Mini Review

Failed parathyroidectomy: The road ahead

Amit Agarwal, Roma Pradhan

Department of Endocrine Surgery, SGPGIMS, Lucknow, India

ABSTRACT

Persistent hyperparathyroidism due to failed parathyroidectomy is an uncommon but challenging problem as re-operation carries higher complication and failure rates. Re-operations can often be performed via a minimally invasive approach by experienced surgeons after localisation using requisite imaging techniques, the preferred sequence of which is still under evolution. A carefully planned operation with additional adjuncts is of utmost importance as parathyroidectomy remains the only curative treatment option.

Key words: Parathyroidectomy, Re-operative parathyroidectomy

Majority (95%) of patients with primary hyperparathyroidism (HPT) are cured at initial operation by an experienced surgeon. Despite this success rate, persistent and recurrent HPT remain challenging clinical entities. Though it may seem that the variable anatomy of the parathyroid glands and ectopic location of the adenomas may be responsible for failed operations, surprisingly, the most common cause of persistent and recurrent hyperparathyroidism (HPT) after parathyroidectomy is a missed abnormal parathyroid gland.[1,2] Inexperience on the part of the operating surgeon is a major cause of persistent or recurrent hyperparathyroidism because of the lack of knowledge of parathyroid embryology and knowing the usual and unusual hiding places of the parathyroid glands; failure to recognize and adequately treat multiple-gland disease, failure to locate and excise the ectopically located adenoma, particularly the mediastinal adenoma; presence and persistence of supernumerary glands; errors on frozensection examination; incomplete excision of invasive parathyroid carcinoma and finally parathyromatosis.

Because re-operative parathyroidectomy has higher complication and failure rates than initial parathyroidectomy,^[3,4] preventing persistent or recurrent HPT by resecting all

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	
	Website: www.ijem.in
	DOI: 10.4103/2230-8210.104043

adenomas during the initial surgery is important. Preventing failure of parathyroidectomy is important because repeated parathyroid exploration is associated with more complications, including recurrent laryngeal nerve injury and hypocalcemia, and fewer cures compared with initial exploration.^[3,4] In order to reduce the failure rates, the general dictum is that minimally invasive or focused parathyroidectomy should only be done in cases where the imaging (ultrasound and sestamibi) are concordant while in all other cases including familial HPT, bilateral exploration should be done.

Reported cure rate after re-operative parathyroidectomy varies from 87% to 93%. [2,5] Therefore, these procedures should be undertaken after careful review of previous operative and pathology reports by an experienced surgeon in a center that can provide expert pre-operative localization, intra-operative biochemical monitoring, and cryopreservation of parathyroid tissue. Because gland locations are predictable, experienced surgeons can often perform re-operations via a minimally invasive approach. Imaging studies play a critical role in the re-operative patient. Surgeons should not perform a blind exploration in the re-operative neck because a positive imaging study can almost always be obtained and is a major guide during surgery. The quality of both the imaging studies and their interpretation vary dramatically between institutions, and not all studies are created equally. It is recommended that sequential studies be obtained either by or in close communication with an experienced parathyroid surgeon. The goal of localization through imaging is to obtain an adequate road map to guide the surgeon. Localization procedures supplement this

 $\textbf{Corresponding Author:} \ \textbf{Dr. Amit Agarwal, Department of Endocrine Surgery, SGPGIMS, Lucknow, India. E-mail: amitsgpgi@rediffmail.com.} \\$

information and help direct the re-operative approach. When complementary noninvasive studies, such as ultrasound, sestamibi, and magnetic resonance imaging are negative, equivocal, or discordant, invasive tests (e.g., selective venous sampling for parathyroid hormone levels) are warranted. Intra-operative ultrasound and gamma-probe localization are of questionable value, but intra-operative parathyroid hormone assays help facilitate these challenging repeat dissections. The preferred sequence of imaging continues to evolve, and significant institutional variation exists. Usually, USG and a sestamibi with single photon emission CT. If these are not convincing, a 4-D CT scan has emerged as the next study.

