

ARTICLE

The transcription factor HIF-1 α mediates plasticity of NKp46⁺ innate lymphoid cells in the gut

Ewelina Krzywinska^{1*}, Michal Sobocki^{1*}, Shunmugam Nagarajan¹, Julian Zacharjasz¹, Murtaza M. Tambuwala², Abigaille Pelletier¹, Eoin Cummins³, Dagmar Gotthardt⁴, Joachim Fandrey⁵, Yann M. Kerdiles⁶, Carole Peyssonnaud^{7,8}, Cormac T. Taylor³, Veronika Sexl⁴, and Christian Stockmann¹

Gut innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) show remarkable phenotypic diversity, yet microenvironmental factors that drive this plasticity are incompletely understood. The balance between NKp46⁺, IL-22-producing, group 3 ILCs (ILC3s) and interferon (IFN)- γ -producing group 1 ILCs (ILC1s) contributes to gut homeostasis. The gut mucosa is characterized by physiological hypoxia, and adaptation to low oxygen is mediated by hypoxia-inducible transcription factors (HIFs). However, the impact of HIFs on ILC phenotype and gut homeostasis is not well understood. Mice lacking the HIF-1 α isoform in NKp46⁺ ILCs show a decrease in IFN- γ -expressing, T-bet⁺, NKp46⁺ ILC1s and a concomitant increase in IL-22-expressing, ROR γ t⁺, NKp46⁺ ILC3s in the gut mucosa. Single-cell RNA sequencing revealed HIF-1 α as a driver of ILC phenotypes, where HIF-1 α promotes the ILC1 phenotype by direct up-regulation of T-bet. Loss of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells prevents ILC3-to-ILC1 conversion, increases the expression of IL-22-inducible genes, and confers protection against intestinal damage. Taken together, our results suggest that HIF-1 α shapes the ILC phenotype in the gut.

Introduction

In the small intestine (SI), the single-cell layer of epithelial cells with a rather porous mucus layer on top allows efficient nutrient absorption, at the risk of compromised barrier function and bacterial penetration (Johansson and Hansson, 2016). Therefore, these processes have to be tightly coordinated and balanced against each other, and innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) play a crucial role in intestinal adaptation to microenvironmental challenges (Diefenbach et al., 2020; Colonna, 2018). ILCs are a heterogeneous population of non-B, non-T lymphocytes with critical function for gut homeostasis (Diefenbach et al., 2014; Eberl et al., 2015; Klose and Artis, 2016). The three major groups of ILCs are defined by the secretion of distinct cytokines, the expression of lineage-defining transcription factors that define the phenotype, and distinctive surface markers. T-box expressed in T cell-positive (T-bet⁺) ILC1s, which include the natural killer (NK) cell subset, produce proinflammatory IFN- γ ; GATA3⁺ ILC2s secrete IL-5, IL-9, IL-13, and amphiregulin; and retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor γ -positive (ROR γ t⁺) ILC3s produce IL-22 and IL-17. In addition to NKp46⁺ ILC3s, murine ROR γ t⁺ ILC3s make up a NKp46⁺ ILC3 subset that

is specialized in IL-22 secretion, which induces the expression of prohomeostatic factors in gut epithelial cells to maintain barrier integrity and homeostasis (Diefenbach et al., 2014; Eberl et al., 2015; Klose and Artis, 2016; Sanos et al., 2011). Moreover, ILC3-derived IL-22 is involved in the regulation of fat absorption in the SI (Mao et al., 2018; Talbot et al., 2020; Seillet et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2014, 2017).

ILCs are highly plastic, and ILC subsets can interconvert in response to cytokines (Colonna, 2018). Notably, ILC3 plasticity has been demonstrated in mice and humans, and NKp46⁺ ILC3s can convert into IFN- γ -producing NKp46⁺ ILC1s in response to IL-12 (Vonarbourg et al., 2010; Bernink et al., 2015; Forkel and Mjösberg, 2016). This process requires a coordinate decrease in ROR γ t and increase in T-bet (Klose et al., 2013; Rankin et al., 2013; Sciumé et al., 2012). Moreover, it has been recently demonstrated that loss of T-bet in NKp46⁺ ILC3s results in accumulation of CCR6⁺ NKp46⁺ ILC3s (Schroeder et al., 2021). Of note, ILC3 to ILC1 skewing in response to the cytokine IL-12 with reduced IL-22 expression and increased levels of IFN- γ has been recognized as a pathogenic event during

¹Institute of Anatomy, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ²School of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ulster University, Coleraine, UK; ³School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Conway Institute, Dublin, Ireland; ⁴Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria; ⁵Institut für Physiologie, Universitätsklinikum Essen, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany; ⁶Centre d'Immunologie de Marseille-Luminy, Aix Marseille Université UM2, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, U1104, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique UMR7280, Marseille, France; ⁷Université de Paris, Institut Cochin, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris, France; ⁸Laboratory of Excellence GR-Ex, Paris, France.

*E. Krzywinska and M. Sobocki contributed equally to this paper; Correspondence to Christian Stockmann: christian.stockmann@anatomy.uzh.ch.

© 2022 Krzywinska et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike-No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see <http://www.rupress.org/terms/>). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 4.0 International license, as described at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>).

inflammatory bowel disease (Forkel and Mjösberg, 2016; Bernink et al., 2015).

The gut mucosa is characterized by physiological hypoxia in the healthy state, because of its juxtaposition with the oxygen-depleted lumen of the gut (Colgan and Taylor, 2010; Fagundes and Taylor, 2017; Campbell et al., 2014). Cellular adaptation to low oxygen is mediated by hypoxia-inducible transcription factors (HIFs), with HIF-1 and HIF-2 being the most extensively studied isoforms (Semenza, 2012; Kaelin and Ratcliffe, 2008). HIFs are basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors that consist of a constitutively expressed β -subunit and an oxygen-labile α -subunit. In the presence of oxygen, the α -subunit is hydroxylated by prolyl hydroxylases and subsequently degraded through the ubiquitin proteasome pathway via interaction with its negative regulator von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) protein (Kaelin, 2008; Schofield and Ratcliffe, 2004). In inflammatory bowel disease, the degree of hypoxia found in the intestinal mucosa is exacerbated, leading to a more extensive and severe hypoxia. Designated inflammatory hypoxia, it can contribute to disease development through the regulation of mucosal immune cell function (Fagundes and Taylor, 2017; Taylor and Colgan, 2017; Taylor et al., 2016; Scholz and Taylor, 2013). A recent study by Fachi et al., (2021) investigated a deletion of HIF1 α in ILCs under the ROR γ t promoter. The loss of HIF-1 α led to impaired ILC3 activation and proliferation, as well as reduced IL-17 and IL-22 production by ILC3 in vitro and in vivo. This resulted in reduced expression of IL-17 and IL-22 target genes in the gut and an increased susceptibility to *Clostridiodes difficile* infection. Although the ROR γ t promoter does not distinguish NKp46 $^-$ and NKp46 $^+$ ILCs, this finding demonstrates a critical role of microenvironmental factors such as hypoxia for phenotype and function of different ILC subsets and warrants further elucidation (Colonna, 2018; Diefenbach et al., 2014). Therefore, we examined the role of HIF-1 α in NKp46 $^+$ ILCs in steady-state gut function and murine models of inflammatory bowel disease.

Results

HIF-1 α in NKp46 $^+$ cells favors an ILC1 phenotype in the SI

To test the role of HIF-1 α in NKp46 $^+$ gut ILCs, we created an in vivo, targeted deletion of HIF-1 α via crosses of the loxP-flanked HIF-1 α allele to the *Ncr1* (NKp46) promoter-driven Cre recombinase, specific to NKp46-expressing ILC1s and ILC3s (*Ncr1*^{cre+} Hif-1 α ^{fl+/fl+} mice, termed HIF-1 α knockout (KO); Eckelhart et al., 2011; Krzywinska et al., 2017).

The distribution of ILCs differs along the gastrointestinal tract, with a progressive increase of total ILCs from the proximal SI to the distal colon (Krämer et al., 2017). First, we analyzed the abundance of the different NKp46 $^+$ ILC subsets in the lamina propria of the SI by means of flow cytometry. While the frequency and absolute number of NKp46 $^+$ ILCs (defined as CD45 $^+$, Lin $^-$, CD127 $^+$, NKp46 $^+$; see Fig. S1 B for detailed gating strategy), including NK cells (CD45 $^+$, CD127 $^-$, NKp46 $^+$, NK1.1 $^+$, Eomes $^+$), were similar across genotypes (Fig. S1 A), we noted a drastic increase in the NKp46 $^+$ ILC3/ILC1 ratio in the SI of HIF-1 α KO mice, owing to a 50% reduction in NKp46 $^+$ ILC1 (defined as NKp46 $^+$ ROR γ t $^-$ T-bet $^+$ NK1.1 $^+$ CD49a $^+$) and a 1.5-fold increase in

NKp46 $^+$ ILC3 (NKp46 $^+$ ROR γ t $^+$ CD49a $^-$) frequencies, as well as absolute cell numbers compared with WT littermates (Fig. 1 A). Besides a reduction in CD4 $^+$ T cells, other immune cell populations, including neutrophils, macrophages, eosinophils, dendritic cells, and B cells, were similarly abundant across genotypes (Fig. S1 C; see Fig. S1 B for detailed gating strategy). The ability of intestinal CD4 $^+$ T cells to produce IFN- γ and IL-22, however, is not affected by the deletion of HIF-1 α in NKp46 $^+$ cells (Fig. S1 D). Next, we analyzed NKp46 $^+$ cells for intracellular levels of the signature cytokines IFN- γ in ILC1s and IL-22 in ILC3s. In addition to the increased ILC3-to-ILC1 ratio (Fig. 1 A), HIF-1 α deficiency in NKp46 $^+$ cells led to a decrease in IFN- γ expression in ILC1s and increased expression of IL-22 in ILC3s (Fig. 1 B). Consistently, we also observed a decrease in *Ifng* transcripts but increased expression of *Il22* in the SI mucosa of HIF-1 α KO mice (Fig. 1 C), highlighting the physiological relevance of HIF-1 α in NKp46 $^+$ cells at the whole-organ level. Given the importance of IL-22 for gut homeostasis and defense, we evaluated the expression of IL-22-inducible genes in the SI. As depicted in Fig. 1 D, the gene expression of critical gut-homeostatic factors, including regenerating islet-derived protein 3 α , β , and γ (*Reg3a*, *Reg3b*, *Reg3g*), defensin α 21 (*Defa21*), and Mucin 2 (*Muc2*), was increased in the SI from HIF-1 α KO mice (Sanos et al., 2011; Diefenbach et al., 2020). Taken together, these results demonstrate that loss of HIF-1 α in gut-associated NKp46 $^+$ ILCs favors the IL-22-driven expression of prohomeostatic defense genes in the SI.

Single-cell RNA sequencing (scrRNA-seq) suggests HIF-1 α as a driver of NKp46 $^+$ ILC phenotypes

To unequivocally identify NKp46 $^+$ cells in vivo for subsequent sorting, we generated WT and HIF-1 α KO fluorescent reporter mouse lines by crossing the *Ncr1* (NKp46) Cre (Eckelhart et al., 2011) with mice that harbor the B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1(EYFP)Cos-Tg (Srinivas et al., 2001) or the B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1(EYFP)Cos-HIF-1 α ^{fl+/fl+} fluorescent reporter constructs, resulting in WT (B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1(EYFP)Cos-Tg(NCR1-Cre), termed EYFP-WT) and HIF-1 α null (B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1(EYFP)Cos-Tg(NCR1-Cre)-HIF-1 α ^{fl+/fl+}, termed EYFP-HIF-1 α KO) reporter mice. Of note, this reporter approach has been described to label up to 30% of former NKp46 $^+$ ILC3s that lost NKp46 expression with EYFP (Viant et al., 2016; Verrier et al., 2016). We verified NKp46 expression with an additional staining on EYFP-sorted cells (see Fig. S3 A). In our hands, the percentage of NKp46 $^-$ cells within the EYFP-sorted cells was ~10% across genotypes.

To determine the transcriptional profiles of sorted NKp46 $^+$ cells from the SI of WT and HIF-1 α KO mice in an unbiased approach, we performed scrRNA-seq (10X Genomics). We analyzed 12,974 single cells (6,826 WT and 6,148 HIF-1 α KO cells) and detected a median of 1,939 gene transcripts per cell in WT and 2,101 in HIF-1 α KO. This readily allowed us to distinguish the ILC1 and ILC3 populations (Fig. 2 A). Unsupervised clustering of all sequenced cells across both genotypes revealed 14 distinct clusters based on transcript signatures (Fig. 2 A), with 3 subclusters in the ILC1 population (clusters 4, 7, and 9, of which cluster 9 represents conventional NK cells) and 11 subclusters (clusters 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12, and 13) in the ILC3 subset




Figure 1. HIF-1α in NKp46+ cells favors an ILC1 phenotype in the SI. (A) Top: Representative FACS plots showing the frequency of small intestinal ILC1s, NKp46+ ILC3s in the alive Lin⁻CD127⁺ cells from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice, and the ratio of NKp46+ ILC3 to ILC1 from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice. Bottom: Quantitative analysis of frequency and absolute number of small intestinal ILC1s and NKp46+ (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n \geq 11$); data are mean values \pm SEM; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test.

(B) Left: Representative FACS plots showing the frequency of IFN- γ -expressing small intestinal ILC1s from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice stimulated with PMA/ionomycin and corresponding quantitative analysis (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 5$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test. Right: Representative FACS plots showing the frequency of IL-22-expressing small intestinal NKp46+ ILC3s from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice stimulated with PMA/ionomycin and corresponding quantitative analysis (pooled data of two experiments, $n = 5$), two-tailed Student's *t* test. SSC, side scatter.

(C) Gene expression of *Il22* and *Ifng* in small intestinal tissues from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice, as fold-change to the WT samples (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 8$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test. **(D)** Gene expression analysis of IL-22-dependent gut-homeostatic factors such as *Reg3a*, *Reg3b*, *Reg3g*, *Defa21*, and *Muc2* in small intestinal tissues from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 10$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$ by multiple two-tailed Student's *t* test.

