

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Safety of high-dose intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide as low-cost alternative to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor agents in lower-middle-income countries

Hammouda Hamdy Ghoraba^{1,2} Mahmoud Leila³ Sameh Mohamed Elgouhary⁴ Emad Eldin Mohamed Elgemai^{2,5} Haithem Mamon Abdelfattah^{2,6} Hashem Hammouda Ghoraba² Mohamed Amin Heikal⁷

Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt; ²Department of Retina, Magrabi Eye Hospital, Tanta, Egypt; ³Retina Department, Research Institute of Ophthalmology, Giza, Egypt; ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University, Menoufia, Egypt; ⁵Department of Ophthalmology, Damanhour Teaching Hospital, Damanhour, Egypt; ⁶Department of Ophthalmology, Banha Teaching Hospital, Banha, Egypt; ⁷Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University, Benha, Egypt

Correspondence: Mahmoud Leila Retina Department, Research Institute of Ophthalmology, 2 Al-Ahram Street, Giza, Cairo 12511, Egypt Tel +20 128 664 4025 Email mahmoudleila@yahoo.com **Purpose:** The purpose of this study was to evaluate the safety of high-dose intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide (IVTA) as affordable low-cost alternative to anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-vascular endothelial growth factor [anti-VEGF] agents) in lower-middle-income countries.

Patients and methods: This was a retrospective interventional non-comparative case series. The study recruited patients who received 20 mg IVTA for treating various retinal and optic nerve diseases over the past 5 years. Main outcome measure was assessment of complications secondary to high-dose IVTA. The crosstabs procedure was used to display the interaction between the variables tested. The ANOVA test was used to analyze the differences among group means.

Results: The study included 207 eyes of 168 patients. The main indication for high-dose IVTA were diabetic macular edema 64%, and macular edema secondary to retinal vein occlusion 19%. The mean follow-up period post-injection was 22 months. Mean number of injections was 1.3. Cataract developed in 54% of eyes. Glaucoma developed in 18.5% of eyes. Glaucoma surgery for intractable glaucoma attributed to high-dose IVTA was needed in 1% of eyes. Endophthalmitis and retinal detachment developed in one patient each.

Conclusion: High-dose IVTA is a safe and cost-effective alternative to anti-VEGF agents. Cataract formation and intraocular pressure rise do not pose major adverse effects when weighed against the risk of vision loss due to inability to afford anti-VEGF treatment.

Keywords: high-dose IVTA, cost-effectiveness of anti-VEGF, ICER of anti-VEGF per QALY

Introduction

The use of the intravitreal route for delivering triamcinolone acetonide (IVTA) has the advantages of delivering high-dose of steroids directly to the site of action, thereby achieving high potency in reversing macular edema and in restoring the integrity of the blood–retina barrier while evading the systemic side effects of parenteral and oral use. However, the initial enthusiasm for using IVTA has been quenched by the waning effect of the drug over relatively short duration; hence, the need for repeated injections to maintain initial anatomical and functional success, and by the ensuing complications that included ocular hypertension even after single injection, and steroid-induced cataract that delayed stable visual recovery until after cataract removal.^{1,2}

Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (anti-VEGF) agents include drugs that antagonize the action of VEGF, namely bevacizumab and ranibizumab, and drugs

that compete with VEGF for its receptor binding site, namely aflibercept.1 The emergence of anti-VEGF agents for treating macular edema was corroborated by evidence from landmark studies that demonstrated the favorable anatomic and functional outcomes of these agents while evading the side effects of IVTA.3-12 Consequently, the past two decades witnessed steady decline of reliance on IVTA for treating macular edema in favor of newer agents including anti-VEGF agents that currently pose as the standard therapeutic regimen for macular edema, in addition to intravitreal dexamethasone (Ozurdex) and intravitreal fluocinolone acetonide (Iluvien) sustained-delivery inserts. 13-15 Nowadays, IVTA is largely considered a second therapeutic line that should be reserved to patients with macular edema deemed refractory to anti-VEGF agents or in whom anti-VEGF agents were contraindicated. 16-18

Similar to IVTA, anti-VEGF agents had to be administered repeatedly in order to maintain the initial therapeutic effect. The longevity of the disease course and the large number of injections required per patient per year prompted several studies that focused on the cost-effectiveness of these agents. Data compiled from these studies revealed alarming figures in terms of the economic burden placed on health care providers in high-income countries from which these studies originated. 19-21 Accordingly, the cost of anti-VEGF agents in middle- and low-income countries renders any therapeutic plan for the management of macular edema unrealistic to a wide range of their population. Based on the abovementioned results, the current study evaluated the safety of high-dose IVTA as affordable low-cost alternative to anti-VEGF agents in Egypt as an example of lower-middleincome countries.22

