Group 13 Element Trihalide Complexes of Anionic N-Heterocyclic Carbenes

Luong Phong Ho, Lisa Anders, and Matthias Tamm^{*[a]}

Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Manfred Scheer on the occasion of his 65th birthday

Abstract: A series of group 13 complexes of the general type [{(WCA-IDipp)EX₃}Li(solv)] (E = B, AI, Ga, In; X = CI, Br) that bear an anionic N-heterocyclic carbene ligand with a weakly coordinating borate moiety (WCA-IDipp, WCA = $B(C_6F_5)_3$ and IDipp = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazolin-2-ylidene) were prepared by the reaction of the respective group 13 trihalides (EX₃) with the lithium salt [(WCA-IDipp)Li toluene].

Introduction

The first synthesis and isolation of a stable crystalline Nheterocyclic carbene (NHC) by Arduengo and coworkers in 1991^[1-3] initiated the extensive development of new main group element-carbene adducts.^[4,5] Especially the strong σ donating, and partially also the π accepting properties of NHCs proved to be very useful for the stabilization of highly reactive species, and consequently, this class of ligands has become one of the most important in modern organometallic chemistry.^[6-9] For instance, their ability to stabilize unusual low valent main group element compounds could be demonstrated by the isolation of $[(IDipp)_2B_2]$ (I, IDipp = 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl) imidazolin-2-ylidene, Figure 1),^[10] which contains a boron-boron triple bond and represents one of the many "carbene-stabilized main group diatomic allotropes".^[11] Remarkably, direct access to I by reductive coupling of [(IDipp)BBr₃] with KC₈ was not possible, and a stable neutral diborane containing a B=B double bond was isolated instead.^[12] Nevertheless, a large variety of [(NHC)BX₃] complexes (IIa, X = F, Cl, Br) have been prepared during the last 20 years and have served as starting materials for numerous transformations.^[7,13-16] Furthermore, the heavier congeners [(NHC)AIX₃] (IIb, X = CI, I),^[17-21] [(NHC)GaX₃] (IIc, X = F, Cl, Br, I)^[22-26] and [(NHC)InX₃] (IId, X = CI, Br)^[27-29] were also synthesized, and for aluminum, the Al=Al double bonded

 [a] L. P. Ho, L. Anders, Prof. Dr. M. Tamm Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie Technische Universität Braunschweig Hagenring 30, 38106 Braunschweig (Germany) E-mail: m.tamm@tu-bs.de The molecular structures of the BBr₃, AlCl₃, AlBr₃, GaCl₃ and InCl₃ adducts were established by X-ray diffraction analyses, revealing the formation of coordination polymers linked by halide-lithium interactions, except for the indium derivative, which consists of isolated $[Li(THF)_4]^+$ and $[(WCA-IDipp)InCl_3]^-$ ions in the solid state.



Figure 1. Selected group 13 and 15 compounds bearing N-heterocyclic carbenes (Dipp = 2,6-diisopropylphenyl; R = alkyl, aryl; R' = H, alkyl).

species [(^{Me}I*i*Pr)₂Al₂(SiMetBu₂)₂] (III, ^{Me}I*i*Pr = 1,3-bis(isopropyl)-4,5dimethylimidazolin-2-ylidene) bearing two NHCs was also reported.^[30] Very recent examples also include the stabilization of group 13–15 complexes such as [(NHC)B(H) =N(H)·BAr^F₃],^[31] [(NHC)BH₂PH₂]^[32] and [(NHC)EH₂PH₂] (E = AI, Ga).^[33]

Anionic N-heterocyclic carbenes represent another emerging class of ancillary ligands,^[34] and our group has developed WCA-NHC ligands that contain a weakly coordinating anionic (WCA) borate moiety in the 4-position of the N-heterocycle. For instance, the lithium salt [(WCA-IDipp)Li toluene] (1, Scheme 1)

Wiley Online Library

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.201901774

This manuscript is part of a special collection in honor of the 2^{nd} International Conference on Organometallics and Catalysis (ICOC-2020). Click here to see the Table of Contents of the special collection.

^{© 2020} The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Scheme 1. Preparation of group 13 adducts with the weakly coordinating anionic N-heterocyclic carbene WCA-IDipp.

was used as a carbene transfer reagent for the synthesis of transition metal complexes and homogenous (pre-) catalysts.^[35-39] More recently, this type of anionic carbenes made their first appearance in main group element chemistry, and the group 15 complexes [(WCA-IDipp)E'X₂] (IV, E' = P, As, Sb; X = CI, Br) were used as starting materials for the synthesis of the neutral dipnictenes Va.^[40] Furthermore, complexes IV were also used to establish hetero-dielement bonds by employing a modular approach, $^{\scriptscriptstyle [41,42]}$ which involved the reaction of IV with the carbene-phosphinidene adduct (IDipp)PSiMe₃^[43] under Me₃SiCl elimination. This route provided heteroleptic diphosphorus and arsenic-phosphorus systems bearing both an anionic and a neutral NHC such as the cationic diphosphene and diarsene species Vb together with the corresponding neutral radicals.^[44] In order to expand the library of potential substrates for the preparation of mixed dielement species, we aimed at the synthesis of group 13 element WCA-NHC complexes and have isolated compounds 2-5, which are described in this contribution.