(Fig. 2 A). WT and HIF-1α KO NKp46+ cells showed a distinct distribution across the clusters as displayed in contour plots of the Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) representation in Fig. 2 B. WT NKp46+ ILCs clustered differentially in the ILC3 clusters 1 (16.1% versus 8.9% in HIF-1α KO) and the ILC1 cluster 4 (9.7% versus 6.8% in HIF-1α KO), whereas HIF-1α KO NKp46+ ILCs were predominantly represented in the ILC3 clusters 3 (12.4% versus 5.4% in WT) and 8 (8.6% versus 5.3% in WT; Fig. 2 C). The distinct distribution of both genotypes within

the ILC3 cluster was further corroborated by a differential abundance analysis based on differential expression of cluster-defining genes (Zhao et al., 2021; Fig. 2 D). Next, we analyzed differential expression of relevant genes that encode for surface markers, intracellular proteins and transcription factors between the different clusters. Of note, clusters 3 and 8 within ILC3s are characterized by high *Rorc* (the gene that encodes for the transcription factor ROR γ t) and low *Tbx21* (the gene that encodes for the transcription factor T-bet) expression, relative




Figure 2. **Deletion of HIF-1 α alters the transcriptional landscape of NKp46⁺ ILCs.** (A) UMAP plot showing the 12,974 single small-intestinal NKp46⁺ ILCs (6,826 of HIF-1 α WT cells and 6,148 of HIF-1 α KO cells) defining 14 clusters. (B) Contour plot of the UMAP representation showing the distribution of HIF-1 α WT and HIF-1 α KO NKp46⁺ ILCs across all clusters, displayed as concatenated and separated samples. (C) Quantitative analysis of HIF-1 α WT and HIF-1 α KO cells across all clusters. Percentages of HIF-1 α WT and HIF-1 α KO NKp46⁺ ILCs within each cluster among total NKp46⁺ ILC cells (top) and percentage of occupancy within each cluster (bottom). (D) UMAP plot showing differential abundance analysis of HIF-1 α WT and HIF-1 α KO NKp46⁺ ILCs representing two distinct subpopulations.

Krzywinska et al.

The role of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ innate lymphoid cells

to most other ILC3 clusters, including cluster 1 (Fig. 3 A). This was furthermore associated with increased expression of the ILC3 signature genes (Gury-BenAri et al., 2016), *Zfp36*, *Jun*, *Batf*, *Il22*, and *Csf2* in clusters 3 and 8, respectively (Fig. 3 A). A comparison of gene expression between the two genotypes within each cluster revealed higher expression of *Rorc*, *Zfp36*, *Jun*, *Batf*, *Il22*, and *Csf2* in HIF-1 α KO NKp46⁺ cells in the majority of clusters (Fig. 3 A). Hence, loss of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells resulted in an enrichment and expansion of cells in clusters with an ILC3 gene signature. To investigate the relationship between these clusters, we performed a trajectory analysis rooted in cluster 6 using PAGA tree (Fig. 3 B). This revealed two main trajectories, along which WT and HIF-1 α KO NKp46⁺ progressed differentially. Trajectory 1 led through the ILC cluster 1 toward ILC1 clusters 4, 7, and 9 and was dominated by WT NKp46⁺ ILCs. In contrast, trajectory 2, which showed an abundance of HIF-1 α KO NKp46⁺ ILCs, led to the ILC3 clusters 3 and 8 (Fig. 3 B). Progression along trajectory 1 was associated with loss of *Rorc* expression and the up-regulation of *Hif1a* and *Tbx21*, whereas cells on trajectory 2 exhibited a decrease in *Hif1a* and *Tbx21* expression along with an up-regulation of *Rorc* (Fig. 3 C). Therefore, we concluded that loss of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ promotes an ILC3 phenotype, which eventually renders HIF-1 α KO NKp46⁺ ILC3s less prone to conversion into ILC1s. This further suggests a role for HIF-1 α in modulating the phenotype of NKp46⁺ ILCs.

HIF-1 α contributes to ILC3-to-ILC1 conversion in NKp46⁺ cells

Prompted by the scRNA profiling and the observation that HIF-1 α KO mice harbor increased ILC3 and reduced ILC1 frequencies in the gut, we wanted to test whether HIF-1 α is instrumental in the conversion of NKp46⁺ ILC3s to ILC1s. The balanced expression and relative gradients of the transcription factors ROR γ t (encoded by the gene *Rorc*) and T-bet (encoded by *Tbx21*) control the ILC phenotype and the transition between the ILC3 and ILC1 states (Klose et al., 2013; Vonarbourg et al., 2010; Diefenbach et al., 2014; Colonna, 2018). As shown in Fig. 4 A, ILC1s and NKp46⁺ ILC3s from the SI of HIF-1 α KO mice exhibited lower levels of T-bet, and in HIF-1 α -deficient NKp46⁺ ILC3s, this was associated with increased ROR γ t expression (Fig. 4 A). To investigate potential transcriptional control of *Tbx21* by HIF-1 α , we sought to analyze the relative mRNA expression of *Tbx21* and its counterpart *Rorc* in sorted WT and HIF-1 α KO NKp46⁺ ILC3s and ILC1s. To do so, we took advantage of our EYFP-expressing reporter mouse lines and performed gene expression analysis on sorted EYFP⁺, NKp46⁺, CD45^{low}, CD90^{high} ILC3s and EYFP⁺, NKp46⁺, CD45^{high}, CD90^{low} ILC1s (Li et al., 2016) from the SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO mice (Fig. 4 B). This approach also allowed us to determine the deletion efficiency for HIF-1 α defined by reduced expression of *Hif1a* mRNA, which was ~95% in both NKp46⁺ ILC3s and ILC1s (Fig. 4 C). As shown in Fig. 4 D, the loss of HIF-1 α resulted in a decrease in *Tbx21* transcripts in HIF-1 α KO ILC1s, and this was associated with lower expression of *Ifng*. HIF-1 α -deficient NKp46⁺ ILC3s showed increased *Rorc* and *Il22* expression, with unchanged levels of *Tbx21* and *Ifng* transcripts. HIFs control the transcription of target genes by binding to hypoxia-responsive elements (HREs) with the highly conserved consensus sequence 5'-RCGTG-3' within

the promoter and enhancer regions (Wenger et al., 2005; Schödel et al., 2011). We thus performed an in silico search for the most frequent HIF binding motifs, 5'-ACGTG-3' and 5'-GCGTG-3' (while excluding the common Ebox motif 5'-CACGTG-3'; Wenger et al., 2005; Schödel et al., 2011) in the promoter region of *Tbx21*. We identified three potential HIF-binding sites in the promoter of *Tbx21* (Fig. 4 E). Finally, we performed Cut & Run for HIF-1 α , an alternative method to chromatin immunoprecipitation for low-abundance cell types that allows mapping of protein-DNA interactions, with EYFP⁺ NKp46⁺ ILCs from the SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO mice after 8 h of normoxic incubation with IL-12, a cytokine that induces ILC3-to-ILC1 conversion (Bernink et al., 2015). As shown in Fig. 4 F, IL-12 induces the recruitment of HIF-1 α to *Tbx21* promoter. These findings suggest that HIF-1 α drives T-bet expression.

The cytokine IL-12 induces ILC3-to-ILC1 conversion, whereas a cocktail of IL-1 β /IL-23 reverses this process (Bernink et al., 2015). To test whether HIF-1 α is involved in ILC conversion in vitro, we made use of EYFP⁺ NKp46⁺ ILCs from the SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO mice. We sorted EYFP⁺, NKp46⁺, CD45^{low}, CD90^{high} ILC3s and EYFP⁺, NKp46⁺, CD45^{high}, CD90^{low} ILC1s (Li et al., 2016) from the SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO mice (Fig. 4 B). Next, we challenged sorted ILC3s and ILC1s with IL-12 and IL-1 β /IL-23, respectively, in the presence of the ILC-maintaining cytokine IL-7 for 20 h to monitor changes in the expression of ROR γ t and T-bet (relative to time point 0 h, as prestimulation condition). As expected, IL-7 and IL-7/IL-1 β /IL-23 induced ROR γ t and decreased T-bet expression in ILC1s (Fig. 5 A); however, there was a trend toward increased ROR γ t expression and significantly reduced T-bet expression in HIF-1 α -deficient ILC1s after cytokine challenge (Fig. 5 A). Likewise, IL-7 and IL-7/IL-12 of ILC3s led to a decrease in ROR γ t expression and an up-regulation of T-bet, both of which were less pronounced in the absence of HIF-1 α (Fig. 5 B).

Next, we sought to evaluate whether HIF-1 α is involved in the IL-12-induced conversion of NKp46⁺ ILC3s into ILC1s in vivo. For this purpose, we adoptively transferred sorted EYFP⁺, NKp46⁺, CD45^{low}, CD90^{high} ILC3s (Li et al., 2016) from the SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO mice, into lymphopenic Rag2^{-/-} γ c^{-/-} mice and allowed them to home and expand for 5 wk, followed by in vivo stimulation with IL-12 for 48 h (Fig. 6 A). Prior to stimulation with IL-12, the majority of transferred cells showed low T-bet and high ROR γ t expression, indicating a preserved ILC3 phenotype across genotypes (Fig. 6 B). Upon IL-12 exposure, transplanted EYFP-WT cells up-regulated T-bet expression and decreased ROR γ t levels, whereas in EYFP-HIF-1 α KO cells, the up-regulation of T-bet was impaired and ROR γ t expression was preserved (Fig. 6 C). This suggests that HIF-1 α controls T-bet and subsequently the ILC1 phenotype in response to IL-12 at the transcriptional level. As a consequence, loss of HIF-1 α maintains the ILC3 state in gut NKp46⁺ cells via reduced T-bet expression.

HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells mediates intestinal homeostasis

Next, we evaluated the physiological relevance of HIF signaling in NKp46⁺ ILCs in steady-state conditions. Although loss of






Figure 3. **Single-cell RNA-seq suggests HIF-1α as a mediator of NKp46⁺ ILC phenotypes.** (A) Heatmap of the expression of the main ILC markers across all clusters in HIF-1α WT (left cell) and HIF-1α KO (right cell) NKp46⁺ ILCs divided into three groups: surface markers, intracellular proteins, and transcription

factors. **(B)** Trajectory analysis of the 12,974 single small-intestinal NKp46⁺ ILCs using PAGA tree method together with the composition of clusters along trajectories based on the proportion of contributed cells. **(C)** Expression of *Tbx21*, *Rorc*, and *Hif1a* along the trajectory analysis.

HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells did not cause any obvious changes in SI histology (Fig. S2 A), we wanted to interrogate whether the deletion of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells translates into global alterations in mucosal gene expression. To this end, we performed whole-tissue RNA-seq on the SI from WT and HIF-1 α KO mice at steady state. The absence of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells led to differential gene expression with 686 up- and 549 down-regulated genes in the SI from HIF-1 α KO mice (Fig. S2 B). Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis revealed that the up-regulated genes are associated with cellular response to fatty acid, steroid biosynthetic process, hormone secretion, and ion transport (Fig. S2, C and D). In contrast, the down-regulated genes are involved in processes such as fructose metabolism, intestinal lipid absorption, positive regulation of triglyceride metabolic process, and lipid homeostasis (Fig. S2, C and D). Using quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR), we confirmed the reduced expression in mRNAs coding for key lipid binding and transport proteins, including the fatty acid translocase, *Cd36*; intestinal-type fatty acid-binding protein 2, *Fabp2*; the lipid and cholesterol transporter Niemann-Pick C1-like protein 1, *Npc1l1*; and the membrane-associated phospholipase A2, *Pla2g2*, in the SI of cohoused WT and HIF-1 α KO mice on a standard chow diet at the age of 8 wk (Fig. S2 E). At the whole-body level, this change was associated with significantly decreased serum levels of triglycerides in HIF-1 α KO mice, whereas serum cholesterol was similar across genotypes (Fig. S2 F). Although body weight was similar across genotypes at the age of 8 wk, HIF-1 α KO mice exhibited reduced body weight when the mice reached the age of 12 wk (Fig. S2 G). Next, whole-body magnetic resonance imaging to quantify the fat and lean mass of 12-wk-old cohoused WT and HIF-1 α KO mice on a standard chow diet revealed reduced fat mass and a relative increase in lean body mass in HIF-1 α KO mice (Fig. S2 H). The reduction in body fat content in HIF-1 α KO mice (12 wk) was further corroborated by a decrease in visceral fat, as evidenced by weighing of gonadal adipose depots (Fig. S2 I). This suggests that HIF-1 α expression in gut-associated NKp46⁺ ILCs promotes an ILC1 phenotype and intestinal lipid uptake. In contrast, the observed shift in NKp46⁺ cell populations toward ILC3s and the persistent increase in IL-22 in the absence of HIF-1 α promote a lean phenotype and, hence, are biologically relevant.

