



## Original article

## Effects of temperature on baseline susceptibility and stability of insecticide resistance against *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) in the absence of selection pressure



Waqar Jaleel<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Shafqat Saeed<sup>c,\*</sup>, Muhammad Nadir Naqqash<sup>d</sup>, Muhammad Umair Sial<sup>e</sup>, Muqarrab Ali<sup>f</sup>, Syed Muhammad Zaka<sup>g</sup>, Zahid Mahmood Sarwar<sup>g</sup>, Muhammad Ishtiaq<sup>c</sup>, Mirza Abdul Qayyum<sup>c</sup>, Qurat Ul Aine<sup>g</sup>, Adeel Anwar<sup>h</sup>, Muhammad Sarmad<sup>g</sup>, Rashid Azad<sup>i</sup>, Muhammad Latif<sup>j</sup>, Furqan Ahmed<sup>c</sup>, Waqar Islam<sup>k,l,m</sup>, Khalid Ali Khan<sup>n,o,p</sup>, Hamed A. Ghramh<sup>n,o,p</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Plant Protection Research Institute, Guangdong Academy Agricultural Sciences, No. 7 Jinying Rd., Tianhe District 510640, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Bio-Pesticide Innovation and Application of Guangdong Province, Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Entomology, MNS-University of Agriculture, Multan 60000, Pakistan

<sup>d</sup> Department of Plant Production and Technologies, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Niğde University, Turkey

<sup>e</sup> Institute of Plant Protection, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, Haidian 100193, China

<sup>f</sup> Department of Agronomy, MNS-University of Agriculture, Multan 60000, Pakistan

<sup>g</sup> Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan 60800, Pakistan

<sup>h</sup> Department of Agronomy, PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

<sup>i</sup> Department of Entomology, University of Haripur, Pakistan

<sup>j</sup> Department of Zoology, University of Education, Faisalabad, Pakistan

<sup>k</sup> College of Geography, Fujian Normal University, 350007, China

<sup>l</sup> College of Plant Protection, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, 350002, China

<sup>m</sup> Government of Punjab, Agriculture Department, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>n</sup> Research Center for Advanced Materials Science (RCAMS), King Khalid University, P.O. Box 9004, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia

<sup>o</sup> Unit of Bee Research and Honey Production, Faculty of Science, King Khalid University, P.O. Box 9004, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia

<sup>p</sup> Biology Department, Faculty of Science, King Khalid University, P.O. Box 9004, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 20 January 2019

Revised 6 March 2019

Accepted 14 March 2019

Available online 15 March 2019

## Keywords:

*Bt Cry1Ac*

Deltamethrin

Diamondback moth

*Plutella xylostella*

Temperature coefficient

## ABSTRACT

*Plutella xylostella* L. (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) is an important pest causing significant losses to vegetables worldwide. Insecticides resistance in *P. xylostella* is a serious issue for scientists since last 30 years. However, deltamethrin and *Bt Cry1Ac* are commonly used insecticides against *P. xylostella* but studies involving development of resistance in *P. xylostella* against these two insecticides at different temperatures are lacking. The current study was aimed to find out the toxicity of deltamethrin and *Bt Cry1Ac*, and resistance development in *P. xylostella*. Results showed that the positive correlation between the temperature and toxicities of deltamethrin and *Bt Cry1Ac*. The results indicated  $-0.051$ ,  $-0.049$ ,  $-0.047$ , and  $-0.046$  folds of deltamethrin resistance at  $15^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $20^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $25^\circ\text{C}$ , and  $30^\circ\text{C}$  temperatures, respectively from 1<sup>st</sup> to 12<sup>th</sup> generations. The toxicity of *Bt Cry1Ac* after 24 h was 2.2 and 4.8 folds on 1<sup>st</sup> generation at  $20^\circ\text{C}$  and  $25^\circ\text{C}$  temperatures, respectively compared to the toxicity recorded at  $15^\circ\text{C}$  (non-overlapping of 95% confidence limits). Based on the results of this study, it is concluded that the temperature has a positive correlation with the toxicity of deltamethrin and *Bt Cry1Ac* against the larvae of *P. xylostella*. This study suggests that deltamethrin and *Bt Cry1Ac* can be included in the management

