EBioMedicine 56 (2020) 102799

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

EBioMedicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ebiom

Review Infectivity of human coronavirus in the brain

Qi Cheng^{a,b,1}, Yue Yang^{c,1}, Jianqun Gao^{c,*}

^a Department of Neurology and Neurophysiology, Liverpool Hospital, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

^b Stroke and Neurology Research Group, Ingham Institute for Applied Medical Research, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

^c Brain and Mind Centre, Central Clinical School, the University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Article History: Received 27 March 2020 Revised 18 April 2020 Accepted 29 April 2020 Available online xxx

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus Human Brain Neuroinvasion Neurological manifestation

ABSTRACT

A new strain of human coronaviruses (hCoVs), Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2), has been identified to be responsible for the current outbreak of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Though major symptoms are primarily generated from the respiratory system, neurological symptoms are being reported in some of the confirmed cases, raising concerns of its potential for intracranial invasion and neurological manifestations, both in the acute phase and in the long-term. At present, it remains unclear the extent to which SARS-CoV-2 is present in the brain, and if so, its pathogenic role in the central nervous system (CNS). Evidence for neuroinvasion and neurovirulence of hCoVs has been recognised in animal and human studies. Given that SARS-CoV-2 belongs to the same family and shares characteristics in terms of receptor binding properties, it is worthwhile exploring its potential CNS manifestations. This review summarises previous findings from hCoVs in relation to the CNS, and compares these with the new strain, aiming to provide a better understanding of the effects of SARS-CoV-2 on the CNS.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1. Coronavirus virology

Human coronaviruses (hCoVs) are a group of enveloped RNA viruses that are composed of a single stranded positive sense RNA genome (~30 kb). The entire genome of hCoVs encodes spike (S) gly-coprotein, membrane (M) glycoprotein, envelope (E) glycoprotein, nucleocapsid (N) protein, RNA polymerase and genes for numerous accessory proteins that modulate pathogenesis, among which the N protein is highly conserved in most coronaviruses and abundantly expressed during infection [1], thus acting as a critical antigen for viral detection. The S protein is biologically critical for tropism and modulation [2], and is considered to be integral in the capability of hCoVs to reach the brain [3,4].

The hCoVs include seven strains, three of which, namely SARS-CoV-2, SARS-CoV, and Middle East respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus (MERS-CoV), may cause severe respiratory disease with a relatively high morbidity and mortality. In contrast, the other four strains OC43, 229E, NL63 and HKU1, are often associated with symptoms akin to the 'common cold' and hence, are relatively harmless. Based on genome analysis, the OC43 strain shares a 53•1% and a 51•2% identity with SARS-CoV and hCoV-229E, respectively [5]. The new strain, SARS-CoV-2 (previously called 2019-CoV), shares a 79% and 50% identity with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, respectively [6].

¹ Both the authors contributed equally to this work.

2. Association between hCoVs and neurological manifestation

Mainly considered as respiratory viruses, a common manifestation from hCoVs related acute respiratory disease is hypoxia, which predisposes the brain to edema, disturbed metabolism, and subsequent neurological manifestation. However, hypoxia is not the only way hCoVs were related to central nervous system. In fact, hCoVs have also been shown to be capable of invading neural cells, and hence manifesting with neurological symptoms and signs (Table 1). The potential for significant neurological deficits recently became a concern following the report of a COVID-19 patient who demonstrated loss of involuntary control of breathing due to presumed involvement of the inspiratory area in the brainstem, as well as reports of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients developing ataxia, loss of smell and convulsions [7].

2.1. hCoV-OC43 and hCoV-229E

In vitro studies have shown that 229E and OC43 hCoV strains can infect a wide range of human neural cell cultures, including neuroblastoma, neuroglioma, astrocytoma, microglial and oligodendrocytic cell lines [8–10]. In addition, animal studies have also revealed the neuroinvasion and neurovirulence of hCoV-OC43 [4,5,11–13]. Importantly, a significantly higher prevalence of the OC43 strain in terms of viral RNA detection has been shown in human brain autopsy samples from multiple sclerosis (MS) patients when compared to other neurological diseases and normal controls, which is consistent with the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.102799

2352-3964/© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)







^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: drgaojqun@icloud.com (J. Gao).

