Open access **Protocol** 

BMJ Open Mapping of modifiable barriers and facilitators with interdisciplinary chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) guidelines concordance within hospitals to the Theoretical Domains Framework: a mixed methods systematic review protocol

Hancy Issac 👵 , Clint Moloney, Melissa Taylor, Jackie Lea

To cite: Issac H, Moloney C, Taylor M, et al. Mapping of modifiable barriers and facilitators with interdisciplinary chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) guidelines concordance within hospitals to the Theoretical Domains Framework: a mixed methods systematic review protocol. BMJ Open 2020;10:e036060. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2019-036060

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-036060).

Received 28 November 2019 Revised 20 May 2020 Accepted 29 May 2020



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

School of Nursing and Midwifery, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia

# **Correspondence to**

Hancy Issac; hancy.issac@usq.edu.au

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction** Multifarious chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) guidelines have been published by local, national and global respiratory societies. These guidelines subsume holistic evidence based on recommendations to diagnose, treat, prevent and manage acute exacerbation with COPD. Despite the existing comprehensive recommendations, readmission rates and hospitalisations have increased in the last decade. Evidence to date has reported suboptimal clinical guidelines concordance. Acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD) is a common hospital presentation due to varied causes such as infective exacerbations, worsening disease condition, medication non-adherence, lack of education and incomprehensive discharge planning. AECOPD directly and indirectly causes economic burden, disrupts health-related quality of life (HRQoI), hasten lung function decline and increases overall morbidity and mortality. COPD being a multimodal chronic disease, consistent interdisciplinary interventions from the time of admission to discharge may reduce readmissions and enhance HRQol among these patients and their families.

Methods and analysis This protocol adheres to the Joanna Briggs Institute methodology for mixed methods systematic reviews and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews reporting guidelines. Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods studies will append this study to explore determinants of COPD guidelines concordance. Comprehensive three-tier search strategies will be used to search nine databases (COCHRANE, EBSCO HOST, MEDLINE, SCIENCE DIRECT, JBI, SCOPUS, WEB OF SCIENCE, WILEY and DARE) in May 2020. Two independent reviewers will screen abstracts and full-text articles in consonance with inclusion criteria. The convergent integrative method narrative review will contribute a deeper understanding of any discrepancies found in the existing evidence. Quality of the studies will be reported and Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) will be used as a priori to synthesis data. Identified barriers, facilitators

# Strengths and limitations of this study

- First systematic review to explore barriers within interdisciplinary clinical practice and concordance with global chronic obstructive pulmonary disease auidelines.
- Theoretical Domains Framework utilisation facilitates understanding of existing barriers and probable clinical behaviour change solutions to improve concordance.
- Interdisciplinary perspective to improve collaboration and concordance may lead to multifaceted implementation strategies.
- Paucity of existing good-quality data and reporting may confine our ability to report true barriers of lack of concordance.

and corresponding clinical behavioural change solutions will be categorised using TDF indicators to provide future research and implementation recommendations.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval is not required and results dissemination will occur through peer-reviewed publication.

# **BACKGROUND**

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a preventable, treatable, irreversible lung disease characterised by chronic airflow obstruction that impedes a normal breathing pattern.<sup>1</sup> COPD, being a debilitating multisystem disease often leads to a steady decline, in terms of illness trajectory, and heavily impacts health-related quality of life (HRQol).<sup>2 3</sup> The WHO has predicted COPD to become the third leading cause of death by 2030 considering its increase in prevalence and morbidity rate. 45 COPD is the



second leading cause of preventable hospitalisation in Australia and accounted for more than two-thirds of global respiratory fatal incidences.<sup>6</sup> <sup>7</sup> In Australasian research reports, 5% of all emergency department (ED) presentations included shortness of breath and 14% of these presentations were COPD.<sup>8</sup> Acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD) is defined as acute variation in patient's stable state with both respiratory and non-respiratory symptoms that demand medication changes or hospitalisation.<sup>9</sup>