Tissue damage in the gut is associated with profound mucosal hypoxia (Colgan and Taylor, 2010; Fagundes and Taylor, 2017). Considering the critical role of ILC1s and ILC3s as well as IL-22 in gut homeostasis and mucosal protection against injury (Aparicio-Domingo et al., 2015), we sought to investigate the role of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells in a pathologic condition of mucosal injury. We therefore treated WT and HIF-1 α KO mice with methotrexate (MTX) to induce small-intestinal damage, characterized by mucosal destruction, inflammation, and body weight loss during 96 h (Fig. 7 A; Aparicio-Domingo et al., 2015). HIF-1 α KO mice were protected against MTX-induced intestinal damage, as evidenced by reduced body weight loss (Fig. 7 A) and an ameliorated histological disease score (Fig. 7 B). Next, we

aimed to visualize the spatial distribution of NKp46 cells in the SI mucosa by taking advantage of our EYFP-expressing reporter mice (as introduced in Figs. 2 and 3). When we visualized the spatial distribution of NKp46⁺ cells simultaneously with pimonidazole staining to detect hypoxia (<10 mmHg O₂; Airley et al., 2003) in the SI mucosa, there was no obvious difference in NKp46 ILC localization across the intestinal wall and relative to hypoxia between EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO mice (Fig. 7 C). However, when we analyzed the abundance of different NKp46⁺ ILC subsets in the SI after MTX treatment by means of flow cytometry, we observed an increased ILC3-to-ILC1 ratio in HIF-1 α KO mice that persisted after injury onset (Fig. 7 D), as well as a decreased infiltration with neutrophils and macrophages (Fig. S3 B). The fraction of IFN- γ -expressing ILC1s was decreased, while we found a higher frequency of the ILC3 cytokine IL-22⁺ ILC3s in the SI from HIF-1 α KO mice (Fig. 7 E), paralleled by a reduced *Ifng*-to-*Il22* expression ratio at the whole-organ level (Fig. S3 C) even after the onset of injury. Consistently, HIF-1 α deficiency in NKp46⁺ cells led to up-regulation of the IL-22-dependent prohomeostatic genes *Reg3a*, *Reg3b*, *Reg3g*, *Defa21*, and *Muc2* (Fig. 7 F). In summary, we show that loss of HIF-1 α confers protection against small intestinal injury by allowing a functional switch to the ILC3 phenotype, with increased expression of the prohomeostatic cytokine IL-22. This suggests that HIF-1 α expression in NKp46⁺ cells mediates intestinal homeostasis by regulating the balance between prohomeostatic ILC3 and proinflammatory ILC1 at steady state as well as during mucosal injury.

HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells alters the microbiome and susceptibility to colitis

Hypoxia and HIF signaling have also been shown to play a crucial role in the context of colitis (Fagundes and Taylor, 2017). To test the impact of HIF1 α deficiency in NKp46⁺ cells on colon homeostasis, we first analyzed the abundance of different NKp46⁺ ILC subsets in the lamina propria of the colon at steady state. Frequency and absolute numbers of total NKp46⁺ ILCs were similar across genotypes (Fig. S4 A). Of note, the colon of HIF-1 α KO mice contained twice as many NKp46⁺ ILC3s, whereas NKp46⁺ ILC1s were similar compared with WT littermates, resulting in a significant increase in the ILC3/ILC1 ratio (Fig. 8 A). All other immune cell populations were similar across genotypes (Fig. S4 B). The relative abundance of ILC3s over ILC1s (Fig. 8 A) was accompanied by a higher fraction of IL-22-expressing NKp46⁺ ILC3s and decreased frequencies of IFN- γ -expressing ILC1s (Fig. 8 B). This resulted in an increased *Il22/Ifng* expression ratio (Fig. S4 C) and augmented expression of gut-homeostatic genes such as *Reg3b*, *Reg3c*, *Defa21*, *Muc2*, and *Muc5* (Fig. 8 C) in the colon from HIF-1 α KO mice. Although the loss of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells did not cause any obvious changes in colon histology (Fig. S4 D), we considered that the alterations in ILC subsets and the IL-22-dependent gene signature (Fig. 8, B and C) could influence the composition of the microbiome




Figure 4. HIF-1α promotes the expression of *Tbx21* in NKp46+ cells. (A) Left: T-bet mean fluorescence intensity of HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT ILC1s (pooled data of three experiments, $n = 9$), two-tailed Student's t test. Right: T-bet and RORγt mean fluorescence intensity of HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT ILC1s (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 9$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$ by two-tailed Student's t test. MFI, mean fluorescence intensity. (B) Sorting strategy for NKp46+ ILCs, ILC1s (defined as EYFP+/NKp46+/CD45^{high}/CD90^{low}), and NKp46+ ILC3s (defined as EYFP+/NKp46+/CD45^{low}/CD90^{high}). (C) Gene expression of *Hif1a* on sorted ILC1s and NKp46+ ILC3s from the SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1α KO mice ($n = 4$; each point represents a pool of four mice); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; ***, $P < 0.001$ by two-tailed Student's t test. (D) Left: Gene expression of *Tbx21* and *Ifng* on sorted ILC1s from SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1α KO mice ($n = 4$; each point represents a pool of four mice); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed

Student's *t* test. Right: The gene expression of *Tbx21*, *Rorc*, *Il22*, and *Ifng* on sorted NKp46⁺ ILC3s from SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO mice (*n* = 4; each point represents a pool of four mice); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, *P* < 0.05 by two-tailed Student's *t* test. (E) Schematic diagram of HREs within promoter region of murine *Tbx21*. (F) HIF-1 α binding to HREs of *Tbx21* promoter in sorted EYFP⁺ ILCs upon in vitro IL-12-mediated stimulation.

(Britanova and Diefenbach, 2017; Sanos et al., 2011). Pyrosequencing of feces from cohoused, age- and sex-matched WT and HIF-1 α KO littermate mice at steady state revealed significant alterations at the phylum level (Fig. 8 D). We found an increase in homeostatic Bacteroidetes species and a decrease in potentially pathogenic Firmicutes species (Britanova and Diefenbach, 2017) in the feces from HIF-1 α KO mice (Fig. 8 D).

Hence, HIF-1 α -dependent regulation of the NKp46⁺ ILC phenotype influences the colonic microbiome at steady state.

During colitis, ILC3-to-ILC1 conversion is a key pathogenic event (Bernink et al., 2015; Forkel and Mjösberg, 2016), and epithelial damage in the colon further enhances mucosal hypoxia (Taylor and Colgan, 2017; Fagundes and Taylor, 2017). Therefore, we exposed WT and HIF-1 α KO mice to dextran




Figure 5. **HIF-1 α contributes to conversion in NKp46⁺ cells in vitro.** (A) In vitro IL-1 β - and IL-23-mediated ILC plasticity of sorted ILC1s (defined as EYFP⁺/NKp46⁺/CD45^{high}/CD90^{low}) from the SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO mice. ILC1s were challenged with IL-1 β , IL-23, and IL-7 (post, +IL7+IL1 β +IL23) or incubated with IL-7 alone (post, +IL7) as a control for 20 h. Top: Representative contour plots of ROR γ t and T-bet expression upon stimulation. Bottom: Quantitative analysis of ROR γ t and T-bet expression upon stimulation relative to the prestimulation (pre; pooled data of two independent experiments, *n* = 6); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, *P* < 0.05; ***, *P* < 0.0001 by two-way ANOVA. (B) In vitro IL-12-mediated ILC plasticity of sorted Nkp46⁺ ILC3s (defined as EYFP⁺/NKp46⁺/CD45^{low}/CD90^{high}) from the SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO mice. ILC3s were challenged with IL-12 and IL-7 (post, +IL7+IL12) or incubated with IL-7 alone (post, +IL7) for 20 h. Top: Representative contour plots of ROR γ t and T-bet expression upon stimulation. Bottom: Quantitative analysis of ROR γ t and T-bet expression upon stimulation relative to prestimulation (pre; pooled data of two independent experiments, *n* = 4); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; ***, *P* < 0.001; ****, *P* < 0.0001 by two-way ANOVA.




Figure 6. **HIF-1α contributes to ILC3-to-ILC1 conversion in Nkp46⁺ cells in vivo.** (A) Scheme of adoptive transfer of purified Nkp46⁺ ILC3s (defined as EYFP⁺/Nkp46⁺/CD45^{low}/CD90^{high}) from the SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1α KO mice into recipient mice Rag2^{-/-}γc^{-/-}. (B) Representative plots of EYFP⁺Nkp46⁺ ILC fate defined by CD45 and CD90 purified from recipient mice 5 wk after adoptive transfer. (C) In vivo IL-12-mediated plasticity (48 h) of EYFP⁺Nkp46⁺ ILCs in recipient mice performed 5 wk after adoptive transfer. Left: Representative contour plots of RORγt and T-bet expression before (preIL12) and upon 48 h in vivo stimulation (post-IL12). Right: Quantitative analysis of RORγt and T-bet expression upon 48 h in vivo stimulation (post-IL12, n = 2) relative to the prestimulation condition (preIL12, n = 2); data are mean values ± SEM; *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01 by two-way ANOVA.

sodium sulfate (DSS), which induces colitis characterized by mucosal damage and bacterial translocation, with subsequent inflammation and body weight loss within days (Tambuwala et al., 2010, 2015). In HIF-1α KO mice, DSS-induced colitis was ameliorated, as evidenced by reduced body weight loss and colon shortening (Fig. 8, E and F), as well as an ameliorated histological disease score (Fig. 8 G) at endpoint (day 7). Again, this was accompanied by an increased ILC3-to-ILC1 ratio in HIF-1α KO at endpoint (Fig. 8 H), with no genotype-specific differences among other immune cell subsets (Fig. S4 B). Consistently, the percentage of IFN-γ-expressing ILC1s was decreased, while we found a higher frequency of IL-22⁺ ILC3s in the colon from HIF-1α KO mice at endpoint (Fig. 8 I). Expression of the colitogenic

ILC1 cytokine *Ifng* was decreased, whereas we found an increased expression of the protective ILC3 cytokine *Il22* in the colon from HIF-1α KO mice at endpoint (Fig. 8 J). Consistently, HIF-1α deficiency in Nkp46⁺ cells led to an up-regulation of the IL-22-dependent gut-protective genes *Reg3a*, *Reg3b*, *Reg3g*, *Defa21*, and *Muc2* (Fig. 8 K). This was paralleled by global alterations in gene expression in the damaged colon, triggered by HIF-1α loss in Nkp46⁺ cells, as evidenced by whole-tissue RNA-seq. We found 179 up- and 134 down-regulated genes in the colon from HIF-1α KO mice (Fig. 9, A and B). GO enrichment analysis showed that an up-regulation of genes are involved in Ig production, immune response, defense response to bacterium, epithelium development, and carboxylic acid transport (Fig. 9, A




Figure 7. HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells mediates intestinal homeostasis. (A) Left: Scheme of the MTX-induced model of small intestinal damage. Right: Weight curve of HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice treated with MTX (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 12$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by multiple two-tailed Student's t test. IHC, immunohistochemistry. **(B)** Left: MTX-induced pathology analysis of the SI from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice as described in Materials and methods (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n \geq 7$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. Right: Representative images of H&E staining on small intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice treated with MTX on day 4 after treatment; scale bar, 100 μ m. **(C)** Representative images of NKp46⁺ cells (EYFP) and hypoxic area (Hypoxyprobe-1) immunostaining on small intestinal tissues from EYFP-HIF-1 α KO and EYFP-WT mice treated with MTX on day 1 after treatment; scale bar, 50 μ m. The enlarged part of the small intestinal tissue (white box) of

immunostainings is shown. Scale bar, 20 μm . **(D)** Top: Representative FACS plots showing the frequency of small intestinal ILC1s and NKp46⁺ ILC3s in the alive Lin⁻ CD127⁺ cells from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice treated with MTX on day 1 after treatment. Bottom: Ratio of small intestinal NKp46⁺ ILC3 to ILC1 from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice treated with MTX on day 1 after treatment (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 5$); data are mean values \pm SEM; **, $P < 0.01$ by two-tailed Student's t test. **(E)** Top: Representative FACS plots showing the frequency of IFN- γ -expressing small intestinal ILC1s and the frequency of IL-22-expressing small intestinal NKp46⁺ ILC3s from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice after treatment with MTX and stimulation with PMA/ionomycin. Bottom: The corresponding quantitative analysis of IFN- γ -expressing ILC1- and IL-22-expressing NKp46⁺ ILC3s (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 6$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. SSC, side scatter. **(F)** Gene expression analysis of IL-22-dependent gut-homeostatic genes such as *Reg3a*, *Reg3b*, *Reg3g*, *Defa21*, and *Muc2* in small intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice treated with MTX (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 12$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$ by two-tailed Student's t test.

and B). In contrast, the down-regulated genes in the colon from HIF-1 α KO mice are involved in the regulation of cytokine production, regulation of immune effector process, positive regulation of defense, and the regulation of inflammatory responses (Fig. 9, A and B). This led us to conclude that deletion of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells dampens proinflammatory ILC1-driven immune responses, while maintaining a global ILC3-dependent prohomeostatic transcriptional program in the colon mucosa.

Constitutive expression of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells reduces ILC numbers in the SI and increases the susceptibility to mucosal damage

Given the effect of HIF-1 α deletion on NKp46⁺ ILC phenotype and small intestinal homeostasis, we wanted to evaluate the impact of constitutive HIF activation on NKp46⁺ ILCs in the SI. To do so, we generated mice with an NKp46⁺ cell-specific deletion of the negative HIF regulator VHL by crossing the loxP-flanked VHL allele (Rankin et al., 2006) to the *Ncr1* (NKp46) promoter-driven Cre recombinase (Eckelhart et al., 2011), termed VHL KO (Sobecki et al., 2021). Analysis of the abundance of NKp46⁺ ILC subsets in the lamina propria of the jejunum revealed a reduced frequency of total NKp46⁺ ILCs in VHL KO mice (Fig. S5 A). This was caused by decreased levels of NKp46⁺ ILC3s and NKp46⁺ ILC1s in VHL KO mice (Fig. 10 A). All other immune subsets were present at comparable ratios across genotypes, except for a decrease in CD4 T cells (Fig. S5 B). Despite a similar ILC3/ILC1 ratio across genotypes (Fig. 10 A), VHL deficiency in NKp46⁺ cells led to higher percentage of IFN- γ ⁺ ILC1s and a decrease in IL-22⁺ ILC3s (Fig. 10 B). Consistent with the loss of a typical ILC3/IL-22 signature, VHL deletion in NKp46⁺ cells lowered the expression of IL-22-inducible and prohomeostatic genes *Reg3a*, *Reg3b*, *Reg3g*, *Defa21*, *Muc2*, *Muc3*, *Muc5*, and *Muc13* (Fig. 10 C) in the SI of VHL KO mice in the absence of any obvious changes in SI histology (Fig. S5 C). RNA-seq on the jejunum from WT and VHL KO mice at steady state revealed global changes in the mucosal gene expression pattern, with 151 up- and 165 down-regulated genes in the SI from VHL KO mice (Fig. 10 D). GO analysis of the down-regulated genes indicated, besides innate immune response in mucosa, which was due to a down-regulation of several Defensins, an involvement in regenerative processes including mitosis, DNA synthesis and replication, stem cell proliferation, and metabolic processes (Figs. 10 D and S5 D). The up-regulated genes were assigned to positive regulation of immune system process, defense to other organism, vesicle-mediated transport, and response to external stimulus (Figs. 10 D and S5 D). These observations are in line with a concept where constitutive activation of HIF-1 α in

NKp46⁺ cells counteracts a global prohomeostatic transcriptional program in the SI while favoring proinflammatory immune responses.