Patients and methods Patients

This was a retrospective interventional non-comparative case series conducted in a private ophthalmic center, MAGRABI eye hospital, Tanta, Egypt. The study recruited patients who received high-dose IVTA for treating various retinal and optic nerve diseases over the past 5 years. Patients were required to have a minimum follow-up period of 6 months to be eligible for the study. Patients were enrolled regardless of whether they were treatment naïve at the time of receiving high-dose IVTA or if they had had other lines of therapy such as laser or anti-VEGF agents. Exclusion criteria were history of glaucoma or steroid responsiveness, use of any topical antiglaucoma agent, retinal or optic nerve disease caused by infectious microorganisms, and active infection involving the ocular adnexa or ocular surface. The main outcome

measure was assessment of complications that might develop secondary to high-dose IVTA. Diagnosis of the retinal or optic nerve disease was based on biomicroscopic examination and ancillary tests including fundus fluorescein angiography and spectral-domain optical coherence tomography whenever applicable. Selection of patients for enrollment in the study and decision on the compatibility of their condition with high-dose IVTA treatment were undertaken by an experienced retina specialist (HG).

The current study was approved by the institutional review board of MAGRABI eye hospital in Egypt. The study adhered strictly to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki (2013 revision). All patients signed informed consent prior to enrollment. The consent included a statement explaining in detail the nature of high-dose IVTA injection procedure, the expected therapeutic outcome, and the possible complications of therapy.

Methods

High-dose IVTA preparation

We adopted the filter technique described by Jonas et al²³ for preparing high-dose IVTA. The whole suspension from a commercial TA vial, containing 40 mg/mL was withdrawn into a 1 mL tuberculin syringe. The syringe was kept in an upright position, needle-up, for at least 30 minutes to allow the TA crystals to settle down occupying the lower 0.2 mL of the syringe. Subsequently, the supernatant vehicle occupying the upper 0.8 mL was slowly injected through a 0.22-µm pore size filter (ARCADOPHTA®, Toulouse, France; Figure 1). The filter allows passage of the vehicle while preventing passage of the crystals owing to their size. The crystals were diluted with ringer's lactate solution, and again placed in an erect position for 10 minutes. The upper 0.8 mL was discarded through the filter, leaving the crystals in the lower 0.2 mL of the syringe. The whole procedure was repeated twice. Eventually, a 0.2 mL volume containing ~20 mg TA was injected into the vitreous cavity.

Preoperative patient preparation

Patients were asked to use topical antibiotics q.i.d. for 2 days prior to high-dose IVTA injection. The injection procedure was done in the operating theater under complete sterile conditions. First, the periocular area was sterilized using povidone-iodine 10%, followed by the application of topical anesthetic agent, benoxinate hydrochloride 0.4%, twice at 5 minutes interval. Lid speculum was applied to separate the lashes from the site of injection. The ocular surface was prepared using povidone-iodine 5% drops applied in the conjunctival cul-de-sac. A cotton-tipped applicator soaked



Figure 1 A 22-µm pore filter mounted on a 27-gauge 1 mL tuberculin syringe. Notes: The micropores will prevent the passage of TA crystals during the preparation of high-dose IVTA from the commercial TA suspension. A close-up of the filter is shown in the inset.

Abbreviations: IVTA, intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide; TA, triamcinolone acetonide.

in anesthetic solution was pressed against the planned site of injection for 1 minute.

Injection technique

The site of injection was usually selected in the inferior temporal region for best exposure, and at a distance of 3.0, 3.5, or 4.0 mm from the limbus depending on whether the patient was aphakic, pseudophakic, or phakic, respectively. A 27-gauge tuberculin syringe was used for injection to avoid clogging of the TA crystals in the needle hub. The needle was advanced transconjunctivally toward the center of the vitreous cavity until the tip was visualized through the dilated pupil. High-dose IVTA was then slowly injected into the vitreous cavity. Routine anterior chamber (AC) paracentesis was done in all patients prior to retracting the needle to reduce the intraocular volume. A cotton tip applicator was

pressed against the site of injection for 1 minute immediately after retracting the needle. These latter precautions were performed to safeguard against reflux of the drug or the vitreous through the injection site after retraction of the injection needle. In addition, AC paracentesis minimizes postoperative intraocular pressure (IOP) spikes. Topical antibiotics were used q.i.d. for 3 days after injection. During the postoperative period, IOP was checked at 1 day, 1 week, 1 month, and 3 monthly thereafter. Significant IOP rise was defined as increased IOP ≥5 mmHg from baseline or IOP >21 mmHg on two consecutive visits. Topical antiglaucoma drops were instated in case of significant IOP elevation.

Statistical analysis

Crosstabs (X2)

The crosstabs procedure forms two-way and multiway tables and provides a variety of tests and measures of association for two-way tables. The structure of the table and whether categories are ordered determine what test or measure to use. Crosstabs statistics and measures of association are computed for two-way tables only. If you specify a row, a column, and a layer factor (control variable), the crosstabs procedure forms one panel of associated statistics and measures for each value of the layer factor (or a combination of values for two or more control variables). For example, if "gender" is a layer factor for a table of "married" (yes, no) against "life" (is life exciting, routine, or dull), the results for a two-way table for the females are computed separately from those for the males and printed as panels following one another.