Exacerbation episodes have a significant and prolonged impact on health status, HRQol, patient outcomes and the negative effects on pulmonary function decline. AECOPD is a common hospital presentation due to a variety of causes such as infective exacerbations, worsening disease condition, medication non-adherence, inefficient care planning, lack of education and discharge without comprehensive support plan. AECOPD, directly and indirectly, is associated with an increased economic burden to the health industry by hastening lung function decline, negatively affecting patients and families and increasing overall morbidity and mortality.<sup>5</sup> Major causal factors of exacerbations include smoking, environmental and genetic factors, airway hyperactivity, chronic bronchitis and infection. Breathlessness, reduced activity level, malnutrition, social isolation, loss of independence, reduced HRQol and depression are some of the issues these patients tackle in their daily lives. <sup>10</sup> COPD is a multimodal chronic disease that requires consistent interdisciplinary interventions from admission to discharge. The importance of the care and interventions provided in the hospitals may reduce readmissions and enhance HROol in these patients and their families.<sup>11</sup>

The primary intent of publishing COPD guidelines was to facilitate an anticipated shift from the predominant emphasis of pharmacological treatment to a more holistic multidisciplinary intervention approach.<sup>12</sup> The global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease (GOLD), originally launched and developed by international leading experts in 1997, aims to improve HRQol and medical management around the globe. COPD-X plan guidelines, originally derived from GOLD, published in 2003 by Thoracic Society of Australia and New Zealand (TSANZ) and the Lung Foundation Australia (LFA) aim to promote consistent evidence-based changes in clinical practice.<sup>13</sup> A range of interventions recommended through the published COPD guidelines such as pulmonary rehabilitation, smoking cessation, self-management of exacerbations, palliative care, psychological support or counselling for patients and families has proven to improve HRQol factors in patients with COPD. <sup>14</sup> Advances in the management of COPD are updated quarterly in the national COPD guidelines by LFA and TSANZ.<sup>14</sup> The prime emphasis of these guidelines is around accurate case diagnosis, functional optimisation, preventing deterioration, developing a plan of care and managing exacerbation.<sup>13</sup> Despite the existing comprehensive recommendations, readmission rates and hospitalisations have increased in the last decade. 10 11

The publication of GOLD and national clinical practice guidelines (COPD-X plan) is only the first step in a process that ends with an actual change in clinician behaviour, hence effective guideline dissemination methods cannot be overlooked. 12 An Australian retrospective observational study conducted on 381 patients explored compliance with a patients' COPD bundle of care, the results revealed 49% adherence to the established plan. This study suggested that further research is required to improve guidelines and adherence plans for patients with COPD. 15 A qualitative Australian study, using semistructured interviews of nine hospital-based registrars or interns, and seven general physicians found that barriers to implementation of evidence-based recommendations for COPD plans included a lack of supportive enablers and a complexity of the behavioural change needed in patients. <sup>16</sup> <sup>17</sup> An identified barrier was the lack of guidelines in a readily, user-friendly and easily accessible manner with checkpoints, cues and time intervals of when they are required at point-of-care. 16 The studies suggest that improvement in guideline adherence can be translated into improved patient care and HRQol in patients with COPD.

