scientific reports

OPEN



Salivary immunity of elite collegiate American football players infected with SARS-CoV-2 normalizes following isolation

Joshua Granger¹, Eunhan Cho¹, Kevin Lindsey¹, Nathan Lemoine², Derek Calvert², Jack Marucci², Shelly Mullenix², Hollis O'Neal^{3,4}, Brian A. Irving^{1,5}, Neil Johannsen^{1,5} & Guillaume Spielmann^{1,5}

The impact of COVID-19 on systemic immunity in the general population has been well characterized, however the short-term effects of COVID-19 infection on innate salivary immunity in elite-level athletes are unknown. Therefore, this study aimed to determine whether elite college football athletes had altered salivary immunity following the CDC-recommended isolation post-SARS-CoV-2 infection. Salivary samples were obtained from fourteen elite football players who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 (n = 14), immediately after CDC-recommended isolation (average days = 14 ± 2 days) and fifteen controls who remained uninfected with SARS-CoV-2. Biomarkers of innate salivary immunity (slgA and alpha-amylase), antimicrobial proteins (AMPs, i.e., HNP1-3, lactoferrin, LL-37) and lung inflammation (SPA, SPLI, and Neutrophil Elastase-alpha-1-antitrypsin complex) were measured. Independent student t-tests were used to determine changes in biomarkers between groups. Although all AMP levels were within normal range, Human Neutrophil Defensin 1–3 concentrations and secretion rates were higher in SARS-CoV-2+ compared to SARS-CoV-2-. This suggests that the CDC-recommended isolation period is sufficient to ensure that athletes' salivary immunity is not compromised upon return to sports, and athletes post-COVID-19 infection do not appear to be at greater risk for secondary infection than those with no history of COVID-19.

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) the causal agent of COVID-19, is transmitted by close contact with infected individuals through droplets and aerosols, and can induce severe pneumonia¹, cytokine storm² and even death³. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 through these droplets and aerosols occur in activities involving the oral cavity such as breathing, coughing, and sneezing⁴. Aerosols produced by coughing or sneezing are viable for hours⁵ and enter the lungs through the mouth and nose from inhalation⁶. In addition, upper airways are also the primary route of infection for various bacteria⁷ and viruses⁸, which can lead to serious secondary infections in both COVID-19 patients⁹ and adults who recently recovered from other infections¹⁰. Since secondary pneumonias are associated with increased risk of death and poor health outcomes^{9,11}, limiting person-person contact during the recovery period may reduce the risk of secondary infections, as person-to-person contact is the main route of transmission of respiratory pathogens.

Though the exact mechanism for the increased risk of secondary pneumonia after viral infection is unknown, disruption of the mucosal barrier and an impaired host response during the recovery period is suspected^{12,13}. One of the primary sources of mucosal and salivary immunity includes antimicrobial proteins (AMPs), which are crucial in keeping immunocompetent hosts healthy from various infections¹⁴. These AMPs such as salivary IgA (sIgA), human neutrophil defensins (HNP1-3), lactoferrin, secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI), and salivary surfactant protein A (SP-A) protect against bacterial, viral, and fungal infections^{15–24}. sIgA and alpha-amylase (AMY) prevent bacterial and viral cells from adhering to oral surfaces^{25,26} and, in particular, prevent respiratory viruses from adhering to these surfaces^{27,28}. In addition, HNPs, lactoferrin, and SLPI have broad antimicrobial activities via oral secretions^{20,23} to help maintain oral health^{29,30}. Pertinent to SARS-CoV-2 systemic

¹School of Kinesiology, Louisiana State University, 91 Huey P. Long Fieldhouse, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA. ²LSU Athletics, LSU, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA. ³Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA. ⁴Our Lady of the Lake, Baton Rouge, LA 70810, USA. ⁵Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70808, USA. [≦]email: gspielmann@lsu.edu

	SARS-CoV-2+	SARS-CoV-2-
n	14	15
Age	19.8 ± 0.4	20.0 ± 0.5
Height (cm)	185.1 ± 2.2	188.9 ± 2.9
Weight (kg)	102.1 ± 8.2	118.6 ± 8.2
Time post infection (days)	14±2	n/a
Asymptomatic	8	n/a
Mild Symptoms	6	n/a
Severe Symptoms	0	n/a

 Table 1. Participant's physical characteristics (mean ± S.D.).

.....

inflammation, these AMPs can suppress³¹⁻³⁴ or balance immune inflammation in the lungs and airways³⁵, a potential fatal side effect of the SARS-CoV-2 infection. Additionally, "Long COVID"³⁶ is becoming a more significant concern on long-term health, possibly impacting the salivary immune system after recovery. Therefore, determining the long-lasting effects of COVID-19 on salivary immunity is essential to understanding the prevention of future respiratory infection.

Potential alterations in innate salivary immunity are particularly relevant in athletes undergoing highintensity training, since repeated bouts of intense exercise have been purported to negatively impact immune competency³⁷. While the immunosuppressive effect of high intensity exercise, and its associated increased risk of infection in athletes remains disputed³⁸, studies have shown that healthy American football athletes exhibit significant decreases in sIgA concentration and secretion rates throughout their competitive season³⁹. On the contrary, isolated acute bouts of high-intensity interval training are likely to exert protective effects on the salivary immune response of highly-trained men and women^{40,41}, and regular periodized training does not lead to a significant change in sIgA⁴². Further, prolonged exercise does not significantly alter salivary IgA concentrations but does increase the concentration and secretion rates of other AMPs, including HNP1-3 and LL-37⁴³. Thus, although recent evidence suggests that high-intensity exercise does not cause immunodepression in healthy adults, the salivary immune competency of elite level athletes who recovered from COVID-19 remains unknown, especially considering infection routes for SARS-CoV-2 are predominantly found in salivary glands⁴⁴.