"One-way ANOVA" (F-test)

It is a procedure used for testing the differences among the means of two or more treatments. It was noted that if means of subgroups are greatly different, the variance of the combined groups is much larger than the variance of the separate groups. The analysis of variance format for the analysis of differences in means is based on this fact. It is used in circumstances when it is desirable to study several variables. The significance of the measured data was considered as follows: not significant (NS), when P > 0.05; significant (S), when P < 0.05; highly significant (HS), when P < 0.01, where "P" is the probability (reflect of null hypothesis).

Results

Study population

Baseline patient characteristics

The study included 207 eyes of 168 patients (116 men and 52 women), with a mean age of 58 years (range: 16–94 years; SD 13). Mean preoperative IOP was 14.07 mmHg

(range: 8-20 mmHg; SD 2.5). Phakic eyes constituted 159 eyes (77%) of the study population. The indications for high-dose IVTA were diabetic macular edema (DME) which was detected in 132 eyes (64%), macular edema secondary to retinal vein occlusion (RVO) which was detected in 39 eyes (19%), optic nerve disease which was detected in 14 eyes (7%). This latter group included anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (AION), papillitis, and diabetic papillopathy, which were detected in eight, three, and three eyes, respectively. Other indications were uveitis, which was detected in nine eyes (4.3%), macular edema secondary to vitreomacular traction (VMT), which was detected in six eyes (3%), Irvine-Gass syndrome which was detected in five eyes (2.4%), and interferon-related retinopathy, which was detected in two eyes (1%) (Table 1). The mean follow-up period post-injec-

Table I Baseline patient characteristics

Baseline characteristics	N (%)					
Male	116 (69)					
Female	52 (31)					
Age, years						
<40	19 (11.3)					
40–50	24 (14.3)					
51–60	59 (35)					
61–70	80 (48)					
>70	25 (15)					
Baseline IOP, mmHg	·					
<10	I (0.5)					
10–14	130 (63)					
15–18	68 (33)					
>18–20	8 (4)					
Baseline lens status	·					
Phakic	159 (77)					
Pseudophakic	47 (23)					
Aphakic	I (0.5)					
Pathology						
DME	132 (64)					
RVO	39 (19)					
OND	14 (7)					
Uveitis	9 (4.3)					
VMT-related ME	6 (3)					
IGS	5 (2.4)					
IRR	2(1)					
Number of injections						
I	161 (78)					
2–4	44 (21)					
>4	2 (1)					

Abbreviations: DME, diabetic macular edema; IGS, Irvine-Gass syndrome; IOP, intraocular pressure; IRR, Interferon-related retinopathy; ME, macular edema secondary to vitreomacular traction; OND, optic nerve disease; RVO, retinal vein occlusion: VMT, vitreomacular traction.

tion was 22 months (range: 6-60 months; SD 16.4). Mean number of injections was 1.3 (range: 1-6; SD 0.6).

Postoperative complications

In the postoperative period, one patient (0.5%) developed retinal detachment and another patient developed endophthalmitis. The main postoperative complication in the remaining 205 eyes was cataract formation or progression of pre-existing cataract, which was detected in 86 (54%) out of 159 phakic eyes included in the study, and that eventually needed cataract surgery. The second main complication was increased IOP post-injection, which was detected in 90 eyes (44%). The IOP returned to normal baseline value in 52 eyes using single topical antiglaucoma medication, which was discontinued after 1-2 weeks. The remaining 38 eyes (18.5%) developed persistent rise of IOP and needed to continue on antiglaucoma drop(s) to normalize the IOP. Five patients (2.4%) developed unresponsive glaucoma to maximum antiglaucoma treatment. One of these patients (0.5%) had neovascular glaucoma (NVG) and two patients (1%) had panuveitis with posterior synechiae and peripheral anterior synechiae (PAS) formation. Four patients had filtering surgery, and one patient needed cyclophotocoagulation (Tables 2 and 3).

Correlation between high-dose IVTA injections and postoperative complications

Effect of high-dose IVTA on cataract formation

Increased frequency of high-dose IVTA injection was associated with increased risk for cataract formation. The risk increased steadily up to four injections (P=0.003), afterward, the risk leveled up for more than four injections (Table 4).

Effect of high-dose IVTA on glaucoma development and initiation of antiglaucoma treatment

Statistical analysis revealed that high-dose IVTA injection was directly proportional to increased incidence of glaucoma

Table 2 Postoperative complications

Complications	N (%)				
Cataract	86 (54)				
Transient IOP rise	52 (25.3)				
Glaucoma	38 (18.5)				
Using I drop	16 (8)				
Using 2 drops	8 (4)				
Using 3 drops	9 (4.3)				
Glaucoma surgery	5 (2.4)				
Retinal detachment	I (0.5)				
Endophthalmitis	I (0.5)				

Abbreviation: IOP, intraocular pressure.