Prospective research in knowledge translation and effective ways to implement evidence into everyday clinical practice for AECOPD are imperative. Implementation of a COPD checklist and the resultant adherence conducted among respiratory ward staff in Australia had two groups of patient admissions, prechecklist implementation and postchecklist implementation. <sup>16</sup> Adherence to the checklist used by ward medical staff in the respiratory ward identified a report of 51%. 16 Concordance with COPD guideline recommendations was high overall for patient assessment and initial treatment; however, concordance was lower for longer term issues such as referral to pulmonary rehabilitation programmes (36%). 16 Patients discharged from the ED had not been included in this study nor was the interdisciplinary perspective explored. The Asia, Australia and New Zealand Dyspnoea in Emergency Departments (AANZDEM) cohort study was conducted in 46 EDs in Australia, New Zealand, Singapore, Hong Kong and Malaysia to explore epidemiology, clinical features, treatment outcomes, hospital length of stay and in-hospital mortality.8 The findings of this study identified most acute exacerbation patients with COPD arrive in the ED by ambulance and have increased hospitalisations' and significant in-hospital mortality. A planned substudy of AANZDEM concluded compliance with COPD evidence-based guidelines is suboptimal in EDs and suggested further research is required to improve compliance with care based on published guidelines.<sup>18</sup>

COPD exacerbations and their management were explored in an Ireland hospital through a prospective before and after study. Following the education of staff and the implementation of a COPD care bundle, the outcome for 51 consecutive patients was analysed. Bundle of care improved the delivery of care for patients with COPD. However, care indicators did not suggest or assess



interdisciplinary services (pulmonary rehabilitation, smoking cessation, self-management education, dietician or psychosocial support). Spirometry and non-invasive ventilation are two other variables identified in the treatment plan by another retrospective audit of frequent patients with COPD presenting in an Australian ED. Imperative evidence collectively resulting from these studies have suggested exploring barriers and enablers of holistic COPD assessment and management could be beneficial in providing holistic care options for patients with COPD. Decreased awareness, familiarity, low concordance, suboptimal primary, secondary and tertiary care provided by health professionals have immensely affected HRQol in patients with COPD.

COPD is a multimodal disease, where interdisciplinary care holds a pivotal role in reducing COPD exacerbations. 21-23 Current evidence reports doctors, nurses and interdisciplinary health professionals in Australia, do not consistently adhere to COPD guidelines.<sup>8</sup> 14 24 25 Bartels et al<sup>26</sup> postulate from their 1-year retrospective study in Canada that patients with COPD discharged from EDs have a significantly higher risk of readmission due to variability in treatment as less than 50% of patients with AECOPD in their study, who presented to ED received recommended COPD therapy.<sup>26</sup> Exploring the barriers and enablers for interdisciplinary team members to provide holistic care as per COPD guidelines (medical, physical, psychological, social, spiritual and palliation) is crucial. 18 27 Interdisciplinary care has proven to significantly optimise functionality and prevent deterioration in patients with COPD, which subsequently reduces hospital admissions and hospital days per person. 16 21 Initiation of consistent interdisciplinary healthcare interventions for patients with COPD will extrude any implementation gap and prevent readmissions.<sup>21</sup>

Low concordance is indubitably associated with low awareness of clinical guidelines and role confusion that may subsequently lead to suboptimal clinical care for patients in primary, secondary and tertiary care. 17 25 According to an observational study in Australia, COPD guidelines developed with detailed processes and a plethora of international evidence are not well adhered to, where the study also reports a lack of clinician knowledge nationally and internationally. <sup>16</sup> Globally, the results of this review with implementation recommendations will avail interdisciplinary clinicians treating patients with COPD and clinical decision-makers. Existence of the guidelines alone does not often aid patients with better health outcomes; hence, exploration of the contributing factors to the already established lack of concordance through this review is in need. Existing evidence and reviews have ascertained that a lack of COPD guideline concordance will increase ED readmissions, imploring the need to better examine contributing factors inhibiting recommended clinical practice.