Table I

Representative cases of CNS infection with hCoVs

hCoV	Age/Sex	Onset Symptoms	Neurological Symptoms/Signs	Chest Radiography	Brain CT/MRI	CSF	Other Special Tests	Outcome	Ref
SARS-CoV	39/M	fever, chills, malaise, headache, dizziness, myalgia	obscured monocular vision (26) →dysphoria, vomiting, deliria (28) →coma (33) →brain hernia- tion (35)	left lower lobe infiltrates (11) →obvious resolution (28) →pro- gressive bibasilar infiltrations (35)	CT: broad encephalic pathological changes of probably ischemia and necrosis and brain edema (33)	none	Eye-ground exam: an exudation around the visual yellow zone (26)	Death (35)	[25]
SARS-CoV	32/F	myalgia, fever, chills, rigor, unproductive cough (w26 of pregnancy)	generalized tonic-clonic convul- sion with loss of consciousness and up-rolling eyeballs for 1 min (29)	Patchy consolidations over the right upper lobe and both lower lobes (7)	MRI: no abnormalities (53)	opening pressure of 15cm of water, clear, cultures (-), SARS-CoV by RT- PCR (+) (29)	Electroencephalo- gram: no abnor- malities (46)	Recovery (34), preg- nancy terminated by CS (15)	[28]
SARS-CoV	59/F	swinging fever, chills, pro- ductive cough, diarrhea	vomiting, episodes of four-limb twitching, confused, disorien- tated →recurred and prolonged (>30min) seizures	CT: progressive bilateral consolidation	CT: no abnormalities	Normal opening pres- sure, clear, cultures (-), SARS-CoV by RT-PCR (+)	none	Recovery	[29]
MERS-CoV	74/M	fever	ataxia, vomiting, confusion (0) / dysmetria, decreased motor power on the left side (3) →coma, GCS 3-4 (27)	infiltrate in the mid right lung zone (3) →progression in air space disease (10)	CT: multiple chronic lacunar strokes but no acute changes (3) →an interval develop- ment of numerous patchy and one large hypodensities (27) MRI: moderate chronic small vessel ische- mic changes (8) →multiple bilateral patchy areas of signal abnormality (31)	MERS-CoV by RT-PCR (-)	none	Death (37)	[30]
MERS-CoV	57/M	flu-like illness, fever, dia- betic foot	unresponsive, hypotensive with left-sided facial paralysis (7)		 CT: two subtle hypodensities at right semi- ovale and left basal ganglia, likely small lacunar infarctions, near total occlusion at origin of internal carotid arteries with M1 narrowing of left MCA (7) CT: interval multiple patchy hypodensities. MRI: bilateral signal abnormalities con- sistent with acute infarction (11) 	none	none	Death (12)	[30]
MERS-CoV	45/M	productive cough, dys- pnea, rigors, fever, diarrhea	Low GCS (34)	infiltrate in the lower and mid right zones (10)	CT: no acute abnormality (34) MRI: confluent T2W1/FLAIR hyperintensity within the white matter of both cerebral hemispheres and along the corticospinal tract (35)	MERS-CoV by RT-PCR (-)		Recovery (117)	[30]
MERS-CoV	34/F	high-grade fever, general- ized bone pain and fatigue	Severe headache, nausea, vomit- ing, GCS 3/15 (15)	right lung homogenous opacity	CT: right frontal lobe intracerebral hemor- rhage with massive brain edema and midline shift (15)			Death (~60)	[31]
MERS-CoV	28/M	fever, generalized myal- gia, dizziness, produc- tive cough	Weakness in both legs and inabil- ity to walk with numbness and tingling in stocking distribution		MRI: normal	normal	NCV: length depen- dent axonal polyneuropathy	Recovery	[31]
0C43	15/M	upper respiratory tract illness	numbness in the lower extremi- ties, difficulty walking, clumsi- ness in right hand, increased irritability / mild distal weakness in the right hand and foot, patchy loss of vibration and tem- perature sensation below T10, mild dysmetria of the left hand, poor heel-to-toe walking, antal- gic gait (7)		MRI: lesions on T2-weighted imaging at C4- C5 and at T7-T8. The spinal cord lesions were non-enhancing. Patchy areas of hyperintensity (7) \rightarrow MRI: improvement of the lesions in the brain and cerebellum (~42) \rightarrow MRI: a possible new lesion in the left hemisphere of the cerebellum. The periventricular lesion in the right cere- bral hemisphere appeared brighter and larger. The spinal cord lesions had resolved (90)	OC43 by RT-PCR (+)		Recovery	[13]
OC43 + 229E	E 3/F	fever, rhinorrhea, cough, weakness	inability to walk / damaged swal- lowing, chewing and speech functions, muscle strength 0/5, absent deep tendon reflexes, flexor plantar response (1)	normal	MRI: no abnormalities	normal pressure, glu- cose and protein, culture (-)	EMG: no pathological findings.	Recovery	[15]