Given the importance of salivary immunity in COVID-19, specifically in athletes where close contact is unavoidable during intensive training and competition for many sports⁴⁵, the purpose of the study is to examine markers of salivary immunity in athletes who tested positive of COVID-19. The impact of COVID-19 on the salivary immunity of elite-level athletes immediately following the CDC-recommended 10 days of isolation due to SARS-CoV-2 infection is currently unknown. As such, the goal of this study was to characterize salivary immune competency in elite-level NCAA Division I football players who had either never been infected with SARS-CoV-2, or who had recovered from COVID-19. We aim to assess recovery of the respiratory immunity in an effort to provide information for the safety of return to competition after isolation.

Methods

Participants. A total of twenty-nine NCAA Division I American football players provided LSU-IRB approved written and informed consent to participate in this study (mean \pm S.D. age 20 \pm 1.3 yrs., weight 105 \pm 28 kg, height 186 \pm 8 cm). Fourteen of the participants tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 and fifteen football players with no history of SARS-CoV-2 infection served as controls (Table 1). All participants were tested for SARS-CoV-2 using a nasopharyngeal-swab with samples sent to an independent clinic to detect SARS-CoV-2 by using real-time polymerase chain reaction⁴⁶. Among the SARS-CoV-2 participants, 6 reported mild symptoms (i.e., sinus congestion, headaches, loss of taste and smell, chills, or fatigue) and 8 remained asymptomatic throughout isolation period. None of the SARS-CoV-2 negative participants reported symptoms associated with SARS-CoV-2. Following an average of 14 \pm 2 days of isolation (range 10–16 days SARS-CoV-2 detection) and the team physician cleared the participants who tested positive, they reported to the laboratory for testing. Control participants reported to the laboratory without having to isolate. We performed data collection prior to the availability of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines.

Saliva sampling. Saliva samples were collected using non-invasive synthetic salivates swabs (Salimetrics SOS; Carlsbad, CA). Participants were asked to rinse their mouth with water and place a previously weighed swab under their tongues for 3-min to measure salivary flow rate. Salivette weight was recorded before and after saliva collection. The difference in salivette weight was divided by the collection time to determine saliva flow rate. After collecting and weighing the swab, participants repeated the collection with a second salivette kept under their tongue until fully saturated with saliva. Salivettes were immediately centrifuged at 1500 g for 10 min and saliva samples were stored in a -80C freezer until analysis for biomarkers of salivary immunity.

Salivary biomarkers of immunity and lung health. Salivary immunity was evaluated using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits. First, mucosal immune competency was characterized by measuring salivary sIgA and alpha-amylase (Salimetrics, State College, PA, USA). Next, salivary antimicrobial proteins (AMP) HNP1-3, LL-37 (Hycult Biotech, Uden, The Netherlands), and lactoferrin

(Biomatik, Kitchener, Ontario, Canada) concentrations were determined. Finally, lung inflammation, a marker of overall lung health, was assessed by salivary surfactant protein A (SP-A) (Biomatik, Kitchener, Ontario, Canada), secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI) (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) and salivary neutrophil Elastase-alpha-1-antitrypsin complex (Hycult Biotech, Uden, The Netherlands) concentrations^{47,48}. According to manufacturers' instructions, all samples were tested in duplicate, read on a plate reader (SprectraMax i3x, Molecular Devices; San Jose, CA) and concentrations were calculated on absorbance reading based on standard curves. Salivary biomarker concentrations were then converted to secretion rates by multiplying the concentrations by salivary flow rate. Unfortunately, technical limitations associated with saliva collection led to low volume recovery in some participants, and some analyses were conducted on a reduced sample size.

Statistical analysis. All data were assessed for assumptions of normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test and constant error variance prior to formal statistical testing. Skewed data were normalized by logarithmic transformation. An independent student t-test was used to identify differences in participant characteristics and biomarkers of immunity and lung health between SARS-CoV-2+ and SARS-CoV-2- groups. Statistical analysis was performed with JMP Pro 15 (SAS; Cary, NC). Statistical significance was accepted at p < 0.05 and normally distributed data are presented as mean \pm S.D. Non-normally distributed data are presented as geometric means \pm S.D.

Institutional review board. The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board LSU.

Informed consent. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Results

Participant characteristics. Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. There were no differences in anthropometric characteristics between SARS-CoV-2 positive and negative participants (p > 0.05). Participants diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 exhibited mild symptoms lasting 1 to 2 days with no severe symptoms reported. Participants from the control group did not report any symptoms or discomforts throughout the study.

