

Review



Promising Recent Strategies with Potential Clinical Translational Value to Combat Antibacterial Resistant Surge

Partha Karmakar^{1,*} and Vishwanath Gaitonde^{2,*}

- ¹ Department of Radiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO 63110, USA
- ² Cambrex High Point, Inc., High Point, NC 27265, USA
- * Correspondence: Partha.Karmakar@rockets.utoledo.edu (P.K.); Vishwanath.Gaitonde@cambrex.com (V.G.); Tel.: +1-419-508-6915 (P.K.); +1-336-821-5369 (V.G.)

Received: 6 December 2018; Accepted: 26 January 2019; Published: 31 January 2019



Abstract: Multiple drug resistance (MDR) for the treatment of bacterial infection has been a significant challenge since the beginning of the 21st century. Many of the small molecule-based antibiotic treatments have failed on numerous occasions due to a surge in MDR, which has claimed millions of lives worldwide. Small particles (SPs) consisting of metal, polymer or carbon nanoparticles (NPs) of different sizes, shapes and forms have shown considerable antibacterial effect over the past two decades. Unlike the classical small-molecule antibiotics, the small particles are less exposed so far to the bacteria to trigger a resistance mechanism, and hence have higher chances of fighting the challenge of the MDR process. Until recently, there has been limited progress of clinical treatments using NPs, despite ample reports of in vitro antibacterial efficacy. In this review, we discuss some recent and unconventional strategies that have explored the antibacterial efficacy of these small particles, alone and in combination with classical small molecules in vivo, and demonstrate possibilities that are favorable for clinical translations in near future.

Keywords: MDR surge for bacteria; antibiotic nanoparticles; targeted drug delivery; in vivo efficacy; clinical translation

1. Introduction

Sir Alexander Fleming famously quoted "Nature makes penicillin, I just found it" on his discovery of penicillin in 1929 [1]. Since their inception in the early 20th century, antibiotics have revolutionized the healthcare system. The advent and use of antibiotics has contributed immensely in the fight against pathogenic bacterial infection, saving millions of lives, and as such has transformed the human condition. In short, antibiotics were treated as a "Wonder Drug". Tragically, this is no longer the case, and in the current global plight, the surge of antibiacterial resistance has forced us into the post-antibiotic era [2,3]. The latest WHO Global Antimicrobial Surveillance System (GLASS) reports antibiotic resistance cases in half a million individuals across 22 countries [4].

Antibiotics are broadly classified as either bactericidal or bacteriostatic, depending on their ability to either kill or arrest growth of the bacteria. The majority of antibiotic classes target the disruption of bacterial cell wall synthesis via a complex mechanism that ultimately leads to cell death. The process may also inhibit the synthesis of protein, DNA and/or RNA, and usually exerts its effects on multiple targets [5–7]. Alternatively, the antibiotics may inhibit the protein synthesis at the ribosomal sites through their passage into the bacterial cell wall [8]. Targeting the ribosomal complex results in abnormal protein synthesis, generating lethal effects [9,10]. Antibiotics can also exert their action through interference of metabolic reactions.

The occurrence of antibiotic resistance was registered prior to its introduction in the treatment of the bacterial infection [11]. Major classes of novel broad- and narrow-spectrum antibiotics were discovered between 1940 and 1960 in what came to be known as antibiotics, termed the "Golden era" (Figure 1) of antibiotic discovery. Treatments that were discovered in that timeframe, including Aminoglycoside, β -Lactam, Glycopeptides, Lipopeptides, Macrolides, Oxazolidones, Quinolones, Ansamycin, Streptogramins, Sulfonamides, and Tetracycline, have developed multidrug resistance (MDR) against bacterial pathogens. The MDR event eventually gave rise to bacterial strains that are now described as superbugs [12]. During the MDR snag, the superbugs thrived primarily due to their advanced ability to undermine antibiotic efficacy through genetic resistance and mutation [13–15].



Figure 1. Schematic representation of the brief history of antibiotic treatments leading up to the surge in antibiotic resistance.

Insights into the mechanism of antibiotic resistance suggest that the reason lies in the genetic, biochemical and physiological integrity of the pathogenic bacteria [16]. Genetic resistance is developed by the acquisition of DNA through gene transfer via either pilus-mediated fusion or through the process of transduction. Resistance induced by antibiotic alteration is observed where genetic mutation leads to the production of antibiotic deactivating enzymes. Additionally, genetic mutations may induce active-site receptor tampering targeted by the antibiotic treatment [17]. In gram-negative bacteria, the predominant form of resistance occurs through the efflux pump mechanism, which leads to limited bioavailability of the antibiotic. This mode of resistance results in poor antibiotic efficacy [18].

Even more alarming is the antibiotic resistance towards gram-negative bacteria. Urinary tract infection (UTI), spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP) and bloodstream infection (BSI) are some of the most common and widespread health-related issues globally [19–23]. The challenge faced by researchers is gaining access the gram-negative bacterial cell, bypassing the hurdles via efflux pump and passage through the formidable double membrane permeability barrier. The framework of gram-negative bacteria, consisting of the outer membrane (OM), cytoplasmic membrane (CM), and a range of efflux pumps operating synergistically, reinforces its capability to debilitate antibiotic efficacy. A result of this synchronization is that the antibiotics implemented in the treatment of gram-negative bacterial infection either fail to cross over the OM (e.g., Polymyxin); CM (e.g., β -Lactams) or expelled by the efflux pump, leaving only a diminutive concentration of the antibiotic to which the bacterial

machinery develops resistance, leading to MDR [24]. The aforementioned barriers to gram-negative treatments make designing antibiotics that act by evading the OM, CM and the efflux pump far more labyrinthine. As a result, the class of antibiotics that function against gram-negative bacteria have been limited.

In the prevailing situation, bacteria have developed resistance to practically all classes of antibiotics. The antibiotic resistance emergency has consequences in the form of factors such as:

(i) The current state of the pharmaceutical industry with respect to non-lucrative investments that ultimately leads to withdrawal or hesitance to enter the field [25,26]. The scale back is partially because economic returns associated with antibiotics are not perceived to be in the same financial tier as some other chronic disease conditions [27]. The landscape of antibacterial drug candidates has dramatically changed from novel and/or rational design to more of a "me too" style of candidate selection. This is particularly worrisome, as it is recognized that resistance to an antibiotic triggers resistance to antibiotics embodying that specific class.

(ii) Unregulated dosing of antibiotics in humans, animals and agricultural activities aggravates the resistance, as it is reciprocal with intake [28,29]. This constitutes a sizable impact via the food-chain system, and also through animal discharge, which permeates into the environment and propagates antibiotic resistance [30,31].

The above factors incorporate the lack of innovation, and have strengthened the upwards trend in resistance; under these circumstances, it would be appropriate to anticipate that antibiotic resistance is inevitable for the foreseeable future.

Brown, D. E. and Wright, G. D. mentioned that the narrow and outdated selection criteria for antibiotics are a major reason for the lack of innovative therapeutic strategies against MDR [2]. Hence, development of novel antibiotics alone cannot meet the present-day need, and invention of multiple new therapeutic strategies with broader and unbiased application is required to obtain effective therapeutic outcomes against the MDR. Ever since the new era of nanoparticles (NPs) began, their antibacterial applications have been reported. The potential for use in new therapeutic applications has been slow to gain momentum. A primary cause for this is the toxic effect of multiple 1st-generation metal nanoparticles against the eukaryotic cells. The hurdle to clinical translation is a well-known challenge [32–36]. Secondly, the clustering of the nanoparticles either in vitro or in vivo along with high liver opsonization has been a problem for clinical transformation [37–39]. Fortunately, towards the end of the present decade, nano-platforms are being explored in multiple ways to reach novel strategies to overcome the MDR [40]. In the present review, we discuss some of these strategies that have potential for clinical translation.

It has been shown that varied sizes and shapes of the NPs play an important role in their antibacterial efficacy and mechanism of action [41–46]. In many of the strategies, typical NPs are transformed into films and other multidimensional complex structure to obtain the working platform in order to address the clinical translation challenges. We coin the term "small particles" (SPs) to describe all these different NPs and their respective application forms here in this review in contrast to the term "small molecules" (SMs), which is widely used for the classical antibiotic drugs.

2. Mechanism of Action of the SPs

The mechanism of action of different SPs against bacteria have still not been well understood, and more research is needed in this field [47–49]. Some of the widely accepted theories of mechanism involve generation of toxic Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) initiated by the discharged metal ions from the metal NPs or the SPs [50]. The ROS can inhibit the bacterial growth by bacterial DNA damage via DNA bond dissociation and protein damage via disulfide bond dissociation at the active cite [51–55] (Figure 2). Perturbation of bacterial metabolic pathway, membrane surface charge disorder, mitochondrial disruption and internal pH modifications have also been reported as effective mechanisms of action of different SPs [40,50]. NADH: quinone oxidoreductase (NQR) electron transport (ET) channel is an important respiratory chain that maintains redox-driven trans-membrane

Na⁺ potential in bacterial cell environments [56,57]. Positively charged silver (Ag) NPs that are able to release Ag⁺ ion can inhibit bacterial function by disrupting the NADH: NQR ET channel [58,59]. The polar attraction between the negatively charged bacterial cell walls and the positively charged SP surface, receptor-ligand binding, van der Waals interactions and several hydrophobic interactions between the bacterial cell wall and various different kinds of SPs leading to bacterial surface disruption are also attributed as the cause of antibacterial effects of these SPs [60,61].



Figure 2. Mechanisms of action of different antibacterial nanocomposite platform (SPs). The various possible paths of bacterial cell killing by the SPs involve: (i) association of the SPs with the bacterial cell membrane via electrostatic or van der Waals interactions, leading to membrane damage; (ii) generation of ROS, leading to bacterial protein, membrane and DNA damage; and (iii) some metal-based SPs can release metal ions that block NADH: NQR electron transport chain [40,56].

In this context, it is worth mentioning that the association mechanisms of different SPs to gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria are different in many cases. As a result, SPs can be more effective toward a particular class of bacteria [62].

There are two main areas of antibacterial research using SPs. One is as a tool for efficient drug-delivery platform for the "small molecules" antibiotics. The other is the use of the SPs themselves as potential antibacterial agents.