Results and Discussion

The reactions of [(WCA-IDipp)Li·toluene] (1) with the group 13 trihalides EX₃ were performed by stirring in toluene (for E = B, Al, Ga) or THF (for E = In) solution for 1–12 h under ambient conditions, affording the ate-complexes [{(WCA-IDipp)EX₃}Li (solv)] (2: E=B, X = Br; **3**: E=Al, X = Cl; **4**: E=Ga, X = Cl; **5**: E = In, X = Cl) as highly air and moisture sensitive colorless solids in good yields (48–86%, Scheme 1). It should be noted that the solubility of these complexes in toluene decreases in the order B > Al > Ga > In. Surprisingly, elimination of lithium chloride or bromide from compounds **2–5** to form complexes of the type [(WCA-IDipp)EX₂] was not observed, despite the potential of the WCA-NHC ligand to stabilize a vacant coordination site by intramolecular arene coordination as previously found for transition metal complexes.^[36,38]

All new complexes were characterized by ¹H, ¹¹B, ¹³C and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy in THF- d_8 solution (see the Supporting Information for the presentation of all spectra). The structural resemblance of **2–5** results in similar splitting patterns observed for the ¹H NMR signals. In general, the signals corresponding to the two Dipp substituents are split into two sets because of the

loss of symmetry introduced by the borate moiety in the backbone. The characteristic singlet for the backbone CH hydrogen atoms is found at 7.05 ppm in case of the BBr₃ derivative **2**. For the chloride derivatives **3**–**5**, this signal shifts from 6.65 ppm (**3**, E=AI) to 6.73 ppm (**4**, E=Ga) and 6.86 ppm (**5**, E=In). In the ¹³C NMR spectra of **2** and **3**, the signal corresponding to the C_{Carbene} atom could not be resolved, whereas this signal is found at 158.1 and 174.2 ppm for **4** and **5**, respectively. For all compounds, the ¹¹B resonance for the boron atom in the backbone is found almost invariably as a sharp singlet at ca. -15.7 ppm and the boron atom of the BBr₃ moiety in compound **2** can be found at 21.6 ppm as a broad singlet. The ¹⁹F NMR spectra show three signals for the *ortho-*, *meta* and *para*-fluorine atoms.

The molecular structure of 2 was determined by X-Ray diffraction analysis of a single crystal (refined as a twocomponent twin) obtained from a saturated Et₂O solution cooled to -40 °C (Figure 2). Compound 2.2 Et₂O crystallizes in the space group $P\bar{1}$, and the C_{Carbene}–B bond length is 1.624(7) Å, which falls in the range found for C–B single bonds at a fourcoordinate boron site (Table 1).^[45] This distance is also identical within experimental error to that found in the neutral NHC adduct [(IDipp)BBr₃] (1.623(7) Å).^[12] Two of the bromine atoms bound to the boron atom display similar B-Br bond lengths (B2-Br1 = 2.023(5) Å, B2-Br2 = 2.013(6) Å), whereas the B2-Br3 bond is slightly longer (2.065(7) Å). Compound 2.2 Et₂O crystallizes as a coordination polymer along the b axis, in which the lithium ion is coordinated by two of the bromine atoms from the same boron atom, two diethyl ether molecules and one of the para-fluorine atoms of the borate moiety in the next asymmetric unit (Figure 3). The Br-Li distances are 2.803(13) (Li1-Br1) and 2.592(11) Å (Li1-Br3); the shorter Li-Br3 distance is consistent with the longer B2–Br3 bond lengths of 2.065(7) Å (vide supra). The Li1–F8 distance is 2.425(14) Å, and the Li–O distances are 1.894(13) and 1.904(11) Å, respectively.

The aluminium derivative crystallized from a saturated solution of $[{(WCA-IDipp)AlCl_3}Li]$ (3) in toluene layered with *n*-



Figure 2. Molecular structure of $2 \cdot 2$ Et₂O with thermal displacement parameters drawn at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; pertinent structural data of compounds 2–5 are assembled in Table 1.

Chem Asian J. **2020**, 15, 845–851

| Table 1. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] in compounds 2–5. | | | | |
|---|----|----------|--|------------|
| E | х | C-E | E-X | N-C-N |
| В | Br | 1.624(7) | 2.013(6) 2.023(5) 2.065(7) | 105.6(4) |
| AI | Cl | 2.019(2) | 2.1152(7) 2.1626(8) 2.1723(8) | 104.73(15) |
| AI ^[a] | Br | 2.019(2) | 2.2737(8) 2.3034(9) 2.3265(8) | 104.68(18) |
| Ga | Cl | 1.983(2) | 2.1851(8) 2.2003(7) 2.2316(8) | 105.64(18) |
| In | Cl | 2.210(3) | 2.3541(18) 2.3544(11) 2.3683(16) | 106.2(3) |
| [a] Molecular structure can be found in the Supporting Information. | | | | |