\* Corresponding authors at: Department of Entomology, MNS-University of Agriculture, Multan 60000, Pakistan (S. Saeed); Plant Protection Research Institute, Guangdong Academy Agricultural Sciences, No. 7 Jinying Rd., Tianhe District 510640, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China (W. Jaleel).

E-mail addresses: [waqar4me@yahoo.com](mailto:waqar4me@yahoo.com) (W. Jaleel), [shafqat.saeed@mnsuam.edu.pk](mailto:shafqat.saeed@mnsuam.edu.pk) (S. Saeed).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2019.03.004>

1319-562X/© 2019 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

program of *P. xylostella* on many vegetable crops. The baseline susceptibility data might be helpful to understand the resistance mechanisms in *P. xylostella*.

© 2019 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

The diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* L. (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), is an important insect pest of cruciferous crops worldwide (Furlong et al., 2013; Jaleel et al., 2017; Saeed et al., 2019). Worldwide yield losses and the cost of management associated with the diamondback moth is estimated to be about 4–5 billion dollars annually (Zalucki et al., 2012). Chemical control is a most common and effective way to manage *P. xylostella*. But the serious concern to use different insecticides for *P. xylostella* is the development of insecticides resistance (Talekar and Shelton, 1993; Troczka et al., 2017). The extensive use of different insecticides results in the more selection pressure on *P. xylostella* leading to the development of resistance to more than 95 different insecticides. This situation is quite threatening and considered as the biggest implications for Integrated Pest Management (IPM) of this pest (Steinbach et al., 2017). Among insecticides, pyrethroid and bacterial insecticides are most commonly use insecticides against of *P. xylostella* in Asia especially Pakistan (Gong et al., 2010; Zamojska et al., 2011). Deltamethrin is the most important pyrethroid used against the *P. xylostella* (Zamojska et al., 2011). The susceptibility against pyrethroids has been studied against the field population of *P. xylostella* (Sayyed et al., 2008). Several studies have reported high resistance in *P. xylostella* to several conventional, new chemistry insecticides and bacterial insecticides due to their extensive use in vegetables or agricultural crops (Sayyed et al., 2008; Shelton, 2007).

The *Bt Cry1Ac* is one of the most important bio-pesticides used against many Lepidopterans pests like *P. xylostella* (Gong et al., 2010; Nian et al., 2015; Zhou et al., 2011). This toxin has also been used in many toxicity studies against *P. xylostella* but studies showing the change in toxicity at different temperatures are lacking. According to Mohan and Gujar (2002) *Bt kurstaki* was found to be the safer and effective bio-pesticides against the *P. xylostella*.

Among abiotic factors, the temperature significantly affects the metabolism and survival rate of insect pests (Arrese and Soulages, 2010). Temperature affects the efficacy of insecticides, the correlation between temperature and insecticides is an important study to establishing the IPM strategies (Ahn et al., 2012; Notter-Hausmann and Dorn, 2010; Saeed et al., 2016). Detailed studies involving the effect of temperature on toxicity and insecticides resistance in *P. xylostella* are lacking from Pakistan.

Considering the importance of *P. xylostella*, this study was aimed to find out the stability of deltamethrin and *Bt Cry1Ac* resistance in *P. xylostella* in the absence of selection pressure at different temperatures. Baseline susceptibility data were generated and the susceptibility was observed in succeeding generations at different temperature under laboratory conditions.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Plant materials

The cabbage plants were used in the study. For this purpose, seeds of *Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* were purchased from the market and sown in plastic boxes (6 × 10 × 20 cm) 5–7 weeks before the start of experiment.

