were transferred to a dedicated offline computer workstation (Leonardo, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany). An ROI was placed over the entire peripheral renal cortex and parenchyma on coronal images, and a circular ROI was placed covering the full thickness of the aorta at the level of the renal arteries (Fig.



Fig. 1. Region of interest includes cortex, parenchyma and aorta.

1). Time-intensity curves were generated in each region (Fig. 4).

To correct for possible variations in MR signal intensity among patients due to receiver gain and coil positioning, measured signal intensities (SIi) were standardized relative to baseline values prior to contrast material administration (SI0), according to the calculation (SIi - SI0)/SI0. Cortical and parenchymal intensity changes in the kidneys and luminal intensity changes in the aorta by the time were plotted and the relative signal intensity-time curves were obtained (5). The long and short axis and parenchymal thickness of the kidneys were measured in coronal trufi images.

# MR Angiography

MR angiographic images were formed by using the threedimensional FLASH sequence used for MR renography (3.0/1.2, flip angle of 25°, FOV of 257–512 mm, and rectangular FOV depending on the body habitus). A nonenhanced image was acquired first to serve as a mask for subtraction. Image acquisition times were 18 seconds and the first sequence was used for MR angiographic evalua-



Fig. 2. MR angiography and MR renographic images of patient after administration of 0.1 mmol/kg gadobutrol.



Fig. 3. MR angiography and MR renographic images of patient after administration of 0.05 mmol/kg gadobutrol.

tion. MR angiograms were subjected to a prospective qualitative analysis based on a segment-by-segment review. The MR angiography data sets were available on a workstation that allowed for viewing of the source images. maximum intensity projections (MIPs), and multiplanar reconstructions (Figs. 2, 3). Two radiologists assessed the image quality for arterial visualization. These reviewers were blinded to the dose of contrast material and patient identity and clinical history. To achieve objective evaluation, qualitative assessment was performed for nine vessel segments covering the entire vascular territory of interest. The vessel segments evaluated were defined as follows: segment I, the suprarenal abdominal aorta; segment II, the juxtarenal aorta (including the part approximately 2 cm above and below the renal arteries and any accessory renal arteries); segment III, the infrarenal aorta down to the bifurcation; segments IV, V, the proximal third of the renal

arteries (left and right); segment VI, VII, the middle third of the renal arteries (left and right); and segments VIII, IX, the distal third of the renal arteries (left and right). Accessory renal arteries and proximally bifurcated renal arteries, if present, were similarly divided into proximal, middle, and distal segments; and were assessed together with each principal renal artery segment. For each of the nine vessel segments, an image quality score between 0 and 2 was assigned, with the scores defined as follows: 0, poor diagnostic information (impossible to detect or exclude vascular lesions); 1, moderate diagnostic information: 2. adequate diagnostic information. A total image quality score was determined for each contrast-enhanced MR angiographic examination as the sum of the numeric scores assigned to each of the nine vessel segments and ranged from 0 (poor diagnostic information for all nine segments) to 18 (adequate diagnostic information for each





**Fig. 4.** Relative intensity-time curves of cortex (**A**), parenchyma (**B**) and aorta (**C**). As seen, significant difference was seen between two different dose groups in first 72 seconds.

of the nine segments) (21). Insufficient studies (a score  $\leq$  3; one case in the 0.1 mmol/kg-dose group and three cases in the 0.05 mmol/kg-dose group) were repeated with the same dose. Only arterial enhancement was assessed; no scoring was performed for renal venous enhancement. Image artifacts, if present, were noted, but no specific scoring for artifacts was performed.

#### Statistical Analysis

The student *t*-test was used to compare age and sex, renal morphologic measurement and relative intensity values. To compare the total image quality scores of observers between the two dose groups, the Mann-Whitney *U* test was used. The Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test was used to compare the difference of total image quality scores between two observers. To analyze the mean and standard deviation values of the total image quality scores, descriptive statistics were used. We used the Kappa test to asses the concordance of the readers in quality assessment of MR angiography.