ASIAN JOURNAL

I Paper



Figure 4. Molecular structure of $3 \cdot 2$ toluene with thermal displacement parameters drawn at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms and the second toluene molecule are omitted for clarity; pertinent structural data of compounds 2–5 are assembled in Table 1.

hexane at room temperature. A single crystal of 3.2 toluene obtained by this method was subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis, and the resulting molecular structure is presented in Figure 4. 3.2 toluene crystallizes in the space group $P2_1/c$, and the C1–Al1 distance is 2.019(2) Å, which is in excellent agreement with the C–Al bond length of 2.017(2) Å in [(IMes)AlCl₃] (Table 1).^[17] 3.2 toluene forms a coordination polymer along the b axis; the lithium counterion is bound to two chlorine atoms, one *para*-fluorine atom in the borate moiety of the next asymmetric unit and one toluene molecule, which affords a three-legged piano-stool geometry (Figure 3). The Al–Cl bond lengths are 2.1152(7) Å (Al1–Cl1), 2.1626(8) Å (Al1–Cl2) and 2.1723(8) Å (Al1–Cl3), with the latter two showing the expected

elongation because of lithium coordination (Li1–Cl2=2.467(5) Å, Li1–Cl3=2.451(4) Å). The toluene ligand binds to Li1 in a symmetric η^6 -fashion with lithium-carbon distances in the range of 2.460–2.552 Å, and the Li1–F12 distance is 1.935(4) Å. It should be noted that the molecular structure of the aluminium bromide derivative [{(WCA-IDipp)AlBr₃}Li]·2 toluene was also determined by X-ray diffraction analysis. The molecular structure together with relevant data can be found in the Supporting Information.



Figure 3. Top: Chain polymer of $2 \cdot 2$ Et₂O. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. The overall chain direction is parallel to the b axis. Bottom: Chain polymer of $3 \cdot 2$ toluene. Hydrogen atoms and the second toluene molecule are omitted for clarity. The overall chain direction is parallel to the b axis and the view direction is along the a axis.

Chem Asian J. 2020, 15, 845-851

Single crystals of gallium complex $4 \cdot C_6 D_6$ were obtained by slow evaporation of a $C_6 D_6$ solution at ambient temperature under inert conditions and subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis. $4 \cdot C_6 D_6$ crystallizes in the space group $P2_1/c$, and the



Figure 5. Molecular structure of $4 \cdot C_6 D_6$ with thermal displacement parameters drawn at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms and one benzene molecule are omitted for clarity; pertinent structural data of compounds 2–5 are assembled in Table 1.



Figure 6. Coordination-polymer of $4 \cdot C_6 D_6$. Hydrogen atoms and the $C_6 D_6$ molecule are omitted for clarity. The overall polymer expansion is within the bc plane and the view direction is along the a axis.

resulting molecular structure is shown in Figure 5. The C1–Ga1 bond length of 1.983(2) Å (Table 1) is slightly shorter compared to [(IDipp)GaCl₃] (2.015(2) Å),^[23] and it is also shorter than the bond length found for C1–Al1 in complex **3** (2.019(2) Å). This can be attributed to the similar covalent and van der Waals radii of the aluminium and gallium atoms.^[46,47] Similar observations were made for hydride complexes [(IDipp)AlH₃] (2.0556(13) Å)^[29] and [(IDipp)GaH₃] (2.0545(14) Å).^[48]

In contrast to the 1D coordination polymers observed in the packing of $2 \cdot 2$ Et₂O and $3 \cdot 2$ toluene, $4 \cdot C_6 D_6$ forms a 2D coordination polymer in the solid state, stretched out in the bc plane (Figure 6). The gallium atom displays distorted tetrahedral geometry with markedly different Ga–Cl bond lengths (Table 1) of 2.1851(8) Å (Ga1–Cl1), 2.2003(7) Å (Ga1–Cl3) and 2.2316(8) Å (Ga1–Cl2), since the chlorine atoms are bridging the gallium and lithium atoms in μ_2 - (Cl1 and Cl3) and μ_3 -fashion (Cl2). This affords centrosymmetric Ga₂Li₂Cl₆ clusters, in which the lithium atoms show distorted octahedral geometries by interaction with four chlorine atoms and two fluorine atoms of the borate moiety. The two disparate Li–F distances of 2.077(5) Å (Li1–F9) and 2.500(5) Å (Li1–F8) indicate that the Li coordination sphere can also be described as square-pyramidal with the weakly bound F8 atom filling the vacant equatorial position.