### 2.2. Collection and rearing of *P. xylostella*

The larvae of *P. xylostella* were collected from cabbage fields located at Multan, Pakistan in 2017 and shifted to laboratory in plastic bottles (15 × 25 cm). The larvae were reared at different temperatures, 15 °C, 20 °C, 25 °C, and 30 °C, 65 ± 5% relative humidity, and 14 h : 10 h (L : D) photoperiod in incubators (Model VELD # 90E Japan, Model SANYO # 153MIR) before the beginning of the experimental study.

### 2.3. Insecticides

Deltamethrin (Super Delta 10 EC) and *Bt Cry1Ac* (BTK formulation 2000 iu/μL) were purchased from the local market and used in this experiment.

### 2.4. Bioassays

Second instar larvae of *P. xylostella* were treated with deltamethrin and *Bt Cry1Ac* for toxicological studies at each temperature on 1<sup>st</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup>, and 12<sup>th</sup> generations. The insecticides bioassay was conducted using the leaf-dip method. The experiment involved six treatments including control and eight replications of each treatment. Mortality data were recorded for 72 h for *Bt Cry1Ac* and 48 h for deltamethrin after each 24 h. as suggested by Mohan and Gujar (2002) and Saeed et al. (2016).

### 2.5. Statistical analysis

The LC<sub>50</sub> values of each insecticide at each temperature were calculated by using the probit analysis method through POLO-PC Program (LeOra, 2003). A resistance factor (RF) was calculated according to the method of Wearing and Colhoun (2005). Temperature coefficients of each insecticide were calculated by following the methodology of Musser and Shelton (2005). The temperature coefficient was considered positive if lower LC<sub>50</sub> value was at higher temperature and negative when lower LC<sub>50</sub> at lower temperature.

## 3. Results

The evaluation of toxicity for deltamethrin and *Bt Cry1Ac*, showed increase toxicity with the increase of temperature

### 3.1. Deltamethrin

There was a positive correlation between the toxicity of deltamethrin and different temperature ranges (15 °C, 20 °C, 25 °C, and 30 °C). At 20 °C and 25 °C temperatures, the toxicity of deltamethrin (in the term of LC<sub>50</sub> values) was 1.34 and 2.27 times higher as compared to that recorded at 15 °C (i.e. non-overlapping of 95% CI). Similarly, the toxicity of deltamethrin was four times higher for 1<sup>st</sup> generation of *P. xylostella* at 30 °C. The toxicity values of 4<sup>th</sup>, 8<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> generations of *P. xylostella* showed a positive correlation with temperature. There was a successive decrease in the insecticide resistance from 1<sup>st</sup> to the 12<sup>th</sup> generations of *P. xylostella* to deltamethrin with values of –0.051, –0.049, –0.048, and –0.047 folds at 15 °C, 20 °C, 25 °C and 30 °C, respectively. The LC<sub>50</sub> value of deltamethrin observed after 24 h in 1st generation of *P. xylostella* at 15 °C

**Table 1**  
Toxicity of deltamethrin to different generation of *Plutella xylostella* at different constant temperatures.

