### Review Article

## Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor-y Ligands: Potential Pharmacological Agents for Targeting the Angiogenesis Signaling Cascade in Cancer

#### Costas Giaginis,<sup>1, 2</sup> Anna Tsantili-Kakoulidou,<sup>2</sup> and Stamatios Theocharis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, Medical School, University of Athens, 11527 Athens, Greece <sup>2</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, Zografou, 15771 Athens, Greece

Correspondence should be addressed to Stamatios Theocharis, theocharis@ath.forthnet.gr

Received 17 January 2008; Accepted 25 March 2008

Recommended by Dipak Panigrahy

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$  (PPAR- $\gamma$ ) has currently been considered as molecular target for the treatment of human metabolic disorders. Experimental data from in vitro cultures, animal models, and clinical trials have shown that PPAR- $\gamma$  ligand activation regulates differentiation and induces cell growth arrest and apoptosis in a variety of cancer types. Tumor angiogenesis constitutes a multifaceted process implicated in complex downstream signaling pathways that triggers tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis. In this aspect, accumulating in vitro and in vivo studies have provided extensive evidence that PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands can function as modulators of the angiogenic signaling cascade. In the current review, the crucial role of PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands and the underlying mechanisms participating in tumor angiogenesis are summarized. Targeting PPAR- $\gamma$  may prove to be a potential therapeutic strategy in combined treatments with conventional chemotherapy; however, special attention should be taken as there is also substantial evidence to support that PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands can enhance angiogenic phenotype in tumoral cells.

Copyright © 2008 Costas Giaginis et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Angiogenesis, the development of new capillaries from preexisting microvessels, plays a crucial role in several normal physiological processes, such as embryonic development, ovulation, wound healing, as well as tissue and organ regeneration. Angiogenesis also constitutes a crucial step in the aetiology of diverse pathological states, including cancer, diabetic retinopathy, age-related macular degeneration, psoriasis, and rheumatoid arthritis [1, 2]. In the last few years, the complicated biochemical mechanisms governing neovessel formation have been well established. These include the proliferation of endothelial cells (ECs) from preexisting capillaries, the breakdown and reassembly of the extracellular matrix (ECM) and the morphogenic process of endothelial tube formation [2, 3]. Numerous growth factors, including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) family, basic fibroblast growth factors (bFGFs), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF),

placenta growth factor (PGF), matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), ephrin family, angiopoetin-1 (Ang-1), interleukins (IL-2, -6, -8), as well as various endothelial surface molecules such CD31, CD34, CD36, CD144, and  $a_vb_3$  integrins, have been found to control essential steps within angiogenesis process [1–3]. The generation and release of antiangiogenic factors, such as interferon (INF) - $\alpha$ , - $\beta$ , - $\gamma$ , platelet factor 4 (PF4), and tissue inhibitors of MMPs (TIMPs) contribute to the coordinated downregulation of the angiogenic process within physiologic angiogenesis [4].

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily of ligand-activated transcription factors and include three different isotypes: PPAR- $\alpha$ , PPAR- $\beta/\delta$ , and PPAR- $\gamma$  [5, 6]. PPAR- $\gamma$ , the most extensively studied amongst them, functions as ligand-activated transcription factor by binding to specific DNA sequences, termed to as peroxisome proliferator response elements (PPREs), in the promoter of the target genes only as a heterodimer with the retinoid X receptor (RXR) [7-9]. PPRE has been mainly identified in the upstream regulatory sequences of genes related to metabolic pathways [7-9]. In addition, recent studies have revealed that PPAR-y can regulate gene expression independently of PPRE, either by suppressing growth hormone protein-1 (GHP-1), a transcription factor involved in pituitary specific gene expression, or by interfering with the function of activator protein-1 (AP-1), signal transducer and activator of transcription-1 (STAT-1) and nuclear factor- $\kappa$ B (NF- $\kappa$ B) [7, 10-12]. In this context, the identification of a sumoylationdependent pathway by which PPAR-y represses transcriptional activation of inflammatory response genes has recently been reported [13]. This mechanism provides a possible explanation for how ligand-bound PPAR-y activation can be converted from an activator of transcription to a promoterspecific repressor of NF- $\kappa$ B target genes [13].

A wide range of natural and synthetic structurally diverse compounds has been reported as potent PPAR-y ligands. The long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and their derivatives, such as 15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$ -prostaglandin J<sub>2</sub> (15d-PGJ2), as well as nitrolinoleic acids are known natural occurring PPAR-y ligands [14, 15]. Recently, curcumin, a well-documented anticancer phytochemical component of turmeric, has been shown to exert anti-inflammatory functions via upregulation of PPAR-y activation [16]. Thiazolidinediones (TZDs) and tyrosine-based derivatives, such as glitazars (tesaglitazar, farglitazar), constitute the most well-known synthetic ligands [17, 18], while relatively lower binding affinity for PPAR-y has also been reported for some nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) [19]. TZDs represent a promising class of oral antidiabetic agents, some of which are already marketed drugs (pioglitazone-PGZ and rosiglitazone-RGZ) for the treatment of type II diabetes mellitus [20]. Interestingly, a wide spectrum of action for TZDs beyond the treatment of diabetes, including anti-inflammatory and antineoplastic properties, as well as targeting signaling pathways implicated in atherosclerosis and osteoporosis has been reported [21-23]. In the last decade, more than 1000 PPAR-y ligands belonged to several distinct chemical classes have been synthesized and evaluated for their binding and transactivation to their receptor. In this aspect, screening drug-like characteristics in the chemical space of PPAR-y ligands have currently been considered as an emerging demand in the aim to discover more potent compounds with improved absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion/toxicity (ADME/Tox) properties, avoiding potential toxic side effects, as well as pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic problems [24, 25].

To date, there has been a substantial accumulation of evidence that PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands exert regulatory effects on angiogenesis process related to diverse disease states, including cancer and diabetes [26–28]. It is also well documented that they directly affect tumor cells by inhibiting cell growth and inducing cell differentiation and apoptosis in various cancer types [21, 29, 30]. In view of the fact that angiogenesis is implicated in tumor development and metastasis and its inhibition could serve as potent antitumor side-therapeutic approach, the current review summarizes the latest knowledge of the role of PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands in angiogenesis related to cancer, highlighting in the underlying mechanisms.

#### 2. ANGIOGENESIS IN CANCER

Tumor angiogenesis constitutes an essential component of tumor growth, invasion, and metastasis that depends on a net balance of angiogenic and antiangiogenic mediators, which are secreted by both tumor and host infiltrating cells [31]. Currently, it is well established that this dynamic balance between angiogenic stimulators and inhibitors, controls the angiogenic signaling cascade governing the transformation of a tumor from a nonangiogenic to an angiogenic phenotype [32]. The acquisition of angiogenic phenotype has been considered as a rate-limiting step in tumor progression, which allows the tumor to transform from a small lesion to a rapidly expanding mass with metastatic potency [33]. On the other hand, human tumors arise in the absence of angiogenic activity and may exist in a microscopic dormant state for months to years without neovascularization [34]. In this context, hypoxia, developed within rapidly proliferating tissues or as a result of the occlusion of blood vessels, has been considered as a primary physiological regulator of the angiogenic switch [35]. The key mediators of this response are members of the hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF) family of proteins that function as transcriptional regulators, stimulating the expression of a multitude of genes important for oxygen homeostasis [36, 37]. In addition, HIF has been found to enhance the expression of several angiogenic mediators, including VEGF-R1, VEGF-R2, Ang-1, Ang-2, MMP-2, and MMP-9 in malignant tumors [36, 38].