3. Small Particles as Delivery Vehicles for Small Molecule Antibiotics

At the initial level of antibiotic resistance, the conventional means to overcome the ineffectiveness was either to administer high dosages of the antibiotics [63,64], or to use combination antibiotic administration [65,66]. Unfortunately, these strategies resulted in high off-target toxicity and more drug resistance in the long run [67]. Nanoparticles have been in use for efficient drug delivery for a while, and some nanoparticle formulations are in clinical use or in various stages of clinical trials [24,68–73]. The high success rate of NPs as drug carriers is due to three main reasons: controllable size; selectivity, with less off-target toxicity; and drug-release controllability. These advantages have long been recognized for antibacterial drug delivery as well [74]. Until recently, there have been very few examples, but the present decade shows a robust strategy that can utilize all the benefits of the NP-delivery system for effective antibiotic delivery in vivo with potential for clinical translation. For example, gold nanoparticles have been successfully coated with ampicillin, kanamycin and streptomycin, and showed improved cytotoxicity [50,75,76]. Unfortunately, these studies are limited to in vitro efficacy comparison of the nano-composites SPs, and does not provide any further insight into

future translations. Recent NP-based drug delivery strategies have shown promise for future clinical translation and are discussed in the sections below.

3.1. Bio-Mimetic and Bio-Compatible SPs for Targeted Antibacterial Drug Delivery Strategies

Bio-mimetic small molecules and SPs always have a high propensity for target accuracy, as well as faster clinical translation [77-80]. A natural cell membrane coating on synthetic NPs augments their therapeutic effect by triggering natural cell functions [81–84]. *H. pylori* is one of the major causes for peptic ulcer, gastritis and gastric cancer, affecting a large population worldwide [85–88]. H. *pylori* is known to bind strongly to the gastric epithelial cells through multiple mechanisms [89–94]. Angsantikul et al. recently adopted the cell membrane coating strategy to develop a Clarithromycin (CLR)-loaded gastric epithelial AGS cell-coated poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) polymeric cross-linked nanocomposite small particle to target H. pylori. This approach leverages its strong affinity to AGS cells [95]. A superior antibacterial effect was demonstrated both in vitro and in mice models, along with specific binding of the nanocomposite SPs to the *H. pylori* bacteria [95]. Moreover, the oral delivery method optimized for the CLR loaded AGS-NP composite makes the administration more relevant for clinical translation. Mutation has already provided a bacterial strain resistant to CLR, and other antibiotics, including metronidazole, amoxicillin and levofloxacin, have also been ineffective recently [96–98]. Therefore, development of a new strategy is essential at this point to treat this infection. The strategy described by Angsantikul et al. has high potential to delay the antibacterial resistance to the recently used drugs against H. pylori, at least until a more robust method is developed.

Due to the surge of antibacterial resistance, there have been only limited options for the treatment of the superbug Methicillin-resistant S. *aureus* (MRSA) [99]. A horizontal transfer of the *van* gene cluster from *Enterococcus faecalis* (VRE) to MRSA introduces a high level of resistance to *S. aureus* [100–102], resulting in a 1000-fold reduction in vancomycin binding affinity to the bacterial surface ligand [103,104].

SPs containing magnetic nanoparticles have been explored as effective targeted antibiotic carriers, as well as bacterial detection and purification tools, since they can be maneuvered to bind to the bacterial surface [105–107]. Recently, commercially available high paramagnetic nanoparticles have been coated with human serum albumin (HSA) and the surface of the coated NPs were functionalized with vancomycin [108]. The nanocomposite reduced the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values to 13–28 µg/mL compared to 250–4000 µg/mL of the free drug [108]. The increased binding affinity of the nanocomposite resulted bacterial membrane damage in two hours compared to the free drug, which was completely ineffective after 10 hours [108]. Though the present work only investigated the in vitro efficacy, the nanocomposite has high translation potential. The nanocomposite contains clinically compatible HSA coating on the surface of the magnetic nanoparticle. HSA is widely used in clinic; HSA-formulated highly toxic paclitaxel (Abraxane) is an FDA-approved chemotherapeutic drug [109]. Vancomycin was conjugated with the HSA through PEG linkers via click conjugation, which can enable the nanocomposite to be chemically stable for a longer period [108]. The HSA layer and the PEG linker can be helpful in enhancing the blood circulation time of the nanocomposite SPs in vivo [110–112]. It is worth mentioning here that biocompatible iron oxide-based SPs, which are well known for exerting magnetic properties, have recently been investigated widely as effective antibiotic carriers in in vitro systems and showed potential for further in vivo studies [113–116].

3.2. Bio-Mimetic and Bio-Compatible SPs for Immune System-Targeted Antibacterial Drug Delivery Strategies

At the time of bacterial infection, phagocytic cells, specifically macrophages, perform killing of infected cells in the body [117–119]. Unfortunately, in many cases, some bacteria survive inside the macrophages, thus evading the immune system and leading to recurrence when a suitable environment is found [120,121]. Hence, targeting macrophages to kill residual bacteria is a strategy that has been duly investigated [122–124]. The efficiency of macrophages as target-specific drug carriers has recently been explored, and they represent the potential for a wide variety antibacterial treatment strategies [125–127].

microbial molecular patterns, largely via the toll like receptors (TLRs) binding mechanism [129].
Drug-loaded liposomes for targeted delivery to the macrophages [130] and liposomal vaccine delivery augmenting TLR binding have been well explored for development of antibacterial and anti-cancer vaccines [131–133]. Rukavina et al. recently demonstrated the efficacy of azithromycin-loaded liposomes to treat topical MRSA infections [134]. The phospholipid bilayer with charge and zeta-potential tenability of liposomes is advantageous for bacterial membrane association. Moreover, some of the liposomal drug formulations are FDA approved and are in clinical use [135]. Therefore, this strategy has high potential for clinical translation.

Macrophages have high mannose receptor expressions [127,136]. Xiong et al. recently utilized these advantages to develop a mannosyl ligand-conjugated PEG and polyphosphoester cross-linked nanogel for antibacterial drug delivery [137]. The nanogel forms a hydrophilic core that can efficiently encapsulate hydrophilic small molecule drugs [137,138]. Vancomycin resistance has recently become a serious concern in multiple bacterial treatments [102,139,140]. Xiong et al. demonstrated the efficacy of their therapeutic strategy by loading vancomycin in the nanogel as the model antibiotic [137] against the resistant MRSA. Once administered, the engineered mannosyl arm of vancomycin-loaded nanogel SP composite binds to the mannose receptors of the macrophages and results in receptor-mediated cell uptake. The nanogel-containing macrophages then reach the bacterial infection site and phagocytose the bacteria. The polyphosphoester core of the nanogel SP is then digested via phospholipase and phosphatase, which are produced in high concentrations due to bacterial metabolism and cell signaling pathways [137,138,141–146]. Thus, the nanogel SP releases a high dose of vancomycin for a superior inhibition of bacteria compared to free vancomycin. The in vivo efficacy of the nanogel SP was successfully demonstrated in MRSA-infected zebrafish embryo models. The nanogel SP treatment achieved 89% survival of the embryo compared to the 69% survival of the free vancomycin [137]. Though the strategy is demonstrated as a proof of concept with vancomycin as model, it is a broad platform for multiple drug delivery to the macrophages.

3.3. Small Particle Composites with Externally Triggerable Drug Release Mechanisms

Controlled drug release at the infected area in vivo is always desirable for most effective therapeutic response with minimal non-specific toxicity. To overcome the hurdles of antibacterial resistance, a combination of multiple strategies has been suggested by Brown D. et al. [2]. Hydrogels have recently been shown to be a highly effective scaffold for small molecule antibacterial drug delivery platforms due to their long focal area retention time and low off-target diffusion [147–151]. Glycol chitosan (GC) hydrogels are water-containing hydrophilic three-dimensional polymer networks that have extensive applications, including cell culture and tissue engineering [152–154]. Polydopamine (PDA) NPs have been popular due to the synthetic leverage of producing them in controllable size and multiple biomedical applications [155–158]. Near-infrared (NIR) light has been widely used due to its deep tissue penetrability and accurate non-invasive manipulations and control [159–161]. Interestingly, PDA NPs can be loaded with various small molecule drugs that can be released on demand by NIR irradiation [162–164]. Gao et al. recently combined all these strategies to develop an injectable polydopamine (PDA)-ciprofloxacin (Cip)- glycol chitosan (GC) hydrogel small particle composite as a specific drug release platform under NIR light activation [165]. The PDA-Cip-GC hydrogel small particle composite (Gel-Cip) was able to inactivate ~99% S. aureus when irradiated with 808 nm NIR laser [165]. The combination strategy was also able to heal the S. aureus-mediated wound up to 93.6% within 4 days in a mouse model, while the other controls could achieve only 13.6%–52.7% healing efficacy [165]. The wound healing in the combination treatment was evident with uniform tissue arrangement, blood vessel and hair follicle formation, thickened epidermis and well-proliferated fibroblast formation [165]. NIR spectroscopy has been widely explored for clinical

application [166]. PDA and hydrogels are well known bio-compatible materials, and they have the advantage of bio-degradability within a reasonable time. Thus, this strategy demonstrates high potential for fast clinical translation.

3.4. Bio-Mimetic and Bio-Compatible SPs for Immune System-Targeted Antibacterial Drug Delivery Strategies with Externally Triggerable Drug Release Mechanisms

As developing a more robust design is desirable to combat the antibacterial resistance surge, a combination of all of the strategies discussed above appears viable to deliver a highly effective treatment program. To demonstrate this idea, Wang et al. recently developed novel NIR activatable pretreated macrophage-membrane-coated gold-silver nanocage (GSNC) vehicles for small molecule antibiotic delivery [167]. Prior to coating on the nanocage, the macrophages were pre-treated with bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* as model gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria in order to enhance the TLR2 and TLR4 expression on the macrophage membrane surface, which is responsible for gram-positive and gram-negative microbial recognition, respectively [129,167–169]. The GSNCs contain cavities that can be loaded with small molecule antibiotics. The antibiotics can be released "on demand" by NIR irradiation [170,171]. Along with the high targeting ability and triggerable drug release advantage, the significant in vitro and in vivo efficacy of the platform [167] enhances the chances of this strategy being a potential treatment plan in the clinics of the near future.

4. Small Particles as Antibiotics

The antimicrobial activities of various different types of NPs, especially metal NPs, have been extensively reported over the last two decades. Unfortunately, most of the reports are limited to the chemical characterizations of the core NPs or their final SP form, and corresponding in vitro efficacy studies. Though many of those findings were highly promising for clinical translation, only a few of them have been further investigated in order to move forward with in vivo applications [47]. One possible reason could be that these particles do not follow the guidelines of classical medicinal chemistry that have governed the antibiotic research methodology until now. Considering the timelines of the reports of applications of the SPs in in vivo models, it can be said that the urgency of developing unconventional strategies was felt only at the end of the 1st decade of the twenty-first century, when MDR against the classical small molecules reached an alarming stage [172,173]. Advancements of the antibacterial SPs the clinical translations have been reported in the field of biomedical engineering, and these include, but are not limited to, wound dressings, bone cements, dental materials, and coatings on artificial organs and implant devices [40].