T°C	(G)	(n)	LC <sub>50</sub> and 95% confidence limit (µg/mL)	R	Slope ± SE	χ <sup>2</sup>	DR	TC		
								5 °C	10 °C	15 °C
15	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	712.32 (512.16–1612.19)	4.12	0.493 ± 0.352	0.234	-0.0512	0.0	0.0	
	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	526.42 (325.464–1392.99)	3.04	1.191 ± 0.252	0.274		0.0	0.0	
	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	313.58 (221.294–539.738)	1.81	1.378 ± 0.247	0.888		0.0	0.0	
	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	172.90 (126.424–251.635)	1	1.367 ± 0.233	0.062		0.0	0.0	
20	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	565.23 (341.149–915.195)	3.92	0.183 ± 0.215	0.656	-0.0494	1.35		
	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	368.24 (249.849–716.295)	2.55	1.288 ± 0.245	0.776		1.53		
	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	251.04 (184.286–386.587)	1.74	1.464 ± 0.243	1.148		1.31		
	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	144.35 (106.333–200.888)	1	1.429 ± 0.234	0.091		1.75		
25	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	402.14 (302.183–765.174)	3.75	0.192 ± 0.228	1.997	-0.0478	1.68	2.27	
	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	306.53 (201.883–637.070)	2.86	1.092 ± 0.229	0.997		1.47	2.25	
	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	197.60 (139.269–316.527)	1.84	1.210 ± 0.220	1.657		1.55	2.04	
	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	107.31 (75.492–148.187)	1	1.347 ± 0.231	0.294		1.60	2.81	
30	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	231.19 (184.484–396.113)	3.63	0.956 ± 0.124	0.321	-0.0467	1.81	3.04	4.12
	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	131.99 (89.984–196.813)	2.07	1.156 ± 0.224	0.400		1.74	2.55	3.92
	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	82.32 (54.290–114.171)	1.29	1.302 ± 0.232	0.827		1.84	2.86	3.75
	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	63.67 (41.868–86.280)	1	1.478 ± 0.245	0.424		1.29	2.07	3.63

T, temperature (°C); G, generation; n, total number of insects; χ<sup>2</sup>, chi-square to the observed mortality data \* significant ( $p < 0.05$ ); R, resistance factor/fold was calculated for each generation as LC<sub>50</sub> of test generation divided by LC<sub>50</sub> of susceptible generation; DR, rate of decrease in LC<sub>50</sub> [ $\log(\text{final LC}_{50} - \text{initial LC}_{50})/N$ ], where N is a number of generation populations reared without insecticide exposure; TC, temperature coefficient (Ratio of higher to lower LC<sub>50</sub> value for 5 °C, 10 °C and 15 °C differences in temperature).

**Table 2**  
Toxicity of *Bt CryIAC* to different generation of *Plutella xylostella* at different constant temperatures.