In response to hypoxia, tumor cells turn on the angiogenic signaling cascade by secreting various potent angiogenic mediators, such as VEGF, PDGF, bFGFs, angiopoetins, HGF, fibronectin, and heparanase that in turn activate endothelial cells of preexisting capillaries to produce MMPs for the collapse of ECM [39]. Degradation of ECM by MMPs allows endothelial cells to migrate in response to chemotactic growth factors, including VEGF, PDGF, and bFGFs [33, 39]. Members of CXC chemokine family, such as IL-2, -6, -8, and integrins  $\alpha_{\rm v}\beta_3$ , are also involved in the angiogenic cascade. It should be noted that in the case of high progressive tumors, the release of endogenous antiangiogenic factors are insufficient to counteract the net effect of angiogenic ones. Thus, the formation of new blood vessel is formed after attracting accessory cells, mainly pericytes and smooth muscle cells, producing a new basement membrane and a firm ECM [39, 40]. The above-mentioned angiogenic mediators have been joined by others including Notch/Delta, semaphorin, ephrin, and roundabout/slit families of proteins [40]. Besides this, blockage of NF- $\kappa$ B activity has been shown to reduce VEGF gene expression in highly malignant tumor cells, since a binding site for this transcription factor has been identified within the VEGF promoter [41]. Each of the sequential steps within angiogenic cascade could be considered as a potential single target for the development of new drug candidates against tumor vasculogenesis.

Currently, numerous therapeutic approaches have been designed in the aim to control tumor angiogenic cascade



FIGURE 1: The network of the components implicated in the angiogenesis process in cancer and the impact of PPAR-*y* ligands illustrated by blue color.

by targeting the above-mentioned angiogenic mediators [40, 42]. In this context, more than a few angiogenesis inhibitors have already been approved for the treatment of cancer, while several compounds are in the late stage of clinical trials. The main category of the antiangiogenic compounds exerts its action indirectly either by neutralization of tumor-derived angiogenic factors or preventing the receptors/signaling pathways of these growth factors. In this regard, VEGF isoforms and their tyrosine kinase receptors VEGFRs, as well as epidermal growth factor (EGF) and its receptor (EGFR) are currently explored in clinical trials as drug candidates against cancer [43–45].

With respect to angiogenesis inhibitors, several angiostatic compounds, such as endostatin, thrombospodin-1 (TSP-1), tumstatin, angiostatin, and 16-kDa N-terminal fragment of human prolactin (16K hPRL) have been reported to directly and selectively suppress endothelial cell migration inducing EC apoptosis and cell cycle arrest within tumor neovascularization [46, 47]. It should be mentioned that most of these angiostatic compounds are also naturally occurring molecules that compensate with angiogenic factors in order to control angiogenic cascade in normal physiologic conditions. In addition, targeting MMPs by such agents has been reported, underlining the importance of ECM remodeling during angiogenesis process. Activation of NF- $\kappa$ B may also be a possible mechanism of such angiostatic agents to induce EC apoptosis and to improve immune response within angiogenesis process [46, 47].

#### INHIBITION OF ANGIOGENESIS BY PPAR-γ LIGANDS

PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands can regulate tumor angiogenesis via direct effects on ECs proliferation and migration and/or through indirect mode of action by affecting the counterbalance between angiogenic and antiangiogenic mediators (Figure 1, Table 1).

#### 3.1. Direct effects on endothelium

PPAR- $\gamma$  has been reported to be expressed in endothelial cells and PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands are well established to exert direct effects on them [48, 49]. PPAR- $\gamma$  activation by either naturally occurring or synthetic ligands resulted in potent inhibition of growth factor-induced differentiation and proliferation in human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) and choroidal endothelial cells (CECs) [48, 49]. In this regard, PPAR-y dependent mode of action has been shown to stimulate caspase-mediated ECs apoptosis [50]. Importantly, RGZ levels able to inhibit ECs proliferation are readily achieved in patients undergoing standard antidiabetic RGZ treatment [51]. Moreover, both RGZ and PGZ, at relative pharmacological concentrations, resulted in a strong prevention of VEGF-induced tube formation and ECs migration [52, 53]. Mechanistically, it has been supported that angiogenesis inhibition by RGZ in HUVECs involves a proapoptotic mechanism which includes the implication of the PPAR-ymediated NO production and the maxi-K channel activation [54]. Maxi-K channels, essential mediators of vascular remodeling and angiogenesis, are synergically regulated by various intracellular second messengers including NO [54]. Hence, a possible proapoptotic mechanism for the PPAR-ymediated NO production has been suggested [55]. Recently, pigment epithelium-derived factor (PEDF), a potent antiangiogenic glycoprotein, has been shown to stimulate HUVECs apoptosis through sequential induction in the expression and transcriptional activity of PPAR-y. PEDF upregulated p53 expression via PPAR- $\gamma$ , supporting evidence that p53 may be a major target in PPAR-y mediated ECs apoptosis [56].

PPAR-*y* has also been reported to be expressed in tumor ECs, presenting a relative overexpression in tumor-induced endothelial sprouts compared to normal endothelium. In this case, endothelial and tumoral cells have been shown to display inhibition even at low TZDs doses [57]. Importantly, TZDs inhibited tumor cell invasion across blood vessel endothelium. In fact, RGZ at concentrations close to the range of its binding affinity for PPAR-*y* [8] exerted inhibitory effects on tumor angiogenesis in malignant cell lines and in immunodeficient mice with transplanted tumors [57]. In this regard, it should be mentioned that RGZ concentrations of  $5 \mu$ M and higher led to the phosphorylation of eIF- $2\alpha$  in HUVECs, supporting evidence that the inhibition of ECs proliferation could also be mediated through a PPAR-*y* independent pathway. However, at even lower concentration

PPAR-γ ligands	Type of cells/organisms	Effects	Ref.
	In vitro		
	Glioblastoma U87	VEGF↓	[57]
PPAR-y ligands RGZ TGZ CGZ	Lewis lung carcinoma	VEGF↓	[57]
	Pancreatic tumor AsPC-1 cells	tPA↓	[58]
	Human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-	TIMP-1 <sup>†</sup>	[59]
	231	gelatinases↓	
RGZ	Transformed human endometrial cells	VEGF↓	[60]
	(transiently transfected Ishikawa cells)		
	Human anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cells	invasive potential↓	[61]
	In vivo		
	Chick chorioallantoic membrane	Choroidal	[57]
		neovascularization	[0,]
	C57/BL6 xenografted with 2531 B-v	Neovascularization	[62]
	bladder tumor cells	FC apoptosis <sup>†</sup>	[02]
	In vitro		
	Human non small cell lung	FIR + CXC	[63]
	cancer cells A459	chemokines	[00]
TGZ		chemokines+	
	C57/BI 6 venografted with 253I B v	Neovascularization	[62]
	bladder tumor cells	FC apoptosist	[02]
	Human non small soll lung corsinoma	BCE COX 21	[64]
	A 427 and A 540 coll	FGE <sub>2</sub> , COX-24	[04]
	Human overian cancer cells OVCAP 2	VECE PCE	[65]
CGZ	DISS	ELR + CXC chemokines1 Neovascularization1 EC apoptosis1 PGE <sub>2</sub> , COX-21 VEGF, PGE <sub>2</sub> 1 VEGF, PGE <sub>2</sub> 1 VEGF, bFGF1 ELR + CXC chemokines1	[65]
	La vivo		
	III VIVO	VECE DCE	[65]
	OVCAR 2 or DISS	$V EGF, PGE_2 \downarrow$	[65]
	UVCAR-2 01 D155		
	III vitto	VECE FECE	[66]
	Renai cell carcinoma cells SMIK I-R-1, -2,	v EGF, DFGF↓	[00]
PG7	-3, -4	Effects VEGF1 VEGF1 VEGF1 itPA1 TIMP-11 gelatinases1 VEGF1 invasive potential1 Choroidal neovascularization1 Neovascularization1 EC apoptosis1 ELR + CXC chemokines1 Neovascularization1 EC apoptosis1 PGE2, COX-21 VEGF, PGE21 VEGF, PGE21 VEGF, PGE21 VEGF, bFGF1 ELR + CXC chemokines1 invasive potential1 VEGF1 VEGF1 VEGF1 VEGF1 VEGF1 VEGF1 VEGF1 Neovascularization1 EC apoptosis1	[62]
102	cancer cells 4450		[03]
	Human anoplastic thuraid carcinome colle		[61]
	MSA LAA DOA K110 and KOA 2		[01]
	MSA, IAA, ROA, K119 and ROA-2		
	III vitro	VECE FECE	[66]
	Human gastric cancer	v EGF, DFGF↓	[60]
	colle MKN45	Ang-1‡	[07]
	Human DC 3 calls	VECET	[20]
	Human 5637 urinary bladdar calls	VEGET	[00]
	Human breast MCE 7 colle	VEGET	[08]
15d-PGI2	Human anaplastic thyroid carcinoma colle	invasive potential	[09]
150-1012	MSA, IAA, ROA, K119, KOA-2		[01]
	Human pancreatic cancer cells BxPC-3	MMP-2, -9↓	[70]
	Transformed human endometrial cells	VEGF↓	[60]
	(transiently transfected Ishikawa cells)		
	In vivo		
	C57/BL6 xenografted with 253J B-v	Neovascularization↓	[62]
	bladder tumor cells	EC apoptosis↑	