All cases had fever and/or flu-like symptoms at the onset. Half of the patients (5/10) had headache, dizziness or vomiting as an early sign of neurological manifestation. 2/3 SARS patients had seizures, whereas 3/5 MERS patients and both OC43-infected cases had facial/limb paralysis. Unfortunately, MERS-CoV has not been detected in CSF samples of any of the reported cases. Ischaemic changes have been detected in images of half of the patients, while haemorrhagic pathology has been identified on one MERS patient. The OC43-related CNS-infected patients were younger compared to SARS and MERS patients. GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale; NCV: Nerve Conduct Velocity; EMG: Electroneuromyography.

capability for neuroinvasion of this hCoV [3]. Furthermore, OC43 has also been identified in a teenager patient with demyelinating disease, in whom the virus was detected in both CSF and nasopharyngeal secretions by PCR technology [14]. The hCoV-OC43 has also been associated with a fatal encephalitis in an infant although the underlying circumstances are still unclear [15]. Additionally, co-infection with the 229E and OC43 strains has been reported in a young girl who developed an acute flaccid paralysis [16].

2.2. SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV

SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV have also been linked with neurological manifestations. SARS-CoV has been shown to be capable of infecting human neural cells [17], and neuroinvasion and neurovirulence have been found in studies involving both SARS-CoV [18-23] and MERS-CoV [24,25]. An association of these two more highly pathogenic viruses with neurological manifestations have also been reported. For instance, SARS-CoV particles and genomic sequences have been detected from post-mortem brain tissues of SARS patients [26–28]. They have also been detected using RT-PCR in CSF samples from a 32-year-old pregnant female patient who presented with a brief duration generalized convulsion and accompanying loss of consciousness [29] and within 24 h of a first seizure in a 59-year-old female patient [30]. Although there is less of direct evidence of viral presence in the CNS, MERS patients have also presented with neurological findings, such as altered consciousness, as well as manifested with a wide range of abnormalities on brain MRI [31,32].

Regarding the regional distribution of the virus in the CNS, data from the post-mortem studies have shown that infection from SARS-CoV was confined to neurons within selected areas of the brain, including thalamus, cerebrum, brainstem, hypothalamus and cortex [22,27]. Intriguingly, SARS-CoV has been detected in cerebrum, but not in cerebellum, in both animal [22] and human [28] studies. In animals infected in the CNS with MERS-CoV, the thalamus and brain stem were found to be the highest infected sites [25].

3. Dissemination pathways for coronavirus to gain access to the CNS

Data from multiple hACE2 transgenic mouse models has revealed that SARS-CoV detection in the brain is significantly delayed compared to that within the lung, consistent with the initial



Fig. 1. Possible dissemination routes of CNS infection with hCoVs. Route 1 (yellow solid arrows): olfactory nerve to olfactory cortex of temporal lobe to hippocampus to amygdala, or to hypothalamus; Route 2 (green dot arrows): via serotoninergic dorsal raphe system; Route 3 (red dot arrows): via hematogenous route and Virchow-Robin spaces; Route 4 (gray dot arrows): via lymphatic system. Dissemination routes with empiric data are indicated by solid arrows, and speculative ones are indicated by dot arrows. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

establishment of infection within the respiratory system before dissemination to the CNS [21-23]. Several dissemination routes have been proposed for coronaviruses to gain access to the CNS (Fig. 1).

3.1. Transneuronal route

The mouse hepatitis virus (MHV), a neurotropic coronavirus, has been shown to enter the CNS via a transneuronal route and disseminate within the brain via neuroanatomic pathways [33]. In mice transgenic for hACE2, SARS-CoV seems to enter the brain via the olfactory bulb post intranasal inoculation before disseminating transneuronally to distal connected neurons and causing the dysfunction/ death of these infected neurons [18]. A similar dissemination pattern has also been detected in OC43-inoculated mice [5,13]. However, different observations were found in another hACE2 transgenic mouse model, in which no SARS-CoV was detected in the olfactory bulb, or preferentially in sites transneuronally connected to the olfactory bulb [22]. In addition, a recent study has revealed that ACE2 (Angiotensinconverting Enzyme 2) and TMPRSS2 (transmembrane protease, serine 2), two key genes involved in SARS-CoV-2 entry, are not expressed in olfactory sensory or bulb neurons, but rather in olfactory epithelial cells [63], suggesting the involvement of other dissemination mechanisms independent of axonal transport.