Table 3 Stratification of patients with increased IOP following high-dose IVTA injection

IOP status post-high-dose IVTA	N (%)						
IOP rise	90 (44)						
Glaucoma	38 (18.5)						
Glaucoma surgery							
Total	5 (2.4)						
High-dose IVTA related	2 (1)						
Post-NVG	I (0.5)						
Post-panuveitis (PAS and posterior synechiae)	2 (1)						

Abbreviations: IOP, intraocular pressure; IVTA, intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide; NVG, neovascular glaucoma; PAS, peripheral anterior synechiae.

development (P=0.046). Moreover, increased number of IVTA injections was a significant contributing factor in the initiation of topical antiglaucoma agents needed to control the IOP (P=0.02, 0.01, and 0.01) for one, two, and three antiglaucoma agents, respectively, and for the need for glaucoma surgery (P=0.01) (Table 4).

Correlation between the primary pathology and the development of glaucoma, the need for antiglaucoma agents and glaucoma surgery

Statistical analysis revealed high index of association between certain retinal and optic nerve diseases, namely diabetic retinopathy (including DME and diabetic papillopathy), VMT-related macular edema, Irvine–Gass syndrome, AION and retinal vein occlusion, and the development of glaucoma after high-dose IVTA administration (P=0.03). Similarly, a high index of association was noted between these pathologies and increased dependency on antiglaucoma agents (P=0.01, 0.001, and 0.05 for one, two, and three antiglaucoma agents, respectively) and for the need for glaucoma surgery, (P<0.001) (Table 5).

Discussion

The efficacy of anti-VEGF regimens for treating different retinal diseases is overshadowed by great dismay regarding their cost, not to mention disease entities as wet age-related macular degeneration (AMD), DME, and macular edema secondary to retinal vein occlusion. These specific pathologies mandate enrolling the patients in lengthy treatment protocols that include loading dose followed by pro re nata or treat-and-extend (TER) regimens.²⁴⁻²⁹ For instance, according to these regimens, the median number of injections needed in patients with DME was up to 9-11 injections in the first year,³⁰ and 17 injections over 5 years period.³¹ In terms of anti-VEGF treatment cost, a study by Gupta et al²⁶ published in 2010 found that the mean cost of using ranibizumab for neovascular AMD as per the TER regimen per patient at 1 year was USD 16,114.52 and the mean cost per patient between years 1 and 2 was USD 13,971.44 as compared to the mean cost of a patient treated with ranibizumab over 1 year in a MARINA/ANCHOR and PrONTO study protocol, which equals to USD 28,314.16 and USD 15,880.07, respectively. Another study by Mitchell et al²¹ in 2012 reported that the incremental cost of ranibizumab for DME was £4,191 for 0.17 quality-adjusted life-year (QALY), and £ 24,028 incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) for a simulated model of 15-year time span based on 1-year follow-up data from RESTORE trial. A more recent study by Ross et al¹⁹ on the use of anti-VEGF agents in DME found that the ICERs of aflibercept and ranibizumab compared with bevacizumab for 624 participants during 1 year were USD 1,110,000 per QALY and USD 1,730,000 per QALY, respectively. The projected 10-year ICERs using mathematical modeling were USD 349,000 per QALY and USD 603,000 per QALY, respectively. The authors concluded that the treatment costs of aflibercept and ranibizumab would need to decrease by 69% and 80%, respectively, to reach a

Table 4 Relative contribution (%) of number of high-dose IVTA injections to the development of complications

Number of injections	Glaucoma		Using I drop		Using 2 drops		Using 3 drops		Glaucoma surgery		Cataract		
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	IOL
1	78.3%	21.7%	91.9%	8.1%	95.0%	5.0%	95.7%	4.3%	96.9%	3.1%	32.3%	42.2%	25.5%
2	89.5%	10.5%	92.1%	7.9%	100.0%	0.0%	97.4%	2.6%	100.0%	0.0%	71.1%	15.8%	13.2%
3	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%
4	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
6	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Chi-square	13.209 16.890		90	18.161		17.364		19.580		26.828			
P-value	0.0	0.046 0.0211		211	0.0177		0.0199		0.0159		0.003		

Abbreviation: IVTA, intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

Table 5 Relative contribution (%) of the primary pathology to the development of complications

Pathology	Glaucoma		Using I	Using I drop		Using 2 drops		Using 3 drops		Glaucoma surgery	
l	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
DME	86.5%	13.5%	91.7%	8.3%	99.2%	0.8%	97.0%	3.0%	98.5%	1.5%	
RVO	73.7%	26.3%	94.7%	5.3%	89.5%	10.5%	94.7%	5.3%	100.0%	0.0%	
Uveitis	55.6%	44.4%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	77.8%	22.2%	77.8%	22.2%	
AION	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
Papillitis	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	
IRR	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%	
DP	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
IGS	80.0%	20.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
VMT-related ME	83.3%	16.7%	83.3%	16.7%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	
Chi-square	16.994		17	17.229		25.643		14.717		32.220	
P-value	0.030		0	0.019		0.001		0.050		<0.001	

Abbreviations: AION, anterior ischemic optic neuropathy; DME, diabetic macular edema; DP, diabetic papillopathy; IGS, Irvine-Gass syndrome; IOL, intraocular lens; IRR, Interferon-related retinopathy; ME, macular edema secondary to vitreomacular traction; RVO, retinal vein occlusion; VMT, vitreomacular traction.