SARS-CoV-2 infection is not associated with chronic impairments in salivary immunity in athletes. No difference in salivary flow rates ($0.14\pm1.9 \text{ mL}^{*} \text{min}^{-1}$; $0.14\pm1.4 \text{ mL}^{*} \text{min}^{-1}$ respectively; p=0.99) were observed between SARS-CoV-2 + and SARS-CoV-2- groups. The salivary immune markers sIgA (289 ± 38 ug * mL⁻¹; 256 ± 38 ug * mL⁻¹ respectively; p=0.55) and alpha-amylase ($27.28\pm2.0 \text{ U}^{*} \text{mL}^{-1}$; $32.1\pm2.4 \text{ U}^{*} \text{mL}^{-1}$ respectively; p=0.61) concentrations were not different between groups (Fig. 1). No difference was found in sIgA secretion rate ($46.9\pm7.1 \text{ ug}^{*} \text{min}^{-1}$; $34.0\pm7.1 \text{ ug}^{*} \text{min}^{-1}$ respectively; p=0.21) or AMY secretion rates ($4.02\pm2.65 \text{ U}^{*} \text{min}^{-1}$; $4.35\pm2.51 \text{ U}^{*} \text{min}^{-1}$ respectively; p=0.84) between groups (Fig. 1).

Differences in salivary antimicrobial protein concentrations. HNP1-3 concentrations (SARS-CoV-2+: $305,120 \pm 2.97$ pg * mL vs. SARS-CoV-2-: $90,837 \pm 3.73$ pg * mL; p = 0.02) were significantly higher in the SARS-CoV-2 positive participants then their SARS-CoV-2 negative counterparts. Similarly, HNP1-3 secretion rates were ~ 250% higher in the SARS-CoV-2 + group compared to SARS-CoV-2- groups (SARS-CoV-2+: $36,270 \pm 9,907$ pg * min⁻¹ vs. SARS-CoV-2: $11,925 \pm 8,689$ pg * min⁻¹; p = 0.02) (Fig. 2). The SARS-CoV-2 positive group appeared to contain one outlier for HNP1-3 concentrations and secretion rates. Since completing the statistical analysis with and without including this participant, still showed that SARS-CoV-2 + athletes had significantly greater concentrations and secretion rates of HNP1-3, the data presented includes the participant. No differences were found in other AMP concentrations between the SARS-CoV-2 + and SARS-CoV-2 - groups (Fig. 2). Lactoferrin secretion rate and LL-37 secretion rates were not different between groups (0.38 ± 2.15 ug * min⁻¹; 0.24 ± 3.56 ug * min⁻¹; p = 0.39 and 2.31 ± 0.46 ng * min⁻¹; 2.16 ± 0.67 ng * min⁻¹; p = 0.86 respectively; Fig. 2).

Biomarker of lung inflammation and health. A trend for greater SP-A, a marker of lung inflammation, was observed in the SARS-CoV-2- group (SARS-CoV-2-: $97.25\pm2.09 \text{ pg}^{+}\text{ml}^{-1}$ vs. SARS-CoV-2+: $170.80\pm2.32 \text{ pg}^{+}\text{ml}^{-1}$; p=0.07) compared to the SARS-CoV-2+ group. However, other biomarkers of lung inflammation such as SPLI ($0.95\pm2.58 \text{ ug}^{+}\text{ml}^{-1}$; $0.93\pm3.27 \text{ ug}^{+}\text{ml}^{-1}$ respectively; p=0.96), and NE-A1-AT ($4029\pm2.10 \text{ AU}^{+}\text{ml}^{-1}$; $2534\pm3.91 \text{ AU}^{+}\text{ml}^{-1}$ respectively; p=0.37) were not different between groups. Similarly, salivary secretion rates remained identical between participants who had recovered from COVID-19 and those that were never infected with SARS-CoV-2, SPA ($14.33\pm2.73 \text{ pg}^{+}\text{min}^{-1}$; $23.81\pm2.63 \text{ pg}^{+}\text{min}^{-1}$ respectively; p=0.19), SPLI ($0.13\pm3.12 \text{ ug}^{+}\text{min}^{-1}$; $0.13\pm3.07 \text{ ug}^{+}\text{min}^{-1}$ respectively; p=0.95) and NE-A1-AT ($595.90\pm2.36 \text{ AU}^{+}\text{min}^{-1}$; $347.70\pm4.70 \text{ AU}^{+}\text{min}^{-1}$ respectively; p=0.36) (Fig. 3).

Discussion

This study aimed to determine whether innate salivary immunity was compromised immediately following CDCrecommended isolation after SARS-CoV-2 infection in elite level athletes. The primary finding of the present study was that HNP1-3 concentrations and secretion rates were significantly higher in the SARS-CoV-2 + group compared to the control group, with no differences in other biomarkers of salivary immunity between athletes who were infected with SARS-CoV-2 and those with no previous infection. These findings collectively support



Figure 1. Concentrations and secretion rates of biomarkers of salivary immunity in athletes who had been infected with SARS-CoV-2 and those who had remained infection-free. No significant differences were found. Salivary IgA (SIgA), alphaamylase (AMY), dash line (-) represents normal values reported in elite athletes. Concentrations and secretion rates for sIgA (n SARS-CoV-2 + = 14; n SARS-CoV-2 - = 14) are presented as means ± S.D while alpha-amylase (n SARS-CoV-2 + = 13; n SARS-CoV-2- = 12) are presented as geometric means \pm S.D.



Figure 2. Concentrations and secretion rate of biomarkers of salivary immunity in athletes who had been infected with SARS-CoV-2 and those who had remained infection-free. Human neutrophil defensins (HNP1-3), and dash line (–) represents normal values in elite athletes. *p < 0.005. Concentrations and secretion rates for LL-37 (n SARS-CoV-2 + = 13; n SARS-CoV-2 = 6) are presented as means ± S.D while HNP1-3 (n SARS-CoV-2 + = 14; n SARS-CoV-2 = 10) and Lactoferrin (n SARS-CoV-2 + = 9; n SARS-CoV-2 = 9) are presented as geometric means ± S.D.

for the first time that an isolation period of 14 + /-3 days is sufficient for salivary immunity and lung inflammation to normalize in elite athletes who were infected with SARS-CoV-2.