3.2. Neurotransmitter pathway

It has also been proposed that hCoVs can gain access to the CNS via the certain neurotransmitter pathways such as serotoninergic dorsal raphe system [18], which primarily projects to cerebral cortex, neostriatum, amygdala, substantia nigra, pons, hippocampus, entorhinal cortex and locus coeruleus. However, this hypothesis is speculative and requires to be further tested *in vivo* models.

3.3. Hematogenous route

Viremia has been observed following intranasal inoculation with MHV [34] and SARS-CoV [21] in transgenic mice. In addition, viral RNA has been measured in the blood of patients infected with SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [35] and thus, the dissemination of these two viruses to the CNS may be mediated by the hematogenous route through a damaged blood-brain barrier (BBB).

Once crossing the BBB, hCoVs-infected cells could potentially invade the brain through perivascular spaces, also known as Virchow-Robin spaces. These fluid-filled spaces are implicated as sites in which macrophages and lymphocytes interact to initiate immune response in patients with viral encephalitis [36]. For instance, the cryptococcal organisms in AIDS patients have been shown to disseminate through the Virchow-Robin spaces before further propagation into other brain regions [37]. Whether hCoVs could disseminate via the same route remains unclear.

3.4. Lymphatic systems

The intranasal inoculation of rodents with MHV has also revealed a lymphatic dissemination of coronavirus via cervical and mesenteric lymph nodes in addition to viremia [34]. Additional studies are required to determine the role of this potential dissemination route.

4. Long-term effects post acute infection

The infection of hCoVs may also result in long-term neurological deficits. The pathologic examination of brain tissue collected from a SARS patient revealed necrosis of neurons and broad hyperplasia of gliocytes, indicative of the presence of a chronic progressive encephalitis during the course of viral infection [26]. In addition, scattered red degeneration of neurons, a sign of neuronal hypoxia or ischaemia

[27], as well as oedema around small veins with demyelination of nerve fibres [38], have been detected in brains of SARS patients, which may provide an explanation for an incidence of long-term neurological [39] and/or psychological [40] abnormalities observed in SARS survivors.

In surviving mice post hCoV-OC43 infection, spongiform-like degeneration was a residual finding post acute infection, indicating the possibility of neurodegenerative neuropathological sequelae [4]. Further, a decline of locomotor activity and an abnormality in the limb clasping reflex were observed starting several months after viral infection, and neuronal loss especially in the hippocampal region was still detectable one year post infection [41]. Although the majority of infectious virus (80%) was cleared in surviving animals, viral RNA could persist for months [41]. Even for cells that have survived the initial viral infection, little is known about their long-term functional status. To better understand this, CoV-infected models that allow the identification and isolation of survival cells, such as the Cre reporter mouse model of MHV infection, may be helpful [42]. Recovery from acute infection does not necessarily promise complete clearance of the virus, and if an infection were to becomes chronic, it may result in long-term sequelae including chronic neurological diseases. However, given the limited clinical data, the long-term effects of hCoVs on the CNS post acute infection are unknown and thus, more studies involving the long-term follow-up of survivors are needed.

5. Receptors or antibodies for virus entry in the CNS

5.1. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2)

ACE2 was reported as a functional cellular receptor for SARS-CoV due to the ability of the S1 domain of the spike (S) protein to efficiently bind to ACE2 for subsequent viral replication in the cytoplasm of sensitive cells [43]. In addition, previous autopsy studies have inferred that only ACE2-postive cells were susceptible to SARS-CoV infection because the S protein and its RNA could not be detected in ACE2-negative cells [44]. It has been reported that SARS-CoV-2 might also use ACE2 as a cellular receptor, despite a variation at some key residues of the receptor-binding domain compared to that of SARS-CoV [6].

The lack of ACE2 expression in neuronal cells at both transcription and protein level contrasts with the viral susceptibility of these cells and cytopathogenicity during the acute phase of infection in neural cell lines of human and rat origins [17] as well as in humans brains [45]. Even in hACE2 transgenic mice, when specific brain regions were heavily infected by SARS-CoV, the expression levels of hACE2 in the brain were no more than 0•1 to 1% of those found in the lungs [18]. Furthermore, even in cells highly expressing hACE2 in the transgenic animal model, SARS-CoV infection could be absent, indicating that the expression of hACE2 alone might not be sufficient for maintaining viral infection [46]. These findings suggest that other receptor (s) or co-receptor(s) are involved in the viral entry into neural cells.