TABLE 1: Effects of PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands on tumor angiogenesis.

PPAR-y ligands	Type of cells/organisms	Effects	Ref.
RS5444	In vitro		
	Human anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cells	CD31↓	[71]
	DRO90-1, ARO81		
	In vivo		
	Nude mice xenografted with DRO90-1 or	CD31↓	[71]
	ARO81 tumor cells		
RS1303	In vitro		
	Human anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cells	Invasive potential↓	[61]
	MSA, IAA, ROA, K119, KOA-2		
Nimesulide	In vitro		
	Human pancreatic cancer cells BxPC-3	VEGF↑	[72]
	and MIA PaCa-2		

TABLE 1: Continued.

range (0.1–1 $\mu$ M), at which PPAR- $\gamma$  is activated, RGZ was capable of exerting even stronger antiproliferative effects on ECs in vitro [57]. In this context, the concentration range of PPAR-y ligands should be taken into careful consideration, because over a concentration limit, which may be varied amongst the different types of cells, in vitro, as well as amongst different species, in vivo, receptor-independent actions could be elicited. Such PPAR-y mode of action has recently been reviewed by Feinstein et al., who suggested an alternative mitochondrial target for TZDs, termed as mitoNEET [12]. To this point, it should be noted that higher doses of RGZ were less effective in inhibiting angiogenesis and hence lung metastasis than lower doses that are actually comparable to the serum levels of RGZ in diabetic patients [27, 51]. Overall, although PPAR-y ligands can also induce EC apoptosis as mentioned in the previous paragraph, it is unlikely that they do this under physiological conditions as this may result in a severe thrombosis. Thus, it should be emphasized the fact PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands may target better EC proliferation as shown by Panigrahy et al. [27] and Freed et al. [51].

Orthotopic implantation of H2122 nonsmall cell lung adenocarcinoma cells overexpressing PPAR-y into the lungs of nude mice attenuated tumor growth and metastasis by selective inhibition of invasive metastasis, and activation of pathways that promote a more differentiated epithelial phenotype [73]. This evidence deserves special attention since both angiogenesis and invasion are crucial for the formation of metastasis and the recurrence of tumors. Moreover, reintroduction of exogenous TSP1 or its peptide derivative ABT510 can reverse the angiogenic switch, and thus blocking tumor expansion. TSP-1 is a well-known potent angiogenesis inhibitor that targets ECs for apoptosis through signaling cascade at its receptor CD36. In tumor xenografts, TGZ, RGZ, and 15d-PGJ2 coupled to ABT510 suppressed angiogenesis and induced ECs apoptosis in a CD36 dependent manner [62]. In this context, 15d-PGJ2 treatment upregulated CD36 surface expression in human monocytic cell line THP-1 by enhancement of CD36 gene transcription [74]. Thus, PPAR-y could be considered as a critical regulator of CD36 expression, as both natural and synthetic PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands are capable of increasing CD36 expression [75].

Receptor-mediated effects for PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands in inhibiting angiogenesis through direct mode of action on endothelium seem to be dominated [28, 57]. In this regard, PPAR- $\gamma$  knockout mice embryos died on day 10 of life because of interference with the terminal differentiation pattern of trophoblasts, as well as the loss of vascular development in the placenta [76, 77]. It has also been suggested that PPAR-binding protein (PBP), a coactivator of PPAR- $\gamma$ , may function as a negative modulator of ECs proliferation [77]. Such genetic data provides additional evidence that PPAR- $\gamma$ functions as modulator of angiogenesis; however, receptorindependent action should not be excluded. In this aspect, Artwohl et al. showed PPAR- $\gamma$ -independent antiproliferative effects on HUVECs associated with lactate release, possibly due to inhibition of mitochondrial function [78].

# 3.2. Indirect effects on the net balance between angiogenic and antiangiogenic factors

Beyond the direct mode of action on the endothelium, PPAR-y ligands have been reported to downregulate angiogenesis process via indirect mechanisms by modulating the levels of the endogenous angiogenesis mediators (Figure 1, Table 1). In this context, VEGF/VEGFR signaling pathway seems to be a key target for PPAR-y ligands in inhibiting angiogenesis. Xin et al. provided the first evidence that 15d-PGJ2 reduced VEGFRs m-RNA levels in HUVECs [48]. It has also been supported that PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands may have bifunctional properties in KDR gene expression that involve the enhancement of Sp1-DNA binding in absence of ligand by PPAR-y itself and the suppression of Sp1-DNA-binding in presence of PPAR-y ligands [79]. Moreover, PPAR-y activation has been shown to downregulate leptin and tumor necrosis factor (TNF- $\alpha$ ), two well-known angiogenesisinducing factors [80, 81]. In fact, PPAR-y activation by TZDs attenuated leptin gene expression both in vivo and in vitro [82, 83] and blocked leptin-induced ECs migration through inhibition of Akt and eNOS signaling [84]. This evidence suggests that endothelial phosphatase and tensin homologue mutated on chromosome ten (PTEN), a negative regulator of PI3K  $\rightarrow$  Akt signaling, may play a crucial role in the ECs antimigratory actions of TZDs [84].

Tumor-associated angiogenesis has been reported to be indirectly suppressed by blocking the expression of angiogenic stimulators in response to PPAR-y ligand activation. In this regard, PPAR-y activation by TGZ or PGZ diminished the production of the angiogenic ELR + CXC chemokines IL-8 (CXCL8), ENA-78 (CXCL5), and Gro- $\alpha$  (CXCL1) in human non-small-cell lung cancer cell line A459 [63]. This effect was ascribed to the negative modulation of NF-kB activation [63]. In addition, CGZ was found to decrease PGE<sub>2</sub> production through downregulation of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) expression in human nonsmall-cell lung carcinoma A427 and A549 cell lines [64]. Interestingly, utilization of a dominant negative PPAR- $\gamma$ construct revealed that the effect of CGZ on both COX-2 and PGE2 was mediated through PPAR- $\gamma$  independent pathways [64]. Another study demonstrated that 15d-PGI2 attenuated the expression of Ang-1 and hence the angiogenic process through the angiopoietin-Tie2 system in the gastric cancer cell line MKN45 [67]. Ang-1 is involved in the regulation of maturation and stabilization of the vascular wall, and thus it might be a potential target for inhibiting tumor angiogenesis. Moreover, in a model of human anaplastic thyroid carcinoma, RS5444, a novel high-affinity PPAR- $\gamma$ agonist exerted potent antiangiogenic action, in vivo, by decreasing CD31, a specific molecular marker of blood vessels [71]. In this regard, PPAR-y ligand treatment (TZDs, 15d-PGJ2, and RS1303) dose-dependently suppressed cell proliferation by inducing apoptosis instead of differentiation in five human anaplastic carcinoma cell lines (MSA, IAA, ROA, K119, and KOA-2) [61]. Recently, CGZ has also been shown to produce antitumor effects against ovarian cancer, in vitro and in vivo, in conjunction with reduced angiogenesis and induction of apoptosis [65]. In this case, CGZ induced antitumor effects were comparable to that of cisplatin and were ascribed to inhibition of VEGF production in relation to PGE<sub>2</sub> reduction, an endogenous stimulator of angiogenesis and invasiveness [65]. PPAR-y ligands have also been shown to repress VEGF gene expression via a PPARy-responsive element (PPRE) in the VEGF gene promoter in both primary and transformed human endometrial cell cultures [60]. This study provided substantial evidence that PPAR-y ligands may be exploited pharmacologically to inhibit pathological vascularization in complications of pregnancy, endometriosis, and endometrial adenocarcinoma [60].