Data on the ability of Tc99m-MIBI-SPECT to detect and localize residual hyperactive parathyroid tissue in patients with persistent primary hyperparathyroidism are particularly scarce. The available literature do suggest that the predictive value of this technique falls dramatically from 80% or higher before initial surgery for single gland disease (SGD) to as low as 50% before re-operative parathyroidectomy for persistent PHPT.^[6-8] Although the precise cause for this remains unclear, it is likely to be multifactorial, and probably includes disturbances in the local vascular supply caused by previous surgery, as well as differences in gland pathology and size ultimately affecting radiopharmaceutical uptake. In view of limitations of pre-operative investigations, a carefully planned operative procedure, often employing additional adjuncts, is critical. Intra-operative PTH assay is one of them. It allows the surgeon to confirm that the incident parathyroid gland removed is the only source of abnormal PTH secretion and thereby terminate the operation, obviating unnecessary and potentially harmful additional exploration. It also permits ex vivo aspiration of excised tissue confirming PTH levels that are extraordinarily high (greater than 1000 pg/ml), thus proving that the tissue is a parathyroid gland and not a lymph node or ectopic thyroid tissue. This technique eliminates the need for intra-operative frozen-section consultation, thereby saving time and expense. [9] In addition, in difficult explorations, one can perform bilateral intra-operative internal jugular vein sampling for PTH to determine whether an ipsilateral gradient is present, thereby guiding the surgeon to the site of the occult parathyroid abnormality.[10] A variety of other operative adjuncts has been described. These include use of an intra-operative monitoring device to confirm the functional integrity of the recurrent laryngeal nerve (IONM) as well as employment of a gamma probe after the iv administration of technetium-labeled sestamibi. [11,12]

In the re-operative neck, a lateral approach is frequently employed, mobilizing the plane between the medial borders of the ipsilateral sternocleidomastoid muscle and the lateral border of the strap muscles.^[13] Patients who have sufficiently convincing pre-operative imaging are candidates for a minimally invasive parathyroidectomy, even in the re-operative setting. Although a variety of techniques have been developed, employing regional anesthesia (cervical block) in the conscious but sedated patient, there-by permitting to follow the quality of the patient's voice (recurrent nerve function) during surgery.^[14]

Although controversy exists regarding indications for re-operative treatment for persistent or recurrent HPT, parathyroidectomy remains the only curative treatment option. Surgery should be considered first-line treatment in most circumstances.

REFERENCES

- Gough I. Re-operativeparathyroid surgery: The importance of ectopic location andmulti-gland disease. ANZ J Surg 2006;76:1048-50.
- Richards ML, Thompson GB, Farley DR, Grant CS. Re-operativeparathyroidectomyin 228 patients during the era of minimal-access surgery and intraoperativeparathyroid hormone monitoring. Am J Surg 2008;196:937-43.
- Patow CA, Norton JA, Brennan MF. Vocal cord paralysis andreoperativeparathyroidectomy: A prospective study. Ann Surg 1986:203:282-5.
- Jaskowiak N, Norton JA, Alexander HR, Doppman JL, Shawker T, Skarulis M, et al. A prospective trial evaluating a standard approach to reoperation for missed parathyroid adenoma. Ann Surg 1996;224:308-21.
- Mariette C, Pellissier L, Combemale F, Quievreux JL, Carnaille B, Proye C. Reoperation for persistent or recurrent primary hyperparathyroidism. Langenbecks Arch Surg 1998;383:174-9.
- Majors JD, Burke GJ, Mansberger AR Jr, Wei JP. Technetium Tc99m sestamibi scan for localizing abnormal parathyroid glands after previous neck operations: Preliminary experience in re-operative cases. South Med J 1995;88:327-30.
- Rotstein L, Irish J, Gullane P, Keller MA, Sniderman K. Re-operativeparathyroidectomyin the era of localization technology. Head Neck 1998;20:535-9.
- Witteveen JE, Kievit J, Stokkel MP, Morreau H, Romijn JA, Hamdy NA. Limitations of Tc99m-MIBI-SPECT imaging scans in persistent primary hyperparathyroidism. World J Surg 2011;35:128-39.
- Kiblut NK, Cussac JF, Soudan B, Farrell SG, Armstrong JA, Arnalsteen L, et al. Fine needle aspirationand intra-parathyroid intact parathyroid hormone measurementfor re-operative parathyroid surgery. World J Surg 2004;28:1143-7.
- Ito F, Sippel R, Lederman J, Chen H. The utility of intraoperative bilateral internal jugular vein sampling with rapid parathyroid hormone testing. Ann Surg 2007;245:959-63.
- Goretzki PE, Schwarz K, Brinkmann J, Wirowski D, Lammers BJ.
 The impact of intraoperative neuro-monitoring (IONM) onsurgical strategy in bilateral thyroid diseases: Is it worth the effort? World J Surg 2010;34:1274-84.
- Norman J, Denham D. Minimally invasive radioguidedparathyroidectomyin the reoperative neck. Surgery 1998;124: 1088-93.
- 13. Wang TS, Udelsman R. Remedial surgery for primary

- hyperparathyroidism. Adv Surg 2007;41:1-15.
- 14. Chen H, Mack E, Starling JR. A comprehensive evaluation of perioperative adjuncts during minimally invasive parathyroidectomy: Which is most reliable? Ann Surg 2005;242:375-80.

Cite this article as: Agarwal A, Pradhan R. Failed Parathyroidectomy: The road ahead. Indian J Endocr Metab 2012;16:S221-3.

Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None.