Next, the impact of constitutive of HIF-1 α expression in NKp46⁺ cells on small intestinal damage was tested by exposing WT and VHL KO mice to the MTX model (as in Fig. 7 A; Aparicio-Domingo et al., 2015). VHL KO mice developed severe MTX-induced intestinal damage, as evidenced by increased body weight loss (Fig. 10 E) and an aggravated histological disease score (Fig. 10 F) at endpoint. This was associated with a decrease in NKp46⁺ ILC3s and ILC1s in VHL KO mice after the onset of injury (Fig. 10 G), as well as a decrease in CD8 T cells (Fig. S5 B). Again, despite a reduction of both ILC subsets (Fig. 10 G), VHL deficiency in NKp46⁺ cells resulted in higher intracellular levels of IFN- γ in ILC1s and a decrease of IL-22 in ILC3s (Fig. 10 H). This translated into an increased expression ratio of the ILC1 cytokine *Ifng* and a reduction of the ILC3 cytokine *Il22* in the SI from VHL KO mice (Fig. S5 E), along with a down-regulation of the IL-22-dependent gut-homeostatic genes *Reg3a*, *Reg3g*, *Defa21*, *Defa21*, and *Muc2* (Fig. 10 I). This is in line with our concept that HIF-1 α activation fosters an ILC1 signature and counteracts the ILC3 phenotype in NKp46⁺ cells to facilitate mucosal injury and inflammation in the intestine.

Discussion

We provide evidence that HIF-1 α modulates the NKp46⁺ ILC phenotype in the intestinal mucosa. In gut-resident NKp46⁺ cells, HIF-1 α fosters an ILC1 phenotype and intestinal lipid uptake as well as inflammation upon intestinal damage. This is mirrored by the observation that loss of HIF-1 α confers protection against intestinal damage by allowing a functional ILC3 phenotype in NKp46⁺ cells in the hypoxic gut, with increased expression of the prohomeostatic cytokine IL-22 and impaired lipid uptake. Conceptually, this suggests a HIF-1 α -dependent trade-off in ILCs between ILC3/IL-22-dependent mucosal repair and ILC1-mediated proinflammatory response in the gut. In contrast, enhanced ILC3-driven regenerative properties in the absence of HIF-1 α come with impaired intestinal lipid uptake. In summary, our data demonstrate the pivotal role of the transcription factor HIF-1 α in controlling ILC phenotype and function during gut homeostasis.

The intestinal mucosa is characterized by low oxygen at steady state, which becomes even more severe upon intestinal injury and inflammation. The dynamics in pO₂ directly impact on the function of mucosal immune cells, which fueled the concept of “inflammatory hypoxia” (Karhausen et al., 2005).




Figure 8. HIF-1 α in NKp46 $^+$ cells alters the microbiome and susceptibility to colitis. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of large intestinal ILC1s, NKp46 $^+$ ILC3s, and ratio of NKp46 $^+$ ILC3 to ILC1 from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n \geq 10$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of colonic NKp46 $^+$ ILC3s stimulated with PMA/ionomycin and stained for intracellular IL-22 from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice ($n = 4$); data are mean values \pm SEM; **, $P < 0.01$ by two-tailed Student's t test. (C) The gene expression of IL-22-dependent gut-homeostatic genes such as *Reg3b*, *Reg3g*, *Defa21*, *Muc2*, and *Muc5* in large intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 8$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$ by multiple two-tailed Student's t test. (D) Left: Taxon-based

analysis at phylum level of fecal samples among the groups from cohoused HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 6$). Right: Relative abundance of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes in fecal samples from cohoused HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 6$); data are mean values \pm SEM; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$ by two-tailed Student's t test. **(E)** Weight curve of HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice treated with DSS (pooled data of four independent experiments, $n = 20$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$ by multiple two-tailed Student's t test. **(F)** Colon length of HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice treated with DSS (pooled data of four independent experiments, $n \geq 17$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; ***, $P < 0.0001$ by two-tailed Student's t test. **(G)** Left: DSS-induced pathology analysis of the large intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice as described in Materials and methods (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n \geq 14$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. Right: Representative images of H&E staining on large intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO and WT mice treated with DSS; scale bar, 100 μ m. **(H)** Ratio of colonic NKp46 $^+$ ILC3s to ILC1s from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice treated with DSS (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 5$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. **(I)** Flow cytometric analysis of colonic ILC1s and NKp46 $^+$ ILC3s stimulated with PMA/ionomycin and stained for intracellular IFN- γ and IL-22 from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice treated with DSS (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 5$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. **(J)** Gene expression of *Il22* and *Ifng* in large intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice after DSS treatment at day 4 post treatment, as fold-change to the WT samples (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 8$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. **(K)** The gene expression of IL-22-dependent gut-homeostatic genes such as *Reg3a*, *Reg3b*, *Reg3g*, *Defa2l*, and *Muc2* in large intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 12$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by multiple two-tailed Student's t test.

We here show that the gut environment and the cytokine IL-22 promote a proinflammatory ILC1 phenotype in NKp46 $^+$ cells in a HIF-1 α -dependent manner. Loss of HIF-1 α engages a pro-homeostatic program in the gut by favoring IL-22-expressing ILC3s.

The biological impact of HIF-1 α on the NKp46 $^+$ ILC phenotype and IL-22 expression is demonstrated by a reduction of fatty acid transporter expression in the SI of HIF1 KO mice, along with lower serum triglycerides and a reduction of total body fat and body weight in these animals. Hence, although ILCs constrain the microbiome, persistent activation results in abnormal lipid handling and tissue homeostasis. Previous studies suggested a role for IL-22 in lipid metabolism, with recent reports indicating that IL-22 promoted lipid transporter expression in the SI (Wang et al., 2017; Seillet et al., 2020) as acute response to feeding. It is likely that transient IL-22 production has a different impact on intestinal epithelial cells than the persistently enhanced IL-22 expression that we observe in mice lacking the HIF-1 α isoform in NKp46 $^+$ ILCs. In line with this, a recent study in newborn mice revealed that high ILC3 activity and IL-22 release result in increased expression of antimicrobial molecules and a decrease in lipid transporters. Consequently, these mice were leaner and had lower serum triglyceride levels (Mao et al., 2018). Likewise, a feeding-regulated neuroimmune circuit in the intestine has been shown to transiently balance the efficiency of nutrient absorption versus immune protection mediated by IL-22 (Talbot et al., 2020). Our results demonstrate that in the absence of hypoxic adaptation in NKp46 $^+$ ILCs, the host persistently trades off intestinal lipid absorption for enhanced epithelial defense and repair properties.

ILC3-derived IL-22 plays a crucial role in epithelial repair in the context of inflammatory bowel disease (Diefenbach et al., 2020). Consistently, mice lacking the HIF-1 α isoform in NKp46 $^+$ ILCs and increased IL-22 expression are protected against intestinal damage and inflammation. In contrast, in a recent study by Fachi et al. (2021), the deletion of HIF1 α in ILCs under the ROR γ t promoter led to expansion of IFN- γ -expressing ILC1s in the SI, impaired ILC3 activation and proliferation, and reduced IL-17 and IL-22 production by ILC3 in vitro and in vivo. Finally, HIF1 α in ROR γ t ILCs was required to promote IL-22 expression and protection against *C. difficile* infection (Fachi

et al., 2021). There are several possible biological explanations for this discrepancy, as different model systems were used. The ROR γ t promoter used by Fachi et al. (2021) deletes HIF1 α simultaneously in NKp46 $^-$ and NKp46 $^+$ ILC3s; however, the impact on the NKp46 $^+$ ILC population was not analyzed separately. It is therefore imaginable that the deletion of HIF1 α has distinct impacts on phenotype and function of NKp46 $^+$ and NKp46 $^-$ ILC subsets. Consistently, it has been shown that graded expression of ROR γ t and T-bet is crucial, in particular for the functional fate of Ncr-expressing ILCs (Vonarbourg et al., 2010; Klose et al., 2013; Rankin et al., 2013). Therefore, it is conceivable that the HIF-1 α /T-bet signaling axis that we describe is particularly important for the phenotype and functional of NKp46 $^+$ ILC1s. Moreover, it is important to point out that in contrast to the ROR γ t-cre, the *Ncr1* (NKp46) promoter-driven deletion of HIF1 α in the ILC3 compartment becomes effective after these cells acquire Ncr expression and an ILC1-like phenotype, with IFN- γ production and reduced IL-22 expression (Vonarbourg et al., 2010; Klose et al., 2013; Rankin et al., 2013). Therefore, the discrepancy between our report and the study by Fachi et al. (2021) might also be partially due to the use of different deleter systems that target different cell types and the different time points of HIF1 α deletion. A strength of both studies is the use of non-lymphopenic, immunocompetent mice. In this setting, the ROR γ t promoter induces deletion of HIF1 α in ILC3s as well as Th17 CD4 $^+$ T cells, and recently, an important cross-talk between the CD4 and ILC3 compartment for gut homeostasis has been demonstrated (Mao et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2019). However, the impact of ROR γ t promoter-driven deletion of HIF1 α on the CD4 $^+$ compartment was not addressed in the study by Fachi et al. (2021). Finally, another fundamental point to consider is the use of different in vivo disease models in the two studies. Whereas Fachi et al. (2021) used infection with enteropathogenic *C. difficile*, we induced chemical epithelial damage in the SI and colon by means of MTX and DSS colitis. Finally, the different anatomic localization of NKp46 $^-$ and NKp46 $^+$ cells in the intestinal mucosa (e.g., intraepithelial or lamina propria), and hence different microenvironments with distinct oxygen gradients, may contribute to the different findings.

In contrast, in NKp46 $^-$ ILC3s, HIF1 α promotes IL-22 expression and protection against *C. difficile* infection (Fachi et al.,




Figure 9. HIF-1α in NKp46+ affects global gene expression during colitis. (A) Top: Volcano plot of the gene expression profile of large intestinal tissues from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice after DSS treatment on day 4 after treatment. Red data points meet the thresholds of $\log_2(\text{fold-change [FC]})$ above 0.5 and less than -0.5, P value < 0.05. Bottom: GO term enrichment analysis of the up-regulated genes (red bars) and down-regulated genes (green bars). The vertical coordinate is the enriched GO term, and the horizontal coordinate is the percentage of identified and associated genes within a GO term group. Associated genes meet significance of $P \leq 0.001$. (B) Left: Heatmap of down-regulated gene expression of colonic tissues from HIF-1α KO mice treated with DSS (day 4 after treatment) significantly associated to identified GO terms. Right: Heatmap of up-regulated gene expression of colonic tissues from HIF-1α KO mice treated with DSS (day 4 after treatment) significantly associated with identified GO terms. Bottom: Heatmap of gene expression of large intestinal tissues from HIF-1α KO mice with a significantly differential expression to the large intestinal samples from HIF-1α WT mice from the post-filtered (cytokines, chemokines, growth factors) pool of genes.




Figure 10. Constitutive expression of HIF-1 α in Nkp46 $^+$ cells reduces ILC numbers in the SI and increases the susceptibility to mucosal damage. (A) Flow cytometric analysis of small intestinal ILC1s and Nkp46 $^+$ ILC3s and the ratio of Nkp46 $^+$ ILC3 to ILC1 from VHL KO and VHL WT mice (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 10$); data are mean values \pm SEM; **, $P < 0.01$ by two-tailed Student's t test. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of small intestinal ILC1s and Nkp46 $^+$ ILC3s stimulated with PMA/ionomycin and stained for intracellular IFN- γ and IL-22 from VHL KO and VHL WT mice (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n \geq 4$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. (C) The gene expression analysis of IL-22-dependent gut-homeostatic factors including prohomeostatic genes *Reg3a*, *Reg3b*, *Reg3g*, *Defa21*, *Muc2*, *Muc3*, *Muc5*, and *Muc13* in small intestinal tissues from VHL KO and

VHL WT mice (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 10$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$ by multiple two-tailed Student's t test. **(D)** Left: Volcano plot of the gene expression profile of small intestinal tissues from VHL KO ($n = 3$) and VHL WT ($n = 3$) mice. Red data points meet the thresholds of $\log_2(\text{fold-change [FC]}) > 0.5$ and green points less than -0.5 , P value < 0.05 . Right: GO term enrichment analysis of the up-regulated genes (red bars) and down-regulated genes (green bars). The vertical coordinate is the enriched GO term, and the horizontal coordinate is the percentage of identified and associated genes within GO term group. Associated genes meet significance $P \leq 0.001$. **(E)** Weight curve of VHL KO and VHL WT mice treated with MTX (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 12$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by multiple two-tailed Student's t test. **(F)** MTX-induced pathology analysis of the small intestinal tissues from VHL KO and VHL WT mice as described in Materials and methods (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n \geq 6$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. Right: Representative images of H&E staining on small intestinal tissues from VHL KO and VHL WT mice treated with MTX on day 4 after treatment; scale bar, 100 μm . **(G)** Flow cytometric analysis of small intestinal ILC1s and NKp46⁺ ILC3s from VHL KO and VHL WT mice treated with MTX on day 4 after treatment (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 5$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$ by two-tailed Student's t test. **(H)** Flow cytometric analysis of small intestinal ILC1s and NKp46⁺ ILC3s stimulated with PMA/ionomycin and stained for intracellular IFN- γ and IL-22 from VHL KO and VHL WT mice treated with MTX at day 4 after treatment (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n \geq 4$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. **(I)** The gene expression analysis of IL-22-dependent gut-homeostatic genes *Reg3a*, *Reg3g*, *Defa5*, *Defa21*, and *Muc2* in small intestinal tissues from VHL KO and VHL WT mice treated with MTX on day 4 after treatment (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 10$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$ by multiple two-tailed Student's t test.