As mentioned in Section 3.1, RGZ suppressed tumor angiogenesis by direct mode of action in endothelium; however, indirect antiangiogenic effects have also been reported [57]. More to the point, RGZ, at low doses, in vitro, inhibited bovine capillary ECs and reduced VEGF production by tumor cells [57]. RGZ also suppressed angiogenesis in the chick chorioallantoic membrane, in the avascular cornea, in vivo, as well as in a variety of primary tumors, such as glioblastoma U87 and Lewis lung carcinoma cells, in vitro [57]. Likewise, both PGZ and 15d-PGJ2 have been shown to inhibit, dose- and time-dependently, VEGF and bFGF secretion in human renal cell carcinoma cells [66]. Importantly, antiangiogenic effects were observed at the dose of 5  $\mu$ M PGZ, a level that is also obtained in diabetic patients after standard PGZ treatment [66]. On the other hand, there is nonavailable data so far concerning the effect of PPAR- $\gamma$  ligand treatment on the expression and/or secretion of antiangiogenic mediators. In this regard, future studies focused on the impact of PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands in mediators, such as endostatin, TSP-1, tumstatin, angiostatin, and 16K hPRL are strongly recommended.

Angiogenesis constitutes a crucial step for tumor invasion and formation of metastasis. In this aspect, PPAR-y ligand treatment attenuated the invasiveness of pancreatic tumor cells, reducing MMP-2 and -9 protein levels and activity [70]. Moreover, the secretion of the invasive factor tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) was decreased by RGZ treatment in pancreatic tumor AsPC-1 cells through receptor mediated mechanisms [58]. Treatment of the highly aggressive human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 with synthetic and natural PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands, at noncytotoxic concentrations, also resulted in a significant inhibition of the invasive capacity [59]. In fact, TIMP-1 was upregulated by PPAR- $\gamma$  ligand treatment, while the gelatinolytic activities of gelatinases in the conditioned media were decreased [59]. Moreover, PPAR-y ligands downregulated the invasive potential of anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cells, and this effect was prominent in 3 cell lines, which exhibited higher expression level of the PPAR- $\gamma$  gene or protein [61].

Clinical evidence from a pilot study enrolled 6 patients with angiosarcoma and hemangioendothelioma, revealed that the angiostatic triple combination of PGZ, rofecoxib, and metronomic trofosfamide exhibited high efficacy in the palliative care of patients [85]. Until this study, antiangiogenic drugs such PGZ and rofecoxib had not been considered for the treatment of human angiosarcoma. In support of this view, a case report study has demonstrated that this novel antiangiogenic therapy was effective in a patient with endemic Kaposi sarcoma and led to partial remission that was stable for 18 months without significant side effects [86]. Hence, targeting PPAR- $\gamma$  may prove to be a potential therapeutic strategy in combined treatments with conventional chemotherapy for patients with vascular disorders [87].

#### 4. INDUCTION OF ANGIOGENESIS BY PPAR-γ LIGANDS

The most comprehensive data so far render PPAR-*y* ligands as potent inhibitors of angiogenesis; however, there are several lines of evidence to support that PPAR-*y* ligand activation can also trigger angiogenic cascade (Table 1). In fact, increased VEGF mRNA levels and induction of angiogenesis in response to PPAR-*y* ligands treatment have been reported both in vitro and in vivo [88–91]. Interestingly, TZDs have been considered as potential pharmacological agents for angiogenesis induction in the treatment of ischemic artery disease [89]. Recent clinical evidence has also demonstrated that RGZ treatment improved endothelial progenitor cell (EPC) number and migratory activity in diabetic patients [92, 93]. In addition, PGZ treatment was found to improve endothelial function by increasing the number and the migratory capacity of EPCs in animal and human studies [94, 95]. Another study has revealed that eNOS upregulation induced by RGZ may be the dominant mechanism through which RGZ enhanced angiogenesis [91]. However, Gensh et al. did not observe upregulation of vascular eNOS mRNA expression or setback of the PGZinduced increase of EPCs in the presence of 1-NAME, a NOS inhibitor [94]. These authors suggested that TZDs may regulate EPCs by a mechanism independent of eNOS [94]; however, further studies based on pharmacologic blocking or knockout modeling of eNOS are strongly recommended in order for precise conclusion to be drawn. Importantly, taking into account the discrepancy in literature, Gensh et al. assumed that TZDs may play a double-edged role in angiogenesis signaling by promoting the number and migration of EPCs at lower tissue concentrations obtained by systematic treatment, whereas the antiangiogenic effects are elicited at higher local concentrations [94]. This major remark has also been reported in the case of breast cancer cells where low concentration of PPAR-y ligands increase cell proliferation in contrast to the higher concentrations that suppress cell growth [96]. The urgent demand to define and monitor the dosage of PPAR-y ligands in clinical trials for cancer therapy is thoroughly discussed by Panigrahy et al. [27]. In this aspect, special attention deserves the fact that atorvastatin, a 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitor, and PGZ increased myocardial 15d-PGJ2 levels in the rat myocardium and HUVECs [97]. 15d-PGJ2 was produced mainly via COX-2 and activated PPAR-y. Interestingly, it was supported that PPAR-y activation was exclusively mediated by 15d-PGJ2 in the case of atorvastatin, whereas PGZ activated directly PPAR-y or indirectly via 15d-PGJ2 [97]. Thus, these recent findings raise the question whether the final effect of PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands is completely ascribed to the dose of PPAR- $\gamma$  ligand treatment or in addition to the induction of endogenous PPAR-y activators, such as 15d-PGJ2. It should also be taken into account the fact that endogenous nitrated fatty acids that comprise a class of nitric oxidederived, PPAR-y dependent and cell signaling mediators can modulate systematic inflammatory responses within physiological concentration ranges [98].