Mucosal immunity, one of the most significant components of the immune system⁴⁹, likely plays an important role in curtailing respiratory infections. Specifically, optimal production of salivary antimicrobial proteins (AMPs) and other soluble factors such as secretory IgA (sIgA), alpha-defensins, cathelcidin (LL-37), lactoferrin, and SLPI⁵⁰ are believed to play a preponderant role in keeping immunocompetent hosts healthy¹⁴. sIgA is one of the first lines of defense against pathogens and protects against bacteria¹⁵ and viruses¹⁶. Specifically, sIgA offers protection at the site of contact against bacteria²⁵ and viruses²⁸, especially against respiratory viral infections²⁷. Exposure to physical and psychological stressors can modulate salivary sIgA concentration and secretion rates in a wide range of active populations including recreational^{51,52}, operational^{53,54} and elite athletes³⁹. In addition, certain viral infections lead to a transient increase in salivary sIgA, followed by a prolonged reduction in sIgA following viral clearance⁵⁵. Here, we found no difference in salivary sIgA concentration or secretion rate between football players who were never infected with SARS-CoV-2 and those who had just recovered from COVID-19, suggesting SARS-CoV-2 + participants have normal sIgA values. Both groups had similar concentrations of sIgA



Figure 3. Concentrations and secretion rates of biomarkers of lung inflammation in athletes who had been infected with SARS-CoV-2 and those who had remained infection-free. No significant differences were found in secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI), salivary surfactant protein A (SP-A), salivary neutrophil Elastase-alpha-1-antitrypsin complex (NE-A1-AT). Concentrations and secretion rates for SP-A (n SARS-CoV-2 + = 13; n SARS-CoV-2 - = 15), SLPI (n SARS-CoV-2 + = 14; n SARS-CoV-2 - = 15) and NE-A1-At (n SARS-CoV-2 + = 11; n SARS-CoV-2 - = 6) are presented as geometric means \pm S.D.

, I 0

normally seen in athletes^{39,56}. Since players had the same training status and were exposed to an equivalent level of psychological and academic stressors, it can be argued that any change in salivary sIgA induced by SARS-CoV-2 infection did not lead to long-lasting decrements in sIgA in these elite-level athletes. sIgA secretion rate was not different between SARS-CoV-2 positive and control groups and the values that we report here are within normal range for athletes (p = 0.210). Interestingly, recent evidence suggested that sIgA levels remained elevated for up to 2–3 months in individuals from the general population who had recovered from SARS-CoV-2⁵⁷. Although we were unable to collect saliva samples on the day of infection, it could be hypothesized that salivary sIgA either remained within normal range throughout the infection and isolation periods in SARS-CoV-2 positive athletes, or that salivary sIgA levels normalized more rapidly in an elite athlete population than what is observed in the general public.

Alpha-amylase, produced in the salivary glands⁵⁸, is one of the most abundant components in saliva⁵⁹, and it has particular importance in immunity since it has been shown to prevent adherence and growth of bacteria

on oral surfaces²⁶. Interestingly, AMY concentration and secretion rates are known to respond to a variety of stressors, with increased secretion observed in response to acute exercise⁶⁰ and psychological stressors⁶¹ as well as chronic psychological stressors⁶². Here, we showed no difference in alpha-amylase secretion rates between athletes who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 and those that did not. Furthermore, the values reported in this study are within normal range for healthy young adults⁶³, suggesting that our study population's salivary immunity was not detrimentally impacted and can safely return to practice/play.

Similarly, Human Neutrophil Defensins (alpha defensins, HNP) are antimicrobial peptides that play a role in the first line of defense against infections²⁹ and kill a wide variety of bacteria, fungi, and some enveloped viruses^{17,18}, which is of particular interest as SARS-CoV-2 is an enveloped virus⁶⁴. Secretion rates and concentrations of HNP1-3 have been shown to be significantly higher in children with dental caries caused by bacteria compared to healthy caries-free subjects^{65,66}. Acute prolonged exercise also significantly increases HNP1-3 concentrations and secretion rates⁴³. HNP1-3 was found to be significantly increased in SARS-CoV-2 positive athletes compared to the control athletes, although the SARS-CoV-2 positive values remained within normal ranges seen in athletes at rest⁴³. We hypothesize that this higher concentration of HNP1-3 in the SARS-CoV-2 + group is due to a delayed immune response to SARS-CoV-2, further advocating for optimal immune protection against novel infections⁶⁷.

Another AMP of interest, LL-37, acts as an anti-inflammatory mediator by suppressing mitogen-mediated immune responses³¹ while also being able to promote inflammation in the absence of antigenic stimulation by enhancing cytokine production⁶⁸ releasing cytokines via human airway smooth muscle cells⁶⁹. Additionally, lactoferrin is a protein with antimicrobial, antiviral, and antifungal properties¹⁹, affecting the innate immune system⁷⁰, and affecting adaptive immunity⁷¹. LL-37 and lactoferrin have been shown to significantly increase during infections and inflammation^{72,73}. However, exposure to physical stressors such as acute prolonged exercise is associated with an increase in LL-37 secretion even in the absence of infectious agent⁴³. Conversely, salivary lactoferrin as elite level rowers throughout a competitive season⁷⁴. Here, we report resting LL-37 and lactoferrin concentrations and secretion rates comparable to those reported elsewhere in healthy athletes^{75,76}.