Implementation research suggests better implementation of guideline demand interdisciplinary clinical behavioural change in an individual and collective

manner.<sup>28</sup> Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) had aimed to deliver a comprehensive and theory-informed advanced methodology to help identify the fundamentals of non-concordance behaviour among interdisciplinary professional.<sup>28</sup> Integrating theoretical framework will assist cross-disciplinary implementation and research synthesis to create specific recommendations for local, national and international health systems. 28 29 A preliminary search of the topic showed a lack of knowledge, skills, environmental and beliefs of health professionals contribute to lack of concordance. TDF allows researchers to explore, understand and target clinician behaviour change interventions to provide recommendations to improve concordance.<sup>30</sup> This theoretical scaffolding allows identification and accumulation of salient determinants from existing evidence towards a lack of COPD guidelines adherence to 14 domains. 31 The 14 domains according to Cane et al are (1) knowledge, (2) skills, (3) social influences, (4) memory, attention and decision processes, (5) behavioural regulation, (6) professional/ social role and identity, (7) beliefs about capabilities, (8) belief about consequences, (9) optimism, (10) intentions, (11) goals, (12) emotion, (13) environmental context and resources and (14) reinforcement (see table 1).<sup>29</sup> Any determinants that do not fit within the existing domains will be organised into an 'others' domain.

Framework synthesis of data allows robust filtration of evidence from multiple sources to provide better implementation strategies and clinical behaviour change solutions to COPD guideline concordance.<sup>30 31</sup> TDF was originally developed to identify determinants and influences on health professionals behaviour to inform better implementation efforts. <sup>28</sup> <sup>29</sup> A further benefit of TDF is its linkage to behaviour change techniques which may provide an early identification of implementation issues associated with clinician behaviour to recommend intervention designs (see table 1). 28 29 This systematic review will identify the contributing factors to the lack of COPD guidelines concordance from the time of admission in the hospital to discharge. Given the scarcity of research in interdisciplinary guidelines concordance with COPD, the proposed mixed methods approach will enable all available evidence to be incorporated into the review.

# **Review questions**

What core elements of the COPD guidelines are adhered to by interdisciplinary health professionals?

What are the contributing factors to the lack of COPD guideline concordance among interdisciplinary health professionals in hospitals?

# **Inclusion criteria**

Studies and reports published in English including interdisciplinary COPD guidelines concordance, compliance or adherence in the hospital setting will be used for this review. GOLD guidelines and COPD-X plan guideline reviews will be included in this study.



**Table 1** Data synthesis table for using TDF (adapted from Atkins et  $al^{28}$  and Cane et  $al^{29}$ )

TDF for data synthes	sis
----------------------	-----

TET TOT GLICA SYNTHOSIS			Guidelines	Behaviour	Reported
Interdisciplinary clinical non- concordance behaviour	TDF domain	Guidelines uptake barrier	uptake enablers	change technique	implementation and results
Lack of knowledge of guidelines, scientific rationale	Knowledge				
Lack of skills to care for patients with COPD, lack of interprofessional communication skills and assessment skills	Skills				
Professional identity, interprofessional boundaries, organisational identity	Social/professional role and identity				
Lack of self or confidence in clinical decision-making	Beliefs about capabilities				
Clinician and interdisciplinary staff attitude about COPD prognosis	Optimism				
Nihilistic views on causes, prognosis and management of COPD	Beliefs about consequences				
Clinician knowledge utilisation and provision	Reinforcement				
Lack of awareness, motivation and initiative to change and better care	Intentions				
Lack of goals to improve COPD care	Goals				
Difficulty recalling all treatment and management modality from COPD guidelines	Memory, attention and decision processes				
Lack of cues from COPD guidelines in workplace	Environmental context and resources				
Lack of clinician and multidisciplinary team cooperation	Social influences				
Nihilistic views of treating staff (smoking causes COPD)	Emotion				
Failure to abide COPD guidelines or related quality initiative	Behavioural regulation				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TDE TI				

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; TDF, Theoretical Domains Framework.

# **Exclusion criteria**

Studies not reported in English and studies which had not measured COPD guideline concordance will be excluded from this study. Primary healthcare and community-based COPD guidelines concordance studies will not be included in this study.

### **Population**

This review will consider studies that involve doctors, nurses and allied health reports on COPD guidelines concordance.

#### **Context**

This review will consider studies that involve doctors, nurses and allied health reports on COPD guidelines concordance. Data from EDs, inpatient hospital units and hospital-based rehabilitation will be used in this review.