There is also substantial evidence, which suggests that PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands stimulate tumor angiogenesis. In this context, 15d-PGJ2 treatment was found to dose-dependently increase the VEGF mRNA expression in both human androgen-independent PC-3 prostate and 5637 urinary bladder carcinoma cells [68]. In addition, 15d-PGJ2 resulted in upregulation of VEGF expression through the induction of heme oxygenase (OH)-1 ERK1/2 phosphorylation in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells, thus contributing to increased angiogenesis in this type of tumor cells [69]. Nimesulide, a selective COX-2 inhibitor, although at relatively high concentrations, enhanced VEGF secretion from pancreatic cancer cells in vitro, as well as from both COX-2-positive and COX-2-negative pancreatic tumors through PPAR- $\gamma$  activation [72]. Importantly, in the case of COX-2-negative

pancreatic tumors, nimesulide-stimulated VEGF production was considerably associated with enhanced angiogenesis and tumor growth [72]. Besides this, VEGF was differentially increased, according to the differentiation state of the cells, by the three PPAR isotypes,  $-\alpha$ ,  $-\beta/\delta$ , and  $-\gamma$ , in two different human urinary bladder cancer cell lines, RT4 and T24, derived from grade-I and grade-III tumors, respectively [99]. The PPAR ligand-induced VEGF expression seemed to be PPAR-specific and involved an indirect mechanism requiring an intermediary regulatory protein through the MAP (ERK1/2) kinase pathway, probably by a modulation of the phosphorylation state of PPARs [99]. Immunohistochemical analysis in human bladder tumor specimens also revealed statistically significant associations between PPAR-y and several angiogenic factors, such as VEGF, bFGF, platelet-derived endothelial cell growth factor (PDECGF), and EGFR in respect to the incidence of tumor recurrence or progression [100]. On the other hand, no statistically significant differences were observed between PPAR- $\gamma$  immunoreactivity and angiogenesis parameters in skin cancer, whereas the microvessel density was significantly higher in actin keratosis and squamous cell carcinoma that expressed PPAR- $\beta/\delta$  [101]. These clinical data on PPAR- $\gamma$ induced signaling implicated in the expression of crucial angiogenic factors in human neoplasia may unfold the development of new therapeutic approaches in those types of cancer in which excessive angiogenesis represents a negative prognostic factor.

#### 5. THE IMPACT OF PPAR-γ LIGANDS IN HYPOXIA-ASSOCIATED SIGNALING PATHWAYS

As hypoxia is a key regulator of the angiogenic switch, hypoxia-induced angiogenesis is gaining gradually increasing interest as a potential target for cancer therapy. In human bladder tumors and cell lines, several components of the hypoxia response pathway, including HIF-1 $\alpha$  and HIF- $2\alpha$  have been considered as important cofactors of the regulation of VEGF [102]. Recent findings have revealed that PPAR-y can modulate arterial remodeling associated with hypoxic hypertension [103]. In fact, RGZ was found to attenuate and reverse pulmonary arterial remodeling and neomuscularization in rats subjected to chronic hypoxia [104]. Decreased pulmonary arterial (PA) remodeling in RGZ-treated animals was associated with decreased smooth muscle cell proliferation, decreased collagen and elastin deposition, and increased matrix MMP-2 activity in the PA wall [104]. In this aspect, PPAR-y mRNA levels were found significantly lower in human adhesion fibroblasts compared to normal ones in response to hypoxia [105]. Moreover, hypoxia has demonstrated to reduce the mRNA levels of PPAR- $\gamma$  protein in human proximal renal tubular epithelial cells (HPTECs). However, knockout of HIF-1 $\alpha$  with its dominant negative form did not block the hypoxia-induced reduction in PPAR-y expression [106]. In this regard, substantial evidence has revealed that 15d-PGJ2 can modulate the activities of several transcriptional factors, such as NF- $\kappa$ B and AP-1, including also HIF-1 [107]. The regulation of the aforementioned redox-sensitive transcription factors by 15d-PGJ2 was not necessarily mediated via PPAR- $\gamma$  activation, but rather involves covalent modification or oxidation of their critical cysteine residues acting as a redox sensor [107]. Overall, targeting hypoxia-induced angiogenesis by PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands may prove to be a promising therapy for the treatment of cancer; however, the precise mechanisms involved in hypoxia-induced angiogenesis process remain to be clarified.

#### 6. CONCLUSION

At the present, there is quite a lot of evidence to support that PPAR- $\gamma$  may be considered as therapeutic target for diverse disease states in which excessive angiogenesis is implicated, including cancer. The most comprehensive data so far have revealed that PPAR-y ligands are capable of inhibiting angiogenesis implicated in tumor malignant transformation and expansion. Targeting ECs proliferation and migration seems to be a dominant effect of PPAR- $\gamma$  ligands on tumor angiogenesis. Indirect mechanisms that involve the counterbalance between a multitude of endogenous angiogenic and antiangiogenic factors further account for the inhibitory effects of PPAR-y ligands on tumor angiogenesis. According to these data, PPAR-y ligands may unfold new perspectives in clinical use against primary tumor growth and metastasis, since tumors that exhibit multidrug resistance are effectively targeted by antiangiogenic chemotherapy. Such perspectives could be clinically relevant, as PGZ and RGZ are orally administered FDA-approved drugs, already been used by million patients undergoing standard antidiabetic treatment.

On the other hand, there are several lines of evidence that PPAR-y ligands can also enhance tumor angiogenesis progression under certain conditions. This controversy could be attributed to the pleiotropic action of PPAR-y ligands, possibly via cofactors, either coactivators or corepressors. Such discrepancies may also be ascribed either to differences in time and dose of PPAR-y ligand treatment, or to differences among the various organisms and types of cells that have been studied. It should be taken into account that angiogenesis is a multifaceted process that involves a wide range of mediators capable of inducing or suppressing angiogenesis in addition to the degree of tissue hypoxia. Consequently, the final outcome is difficult to be assessed accurately and depends significantly on experimental models and/or treatment conditions. Moreover, each type of cancer in humans presents individual and distinct vascular pattern on the microenvironment in which it is located. Thus, it should be taken into careful consideration the type of cancer being treated when deciding an appropriate therapeutic strategy.

In this aspect, the use of different cancer models, in vitro and in vivo, are strongly recommended to further define the molecular interactions amongst PPAR- $\gamma$ , angio-genic/antiangiogenic factors, and tumor progression markers within the distinct cancer types. Future research effort should also be orientated to the clinical evaluation of PPAR- $\gamma$  expression in aggressive tumor cancers in which various angiogenic/antiangiogenic factors exhibit high prognostic value. Such studies could delineate the potential of PPAR- $\gamma$ 

ligands in future anticancer therapeutic strategies, either alone or combined with conventional chemotherapy.

#### REFERENCES

- J. Kahn, F. Mehraban, G. Ingle, et al., "Gene expression profiling in an in vitro model of angiogenesis," *American Journal of Pathology*, vol. 156, no. 6, pp. 1887–1900, 2000.
- [2] N. M. Pandya, N. S. Dhalla, and D. D. Santani, "Angiogenesis—a new target for future therapy," *Vascular Pharmacology*, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 265–274, 2006.
- [3] G. E. Davis and D. R. Senger, "Endothelial extracellular matrix: biosynthesis, remodeling, and functions during vascular morphogenesis and neovessel stabilization," *Circulation Research*, vol. 97, no. 11, pp. 1093–1107, 2005.
- [4] X. Dong, Z. C. Han, and R. Yang, "Angiogenesis and antiangiogenic therapy in hematologic malignancies," *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 105– 118, 2007.
- [5] S. A. Kliewer, B. M. Forman, B. Blumberg, et al., "Differential expression and activation of a family of murine peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 91, no. 15, pp. 7355–7359, 1994.
- [6] B. Desvergne and W. Wahli, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors: nuclear control of metabolism," *Endocrine Reviews*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 649–688, 1999.
- [7] J. N. Feige, L. Gelman, L. Michalik, B. Desvergne, and W. Wahli, "From molecular action to physiological outputs: peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors are nuclear receptors at the crossroads of key cellular functions," *Progress in Lipid Research*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 120–159, 2006.
- [8] J. Berger and D. E. Moller, "The mechanisms of action of PPARs," *Annual Review of Medicine*, vol. 53, pp. 409–435, 2002.
- [9] K. L. Gearing, M. Gottlicher, M. Teboul, E. Widmark, and J. A. Gustafsson, "Interaction of the peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor and retinoid X receptor," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 1440–1444, 1993.
- [10] R. M. Tolón, A. I. Castillo, and A. Aranda, "Activation of the prolactin gene by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-*α* appears to be DNA binding-independent," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 273, no. 41, pp. 26652–26661, 1998.
- [11] M. Ricote, A. C. Li, T. M. Willson, C. J. Kelly, and C. K. Glass, "The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptory is a negative regulator of macrophage activation," *Nature*, vol. 391, no. 6662, pp. 79–82, 1998.
- [12] D. L. Feinstein, A. Spagnolo, C. Akar, et al., "Receptorindependent actions of PPAR thiazolidinedione agonists: is mitochondrial function the key?" *Biochemical Pharmacology*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 177–188, 2005.
- [13] G. Pascual, A. L. Fong, S. Ogawa, et al., "A SUMOylationdependent pathway mediates transrepression of inflammatory response genes by PPAR-*y*," *Nature*, vol. 437, no. 7059, pp. 759–763, 2005.
- [14] T. M. Willson, P. J. Brown, D. D. Sternbach, and B. R. Henke, "The PPARs: from orphan receptors to drug discovery," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 527–550, 2000.