Single crystals of the indium complex **5**·4 THF were obtained from THF solution layered with *n*-hexane, and X-ray diffraction analysis revealed the separation of the fully solvated $[\text{Li}(\text{THF})_4]^+$ cation from the $[(WCA-IDipp)\text{lnCI}_3]^-$ anion (Figure 7). The lithium ion is coordinated by the THF molecules in a tetrahedral fashion. The C1–ln1 bond length is 2.210(3) Å (Table 1), which is in good agreement with the values established for $[(IMes)\text{lnCI}_3]$ (2.200(7) Å) and $[(\text{IDipp})\text{lnBr}_3]$ (2.212(8) Å).^[28,29] The indium atom displays a fairly distorted tetrahedral geometry; since the chlorine atoms are devoid of any relevant secondary interaction, similar indium-chlorine bonds of 2.3541(18) Å (In1–CI1), 2.3544(11) Å (In1–CI2) and 2.3683(16) Å (In1–CI3) are observed.



Figure 7. Molecular structure of 5.4 THF with thermal displacement parameters drawn at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity; pertinent structural data of compounds **2–5** are assembled in Table 1.

Chem Asian J. 2020, 15, 845-851

CHEMISTRY AN ASIAN JOURNAL Full Paper

Conclusion

The reaction of the lithium carbene complex [(WCA-IDipp) Li-toluene] (1) with group 13 element trihalides afforded in all cases ate-complexes of the type $[(WCA-IDipp)EX_3]^-$ (E = B, AI, Ga, In; X = CI, Br), and elimination of lithium chloride or bromide was not observed. Accordingly, these complexes are anionic analogues of related adducts with neutral N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHC). However, the lithium counterion in the complexes 2-5 determines the solid-state structure by linking the anionic carbene complexes or by formation of solventseparated ion pairs. With the compounds 2-5 in hand, we intend to further study their use as starting materials for the formation of group 13 compounds with element-element (multiple) bonds under reducing conditions. Alternatively, their combination with NHC-phosphinidene and arsinidene adducts of the type (NHC)ESiMe₃ (E = P, As)^[41,42,44,49] might afford group 13/15 hetero-dielement compounds as new additions to the growing family of carbene-stabilized diatomic species.

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods

Unless otherwise indicated, all starting materials were obtained from commercial sources (Sigma-Aldrich, Alfa-Aesar, Roth, TCI, VWR or Fisher Chemical) and were used without further purification. Elemental analyses were carried out on a Vario Micro Cube System. All operations with air- and moisture-sensitive compounds were performed in a glove box under a dry argon atmosphere (MBraun 200B) or on a high vacuum line using Schlenk techniques. The ¹H, $^{11}\text{B},~^{13}\text{C}$ and ^{19}F NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AVII300 (300 MHz), Bruker AVIIHD500 (500 MHz) and Bruker AVII600 (600 MHz). The chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm) with the residual solvent signal as internal standard for ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. All other spectra were calibrated using external references. Coupling constants (J) are reported in Hertz (Hz) and splitting patterns are indicated as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), sept (septet), m (multiplet) and br (broad). ¹¹B, ¹³C and ¹⁹F NMR spectra were measured broadband proton decoupled. Presentations of all NMR spectra can be found in the Supporting Information. n-Hexane, tetrahydrofuran (THF), diethyl ether (Et₂O), and toluene were purified by distillation over sodium/benzophenone. Deuterated solvents were purified by stirring the degassed solvents with Na/K alloy overnight. Subsequently, the solvents were filtered and then distilled under reduced pressure. All solvents were stored over molecular sieves (4 Å) in argon atmosphere prior to use. [(WCA-IDipp)Li.toluene] was prepared according to a literature procedure.^[36] For crystallographic details, see the Supporting Information.