# **Types of studies**

This review will consider quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods studies. Quantitative studies will include

experimental, quasi-experimental and non-experimental studies including descriptive studies, corelational studies, randomised controlled trials, non-randomised controlled trials, before and after studies, and interrupted time-series studies. Mixed methods studies will only be considered if data from the quantitative or qualitative components can be extracted. In order to ensure that all reports on COPD guidelines are included any studies that mention COPD guidelines concordance, adherence or compliance will be included for potential inclusion. Studies published in English will be included. Studies published from 1997 from nine databases (COCHRANE, EBSCO HOST, MEDLINE, SCIENCE DIRECT, JBI, SCOPUS, WEB OF SCIENCE, WILEY and DARE) to the present will be included as international guidelines and have been in circulation since 1997. In addition, analytical observational studies including prospective and retrospective cohort studies, case-control studies and analytical crosssectional studies will be considered for inclusion. This review will also consider observational study designs



including case series, individual case reports and descriptive cross-sectional studies for inclusion. Studies that focus on qualitative data including, but not limited to, designs such as phenomenology, grounded theory, ethnography, action research and feminist research will also be included for review.

#### **METHODS**

The proposed systematic review will be conducted in accordance with the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) methodology for mixed-method systematic reviews and the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRIS-MA-ScR) reporting guidelines (see online supplementary appendix 1). <sup>32 33</sup> A mixed methods review provides a comprehensive synthesis compared with a single-method review as it combines quantitative and qualitative evidence to assist clinical decision and policymakers to adopt an appropriate implementation strategy. <sup>34</sup> A convergent integrative method where quantitative evidence is qualitised to provide a narrative review will deepen a better understanding of any discrepancies noted in the evidence. <sup>34</sup>

### Search strategy

A preliminary search of databases has been undertaken and no existing or ongoing mixed methods or individual systematic reviews on the topic have been identified in November 2019. A comprehensive three-tier search will be aimed to locate both published and unpublished studies in May 2020. An initial search of MEDLINE and CINAHL will commence the review followed by the identification of keywords found in each title and abstract and a match to the subject terms used in articles on the topic. This will enable the development of an extensive full search strategy for a second search in databases (COCHRANE, EBSCO HOST, MEDLINE, SCIENCE DIRECT, JBI, SCOPUS, WEB OF SCIENCE, WILEY and DARE) (see online supplementary appendix 2. Electronic search strategy). Reference lists from all included studies will be examined to screen any additional studies relevant to the review question.

# **Study selection**

All identified studies following the search will be collated and retrieved into EndNote V.X8.1 and duplicates will be

removed. Covidence systematic review management software will be used to assist with further data management.<sup>35</sup> Two independent reviewers will screen titles and abstracts in phase 1 assessment towards the inclusion criteria for the selection of articles. Phase 2 will include full-text screening by two independent reviewers where the inclusion and exclusion processes are performed. Exclusion of full-text studies will be recorded and reported in the systematic review. Authors of papers will be contacted to request missing or additional data for clarification, where required. Disagreements that arise between the reviewers at each stage of the study selection process will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer. The results of the search will be reported in the final review and presented in a PRISMA flow diagram (see online supplementary appendix 3).32

# **Assessment of methodological quality**

Eligible studies will undergo critical appraisal to establish the internal validity and risk of bias by two independent reviewers. Any disputes will be settled through discussion or third reviewer's opinion. Specific standardised critical appraisal instruments from JBI system for the unified mangement assessment and review of information (SUMARI) will be used separately for quantitative studies (including the quantitative component of mixed methods studies) and qualitative studies (including qualitative component of mixed methods studies), that are selected for retrieval. Regardless of the methodological quality, all studies will undergo extraction and synthesis (where possible). Critical appraisal results will be appended to the review using ConQual approach in the summary of findings table (see table 2). As a proposal approach in the summary of findings table (see table 2).