- [15] F. J. Schopfer, Y. Lin, P. R. S. Baker, et al., "Nitrolinoleic acid: an endogenous peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y ligand," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 102, no. 7, pp. 2340–2345, 2005.
- [16] A. Jacob, R. Wu, M. Zhou, and P. Wang, "Mechanism of the anti-inflammatory effect of curcumin: PPAR-y activation," *PPAR Research*, vol. 2007, Article ID 89369, 5 pages, 2007.
- [17] J. M. Lehmann, L. B. Moore, T.A. Smith-Oliver, W. O. Wilkison, T. M. Willson, and S. A. Kliewer, "An antidiabetic thiazolidinedione is a high affinity ligand for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* (PPARy)," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 270, no. 22, pp. 12953–12956, 1995.
- [18] B. R. Henke, S. G. Blanchard, M. F. Brackeen, et al., "N-(2benzoylphenyl)-L-tyrosine PPARy agonists. 1. Discovery of a novel series of potent antihyperglycemic and antihyperlipidemic agents," *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 41, no. 25, pp. 5020–5036, 1998.
- [19] J. M. Lehmann, J. M. Lenhard, B. B. Oliver, G. M. Ringold, and S. A. Kliewer, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors α and y are activated by indomethacin and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 272, no. 6, pp. 3406–3410, 1997.
- [20] D. K. Wysowski, G. Armstrong, and L. Governale, "Rapid increase in the use of oral antidiabetic drugs in the United States, 1990–2001," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1852– 1855, 2003.
- [21] S. Theocharis, A. Margeli, P. Vielh, and G. Kouraklis, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y ligands as cellcycle modulators," *Cancer Treatment Reviews*, vol. 30, no. 6, pp. 545–554, 2004.
- [22] H. A. Pershadsingh, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y: therapeutic target for diseases beyond diabetes: quo vadis?" *Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 215–228, 2004.
- [23] C. Giaginis, A. Tsantili-Kakoulidou, and S. Theocharis, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y ligands as bone turnover modulators," *Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 195–207, 2007.
- [24] C. Giaginis, S. Theocharis, and A. Tsantili-Kakoulidou, "A consideration of PPAR-y ligands with respect to lipophilicity: current trends and perspectives," *Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 413–417, 2007.
- [25] C. Giaginis, S. Theocharis, and A. Tsantili-Kakoulidou, "Investigation of the lipophilic behaviour of some thiazolidinediones. Relationships with PPAR-y activity," *Journal of Chromatography B*, vol. 857, no. 2, pp. 181–187, 2007.
- [26] A. Margeli, G. Kouraklis, and S. Theocharis, "Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-y (PPAR-y) ligands and angiogenesis," *Angiogenesis*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 165–169, 2003.
- [27] D. Panigrahy, S. Huang, M. W. Kieran, and A. Kaipainen, "PPARy as a therapeutic target for tumor angiogenesis and metastasis," *Cancer Biology and Therapy*, vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 687–693, 2005.
- [28] C. Giaginis, A. Margeli, and S. Theocharis, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y ligands as investigational modulators of angiogenesis," *Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs*, vol. 16, no. 10, pp. 1561–1572, 2007.
- [29] S. Han and J. Roman, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y: a novel target for cancer therapeutics?" *Anti-Cancer Drugs*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 237–244, 2007.
- [30] S. Theocharis, A. Margeli, and G. Kouraklis, "Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor-y ligands as potent antineoplastic agents," *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 239–251, 2003.

- [31] R. W. C. Pang and R. T. P. Poon, "Clinical implications of angiogenesis in cancers," *Vascular Health and Risk Management*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 97–108, 2006.
- [32] G. Bergers and L. E. Benjamin, "Tumorigenesis and the angiogenic switch," *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 401–410, 2003.
- [33] M. E. Eichhorn, A. Kleespies, M. K. Angele, K.-W. Jauch, and C. J. Bruns, "Angiogenesis in cancer: molecular mechanisms, clinical impact," *Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery*, vol. 392, no. 3, pp. 371–379, 2007.
- [34] J. Folkman and R. Kalluri, "Cancer without disease," *Nature*, vol. 427, no. 6977, p. 787, 2004.
- [35] F. J. Giordano and R. S. Johnson, "Angiogenesis: the role of the microenvironment in flipping the switch," *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 35– 40, 2001.
- [36] M. M. Hickey and M. C. Simon, "Regulation of angiogenesis by hypoxia and hypoxia-inducible factors," *Current Topics in Developmental Biology*, vol. 76, pp. 217–257, 2006.
- [37] D. Liao and R. S. Johnson, "Hypoxia: a ket regulator of angiogenesis in cancer," *Cancer Metastasis Reviews*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 281–290, 2007.
- [38] R. L. Jensen, B. T. Ragel, K. Whang, and D. Gillespie, "Inhibition of hypoxia inducible factor-1 $\alpha$  (HIF-1 $\alpha$ ) decreases vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) secretion and tumor growth in malignant gliomas," *Journal of Neuro-Oncology*, vol. 78, no. 3, pp. 233–247, 2006.
- [39] A. W. Griffioen, "Therapeutic approaches of angiogenesis inhibition: are we tackling the problem at the right level?" *Trends in Cardiovascular Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 171– 176, 2007.
- [40] A. Bikfalvi and R. Bicknell, "Recent advances in angiogenesis, anti-angiogenesis and vascular targeting," *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 12, pp. 576–582, 2002.
- [41] G. Pagès and J. Pouysségur, "Transcriptional regulation of the vascular endothelial growth factor gene—a concert of activating factors," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 564–573, 2005.
- [42] S. P. Tabruyn and A. W. Griffioen, "Molecular pathways of angiogenic inhibition," *Biochemical Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 355, no. 1, pp. 1–5, 2007.
- [43] S. Ylä-Herttuala, T. T. Rissanen, I. Vajanto, and J. Hartikainen, "Vascular endothelial growth factors: biology and current status of clinical applications in cardiovascular medicine," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 1015–1026, 2007.
- [44] A. Kiselyov, K. V. Balakin, and S. E. Tkachenko, "VEGF/ VEGFR signalling as a target for inhibiting angiogenesis," *Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 83–107, 2007.
- [45] H. Zhong and J. P. Bowen, "Molecular design and clinical development of VEGFR kinase inhibitors," *Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry*, vol. 7, no. 14, pp. 1379–1393, 2007.
- [46] T. Kisseleva, L. Song, M. Vorontchikhina, N. Feirt, J. Kitajewski, and C. Schindler, "NF-κB regulation of endothelial cell function during LPS-induced toxemia and cancer," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 116, no. 11, pp. 2955–2963, 2006.
- [47] A. E. M. Dirkx, M. G. A. oude Egbrink, K. Castermans, et al., "Anti-angiogenesis therapy can overcome endothelial cell anergy and promote leukocyte-endothelium interactions and infiltration in tumors," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 621–630, 2006.