Synthetic Procedures

[{(WCA-IDipp)BBr₃}Li] (2). BBr₃ (250 mg, 1 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in toluene (40 mL) and [(WCA-IDipp)Li·toluene] (1, 1 g, 1 mmol, 1 eq.) was added slowly as a solid in small portions. The resulting cloudy solution was stirred for 5 h at room temperature, affording a pale-yellow suspension, which was filtered over Celite. The resulting yellow solution was evaporated and the resulting residue was washed with *n*-hexane (3×5 mL). Residual solvent was removed in vacuo, yielding the product as a colorless solid (841 mg, 0.787 mmol, 79%).¹**H NMR** (400 MHz; THF- d_8): $\delta = 7.54$ (t, ³J(¹H, ¹H) = 7.8 Hz, 1H, *p*-Dipp), 7.48 (t, ³*J*(¹H, ¹H) = 7.8 Hz, 1H, *p*-Dipp), 7.39 (d, ³*J* $({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 7.8$ Hz, 2H, *m*-Dipp), 7.19 (d, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 7.8$ Hz, 2H, *m*-Dipp), 7.05 (s, 1H, HC=CB), 2.91 (sept, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.7$ Hz, 2H, C<u>H(CH₃)₂), 2.54</u> (sept, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 13.7$, 6.8 Hz, 2H, C<u>H(CH₃)₂</u>), 1.26 (d, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) =$ 6.9 Hz, 6H, CH(C<u>H</u>₃)₂), 1.18 (d, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 6.8$ Hz, 6H, CH(C<u>H</u>₃)₂), 1.12 $(d, {}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 6H, CH(C\underline{H}_{3})_{2}), 0.98 (d, {}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, 6H, CH$ $(CH_3)_2$) ppm. ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz; THF- d_8): $\delta = 21.56$ (br s, C_{Carbene}-B), -15.86 (s, HC=CB) ppm. ¹³C NMR (126 MHz; THF- d_8): δ = 153.41 (q, ¹J(¹¹B,¹³C) = 57.9 Hz, HC=<u>C</u>B), 149.91–149.73 (m, Ar^F), 148.01–147.80 (m, Ar^F), 145.66 (s, o-Dipp), 144.43 (s, o-Dipp), 140.01-139.72 (m, Ar^F), 137.99-137.52 (m, 2 × Ar^F), 135.78-135.51 (m, Ar^F), 134.80 (s, ipso-Dipp) 134.24 (s, HC=CB), 134.12 (s, ipso-Dipp), 130.35 (s, p-Dipp), 130.27 (s, p-Dipp), 124.13 (s, m-Dipp), 123.60 (s, m-Dipp), 28.38 (s, <u>C</u>H(CH₃)₂), 27.73 (s, <u>C</u>H(CH₃)₂), 24.73 (s, CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 23.70 (s, CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 23.03 (s, $CH(\underline{C}H_3)_2$), 21.81 (s, $CH(\underline{C}H_3)_2$) ppm. A signal corresponding to N–C–N was not observed. ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz; THF- d_8): $\delta =$ -126.96 - -131.28 (m, o-Ar^F), -162.43 (t, ${}^{3}J({}^{19}F, {}^{19}F) = 20.2$ Hz, p-Ar^F), -167.48 (s, m-Ar^F) ppm. EA - Anal. calc. for C45H35B2Br3F15LiN2·C4H10O: C, 47.80; H, 3.68; N, 2.28. Found: C, 47.56; H, 4.29; N, 2.07.

[{(WCA-IDipp)AlCl₃}Li] (3). [(WCA-IDipp)Li·toluene] (1, 2 g, 2 mmol, 1 eq.) was suspended in toluene (50 mL) and solid AlCl₃ (267 mg, 2 mmol, 1 eq.) was added in one portion. The resulting pale brown suspension was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. Afterwards, the solvent was removed in vacuo, affording an off-white solid. For purification, the solid was dissolved in THF (2 mL) and was layered with *n*-hexane (6 mL) at ambient temperature. Crystals appeared within 3 d, which were collected by decantation of the supernatant solution. Residual solvent was removed in vacuo, yielding the product as a colorless solid (1.216 g, 0.968 mmol, 48%). The bromide derivative can be obtained with the same procedure ¹H **NMR** (600 MHz; THF- d_8): $\delta = 7.39$ (t, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, p-Dipp), 7.29 (t, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, p-Dipp), 7.23 (d, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 7.8$ Hz, 2H, *m*-Dipp), 7.03 (d, ³*J*(¹H, ¹H) = 7.6 Hz, 2H, *m*-Dipp), 6.65 (s, 1H, HC=CB), 2.98 (sept, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 6.7$ Hz, 2H, $C\underline{H}(CH_{3})_{2}$), 2.76 (sept, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) =$ 6.6 Hz, 2H, C<u>H</u>(CH₃)₂), 1.43 (d, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 6.7$ Hz, 6H, CH(C<u>H₃</u>)₂), 1.29 $(d, {}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.5 Hz, 6H, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 0.97 (d, {}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.7 Hz, 6H, CH$ $(CH_3)_2$, 0.93 (br s, 6H, CH(CH_3)_2) ppm. ¹¹B NMR (96 MHz; THF- d_8): $\delta =$ -15.69 (s, 1B) ppm. ¹³C NMR (151 MHz; THF- d_8): $\delta = 149.91$ (q, ¹J $(^{11}B,^{13}C) = 62.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{ HC} = \underline{CB}, 149.71 - 149.53 \text{ (m, ipso-Ar}^{\text{F}}, 148.11 - 149.53 \text{ (m, ipso-Ar}^{\text{F}}), 149.53 \text{ (m, ipso-Ar}^{\text{F}})$ 141.93 (m, Ar^F), 146.91 (s, o-Dipp), 139.45-139.33 (m, Ar^F), 137.80-137.65 (m, Ar^F), 137.39–137.22 (m, Ar^F), 135.75–135.59 (m, Ar^F), 135.55 (s, ipso-Dipp), 133.80 (s, ipso-Dipp), 133.74 (br s, HC=CB), 130.02 (s, p-Dipp), 129.41 (s, p-Dipp), 123.32 (s, m-Dipp), 122.99 (s, *m*-Dipp), 28.59 (s, <u>C</u>H(CH₃)₂), 27.53 (s, <u>C</u>H(CH₃)₂), 26.62 (s, CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 26.19 (s, $CH(\underline{CH}_3)_2$), 22.95 (s, $CH(\underline{CH}_3)_2$), 21.41 (s, $CH(\underline{CH}_3)_2$) ppm. A signal corresponding to N–C–N was not observed. ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz; THF- d_8): $\delta = -128.50 - -133.00$ (m, o-Ar^F), -163.70 (t, ³J $({}^{19}F, {}^{19}F) = 20.4 \text{ Hz}, p-\text{Ar}^{\text{F}}$, -167.87 (s, m-Ar^F) ppm. **EA** – Anal. calc. for C45H35BF15LiN2AlCl3·3(C4H10O): C, 54.24; H, 5.19; N, 2.22. Found: C, 53.78; H, 5.13; N, 1.85.