### RESULTS

No age difference was noted between the two patient groups. The long and short axis length and parenchymal thickness of the kidneys showed no differences between the two groups. The areas and pixel counts in the ROI drawn to form relative signal intensity-time curves of the cortex and parenchyma showed no difference. The pixel count in the aorta showed a significant difference, but there were no differences in areas (Table 1).

The relative signal intensity with the low dose was significantly lower in the peripheral cortex for 36, 54, 72 and 90 seconds (Table 2), for the renal parenchyma in 36, 54, and 72 seconds, and for the aorta in 18, 36, 54, and 72 seconds (Tables 3, 4). These decreases in early phase obtained with low dose caused a decrease in amplitude of the relative signal intensity-time curves (Fig. 4).

The quality assessment scores of angiography showed moderate agreement between the two readers with a Kappa value of 0.567 (p < 0.001). No statistically signifi-

#### Table 1. Morphologic Measurements of Kidney and Aorta in Two Dose Groups

Gadobutrol Dose	0.1 mmol/kg	0.05 mmol/kg	Dualuas	Ŧ
Morphological Findings	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	- P values	1
Vertical diameter (mm)	98 ± 16	$100\pm16$	0.450	0.757
Horizontal diameter (mm)	$49\pm 8$	$48\pm9$	0.376	0.888
Parenchyma thickness (mm)	$21 \pm 4$	19 $\pm$ 5	0.096	1.673
Pixel count in cortex	1572 $\pm$ 412	1621 $\pm$ 540	0.551	0.597
Area of cortex (cm <sup>2</sup> )	$18\pm 6$	$36\pm211$	0.531	0.628
Pixel count in parenchyma	$2521~\pm812$	$\textbf{2775} \pm \textbf{935}$	0.077	1.776
Area of parenchyma (cm <sup>2</sup> )	$28\pm9$	$319\pm10$	0.14	2.487
Pixel count in aorta*	175 $\pm$ 53	$\textbf{220}\pm\textbf{66}$	< 0.05	3.172
Area of aorta (cm <sup>2</sup> )	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{1.8}$	$3.4\pm5.6$	0.324	0.992

Note.—\*p < 0.05, SD = standard deviation

Table 2. Relative Intens	ity Values of Two	Dose Groups in	<b>Peripheral Cortex</b>
--------------------------	-------------------	----------------	--------------------------

Gadobutrol Dose	0.1 mmol/kg	0.05 mmol/kg		
Relative Intensity Values by Time in Cortex	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	P values	Т
18 sec	$1.47\pm1.1$	$1.24\pm 0.93$	0.137	1.494
36 sec*	$\textbf{2.10} \pm \textbf{0.98}$	$1.59\pm0.82$	< 0.001	3.750
54 sec*	$2.05\pm 0.91$	$1.52\pm0.75$	< 0.001	4.223
72 sec*	$1.92\pm 0.83$	$1.57\pm1$	< 0.05	2.255
90 sec*	$1.81\pm 0.86$	$1.52\pm0.73$	< 0.05	2.398
300 sec	1.40 $\pm$ 0,64	$1.26\pm0.64$	0.154	1.431
420 sec	$1.24\ \pm 0.64$	$1.15\pm0.59$	0.325	0.986
540 sec	$1.03\pm 0.64$	$1.05\pm0.55$	0.782	0.277

Note.—\*p < 0.05, SD = standard deviation

cant difference between the two dose groups according to the each reader individually was noted (p > 0.05). The difference in the total score between the two observers was significant in the low dose group (p < 0.05) and was not significant for the normal dose group (p > 0.05) (Table 5).

# DISCUSSION

Dynamic MR imaging is a well-known technique that provides both morphological and functional information for RVD (2, 4, 22–24). Schoenberg et al. (6, 22) described that either a normal curve or partial loss of the early systolic peak in time intensity curves is consistent with a low grade of stenosis. Complete loss of the early systolic peak and a decrease of the midsystolic peak indicated moderate stenosis (50%); a flattened profile with no systolic peaks was representative of high-grade stenosis.