- [48] X. Xin, S. Yang, J. Kowalski, and M. E. Gerritsen, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* ligands are potent inhibitors of angiogenesis in vitro and in vivo," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 274, no. 13, pp. 9116–9121, 1999.
- [49] T. Murata, S. He, M. Hangai, et al., "Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-y ligands inhibit choroidal neovascularization," *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science*, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 2309–2317, 2000.
- [50] D. Bishop-Bailey and T. Hla, "Endothelial cell apoptosis induced by the peroxisome proliferator- activated receptor (PPAR) ligand 15-deoxy-Δ<sup>12,14</sup>-prostaglandin J<sub>2</sub>," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 274, no. 24, pp. 17042–17048, 1999.
- [51] M. I. Freed, A. Allen, D. K. Jorkasky, and R. A. Dicicco, "Systematic exposure to rosiglitazone is unaltered by food," *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 53–56, 1999.
- [52] M. A. Sarayba, L. Li, T. Tungsiripat, et al., "Inhibition of corneal neovascularization by a peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-γ ligand," *Experimental Eye Research*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 435–442, 2005.
- [53] W. H.-H. Sheu, H.-C. Ou, F.-P. Chou, T.-M. Lin, and C.-H. Yang, "Rosiglitazone inhibits endothelial proliferation and angiogenesis," *Life Sciences*, vol. 78, no. 13, pp. 1520–1528, 2006.
- [54] K. Y. Kim and H. G. Cheon, "Antiangiogenic effect of rosiglitazone is mediated via peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y*-activated maxi-K channel opening in human umbilical vein endothelial cells," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 281, no. 19, pp. 13503–13512, 2006.
- [55] D.-H. Cho, Y. J. Choi, S. A. Jo, and I. Jo, "Nitric oxide production and regulation of endothelial nitricoxide synthase phosphorylation by prolonged treatment with troglitazone: evidence for involvement of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) *y*-dependent and PPAR*y*-independent signaling pathways," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 4, pp. 2499–2506, 2004.
- [56] T.-C. Ho, S.-L. Chen, Y.-C. Yang, C.-L. Liao, H.-C. Cheng, and Y.-P. Tsao, "PEDF induces p53-mediated apoptosis through PPAR gamma signaling in human umbilical vein endothelial cells," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 213–223, 2007.
- [57] D. Panigrahy, S. Singer, L. Q. Shen, et al., "PPARy ligands inhibit primary tumor growth and metastasis by inhibiting angiogenesis," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 110, no. 7, pp. 923–932, 2002.
- [58] B. Farrow, K. L. O'Connor, K. Hashimoto, T. Iwamura, and B. M. Evers, "Selective activation of PPARy inhibits pancreatic cancer invasion and decreases expression of tissue plasminogen activator," *Surgery*, vol. 134, no. 2, pp. 206–212, 2003.
- [59] H. Liu, C. Zang, M. H. Fenner, K. Possinger, and E. Elstner, "PPARy ligands and ATRA inhibit the invasion of human breast cancer cells in vitro," *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 63–74, 2003.
- [60] L. L. H. Peeters, J.-L. Vigne, M. K. Tee, D. Zhao, L. L. Waite, and R. N. Taylor, "PPARy represses VEGF expression in human endometrial cells: implications for uterine angiogenesis," *Angiogenesis*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 373–379, 2006.
- [61] N. Hayashi, S. Nakamori, N. Hiraoka, et al., "Antitumor effects of peroxisome proliferator activate receptor gamma ligands on anaplastic thyroid carcinoma," *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 89–95, 2004.

- [62] H. Huang, S. C. Campbell, D. F. Bedford, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y ligands improve the antitumor efficacy of thrombospondin peptide ABT510," *Molecular Cancer Research*, vol. 2, no. 10, pp. 541–550, 2004.
- [63] V. G. Keshamouni, D. A. Arenberg, R. C. Reddy, M. J. Newstead, S. Anthwal, and T. J. Standiford, "PPAR-y activation inhibits angiogenesis by blocking ELR + CXC chemokine production in non-small cell lung cancer," *Neoplasia*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 294–301, 2005.
- [64] S. Hazra and S. M. Dubinett, "Ciglitazone mediates COX-2 dependent suppression of PGE2 in human non-small cell lung cancer cells," *Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 51–58, 2007.
- [65] B. Xin, Y. Yokoyama, T. Shigeto, M. Futagami, and H. Mizunuma, "Inhibitory effect of meloxicam, a selective cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, and ciglitazone, a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma ligand, on the growth of human ovarian cancers," *Cancer*, vol. 110, no. 4, pp. 791– 800, 2007.
- [66] J. Yuan, A. Takahashi, N. Masumori, et al., "Ligands for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma have potent antitumor effect against human renal cell carcinoma," *Urology*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 594–599, 2005.
- [67] Y.-G. Fu, J. J. Y. Sung, K.-C. Wu, et al., "Inhibition of gastric cancer cells associated angiogenesis by 15d-prostaglandin J<sub>2</sub> through the downregulation of angiopoietin-1," *Cancer Letters*, vol. 243, no. 2, pp. 246–254, 2006.
- [68] P. Haslmayer, T. Thalhammer, W. Jäger, et al., "The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma ligand 15deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$ -prostaglandin J<sub>2</sub> induces vascular endothelial growth factor in the hormone-independent prostate cancer cell line PC 3 and the urinary bladder carcinoma cell line 5637," *International Journal of Oncology*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 915–920, 2002.
- [69] E.-H. Kim, H.-K. Na, and Y.-J. Surh, "Upregulation of VEGF by 15-deoxy-Δ<sup>12,14</sup>-prostaglandin J<sub>2</sub> via heme oxygenase-1 and ERK1/2 signaling in MCF-7 cells," *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 1090, pp. 375–384, 2006.
- [70] K. Hashimoto, R. T. Ethridge, and B. M. Evers, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor *y* ligand inhibits cell growth and invasion of human pancreatic cancer cells," *International Journal of Gastrointestinal Cancer*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 7–22, 2002.
- [71] J. A. Copland, L. A. Marlow, S. Kurakata, et al., "Novel high-affinity PPARy agonist alone and in combination with paclitaxel inhibits human anaplastic thyroid carcinoma tumor growth via p21<sup>WAF1/CIP1</sup>," *Oncogene*, vol. 25, no. 16, pp. 2304–2317, 2006.
- [72] G. Eibl, Y. Takata, L. G. Boros, et al., "Growth stimulation of COX-2-negative pancreatic cancer by a selective COX-2 inhibitor," *Cancer Research*, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 982–990, 2005.
- [73] Y. Bren-Mattison, V. Van Putten, D. Chan, R. Winn, M. W. Geraci, and R. A. Nemenoff, "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y (PPARy) inhibits tumorigenesis by reversing the undifferentiated phenotype of metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer cells (NSCLC)," *Oncogene*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1412–1422, 2005.
- [74] N. Ruiz-Velasco, A. Domínguez, and M. A. Vega, "Statins upregulate CD36 expression in human monocytes, an effect strengthened when combined with PPAR-*y* ligands Putative contribution of Rho GTPases in statin-induced CD36 expression," *Biochemical Pharmacology*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 303–313, 2004.