5.2. Dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4)

DPP-4 (also known as CD26) was identified as a cellular receptor for MERS-CoV [47]. Two lines of human DPP-4 transgenic mice were found to have high affinity to infection by MERS-CoV [24,25]. DPP-4 is expressed in human brains [48], therefore, when the virus gains access to the brain, cells expressing DPP-4 are potentially available for viral replication within the CNS.

5.3. Other potential receptors

Aminopeptidase N (APN, also known as CD13), a cell-surface metalloprotease, was proposed as a receptor for hCoV 229E [49], as well as SARS-CoV [50]. Human aminopeptidase N was shown, *in vitro*, to be a cellular receptor for hCoV 229E infection of human neuronal, astrocytic and oligodendrocytic cell lines [51]. Another glycoprotein, namely CD209L (also called L-SIGN) has also been identified as an alternative cellular receptor for SARS-CoV [52]. In addition, the infection of SARS-CoV on ACE2-expressing cells seemed to be dependent on a proteolytic enzyme Cathepsin L (CTSL1) in a pH sensitive manner [53,54]. The expression levels of these receptors in human brain, however, require further elucidation to determine the extent to which they may be responsible for the hCoVs infection in the CNS.

5.4. Antibodies

In addition to known and putative receptors, antibody-dependent enhancement (ADE) of viral entry using the fusogenic spike protein has also been reported as a pathway to transfer coronavirus (MHV4) from infected cells to non-infected cells [55]. *In vitro* studies have shown that coronavirus entry could be mediated by special antibodies that bind the virus surface spike protein and facilitate subsequence viral entry of MERS-CoV [56] and SARS-CoV [57] in a receptor-like manner. The ADE pathway is particularly important for vaccine design and the development of antibody-based drug therapies.

6. Is SARS-CoV-2 likely to be present in the central nervous system?

At present, it remains unknown whether SARS-CoV-2 is present in the CNS, possibly due to limited access to brain tissue and CSF from patients infected with the virus. Autopsies are being increasingly carried out, however, initial biopsies have been taken only from lung, liver and heart for histopathology [58]. Given that several strains of hCoVs have been shown to be present in the brain, as well as CSF [14–16,26–30], with neuroinvasion and neurovirulence shown in cell culture and animal models [4,5,11–13,17–25], it is reasonable to consider that the SARS-CoV-2 may also be present in the CNS. This is further supported by the fact that some COVID-19 patients present with headache, nausea and vomiting, symptoms that potentially indicates neurological involvement [59]. The frequency of neurological manifestations including changes in consciousness and acute cerebrovascular disease increase in parallel with the severity of the disease [60]. One study on nasal epithelium samples taken from a subset of COVID-19 patients exhibiting olfactory dysfunction has suggested that the disturbance of smell in these patients is more possibly due to SARS-CoV-2 infection of non-neuronal cells rather than olfactory neurons [63]. More recently, the report of a cohort of 58 COVID-19 patients showed a correlation between acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and encephalopathy, mainly agitation, confusion and corticospinal tract signs [61]. However, these findings need to be confirmed by studies on brain samples or CSF. The most efficient way to identify involvement of neurological systems remains to be determined. The presence of SARS-CoV-2 in CSF was confirmed by gene sequencing in a 56-year-old patient with COVID-19, which was the first direct evidence for the neuroinvasion of this novel coronavirus [62]. Brain samples remain the gold standard of confirmation, however, access to such samples will almost certainly remain very limited and it is not a practical or ethical consideration in larger cohorts, especially in mild to moderate cases. Several pathways of invasion for hCoVs have been proposed, including via the olfactory nerve, neurotransmitter pathways, hematogenous route, Virchow-Robin space surrounding arterioles and venules, lymphatic systems and receptors, from which alternative parameters and types of samples may offer opportunity for proof of CNS involvement. More studies are needed to evaluate and compare these alternative pathways and parameters. In addition, the timing of sampling needs further investigation, as the change of viral load in the human body at different stages of COVID-19-related infection and recovery remains unclear.

7. Outlook and perspective

Though considered mainly as respiratory viruses, several strains of hCoVs have been detected in brains from patients with encephalitis and multiple sclerosis. In addition, the detection of SARS-CoV in CSF of patients with neurological manifestation has also provided direct evidence for the neuroinvasion and neurovirulence of hCoVs. However, the role of the virus in the process of the disease in acute phase as well as in the long term still remains elusive. The current strain SARS-CoV-2 can also potentially infect neuronal cells in the brain. Therefore, a better understanding of dissemination characteristics and neuropathogenesis of these hCoVs in the CNS is urgently needed.