2021). Campbell et al. (2014) demonstrated that transmigrating neutrophils deplete oxygen from the mucosal microenvironment and consequently stabilize HIF-1 α in intestinal epithelial cells. Likewise, genetic or pharmacologic HIF stabilization in gut epithelial cells is protective against mucosal damage, which appears counterintuitive at first sight (Tambuwalala et al., 2010, 2015). However, the protective effect of HIF stabilization in gut epithelial cells was achieved by inducing prohomeostatic genes similar to the ILC3/IL-22-dependent prohomeostatic expression pattern upon deletion of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ ILCs. These observations support the concept of cell type-specific effects of HIF transcription factors. Further evidence stems from the observation that deletion of HIF-1 α in myeloid cells ameliorated, whereas HIF-1 α deficiency in dendritic cells aggravated, experimental colitis (Flück et al., 2016; Bäcker et al., 2017).

The ILC phenotype in the gut depends on exogenous cytokines including IL-12 and ILC-intrinsic ROR γ t/T-bet gradients. Here, we show that the hypoxic response in NKp46⁺ cells drives phenotypic ILC changes in a HIF-1 α -dependent manner. HIF-1 α contributes to T-bet expression and the ILC1 state, whereas loss of HIF-1 α favors an increased ROR γ t/T-bet gradient and an ILC3 phenotype, indicating direct transcriptional control of T-bet by HIF-1 α . Our findings contrast with observations claiming that mTOR drives activation of ILC3s via a HIF-1 α /ROR γ t signaling axis, although this study did not use genetic inactivation of HIF-1 α but applied pharmacological inhibition of HIF-1 α with potential off-target effects (Di Luccia et al., 2019). HIF-1 α was also implicated in fostering the development of Th17 cells through direct transcriptional activation of ROR γ t (Dang et al., 2011). Likewise, as reported by Fachi et al. (2021) in ROR γ t-expressing ILC3s, HIF1 α binds to the *Rorc* promoter but not the *Tbx21* promoter and supports IL-22 and IL-17 expression (Fachi et al., 2021). In contrast to the investigation by Fachi et al. (2021) that analyzed cytokine expression and HIF1 α binding to the *Rorc* promoter by ChIP in the ILC3 cell line MNK3 after short-term exposure (up to 3 h) to near-anoxic conditions, we assessed *Rorc* and *Tbx21* expression after 20 h of exposure to IL-12 and HIF1 α binding to the *Tbx21* promoter after 8-h incubation with IL-12 by Cut & Run in primary murine WT and HIF1 α KO NKp46⁺ cells. Therefore, in addition to the different in vitro cell systems, the different stimuli and the duration of stimulation are likely to contribute to the observed discrepancies. Along

these lines, different kinetics of HIF binding to the *Rorc* and *Tbx21* promoter, as well epigenetic status of the *Rorc* and *Tbx21* gene that allow or prevent HIF binding in different ILC cell types and subsets, need to be taken into account.

In NKp46⁺ ILCs, HIF-1 α directly increases T-bet expression but does not increase ROR γ t expression. Transcriptional control by HIFs requires the presence of HREs within the promoter of target genes (Wenger et al., 2005; Schödel et al., 2011). Consistently, promoter analysis for *Rorc* revealed 2 HREs located in the promoter region (Fig. S5 A), while we found 3 HREs in the promoter and 14 additional HREs in noncoding regions of the *Tbx21* gene, indicating transcriptional control of T-bet by HIF-1 α in ILCs. Distinct context-specific transcriptional networks in Th17 cells and ILCs are likely to be responsible for distinct cell type-specific effects (Chang et al., 2020; Colonna, 2018). T-bet is also essential for optimal IFN- γ expression (Lugo-Villarino et al., 2003) and consistent with reduced levels of T-bet in the absence of HIF-1 α ; HIF-1 α -null ILCs show impaired IFN- γ expression. This further corroborates the existence of a HIF-1 α /T-bet/IFN- γ signaling axis.

Most studies so far have analyzed ILC functions using Rag^{-/-} mice, in which ILCs are the only lymphocyte population (Colonna, 2018). Schroeder et al. (2021) recently demonstrated that loss of T-bet in NKp46⁺ ILC3s results in accumulation of CCR6⁺ NKp46⁻ ILC3s in nonlymphopenic mice. We also used immunocompetent mice to be able to understand the impact of HIF-1 α on NKp46⁺ ILC subsets in the presence of T cells and report a decrease in T-bet in NKp46⁺ ILC3s and a decrease in CD4 T cells in the SI of HIF-1 α KO mice under steady-state conditions. The underlying mechanism remains elusive but suggests a cross-talk between ILCs and T cells in the gut (Mao et al., 2018), which is beyond the scope of the current study.

We observe differential outcome of HIF-1 α deletion in NKp46⁺ cells dependent on the gut compartment investigated. In the SI, loss of HIF-1 α decreased ILC1s and increased ILC3s in the NKp46⁺ subset. In contrast, in the colon, the NKp46⁺ population of HIF-1 α KO mice showed an increase in ILC3s, with unaltered ILC1 levels. This is consistent with the concept of varying distributions of ILC across gastrointestinal compartments, with ILC1s enriched in the upper gastrointestinal tract (Krämer et al., 2017). It is conceivable that a reduction in ILC1s is most obvious in the ILC1-rich SI. Additional differences between the SI and

colon include the microbiome composition (Britanova and Diefenbach, 2017; Diefenbach et al., 2020). Moreover, differences in mucosal oxygenation (Fagundes and Taylor, 2017; Flück and Fandrey, 2016) may contribute to the tissue-specific ILC phenotype that we observe in the SI and colon of HIF-1 α KO mice. This is in line with the recent discovery that the same ILC subtype may fulfill tissue-specific but different functions in the SI and colon (Zhou et al., 2019).

The important role of HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ ILCs is further substantiated in a mouse model with constitutive NKp46 cell-specific HIF-1 α stabilization (VHL KO). HIF-1 α stabilization enhances levels of the ILC1 cytokine IFN- γ and decreases expression of the ILC3 cytokine IL-22. This is paralleled by a down-regulation of IL-22-dependent gut-homeostatic genes and accentuated susceptibility to intestinal inflammation. Although forced HIF-1 α expression fails to increase the ILC1/ILC3 ratio in the gut, our findings support the concept of a HIF-1 α /T-bet/IFN- γ axis in gut NKp46⁺ ILCs. VHL negatively regulates both HIF isoforms, and the deletion of VHL results in constitutive expression of HIF1 α and HIF-2 α . The two HIF isoforms may exert opposing effects regarding immune cell functions (Takeda et al., 2010), which requires study to understand the role of HIF-2 α in gut NKp46⁺ cells. At that point, we also cannot exclude that VHL deletion causes HIF-independent effects that contribute to the observed phenotypes (Kurban et al., 2006; Grosfeld et al., 2007).

Conceptually, this suggests a HIF-1 α -dependent trade-off in ILCs between enhanced ILC3/IL-22-driven prohomeostatic properties of the gut mucosa and a dampened ILC1 proinflammatory response on the one hand as well as reduced intestinal lipid uptake and weight gain on the other hand. Modulation of the HIF pathway in ILCs may therefore be a therapeutic target in inflammatory bowel disease as well as metabolic disorders. In summary, our data demonstrate the pivotal role of the transcription factor HIF-1 α in ILC function, lipid metabolism, and gut defense.

Materials and methods

Mouse models

Targeted deletion of HIF-1 α in NKp46-expressing cells was achieved by crossing the loxP-flanked HIF-1 α allele and the loxP-flanked VHL allele to the *Ncr1* (NKp46) promoter-driven cre recombinase (termed HIF-1 α KO and VHL KO mice). For genetic tracing of NKp46⁺ cells, the B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1(EYFP)Cos \times B6.Cg-Tg(NCR1-Cre) transgenic mouse line was used as an HIF-1 α WT, and the B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1(EYFP)Cos \times B6.Cg-Tg(NCR1-Cre) \times C57BL/6-Hif1a(loxp) transgenic mouse line was used as an HIF-1 α KO. To mitigate the influence of strain variation, mice were kept in a >99% C57BL/6J background. Mouse experiments were performed with at least three mice per group, and multiple experiments were combined to assess statistically significant differences as noted. Littermates (between 8 and 12 wk of age) of the same genotype were randomly assigned to experimental groups and were weighed before each experiment. Males and females were used in approximately equal ratios.

For analysis of the SI, the distal half of the jejunum and the entire ileum (without Peyer patches) was used. For analysis of

the large intestine, we used the colon (large intestine without the cecum and rectum). Fat tissue explants were obtained from gonadal visceral adipose tissue of WT and HIF-1 α KO mice. All animal experiments have been approved by the veterinary authorities of the Canton of Zurich, Switzerland, and were performed in accordance with Swiss law on the care, welfare, and treatment of animals.

Serum triglycerides and cholesterol and body composition measurement

Serum triglycerides and cholesterol were measured using the UniCel DxC 800 Chemistry Analyzer (Zurich Integrative Rodent Physiology) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Mouse body composition, including fat and lean mass, was measured with EchoMRI at 12 wk of age according to the manufacturer's instructions (Echo Medical Systems, Zurich Integrative Rodent Physiology).

MTX treatment

8-wk-old mice were injected i.p. with 120 mg/kg MTX (Sigma-Aldrich) on day -1 and with 60 mg/kg on day 0. Body weight was monitored daily, and tissues were collected on days 1 and 4 after the final MTX injection (Aparicio-Domingo et al., 2015).

DSS colitis model

The DSS model of colitis was used as described previously (Chassaing et al., 2014). Briefly, acute disease was induced in 8-wk-old mice by the treatment with 2.5% DSS in drinking water for at least 6 d. The disease activity index was determined according to the parameters outlined in Tambuwala et al. (2010).

Isolation of lamina propria lymphocytes

Isolated SI and colon were washed with cold PBS and turned over, and the Peyer patches were removed. Tissues were cut in 2-cm pieces and incubated in HBSS containing 2% FBS and 5 mM dithiothreitol at 37°C, two times for 15 min with shaking (200 rpm). Next, tissues were incubated in HBSS containing 2% FBS and 5 mM EDTA at 37°C, three times for 15 min with shaking (200 rpm) to remove intraepithelial lymphocytes. The tissues were digested with 0.4 Wünsch U/ml of Liberase TL (Roche) and 200,000 U/ml of DNaseI (Roche) in HBSS containing MgCl₂ and CaCl₂ at 37°C, with horizontal shaking (200 rpm) for 30 min. Supernatants were passed through a 70- μ m cell strainer and washed three times in HBSS without MgCl₂ or CaCl₂ and containing 2% FBS. In the end, the cell pellet was resuspended in complete medium (10% FBS with penicillin-streptomycin; Goodyear et al., 2014). Lamina propria lymphocytes were stained as in the procedure below (Flow cytometry and cell sorting), and lamina propria lymphocytes were analyzed with a BD FACSymphony Flow Cytometer. Data were analyzed using FlowJo v10 (TreeStar; see Fig. S1 B for full gating strategy).

Flow cytometry and cell sorting

Single-cell suspensions of small intestinal and colonic tissues were obtained and stained. Anti-mouse CD16/32 antibody was used to block the nonspecific binding to Fc receptors before all surface staining. Cell viability was measured using LIVE/DEAD

Fixable Aqua Dead Cell Stain Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The following mAbs from eBioscience, BD Biosciences, or BioLegend were used: anti-F4/80 (BM8; 123107; 123131), anti-CD11c (N418; 117310; 117306), anti-CD8 (53-6.7; 100730), anti-CD19 (6D5; 115530; 152404), anti-MHCII (M5/114.15.2; 48-5321; 107616), anti-Ly6G (1A8; 746448; 127618), anti-Ly6C (HK1.4; 128035), anti-CD45 (30-F11; 564225; 103128), anti-CD4 (GK1.5; 565974; 564667), anti-B220 (RA3-6B2; 564662), anti-CD11b (M1/70; 564443; 101206), anti-NK1.1 (PK136; 553165; 563220; 564144), anti-Siglec-F (E50-2440; 562757), anti-TCR β (H57-597; 109210; 109206), anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ (GL3; 118106), anti-NKp46 (29A1.4; 25-3351), anti-TER119/erythroid cells (TER-119; 116206), anti-CD127 (A7R34; 135027), anti-CCR6 (140706; 747831), anti-CD49b (DX5; 741752), anti-CD49a (Ha31/8; 741976), anti-ICOS (C398.4A; 15-9949-82), and relevant isotype controls.

For detection of IL-22 and IFN- γ , in brief, cells were stimulated by PMA and ionomycin (eBioscience Cell Stimulation Cocktail plus protein transport inhibitors) for 4 h. Cells were stained with surface antibodies and fixed according to the manufacturer's protocol (eBioscience Intracellular Fixation & Permeabilization Buffer Set; 88-8824-00). Next, cells were stained with anti-IL-22 (il22jop; 17-7222-82) and anti-IFN- γ (XMG1.2; 566151).

The nuclear staining for ROR γ t (Q31-378; 562682), T-bet (4b10; 644835), GATA3 (TWAJ; 12-9966-42), and Eomes (Dan11-mag; 61-4875-82) was performed according to manufacturer's protocol (eBioscience Foxp3/Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set; 00-5523-00). Flow cytometry was performed on a BD FACSymphony Flow Cytometer. Data were analyzed using FlowJo v10 (TreeStar; see Fig. S1 B for full gating strategy).

To isolate EYFP⁺ NKp46⁺ ILCs, EYFP⁺ NKp46⁺ CD49⁺ ILC1s, and EYFP⁺ NKp46⁺ CD49a⁻ ILC3s by cell sorting, lamina propria lymphocytes from SI were stained with Abs specific for CD49b, CD49a, and Zombie Violet Fixable Viability Kit. The resulting cell populations were sorted using FACSaria III 5L into RLT Buffer and processed with Qiagen RNA extraction kit (RNeasy Micro Kit) following the manufacturer's instructions or into IMDM Glutamax supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS.