- [75] M. Febbraio, D. P. Hajjar, and R. L. Silverstein, "CD36: a class B scavenger receptor involved in angiogenesis, atherosclerosis, inflammation, and lipid metabolism," *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 108, no. 6, pp. 785–791, 2001.
- [76] Y. Barak, M. C. Nelson, E. S. Ong, et al., "PPARy is required for placental, cardiac, and adipose tissue development," *Molecular Cell*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 585–595, 1999.
- [77] S. E. Crawford, C. Qi, P. Misra, et al., "Defects of the heart, eye, and megakaryocytes in peroxisome proliferator activator receptor-binding protein (PBP) null embryos implicate GATA family of transcription factors," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 277, no. 5, pp. 3585–3592, 2002.
- [78] M. Artwohl, C. Fürnsinn, W. Waldhäusl, et al., "Thiazolidinediones inhibit proliferation of microvascular and macrovascular cells by a PPARy-independent mechanism," *Diabetologia*, vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 586–594, 2005.
- [79] Y. Sassa, Y. Hata, L. P. Aiello, Y. Taniguchi, K. Kohno, and T. Ishibashi, "Bifunctional properties of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y1 in KDR gene regulation mediated via interaction with both Sp1 and Sp3," *Diabetes*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1222–1229, 2004.
- [80] G. Frühbeck, "Intracellular signalling pathways activated by leptin," *Biochemical Journal*, vol. 393, no. 1, pp. 7–20, 2006.
- [81] M. R. Sierra-Honigmann, A. K. Nath, C. Murakami, et al., "Biological action of leptin as an angiogenic factor," *Science*, vol. 281, no. 5383, pp. 1683–1686, 1998.
- [82] H. Qian, G. J. Hausman, M. M. Compton, M. J. Azain, D. L. Hartzell, and C. A. Baile, "Leptin regulation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y, tumor necrosis factor, and uncoupling protein-2 expression in adipose tissues," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 246, no. 3, pp. 660–667, 1998.
- [83] J. Rieusset, J. Auwerx, and H. Vidal, "Regulation of gene expression by activation of the peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor *y* with rosiglitazone (BRL 49653) in human adipocytes," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 265, no. 1, pp. 265–271, 1999.
- [84] S. Goetze, A. Bungenstock, C. Czupalla, et al., "Leptin induces endothelial cell migration through Akt, which is inhibited by PPARy-ligands," *Hypertension*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 748–754, 2002.
- [85] T. Vogt, C. Hafner, K. Bross, et al., "Antiangiogenetic therapy with pioglitazone, rofecoxib, and metronomic trofosfamide in patients with advanced malignant vascular tumors," *Cancer*, vol. 98, no. 10, pp. 2251–2256, 2003.
- [86] B. Coras, C. Hafner, A. Reichle, et al., "Antiangiogenetic therapy with pioglitazone, rofecoxib, and metronomic trofosfamide in a patient with endemic Kaposi sarcoma," *Archives of Dermatology*, vol. 140, no. 12, pp. 1504–1507, 2004.
- [87] A. S. Boyd, "Thiazolidinediones in dermatology," International Journal of Dermatology, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 557–563, 2007.
- [88] A. Jozkowicz, J. Dulak, E. Piatkowska, W. Placha, and A. Dembinska-Kiec, "Ligands of peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-y increase the generation of vascular endothelial growth factor in vascular smooth muscle cells and in macrophages," *Acta Biochimica Polonica*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 1147–1157, 2000.
- [89] K. Yamakawa, M. Hosoi, H. Koyama, et al., "Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y agonists increase vascular endothelial growth factor expression in human vascular smooth muscle cells," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 271, no. 3, pp. 571–574, 2000.

- [90] V. Chintalgattu, G. S. Harris, S. M. Akula, and L. C. Katwa, "PPAR-y agonists induce the expression of VEGF and its receptors in cultured cardiac myofibroblasts," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 140–150, 2007.
- [91] K. Chu, S.-T. Lee, J.-S. Koo, et al., "Peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor-y-agonist, rosiglitazone, promotes angiogenesis after focal cerebral ischemia," *Brain Research*, vol. 1093, no. 1, pp. 208–218, 2006.
- [92] F. Pistrosch, K. Herbrig, U. Oelschlaegel, et al., "PPARyagonist rosiglitazone increases number and migratory activity of cultured endothelial progenitor cells," *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 183, no. 1, pp. 163–167, 2005.
- [93] C.-H. Wang, M.-K. Ting, S. Verma, et al., "Pioglitazone increases the numbers and improves the functional capacity of endothelial progenitor cells in patients with diabetes mellitus," *American Heart Journal*, vol. 152, no. 6, pp. 1051.e1–1051.e8, 2006.
- [94] C. Gensch, Y. P. Clever, C. Werner, M. Hanhoun, M. Böhm, and U. Laufs, "The PPAR-y agonist pioglitazone increases neoangiogenesis and prevents apoptosis of endothelial progenitor cells," *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 192, no. 1, pp. 67–74, 2007.
- [95] C. Werner, C. H. Kamani, C. Gensch, M. Böhm, and U. Laufs, "The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y agonist pioglitazone increases number and function of endothelial progenitor cells in patients with coronary artery disease and normal glucose tolerance," *Diabetes*, vol. 56, no. 10, pp. 2609– 2615, 2007.
- [96] C. E. Clay, A. M. Namen, G. Atsumi, et al., "Magnitude of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y activation is associated with important and seemingly opposite biological responses in breast cancer cells," *Journal of Investigative Medicine*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 413–420, 2001.
- [97] Y. Ye, S. P. Nishi, S. Manickavasagam, et al., "Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-*y* (PPAR*y*) by atorvastatin is mediated by 15-deoxy-Δ<sup>12,14</sup>-PGJ<sub>2</sub>," *Prostaglandins and Other Lipid Mediators*, vol. 84, no. 1-2, pp. 43–53, 2007.
- [98] P. R. S. Baker, Y. Lin, F. J. Schopfer, et al., "Fatty acid transduction of nitric oxide signaling: multiple nitrated unsaturated fatty acid derivatives exist in human blood and urine and serve as endogenous peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor ligands," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 280, no. 51, pp. 42464–42475, 2005.
- [99] S. Fauconnet, I. Lascombe, E. Chabannes, et al., "Differential regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor expression by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in bladder cancer cells," *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 277, no. 26, pp. 23534–23543, 2002.
- [100] L. Possati, R. Rocchetti, S. Talevi, et al., "The role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y in bladder cancer in relation to angiogenesis and progression," *General Pharmacology*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 269–275, 2000.
- [101] T. Nijsten, E. Geluyckens, C. Colpaert, and J. Lambert, "Peroxisome proliterator-activated receptors in squamous cell carcinoma and its precursors," *Journal of Cutaneous Pathology*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 340–347, 2005.
- [102] A. Jones, C. Fujiyama, C. Blanche, et al., "Relation of vascular endothelial growth factor production to expression and regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 $\alpha$  and hypoxia-inducible factor-2 $\alpha$  in human bladder tumors and cell lines," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 1263–1272, 2001.
- [103] R. E. Nisbet, R. L. Sutliff, and C. M. Hart, "The role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in pulmonary

vascular disease," *PPAR Research*, vol. 2007, Article ID 18797, 10 pages, 2007.

- [104] J. T. Crossno Jr., C. V. Garat, J. E. B. Reusch, et al., "Rosiglitazone attenuates hypoxia-induced pulmonary arterial remodeling," *American Journal of Physiology Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology*, vol. 292, no. 4, pp. L885–L897, 2007.
- [105] M. P. Diamond and G. Saed, "Modulation of the expression of peroxisome proliferators-activated receptors in human fibroblasts," *Fertility and Sterility*, vol. 87, no. 3, pp. 706–709, 2007.
- [106] X. Li, H. Kimura, K. Hirota, et al., "Hypoxia reduces the expression and anti-inflammatory effects of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y in human proximal renal tubular cells," *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 1041–1051, 2007.
- [107] E.-H. Kim and Y.-J. Surh, "15-deoxy- $\Delta^{12,14}$ -prostaglandin  $J_2$  as a potential endogenous regulator of redox-sensitive transcription factors," *Biochemical Pharmacology*, vol. 72, no. 11, pp. 1516–1528, 2006.