7.1. Outstanding questions

Catching a viral infection with ensuing cytokine release and tissue lesions may lead to a variety of CNS-related manifestations (delirium, headache, vomiting, etc.), which are recognizable for many viruses including hCoVs, however, these non-specific clinical symptoms and signs may be constitutional and represent systemic involvement rather than direct CNS infection. Therefore, we should be cautious when reporting cases with neurological symptoms and/or signs that lack solid evidence for CNS infection (e.g. absence of viral detection in CSF samples or abnormalities supportive of infection on brain imaging). It is particularly challenging when evaluate the neurological deficits during the advanced stage of COVID-19-related disease. The clinical diagnosis and treatment strategy has to be made on the basis of a differential diagnosis which includes hypoxia, respiratory, metabolic acidosis, ARDS-related encephalopathy, the effect or withdrawal of medications, and viral infection of the CNS.

8. Search strategy and selection criteria

PubMed search of articles: "human coronavirus", "SARS", "MERS", "OC43", "229E", "neurological symptom", "neurological sign", "neurological manifestation", "neuroinvasion", and "neurovirulence". Additional articles were selected based on articles in these searches and as suggested by reviewers.

Declaration of Competing Interest

We declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments/Funding

We thank A/Prof Dennis Cordato, Senior Specialist/Neurologist, Liverpool Hospital, Sydney, Australia, for critical review and grammar check of the manuscript. We received no funding for this review.

References

- Lin Y, Shen X, Yang RF, et al. Identification of an epitope of SARS-coronavirus nucleocapsid protein. Cell Res 2003;13:141–5.
- [2] Almazan F, Gonzalez JM, Penzes Z, et al. Engineering the largest RNA virus genome as an infectious bacterial artificial chromosome. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000;97:5516–21.
- [3] Arbour N, Day R, Newcombe J, Talbot PJ. Neuroinvasion by human respiratory coronaviruses. J Virol 2000;74:8913–21.
- [4] Jacomy H, Talbot PJ. Vacuolating encephalitis in mice infected by human coronavirus OC43. Virology 2003;315:20–33.
- [5] St-Jean JR, Jacomy H, Desforges M, Vabret A, Freymuth F, Talbot PJ. Human respiratory coronavirus OC43: genetic stability and neuroinvasion. J Virol 2004;78:8824–34.
- [6] Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. Lancet 2020;395:565–74.
- [7] Baig AM. Neurological manifestations in COVID-19 caused by SARS-CoV-2. CNS Neurosci Ther 2020;26(5):499–501.