Cell culture and conversion of NKp46⁺ ILC3s

Freshly sorted small intestinal ILC1s (defined as EYFP⁺/NKp46⁺/CD45^{high}/CD90^{intermediate}) or NKp46⁺ ILC3s (defined as EYFP⁺/NKp46⁺/CD45^{intermediate}/CD90^{high}) were cultured in IMDM Glutamax supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS, β -ME (55 μ M), and penicillin-streptomycin. ILC1s were grown in the presence of (1) IL-7 (5 ng/ml; Peprotech) or (2) mixed IL-7 (5 ng/ml), IL-1 β (20 ng/ml), and IL-23 (20 ng/ml). ILC3s were grown in the presence of (1) IL-7 (5 ng/ml; Peprotech) or (2) mixed IL-7 (5 ng/ml) and IL-12 (20 ng/ml). After 20 h, cells were stained as in Flow cytometry and cell sorting.

Adoptive transfer

For adoptive transfer experiments, Rag2^{-/-} γ c^{-/-} recipient mice were injected i.v. with 8,000 small intestinal NKp46⁺ ILC3s FACS-sorted on an S6 instrument (BD Biosciences) from EYFP-WT and EYFP HIF-1 α KO mice defined as EYFP⁺/NKp46⁺/CD45^{low}/CD90^{high}. Mice were analyzed 5 wk after transfer.

In vivo plasticity

Rag2^{-/-} γ c^{-/-} recipient mice 5 wk after adoptive transfer were injected i.p. with recombinant mIL-12 (Peprotech) at 50 μ g/kg body weight. Mice were analyzed 48 h after injection.

CUT&RUN; HIF1 α binding to the *Tbx21* promoter

30,000 freshly sorted EYFP⁺ NKp46⁺ ILCs were cultured in IMDM Glutamax supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FBS, β -ME (55 μ M), and penicillin-streptomycin and in the presence of mixed IL-7 (5 ng/ml) and IL-12 (20 ng/ml). After 8 h, cells were harvested and processed with the CUT&RUN (Cleavage Under Targets & Release Using Nuclease) Assay Kit (86652; Cell Signaling Technology) following the supplier's instructions. HIF1 α (NB100-479SS; Novus) antibody was used at 5 μ g. DNA was purified using DNA spin columns and eluted in 50 μ l buffer. Finally, binding of HIF1 α onto the *Tbx21* promoter was quantified by qPCR using the following primers: *Tbx21_HRE1_F*, 5'-CCA TCTATACAAAGCTGGGGGT-3'; *Tbx21_HRE1_R*, 5'-AACTGATGG AGTGCCTGCTTA-3'; *Tbx21_HRE2_F*, 5'-ACTTCCCCTGTCTTT CGCTA-3'; and *Tbx21_HRE2_R*, 5'-AATGCTCCACAAAAGCT CG-3'.

scRNA-seq

For scRNA-seq, lamina propria lymphocytes (pooled from three mice per genotype) from SI of the B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1(EYFP)Cos \times B6.Cg-Tg(NCR1-Cre) transgenic mouse line (HIF-1 α WT) and the B6.Cg-Gt(ROSA)26Sortm1(EYFP)Cos \times B6.Cg-Tg(NCR1-Cre) \times C57BL/6-Hif1a(loxp) transgenic mouse line (HIF-1 α KO) were stained with Zombie Violet Fixable Viability Kit. Small intestinal EYFP⁺ cells from EYFP-WT mice and EYFP-HIF-1 α -KO were sorted using FACSaria III 5L into ice-cold 0.5% BSA in PBS and processed on the same day through the Chromium Single Cell 30v2 Library Kit (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturer's protocol on a Functional Genomics Center Zurich platform.

Analysis of scRNA-seq data

FASTQ files were generated using the Cell Ranger FASTQ (cellranger mkfastq; 10X Genomics) pipeline, through which resulting BCL files from sequencing were de-multiplexed using bcl2fastq (v2.17). The resultant paired-end FASTQ files were aligned using the Cell Ranger Count (cellranger count; 10X Genomics) pipeline, through which FASTQ files were aligned to the mm10 reference transcriptome (Ensembl GRCm38 primary assembly) using STAR, an open-source splicing-aware RNA-seq aligner, and then single-cell gene counts were generated. Outputs from the Cell Ranger Count pipeline were then run through the Cell Ranger Aggregator (cell-ranger aggr) pipeline to combine data from EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α -KO samples. Further analysis was performed with Loupe 5.0 (10X Genomics).

The sequencing libraries were de-multiplexed and aligned to the mouse transcriptome (mm10), and unique molecular identifiers were counted using Cell Ranger (10X Genomics) v3.0.1 by the Functional Genomics Center Zurich. Further data analysis was performed using the Seurat package v3.1 in R v3.6.2. For in-depth analysis of cell populations, only cells with counts in 1,000–4,000 genes and <10% mitochondrial

genes were retained, resulting in a final dataset consisting of 12,974 cells.

For dimensionality reduction and clustering of the final datasets, normalization, scaling, and variable gene selection was performed using the SCTransform function in Seurat, with standard settings. After principle component analysis, dimensionality reduction using the UMAP algorithm was performed using 30 principal components. Clusters were then identified using the FindNeighbors and FindClusters functions in Seurat, with resolution parameters of 0.6.

Differential abundance analysis was performed using the differential abundance sequencing (DA-seq) algorithm. DA-seq computes, for each cell, a score vector based on the relative prevalence of cells from both biological states in the cell's neighborhood. This vector is merged into a single DA measure as quantity of differential abundance. The associated prediction probability is then transformed to a DA measure of how much a cell's neighborhood is dominated by cells from one of the biological states. Cells were clustered according to their DA measure. The cells in each region represent cell subpopulations with a significant difference in abundance between biological states (Zhao et al., 2021). We used the Wilcoxon rank sum test, which assesses separation between the expression distributions of different clusters. Genes with an average of at least 0.25-fold difference (log scale) between the cells in the tested cluster and the rest of the cells and an adjusted P value <0.05 were declared significant. For pseudo-time analysis, we applied the PAGA tree method. scRNA-seq of intestinal NKp46⁺ ILCs from HIF-1 α WT and HIF-1 α KO mice have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database under accession no. E-MTAB-11238.

Histological analysis

10-mm small-intestinal and colonic tissues were removed and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and then embedded in paraffin. Alternatively, 30–50-mm small-intestinal and colonic tissues were fixed in modified Bouin's fixative (50% ethanol/5% acetic acid in distilled H₂O), and the Swiss roll preparation procedure was used. All the samples were embedded in paraffin and sectioned with a microtome. The 7- μ m sections were deparaffinized with xylene and rehydrated with graded ethanol. The sections were stained for hematoxylin (Vector Laboratories) and eosin (Sigma-Aldrich; H&E). The colonic sections were blindly analyzed and scored according to a previously described scoring system (Tambuwala et al., 2010). The four parameters used include (1) severity of inflammation, range 1–3; (2) extent of inflammation, range 0–3, (3) crypt damage, range 0–3, and (4) percentage involvement, range 0–4. Scores were calculated by multiplying the score for the first three parameters by their percentage involvement, giving a maximum score of 40. The small intestinal sections were blindly analyzed and scored according to the following four-parameter scoring system (Erben et al., 2014): (1) severity of inflammation, range 0–4; (2) extent of inflammation, range 0–4, (3) epithelial changes, range 0–4, and (4) mucosal architecture, range 0–4, with a maximum score of 16.

Gene expression by qPCR

General procedure: The tissue samples were homogenized in RLT buffer (Qiagen). Total RNA was isolated with Qiagen RNA

extraction kits following the manufacturer's instructions. For real-time PCR analysis, the isolated RNA was reverse transcribed (High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit; 4368814; Applied Biosystems). For PCR reactions, SYBR Green I Master MIX (LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master; 04887352001; Roche) was used. 10 ng cDNA was used as template to determine the relative amount of mRNA by real-time PCR (Roche Detection System). PCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 10 min followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Data were normalized to 16S mRNA levels.

The following primers were used: 16s forward primer, 5'-AGA TGATCGAGCCGCGC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GCTACCAGGGCC TTTGAGATGGA-3'; *Muc2* forward primer, 5'-TCGCCAAGTCG AACTCA-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GCAATAGCCATAGTACAGTT ACACAGC-3'; *Muc3* forward primer, 5'-TGGTCAACTGCGAGA ATGGA-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TACGCTCTCCACCAGTTCCT-3'; *Muc5* forward primer, 5'-GATCCATCCATCCATTTCTACC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TTGCTTATCTGACTACCACTTGTTGA-3'; *Muc13* forward primer, 5'-GCGGTGGAAGCACAGGTCCC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TGCTGACCGTGAAGGGGCTG-3'; *Reg3a* forward primer, 5'-TTACTCCACTCCCATCCACC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TGAGGCTTCTTTGTCTCT-3'; *Reg3b* forward primer, 5'-TGGAATGGAGTAAACATGACGTG-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GGC AACTTCACCTCACATGTCAT-3'; *Reg3g* forward primer, 5'-GCT CCTATTGCTATGCCTTGTTTAC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-CATGGA GGACAGGAAGGAAGC-3'; *Defa5* forward primer, 5'-TATCTCCTT TGGAGGCCAAG-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TTTCTGCAGTCCCA AAAAC-3'; *Defa21* forward primer, 5'-CTGATCCTATCCAAAACA CAGATG-3'; reverse primer, 5'-CAATTTTTTCATGAAGAGCAGA TCC-3'; *Ifng* forward primer, 5'-TCAAGTGGCAGATGTGGAA GAA-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TGGCTCTGCAGGATTTTCATG-3'; *Il22* forward primer, 5'-TCAGCTCAGCTCCTGTCCAT-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TCCCAATCGCCTTGATCTCT-3'; *Hif1a* forward primer, 5'-GAGCTCACATTGTGGGGAAG-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GGCGAGAACGAGAAGAAAAA-3'; *Rorc* forward primer, 5'-ACA ACAGCAGCAAGTGATGG-3'; reverse primer, 5'-CCTGGATTT ATCCCTGCTGA-3'; *Tbx21* forward primer, 5'-GGACGATCATCT GGGTCACAT-3'; reverse primer, 5'-CGCCAGGGAACCGCTTAT ATG-3'; *Pla2g2* forward primer, 5'-GCCTGGAGAAAAGTGGAT GTG-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GGCTTTATCGCACTGACACAG-3'; *Cd36* forward primer, 5'-GCGACATGATTAATGCCACAG-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GATCCGAACACAGCGTAGATAG-3'; *Fabp2* forward primer, 5'-AGCTCGGTGTAAACTTTCCC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TTCATTACCAGAAACCTCTCGG-3'; and *Npc1l1* forward primer, 5'-CGGAACACAGGACTTTACAG-3'; reverse primer, 5'-TGC TGGTAGAACACATTGGAG-3'.

For gene expression analysis on sorted EYFP⁺ NKp46⁺ CD49a⁺ CD49b⁻ ILC1 cells and EYFP⁺ NKp46⁺ CD49a⁻ CD49b⁻ ILC3 cells, ~5,000 ILC1 cells and 20,000 NKp46⁺ ILC3 cells were directly lysed using RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen) and analyzed according to the previously described procedure.

Bulk RNA-seq

The small intestinal and colonic tissues, respectively from HIF-1 α WT, HIF-1 α KO, or VHL WT and VHL KO, were directly placed into RNA lysis buffer, homogenized, and processed for subsequent RNA isolation using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNA-seq

from total RNA was performed by Novogene Europe. Differential gene expression analysis was performed using a minimum fold-change of 1.3 and a P value <0.05. GO network analysis was performed with ClueGO/Cytoscape (Shannon et al., 2003; Bindea et al., 2013, 2009).

The differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq of small intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO and VHL KO mice with corresponding WT mice under steady-state conditions have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database under accession nos. E-MTAB-11213 and E-MTAB-11212, respectively. The differentially expressed genes from RNA-seq of steady-state and DSS-induced colitis colonic tissues from HIF-1 α WT and HIF-1 α KO mice have been deposited in the ArrayExpress database under accession no. E-MTAB-11215.

Metagenomics study

The feces of HIF-1 α KO and WT mice were collected, and the bacterial populations in the samples were determined using next-generation high-throughput pyrosequencing of variable regions (V3–V4) of the 16S rDNA bacterial gene. The metagenomics workflow was used to identify organisms from a sample by amplifying specific regions in the 16S ribosomal RNA gene. This metagenomics workflow is exclusive to bacteria. The main output is a classification of reads at several taxonomic levels: phylum, class, order, family, genus, and species.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with Prism 8.3 (GraphPad Software). Statistical significance was determined by an unpaired Student's *t* test or one-way ANOVA, where appropriate. Statistical significance is indicated as *, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; ***, *P* < 0.001; and ****, *P* < 0.0001.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 frequencies and absolute numbers of NKp46⁺ cells, NK cells, and frequencies of other main immune cells populations in the SI of WT and HIF-1 α KO mice together with the gating strategy; IFN- γ and IL-22 expression in CD4⁺ cells in the SI of WT and HIF-1 α KO mice. **Fig. S2** shows representative histology images of the SI from WT and HIF-1 α KO mice at steady state; bulk RNAseq analysis and expression of *Cd36*, *Fabp2*, *Npc1l1*, and *Pla2g2* in the SI from HIF-1 α KO and WT mice; serum triglyceride and cholesterol levels, body weight, and body composition from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice. **Fig. S3** shows the gating strategy for EYFP⁺ cells and NKp46 expression in EYFP⁺ cells purified from the SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO reporter mice; frequencies of main immune cell population and the ratio of *Il22* expression to *Ifng* expression in the SI of WT and HIF-1 α KO mice after MTX treatment on day 1 after treatment. **Fig. S4** shows frequencies and absolute numbers of NKp46⁺ cells at the steady state and frequencies of other main immune cells populations in the colon of WT and HIF-1 α KO mice at the steady state and on days 4 and 7 after DSS treatment; and the ratio of *Il22* to *Ifng* expression and representative H&E staining in the colon of WT and HIF-1 α KO mice at the steady state. **Fig. S5** frequencies of NKp46⁺ cells at the steady state and other immune cell populations at the steady state and on day 1 after MTX

treatment in the SI of WT and VHL KO mice; representative H&E staining of the SI from VHL KO and VHL WT mice at the steady state; bulk RNAseq analysis in the SI from VHL KO mice and WT mice at the steady state; and the ratio of *Il22* expression to *Ifng* expression in the SI from VHL KO and VHL WT mice on day 1 after MTX treatment.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Laboratory Animal Services Center (LASC) for animal care; the UZH Irchel Flow Cytometry core facility and cell sorting core facility for cell sorting, especially Mario Wickert; the Functional Genomics Center Zurich facility for scRNA-seq service, especially Doris Popovic and Daymé Gonzalez Rodriguez; and the Zurich Integrative Rodent Physiology facility, especially Petra Seebeck and Nadine Nägele.