cost-effectiveness threshold of USD 100,000 per QALY compared with bevacizumab during a 10-year horizon. In comparison with the USA and the UK as representatives of high-income economies, the current study cited Egypt as representative of lower-middle-income economies, in which the nominal gross domestic product (GDP) per capita is ~USD 3,685.^{22,32} In that country, single ranibizumab injection 0.5 mg is available at the government-owned health insurance organization, at a subsidized cost of ~EGP 1,387 (USD 78.6),³³ which means that the cost of treatment when employing the abovementioned regimens could reach approximately EGP 15.257 (USD 864.2) in 1 year and EGP 23,579 (USD 1,336) in 5 years per treated eye, exclusive of physician fees and ancillary tests. Nevertheless, in noninsured private practice, patients are required to pay EGP 4,000 (USD 227) for single ranibizumab injection 0.5 mg; accordingly, the cost of treatment could reach EGP 44,000 (USD 2,493) in one year and EGP 68,000 (USD 3,852) in 5 years per treated eye, exclusive of physician fees and ancillary tests. The fore-mentioned GDP per capita in Egypt makes these figures highly unrealistic for a sizeable portion of the country population and mandates urgent demand for a cheaper alternative with parallel efficacy to anti-VEGF agents and acceptable safety profile.

The efficacy of IVTA in treating various retinal disorders is time-honored and consolidated by compelling evidence from several studies.^{34–37} The therapeutic effect of IVTA lasted for 4–6 months when using 4 mg dose and up to 9 months for high-dose IVTA (20–25 mg). Afterward, more injections were needed to maintain the initial favorable therapeutic effect.^{16,38–40} The current study evaluated the safety of high-dose IVTA (20 mg) in treating macular edema secondary to

retinal vascular diseases and in suppressing inflammation in various retinal and optic nerve disorders. The reason for using high dosage of IVTA in our study was to achieve longevity and potency of the therapeutic effect compared to 4 mg dose. In our study, the mean duration of follow-up was 22 months. During that period, the mean number of injections was 1.3, with 22% of our patients needing more than two injections to maintain the initial favorable therapeutic outcome. The main complication attributed to high-dose IVTA encountered in the current study was cataract formation or progression of pre-existing cataract, which affected 54% of the study population. All eyes with cataract formation had cataract surgery within the following 6 months. Cataractogenesis was directly proportional to increased frequency of highdose IVTA injection (P=0.003). The second most common complication was IOP spikes that affected 44% of the study population. Of this cohort, 18.5% developed established glaucoma and had to rely on topical antiglaucoma treatment through the end of the follow-up period. Five patients (2.4%) required glaucoma surgery due to intractable glaucoma. In three of these five patients, intractable glaucoma was more likely attributed to their disease entity rather than to highdose IVTA. One patient developed NVG due to relentless neovascularization secondary to diabetic retinopathy. The other two patients had panuveitis and developed posterior synechiae and PAS with consequent compromised aqueous outflow. Accordingly, we could argue that the incidence of glaucoma surgery due to intractable glaucoma attributed to high-dose IVTA in the current study was 1%. In the present study, statistical analysis revealed that high-dose IVTA injection was directly proportional to the incidence of glaucoma among the study population (P=0.046). Similarly,

increased number of IVTA injections correlated to increased number of topical antiglaucoma agents or glaucoma surgery needed to control the IOP. In accordance with our results, cataractogenesis and IOP rise were the cardinal complications associated with IVTA according to previous studies. In two reports by the Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research (DRCR) network, 3,41 the use of IVTA 4 mg was associated with 40%-50% incidence of glaucoma, and 51%-59% incidence of cataract. Glaucoma surgery was needed in 1%-1.6% of cases. In the SCORE study, 41% of eyes treated with 4 mg IVTA required IOP-lowering medication during the 12-month study duration and 1.4% of the same cohort required glaucoma surgery between 12 months and 24 months, whereas 35% of eyes treated with 4 mg IVTA developed cataract.⁴² In a study by Gillies et al¹⁶ using IVTA 4 mg for refractory DME, the incidence of significant IOP rise (≥5 mmHg) was 68%, antiglaucoma medication was initiated in 44% of cases, glaucoma surgery was required in 6% of cases, and the incidence of cataract formation was 54%. The follow-up period was 2 years. Five-year extension of the same study reported 79% incidence of significant IOP rise, initiation of glaucoma therapy in 56% of cases, the need for glaucoma surgery in 3% of cases, and 71% incidence of cataract formation.¹⁷ The above data demonstrated that the use of high-dose IVTA in our study did not inflict additional safety hazards in terms of incidence of cataract, significant IOP rise, or the need for glaucoma medication or surgery compared to the 4 mg dose. This notion is further corroborated by studies on high-dose IVTA usage and that reported its safety profile in comparison with lower dose formula.^{23,43–47}