The neutral gadolinium chelate agent gadobutrol allows the use of gadolinium concentrations of 1.0 M for intravenous injection (12). The high concentration provides increased gadolinium concentrations at the temporal bolus peak and a sharper bolus profile because of reduced injection volumes, which can be advantageous for perfusion imaging and angiography (14, 25, 26). An intravenous injection of 1.0-M gadobutrol at a dose of up to 0.1-0.27 mmol/kg in the indication of contrast enhanced MR angiography is safe and causes no clinically relevant changes (12, 14, 27). To the best of our knowledge, no study has reported about the use of low dose gadobutrol in MR angiography and renography. In this study, we compared MR angiography and MR

# Table 5. Total Image Quality Scores of Each Observer between Two Dose Groups

		0.1 mmol/kg Gadobutrol (n = 57)*	0.05 mmol/kg Gadobutrol (n = 77)*	Р
Obs	server 1	$14.28~\pm3.43$	$14.33 \pm 3.35$	0.960
Obs	server 2	$14.11\ \pm 3.42$	$14.10\pm3.31$	0.933
Р		0.079	0.011	

Note.—\*Data are mean  $\,\pm\,$  standard deviation

#### Table 3. Relative Intensity Values of Two Dose Groups in Parenchyma

Gadobutrol Dose Relative Intensity Values by Time in Parenchyma	0.1 mmol/kg 0.05 mmol/kg		Dushuss	
	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	- P values	1
18 sec	1.22 ± 0.91	1.12 ± 0.87	= 0.470	0.725
36 sec*	$1.89\pm 0.86$	$1.50\ \pm 0.81$	< 0.05	3.040
54 sec*	$1.97\ \pm 0.81$	$1.55\ \pm 0.78$	< 0.05	3.392
72 sec*	$1.91\ \pm 0.75$	$1.65\ \pm 0.82$	< 0.05	2.071
90 sec	$1.91\ \pm 0.80$	$1.71\ \pm 0.82$	= 0.108	1.615
300 sec	$1.51\ \pm 0.60$	$1.45\ \pm 0.72$	= 0.549	0.600
420 sec	$1.36\ \pm\ 0.60$	$1.32\ \pm 0.67$	= 0.707	0.377
540 sec	$1.16\ \pm\ 0.65$	$1.21\ \pm 0.64$	= 0.582	0.551

Note. p < 0.05, SD = standard deviation

Table 4.	Relative	Intensity	Values of	Two	Dose	Groups	in Aorta
----------	----------	-----------	-----------	-----	------	--------	----------

Gadobutrol Dose	0.1 mmol/kg	0.05 mmol/kg		
Relative Intensity Values by Time in Aorta	Mean $\pm$ SD	Mean $\pm$ SD	<ul> <li>P values</li> </ul>	Т
18 sec*	$\textbf{7.97}\ \pm\textbf{3.65}$	6.6 ± 3.39	< 0.05	2.504
36 sec*	5.35 $\pm$ 2.58	$3.96\ \pm 1.45$	< 0.001	4.839
54 sec*	$\textbf{4.34}\ \pm \textbf{1.78}$	$3.32\ \pm 1.27$	< 0.001	4.565
72 sec*	$3.57 \pm 1.83$	$3.03\pm1.22$	< 0.05	2.447
90 sec	$\textbf{2.98}\ \pm\textbf{1.72}$	$\textbf{2.74} \pm \textbf{1.23}$	= 0.258	1.133
300 sec	1.96 $\pm$ 1.11	$1.96\ \pm 0.85$	= 0.974	0.033
420 sec	1.71 $\pm$ 1.06	1.67 $\pm$ 0.76	= 0.778	0.282
540 sec	$1.41\ \pm 1.05$	$1.51\ \pm 0.74$	= 0.465	0.732

Note.—\*p < 0.05, SD = standard deviation

renography findings of patients where doses of 0.1 mmol/kg and 0.05 mmol/kg gadobutrol were administered. The mean relative signal intensity-time curves made by drawing ROI to include peripheral cortex, parenchyma and aorta showed a lower intensity for the low dose than for the normal dose group of patients, especially in the first 90 seconds of the study with a duration of 9 minutes. The early arterial peak in the relative signal intensity-time curves obtained by renal functional MR imaging is known to be important in renal arterial stenosis (1, 6, 7, 22, 23). Although the curves in both groups were almost similar, early differences can alter dynamic functional evaluation of the kidneys, especially for renal arterial stenosis, because of blunt early arterial peeks.