We acknowledge the support of the Swiss National Fund (310030_179235), the Swiss National Centre for Competence in Research “Kidney.CH” (project grant N-403-06-26 HCP), the Swiss Cancer League (KFS-4398-02-2018 and KFS-5402-08-2021), and support from the SKINTEGRITY.CH collaborative research program. M. Sobecki was supported by the internal postdoc funding program of the University of Zurich (Forschungskredit UZH Postdoc 2019).

Author contributions: E. Krzywinska and M. Sobecki designed and conducted experiments, analyzed data, prepared the figures and wrote the manuscript. S. Nagarajan conducted experiments and analyzed the data. J. Zacharjasz conducted experiments. M.M. Tambuwala and E. Cummins performed histological scoring and edited the manuscript. A. Pelletier harvested and analyzed murine adipose tissue. D. Gotthardt, J. Fandrey, Y.M. Kerdiles, and C. Peyssonnaud interpreted data, provided conceptual input, and edited the manuscript. C.T. Taylor performed histological scoring, provided conceptual input, and edited the manuscript. V. Sexl analyzed and interpreted data, helped to write the manuscript, and provided vital reagents. C. Stockmann designed and conducted experiments, analyzed and interpreted data, wrote the manuscript, and supervised and directed the project.

Disclosures: The authors declare no competing interests exist.

Submitted: 28 April 2021

Revised: 3 November 2021

Accepted: 2 December 2021

References

- Airley, R.E., J. Lancaster, J.A. Raleigh, A.L. Harris, S.E. Davidson, R.D. Hunter, C.M.L. West, and I.J. Stratford. 2003. GLUT-1 and CAIX as intrinsic markers of hypoxia in carcinoma of the cervix: relationship to pimonidazole binding. *Int. J. Cancer*. 104:85–91. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.10904>
- Aparicio-Domingo, P., M. Romera-Hernandez, J.J. Karrich, F. Cornelissen, N. Papazian, D.J. Lindenbergh-Kortleve, J.A. Butler, L. Boon, M.C. Coles, J.N. Samsom, and T. Cupedo. 2015. Type 3 innate lymphoid cells maintain intestinal epithelial stem cells after tissue damage. *J. Exp. Med.* 212:1783–1791. <https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20150318>
- Bäcker, V., F.Y. Cheung, J.T. Siveke, J. Fandrey, and S. Winning. 2017. Knockdown of myeloid cell hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α ameliorates the

- acute pathology in DSS-induced colitis. *PLoS One*. 12:e0190074. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190074>
- Bernink, J.H., L. Krabbendam, K. Germar, E. de Jong, K. Gronk, M. Kofoed-Nielsen, J.M. Munneke, M.D. Hazenberg, J. Villaudy, C.J. Buskens, et al. 2015. Interleukin-12 and -23 Control Plasticity of CD127⁺ Group 1 and Group 3 Innate Lymphoid Cells in the Intestinal Lamina Propria. *Immunity*. 43:146–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.06.019>
- Bindea, G., B. Mlecnik, H. Hackl, P. Charoentong, M. Tosolini, A. Kirilovsky, W.H. Fridman, F. Pagès, Z. Trajanoski, and J. Galon. 2009. ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation networks. *Bioinformatics*. 25:1091–1093. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp101>
- Bindea, G., J. Galon, and B. Mlecnik. 2013. CluePedia Cytoscape plugin: pathway insights using integrated experimental and in silico data. *Bioinformatics*. 29:661–663. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt019>
- Britanova, L., and A. Diefenbach. 2017. Interplay of innate lymphoid cells and the microbiota. *Immunity*. Rev. 279:36–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imr.12580>
- Campbell, E.L., W.J. Bruyninckx, C.J. Kelly, L.E. Glover, E.N. McNamee, B.E. Bowers, A.J. Bayless, M. Scully, B.J. Saeedi, L. Golden-Mason, et al. 2014. Transmigrating neutrophils shape the mucosal microenvironment through localized oxygen depletion to influence resolution of inflammation. *Immunity*. 40:66–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.11.020>
- Chang, D., Q. Xing, Y. Su, X. Zhao, W. Xu, X. Wang, and C. Dong. 2020. The Conserved Non-coding Sequences CNS6 and CNS9 Control Cytokine-Induced Rorc Transcription during T Helper 17 Cell Differentiation. *Immunity*. 53:614–626.e4. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2020.07.012>
- Chassaing, B., J.D. Aitken, M. Malleshappa, and M. Vijay-Kumar. 2014. Dextran sulfate sodium (DSS)-induced colitis in mice. *Curr. Protoc. Immunol.* 104:15.25.1–15.25.14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142735.im1525s104>
- Colgan, S.P., and C.T. Taylor. 2010. Hypoxia: an alarm signal during intestinal inflammation. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 7:281–287. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2010.39>
- Colonna, M. 2018. Innate Lymphoid Cells: Diversity, Plasticity, and Unique Functions in Immunity. *Immunity*. 48:1104–1117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.05.013>
- Dang, E.V., J. Barbi, H.Y. Yang, D. Jinasena, H. Yu, Y. Zheng, Z. Bordman, J. Fu, Y. Kim, H.R. Yen, et al. 2011. Control of T(H)17/T(reg) balance by hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *Cell*. 146:772–784. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2011.07.033>
- Di Luccia, B., S. Gilfillan, M. Cella, M. Colonna, and S.C.C. Huang. 2019. ILC3s integrate glycolysis and mitochondrial production of reactive oxygen species to fulfill activation demands. *J. Exp. Med.* 216:2231–2241. <https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20180549>
- Diefenbach, A., M. Colonna, and S. Koyasu. 2014. Development, differentiation, and diversity of innate lymphoid cells. *Immunity*. 41:354–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.09.005>
- Diefenbach, A., S. Gnafakis, and O. Shomrat. 2020. Innate Lymphoid Cell-Epithelial Cell Modules Sustain Intestinal Homeostasis. *Immunity*. 52:452–463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2020.02.016>
- Eberl, G., M. Colonna, J.P. Di Santo, and A.N.J. McKenzie. 2015. Innate lymphoid cells. Innate lymphoid cells: a new paradigm in immunology. *Science*. 348:aaa6566. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa6566>
- Eckelhart, E., W. Warsch, E. Zebedin, O. Simma, D. Stoiber, T. Kolbe, T. Rüllicke, M. Mueller, E. Casanova, and V. Sexl. 2011. A novel Nrcl-Cre mouse reveals the essential role of STAT5 for NK-cell survival and development. *Blood*. 117:1565–1573. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-06-291633>
- Erben, U., C. Loddenkemper, K. Doerfel, S. Spieckermann, D. Haller, M.M. Heimesaat, M. Zeitz, B. Siegmund, and A.A. Kühl. 2014. A guide to histomorphological evaluation of intestinal inflammation in mouse models. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* 7:4557–4576.
- Fachi, J.L., L.P. Pral, J.A.C. Dos Santos, A.C. Codo, S. de Oliveira, J.S. Felipe, F.F.F. Zambom, N.O.S. Câmara, P.M.M.M. Vieira, M. Colonna, and M.A.R. Vinolo. 2021. Hypoxia enhances ILC3 responses through HIF-1 α -dependent mechanism. *Mucosal Immunol.* 14:828–841. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-020-00371-6>
- Fagundes, R.R., and C.T. Taylor. 2017. Determinants of hypoxia-inducible factor activity in the intestinal mucosa. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 123:1328–1334. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappphysiol.00203.2017>
- Flück, K., and J. Fandrey. 2016. Oxygen sensing in intestinal mucosal inflammation. *Pflügers Arch.* 468:77–84. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-015-1722-4>
- Flück, K., G. Breves, J. Fandrey, and S. Winning. 2016. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 in dendritic cells is crucial for the activation of protective regulatory T cells in murine colitis. *Mucosal Immunol.* 9:379–390. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mi.2015.67>
- Forkel, M., and J. Mjösberg. 2016. Dysregulation of Group 3 Innate Lymphoid Cells in the Pathogenesis of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Curr. Allergy Asthma Rep.* 16:73. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11882-016-0652-3>
- Goodyear, A.W., A. Kumar, S. Dow, and E.P. Ryan. 2014. Optimization of murine small intestine leukocyte isolation for global immune phenotype analysis. *J. Immunol. Methods*. 405:97–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2014.01.014>
- Grosfeld, A., I.P. Stolze, M.E. Cockman, C.W. Pugh, M. Edelmann, B. Kessler, A.N. Bullock, P.J. Ratcliffe, and N. Masson. 2007. Interaction of hydroxylated collagen IV with the von hippel-lindau tumor suppressor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282:13264–13269. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M611648200>
- Gury-BenAri, M., C.A. Thaiss, N. Serafini, D.R. Winter, A. Giladi, D. Lara-Astiaso, M. Levy, T.M. Salame, A. Weiner, E. David, et al. 2016. The Spectrum and Regulatory Landscape of Intestinal Innate Lymphoid Cells Are Shaped by the Microbiome. *Cell*. 166:1231–1246.e13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.07.043>
- Johansson, M.E.V., and G.C. Hansson. 2016. Immunological aspects of intestinal mucus and mucins. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 16:639–649. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2016.88>
- Kaelin, W.G. Jr. 2008. The von Hippel-Lindau tumour suppressor protein: O2 sensing and cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*. 8:865–873. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2502>
- Kaelin, W.G. Jr., and P.J. Ratcliffe. 2008. Oxygen sensing by metazoans: the central role of the HIF hydroxylase pathway. *Mol. Cell*. 30:393–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.04.009>
- Karhausen, J., V.H. Haese, and S.P. Colgan. 2005. Inflammatory hypoxia: role of hypoxia-inducible factor. *Cell Cycle*. 4:255–257. <https://doi.org/10.4161/cc.4.2.1407>
- Klose, C.S.N., and D. Artis. 2016. Innate lymphoid cells as regulators of immunity, inflammation and tissue homeostasis. *Nat. Immunol.* 17:765–774. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3489>
- Klose, C.S.N., E.A. Kiss, V. Schwierzeck, K. Ebert, T. Hoyler, Y. d’Hargues, N. Göppert, A.L. Croxford, A. Waisman, Y. Tanriver, and A. Diefenbach. 2013. A T-bet gradient controls the fate and function of CCR6-ROR γ t⁺ innate lymphoid cells. *Nature*. 494:261–265. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11813>
- Krämer, B., F. Goeser, P. Lutz, A. Glässner, C. Boesecke, C. Schwarze-Zander, D. Kaczmarek, H.D. Nischalke, V. Branchi, S. Manekeller, et al. 2017. Compartment-specific distribution of human intestinal innate lymphoid cells is altered in HIV patients under effective therapy. *PLoS Pathog.* 13:e1006373. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006373>
- Krzywinska, E., C. Kantari-Mimoun, Y. Kerdeles, M. Sobocki, T. Isagawa, D. Gotthardt, M. Castells, J. Haubold, C. Millien, T. Viel, et al. 2017. Loss of HIF-1 α in natural killer cells inhibits tumour growth by stimulating non-productive angiogenesis. *Nat. Commun.* 8:1597. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01599-w>
- Kurban, G., V. Hudon, E. Duplan, M. Ohh, and A. Pause. 2006. Characterization of a von Hippel Lindau pathway involved in extracellular matrix remodeling, cell invasion, and angiogenesis. *Cancer Res.* 66:1313–1319. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-2560>
- Li, S., J.J. Heller, J.W. Bostick, A. Lee, H. Schjervén, P. Kastner, S. Chan, Z.E. Chen, and L. Zhou. 2016. Ikaros Inhibits Group 3 Innate Lymphoid Cell Development and Function by Suppressing the Aryl Hydrocarbon Receptor Pathway. *Immunity*. 45:185–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.06.027>
- Lugo-Villarino, G., R. Maldonado-Lopez, R. Possemato, C. Penaranda, and L.H. Glimcher. 2003. T-bet is required for optimal production of IFN- γ and antigen-specific T cell activation by dendritic cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 100:7749–7754. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1332767100>
- Mao, K., A.P. Baptista, S. Tamoutounour, L. Zhuang, N. Bouladoux, A.J. Martins, Y. Huang, M.Y. Gerner, Y. Belkaid, and R.N. Germain. 2018. Innate and adaptive lymphocytes sequentially shape the gut microbiota and lipid metabolism. *Nature*. 554:255–259. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25437>
- Rankin, E.B., J.E. Tomaszewski, and V.H. Haese. 2006. Renal cyst development in mice with conditional inactivation of the von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor. *Cancer Res.* 66:2576–2583. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-3241>
- Rankin, L.C., J.R. Groom, M. Chopin, M.J. Herold, J.A. Walker, L.A. Mielke, A.N.J. McKenzie, S. Carotta, S.L. Nutt, and G.T. Belz. 2013. The transcription factor T-bet is essential for the development of NKp46⁺ innate lymphocytes via the Notch pathway. *Nat. Immunol.* 14:389–395. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2545>