4.1. Small Particle Applications in Wound Healing

Bacterial biofilm formation is a very common phenomenon in any epithelial wound and impedes the normal wound healing process [174]. Any wound healing material, such as a bandage or an ointment, is expected to have an antibacterial effect in order to prevent biofilm formation and anti-inflammatory properties, along with fibroblast and epithelial tissue proliferation supports, to allow smooth healing without chronic infection [175]. The use of conventional antibiotics in wound dressings is facing serious challenges due to MDR, especially because MRSA is closely associated with most wound-related biofilm formation, causing an increase in mortality and morbidity rate [176,177]. Use of unconventional antibiotics, including SPs, has resulted in considerable progress in overcoming the challenge [178,179].

Due to the long history of antibacterial efficacy of AgNPs [47], many Ag-based small particles have recently been translated into clinical applications, and multiple other candidates are currently in the pipeline [178]. Fucidin, Tegaderm, Acticoat, Bactigrass, and PolyMem Silver are some of the FDA-approved Ag small particle-containing wound dressing materials that are commercially available [180]. A Ag/AgCl/Graphene oxide SP composite wound dressing system has recently been developed by Zhou et al. that has successfully healed 2nd-degree burns within 10 days. Zhou's

composite material demonstrated high antibacterial efficacy in mice model [181]. The stable and uniform character of this SP film, along with significant antibacterial effect against *S. aureus* with no eukaryotic cell toxicity, makes this method a potential candidate for clinical translation.

An in situ gelatin-reduced porous AgNP/Chitosan SP film-containing wound dressing has recently been reported to be highly effective in successfully healing an implanted and *S. aureus*-infected wound within 15 days in a rabbit model [182]. Chitosan and gelatin are widely used in the food and clinical industries as antibacterial agents, food preservatives and thickening agents [183,184]. Moreover, chitosan has also been used in synthesis of different SPs that showed considerable in vitro antibacterial efficacy [185–187]. Hence, this strategy provides an advantage for this SP system in terms of rapid clinical translation. Mesoporous silica-based SPs have opened multiple avenues of medical application, especially as DNA and RNA carriers. This particular application is due to its high drug-carrying capacity and low in vivo toxicity [188]. Wang et al. recently developed a mesoporous silica-based SP that demonstrated rapid hemostasis and antibacterial activity in mice model [189].

4.2. Small Particles in Artificial Implants

Small particles have recently shown promise in multiple artificial implantation applications as an antibacterial modality. Titanium dioxide (TiO₂), silver, silicon, calcium and phosphorous SPs have been explored as potent antibacterial coatings on medical implant devices like cardiovascular apparatuses and catheters [190–193]. Copper oxide (CuO) and zinc oxide (ZnO) SPs have been studied for orthodontic treatments [194]. In cases of maxillofacial prostheses, infrared-photoactivatable TiO₂ SPs have been suggested for potential clinical applications due to their efficacy against MRSA [195]. A ZnO-cyclodextrin-cefepime SP prepared by Matrix Assisted Pulse Laser Evaporation (MAPLE) demonstrated good inhibition against bacterial biofilm formation at up to 24 h, with decent biocompatibility tested in mice model, and it has potential for further study to develop new coatings for artificial implants [196]. Polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), commonly known as "bone cement", is used as implant fixation in clinics for various orthopedic surgeries, and antibiotics are customarily mixed with it in order to obtain a slow release of the drugs in order to treat any post-surgery bacterial infection [197–200]. PMMA containing 1% 5–50 nm Ag SPs was reported to be more effective against MRSA EDCC 5246 clinical samples compared to Gentamicin-loaded bone cement [201]. Mesoporous silica particles and caprolactum-silica particles have been demonstrated to be effective for controlled antibiotic release in bone cements [202,203].

4.3. Small Particle–Small Molecule Dual Functional Antibiotics; the Ultimate Weapon

After considering all the examples discussed above, it can be envisioned that SP-SM dual antibiotics may appear significantly more effective against the MDR compared to a single component as effective antibiotic. Lee et al. designed an amoxicillin incorporating nano-diamond as a SP antibacterial combo that can be incorporated in Gutta Percha for root canal treatment [204]. Song Wang and co-workers recently devised an interesting strategy for diabetic wound healing using an antibacterial host-defense immunogenic peptide LL37 [205] engrafted in AuNPs of antibiotic efficacy [206]. Thus, the formed SPs showed highly synergistic antibacterial activity. The SPs were also demonstrated to be able to carry DNA, and both the diabetic wound healing efficacy and DNA transfection ability were successfully validated in mice model, demonstrating a high potential for translation.

Although progress is being made in combining established small molecule antibiotics with antibacterial small particles to achieve a synergistic effect, new small molecule drugs could enhance the possibility of success of this combination strategy by multiple folds. Though much slower than required, some small molecule antibiotics have shown promise against highly resistant bacteria [207]. N,N-dimethylebiguanide-metal complexes have been demonstrated to be an effective new series of metal-based small molecule antibiotics that is worth exploring for in vivo efficacy and toxicological studies [208–210].

The discussion about the MDR surge and the strategies to tackle the challenges cannot be completed without mentioning the present state of tuberculosis (TB). Tuberculosis has been a scourge among bacterial infections, listed as one of the world's top ten causes of death, and the leading cause from a single infectious agent. The World Health Organization (WHO) reported an estimated 1.3 million deaths in 2017 as a result of TB [211]. Tuberculosis remains an unmet challenge in the medical and scientific community. One promising strategy is to target *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* GlgE (Mtb GlgE), a genetically validated tuberculosis target. GlgE (EC, 2.4.99.16) an α -1,4-glucan: phosphate maltosyltransferase is a member of the glycoside hydrolase family GH13_3 subfamily in the CAZy database [212–215], and it has been identified that GlgE (GlgE, Rv1327c) is vital for in vitro growth in Mtb [216]. The importance of GlgE arises from its involvement in the biosynthesis of the α -1,4-glucan 47 synthesis via transferring the donor maltose-1-phosphate (M1P) to the reducing end of the elongating α -1,4-glucan chain with an α -retaining mechanism (Figure 3). In recent years there have been sustained efforts to make a breakthrough in anti-tuberculosis drug development utilizing this key strategic information. Sucheck and co-workers developed a series of considerably effective trehalose and other glyco-conjugate-based Mtb GlgE inhibitors [217-220]. Another important chemical moiety from the classical medicinal chemistry viewpoint are the pyrazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine and its derivatives. This core heterocyclic structure has been a consequential scaffold utilized in the design and development of some of the most promising antimicrobial compounds [221-223]. Along with the progress with small molecules, rapid progress is also underway in small particle developments against Mtb, where antibacterial efficacy is observed with immunotherapy [224].



Figure 3. Biosynthetic pathway of α-1,4-glucan elongation via, TreS, Pep2 and GlgE [217-220].

5. Challenges and Prospects

As discussed, it appears that broader therapeutic strategies are being explored in order to leverage the maximum utility of SPs; however, there remain potential challenges that need to be overcome quickly. One major difference between classical small molecule antibiotic drug discovery and small particle drug discovery is that, unlike the small molecules, the mechanisms of action of small particles are not completely understood. Moreover, the field of SP antibiotic research is young and not well established. To obtain a fast outcome, there is an urgent need to develop a well-structured small particle-based drug discovery unit at an industrial scale that includes established steps of drug discovery research, including computational modeling, mechanistic study, small particle library synthesis, high-throughput screening, etc., along with unconventional combinatorial strategy development for multiple in vivo applications.

6. Conclusions

In the surge of MDR, bacteria develop rapid resistance against almost all kinds of available small molecule antibiotics. New antibiotic and, just as importantly, new therapeutic strategies are required to meet the urgent demand of MDR. Combination therapeutic strategies involving small particles consisting of different forms of nanoparticles with antibacterial efficacy along with existing and new small molecule antibiotics have high potential to overcome this alarming era of MDR. In the present review, we have visited some of the recent innovations that have successfully demonstrated the effectiveness of these small particles, both as efficient cargo for small molecule antibiotic delivery and as effective antibiotics themselves. Contemporary research has shown promise for clinical translation. A well-organized effort and maturation of the field will prompt the industrial resources required to combat the current state of MDR.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge David R Adams, Principal Scientist, Cambrex High Point, Inc. High Point, NC, USA, for his generous help organizing the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Fleming, A. On the antibacterial action of cultures of a penicillium, with special reference to their use in the isolation of b. Influenzae. *Br. J. Exp. Pathol.* **1929**, *10*, 226. [CrossRef]
- 2. Brown, E.D.; Wright, G.D. Antibacterial drug discovery in the resistance era. Nature 2016, 529, 336. [CrossRef]
- 3. World Health Organization. *World Malaria Report 2014;* World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2015.
- 4. World Health Organization. *Global Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (Glass) Report: Early Implementation* 2016–2017; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
- 5. Fischbach, M.A.; Walsh, C.T. Antibiotics for emerging pathogens. *Science* **2009**, *325*, 1089–1093. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Levy, S.B.; Marshall, B. Antibacterial resistance worldwide: Causes, challenges and responses. *Nat. Med.* 2004, 10, S122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Cho, H.; Uehara, T.; Bernhardt, T.G. Beta-lactam antibiotics induce a lethal malfunctioning of the bacterial cell wall synthesis machinery. *Cell* **2014**, *159*, 1300–1311. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Maranan, M.C.; Moreira, B.; Boyle-Vavra, S.; Daum, R.S. Antimicrobial resistance in staphylococci: Epidemiology, molecular mechanisms, and clinical relevance. *Infect. Dis. Clin. N. Am.* **1997**, *11*, 813–849. [CrossRef]
- Wilson, D.N. Ribosome-targeting antibiotics and mechanisms of bacterial resistance. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2014, 12, 35. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Demirci, H.; Murphy, F., IV; Murphy, E.; Gregory, S.T.; Dahlberg, A.E.; Jogl, G. A structural basis for streptomycin-induced misreading of the genetic code. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 1355. [CrossRef]
- 11. Abraham, E.P.; Chain, E. An enzyme from bacteria able to destroy penicillin. *Nature* **1940**, *146*, 837. [CrossRef]
- 12. Infectious Diseases Society of America. The 10×'20 initiative: Pursuing a global commitment to develop 10 new antibacterial drugs by 2020. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, *50*, 1081–1083. [CrossRef]
- 13. Laxminarayan, R.; Brown, G.M. Economics of antibiotic resistance: A theory of optimal use. *J. Environ. Econ. Manag.* 2001, 42, 183–206. [CrossRef]
- 14. Coates, A.; Hu, Y.; Bax, R.; Page, C. The future challenges facing the development of new antimicrobial drugs. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2002**, *1*, 895. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Sköld, O. Antibiotics and Antibiotic Resistance; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2011.
- Davies, J.; Davies, D. Origins and evolution of antibiotic resistance. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 2010, 74, 417–433. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Amyes, S. The rise in bacterial resistance: Is partly because there have been no new classes of antibiotics since the 1960s. *Br. Med. J.* **2000**, *320*, 199. [CrossRef]