- [8] Arbour N, Ekande S, Cote G, et al. Persistent infection of human oligodendrocytic and neuroglial cell lines by human coronavirus 229E. J Virol 1999;73:3326–37.
- [9] Arbour N, Cote G, Lachance C, Tardieu M, Cashman NR, Talbot PJ. Acute and persistent infection of human neural cell lines by human coronavirus OC43. J Virol 1999;73:3338–50.
- [10] Bonavia A, Arbour N, Yong VW, Talbot PJ. Infection of primary cultures of human neural cells by human coronaviruses 229E and OC43. J Virol 1997;71:800–6.
- [11] Butler N, Pewe L, Trandem K, Perlman S. Murine encephalitis caused by HCoV-OC43, a human coronavirus with broad species specificity, is partly immunemediated. Virology 2006;347:410–21.
- [12] Jacomy H, Talbot PJ. Susceptibility of murine CNS to OC43 infection. Adv Exp Med Biol 2001;494:101–7.
- [13] Dube M, Le Coupanec A, Wong AHM, Rini JM, Desforges M, Talbot PJ. Axonal Transport Enables Neuron-to-Neuron Propagation of Human Coronavirus OC43. J Virol 2018;92.
- [14] Yeh EA, Collins A, Cohen ME, Duffner PK, Faden H. Detection of coronavirus in the central nervous system of a child with acute disseminated encephalomyelitis. Pediatrics 2004;113:e73–6.
- [15] Morfopoulou S, Brown JR, Davies EG, et al. Human Coronavirus OC43 Associated with Fatal Encephalitis. N Engl J Med 2016;375:497–8.
- [16] Turgay C, Emine T, Ozlem K, Muhammet SP, Haydar AT. A rare cause of acute flaccid paralysis: human coronaviruses. J Pediatr Neurosci 2015;10:280–1.
- [17] Yamashita M, Yamate M, Li GM, Ikuta K. Susceptibility of human and rat neural cell lines to infection by SARS-coronavirus. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2005;334:79–85.
- [18] Netland J, Meyerholz DK, Moore S, Cassell M, Perlman S. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection causes neuronal death in the absence of encephalitis in mice transgenic for human ACE2. J Virol 2008;82:7264–75.
- [19] Glass WG, Subbarao K, Murphy B, Murphy PM. Mechanisms of host defense following severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus (SARS-CoV) pulmonary infection of mice. J Immunol 2004;173:4030–9.
- [20] Roberts A, Deming D, Paddock CD, et al. A mouse-adapted SARS-coronavirus causes disease and mortality in BALB/c mice. PLoS Pathog 2007;3:e5.
- [21] Tseng CT, Huang C, Newman P, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection of mice transgenic for the human Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 virus receptor. J Virol 2007;81:1162–73.
- [22] McCray Jr. PB, Pewe L, Wohlford-Lenane C, et al. Lethal infection of K18-hACE2 mice infected with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. J Virol 2007;81:813–21.
- [23] Yoshikawa N, Yoshikawa T, Hill T, et al. Differential virological and immunological outcome of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection in susceptible and resistant transgenic mice expressing human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2. J Virol 2009;83:5451–65.
- [24] Agrawal AS, Garron T, Tao X, et al. Generation of a transgenic mouse model of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection and disease. J Virol 2015;89:3659–70.
- [25] Li K, Wohlford-Lenane C, Perlman S, et al. middle east respiratory syndrome coronavirus causes multiple organ damage and lethal disease in mice transgenic for human Dipeptidyl peptidase 4. J Infect Dis 2016;213:712–22.
- [26] Xu J, Zhong S, Liu J, et al. Detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus in the brain: potential role of the chemokine mig in pathogenesis. Clin Infect Dis 2005;41:1089–96.
- [27] Gu J, Gong E, Zhang B, et al. Multiple organ infection and the pathogenesis of SARS. JExp Med 2005;202:415–24.
- [28] Ding Y, He L, Zhang Q, et al. Organ distribution of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in SARS patients: implications for pathogenesis and virus transmission pathways. J Pathol 2004;203:622–30.
- [29] Lau KK, Yu WC, Chu CM, Lau ST, Sheng B, Yuen KY. Possible central nervous system infection by SARS coronavirus. Emerg Infect Dis 2004;10:342–4.
- [30] Hung EC, Chim SS, Chan PK, et al. Detection of SARS coronavirus RNA in the cerebrospinal fluid of a patient with severe acute respiratory syndrome. Clin Chem 2003;49:2108–9.
- [31] Arabi YM, Harthi A, Hussein J, et al. Severe neurologic syndrome associated with Middle East respiratory syndrome corona virus (MERS-CoV). Infection 2015;43:495–501.
- [32] Algahtani H, Subahi A, Shirah B. Neurological Complications of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus: a Report of Two Cases and Review of the Literature. Case Rep Neurol Med 2016;2016:3502683.
- [33] Perlman S, Evans G, Afifi A. Effect of olfactory bulb ablation on spread of a neurotropic coronavirus into the mouse brain. J Exp Med 1990;172:1127–32.
- [34] Barthold SW, Smith AL. Viremic dissemination of mouse hepatitis virus-JHM following intranasal inoculation of mice. Arch Virol 1992;122:35–44.
- [35] Chan JF, Lau SK, To KK, Cheng VC, Woo PC, Yuen KY. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus: another zoonotic betacoronavirus causing SARS-like disease. Clin Microbiol Rev 2015;28:465–522.
- [36] Esiri MM, Gay D. Immunological and neuropathological significance of the Virchow-Robin space. J Neurol Sci 1990;100:3–8.
- [37] Wehn SM, Heinz ER, Burger PC, Boyko OB. Dilated Virchow-Robin spaces in cryptococcal meningitis associated with AIDS: CT and MR findings. J Comput Assist Tomogr 1989;13:756–62.
- [38] Ding Y, Wang H, Shen H, et al. The clinical pathology of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS): a report from China. J Pathol 2003;200:282–9.
- [39] Tso EY, Tsang OT, Choi KW, et al. Persistence of physical symptoms in and abnormal laboratory findings for survivors of severe acute respiratory syndrome. Clin Infect Dis 2004;38:1338.