#### **Data extraction**

Mixed methods data extraction tool designed for convergent integrated approach (integration of qualitative data and 'qualitised' data following data transformation) will be used to extract data in this study (see table 3). This extraction tool includes the type of the study, methodology, number and characteristics of participants, phenomenon to lack of concordance, guideline type, context (cultural and geographic), setting (hospitals, EDs and inpatient units), concordance with main recommendations of COPD guideline, implementation method, evaluation

**Table 2** Summary of findings table to depict assessment of methodological quality of eligible studies (adapted from Aromataris and Munn<sup>34</sup> and Munn *et al*<sup>36</sup>)

ConQual summary of findings table

Systematic review title:

Population:

Phenomena of interest:

Context:

Synthesised finding Type of research Dependability Credibility ConQual score Comments

Insert each synthesised finding and complete the columns per synthesised finding



Table 3	Data extraction table for convergent integrated
approach	n mixed methods systematic review (adapted from
Lizarondo	o et al <sup>33</sup> )

Lizarondo <i>et al</i> <sup>33</sup> )	
Domain/subdomain	Description
Reviewer name: Date :	Name of reviewer and date of review
Authors	Authors of article
Journal year, number, record	Name of journal and its details
Type of study and aims	(Quantitative, qualitative, mixed) Aims and objectives of the selected study
Geographical and cultural context	Country of study
Methodology and results	Study design Results of study Recommendations from the study Future research recommendations
Number and characteristics of participants	(Clinicians, nurses, allied health)
Phenomenon to lack of concordance (barriers and enablers)	TDF domains: (1) lack of knowledge of COPD-X guidelines, (2) lack of skills caring for patients with COPD, (3) social influences, (4) memory, attention and decision processes, (5) behavioural regulation, (6) professional/social role and identity, (7) beliefs about capabilities, (8) belief about consequences, (9) optimism, (10) intentions, (11) goals, (12) emotion, (13) environmental context and resources and (14) reinforcement (see table 3)
Guideline type	GOLD, COPD-X plan
Context and setting	Acute care, ED, inpatient care
COPD guidelines recommendations adherence	Studies reporting on spirometry, non-pharmacological and pharmacological, pulmonary rehabilitation, short-acting and long-acting inhaled bronchodilators, anti-inflammatory agents, inhaled corticosteroids use, inhaler technique and adherence, smoking cessation, influenza and pneumococcal vaccinations, COPD action, exacerbations promptly with bronchodilators, corticosteroids and antibiotics, comorbidities identification and management, palliative and end-of-life care, self-management education and primary and tertiary partnership care
Implementation method (ED and inpatient units)	Clinical pathways, proforma, bundle of care
Evaluation of implementation	Audits, reviews, reports
Readmissions, remissions or exacerbation within 30 days	Remission or readmission of disease due to inadequate care or discharge planning
Implications of guidelines	Implications of guideline in healthcare setting, patients and interdisciplinary staff
Sustainability measures	Frequency of audits, educational sessions, staff recruitment, change champions
Authors' conclusion	Study conclusion by the author
Reviewer comments	Study conclusion and comments by reviewer

Continued

Tak	le 3	$C_{0}$	ntini	ıad
	11E .5	( ),( )	r 11 11 11	100

Domain/subdomain Description

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ED, emergency department; GOLD, global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease; TDF. Theoretical Domains Framework.

and sustainability of implementation, remissions within 30 days of hospital discharge. Two independent reviewers will extract data from articles and any disagreements will be settled using the third reviewer. Applying computer software program Nvivo V.1236 a second extraction of data and mapping of modifiable determinants of COPD guideline adherence to the domains of the TDF will be performed. <sup>28 29 37</sup>

#### **Data transformation**

Quantitative data will be converted to 'qualitised data' following extraction according to the JBI convergent integrated approach. <sup>33 34</sup> Quantitative numerical data will be transfigured to textual or narrative interpretations to answer the overarching review question.