- 18. Vadlapatla, R.K.; Vadlapudi, A.D.; Kwatra, D.; Pal, D.; Mitra, A.K. Differential effect of p-gp and mrp2 on cellular translocation of gemifloxacin. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2011**, *420*, 26–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Khan, F.Z.; Nawaz, T.; Mirani, Z.A.; Khan, S.; Raza, Y.; Kazmi, S.U. Study of class 1 integrons in multidrug-resistant uropathogenic escherichia coli isolated from different hospitals in karachi. *Iran. J. Basic Med. Sci.* 2018, 21, 1079.
- 20. Rostkowska, K.A.; Szymanek-Pasternak, A.; Simon, K.A. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis–therapeutic challenges in the era of increasing drug resistance of bacteria. *Clin. Exp. Hepatol.* **2018**, *4*, 224. [CrossRef]
- 21. Cottell, J.L.; Webber, M.A. Experiences in fosfomycin susceptibility testing and resistance mechanism determination in escherichia coli from urinary tract infections in the UK. *J. Med. Microbiol.* **2018**. [CrossRef]
- 22. Ge, J.; Yang, T.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, X.; Zhu, X.; Tang, B.; Wan, X.; Tong, J.; Song, K.; Yao, W. The incidence, risk factors and outcomes of early bloodstream infection in patients with malignant hematologic disease after unrelated cord blood transplantation: A retrospective study. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2018**, *18*, 654. [CrossRef]
- 23. Giske, C.G.; Monnet, D.L.; Cars, O.; Carmeli, Y. Clinical and economic impact of common multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacilli. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2008**, *52*, 813–821. [CrossRef]
- 24. Czaplewski, L.; Bax, R.; Clokie, M.; Dawson, M.; Fairhead, H.; Fischetti, V.A.; Foster, S.; Gilmore, B.F.; Hancock, R.E.; Harper, D. Alternatives to antibiotics—A pipeline portfolio review. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2016**, *16*, 239–251. [CrossRef]
- 25. Payne, D.J.; Gwynn, M.N.; Holmes, D.J.; Pompliano, D.L. Drugs for bad bugs: Confronting the challenges of antibacterial discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2007**, *6*, 29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Coates, A.R.; Halls, G.; Hu, Y. Novel classes of antibiotics or more of the same? *Br. J. Pharmacol.* **2011**, *163*, 184–194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Okeke, I.N.; Peeling, R.W.; Goossens, H.; Auckenthaler, R.; Olmsted, S.S.; de Lavison, J.-F.; Zimmer, B.L.; Perkins, M.D.; Nordqvist, K. Diagnostics as essential tools for containing antibacterial resistance. *Drug Resist. Updat.* **2011**, *14*, 95–106. [CrossRef]
- 28. McEwen, S.A.; Fedorka-Cray, P.J. Antimicrobial use and resistance in animals. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2002**, *34*, S93–S106. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Goossens, H.; Ferech, M.; Vander Stichele, R.; Elseviers, M.; Group, E.P. Outpatient antibiotic use in europe and association with resistance: A cross-national database study. *Lancet* **2005**, *365*, 579–587. [CrossRef]
- 30. Witte, W. *Medical Consequences of Antibiotic Use in Agriculture;* American Association for the Advancement of Science: Washington, DC, USA, 1998.
- Garau, J.; Xercavins, M.; Rodríguez-Carballeira, M.; Gómez-Vera, J.R.; Coll, I.; Vidal, D.; Llovet, T.; Ruíz-Bremón, A. Emergence and dissemination of quinolone-resistantescherichia coli in the community. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1999, 43, 2736–2741. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Khlebtsov, N.; Dykman, L. Biodistribution and toxicity of engineered gold nanoparticles: A review of in vitro and in vivo studies. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 1647–1671. [CrossRef]
- Bondarenko, O.; Juganson, K.; Ivask, A.; Kasemets, K.; Mortimer, M.; Kahru, A. Toxicity of ag, cuo and zno nanoparticles to selected environmentally relevant test organisms and mammalian cells in vitro: A critical review. *Arch. Toxicol.* 2013, *87*, 1181–1200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Baun, A.; Hartmann, N.B.; Grieger, K.; Kusk, K.O. Ecotoxicity of engineered nanoparticles to aquatic invertebrates: A brief review and recommendations for future toxicity testing. *Ecotoxicology* 2008, 17, 387–395. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Reidy, B.; Haase, A.; Luch, A.; Dawson, K.; Lynch, I. Mechanisms of silver nanoparticle release, transformation and toxicity: A critical review of current knowledge and recommendations for future studies and applications. *Materials* **2013**, *6*, 2295–2350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- De Lima, R.; Seabra, A.B.; Durán, N. Silver nanoparticles: A brief review of cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of chemically and biogenically synthesized nanoparticles. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* 2012, *32*, 867–879. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Albanese, A.; Chan, W.C. Effect of gold nanoparticle aggregation on cell uptake and toxicity. ACS Nano 2011, 5, 5478–5489. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, D.; Kaner, R.B. Shape and aggregation control of nanoparticles: Not shaken, not stirred. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 968–975. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Moghimi, S.M.; Szebeni, J. Stealth liposomes and long circulating nanoparticles: Critical issues in pharmacokinetics, opsonization and protein-binding properties. *Prog. Lipid Res.* **2003**, *42*, 463–478. [CrossRef]

- 40. Wang, L.; Hu, C.; Shao, L. The antimicrobial activity of nanoparticles: Present situation and prospects for the future. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2017**, *12*, 1227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Talebian, N.; Zavvare, H.S.H. Enhanced bactericidal action of sno2 nanostructures having different morphologies under visible light: Influence of surfactant. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B Biol.* 2014, 130, 132–139. [CrossRef]
- Cha, S.-H.; Hong, J.; McGuffie, M.; Yeom, B.; VanEpps, J.S.; Kotov, N.A. Shape-dependent biomimetic inhibition of enzyme by nanoparticles and their antibacterial activity. ACS Nano 2015, 9, 9097–9105. [CrossRef]
- 43. Actis, L.; Srinivasan, A.; Lopez-Ribot, J.L.; Ramasubramanian, A.K.; Ong, J.L. Effect of silver nanoparticle geometry on methicillin susceptible and resistant staphylococcus aureus, and osteoblast viability. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* **2015**, *26*, 215. [CrossRef]
- 44. Gao, M.; Sun, L.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, Y. Controlled synthesis of ag nanoparticles with different morphologies and their antibacterial properties. *Mater. Sci. Eng. C* 2013, *33*, 397–404. [CrossRef]
- 45. Hong, X.; Wen, J.; Xiong, X.; Hu, Y. Shape effect on the antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles synthesized via a microwave-assisted method. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2016**, *23*, 4489–4497. [CrossRef]
- Prasannakumar, J.; Vidya, Y.; Anantharaju, K.; Ramgopal, G.; Nagabhushana, H.; Sharma, S.; Prasad, B.D.; Prashantha, S.; Basavaraj, R.; Rajanaik, H. Bio-mediated route for the synthesis of shape tunable y2o3: Tb3+ nanoparticles: Photoluminescence and antibacterial properties. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* 2015, 151, 131–140. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Rai, M.; Kon, K.; Gade, A.; Ingle, A.; Nagaonkar, D.; Paralikar, P.; da Silva, S. Antibiotic resistance: Can nanoparticles tackle the problem? *Antibiot. Resist.* **2016**. [CrossRef]
- 48. Chaloupka, K.; Malam, Y.; Seifalian, A.M. Nanosilver as a new generation of nanoproduct in biomedical applications. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2010**, *28*, 580–588. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Chatterjee, A.K.; Chakraborty, R.; Basu, T. Mechanism of antibacterial activity of copper nanoparticles. *Nanotechnology* **2014**, 25, 135101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Kumar, M.; Curtis, A.; Hoskins, C. Application of nanoparticle technologies in the combat against anti-microbial resistance. *Pharmaceutics* **2018**, *10*, 11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Imlay, J.A.; Linn, S. DNA damage and oxygen radical toxicity. Science 1988, 240, 1302–1309. [CrossRef]
- 52. Itoh, M.; Nakamura, M.; Suzuki, T.; Kawai, K.; Horitsu, H.; Takamizawa, K. Mechanism of chromium (vi) toxicity in escherichia coli: Is hydrogen peroxide essential in cr (vi) toxicity? *J. Biochem.* **1995**, *117*, 780–786. [CrossRef]
- 53. Geslin, C.; Llanos, J.; Prieur, D.; Jeanthon, C. The manganese and iron superoxide dismutases protect escherichia coli from heavy metal toxicity. *Res. Microbiol.* **2001**, *152*, 901–905. [CrossRef]
- 54. Parvatiyar, K.; Alsabbagh, E.M.; Ochsner, U.A.; Stegemeyer, M.A.; Smulian, A.G.; Hwang, S.H.; Jackson, C.R.; McDermott, T.R.; Hassett, D.J. Global analysis of cellular factors and responses involved in pseudomonas aeruginosa resistance to arsenite. *J. Bacteriol.* **2005**, *187*, 4853–4864. [CrossRef]
- 55. Sumner, E.R.; Shanmuganathan, A.; Sideri, T.C.; Willetts, S.A.; Houghton, J.E.; Avery, S.V. Oxidative protein damage causes chromium toxicity in yeast. *Microbiology* **2005**, *151*, 1939–1948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Lemire, J.A.; Harrison, J.J.; Turner, R.J. Antimicrobial activity of metals: Mechanisms, molecular targets and applications. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2013**, *11*, 371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Fadeeva, M.; Bertsova, Y.; Euro, L.; Bogachev, A. Cys377 residue in nqrf subunit confers ag+ sensitivity of na+-translocating nadh: Quinone oxidoreductase from vibrio harveyi. *Biochemistry* **2011**, *76*, 186–195. [PubMed]
- Lok, C.-N.; Ho, C.-M.; Chen, R.; He, Q.-Y.; Yu, W.-Y.; Sun, H.; Tam, P.K.-H.; Chiu, J.-F.; Che, C.-M. Silver nanoparticles: Partial oxidation and antibacterial activities. *JBIC J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 12, 527–534. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bragg, P.; Rainnie, D. The effect of silver ions on the respiratory chain of escherichia coli. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 1974, 20, 883–889. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 60. Kandi, V.; Kandi, S. Antimicrobial properties of nanomolecules: Potential candidates as antibiotics in the era of multi-drug resistance. *Epidemiol. Health* **2015**, *37*, e2015020. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Simões, D.; Miguel, S.P.; Ribeiro, M.P.; Coutinho, P.; Mendonça, A.G.; Correia, I.J. Recent advances on antimicrobial wound dressing: A review. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* **2018**, *127*, 130–141. [CrossRef]