T°C	(H)	(G)	(n)	LC <sub>50</sub> and 95% confidence limit(µg/mL)	R	Slope ± SE	χ <sup>2</sup>	DR	TC			
									5 °C	10 °C	15 °C	
15	24	1 <sup>th</sup>	240	156107.93 (39481.36–498692.71)	4.66	0.912 ± 0.298	0.119	-0.0557				
	24	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	136007.92 (36482.36–478699.70)	4.06	0.815 ± 0.283	0.017					
	24	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	50441.23 (23050.96–473766.70)	1.51	1.044 ± 0.276	0.183					
	24	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	33472.53 (17085.96–188804.50)	1.00	0.996 ± 0.249	0.887					
	48	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	91791.74 (47491.32–6902312.11)	4.97	0.916 ± 0.362	0.209	-0.058				
	48	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	81792.77 (27490.22–6702311.18)	4.43	0.816 ± 0.262	0.207					
	48	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	34295.02 (17267.15–211784.77)	1.86	0.983 ± 0.252	0.130					
	48	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	28476.65 (11599.14–46289.84)	1.00	1.152 ± 0.244	0.580					
	72	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	29205.69 (15507.46–67579.42)	3.38	1.141 ± 0.235	0.269	-0.044				
	72	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	19204.68 (11508.49–57509.46)	2.22	1.041 ± 0.239	0.219					
	72	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	13174.07 (8715.65–27402.74)	1.52	1.134 ± 0.235	0.564					
	72	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	8648.12 (6081.74–14198.75)	1.00	1.212 ± 0.231	0.838					
20	24	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	69939.87 (35906.68–3896001.62)	3.92	0.681 ± 0.256	0.245	-0.0494	2.23			
	24	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	66937.86 (23907.69–3796001.63)	3.75	0.789 ± 0.250	0.235			2.03		
	24	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	39321.55 (18264.42–370800.15)	2.20	0.906 ± 0.247	0.173			1.28		
	24	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	17848.91 (10860.96–50174.39)	1.00	1.042 ± 0.236	0.097			1.88		
	48	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	36568.85 (24862.52–289433.97)	3.99	0.853 ± 0.231	0.382	-0.05	2.51			
	48	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	30558.80 (14872.50–249432.95)	3.33	0.852 ± 0.237	0.381			2.68		
	48	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	16002.41(9842.36–43359.72)	1.74	1.014 ± 0.233	0.145			2.14		
	48	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	9174.67 (6540.82–14808.25)	1.00	1.281 ± 0.234	0.288			2.01		
	72	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	14453.15 (8894.00–39493.21)	2.50	1.986 ± 0.228	0.289	-0.0332	2.02			
	72	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	12451.05 (7894.00–29493.29)	2.15	0.986 ± 0.227	0.208			1.54		
	72	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	7692.15 (5309.93–12683.51)	1.33	1.133 ± 0.226	0.069			1.71		
	72	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	5781.33 (3914.17–8932.03)	1.00	1.109 ± 0.223	0.019			1.50		
25	24	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	32223.32 (25262.20–72911.79)	3.07	1.155 ± 0.265	0.065	-0.0406	2.17	4.84		
	24	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	25226.31 (15262.20–71911.78)	2.41	1.255 ± 0.267	0.049			2.65	5.39	
	24	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	18419.51 (11992.53–41187.90)	1.76	1.275 ± 0.255	0.403			2.13	2.74	
	24	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	10481.38 (7283.68–18320.06)	1.00	1.222 ± 0.234	0.040			1.70	3.19	
	48	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	16316.46 (11239.693–43013.82)	2.18	1.284 ± 0.246	0.048	-0.0283	2.24	5.63		
	48	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	15306.56 (10039.693–33013.82)	2.05	1.184 ± 0.241	0.038			2.00	5.34	
	48	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	11929.48 (8129.78–22442.84)	1.60	1.194 ± 0.235	0.052			1.34	2.87	
	48	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	7471.01 (5303.65–11617.83)	1.00	1.235 ± 0.229	0.076			1.23	2.47	
	72	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	9626.07 (6916.42–25214.56)	1.75	1.116 ± 0.235	0.257	-0.0202	1.50	3.03		
	72	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	9126.07 (6406.42–15204.55)	1.65	1.216 ± 0.231	0.237			1.36	2.10	
	72	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	6518.26 (4630.81–9774.67)	1.18	1.245 ± 0.228	0.214			1.18	2.02	
	72	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	5514.57 (4048.83–7632.61)	1.00	1.427 ± 0.233	0.170			1.05	1.57	
30	24	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	16247.34 (9569.84–31559.39)	2.28	1.159 ± 0.231	1.158	-0.0298	1.98	4.30	9.61	
	24	4 <sup>th</sup>	240	14277.94 (9369.84–30559.69)	2.00	1.149 ± 0.236	0.158			1.77	4.69	9.53
	24	8 <sup>th</sup>	240	10888.89 (7508.84–19598.73)	1.53	1.201 ± 0.234	0.029			1.69	3.61	4.63
	24	12 <sup>th</sup>	240	7134.15 (5023.65–11108.50)	1.00	1.204 ± 0.227	0.033			1.47	2.50	4.69
	48	1 <sup>st</sup>	240	11821.20 (7354.07–23778.81)	2.25	1.115 ± 0.209	0.211			1.38	3.09	7.77