- Sanos, S.L., C. Vonarbourg, A. Mortha, and A. Diefenbach. 2011. Control of epithelial cell function by interleukin-22-producing ROR γ t⁺ innate lymphoid cells. *Immunology*. 132:453–465. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2567.2011.03410.x>
- Schödel, J., S. Oikonomopoulos, J. Ragoussis, C.W. Pugh, P.J. Ratcliffe, and D.R. Mole. 2011. High-resolution genome-wide mapping of HIF-binding sites by ChIP-seq. *Blood*. 117:e207–e217. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-10-314427>
- Schofield, C.J., and P.J. Ratcliffe. 2004. Oxygen sensing by HIF hydroxylases. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 5:343–354. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrml366>
- Scholz, C.C., and C.T. Taylor. 2013. Targeting the HIF pathway in inflammation and immunity. *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.* 13:646–653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2013.04.009>
- Schroeder, J.-H., L.B. Roberts, K. Meissl, J.W. Lo, D. Hromadová, K. Hayes, T. Zabinski, E. Read, C. Moreira Heliodoro, R. Reis, et al. 2021. Sustained Post-Developmental T-Bet Expression Is Critical for the Maintenance of Type One Innate Lymphoid Cells *In Vivo*. *Front. Immunol.* 12:760198. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.760198>
- Sciumé, G., K. Hirahara, H. Takahashi, A. Laurence, A.V. Villarino, K.L. Singleton, S.P. Spencer, C. Wilhelm, A.C. Poholek, G. Vahedi, et al. 2012. Distinct requirements for T-bet in gut innate lymphoid cells. *J. Exp. Med.* 209:2331–2338. <https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20122097>
- Seillet, C., K. Luong, J. Tellier, N. Jacquelot, R.D. Shen, P. Hickey, V.C. Wimmer, L. Whitehead, K. Rogers, G.K. Smyth, et al. 2020. The neuropeptide VIP confers anticipatory mucosal immunity by regulating ILC3 activity. *Nat. Immunol.* 21:168–177. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0567-y>
- Semenza, G.L. 2012. Hypoxia-inducible factors in physiology and medicine. *Cell*. 148:399–408. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.021>
- Shannon, P., A. Markiel, O. Ozier, N.S. Baliga, J.T. Wang, D. Ramage, N. Amin, B. Schwikowski, and T. Ideker. 2003. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res.* 13:2498–2504. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303>
- Sobecki, M., E. Krzywinska, S. Nagarajan, A. Audigé, K. Huynh, J. Zacharjasz, J. Debbache, Y. Kerdiles, D. Gotthardt, N. Takeda, et al. 2021. NK cells in hypoxic skin mediate a trade-off between wound healing and antibacterial defence. *Nat. Commun.* 12:4700. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25065-w>
- Srinivas, S., T. Watanabe, C.S. Lin, C.M. William, Y. Tanabe, T.M. Jessell, and F. Costantini. 2001. Cre reporter strains produced by targeted insertion of EYFP and ECFP into the ROSA26 locus. *BMC Dev. Biol.* 1:4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-213X-1-4>
- Takeda, N., E.L. O’Dea, A. Doedens, J.-W. Kim, A. Weidemann, C. Stockmann, M. Asagiri, M.C. Simon, A. Hoffmann, and R.S. Johnson. 2010. Differential activation and antagonistic function of HIF- α isoforms in macrophages are essential for NO homeostasis. *Genes Dev.* 24:491–501. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1881410>
- Talbot, J., P. Hahn, L. Kroehling, H. Nguyen, D. Li, and D.R. Littman. 2020. Feeding-dependent VIP neuron-ILC3 circuit regulates the intestinal barrier. *Nature*. 579:575–580. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2039-9>
- Tambuwalla, M.M., E.P. Cummins, C.R. Lenihan, J. Kiss, M. Stauch, C.C. Scholz, P. Fraisl, F. Lasitschka, M. Mollenhauer, S.P. Saunders, et al. 2010. Loss of prolyl hydroxylase-1 protects against colitis through reduced epithelial cell apoptosis and increased barrier function. *Gastroenterology*. 139:2093–2101. <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2010.06.068>
- Tambuwalla, M.M., M.C. Manresa, E.P. Cummins, V. Aversa, I.S. Coulter, and C.T. Taylor. 2015. Targeted delivery of the hydroxylase inhibitor DMOG provides enhanced efficacy with reduced systemic exposure in a murine model of colitis. *J. Control. Release*. 217:221–227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2015.09.022>
- Taylor, C.T., and S.P. Colgan. 2017. Regulation of immunity and inflammation by hypoxia in immunological niches. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 17:774–785. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri.2017.103>
- Taylor, C.T., G. Doherty, P.G. Fallon, and E.P. Cummins. 2016. Hypoxia-dependent regulation of inflammatory pathways in immune cells. *J. Clin. Invest.* 126:3716–3724. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI84433>
- Verrier, T., N. Satoh-Takayama, N. Serafini, S. Marie, J.P. Di Santo, and C.A.J. Vosshenrich. 2016. Phenotypic and Functional Plasticity of Murine Intestinal NKp46⁺ Group 3 Innate Lymphoid Cells. *J. Immunol.* 196:4731–4738. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1502673>
- Viant, C., L.C. Rankin, M.J.H. Girard-Madoux, C. Seillet, W. Shi, M.J. Smyth, L. Bartholin, T. Walzer, N.D. Huntington, E. Vivier, and G.T. Belz. 2016. Transforming growth factor- β and Notch ligands act as opposing environmental cues in regulating the plasticity of type 3 innate lymphoid cells. *Sci. Signal.* 9:ra46. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aaf2176>
- Vonarbourg, C., A. Mortha, V.L. Bui, P.P. Hernandez, E.A. Kiss, T. Hoyler, M. Flach, B. Bengsch, R. Thimme, C. Hölscher, et al. 2010. Regulated expression of nuclear receptor ROR γ t confers distinct functional fates to NK cell receptor-expressing ROR γ t⁺ innate lymphocytes. *Immunity*. 33:736–751. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2010.10.017>
- Wang, X., N. Ota, P. Manzanillo, L. Kates, J. Zavala-Solorio, C. Eidenschenk, J. Zhang, J. Lesch, W.P. Lee, J. Ross, et al. 2014. Interleukin-22 alleviates metabolic disorders and restores mucosal immunity in diabetes. *Nature*. 514:237–241. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13564>
- Wang, Y., Z. Kuang, X. Yu, K.A. Ruhn, M. Kubo, and L.V. Hooper. 2017. The intestinal microbiota regulates body composition through NFIL3 and the circadian clock. *Science*. 357:912–916. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan0677>
- Wenger, R.H., D.P. Stiehl, and G. Camenisch. 2005. Integration of oxygen signaling at the consensus HRE. *Sci. STKE*. 2005:re12. <https://doi.org/10.1126/stke.3062005re12>
- Zhao, J., A. Jaffe, H. Li, O. Lindenbaum, E. Sefik, R. Jackson, X. Cheng, R.A. Flavell, and Y. Kluger. 2021. Detection of differentially abundant cell subpopulations in scRNA-seq data. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 118:e2100293118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2100293118>
- Zhou, L., C. Chu, F. Teng, N.J. Bessman, J. Goc, E.K. Santosa, G.G. Putzel, H. Kabata, J.R. Kelsen, R.N. Baldassano, et al. 2019. Innate lymphoid cells support regulatory T cells in the intestine through interleukin-2. *Nature*. 568:405–409. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1082-x>

Supplemental material




Figure S1. **HIF-1α in NKp46+ cells favors an ILC1 phenotype and controls homeostasis in the SI.** (A) The frequency and absolute number (Nb) of small intestinal NKp46+ ILCs together with NK cells in CD45+ population, from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n \geq 7$); data are mean values \pm SEM; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (B) Gating strategy, upper panel: ILC characterization, the analysis of alive CD45+ Lin⁻ CD127⁻ ILCs; ILC1 (NKp46⁺ RORγt⁻ T-bet⁺ NK1.1⁺ CD49a⁺); NKp46⁺ ILC3 (NKp46⁺ RORγt⁺ CD49a⁻). Lower panel: lymphoid and myeloid cell characterization: the analysis of alive CD45+ cells; neutrophils (CD11b⁺ Ly6G⁺); eosinophils (CD11b⁺ Siglec-F⁺); macrophages (CD11b⁺ Ly6C⁺ F4/80⁺); dendritic cells (MHCI⁺ CD11c⁺); B cells (CD19⁺ B220⁺); CD4 cells (TCRβ⁺ CD4⁺); and CD8 cells (TCRβ⁺ CD8⁺). FSC, forward scatter; SSC, side scatter. (C) Flow cytometric analysis of alive CD45+ cells, neutrophils (NPs), macrophages (Mφ), eosinophils (Eos), B cells, total T cells, CD4 cells, CD8 cells, and dendritic cells from steady-state small intestinal tissues from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n \geq 7$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test. (D) Flow cytometric analysis of CD4+ cells stimulated with PMA/ionomycin and stained for intracellular IFN-γ and IL-22 from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice ($n = 6$); data are mean values \pm SEM; two-tailed Student's *t* test.




Figure S2. HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells favors an ILC1 phenotype and controls homeostasis in the SI. (A) Representative H&E staining of small intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice at steady state; scale bar, 100 μ m. (B) Volcano plot of the gene expression profile of small intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice. Red data points meet the thresholds of $\log_2(\text{fold-change [FC]}) > 0.5$ and green points less than -0.5 ; $P < 0.05$. (C) GO term enrichment analysis of the up-regulated genes (red bars) and down-regulated genes (green bars). The vertical coordinate is the enriched GO term, and the horizontal coordinate is the percentage of identified and associated tissues within a GO term group. Associated genes met the significance ($P \leq 0.001$). (D) Left: Heatmap of down-regulated gene expression of small intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO mice significantly associated with identified GO terms. Right: Heatmap of up-regulated gene expression of small intestinal tissues from HIF-1 α KO mice significantly associated with identified GO terms. (E) Gene expression analysis of *Cd36*, *Fabp2*, *Npc111*, and *Pla2g2* in small intestinal tissue from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice (pooled data of three independent experiments, $n = 9$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test. (F) Serum triglyceride and cholesterol levels from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice (pooled data of four independent experiments, $n > 12$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test. (G) Body weight of 8-wk-old (left) and 12-wk-old (right) HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice (pooled data of four independent experiments, $n > 20$); data are mean values \pm SEM; ****, $P < 0.0001$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test. (H) Ratio of adipose weight to total weight (left) and ratio of lean weight to total weight from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice determined by EchoMRI (pooled data of four independent experiments, $n > 16$); data are mean values \pm SEM; ***, $P < 0.001$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test. (I) Visceral fat mass from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice (pooled data of four independent experiments, $n = 20$); data are mean values \pm SEM; ***, $P < 0.001$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test.




Figure S3. **HIF-1 α in NKp46⁺ cells controls susceptibility to the small intestinal damage induced by MTX.** (A) NKp46 expression status of EYFP⁺ cells purified from SI of EYFP-WT and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO mice. Top: Gating strategy for EYFP⁺ cells. Bottom: Representative dot plots of NKp46⁺ expression by EYFP⁺ cells together with no NKp46⁺ staining control purified from SI of EYFP-WT (WT1, WT2) and EYFP-HIF-1 α KO (KO1, KO2) mice with corresponding quantitative analysis. FSC, forward scatter; SSC, side scatter. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of alive CD45⁺ cells, neutrophils (NPs), macrophages (M ϕ), eosinophils (Eos), B cells, total T cells, CD4 cells, CD8 cells, and dendritic cells from small intestinal tissues after MTX treatment on day 1 after treatment from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice ($n \geq 4$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test. (C) Ratio of *Il22* expression to *Irfng* expression in small intestinal tissues after MTX treatment from HIF-1 α KO and HIF-1 α WT mice (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 6$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's t test.




Figure S4. **HIF-1α in NKp46+ cells controls the microbiome and susceptibility to colitis.** (A) The frequency and absolute number (Nb) of large intestinal NKp46+ ILCs in CD45+ population from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice (pooled data of four independent experiments, $n \geq 13$); data are mean values \pm SEM; two-tailed Student's *t* test. (B) Flow cytometric analysis of alive CD45+ cells, neutrophils (NPs), macrophages (Mφ), eosinophils (Eos), B cells, total T cells, CD4 cells, CD8 cells, and dendritic cells at the steady state and after DSS treatment on day 4 after treatment and day 7 after treatment from large intestinal tissues from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice (pooled data of four independent experiments for steady-state analysis, $n = 10$; one experiment for DSS midpoint analysis, $n \geq 3$; two experiments for DSS endpoint analysis, $n = 6$); data are mean values \pm SEM; two-way ANOVA test. (C) Ratio of *Il22* expression to *Ifng* expression in large intestinal tissues from HIF-1α KO and HIF-1α WT mice (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 6$); data are mean values \pm SEM; **, $P < 0.01$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test. (D) Representative H&E staining of large intestinal tissues from HIF-1α KO and WT mice; scale bar, 100 μ m.




Figure S5. Constitutive expression of HIF-1α in NKp46+ cells reduces ILC numbers in the SI and increases the susceptibility to mucosal damage. **(A)** The frequency of small intestinal NKp46+ ILCs in CD45 population from VHL KO and VHL WT mice (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n \geq 5$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test. **(B)** Flow cytometric analysis of alive CD45+ cells, neutrophils (NPs), macrophages (Mφ), eosinophils (Eos), B cells, total T cells, CD4 cells, CD8 cells, and dendritic cells at the steady state and after MTX treatment on day 1 after treatment from small intestinal tissues from VHL KO and VHL WT mice ($n \geq 3$); data are mean values \pm SEM; *, $P < 0.05$ by two-way ANOVA. **(C)** Representative H&E staining of small intestinal tissues from VHL KO and VHL WT mice; scale bar, 100 μ m. **(D)** Top: Heatmap of down-regulated gene expression of small intestinal tissues from VHL KO mice significantly associated with identified GO terms. Middle: Heatmap of up-regulated gene expression of small intestinal tissues from VHL KO mice significantly associated with identified GO terms. Bottom: Heatmap of gene expression of small intestinal tissues from VHL KO mice with a significantly differential expression to the small intestinal samples from VHL WT mice from the post-filtered (cytokines, chemokines, growth factors) pool of genes. FC, fold-change. **(E)** Ratio of *Il22* expression to *Irfng* expression in small intestinal tissues from VHL KO and VHL WT mice (pooled data of two independent experiments, $n = 6$); data are mean values \pm SEM; **, $P < 0.01$ by two-tailed Student's *t* test.