The relative signal intensity-time curve in the aorta was significantly higher in 18, 36, 54, 72 seconds for the regular administered dose of gadobutrol. Theoretically, a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg would be better for angiography than a dose of 0.05 mmol/kg because of the higher relative intensity in the first sequences. The angiographic quality assessment scores of the two readers showed moderate agreement with a Kappa value of 0.588 (p < 0.001). The body habitus, circulatory condition and adaptation to the technique, especially by breath holding, can affect the quality of images. In addition, we did not use objective criteria for quality assessment. All of these cause could be the reason of the moderate Kappa value. Although there is a moderate agreement, both readers did not note a difference in the quality scores between the two dose groups. The difference of the relative signal intensity in the very early phase of the aorta did not affect the angiographic quality scores of the readers.

A lower and blunter early cortical phase in relative signal intensity-time curves with a low dose may potentially affect the MR angiography and functional evaluation for renal arterial stenosis. However, these differences of the relative signal intensity-time curves did not affect angiographic quality. A lower dose can be used in renal angiography alone, but for functional evaluation, the normal dose should be used. We believe that a low dose can also be used for renal parenchymal evaluation or magnetic resonance urography; as the renal parenchyma and late excretion phases showed no significant difference.

## References

- 1. Rusinek H, Kaur M, Lee VS. Renal magnetic resonance imaging. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 2004;13:667-673
- Leiner T, de Haan MW, Nelemans PJ, van Engelshoven JM, Vasbinder GB. Contemporary imaging techniques for the diagnosis of renal artery stenosis. *Eur Radiol* 2005;15:2219-2229
- 3. Ros PR, Gauger J, Stoupis C, Burton SS, Mao J, Wilcox C, et al.

Diagnosis of renal artery stenosis: feasibility of combining MR angiography, MR renography, and gadopentetate-based measurements of glomerular filtration rate. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 199;165:1447-1451

- 4. Grenier N, Trillaud H, Combe C, Degreze P, Jeandot R, Gosse P, et al. Diagnosis of renovascular hypertension: feasibility of captopril-sensitized dynamic MR imaging and comparison with captopril scintigraphy. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1996;166:835-843
- Lee VS, Rusinek H, Noz ME, Lee P, Raghavan M, Kramer EL. Dynamic three-dimensional MR renography for the measurement of single kidney function: initial experience. *Radiology* 2003;227:289-294
- Schoenberg SO, Rieger JR, Michaely HJ, Rupprecht H, Samtleben W, Reiser MF. Functional magnetic resonance imaging in renal artery stenosis. *Abdom Imaging* 2006;31:200-212
- Gandy SJ, Sudarshan TA, Sheppard DG, Allan LC, McLeay TB, Houston JG. Dynamic MRI contrast enhancement of renal cortex: a functional assessment of renovascular disease in patients with renal artery stenosis. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2003;18:461-466
- 8. Danielson M, Dammstrom B. The prevalence of secondary and curable hypertension. *Acta Med Scand* 1981;209:451-455
- 9. Lewin A, Blaufox MD, Castle H, Entwisle G, Langford H. Apparent prevalence of curable hypertension in the Hypertension Detection and Follow-up Program. *Arch Intern Med* 1985;145:424-427
- Tollefson DF, Ernst CB. Natural history of atherosclerotic renal artery stenosis associated with aortic disease. J Vasc Surg 1991;14:327-331
- Caps MT, Perissinotto C, Zierler RE, Polissar NL, Bergelin RO, Tullis MJ, et al. Prospective study of atherosclerotic disease progression in the renal artery. *Circulation* 1998;98:2866-2872
- 12. Staks T, Schuhmann-Giampieri G, Frenzel T, Weinmann HJ, Lange L, Platzek J. Pharmacokinetics, dose proportionality, and tolerability of gadobutrol after single intravenous injection in healthy volunteers. *Invest Radiol* 1994;29:709-715
- Tombach B, Heindel W. Value of 1.0-M gadolinium chelates: review of preclinical and clinical data on gadobutrol. *Eur Radiol* 2002;12:1550-1556
- 14. Tombach B, Bremer C, Reimer P, Schaefer RM, Ebert W, Geens V, et al. Pharmacokinetics of 1M gadobutrol in patients with chronic renal failure. *Invest Radiol* 2000;35:35-40
- 15. Balzer JO, Loewe C, Davis K, Goyen M, Leiner T, Meaney JF, et al. Safety of contrast-enhanced MR angiography employing gadobutrol 1.0 M as contrast material. *Eur Radiol* 2003;13:2067-2074
- 16. Goyen M, Lauenstein TC, Herborn CU, Debatin JF, Bosk S, Ruehm SG. 0.5 M Gd chelate (Magnevist) versus 1.0 M Gd chelate (Gadovist): dose-independent effect on image quality of pelvic three-dimensional MR-angiography. J Magn Reson Imaging 2001;14:602-607
- 17. Herborn CU, Lauenstein TC, Ruehm SG, Bosk S, Debatin JF, Goyen M. Intraindividual comparison of gadopentetate dimeglumine, gadobenate dimeglumine, and gadobutrol for pelvic 3D magnetic resonance angiography. *Invest Radiol* 2003;38:27-33
- 18. Tombach B, Benner T, Reimer P, Schuierer G, Fallenberg EM, Geens V, et al. Do highly concentrated gadolinium chelates improve MR brain perfusion imaging? Intraindividually controlled randomized crossover concentration comparison