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

T°C	(H)	(G)	(n)	LC <sub>50</sub> and 95% confidence limit(µg/mL)	R	Slope ± SE	χ <sup>2</sup>	DR	TC		
									5 °C	10 °C	15 °C
48	4 <sup>th</sup>		240	10800.20 (7254.07–20778.89)	2.06	1.105 ± 0.229	0.201		1.42	2.83	7.57
48	8 <sup>th</sup>		240	7847.05 (5460.22–12817.71)	1.50	1.161 ± 0.227	0.056		1.52	2.04	4.37
48	12 <sup>th</sup>		240	5242.50 (3814.36–7271.88)	1.00	1.397 ± 0.232	0.063	–0.0294	1.43	1.75	3.52
72	1 <sup>st</sup>		240	6675.43 (4231.15–9999.94)	2.25	1.162 ± 0.256	0.089		1.44	2.17	4.38
72	4 <sup>th</sup>		240	6172.46 (4130.05–9920.74)	2.08	1.062 ± 0.222	0.083		1.48	2.02	3.11
72	8 <sup>th</sup>		240	4324.26 (2798.92–6387.039)	1.46	1.109 ± 0.223	0.019		1.51	1.78	3.05
72	12 <sup>th</sup>		240	2965.95 (1813.05–4223.83)	1.00	1.202 ± 0.229	0.085	–0.0294	1.86	1.95	2.92

T°C, temperature (°C); G, Generation; n, total number of insects; χ<sup>2</sup>, chi-square to the observed mortality data \* significant ( $p < 0.05$ ); R, resistance factor/fold was calculated for each generation as LC<sub>50</sub> of test generation divided by LC<sub>50</sub> of susceptible generation; DR, rate of decrease in LC<sub>50</sub> [ $\log(\text{final LC}_{50} - \text{initial LC}_{50})/N$ ], where N is number of generation populations reared without insecticide exposure; TC, Temperature Coefficient (Ratio of higher to lower LC<sub>50</sub> value for 5 °C, 10 °C, and 15 °C differences in temperatures).

was 712.32 µg/mL while in 12<sup>th</sup> generation, the LC<sub>50</sub> value was decreased to 172.90 µg/mL, indicating an increase in toxicity. The initial LC<sub>50</sub> value calculated at temperatures of 20 °C, 25 °C, and 30 °C in 1<sup>st</sup> generation were greater as compared with a final LC<sub>50</sub> values observed in 12<sup>th</sup> generation (Table 1).

### 3.2. *Bt Cry1Ac*

The relationship between the toxicity of *Bt Cry1Ac* and all tested temperatures (15 °C, 20 °C, 25 °C, and 30 °C) was similar as observed for deltamethrin. The toxicity of *Bt Cry1Ac* was increased with the increase in temperature. The toxicity of *Bt Cry1Ac* at 30 °C was increased 9.61 times once exposed at the 1<sup>st</sup> generation larvae of *P. xylostella*. Toxicity observed was in positive correlation with temperature coefficient all the generations of *P. xylostella* (Table 2). On 1<sup>st</sup> generation field population of *P. xylostella*, maximum LC<sub>50</sub> values of *Bt Cry1Ac* were observed after 24 h (156107.93 µg/mL) of treatment followed by decreasing values after 48 (91791.74 µg/mL), and 72 h (29205.69 µg/mL). However, the same pattern was observed at 12<sup>th</sup> generation of *P. xylostella* but with quite lower LC<sub>50</sub> values (Table 2).

## 4. Discussion

A number of experiments have been performed to better understand interactions between different pathogens used against insect pests (Wraight and Ramos, 2005; Zhou et al., 2011). However, only a few studies are available on the interaction between temperature and insecticides against the *P. xylostella* (Sayyed et al., 2008). Hence, the current study was conducted to define the possible effects of deltamethrin and *Bt Cry1Ac* against the *P. xylostella* at a different temperature. Deltamethrin is one of the best pyrethroid that has been used for 30 years (Zamojska et al., 2011). Several lepidopteran insect pests endure severe stress at a low followed by high temperature and a positive correlation has been observed between the toxicity of deltamethrin and the temperature (Li et al., 2007). With the increase of temperature, the toxicity of deltamethrin was found highest. The coefficient of temperature becomes positive as the temperature increases and resistance increases owing to the high rate of metabolism (Punzo, 1993). According to Toth and Sparks (1990) temperature coefficient correlation with pyrethroids either positive or negative it depends upon the insect. Our results showed positive temperature correlation in case mortality against the deltamethrin and *BtCry1Ac*.