- 62. Lesniak, A.; Salvati, A.; Santos-Martinez, M.J.; Radomski, M.W.; Dawson, K.A.; Åberg, C. Nanoparticle adhesion to the cell membrane and its effect on nanoparticle uptake efficiency. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 1438–1444. [CrossRef]
- 63. Huh, A.J.; Kwon, Y.J. "Nanoantibiotics": A new paradigm for treating infectious diseases using nanomaterials in the antibiotics resistant era. *J. Control. Release* **2011**, *156*, 128–145. [CrossRef]
- 64. Begg, E.J.; Barclay, M.L.; Kirkpatrick, C.J. The therapeutic monitoring of antimicrobial agents. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* **1999**, *47*, 23–30. [CrossRef]
- 65. Yount, N.Y.; Yeaman, M.R. Emerging themes and therapeutic prospects for anti-infective peptides. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **2012**, *52*, 337–360. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Koul, A.; Arnoult, E.; Lounis, N.; Guillemont, J.; Andries, K. The challenge of new drug discovery for tuberculosis. *Nature* **2011**, 469, 483. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Triggs, E.; Charles, B. Pharmacokinetics and therapeutic drug monitoring of gentamicin in the elderly. *Clin. Pharmacokinet*. **1999**, *37*, 331–341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kopecka, J.; Campia, I.; Olivero, P.; Pescarmona, G.; Ghigo, D.; Bosia, A.; Riganti, C. A ldl-masked liposomal-doxorubicin reverses drug resistance in human cancer cells. *J. Control. Release* 2011, 149, 196–205. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Kakkar Thukral, D.; Dumoga, S.; K Mishra, A. Solid lipid nanoparticles: Promising therapeutic nanocarriers for drug delivery. *Curr. Drug Deliv.* **2014**, *11*, 771–791. [CrossRef]
- 70. Daeihamed, M.; Dadashzadeh, S.; Haeri, A.; Faghih Akhlaghi, M. Potential of liposomes for enhancement of oral drug absorption. *Curr. Drug Deliv.* **2017**, *14*, 289–303. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Abed, N.; Couvreur, P. Nanocarriers for antibiotics: A promising solution to treat intracellular bacterial infections. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2014**, *43*, 485–496. [CrossRef]
- 72. Naseri, N.; Valizadeh, H.; Zakeri-Milani, P. Solid lipid nanoparticles and nanostructured lipid carriers: Structure, preparation and application. *Adv. Pharm. Bull.* **2015**, *5*, 305. [CrossRef]
- 73. Liu, Y.; K Tee, J.; NC Chiu, G. Dendrimers in oral drug delivery application: Current explorations, toxicity issues and strategies for improvement. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* **2015**, *21*, 2629–2642. [CrossRef]
- 74. Ranghar, S.; Sirohi, P.; Verma, P.; Agarwal, V. Nanoparticle-based drug delivery systems: Promising approaches against infections. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.* **2014**, *57*, 209–222. [CrossRef]
- Saha, B.; Bhattacharya, J.; Mukherjee, A.; Ghosh, A.; Santra, C.; Dasgupta, A.K.; Karmakar, P. In vitro structural and functional evaluation of gold nanoparticles conjugated antibiotics. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.* 2007, 2, 614. [CrossRef]
- 76. Brown, A.; Smith, K.; Samuels, T.A.; Lu, J.; Obare, S.; Scott, M.E. Nanoparticles functionalized with ampicillin destroy multiple antibiotic resistant isolates of pseudomonas aeruginosa, enterobacter aerogenes and methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2012**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Vallet-Regí, M.; Arcos, D.; Navarrete, D.A. *Biomimetic Nanoceramics in Clinical Use: From Materials to Applications*; Royal Society of Chemistry: London, UK, 2008; Volume 5.
- 78. Lowe, D.B. Drug discovery: Combichem all over again. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 851. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 79. Li, D.; Zhang, K.; Shi, C.; Liu, L.; Yan, G.; Liu, C.; Zhou, Y.; Hu, Y.; Sun, H.; Yang, B. Small molecules modified biomimetic gelatin/hydroxyapatite nanofibers constructing an ideal osteogenic microenvironment with significantly enhanced cranial bone formation. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2018**, *13*, 7167. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tao, H.; Brenckle, M.A.; Yang, M.; Zhang, J.; Liu, M.; Siebert, S.M.; Averitt, R.D.; Mannoor, M.S.; McAlpine, M.C.; Rogers, J.A. Silk-based conformal, adhesive, edible food sensors. *Adv. Mater.* 2012, 24, 1067–1072. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gao, W.; Zhang, L. Coating nanoparticles with cell membranes for targeted drug delivery. *J. Drug Target.* 2015, 23, 619–626. [CrossRef]
- Fang, R.H.; Hu, C.-M.J.; Luk, B.T.; Gao, W.; Copp, J.A.; Tai, Y.; O'Connor, D.E.; Zhang, L. Cancer cell membrane-coated nanoparticles for anticancer vaccination and drug delivery. *Nano Lett.* 2014, 14, 2181–2188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hu, C.-M.J.; Fang, R.H.; Wang, K.-C.; Luk, B.T.; Thamphiwatana, S.; Dehaini, D.; Nguyen, P.; Angsantikul, P.; Wen, C.H.; Kroll, A.V. Nanoparticle biointerfacing by platelet membrane cloaking. *Nature* 2015, 526, 118. [CrossRef]
- Hu, Q.; Sun, W.; Qian, C.; Wang, C.; Bomba, H.N.; Gu, Z. Anticancer platelet-mimicking nanovehicles. *Adv. Mater.* 2015, 27, 7043–7050. [CrossRef]

- 85. McColl, K.E. Helicobacter pylori infection. N. Engl. J. Med. 2010, 362, 1597-1604. [CrossRef]
- Yamaoka, Y. Mechanisms of disease: Helicobacter pylori virulence factors. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2010, 7, 629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 87. Salama, N.R.; Hartung, M.L.; Müller, A. Life in the human stomach: Persistence strategies of the bacterial pathogen helicobacter pylori. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2013**, *11*, 385. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- O'connor, A.; O'morain, C.A.; Ford, A.C. Population screening and treatment of helicobacter pylori infection. Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. 2017, 14, 230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 89. Sgouras, D.N.; Trang, T.T.H.; Yamaoka, Y. Pathogenesis of helicobacter pylori infection. *Helicobacter* **2015**, *20*, 8–16. [CrossRef]
- 90. Kaplan-Türköz, B.; Jiménez-Soto, L.F.; Dian, C.; Ertl, C.; Remaut, H.; Louche, A.; Tosi, T.; Haas, R.; Terradot, L. Structural insights into helicobacter pylori oncoprotein caga interaction with β1 integrin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012. [CrossRef]
- 91. Königer, V.; Holsten, L.; Harrison, U.; Busch, B.; Loell, E.; Zhao, Q.; Bonsor, D.A.; Roth, A.; Kengmo-Tchoupa, A.; Smith, S.I. Helicobacter pylori exploits human ceacams via hopq for adherence and translocation of caga. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2017**, *2*, 16188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Koelblen, T.; Bergé, C.; Cherrier, M.V.; Brillet, K.; Jimenez-Soto, L.; Ballut, L.; Takagi, J.; Montserret, R.; Rousselle, P.; Fischer, W. Molecular dissection of protein–protein interactions between integrin α5β1 and the helicobacter pylori cag type iv secretion system. *FEBS J.* **2017**, *284*, 4143–4157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 93. Parreira, P.; Shi, Q.; Magalhaes, A.; Reis, C.; Bugaytsova, J.; Borén, T.; Leckband, D.; Martins, M. Atomic force microscopy measurements reveal multiple bonds between helicobacter pylori blood group antigen binding adhesin and lewis b ligand. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **2014**, *11*, 20141040. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 94. Fei, Y.; Schmidt, A.; Bylund, G.; Johansson, D.; Henriksson, S.; Lebrilla, C.; Solnick, J.; Borén, T.; Zhu, X. Use of real-time, label-free analysis in revealing low-affinity binding to blood group antigens by helicobacter pylori. *Anal. Chem.* **2011**, *83*, 6336–6341. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Angsantikul, P.; Thamphiwatana, S.; Zhang, Q.; Spiekermann, K.; Zhuang, J.; Fang, R.H.; Gao, W.; Obonyo, M.; Zhang, L. Coating nanoparticles with gastric epithelial cell membrane for targeted antibiotic delivery against helicobacter pylori infection. *Adv. Ther.* **2018**, *1*, 1800016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Zhang, M. High antibiotic resistance rate: A difficult issue for helicobacter pylori eradication treatment. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2015**, *21*, 13432. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 97. Hu, Y.; Zhang, M.; Lu, B.; Dai, J. Helicobacter pylori and antibiotic resistance, a continuing and intractable problem. *Helicobacter* **2016**, *21*, 349–363. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alba, C.; Blanco, A.; Alarcón, T. Antibiotic resistance in helicobacter pylori. *Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis.* 2017, 30, 489–497. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 99. World Health Organization. *Antimicrobial Resistance: Global Report on Surveillance;* World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014.
- 100. Appelbaum, P. The emergence of vancomycin-intermediate and vancomycin-resistant staphylococcus aureus. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* **2006**, *12*, 16–23. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 101. Hiramatsu, K. Vancomycin-resistant staphylococcus aureus: A new model of antibiotic resistance. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **2001**, *1*, 147–155. [CrossRef]
- Gardete, S.; Tomasz, A. Mechanisms of vancomycin resistance in staphylococcus aureus. J. Clin. Investig. 2014, 124, 2836–2840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hong, H.-J.; Hutchings, M.I.; Buttner, M.J. Vancomycin resistance vans/vanr two-component systems. In Bacterial Signal Transduction: Networks and Drug Targets; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2008; pp. 200–213.
- 104. Arthur, M.; Courvalin, P. Genetics and mechanisms of glycopeptide resistance in enterococci. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **1993**, *37*, 1563. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liakos, I.; Grumezescu, A.; Holban, A. Magnetite nanostructures as novel strategies for anti-infectious therapy. *Molecules* 2014, 19, 12710–12726. [CrossRef]
- 106. Peng, B.; Zhang, X.; Aarts, D.G.; Dullens, R.P. Superparamagnetic nickel colloidal nanocrystal clusters with antibacterial activity and bacteria binding ability. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2018**, *13*, 478. [CrossRef]
- 107. Cowger, T.A.; Yang, Y.; Rink, D.E.; Todd, T.; Chen, H.; Shen, Y.; Yan, Y.; Xie, J. Protein-adsorbed magnetic-nanoparticle-mediated assay for rapid detection of bacterial antibiotic resistance. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2017, 28, 890–896. [CrossRef]