Surfactant proteins (SP) SP-A is an important components of host defense against respiratory pathogens⁷⁷. SP-A defends against virial, bacterial, and fungal infections through enhancement of phagocytosis, killing through oxidative mechanisms²⁴. SP-A also plays a role in immune balancing during pulmonary inflammation, and primes acquired immunity against pathogens³⁵. SP-A has also been showing to mediate suppression of inflammation in the airways^{33,34}. Salivary SP-A concentrations found in this study were similar to those seen in non-smoking men⁷⁸. Secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI) is a protein associated with the innate immune system with the main function of protecting local tissue from inflammation³². SLPI has bactericidal²¹, antifungal²², and antiviral²³ properties, although SLPI antiviral properties seem to be limited to deterring HIV-1 transmission via oral secretions²³. Serum SLPI concentration was shown to be increased in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients compared to healthy controls⁷⁹ and an increase in SPLI with individuals with Mycobacterium tuberculosis⁸⁰. Although, SLPI is downregulated in herpes simplex virus as part of the viruses mechanism to avoid mucosal immunity⁸¹. This evidence along with the findings from previous studies suggest that SLPI secretion rates are unaffected by SARS-COV-2 and that changes in concentrations of SLPI will allow secretion rates to remain unchanged. As such, it can be concluded that the studied athlete did not present significant lung inflammation following isolation.

Hyposalivation and dry mouth has been shown to be symptoms experienced by a high proportion of SARS-CoV-2 patients⁸². In this study, we did not find significant differences between athletes with and without history of SARS-CoV-2. In addition, no athlete reported experiencing extensive dry mouth as a symptom during isolation. This suggests that elite athlete's salivation rate may be unaffected by SARS-CoV-2 or that they had fully recovered and no longer hyposalivated.

Taken together, the data presented in this study strongly advocate for preserved salivary immunity in our cohort of elite levels athletes, and that following 14 days of isolation, players who had been infected with SARS-CoV-2 are likely to be equally protected against other viral infections than those with no previous SARS-CoV-2 infection⁸³. It is likely that the high level of fitness of our study population could explain the lack of severe symptoms in response to SARS-CoV-2 infection, and the rapid recovery of salivary immune health. Interestingly, high physical fitness limits viral reactivity during exposure to extreme environment such as spaceflight compared to crewmates with lower physical fitness⁸⁴ supporting our claim that the high level of fitness in elite level athletes could explain the lack of severe symptoms.

One of the limitations of this study was that we were not able to collect saliva samples on the day of infection in the SARS-CoV-2 positive participants. While this data would have enabled us to track salivary responses to an active SARS-CoV-2 infection, it was not the purpose of the study. Also, we do not know when salivary immunity returns to normal levels, and the CDC has recently shortened the recommended period of isolation to as little as five days, which may be prior to complete recovery. Another limitation of this study is the absence of data regarding the initial viral load of the SARS-CoV-2 positive participants, since their Cycle-threshold (Ct) was not made available to the study team. Future studies should confirm the findings presented in this study by including patients with high Ct values. Finally, technical limitations associated with saliva collection led to low recovery of saliva in some participants (mostly in the SARS-CoV-2 negative group). As such, some of the analytes could not be analyzed on the entire sample population. In addition, future studies should aim to compare the recovery of immune function after infection of SARS-CoV-2 between athletes and the general population. Finally, it should be noted that the data presented in this manuscript was collected prior to SARS-CoV-2 vaccine availability, as such no participant had been vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2.

The evidence provided in the study demonstrates that the athletes enrolled in this study did not exhibit signs of salivary immunity impairments after 14-days after SARS-CoV-2 infection, suggesting that they could safely

return to practice/play without a specific risk for secondary infection. Past evidence shows that high-intensity interval training has a protective rather than suppressive effect on salivary immunity in well-trained individuals⁴⁰. Additionally, prolonged exercise and regular periodized training does not significantly change salivary IgA, while prolonged exercise increases HNP1-3 and LL-37 concentrations and secretion rates^{42,43}. This is further supported by the fact that there was no difference in the rate of secondary infections or respiratory symptoms between the SARS-CoV-2 positive and negative athletes up to 3 months following their inclusion in the study. Taken together, the CDC-recommended isolation post-SARS-CoV-2 infection appears to be sufficient to normalize innate salivary immunity and lung inflammation in elite-level college athletes.

Conclusion

The present study is the first to show that athletes who were infected with SARS-CoV-2 have a healthy salivary immune system following a period of isolation. These findings included data collected from athletes as early as 10 days post positive testing for SARS-CoV-2, and an overall average of 14 days after testing positive. These findings support the guidelines from the CDC and those followed by the NCAA that after 10 days of no symptoms you can safely end your isolation^{85,86}.