The *Bt* toxin (protein) has been known for its insecticidal properties, and it has the ability to multiply in a suitable temperature (Ferré and Van Rie, 2002; Höfte and Whiteley, 1989). At low temperatures, the activity of *Bt* toxins is inhibited in plants, however, at high temperatures (i.e. 36–42 °C) the crystalline protein is diluted or its effectiveness is reduced in transgenic crops (Hilbert

and Piggot, 2004). The results of this experiment summarized that temperature in the range of 20–25 °C is ideal the management program of *P. xylostella*, that is the reason why in unselected population, *P. xylostella* mortality was increased against pyrethroids and *Bt Cry1Ac*. Our study concluded that susceptibility of larvae of *P. xylostella* against deltamethrin (pyrethroid) and *Bt Cry1Ac* was increased with the increase of temperature because of high feeding and metabolism rate. Deltamethrin and *Bt Cry1Ac* must be used an integrated strategy for controlling *P. xylostella* in practice. Moreover, advance studies to know the mechanism involve in toxicity of these insecticides with the combination of Lepidoptera are desirable. Our study will be helpful in the management of *P. xylostella* in many vegetable crops.

### Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the Pakistan Science Foundation (PSF) for providing funds to conduct this study by Project No. PSF/NSLP/P-BZU 130.

### References

- Ahn, J.J., Yang, C.Y., Jung, C., 2012. Model of *Grapholita molesta* spring emergence in pear orchards based on statistical information criteria. *J. Asia-Pacific Entomol.* 15, 589–593.
- Arrese, E.L., Soulages, J.L., 2010. Insect fat body: energy, metabolism, and regulation. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 55, 207–225.
- Ferré, J., Van Rie, J., 2002. Biochemistry and genetics of insect resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 47, 501–533.
- Furlong, M.J., Wright, D.J., Dossall, L.M., 2013. Diamondback moth ecology and management: problems, progress, and prospects. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 58, 517–541.
- Gong, Y., Wang, C., Yang, Y., Wu, S., Wu, Y., 2010. Characterization of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin Cry1Ac in *Plutella xylostella* from China. *J. Invertebrate Pathol.* 104, 90–96.
- Hilbert, D.W., Piggot, P.J., 2004. Compartmentalization of gene expression during *Bacillus subtilis* spore formation. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 68, 234–262.
- Höfte, H., Whiteley, H., 1989. Insecticidal crystal proteins of *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Microbiol. Rev.* 53, 242–255.
- Jaleel, W., Saeed, S., Saeed, Q., Naqqash, M.N., Sial, M.U., Aine, Q.U., Yanyuan, L., Rui, Z., He, Y., Lu, L., 2017. Effects of three different cultivars of cruciferous plants on the age-stage, two-sex life table traits of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Entomol. Res.* <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-5967.12270>.
- LeOra, S., 2003. *Polopul, A User's Guide to Probit or Logit Analysis*. LeOra Software, Berkeley, CA.
- Li, H.-Y., Wu, S.-Y., Shi, N., 2007. Transcription factor Nrf2 activation by deltamethrin in PC12 cells: involvement of ROS. *Toxicol. Lett.* 171, 87–98.
- Mohan, M., Gujar, G., 2002. Geographical variation in larval susceptibility of the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) to *Bacillus thuringiensis* spore-crystal mixtures and purified crystal proteins and associated resistance development in India. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 92, 489–498.
- Musser, F.R., Shelton, A.M., 2005. The influence of post-exposure temperature on the toxicity of insecticides to *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *Pest Manage. Sci.* Formerly *Pesticide Sci.* 61, 508–510.
- Nian, X.G., He, Y.R., Lu, L.H., Zhao, R., 2015. Evaluation of the time-concentration-mortality responses of *Plutella xylostella* larvae to the interaction of *Isaria fumosorosea* with the insecticides beta-cypermethrin and *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 71, 216–224.