- 108. Hassan, M.M.; Ranzoni, A.; Phetsang, W.; Blaskovich, M.A.; Cooper, M.A. Surface ligand density of antibiotic-nanoparticle conjugates enhances target avidity and membrane permeabilization of vancomycin-resistant bacteria. *Bioconjug. Chem.* **2016**, *28*, 353–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. Miele, E.; Spinelli, G.P.; Miele, E.; Tomao, F.; Tomao, S. Albumin-bound formulation of paclitaxel (abraxane®abi-007) in the treatment of breast cancer. *Int. J. Nanomed.* **2009**, *4*, 99.
- Peters, T., Jr. All about Albumin: Biochemistry, Genetics, and Medical Applications; Academic press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1995.
- 111. Matsushita, S.; Chuang, V.T.G.; Kanazawa, M.; Tanase, S.; Kawai, K.; Maruyama, T.; Suenaga, A.; Otagiri, M. Recombinant human serum albumin dimer has high blood circulation activity and low vascular permeability in comparison with native human serum albumin. *Pharm. Res.* 2006, 23, 882–891. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Nunes, S.; Barros, A. The use of coating agents to enhance liposomes blood circulation time. *J. Mol. Pharm. Org. Process. Res.* **2015**, *3*, 1–2.
- 113. Grumezescu, A.M.; Holban, A.M.; Andronescu, E.; Mogoşanu, G.D.; Vasile, B.S.; Chifiriuc, M.C.; Lazar, V.; Andrei, E.; Constantinescu, A.; Maniu, H. Anionic polymers and 10 nm fe3o4@ ua wound dressings support human foetal stem cells normal development and exhibit great antimicrobial properties. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2014, 463, 146–154. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Grumezescu, A.M.; Cotar, A.I.; Andronescu, E.; Ficai, A.; Ghitulica, C.D.; Grumezescu, V.; Vasile, B.S.; Chifiriuc, M.C. In vitro activity of the new water-dispersible fe 3 o 4@ usnic acid nanostructure against planktonic and sessile bacterial cells. *J. Nanopart. Res.* **2013**, *15*, 1766. [CrossRef]
- 115. Anghel, I.; Grumezescu, A.M.; Holban, A.M.; Ficai, A.; Anghel, A.G.; Chifiriuc, M.C. Biohybrid nanostructured iron oxide nanoparticles and satureja hortensis to prevent fungal biofilm development. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2013**, *14*, 18110–18123. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 116. Grumezescu, A.M.; Gestal, M.C.; Holban, A.M.; Grumezescu, V.; Vasile, B.Ş.; Mogoantă, L.; Iordache, F.; Bleotu, C.; Mogoșanu, G.D. Biocompatible fe3o4 increases the efficacy of amoxicillin delivery against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. *Molecules* 2014, 19, 5013–5027. [CrossRef]
- 117. Fairbairn, I.P.; Stober, C.B.; Kumararatne, D.S.; Lammas, D.A. Atp-mediated killing of intracellular mycobacteria by macrophages is a p2x7-dependent process inducing bacterial death by phagosome-lysosome fusion. *J. Immunol.* **2001**, *167*, 3300–3307. [CrossRef]
- Slauch, J.M. How does the oxidative burst of macrophages kill bacteria? Still an open question. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2011, *80*, 580–583. [CrossRef]
- 119. Abbas, A.K.; Lichtman, A.H.; Pillai, S. *Basic Immunology: Functions and Disorders of the Immune System*; Elsevier Health Sciences: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2014.
- 120. Foster, T.J. Immune evasion by staphylococci. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2005, 3, 948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Proctor, R.A.; Von Eiff, C.; Kahl, B.C.; Becker, K.; McNamara, P.; Herrmann, M.; Peters, G. Small colony variants: A pathogenic form of bacteria that facilitates persistent and recurrent infections. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2006, *4*, 295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 122. Bakker-Woudenberg, I.A. Delivery of antimicrobials to infected tissue macrophages. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **1995**, *17*, 5–20. [CrossRef]
- 123. Briones, E.; Colino, C.I.; Lanao, J.M. Delivery systems to increase the selectivity of antibiotics in phagocytic cells. *J. Control. Release* **2008**, 125, 210–227. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 124. Toti, U.S.; Guru, B.R.; Hali, M.; McPharlin, C.M.; Wykes, S.M.; Panyam, J.; Whittum-Hudson, J.A. Targeted delivery of antibiotics to intracellular chlamydial infections using plga nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* **2011**, *32*, 6606–6613. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Deysine, M.; Chua, A.; Gerboth, A. Selective delivery of antibiotics to experimental infection by autologous white blood cells. *Surg. Forum* **1979**, *30*, 38–39. [PubMed]
- 126. Mehta, R.T.; McQueen, T.J.; Keyhani, A.; López-Berestein, G. Phagocyte transport as mechanism for enhanced therapeutic activity of liposomal amphotericin b. *Chemotherapy* **1994**, *40*, 256–264. [CrossRef]
- 127. Irache, J.M.; Salman, H.H.; Gamazo, C.; Espuelas, S. Mannose-targeted systems for the delivery of therapeutics. *Expert Opin. Drug Deliv.* 2008, *5*, 703–724. [CrossRef]
- 128. Mosser, D.M.; Edwards, J.P. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 2008, *8*, 958. [CrossRef]
- 129. Kawai, T.; Akira, S. Toll-like receptors and their crosstalk with other innate receptors in infection and immunity. *Immunity* 2011, 34, 637–650. [CrossRef]