Data availability

The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Received: 27 January 2022; Accepted: 17 May 2022 Published online: 31 May 2022

References

- Wang, C., Horby, P. W., Hayden, F. G. & Gao, G. F. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. Lancet 395, 470–473 (2020).
- 2. Song, P., Li, W., Xie, J., Hou, Y. & You, C. Cytokine storm induced by SARS-CoV-2. Clin. Chim. Acta 509, 280-287 (2020).
- 3. Organization, W. H. Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV): situation report, 11. (2020).
- Zhang, R., Li, Y., Zhang, A. L., Wang, Y. & Molina, M. J. Identifying airborne transmission as the dominant route for the spread of COVID-19. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 117, 14857–14863 (2020).
- 5. Van Doremalen, N. et al. Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. N. Engl. J. Med. 382, 1564–1567 (2020).
- Shereen, M. A., Khan, S., Kazmi, A., Bashir, N. & Siddique, R. COVID-19 infection: Origin, transmission, and characteristics of human coronaviruses. J. Adv. Res. 24, 91 (2020).
- 7. O'brien, K. L. *et al.* Burden of disease caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae in children younger than 5 years: Global estimates. *Lancet* **374**, 893–902 (2009).
- 8. Proud, D. Upper airway viral infections. Pulm. Pharmacol. Ther. 21, 468-473 (2008).
- 9. Shafran, N. *et al.* Secondary bacterial infection in COVID-19 patients is a stronger predictor for death compared to influenza patients. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1–8 (2021).
- Morris, D. E., Cleary, D. W. & Clarke, S. C. Secondary bacterial infections associated with influenza pandemics. *Front. Microbiol.* 8, 1041 (2017).
- 11. Russell, C. D. *et al.* Co-infections, secondary infections, and antimicrobial use in patients hospitalised with COVID-19 during the first pandemic wave from the ISARIC WHO CCP-UK study: A multicentre, prospective cohort study. *Lancet Microbe* (2021).
- 12. van der Sluijs, K. F. et al. IL-10 is an important mediator of the enhanced susceptibility to pneumococcal pneumonia after influenza infection. J. Immunol. 172, 7603–7609 (2004).
- Didierlaurent, A. et al. Sustained desensitization to bacterial Toll-like receptor ligands after resolution frespiratory influenza infection. J. Exp. Med. 205, 323–329 (2008).
- 14. Marsh, P. D., Do, T., Beighton, D. & Devine, D. A. Influence of saliva on the oral microbiota. *Periodontol.* **2000**(70), 80–92 (2016).
- 15. McNabb, P. C. & Tomasi, T. Host defense mechanisms at mucosal surfaces. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 35, 477–496 (1981).
- Renegar, K. B. & Small, P. Passive transfer of local immunity to influenza virus infection by IgA antibody. J. Immunol. 146, 1972–1978 (1991).
- Lehrer, R. I., Lichtenstein, A. K. & Ganz, T. Defensins: Antimicrobial and cytotoxic peptides of mammalian cells. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 11, 105–128 (1993).
- Risso, A. Leukocyte antimicrobial peptides: Multifunctional effector molecules of innate immunity. J. Leukoc. Biol. 68, 785–792 (2000).
- Farnaud, S. & Evans, R. W. Lactoferrin—A multifunctional protein with antimicrobial properties. *Mol. Immunol.* 40, 395–405 (2003).
- Bucki, R., Leszczyńska, K., Namiot, A. & Sokołowski, W. Cathelicidin LL-37: A multitask antimicrobial peptide. Arch. Immunol. Ther. Exp. 58, 15–25 (2010).
- 21. Fahey, J. V. & Wira, C. R. Effect of menstrual status on antibacterial activity and secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor production by human uterine epithelial cells in culture. *J. Infect. Dis.* **185**, 1606–1613 (2002).
- Tomee, J. C., Hiemstra, P. S., Heinzel-Wieland, R. & Kauffman, H. F. Antileukoprotease: An endogenous protein in the innate mucosal defense against fungi. J. Infect. Dis. 176, 740–747 (1997).
- 23. Shugars, D. C. Endogenous mucosal antiviral factors of the oral cavity. J. Infect. Dis. 179, S431–S435 (1999).
- 24. Wright, J. R. Immunoregulatory functions of surfactant proteins. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 5, 58–68 (2005).
- Marcotte, H. & Lavoie, M. C. Oral microbial ecology and the role of salivary immunoglobulin A. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 62, 71–109 (1998).
- Bosch, J. A., de Geus, E. J., Veerman, E. C., Hoogstraten, J. & Amerongen, A. V. N. Innate secretory immunity in response to laboratory stressors that evoke distinct patterns of cardiac autonomic activity. *Psychosom. Med.* 65, 245–258 (2003).
- 27. Taylor, H. P. & Dimmock, N. J. Mechanism of neutralization of influenza virus by secretory IgA is different from that of monomeric IgA or IgG. J. Exp. Med. 161, 198–209 (1985).
- Childers, N. K., Bruce, M. G. & McGhee, J. R. Molecular mechanisms of immunoglobulin A defense. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 43, 503–536 (1989).
- 29. Abiko, Y., Nishimura, M. & Kaku, T. Defensins in saliva and the salivary glands. Med. Electron Microsc. 36, 247-252 (2003).
- Dale, B. A. & Fredericks, L. P. Antimicrobial peptides in the oral environment: Expression and function in health and disease. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* 7, 119–134 (2005).