- Notter-Hausmann, C., Dorn, S., 2010. Relationship between behavior and physiology in an invasive pest species: oviposition site selection and temperature-dependent development of the oriental fruit moth (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). *Environ. Entomol.* 39, 561–569.
- Punzo, F., 1993. Detoxification enzymes and the effects of temperature on the toxicity of pyrethroids to the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part C: Comp. Pharmacol.* 105, 155–158.
- Saeed, S., Jaleel, W., Naqqash, M.N., Saeed, Q., Zaka, S.M., Sarwar, Z.M., Ishtiaq, M., Qayyum, M.A., Sial, M.U., Batool, M., 2019. Fitness parameters of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera; Plutellidae) at four constant temperatures by using age-stage, two-sex life tables. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 26 (7), 1661–1667.
- Saeed, S., Naqqash, M.N., Jaleel, W., 2016. Toxicological studies on some important chemicals against *Dysdercus koenigii* Fabr. (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae). *Pakistan J. Zool.* 48.
- Sayyed, A.H., Saeed, S., Noor-Ul-Ane, M., Crickmore, N., 2008. Genetic, biochemical, and physiological characterization of spinosad resistance in *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 101, 1658–1666.
- Shelton, A.M., 2007. Considerations on the use of transgenic crops for insect control. *J. Develop. Stud.* 43, 890–900.
- Steinbach, D., Moritz, G., Nauen, R., 2017. Fitness costs and life table parameters of highly insecticide-resistant strains of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) at different temperatures. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 73, 1789–1797.
- Talekar, N., Shelton, A., 1993. Biology, ecology, and management of the diamondback moth. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 38, 275–301.
- Toth Jr, S.J., Sparks, T.C., 1990. Effect of temperature on toxicity and knockdown activity of cis-permethrin, esfenvalerate, and  $\lambda$ -cyhalothrin in the cabbage looper (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 83, 342–346.
- Trocza, B.J., Williamson, M.S., Field, L.M., Davies, T.E., 2017. Rapid selection for resistance to diamide insecticides in *Plutella xylostella* via specific amino acid polymorphisms in the ryanodine receptor. *Neurotoxicology* 60, 224–233.
- Wearing, C.H., Colhoun, C., 2005. Response to lufenuron of OP- and tebufenozide-resistant greenheaded leafroller, *Planotortrix octo* (Dugdale) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae), from Central Otago, New Zealand. *New Zealand Entomol.* 28, 5–14.
- Wraight, S., Ramos, M., 2005. Synergistic interaction between *Beauveria bassiana*- and *Bacillus thuringiensis tenebrionis*-based biopesticides applied against field populations of Colorado potato beetle larvae. *J. Invertebrate Pathol.* 90, 139–150.
- Zalucki, M.P., Shabbir, A., Silva, R., Adamson, D., Shu-Sheng, L., Furlong, M.J., 2012. Estimating the economic cost of one of the world's major insect pests, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae): just how long is a piece of string? *J. Econ. Entomol.* 105, 1115–1129.
- Zamojska, J., Węgorzek, P., Mrówczyński, M., 2011. Changes in the Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say) susceptibility level to pyrethroids and the pest resistance mechanisms to deltamethrin. *J. Plant Protect. Res.* 51, 294–299.
- Zhou, L., Huang, J., Xu, H., 2011. Monitoring resistance of field populations of diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella* L. (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae) to five insecticides in South China: a ten-year case study. *Crop Protect.* 30, 272–278.