- 130. Kelly, C.; Jefferies, C.; Cryan, S.-A. Targeted liposomal drug delivery to monocytes and macrophages. *J. Drug Deliv.* 2011, 2011, 727241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Karmakar, P.; Lee, K.; Sarkar, S.; Wall, K.A.; Sucheck, S.J. Synthesis of a liposomal muc1 glycopeptide-based immunotherapeutic and evaluation of the effect of l-rhamnose targeting on cellular immune responses. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2015, 27, 110–120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sarkar, S.; Salyer, A.C.; Wall, K.A.; Sucheck, S.J. Synthesis and immunological evaluation of a muc1 glycopeptide incorporated into l-rhamnose displaying liposomes. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 2013, 24, 363–375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 133. Kamath, A.T.; Rochat, A.-F.; Christensen, D.; Agger, E.M.; Andersen, P.; Lambert, P.-H.; Siegrist, C.-A. A liposome-based mycobacterial vaccine induces potent adult and neonatal multifunctional t cells through the exquisite targeting of dendritic cells. *PLoS ONE* **2009**, *4*, e5771. [CrossRef]
- Rukavina, Z.; Klarić, M.Š.; Filipović-Grčić, J.; Lovrić, J.; Vanić, Ž. Azithromycin-loaded liposomes for enhanced topical treatment of methicillin-resistant staphyloccocus aureus (mrsa) infections. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2018, 553, 109–119. [CrossRef]
- Gabizon, A.; Shmeeda, H.; Barenholz, Y. Pharmacokinetics of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin. *Clin. Pharm.* 2003, 42, 419–436. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Wijagkanalan, W.; Kawakami, S.; Higuchi, Y.; Yamashita, F.; Hashida, M. Intratracheally instilled mannosylated cationic liposome/nfkb decoy complexes for effective prevention of lps-induced lung inflammation. *J. Control. Release* **2011**, *149*, 42–50. [CrossRef]
- 137. Xiong, M.H.; Li, Y.J.; Bao, Y.; Yang, X.Z.; Hu, B.; Wang, J. Bacteria-responsive multifunctional nanogel for targeted antibiotic delivery. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 6175–6180. [CrossRef]
- Xiong, M.-H.; Wu, J.; Wang, Y.-C.; Li, L.-S.; Liu, X.-B.; Zhang, G.-Z.; Yan, L.-F.; Wang, J. Synthesis of peg-armed and polyphosphoester core-cross-linked nanogel by one-step ring-opening polymerization. *Macromolecules* 2009, 42, 893–896. [CrossRef]
- Faron, M.L.; Ledeboer, N.A.; Buchan, B.W. Resistance mechanisms, epidemiology, and approaches to screening for vancomycin resistant enterococcus (vre) in the health care setting. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2016. [CrossRef]
- 140. Eliopoulos, G.M.; Gold, H. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci: Mechanisms and clinical observations. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2001**, *33*, 210–219.
- 141. DeVinney, R.; Steele-Mortimer, O.; Finlay, B.B. Phosphatases and kinases delivered to the host cell by bacterial pathogens. *Trends Microbiol.* **2000**, *8*, 29–33. [CrossRef]
- 142. Schaffner, T.; Keller, H.U.; Hess, M.W.; Cottier, H. Macrophage functions in antimicrobial defense. *Klinische Wochenschr.* **1982**, *60*, 720–726. [CrossRef]
- 143. Lu, Z.-Z.; Wu, J.; Sun, T.-M.; Ji, J.; Yan, L.-F.; Wang, J. Biodegradable polycation and plasmid DNA multilayer film for prolonged gene delivery to mouse osteoblasts. *Biomaterials* **2008**, *29*, 733–741. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Yang, X.-Z.; Sun, T.-M.; Dou, S.; Wu, J.; Wang, Y.-C.; Wang, J. Block copolymer of polyphosphoester and poly (L-lactic acid) modified surface for enhancing osteoblast adhesion, proliferation, and function. *Biomacromolecules* **2009**, *10*, 2213–2220. [CrossRef]
- 145. Wachiralarpphaithoon, C.; Iwasaki, Y.; Akiyoshi, K. Enzyme-degradable phosphorylcholine porous hydrogels cross-linked with polyphosphoesters for cell matrices. *Biomaterials* 2007, 28, 984–993. [CrossRef]
- 146. Huang, C.; Cabot, M. Vasopressin-induced polyphosphoinositide and phosphatidylcholine degradation in fibroblasts. Temporal relationship for formation of phospholipase c and phospholipase d hydrolysis products. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1990**, *265*, 17468–17473.
- 147. Lakes, A.L.; Peyyala, R.; Ebersole, J.L.; Puleo, D.A.; Hilt, J.Z.; Dziubla, T.D. Synthesis and characterization of an antibacterial hydrogel containing covalently bound vancomycin. *Biomacromolecules* 2014, 15, 3009–3018. [CrossRef]
- 148. Zhang, X.; Xia, L.-Y.; Chen, X.; Chen, Z.; Wu, F.-G. Hydrogel-based phototherapy for fighting cancer and bacterial infection. *Sci. China Mater.* **2017**, *60*, 487–503. [CrossRef]
- 149. Lu, Y.; Wu, Y.; Liang, J.; Libera, M.R.; Sukhishvili, S.A. Self-defensive antibacterial layer-by-layer hydrogel coatings with ph-triggered hydrophobicity. *Biomaterials* **2015**, *45*, 64–71. [CrossRef]
- 150. McMahon, S.; Kennedy, R.; Duffy, P.; Vasquez, J.M.; Wall, J.G.; Tai, H.; Wang, W. Poly (ethylene glycol)-based hyperbranched polymer from raft and its application as a silver-sulfadiazine-loaded antibacterial hydrogel in wound care. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 26648–26656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Zhao, X.; Wu, H.; Guo, B.; Dong, R.; Qiu, Y.; Ma, P.X. Antibacterial anti-oxidant electroactive injectable hydrogel as self-healing wound dressing with hemostasis and adhesiveness for cutaneous wound healing. *Biomaterials* 2017, 122, 34–47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 152. Peppas, N.A.; Hilt, J.Z.; Khademhosseini, A.; Langer, R. Hydrogels in biology and medicine: From molecular principles to bionanotechnology. *Adv. Mater.* **2006**, *18*, 1345–1360. [CrossRef]
- 153. Seif-Naraghi, S.B.; Singelyn, J.M.; Salvatore, M.A.; Osborn, K.G.; Wang, J.J.; Sampat, U.; Kwan, O.L.; Strachan, G.M.; Wong, J.; Schup-Magoffin, P.J. Safety and efficacy of an injectable extracellular matrix hydrogel for treating myocardial infarction. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **2013**, *5*, ra125–ra173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 154. Huang, Y.; Fang, L.; Zhu, Z.; Ma, Y.; Zhou, L.; Chen, X.; Xu, D.; Yang, C. Design and synthesis of target-responsive hydrogel for portable visual quantitative detection of uranium with a microfluidic distance-based readout device. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2016**, *85*, 496–502. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 155. Zhang, D.; Wu, M.; Zeng, Y.; Wu, L.; Wang, Q.; Han, X.; Liu, X.; Liu, J. Chlorin e6 conjugated poly (dopamine) nanospheres as pdt/ptt dual-modal therapeutic agents for enhanced cancer therapy. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2015, 7, 8176–8187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 156. Lynge, M.E.; van der Westen, R.; Postma, A.; Städler, B. Polydopamine—A nature-inspired polymer coating for biomedical science. *Nanoscale* **2011**, *3*, 4916–4928. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 157. Liu, X.; Cao, J.; Li, H.; Li, J.; Jin, Q.; Ren, K.; Ji, J. Mussel-inspired polydopamine: A biocompatible and ultrastable coating for nanoparticles in vivo. *ACS Nano* **2013**, *7*, 9384–9395. [CrossRef]
- 158. Li, H.; Jia, Y.; Peng, H.; Li, J. Recent developments in dopamine-based materials for cancer diagnosis and therapy. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2018**, 252, 1–20. [CrossRef]
- Huang, L.; Li, Z.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, S.; Zhao, J.; Han, G. Ultralow-power near infrared lamp light operable targeted organic nanoparticle photodynamic therapy. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, 138, 14586–14591. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, Y.; Li, J.; Zhen, X.; Xie, C.; Pu, K. Dual-peak absorbing semiconducting copolymer nanoparticles for first and second near-infrared window photothermal therapy: A comparative study. *Adv. Mater.* 2018, 30, 1705980. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 161. Li, Z.; Huang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Yang, H.; Han, G. Near-infrared light activated persistent luminescence nanoparticles via upconversion. *Nano Res.* **2017**, *10*, 1840–1846. [CrossRef]
- 162. Liu, F.; He, X.; Lei, Z.; Liu, L.; Zhang, J.; You, H.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Z. Facile preparation of doxorubicin-loaded upconversion@ polydopamine nanoplatforms for simultaneous in vivo multimodality imaging and chemophotothermal synergistic therapy. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* **2015**, *4*, 559–568. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 163. Wang, X.; Zhang, J.; Wang, Y.; Wang, C.; Xiao, J.; Zhang, Q.; Cheng, Y. Multi-responsive photothermal-chemotherapy with drug-loaded melanin-like nanoparticles for synergetic tumor ablation. *Biomaterials* **2016**, *81*, 114–124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, X.; Wang, C.; Wang, X.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Q.; Cheng, Y. A polydopamine nanoparticle-knotted poly (ethylene glycol) hydrogel for on-demand drug delivery and chemo-photothermal therapy. *Chem. Mater.* 2017, 29, 1370–1376. [CrossRef]
- 165. Gao, G.; Jiang, Y.-W.; Jia, H.-R.; Wu, F.-G. Near-infrared light-controllable on-demand antibiotics release using thermo-sensitive hydrogel-based drug reservoir for combating bacterial infection. *Biomaterials* 2019, 188, 83–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 166. Sakudo, A. Near-infrared spectroscopy for medical applications: Current status and future perspectives. *Clin. Chim. Acta* **2016**, 455, 181–188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 167. Wang, C.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Miron, R.J.; Liang, J.; Shi, M.; Mo, W.; Zheng, S.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, Y. Pretreated macrophage-membrane-coated gold nanocages for precise drug delivery for treatment of bacterial infections. *Adv. Mater.* 2018, *30*, 1804023. [CrossRef]
- 168. Taylor, P.R.; Martinez-Pomares, L.; Stacey, M.; Lin, H.-H.; Brown, G.D.; Gordon, S. Macrophage receptors and immune recognition. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **2005**, *23*, 901–944. [CrossRef]
- 169. Cao, H.; Dan, Z.; He, X.; Zhang, Z.; Yu, H.; Yin, Q.; Li, Y. Liposomes coated with isolated macrophage membrane can target lung metastasis of breast cancer. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 7738–7748. [CrossRef]
- 170. Yavuz, M.S.; Cheng, Y.; Chen, J.; Cobley, C.M.; Zhang, Q.; Rycenga, M.; Xie, J.; Kim, C.; Song, K.H.; Schwartz, A.G. Gold nanocages covered by smart polymers for controlled release with near-infrared light. *Nat. Mater.* **2009**, *8*, 935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 171. Li, W.; Cai, X.; Kim, C.; Sun, G.; Zhang, Y.; Deng, R.; Yang, M.; Chen, J.; Achilefu, S.; Wang, L.V. Gold nanocages covered with thermally-responsive polymers for controlled release by high-intensity focused ultrasound. *Nanoscale* 2011, *3*, 1724–1730. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 172. World Health Organization. *Global Tuberculosis Control: Epidemiology, Strategy, Financing: Who Report* 2009; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2009.
- 173. Theuretzbacher, U. Accelerating resistance, inadequate antibacterial drug pipelines and international responses. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2012**, *39*, 295–299. [CrossRef]
- 174. Bowler, P.; Duerden, B.; Armstrong, D.G. Wound microbiology and associated approaches to wound management. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2001, *14*, 244–269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 175. Yu, C.; Hu, Z.-Q.; Peng, R.-Y. Effects and mechanisms of a microcurrent dressing on skin wound healing: A review. *Mil. Med. Res.* 2014, *1*, 24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 176. World Health Organization. *Who Publishes List of Bacteria for Which New Antibiotics Are Urgently Needed;* WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017.
- 177. Cardona, A.F.; Wilson, S.E. Skin and soft-tissue infections: A critical review and the role of telavancin in their treatment. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2015, *61*, S69–S78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Negut, I.; Grumezescu, V.; Grumezescu, A. Treatment strategies for infected wounds. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 2392. [CrossRef]
- Vijayakumar, V.; Samal, S.K.; Mohanty, S.; Nayak, S.K. Recent advancements in biopolymer and metal nanoparticle-based materials in diabetic wound healing management. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2018, 122, 137–148. [CrossRef]
- Verma, J.; Kanoujia, J.; Parashar, P.; Tripathi, C.B.; Saraf, S.A. Wound healing applications of sericin/chitosan-capped silver nanoparticles incorporated hydrogel. *Drug Deliv. Transl. Res.* 2017, 7, 77–88. [CrossRef]
- 181. Zhou, Y.; Chen, R.; He, T.; Xu, K.; Du, D.; Zhao, N.; Cheng, X.; Yang, J.; Shi, H.; Lin, Y. Biomedical potential of ultrafine ag/agcl nanoparticles coated on graphene with special reference to antimicrobial performances and burn wound healing. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2016**, *8*, 15067–15075. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ye, H.; Cheng, J.; Yu, K. In situ reduction of silver nanoparticles by gelatin to obtain porous silver nanoparticle/chitosan composites with enhanced antimicrobial and wound-healing activity. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 2019, 121, 633–642. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Manigandan, V.; Karthik, R.; Ramachandran, S.; Rajagopal, S. Chitosan applications in food industry. In Biopolymers for Food Design; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; pp. 469–491.
- 184. Mariod, A.A.; Adam, H.F. Gelatin, source, extraction and industrial applications. *Acta Sci. Pol. Technol. Aliment.* **2013**, *12*, 135–147.
- Chifiriuc, C.M.; Grumezescu, A.M.; Saviuc, C.; Croitoru, C.; Mihaiescu, D.E.; Lazar, V. Improved antibacterial activity of cephalosporins loaded in magnetic chitosan microspheres. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2012, 436, 201–205. [CrossRef]
- 186. Grumezescu, A.M.; Andronescu, E.; Holban, A.M.; Ficai, A.; Ficai, D.; Voicu, G.; Grumezescu, V.; Balaure, P.C.; Chifiriuc, C.M. Water dispersible cross-linked magnetic chitosan beads for increasing the antimicrobial efficiency of aminoglycoside antibiotics. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2013, 454, 233–240. [CrossRef]
- 187. Balaure, P.C.; Andronescu, E.; Grumezescu, A.M.; Ficai, A.; Huang, K.-S.; Yang, C.-H.; Chifiriuc, C.M.; Lin, Y.-S. Fabrication, characterization and in vitro profile based interaction with eukaryotic and prokaryotic cells of alginate–chitosan–silica biocomposite. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2013, 441, 555–561. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mamaeva, V.; Sahlgren, C.; Lindén, M. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles in medicine—recent advances. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2013, 65, 689–702. [CrossRef]
- 189. Wang, C.; Zhou, H.; Niu, H.; Ma, X.; Yuan, Y.; Hong, H.; Liu, C. Tannic acid-loaded mesoporous silica for rapid hemostasis and antibacterial activity. *Biomater. Sci.* **2018**, *6*, 3318–3331. [CrossRef]
- 190. Xia, W.; Grandfield, K.; Hoess, A.; Ballo, A.; Cai, Y.; Engqvist, H. Mesoporous titanium dioxide coating for metallic implants. *J. Biomed. Mater. Res. Part B Appl. Biomater.* **2012**, *100*, 82–93. [CrossRef]
- 191. Galiano, K.; Pleifer, C.; Engelhardt, K.; Brössner, G.; Lackner, P.; Huck, C.; Lass-Flörl, C.; Obwegeser, A. Silver segregation and bacterial growth of intraventricular catheters impregnated with silver nanoparticles in cerebrospinal fluid drainages. *Neurol. Res.* 2008, *30*, 285–287. [CrossRef]