- [40] Wu KK, Chan SK, Ma TM. Posttraumatic stress, anxiety, and depression in survivors of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). J Trauma Stress 2005;18:39–42.
- [41] Jacomy H, Fragoso G, Almazan G, Mushynski WE, Talbot PJ. Human coronavirus OC43 infection induces chronic encephalitis leading to disabilities in BALB/C mice. Virology 2006;349:335–46.
- [42] Wheeler DL, Athmer J, Meyerholz DK, Perlman S. Murine Olfactory Bulb Interneurons Survive Infection with a Neurotropic Coronavirus. J Virol 2017;91.
- [43] Li W, Moore MJ, Vasilieva N, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. Nature 2003;426:450–4.
- [44] He L, Ding Y, Zhang Q, et al. Expression of elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in SARS-CoV-infected ACE2+ cells in SARS patients: relation to the acute lung injury and pathogenesis of SARS. J Pathol 2006;210:288–97.
- [45] Hamming I, Timens W, Bulthuis ML, Lely AT, Navis G, van Goor H. Tissue distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding SARS pathogenesis. J Pathol 2004;203:631–7.
- [46] To KF, Tong JH, Chan PK, et al. Tissue and cellular tropism of the coronavirus associated with severe acute respiratory syndrome: an in-situ hybridization study of fatal cases. J Pathol 2004;202:157–63.
- [47] Raj VS, Mou H, Smits SL, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 is a functional receptor for the emerging human coronavirus-EMC. Nature 2013;495:251–4.
- [48] Lambeir AM, Durinx C, Scharpe S, De Meester I. Dipeptidyl-peptidase IV from bench to bedside: an update on structural properties, functions, and clinical aspects of the enzyme DPP IV. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 2003;40:209–94.
- [49] Yeager CL, Ashmun RA, Williams RK, et al. Human aminopeptidase N is a receptor for human coronavirus 229E. Nature 1992;357:420–2.
- [50] Kontoyiannis DP, Pasqualini R, Arap W. Aminopeptidase N inhibitors and SARS. Lancet 2003;361:1558.
- [51] Lachance C, Arbour N, Cashman NR, Talbot PJ. Involvement of aminopeptidase N (CD13) in infection of human neural cells by human coronavirus 229E. J Virol 1998;72:6511–9.

- [52] Jeffers SA, Tusell SM, Gillim-Ross L, et al. CD209L (L-SIGN) is a receptor for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2004;101:15748–53.
- [53] Simmons G, Gosalia DN, Rennekamp AJ, Reeves JD, Diamond SL, Bates P. Inhibitors of cathepsin L prevent severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus entry. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005;102:11876–81.
- [54] Huang IC, Bosch BJ, Li F, et al. SARS coronavirus, but not human coronavirus NL63, utilizes cathepsin L to infect ACE2-expressing cells. J Biol Chem 2006;281:3198–203.
- [55] Gallagher TM, Buchmeier MJ, Perlman S. Dissemination of MHV4 (strain JHM) infection does not require specific coronavirus receptors. Adv Exp Med Biol 1993;342:279–84.
- [56] Wan Y, Shang J, Sun S, et al. Molecular mechanism for antibody-dependent enhancement of coronavirus entry. J Virol 2020;94.
- [57] Kam YW, Kien F, Roberts A, et al. Antibodies against trimeric S glycoprotein protect hamsters against SARS-CoV challenge despite their capacity to mediate FcgammaRII-dependent entry into B cells in vitro. Vaccine 2007;25:729–40.
- [58] Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir Med 2020;8(4):420–2.
- [59] Li Z, Yi Y, Luo X, et al. Development and Clinical Application of A Rapid IgM-IgG Combined Antibody Test for SARS-CoV-2 Infection Diagnosis. J Med Virol 2020. doi: 10.1002/jmv.25727.
- [60] Li YC, Bai WZ, Hashikawa T. The neuroinvasive potential of SARS-CoV2 may play a role in the respiratory failure of COVID-19 patients. J Med Virol 2020. doi: 10.1002/jmv.25728.
- [61] Helms J, Kremer S, Merdji H, et al. Neurologic Features in Severe SARS-CoV-2 Infection. N Engl J Med 2020. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2008597.
- [62] Zhou L, Zhang M, Wang J, Gao J. Sars-Cov-2: underestimated damage to nervous system. Travel Med Infect Dis 2020:101642. doi: 10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101642.
- [63] Brann, D.H., Tsukahara, T., Weinreb, C., Lipovsek, M., Van den Berge, K., Gong, B., et al. Non-neuronal expression of SARS-CoV-2 entry genes in the olfactory system suggests mechanisms underlying COVID-19-associated anosmia. bioRxiv preprint doi: 10.1101/2020.03.25.009084.