The current study detected statistically significant correlation between the primary pathology and the incidence of significant IOP rise in patients treated with high-dose IVTA, the dependency on antiglaucoma medication, and the need for glaucoma surgery. This suggests that rise of IOP in those patients postinjection was not attributed solely to high-dose IVTA but also to the molecular mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis of these diseases that might have rendered those patients more prone to significant IOP rise over time. Accordingly, these disease categories entail a high-risk patient profile that is more prone to develop significant IOP rise post high-dose IVTA and that warrants close monitoring of the IOP levels and dose spacing determined by IOP levels to guard against IOP spikes and progression to glaucoma. This observation is corroborated by recent studies that established a cause-effect relationship between the presence of DM, RVO, proliferative vitreoretinopathy, and ocular inflammation and the development of glaucoma. 48-52 Limitations of the current study included lack of concurrent comparison

group comprising patients treated with anti-VEGF agents to compare the cost of the drug and the quality of life including ocular safety, treatment outcome, and follow-up dropouts. Another important limitation is the retrospective design of the study that allowed recruitment of patients with widerange of pathologies and with different disease stages of the same pathology, and regardless of whether they were treatment naïve or were previously treated. This could be a source of bias at the time of statistical analysis. An important consideration related to IVTA dose used in the current study was that we employed a filter technique in preparing 20 mg TA for intravitreal injection. Although we concur that non-filter methods of preparing IVTA dose, 53,54 particularly centrifugation yield more accurate dosage by evading possible dilution of TA crystals when using the filter technique, we could argue that centrifugation technique might not be practical in every day practice due to high machinery cost and relatively sophisticated methodology. Moreover, in our study, we have been able to visualize the bolus of IVTA persisting in the vitreous cavity for at least 3 months, with the majority of our patients (88%) maintaining the initial favorable therapeutic outcome with a single injection over ~2 years mean follow-up period, which indicates the high dose delivered.

Conclusion

High-dose IVTA is a safe and cost-effective alternative to anti-VEGF agents. Cataract formation and IOP rise secondary to high-dose IVTA do not pose major adverse effects when weighed against the risk of vision loss due to cost-related noncompliance with anti-VEGF agents. Single or repeated injections of high-dose IVTA do not entail additional risk compared to that of the lower dose formula (4 mg) reported in literature. Patients presenting with primary pathology that poses high-risk for IOP spikes should be identified prior to initiating treatment.

Data sharing statement

The statistical data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article. The data collected from history taking and clinical examination of patients recruited in the current study are confidential. Access to these data is restricted by Magrabi Eye Hospital, Tanta, Egypt, in accordance with the hospital's patients' data protection policy. Data are available for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data through contacting the hospital's medical director, Professor Hammouda Ghoraba.

Contact details: Professor Hammouda Ghoraba, Medical Director, Magrabi Eye Hospital, 107 El-Gaish Street, Tanta Qism 2, Tanta, Gharbia Governorate, Egypt. Email: hghoraba@email.com.

Acknowledgment

The study was conducted at Magrabi Eye hospital, Tanta, Egypt.

Disclosure

The manuscript discusses the use of high-dose IVTA as alternative to anti-VEGF agents. Currently, high-dose IVTA is used as an off-label ocular therapeutic agent that is not approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

- Virgili G, Parravano M, Evans JR, Gordon I, Lucenteforte E. Antivascular endothelial growth factor for diabetic macular oedema: a network meta-analysis. Cochrane Database Syst R. 2017;6:CD007419.
- Diabetic Retinopathy Guidelines Group. Diabetic Retinopathy Guidelines. London: The Royal College of Ophthalmologists; 2012: 1–147
- Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network, Elman MJ, Aiello LP, et al. Randomized trial evaluating ranibizumab plus prompt or deferred laser or triamcinolone plus prompt laser for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2010;117(6):1064.e35–1077.e35.
- Michaelides M, Kaines A, Hamilton RD, et al. A prospective randomized trial of intravitreal bevacizumab or laser therapy in the management of diabetic macular edema (BOLT study) 12-month data: report 2. Ophthalmology. 2010;117(6):1078.e2–1086.e2.
- Massin P, Bandello F, Garweg JG, et al. Safety and efficacy of ranibizumab in diabetic macular edema (RESOLVE Study): a 12-month, randomized, controlled, double-masked, multicenter phase II study. *Diabetes Care*. 2010;33(11):2399–2405.
- Nguyen QD, Shah SM, Khwaja AA, et al; READ-2 Study Group. Twoyear outcomes of the ranibizumab for edema of the mAcula in diabetes (READ-2) study. Ophthalmology. 2010;117(11):2146–2151.
- Schmidt-Erfurth U, Lang GE, Holz FG, et al; RESTORE Extension Study Group. Three-year outcomes of individualized ranibizumab treatment in patients with diabetic macular edema: the RESTORE extension study. *Ophthalmology*. 2014;121(5):1045–1053.
- The RISE and RIDE research group. Long-term outcomes of ranibizumab therapy for diabetic macular edema: The 36-month results from two phase III trials: RISE and RIDE. *Ophthalmology*. 2013: 2013–2022.
- Wells JA, Glassman AR, Ayala AR, et al; Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. Aflibercept, bevacizumab, or ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema: Two-year results from a comparative effectiveness randomized clinical trial. *Ophthalmology*. 2016;123(6): 1351–1359.
- Do DV, Schmidt-Erfurth U, Gonzalez VH, et al. The DA VINCI Study: phase 2 primary results of VEGF Trap-Eye in patients with diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2011;118(9):1819–1826.
- Do DV, Nguyen QD, Boyer D, et al; da Vinci Study Group. One-year outcomes of the da Vinci Study of VEGF Trap-Eye in eyes with diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2012;119(8):1658–1665.
- Brown DM, Schmidt-Erfurth U, Do DV, et al. Intravitreal affibercept for diabetic macular edema: 100-week results from the VISTA and VIVID studies. *Ophthalmology*. 2015;122(10):2044–2052.
- Haller JA, Bandello F, Belfort R, et al; Ozurdex Geneva Study Group. Randomized, sham-controlled trial of dexamethasone intravitreal implant in patients with macular edema due to retinal vein occlusion. *Ophthalmology*. 2010;117(6):1134.e3–1146.e3.