[{(WCA-IDipp)GaCl₃]Li] (4). GaCl₃ (176 mg, 1 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in toluene (40 mL) and [(WCA-IDipp)Li·toluene] (1, 1 g, 1 mmol, 1 eq.) was added slowly as a solid in small portions. The resulting pale-yellow cloudy solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature and subsequently filtered over Celite, affording a yellow solution. The solvent was then removed in vacuo, and the resulting colorless solid, containing some oily residue, was washed with *n*-hexane (3×5 mL). Residual solvent was removed in vacuo, yielding the product as a colorless solid (898 mg, 0.862 mmol, 86%). ¹H NMR (600 MHz; THF-*d*₈): δ = 7.39 (t, ³*J*(¹H, ¹H) = 7.8 Hz, 1H, *p*-Dipp), 7.30 (t, ³*J*(¹H, ¹H) = 7.8 Hz, 1H, *p*-Dipp), 7.24 (d, ³*J*(¹H, ¹H) = 7.8 Hz, 2H *m*-Dipp), 6.73 (s, 1H, HC=CB), 2.95 (sept, ³*J*(¹H, ¹H) = 6.7 Hz, 2H, *C<u>H</u>(CH₃)₂), 2.75 (sept, ³<i>J*

Chem Asian J. 2020, 15, 845–851

 $({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.6 Hz, 2H, C\underline{H}(CH_{3})_{2}), 1.44 (d, {}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.7 Hz, 6H, CH (C\underline{H}_{3})_{2}), 1.28 (d, {}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.7 Hz, 6H, CH(C\underline{H}_{3})_{2}), 0.98 (d, {}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.8 Hz, 6H, CH(C\underline{H}_{3})_{2}), 0.93 (br s, 6H, CH(C\underline{H}_{3})_{2}) ppm. {}^{11}B NMR (96 MHz; THF-d_8): <math>\delta = -15.69$ (s, 1B) ppm. {}^{13}C NMR (151 MHz; THF-d_8): $\delta = -15.69$ (s, 1B) ppm. {}^{13}C NMR (151 MHz; THF-d_8): $\delta = -15.69$ (s, 1B) ppm. {}^{13}C NMR (151 MHz; THF-d_8): $\delta = -15.69$ (s, 1B) ppm. {}^{13}C = 61.6 Hz, HC=\underline{CB}), 149.71–149.52 (m, Ar^F), 148.12–147.98 (m, Ar^F), 146.88 (s, o-Dipp), 139.54-139.35 (m, Ar^F), 137.93–137.76 (m, Ar^F), 137.45–137.34 (m, Ar^F), 135.80–135.62 (m, Ar^F), 134.66 (s, *ipso*-Dipp), 133.82 (s, H\underline{C}=CB), 133.20 (s, *ipso*-Dipp), 130.19 (s, *p*-Dipp), 129.66 (s, *p*-Dipp), 123.48 (s, *m*-Dipp), 123.05 (s, *m*-Dipp), 28.66 (s, <u>C</u>H(CH_{3})_{2}), 27.59 (s, <u>C</u>H(CH_{3})_{2}), 26.56 (s, CH(\underline{CH}_{3})_{2}), 26.11 (s, CH(\underline{CH}_{3})_{2}), 22.88 (s, CH(\underline{CH}_{3})_{2}), 21.45 (s, CH(\underline{CH}_{3})_{2}) ppm. {}^{19}F NMR (282 MHz; THF-d_8): $\delta = -127.09 - -132.64$ (m, o-Ar^F), -163.51 (t, {}^{3}J({}^{19}F, {}^{19}F) = 20.4 Hz, 3F, *p*-Ar^F), -167.76 (s, *m*-Ar^F) ppm. **EA** – Anal. calc. for C₄₅H₃₅BF₁₅LiN₂GaCl₃: C, 49.93; H, 3.26; N, 2.59. Found C, 50.21; H 3.51; N, 2.27.