- 31. Mookherjee, N. *et al.* Modulation of the TLR-mediated inflammatory response by the endogenous human host defense peptide LL-37. *J. Immunol.* **176**, 2455–2464 (2006).
- 32. Doumas, S., Kolokotronis, A. & Stefanopoulos, P. Anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial roles of secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 1271–1274 (2005).
- McIntosh, J. C., Swyers, A. H., Fisher, J. H. & Wright, J. R. Surfactant proteins A and D increase in response to intratracheal lipopolysaccharide. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 15, 509–519 (1996).
- Takeda, K. et al. Surfactant protein D regulates airway function and allergic inflammation through modulation of macrophage function. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 168, 783–789 (2003).
- 35. Kishore, U. et al. Surfactant proteins SP-A and SP-D: Structure, function and receptors. Mol. Immunol. 43, 1293-1315 (2006).
 - 36. Taribagil, P., Creer, D. & Tahir, H. 'Long COVID'syndrome. BMJ Case Rep. CP 14, e241485 (2021).
 - 37. Pedersen, B. K. & Bruunsgaard, H. How physical exercise influences the establishment of infections. Sports Med. 19, 393-400 (1995).
 - Campbell, J. P. & Turner, J. E. There is limited existing evidence to support the common assumption that strenuous endurance exercise bouts impair immune competency. *Expert Rev. Clin. Immunol.* 15, 105–109 (2019).
 - Fahlman, M. M. & Engels, H.-J. Mucosal IgA and URTI in American college football players: A year longitudinal study. *Med. Sci.* Sports Exerc. 37, 374–380 (2005).
 - 40. Monje, C. *et al.* Effects of a high intensity interval session on mucosal immune function and salivary hormones in male and female endurance athletes. *J. Sports Sci. Med.* **19**, 436 (2020).
 - 41. Barra, N. G. et al. High intensity interval training increases natural killer cell number and function in obese breast cancerchallenged mice and obese women. J. Cancer Prevent. 22, 260 (2017).
 - 42. Edmonds, R., Burkett, B., Leicht, A. & McKean, M. Effect of chronic training on heart rate variability, salivary IgA and salivary alpha-amylase in elite swimmers with a disability. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0127749 (2015).
 - 43. Davison, G., Allgrove, J. & Gleeson, M. Salivary antimicrobial peptides (LL-37 and alpha-defensins HNP1-3), antimicrobial and IgA responses to prolonged exercise. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* **106**, 277–284 (2009).
 - 44. Liu, L. *et al.* Epithelial cells lining salivary gland ducts are early target cells of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection in the upper respiratory tracts of rhesus macaques. *J. Virol.* **85**, 4025–4030 (2011).
 - Wong, A.Y.-Y. et al. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on sports and exercise. Asia-Pacific J. Sports Med. Arthrosc. Rehabil. Technol. 22, 39–44 (2020).
 - Xiao, A. T., Tong, Y. X. & Zhang, S. Profile of RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2: A preliminary study from 56 COVID-19 patients. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 71, 2249–2251 (2020).
 - Fujishima, S. *et al.* Neutrophil elastase and systemic inflammatory response syndrome in the initiation and development of acute lung injury among critically ill patients. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 62, 333–338 (2008).
 - Coya, J. M. et al. Natural anti-infective pulmonary proteins: In vivo cooperative action of surfactant protein SP-A and the lung antimicrobial peptide SP-BN. J. Immunol. 195, 1628–1636 (2015).
 - Russell, M. W., Moldoveanu, Z., Ogra, P. L. & Mestecky, J. Mucosal immunity in COVID-19: A neglected but critical aspect of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Front. Immunol. 11, 3221 (2020).
 - 50. Malamud, D. et al. Antiviral activities in human saliva. Adv. Dent. Res. 23, 34-37 (2011).
 - 51. McDowell, S. L. et al. The effect of exhaustive exercise on salivary immunoglobulin A. J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness 32, 412–415 (1992).
 - Muhamad, A. S., Chen, C. K., Ayub, A. & Ibrahim, N. S. Effects of prolonged exercise in the heat and cool environments on salivary immunoglobulin A among recreational athletes. Sports Phys. Educ. 3, 51–56 (2016).
 - Pacqué, P., Booth, C. & Dwyer, D. Salivary Immunoglobulin A (slgA) as a Biomarker of Immune Suppression Following the Combat Fitness Assessment (Defence Science and technology Organisation Victoria, 2002).
 - Tao, N. *et al.* Analysis of occupational stress and its relationship with secretory immunoglobulin a in the Xinjiang plateau young military recruits. *BioMed Res. Int.* 2020 (2020).
 - 55. Dos Santos, J. D. M. B. *et al.* In Nasal mucosal secretions, Distinct IFN and IgA responses are found in severe and mild SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Front. Immunol.* **12** (2021).
 - Papacosta, E., Gleeson, M. & Nassis, G. P. Salivary hormones, IgA, and performance during intense training and tapering in judo athletes. J. Strength Condition. Res. 27, 2569–2580 (2013).
 - 57. Varadhachary, A. *et al.* Salivary anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgA as an accessible biomarker of mucosal immunity against COVID-19. *MedRxiv* (2020).
- 58. Castle, D. & Castle, A. Intracellular transport and secretion of salivary proteins. Crit. Rev. Oral Biol. Med. 9, 4–22 (1998).
- 59. Baum, B. J. Principles of saliva secretion. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 694, 17-23 (1993).
- 60. Walsh, N. The effects of high-intensity intermittent exercise on saliva IgA, total protein and alpha-amylase. J. Sports Sci. 17, 129–134 (1999).
- 61. Kang, Y. Psychological stress-induced changes in salivary alpha-amylase and adrenergic activity. Nurs. Health Sci. 12, 477–484 (2010).
- 62. Vineetha, R., Pai, K.-M., Vengal, M., Gopalakrishna, K. & Narayanakurup, D. Usefulness of salivary alpha amylase as a biomarker of chronic stress and stress related oral mucosal changes—A pilot study. J. Clin. Exp. Dent. 6, e132 (2014).
- 63. Arhakis, A., Karagiannis, V. & Kalfas, S. Salivary alpha-amylase activity and salivary flow rate in young adults. Open Dent. J. 7, 7 (2013).
- 64. Yao, H. et al. Molecular architecture of the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Cell 183, 730-738 (2020).
- 65. Luthfi, M. *et al.* Correlation between human neutrophil peptide 1–3 secretion and azurophilic granule (CD63) expression in early childhood caries. *Dent. Res. J.* **16**, 81 (2019).
- El-kwatehy, W. M. & Youssef, A. Salivary alpha defensin 1–3, total protein and total antioxidant in children with gingivitis. Int. J. Health Sci. Res. 7, 174–180 (2016).
- 67. Spielmann, G. *et al.* Latent viral reactivation is associated with changes in plasma antimicrobial protein concentrations during long-duration spaceflight. *Acta Astronaut.* **146**, 111–116 (2018).
- Elssner, A., Duncan, M., Gavrilin, M. & Wewers, M. D. A novel P2X7 receptor activator, the human cathelicidin-derived peptide LL37, induces IL-1β processing and release. J. Immunol. 172, 4987–4994 (2004).
- 69. Zuyderduyn, S., Ninaber, D. K., Hiemstra, P. S. & Rabe, K. F. The antimicrobial peptide LL-37 enhances IL-8 release by human airway smooth muscle cells. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 117, 1328–1335 (2006).
- 70. Legrand, D., Elass, E., Carpentier, M. & Mazurier, J. Lactoferrin. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 62, 2549-2559 (2005).
- Legrand, D., Elass, E., Carpentier, M. & Mazurier, J. Interactions of lactoferrin with cells involved in immune function. *Biochem. Cell Biol.* 84, 282–290 (2006).
- 72. Davidopoulou, S. *et al.* Salivary concentration of the antimicrobial peptide LL-37 in patients with oral lichen planus. *J. Oral Microbiol.* **6**, 26156 (2014).
- 73. Francesca, B. *et al.* Both lactoferrin and iron influence aggregation and biofilm formation in Streptococcus mutans. *Biometals* **17**, 271–278 (2004).
- 74. West, N. P. et al. The effect of exercise on innate mucosal immunity. Br. J. Sports Med. 44, 227-231 (2010).