 Callanan DG, Gupta S, Boyer DS, et al; Ozurdex PLACID Study Group. Dexamethasone intravitreal implant in combination with laser photocoagulation for the treatment of diffuse diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2013;120(9):1843–1851.

- Campochiaro PA, Brown DM, Pearson A, et al; FAME Study Group. Long-term benefit of sustained-delivery fluocinolone acetonide vitreous inserts for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2011; 118(4):626.e2–635.e2.
- Gillies MC, Sutter FK, Simpson JM, Larsson J, Ali H, Zhu M. Intravitreal triamcinolone for refractory diabetic macular edema: two-year results of a double-masked, placebo-controlled, randomized clinical trial. *Ophthalmology*. 2006;113(9):1533–1538.
- Gillies MC, Simpson JM, Gaston C, et al. Five-year results of a randomized trial with open-label extension of triamcinolone acetonide for refractory diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2009;116(11):2182–2187.
- Yilmaz T, Weaver CD, Gallagher MJ, et al. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide injection for treatment of refractory diabetic macular edema: a systematic review. *Ophthalmology*. 2009;116(5):902–911.
- Ross EL, Hutton DW, Stein JD, et al; Diabetic Retinopathy, Clinical Research Network. Cost-effectiveness of Aflibercept, Bevacizumab, and Ranibizumab for Diabetic Macular Edema Treatment: Analysis From the Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network Comparative Effectiveness Trial. *JAMA Ophthalmol*. 2016;134(8):888–896.
- Régnier SA, Malcolm W, Haig J, Xue W. Cost-effectiveness of ranibizumab versus aflibercept in the treatment of visual impairment due to diabetic macular edema: a UK healthcare perspective. *Clinicoecon Outcomes Res.* 2015;7:235–247.
- Mitchell P, Annemans L, Gallagher M, et al. Cost-effectiveness of ranibizumab in treatment of diabetic macular oedema (DME) causing visual impairment: evidence from the RESTORE trial. *Br.J Ophthalmol*. 2012;96(5):688–693.
- World Bank Country and Lending Groups World Bank Data Help Desk. https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/ articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups. Accessed November 8, 2018.
- Jonas JB, Hayler JK, Panda-Jonas S. Intravitreal injection of crystalline cortisone as adjunctive treatment of proliferative vitreoretinopathy. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2000;84(9):1064–1067.
- Fung AE, Lalwani GA, Rosenfeld PJ, et al. An optical coherence tomography-guided, variable dosing regimen with intravitreal ranibizumab (Lucentis) for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2007;143(4):566–583.
- Spaide R. Ranibizumab according to need: a treatment for age-related macular degeneration. Am J Ophthalmol. 2007;143(4):679–680.
- Gupta OP, Shienbaum G, Patel AH, Fecarotta C, Kaiser RS, Regillo CD.
 A treat and extend regimen using ranibizumab for neovascular agerelated macular degeneration clinical and economic impact. *Ophthalmology*. 2010;117(11):2134–2140.
- Wecker T, Ehlken C, Bühler A, et al. Five-year visual acuity outcomes and injection patterns in patients with pro-re-nata treatments for AMD, DME, RVO and myopic CNV. Br J Ophthalmol. 2017;101(3):353–359.
- Payne JF, Wykoff CC, Clark WL, et al; TREX-DME Study Group.
 Randomized Trial of Treat and Extend Ranibizumab with and without
 Navigated Laser for Diabetic Macular Edema: TREX-DME 1 Year
 Outcomes. Ophthalmology. 2017;124(1):74–81.
- Sugimoto M, Ichio A, Nunome T, Kondo M. Two year result of intravitreal bevacizumab for diabetic macular edema using treat and extend protocol. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017;96(16):e6406.
- Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network, Wells JA, Glassman AR, et al. Aflibercept, bevacizumab, or ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(13):1193–1203.
- Elman MJ, Ayala A, Bressler NM, et al; Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. Intravitreal Ranibizumab for diabetic macular edema with prompt versus deferred laser treatment: 5-year randomized trial results. *Ophthalmology*. 2015;122(2):375–381.
- International Monetary Fund 2016. Available from: http://en.wikipedia. org/wiki/List_of_countries_by_GDP_(nominal)_per_capita. Accessed October 25, 2017.