study of 0.5 versus 1.0 mol/L gadobutrol. *Radiology* 2003;226:880-888

- 19. Fink C, Puderbach M, Ley S, Risse F, Kuder TA, Bock M, et al. Intraindividual comparison of 1.0 M gadobutrol and 0.5 M gadopentetate dimeglumine for time-resolved contrastenhanced three-dimensional magnetic resonance angiography of the upper torso. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2005;22:286-290
- 20. Fink C, Puderbach M, Ley S, Plathow C, Bock M, Zuna I, et al. Contrast-enhanced three-dimensional pulmonary perfusion magnetic resonance imaging: intraindividual comparison of 1.0 M gadobutrol and 0.5 M Gd-DTPA at three dose levels. *Invest Radiol* 2004;39:143-148
- 21. Prokop M, Schneider G, Vanzulli A, Goyen M, Ruehm SG, Douek P, et al. Contrast-enhanced MR Angiography of the renal arteries: blinded multicenter crossover comparison of gadobenate dimeglumine and gadopentetate dimeglumine. *Radiology* 2005;234:399-408
- 22. Schoenberg SO, Knopp MV, Londy F, Krishnan S, Zuna I, Lang N, et al. Morphologic and functional magnetic resonance

imaging of renal artery stenosis: a multireader tricenter study. J Am Soc Nephrol 2002;13:158-169

- 23. Bongers V, Bakker J, Beutler JJ, Beek FJ, De Klerk JM. Assessment of renal artery stenosis: comparison of captopril renography and gadolinium-enhanced breath-hold MR angiography. *Clin Radiol* 2000;55:346-353
- Choyke PL, Kobayashi H. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of the kidney using macromolecular contrast agents. *Abdom Imaging* 2006;31:224-231
- 25. Muhler A. Assessment of myocardial perfusion using contrastenhanced MR imaging: current status and future developments. *MAGMA* 1995;3:21-33
- 26. Heiland S, Benner T, Reith W, Forsting M, Sartor K. Perfusionweighted MRI using gadobutrol as a contrast agent in a rat stroke model. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1997;7:1109-1115
- 27. Balzer JO, Loewe C, Davis K, Goyen M, Leiner T, Meaney JF, et al. Safety of contrast-enhanced MR angiography employing gadobutrol 1.0 M as contrast material. *Eur Radiol* 2003;13:2067-2074