- Kunz, H. et al. Fitness level impacts salivary antimicrobial protein responses to a single bout of cycling exercise. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 115, 1015–1027 (2015).
- He, C.-S., Tsai, M.-L., Ko, M.-H., Chang, C.-K. & Fang, S.-H. Relationships among salivary immunoglobulin A, lactoferrin and cortisol in basketball players during a basketball season. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 110, 989–995 (2010).
- 77. Kishore, U. et al. Surfactant proteins SP-A and SP-D in human health and disease. Archivum Immunologiae ET Therapiae Experimentalis-English Edition 53, 399 (2005).
- Adibi, S. S., Alcorn, J. L., Ono, K. & Lichtenberger, L. M. Gender and smoking correlations of surfactant lipids and proteins in the saliva of dental patients. J. Dent. Maxillofacial Surg. 1, 67 (2018).
- 79. Tse, K.-P. *et al.* The relationship between secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor expression and Epstein-Barr virus status among patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Anticancer Res.* **32**, 1299–1307 (2012).
- Ding, A., Yu, H., Yang, J., Shi, S. & Ehrt, S. Induction of macrophage-derived SLPI by Mycobacterium tuberculosis depends on TLR2 but not MyD88. *Immunology* 116, 381–389 (2005).
- Fakioglu, E. et al. Herpes simplex virus downregulates secretory leukocyte protease inhibitor: A novel immune evasion mechanism. J. Virol. 82, 9337–9344 (2008).
- Chen, L. et al. Detection of 2019-nCoV in saliva and characterization of oral symptoms in COVID-19 patients. Available at SSRN 3556665 (2020).
- Nagashunmugam, T., Malamud, D., Davis, C., Abrams, W. R. & Friedman, H. M. Human submandibular saliva inhibits human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection by displacing envelope glycoprotein gp120 from the virus. *J. Infect. Dis.* 178, 1635–1641 (1998).
- 84. Agha, N. H. et al. Exercise as a countermeasure for latent viral reactivation during long duration space flight. FASEB J. 34, 2869–2881 (2020).
- NCAA, T. O. S. O. T. Resocializaton of Collegiate Sport: Developing Standards for Practice and Competition, Second Edition (Updated). (May 3, 2021).
- 86. CDC. When to Quaratine. (March 12, 2021).

Author contributions

Conceptualization and methodology, G.S., B.A.I., and N.M.J.; investigation, J.G., E.C., L.K., C.D., and G.S.; writing—original draft preparation, J.G.; writing—review and editing, J.G., E.C., L.K., C.D., M.J., N.L., O.H., S.M., N.M.J., B.A.I., and G.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

Funding was provided in part by unrestricted Louisiana State funds to G.S.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Additional information

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to G.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022