 $[\{(WCA-IDipp)InCl_3\}Li] \text{ (5). } [(WCA-IDipp)Li \cdot toluene] \quad (1, \quad 500 \text{ mg},$ 0.5 mmol, 1 eq.) was dissolved in THF (4 mL) and solid InCl₃ (111 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1 eq.) was added in one portion. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, and a clear colorless solution was obtained. The THF solution was then layered with *n*-hexane (10 mL), and after storing overnight, colorless crystals had appeared, which were collected by decantation of the supernatant solution. Residual solvent was removed in vacuo, yielding the product as a colorless solid (434 mg, 0.400 mmol, 80%). ¹**H** NMR (500 MHz; THF- d_8): $\delta = 7.42$ (t, ³ $J(^{1}H, ^{1}H) = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, *p*-Dipp), 7.33 (t, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 7.8$ Hz, 1H, *p*-Dipp), 7.27 (d, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) =$ 7.8 Hz, 2H, *m*-Dipp), 7.08 (d, ³J(¹H, ¹H) = 7.8 Hz, 2H, *m*-Dipp), 6.86 (s, 1H, HC=CB), 2.94 (t, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 6.7$ Hz, 2H, C<u>H(CH₃)₂), 2.72 (t, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H)$ </u> = 6.7 Hz, 2H, C<u>H(CH₃)₂)</u>, 1.47 (d, ³J(¹H, ¹H) = 6.7 Hz, 6H, CH(C<u>H₃)₂)</u>, 1.26 $(d, {}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.8 \text{ Hz}, 6H, CH(C\underline{H}_{3})_{2}), 1.00 (d, {}^{3}J({}^{1}H, {}^{1}H) = 6.7 \text{ Hz}, 6H, CH$ $(CH_3)_2$, 0.94 (d, ${}^{3}J({}^{1}H,{}^{1}H) = 6.6$ Hz, 6H, $CH(CH_3)_2$) ppm. ${}^{11}B$ NMR (96 MHz; THF- d_8): $\delta = -15.72$ (s, 1B) ppm. ¹³C NMR (126 MHz; THF d_8): $\delta = 164.74 \cdot 163.59$ (m, N–C–N), 151.35 (q, ¹J(¹¹B, ¹³C) = 61.1 Hz, HC=<u>C</u>B), 149.86-149.63 (m, Ar^F), 147.93-147.72 (m, Ar^F), 146.65 (s, o-Dipp), 139.72-139.54 (m, Ar^F), 137.72-137.49 (m, 2×Ar^F), 135.53-135.33 (m, Ar^F), 134.57 (s, ipso-Dipp), 134.06 (s, HC=CB), 133.00 (s, ipso-Dipp), 130.51 (s, p-Dipp), 130.14 (s, p-Dipp), 123.91 (s, m-Dipp 123.45 (s, m-Dipp), 28.60 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 27.56 (s, CH(CH₃)₂), 26.51 (s, CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 26.33 (s, CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 22.52 (s, CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂), 21.43 (s, CH(<u>C</u>H₃)₂) ppm. ¹⁹**F NMR** (282 MHz; THF- d_8): $\delta = -127.81 - -130.37$ (m, o-Ar⁺), -163.36 (t, ${}^{3}J({}^{19}F, {}^{19}F) = 20.3$ Hz, 3F, p-Ar^F), -167.69 (s, m-Ar^F) ppm. EA – Anal. calc. for C45H35BF15LiN2InCl3·4(C4H10O): C, 51.74; H, 4.77; N, 1.98. Found: C, 51.51; H, 4.73; N, 1.83.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through grant TA 189/9-2. L. P. Ho thanks the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (FCI) for a Chemiefonds fellowship.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: N-heterocyclic carbenes · boron · aluminium · gallium · indium