#### **Data synthesis and integration**

Extracted data in shape of qualitised textual description from quantitative studies and themes and subthemes from qualitative studies will be collated and categorised in congruence to 14 domains of TDF (see table 3). <sup>28</sup> Factors contributing to the lack of concordance with the guideline will be integrated based on similarity in meaning. Using TDF will assist in organising literature identified determinants of lack of COPD guidelines concordance. Identified barriers and enablers in guideline uptake will be aligned with standard taxonomy of behavioural change technique to report existing and future recommendations of implementation strategies. <sup>30 31</sup> This review will adhere to the PRISMA-ScR reporting guidelines. <sup>32</sup>

# **ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

Ethics approval is not required for this study as all data are obtained from publicly available studies. Knowledge and interpretations from this review will provide recommendations towards prominent implementation strategies to increase COPD guideline concordance. The results of this study will be presented before industry stakeholders, interdisciplinary clinicians and appropriate future conferences to develop and assist with implementation initiatives.

**Acknowledgements** We acknowledge valuable expertise of the University of Southern Queensland, Health Sciences Librarian, Rowena Mc Gregor for assistance during preliminary search phase.

**Contributors** HI led the design and conceptualisation of this protocol. CM, MT and JL have made intellectual contributions and worked collaboratively in the development and editing of this protocol.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.



Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### ORCID ID

Hancy Issac http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3778-1157

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Singh D, Agusti A, Anzueto A, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease: the gold science Committee report 2019. Eur Respir J 2019;53:1900164.
- 2 Dang-Tan T, Ismaila A, Zhang S, et al. Clinical, humanistic, and economic burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in Canada: a systematic review. BMC Res Notes 2015;8:464.
- 3 Murray SA, Kendall M, Boyd K, et al. Illness trajectories and palliative care. *BMJ* 2005;330:1007–11.
- 4 Alwan A, Maclean DR, Riley LM, et al. Monitoring and surveillance of chronic non-communicable diseases: progress and capacity in highburden countries. *Lancet* 2010;376:1861–8.
- 5 Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2095–128.
- 6 Wang T, Tan J-Y, Xiao LD, et al. Effectiveness of disease-specific self-management education on health outcomes in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Patient Educ Couns 2017;100:1432–46.
- 7 Glover J, Hetzel D, Ambrose S, et al. Atlas of avoidable hospitalisations in Australia: ambulatory care-sensitive conditions. 49. Public Health Information Development Unit, The University of Adelaide, 2007.
- 8 Kelly AM, Keijzers G, Klim S, et al. An observational study of dyspnea in emergency departments: the Asia, Australia, and New Zealand dyspnea in emergency departments study (AANZDEM). Acad Emerg Med 2017;24:328–36.
- 9 Burge S, Wedzicha JA. Copd exacerbations: definitions and classifications. Eur Respir J Suppl 2003;41:46S–53.
- 10 Ersgard KB, Pedersen PU, Sørensen TB. Effectiveness of discharge interventions on readmissions for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review protocol. *JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep* 2014;12:2–9.
- 11 Pedersen PU, Ersgard KB, Soerensen TB, et al. Effectiveness of structured planned post discharge support to patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease for reducing readmission rates: a systematic review. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2017:15:2060–86.
- 12 Grimshaw JM, Schünemann HJ, Burgers J, et al. Disseminating and implementing guidelines: article 13 in integrating and coordinating efforts in COPD Guideline development. An official ATS/ERS workshop report. Proc Am Thorac Soc 2012;9:298–303.
- McKenzie DK, Frith PA, Burdon JGW, et al. The COPDX plan: Australian and New Zealand guidelines for the management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease 2003. Med J Aust 2003:178:S1
- 14 Yang IA, Brown JL, George J, et al. COPD-X Australian and New Zealand guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: 2017 update. Med J Aust 2017;207:436–42.
- 15 Gerber A, Moynihan C, Klim S, et al. Compliance with a COPD bundle of care in an Australian emergency department: a cohort study. Clin Respir J 2018;12:706–11.