- 192. Della Valle, C.; Visai, L.; Santin, M.; Cigada, A.; Candiani, G.; Pezzoli, D.; Arciola, C.R.; Imbriani, M.; Chiesa, R. A novel antibacterial modification treatment of titanium capable to improve osseointegration. *Int. J. Artif. Organs* 2012, 35, 864–875. [CrossRef]
- 193. Samuel, U.; Guggenbichler, J. Prevention of catheter-related infections: The potential of a new nano-silver impregnated catheter. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2004**, *23*, 75–78. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 194. Ramazanzadeh, B.; Jahanbin, A.; Yaghoubi, M.; Shahtahmassbi, N.; Ghazvini, K.; Shakeri, M.; Shafaee, H. Comparison of antibacterial effects of zno and cuo nanoparticles coated brackets against streptococcus mutans. *J. Dent.* 2015, *16*, 200.
- 195. Aboelzahab, A.; Azad, A.M.; Dolan, S.; Goel, V. Mitigation of staphylococcus aureus-mediated surgical site infections with ir photoactivated tio2 coatings on ti implants. *Adv. Healthc. Mater.* 2012, *1*, 285–291. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 196. Oprea, A.E.; Pandel, L.M.; Dumitrescu, A.M.; Andronescu, E.; Grumezescu, V.; Chifiriuc, M.C.; Mogoantă, L.; Bălşeanu, T.-A.; Mogoşanu, G.D.; Socol, G. Bioactive zno coatings deposited by maple—An appropriate strategy to produce efficient anti-biofilm surfaces. *Molecules* 2016, *21*, 220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 197. Vaishya, R.; Chauhan, M.; Vaish, A. Bone cement. J. Clin. Orthop. Trauma 2013, 4, 157–163. [CrossRef]
- 198. Dunne, N. Bone cement fixation: Acrylic cements. In *Joint Replacement Technology;* Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2008; pp. 212–251.
- 199. Clyburn, T.A.; Cui, Q. Antibiotic Laden Cement: Current State of the Art. Available online: https://www.jointreplacementassociates.com/pdf/antibiotic-laden-cement.pdf (accessed on 5 December 2018).
- 200. Breusch, S.; Aldinger, P.; Thomsen, M.; Ewerbeck, V.; Lukoschek, M. Anchoring principles in hip endoprostheses. I: Prosthesis stem. *Der Unfallchirurg* **2000**, *103*, 918–931. [CrossRef]
- 201. Alt, V.; Bechert, T.; Steinrücke, P.; Wagener, M.; Seidel, P.; Dingeldein, E.; Domann, E.; Schnettler, R. An in vitro assessment of the antibacterial properties and cytotoxicity of nanoparticulate silver bone cement. *Biomaterials* **2004**, *25*, 4383–4391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 202. Shen, S.-C.; Ng, W.K.; Shi, Z.; Chia, L.; Neoh, K.G.; Tan, R.B.H. Mesoporous silica nanoparticle-functionalized poly(methyl methacrylate)-based bone cement for effective antibiotics delivery. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.* 2011, 22, 2283. [CrossRef]
- 203. Voicu, G.; Grumezescu, V.; Andronescu, E.; Grumezescu, A.M.; Ficai, A.; Ficai, D.; Ghitulica, C.D.; Gheorghe, I.; Chifiriuc, M.C. Caprolactam-silica network, a strong potentiator of the antimicrobial activity of kanamycin against gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial strains. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2013, 446, 63–69. [CrossRef]
- 204. Lee, D.-K.; Kim, S.V.; Limansubroto, A.N.; Yen, A.; Soundia, A.; Wang, C.-Y.; Shi, W.; Hong, C.; Tetradis, S.; Kim, Y. Nanodiamond–gutta percha composite biomaterials for root canal therapy. ACS Nano 2015, 9, 11490–11501. [CrossRef]
- 205. Kahlenberg, J.M.; Kaplan, M.J. Little peptide, big effects: The role of ll-37 in inflammation and autoimmune disease. *J. Immunol.* 2013, 191, 4895–4901. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 206. Wang, S.; Yan, C.; Zhang, X.; Shi, D.; Chi, L.; Luo, G.; Deng, J. Antimicrobial peptide modification enhances the gene delivery and bactericidal efficiency of gold nanoparticles for accelerating diabetic wound healing. *Biomater. Sci.* 2018, 6, 2757–2772. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 207. Petchiappan, A.; Chatterji, D. Antibiotic resistance: Current perspectives. *ACS Omega* 2017, 2, 7400–7409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 208. Olar, R.; Badea, M.; Marinescu, D.; Chifiriuc, C.-M.; Bleotu, C.; Grecu, M.N.; Iorgulescu, E.E.; Bucur, M.; Lazar, V.; Finaru, A. Prospects for new antimicrobials based on n, n-dimethylbiguanide complexes as effective agents on both planktonic and adhered microbial strains. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2010, 45, 2868–2875. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 209. Olar, R.; Badea, M.; Cristurean, E.; Lazar, V.; Cernat, R.; Balotescu, C. Thermal behavior, spectroscopic and biological characterization of co (ii), zn (ii), pd (ii) and pt (ii) complexes with n, n-dimethylbiguanide. *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* 2005, *80*, 451–455. [CrossRef]
- 210. Badea, M.; Crasanda, A.-M.; Chifiriuc, M.C.; Marutescu, L.; Lazar, V.; Marinescu, D.; Olar, R. Synthesis, spectral and thermal study on new fe (iii) complexes with n, n-dimethylbiguanide as antibacterial agents. *J. Therm. Anal. Calorimetry* 2013, *111*, 1743–1751. [CrossRef]
- 211. World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis Report 2016; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2016.

- 212. Kalscheuer, R.; Syson, K.; Veeraraghavan, U.; Weinrick, B.; Biermann, K.E.; Liu, Z.; Sacchettini, J.C.; Besra, G.; Bornemann, S.; Jacobs, W.R., Jr. Self-poisoning of mycobacterium tuberculosis by targeting glge in an α-glucan pathway. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2010**, *6*, 376. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 213. Syson, K.; Stevenson, C.E.; Rejzek, M.; Fairhurst, S.A.; Nair, A.; Bruton, C.J.; Field, R.A.; Chater, K.F.; Lawson, D.M.; Bornemann, S. Structure of a streptomyces maltosyltransferase glge: A homologue of a genetically validated anti-tuberculosis target. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2011. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 214. Stam, M.R.; Danchin, E.G.; Rancurel, C.; Coutinho, P.M.; Henrissat, B. Dividing the large glycoside hydrolase family 13 into subfamilies: Towards improved functional annotations of α-amylase-related proteins. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel.* 2006, *19*, 555–562. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 215. Elbein, A.D.; Pastuszak, I.; Tackett, A.J.; Wilson, T.; Pan, Y.T. The last step in the conversion of trehalose to glycogen: A mycobacterial enzyme that transfers maltose from maltose-1-phosphate to glycogen. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2010. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 216. Sassetti, C.M.; Boyd, D.H.; Rubin, E.J. Genes required for mycobacterial growth defined by high density mutagenesis. *Mol. Microbiol.* 2003, *48*, 77–84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 217. Thanna, S.; Lindenberger, J.J.; Gaitonde, V.V.; Ronning, D.R.; Sucheck, S.J. Synthesis of 2-deoxy-2, 2-difluoro-α-maltosyl fluoride and its x-ray structure in complex with streptomyces coelicolor glgei-v279s. *Organ. Biomol. Chem.* 2015, *13*, 7542–7550. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 218. Gaitonde, V.; Sucheck, S.J. Antitubercular drugs based on carbohydrate derivatives. In *Carbohydrate Chemistry:* State of the Art and Challenges for Drug Development: An Overview on Structure, Biological Roles, Synthetic Methods and Application as Therapeutics; World Scientific: Singapore, 2016; pp. 441–478.
- Veleti, S.K.; Petit, C.; Ronning, D.R.; Sucheck, S.J. Zwitterionic pyrrolidene-phosphonates: Inhibitors of the glycoside hydrolase-like phosphorylase streptomyces coelicolor glgei-v279s. *Organ. Biomol. Chem.* 2017, 15, 3884–3891. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kapil, S.; Petit, C.; Drago, V.N.; Ronning, D.R.; Sucheck, S.J. Synthesis and in vitro characterization of trehalose-based inhibitors of mycobacterial trehalose 6-phosphate phosphatases. *ChemBioChem* 2018, 20, 260–269. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 221. Hassan, A.S.; Masoud, D.M.; Sroor, F.M.; Askar, A.A. Synthesis and biological evaluation of pyrazolo [1, 5-a] pyrimidine-3-carboxamide as antimicrobial agents. *Med. Chem. Res.* **2017**, *26*, 2909–2919. [CrossRef]
- 222. Abdallah, A.E.; Elgemeie, G.H. Design, synthesis, docking, and antimicrobial evaluation of some novel pyrazolo [1, 5-a] pyrimidines and their corresponding cycloalkane ring-fused derivatives as purine analogs. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther.* 2018, 12, 1785. [CrossRef]
- 223. Catalano, J.G.; Gaitonde, V.; Beesu, M.; Leivers, A.L.; Shotwell, J.B. Phenoxide leaving group s n ar strategy for the facile preparation of 7-amino-3-aryl pyrazolo [1, 5-a] pyrimidines from a 3-bromo-7-phenoxypyrazolo [1, 5-a] pyrimidine intermediate. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015, *56*, 6077–6079. [CrossRef]
- 224. Bekale, R.B.; Du Plessis, S.-M.; Hsu, N.-J.; Sharma, J.R.; Sampson, S.L.; Jacobs, M.; Meyer, M.; Morse, G.D.; Dube, A. Mycobacterium tuberculosis and interactions with the host immune system: Opportunities for nanoparticle based immunotherapeutics and vaccines. *Pharm. Res.* **2019**, *36*, 8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).