- [1] A. J. Arduengo III, R. L. Harlow, M. Kline, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 361– 363.
- [2] F. E. Hahn, M. C. Jahnke, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3122–3172; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 3166–3216.
- [3] M. N. Hopkinson, C. Richter, M. Schedler, F. Glorius, Nature 2014, 510, 485–496.
- [4] V. Nesterov, D. Reiter, P. Bag, P. Frisch, R. Holzner, A. Porzelt, S. Inoue, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, 118, 9678–9842.
- [5] A. Doddi, M. Peters, M. Tamm, Chem. Rev. 2019.
- [6] T. Dröge, F. Glorius, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6940–6952; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 7094–7107.
- [7] Y. Wang, G. H. Robinson, Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 12326–12337.
- [8] D. J. Nelson, S. P. Nolan, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 6723–6753.
- [9] H. V. Huynh, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 9457–9492.
- [10] H. Braunschweig, R. D. Dewhurst, K. Hammond, J. Mies, K. Radacki, A. Vargas, *Science* 2012, 336, 1420–1422.
- [11] Y. Wang, G. H. Robinson, Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 337-345.
- [12] Y. Wang, B. Quillian, P. Wei, C. S. Wannere, Y. Xie, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer, P. V. R. Schleyer, G. H. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12412–12413.
- [13] A. J. Arduengo III, F. Davidson, F. Davidson, R. Krafczyk, W. J. Marshall, R. Schmutzler, Monatsh. Chem. 2000, 251–265.
- [14] C. Tian, W. Nie, M. V. Borzov, P. Su, Organometallics 2012, 31, 1751– 1760.
- [15] S. Muthaiah, D. C. H. Do, R. Ganguly, D. Vidović, Organometallics 2013, 32, 6718–6724.
- [16] K. Chansaenpak, M. Wang, Z. Wu, R. Zaman, Z. Li, F. P. Gabbaï, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 12439–12442.
- [17] B. Bantu, G. Manohar Pawar, K. Wurst, U. Decker, A. M. Schmidt, M. R. Buchmeiser, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, 2009, 1970–1976.
- [18] R. S. Ghadwal, H. W. Roesky, R. Herbst-Irmer, P. G. Jones, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2009, 635, 431–433.
- [19] R. S. Ghadwal, H. W. Roesky, R. Herbst-Irmer, P. G. Jones, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2009, 635, 431–433.
- [20] C. Tian, X. Gao, Q. Chen, W. Nie, M. V. Borzov, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E 2013, 69, m441–2.
- [21] G. Schnee, O. Nieto Faza, D. Specklin, B. Jacques, L. Karmazin, R. Welter, C. Silva López, S. Dagorne, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2015, *21*, 17959–17972.
- [22] S. Böck, H. Nöth, A. Wietelmann, Z. Naturforsch. 1990, 979–984.
- [23] N. Marion, E. C. Escudero-Adán, J. Benet-Buchholz, E. D. Stevens, L. Fensterbank, M. Malacria, S. P. Nolan, *Organometallics* 2007, 26, 3256– 3259.
- [24] G. E. Ball, M. L. Cole, A. I. McKay, Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 946–952.
- [25] S. Tang, J. Monot, A. El-Hellani, B. Michelet, R. Guillot, C. Bour, V. Gandon, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 10239–10243.
- [26] C. Bour, J. Monot, S. Tang, R. Guillot, J. Farjon, V. Gandon, Organometallics 2014, 33, 594–599.
- [27] S. J. Black, D. E. Hibbs, M. B. Hursthouse, C. Jones, K. M. A. Malik, N. A. Smithies, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1997, 4313–4319.
- [28] C. D. Abernethy, M. L. Cole, C. Jones, Organometallics 2000, 19, 4852– 4857.
- [29] R. J. Baker, A. J. Davies, C. Jones, M. Kloth, J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 203-210.
- [30] P. Bag, A. Porzelt, P. J. Altmann, S. Inoue, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 14384–14387.
- [31] A. K. Swarnakar, C. Hering-Junghans, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald, E. Rivard, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 2337–2343.
- [32] C. Marquardt, O. Hegen, A. Vogel, A. Stauber, M. Bodensteiner, A.Y. Timoshkin, M. Scheer, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, 24, 360–363.
- [33] M. Scheer, M. Weinhart, A. Timoshkin, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201914046.
- [34] A. Nasr, A. Winkler, M. Tamm, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2016, 316, 68-124.
- [35] S. Kronig, E. Theuergarten, C. G. Daniliuc, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3240–3244; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 3294– 3298.
- [36] E. L. Kolychev, S. Kronig, K. Brandhorst, M. Freytag, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 12448–12459.
- [37] A. Igarashi, E. L. Kolychev, M. Tamm, K. Nomura, Organometallics 2016, 35, 1778–1784.
- [38] A. Winkler, K. Brandhorst, M. Freytag, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, Organometallics 2016, 35, 1160–1169.
- [39] G. Nagai, T. Mitsudome, K. Tsutsumi, S. Sueki, T. Ina, M. Tamm, K. Nomura, J. Jpn. Pet. Inst. 2017, 60, 256–262.
- [40] L. P. Ho, A. Nasr, P. G. Jones, A. Altun, F. Neese, G. Bistoni, M. Tamm, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, 24, 18922–18932.

www.chemasianj.org

 $\ensuremath{^{\odot}}$ 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA





- [41] A. Doddi, D. Bockfeld, M.-K. Zaretzke, C. Kleeberg, T. Bannenberg, M. Tamm, Dalton Trans. 2017, 46, 15859–15864.
- [42] A. Doddi, D. Bockfeld, M.-K. Zaretzke, T. Bannenberg, M. Tamm, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 13119–13123.
- [43] A. Doddi, D. Bockfeld, T. Bannenberg, P. G. Jones, M. Tamm, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 126, 13786–13790.
- [44] L. P. Ho, M.-K. Zaretzke, T. Bannenberg, M. Tamm, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 10709–10712.
- [45] I. R. Thomas, I. J. Bruno, J. C. Cole, C. F. Macrae, E. Pidcock, P. A. Wood, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2010, 43, 362–366.
- [46] B. Cordero, V. Gómez, A. E. Platero-Prats, M. Revés, J. Echeverría, E. Cremades, F. Barragán, S. Alvarez, *Dalton Trans.* 2008, 2832–2838.
- [47] M. Mantina, A. C. Chamberlin, R. Valero, C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, J. Phys. Chem. A 2009, 113, 5806–5812.
- [48] M. L. Cole, S. K. Furfari, M. Kloth, J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 2934– 2940.
- [49] A. Doddi, M. Weinhart, A. Hinz, D. Bockfeld, J. M. Goicoechea, M. Scheer, M. Tamm, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 6069–6072.

Manuscript received: December 18, 2019 Accepted manuscript online: February 3, 2020 Version of record online: February 20, 2020