- 16 Overington JD, Huang YC, Abramson MJ, et al. Implementing clinical guidelines for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: barriers and solutions. J Thorac Dis 2014;6:1586–96.
- 17 Davis P, Mc Donnell T. Implementing respiratory integrated care: the future for COPD diagnosis and management? *Int J Integr Care* 2017:17:521.
- 18 Kelly AM. Get with the guidelines: management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in emergency departments in Europe and Australasia is sub-optimal. *Internal medicine journal* 2019.
- 19 McCarthy C, Brennan JR, Brown L, et al. Use of a care bundle in the emergency department for acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a feasibility study. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2013;8:605.
- 20 Khialani B, Sivakumaran P, Keijzers G, et al. Emergency department management of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and factors associated with hospitalization. J Res Med Sci 2014;19:297-303.
- 21 Kruis AL, Smidt N, Assendelft WJJ, et al. Integrated disease management interventions for patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013;9.
- 22 Quintana JM, Esteban C, Garcia-Gutierrez S, et al. Predictors of hospital admission two months after emergency department evaluation of COPD exacerbation. Respiration 2014;88:298–306.
- 23 Rivas-Ruiz F, Redondo M, González N, et al. Appropriateness of diagnostic effort in hospital emergency room attention for episodes of COPD exacerbation. J Eval Clin Pract 2015;21:848–54.
- 24 Liang J, Abramson MJ, Zwar N, et al. Interdisciplinary model of care (radicals) for early detection and management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in Australian primary care: study protocol for a cluster randomised controlled trial. BMJ Open 2017;7:e016985.
- 25 Considine J, Botti M, Thomas S. Emergency department management of exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: audit of compliance with evidence-based guidelines. *Intern Med J* 2011;41:48–54.
- 26 Bartels W, Adamson S, Leung L, et al. Emergency department management of acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: factors predicting readmission. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2018;13:1647–54.
- 27 Moloney C, Sneath E, Phillips T, et al. Recommendations and practices for holistic chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) assessment and optimal referral patterns in emergency department presentations: a scoping review protocol. BMJ Open 2019;9:e30358:1–5.
- 28 Atkins L, Francis J, Islam R, et al. A guide to using the theoretical domains framework of behaviour change to investigate implementation problems. *Implement Sci* 2017;12:77.
- 29 Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci* 2012;7:37.
- 30 Craig LE, McInnes E, Taylor N, et al. Identifying the barriers and enablers for a triage, treatment, and transfer clinical intervention to manage acute stroke patients in the emergency department: a systematic review using the theoretical domains framework (TDF). Implementation Sci 2016;11.
- 31 Richardson M, Khouja CL, Sutcliffe K, et al. Using the theoretical domains framework and the behavioural change wheel in an overarching synthesis of systematic reviews. BMJ Open 2019;9:e024950.
- 32 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Ann Intern Med 2009;151:264–9.
- 33 Lizarondo L SC, Carrier J, Godfrey C, et al. Chapter 8: Mixed methods systematic reviews. In: Aromataris E, Munn Z, eds. JBI Reviewer's Manual. JBI, 2017.
- 34 Aromataris E, Munn Z. Joanna Briggs Institute reviewer's manual. 299. The Joanna Briggs, 2017.
- 35 Veritas Health Innovation. Covidence systematic review software. Melbourne: Veritas Health Innovation, 2017.
- 36 Munn Z, Porritt K, Lockwood C, et al. Establishing confidence in the output of qualitative research synthesis: the ConQual approach. BMC Med Res Methodol 2014;14:108.
- 37 Bazeley P, Jackson K. *Qualitative data analysis with NVivo*. Sage Publications Limited, 2013.