- Central Administration of Pharmaceutical Affairs (CAPA). Egyptian Drug Authority (EDA) – Ministry of Health and Population of Egypt. Available from: http://www.eda.mohp.gov.eg. Accessed October 25, 2017
- Beer PM, Bakri SJ, Singh RJ, Liu W, Peters GB 3rd, Miller M. Intraocular concentration and pharmacokinetics of triamcinolone acetonide after a single intravitreal injection. *Ophthalmology*. 2003;110(4):681–686.
- Machemer R, Sugita G, Tano Y. Treatment of intraocular proliferations with intravitreal steroids. *Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc.* 1979;77:171–180.
- Danis RP, Ciulla TA, Pratt LM, Anliker W. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide in exudative age-related macular degeneration. *Retina*. 2000;20(3):244–250.
- Martidis A, Duker JS, Greenberg PB, et al. Intravitreal triamcinolone for refractory diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2002;109(5):920–927.
- Jonas JB. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide for treatment of intraocular oedematous and neovascular diseases. *Acta Ophthalmol Scand*. 2005;83(6):645–663.
- Kurz PA, Suhler EB, Flaxel CJ. Injectable intraocular corticosteroids.
 In: Becker M, Davis J, editors. Surgical Management of Inflammatory Eye Disease. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2008:1–16.
- Peyman GA, Moshfeghi DM. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide. Retina. 2004;24(3):488–490.
- Diabetic Retinopathy Clinical Research Network. A randomized trial comparing intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide and focal/grid photocoagulation for diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology*. 2008; 115(9):1447–1459.
- 42. Scott IU, Ip MS, Vanveldhuisen PC, et al; SCORE Study Research Group. A randomized trial comparing the efficacy and safety of intravitreal triamcinolone with standard care to treat vision loss associated with macular Edema secondary to branch retinal vein occlusion: the Standard Care vs Corticosteroid for Retinal Vein Occlusion (SCORE) study report 6. Arch Ophthalmol. 2009;127(9):1115–1128.
- Jonas JB, Kreissig I, Söfker A, Degenring RF. Intravitreal injection of triamcinolone for diffuse diabetic macular edema. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2003;121(1):57–61.

- Jonas JB, Kreissig I, Degenring RF. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide as treatment of macular edema in central retinal vein occlusion. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2002;240(9):782–783.
- Jonas JB, Kreissig I, Hugger P, Sauder G, Panda-Jonas S, Degenring R. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide for exudative age related macular degeneration. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2003;87(4):462–468.
- Jonas JB, Degenring RF, Kreissig I, Friedemann T, Akkoyun I. Exudative age-related macular degeneration treated by intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide. A prospective comparative nonrandomized study. *Eye* (Lond). 2005;19(2):163–170.
- Spandau UH, Derse M, Schmitz-Valckenberg P, Papoulis C, Jonas JB.
 Dosage dependency of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide as treatment for diabetic macular oedema. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2005;89(8): 999–1003.
- European glaucoma society. Terminology and guidelines for glaucoma, 4th edition – chapter 2: Classification and terminology Supported by the EGS Foundation: Part 1: Foreword; Introduction; Glossary; Chapter 2 Classification and Terminology. Br J Ophthalmol. 2017; 101(5):73–127.
- Li F, Wen X, Zhang H, Fan X. Novel insights into the role of long noncoding RNA in ocular diseases. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2016;17(4):478.
- Zhao YX, Chen XW. Diabetes and risk of glaucoma: systematic review and a Meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Int J Ophthalmol*. 2017;10(9):1430–1435.
- Cham A, Bansal M, Banda HK, et al. Secondary glaucoma in CAPN5associated neovascular inflammatory vitreoretinopathy. *Clin Ophthal*mol. 2016;10:1187–1197.
- Park HYL, Jeon S, Lee MY, Park CK. Glaucoma progression in the unaffected fellow eye of glaucoma patients who developed unilateral branch retinal vein occlusion. Am J Ophthalmol. 2017;175: 194–200
- García-Arumí J, Boixadera A, Giralt J, et al. Comparison of different techniques for purification of triamcinolone acetonide suspension for intravitreal use. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2005;89(9):1112–1114.
- Rodriguez-Coleman H, Yuan P, Kim H, et al. Intravitreal injection of triamcinolone for diffuse macular edema. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2004; 122(7):1085–1086.

Clinical Ophthalmology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Ophthalmology is an international, peer-reviewed journal covering all subspecialties within ophthalmology. Key topics include: Optometry; Visual science; Pharmacology and drug therapy in eye diseases; Basic Sciences; Primary and Secondary eye care; Patient Safety and Quality of Care Improvements. This journal is indexed on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/clinical-ophthalmology-journal

Dovepress

PubMed Central and CAS, and is the official journal of The Society of Clinical Ophthalmology (SCO). The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.