

# Can patients with femoral neck fracture benefit from preoperative thromboprophylaxis?

# A prospective randomized controlled trial

Qiangqiang Li, MD<sup>a</sup>, Bingyang Dai, MD<sup>b,c</sup>, Jiacheng Xu, MD<sup>d</sup>, Yao Yao, MD<sup>b,c</sup>, Kai Song, PhD<sup>b,c</sup>, Haojun Zhang, MD<sup>e</sup>, Dongyang Chen, MD<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>, Qing Jiang, MD, PhD<sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** The effectiveness of preoperative thromboprophylaxis remains obscure in patients with femoral neck fracture. The purpose of the current study was to investigate whether these patients benefit from preoperative thromboprophylaxis.

**Methods:** In this prospective, randomized controlled trial, a total of 80 patients with femoral neck fracture were randomly assigned to receive either rivaroxaban or conservative treatment before surgery. For all patients, color Doppler ultrasound of both lower extremities was performed immediately after admission. The primary efficacy outcome was venous thromboembolism (VTE) defined as deep vein thrombosis (DVT) or pulmonary embolism (PE). The primary safety outcome was major bleeding.

**Result:** Compared with conservative treatment, rivaroxaban could significantly reduce the incidence of DVT from 19.5% (8/41) to 2.6% (1/39) (P = .016). Preoperatively, there were a total of 9 occurrences of DVT including 8 DVT in the conservative treatment group and 1 in the oral rivaroxaban group. All cases of DVT were asymptomatic, with 8 of them diagnosed as isolated muscular calf vein thromboses. There were no differences between the 2 groups in terms of the overall incidence of major bleeding.

**Conclusion:** Thromboprophylaxis with rivaroxaban prior to surgery can effectively reduce the risk of preoperative DVT for patients with femoral neck fracture without increasing the risk of bleeding.

**Abbreviations:** BMI = body mass index, COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, DVT = deep vein thrombosis, IVC = inferior vena cava, LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin, PE = pulmonary embolism, VTE = venous thromboembolism.

Keywords: deep vein thrombosis, femoral neck fracture, rivaroxaban

# 1. Introduction

Patients with hip fracture are at a high risk of postoperative venous thromboembolism (VTE) because of their advanced age and frailty.<sup>[1,2]</sup> This risk has been well documented by previous

studies,<sup>[3–6]</sup> and guidelines have recommended mechanical and pharmacological prophylaxis for patients undergoing surgery for hip fracture.<sup>[7]</sup> To our knowledge, however, few investigators have concentrated on the preoperative prevalence of thrombosis in these high-risk patients, although the occurrence of preopera-

This work was supported by the Projects of International Cooperation and Exchanges NSFC (81420108021), National Key Technology Support Program (2015BAI08B02), Excellent Young Scholars NSFC (81622033), Jiangsu Provincial Key Research and Development Fundation (BE2016608), Jiangsu Provincial Key Medical Center Foundation, Jiangsu Provincial Medical Talent Foundation and Jiangsu Provincial Medical Outstanding Talent Foundation.

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Sports Medicine and Adult Reconstructive Surgery, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, Clinical College of Nanjing Medical University, <sup>b</sup> Laboratory for Bone and Joint Diseases, Model Animal Research Center, Nanjing University, <sup>c</sup> Department of Sports Medicine and Adult Reconstructive Surgery, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital Affiliated with the Medical School of Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, <sup>d</sup> Department of Orthopedics, The first Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University, Nantong, <sup>e</sup> NingBo No.6 Hospital, Ningbo, P.R. China.

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Medicine (2017) 96:29(e7604)

Received: 16 March 2017 / Received in final form: 28 June 2017 / Accepted: 5 July 2017 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000007604

Editor: Giovanni Tarantino.

QL and BD have contributed equally to the work and should be regarded as co-first authors.

QJ and DC are co-corresponding authors.

Author contributions. Conception and design: QL, BD. Data collection: QL, YY, KS. Statistical analysis: QL, HZ. Analysis and interpretation: QL, BD. Composition of the manuscript: QL, BD, DC. Revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content and approval of the final draft: all authors. Taking responsibility for the paper as a whole: QJ, DC.

Ethical approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Qing Jiang, The Center of Diagnosis and Treatment for Joint Disease, Drum Tower Hospital Affiliated with the Medical School of Nanjing University Zhongshan Road 321, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu, P.R. China (e-mail: jiangqing112@hotmail.com); Dongyang Chen, The Center of Diagnosis and Treatment for Joint Disease, Drum Tower Hospital Affiliated with the Medical School of Nanjing University Zhongshan Road 321, Nanjing 210008, Jiangsu, P.R. China (e-mail: 28617621@qq.com).

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

tive deep vein thrombosis (DVT) was reported to occur in 9% to 62% of patients, even when they were receiving prophylaxis.<sup>[8-10]</sup> Moreover, the inevitable delay of surgical intervention due to transfer from primary care facilities could add to the risk of preoperative DVT. Despite the high preoperative incidence of DVT in these patients, it remains controversial whether patients with femoral neck fracture can benefit from thromboprophylaxis before surgery. In Europe, anticoagulation therapy was usually initiated 12 hours before surgery<sup>[11]</sup> to optimize antithrombotic effectiveness,<sup>[12–15]</sup> because clinicians found that DVT typically developed perioperatively. However, Perka<sup>[16]</sup> and Liu et al<sup>[17]</sup> demonstrated that the effectiveness of preoperative initiation of anticoagulation therapy was comparable to that of postoperative anticaogulation therapy. In addition, opponents of preoperative anticoagulation therapy stated that it could add to the risk of postoperative intraspinal hematoma<sup>[18]</sup> and lead to longer delays of surgery.<sup>[19]</sup> Such discrepancy in clinical practice has led to a need to determine the optimal timing for initiating anticoagulation therapy, which may facilitate the prophylaxis of DVT in patients with femoral neck fracture.

Rivaroxaban, a new and selective factor Xa inhibitor, has been reported to reduce the risk of VTE<sup>[20]</sup> without a significant increase in major bleeding rates<sup>[21]</sup> and is more effective than enoxaparin.<sup>[20–23]</sup> But there is still a lack of evidence for rivaroxaban's use, particularly regarding its efficacy and safety in patients with femoral neck fracture. Herein, the aim of the present randomized clinical trial was to evaluate the benefit-to-risk ratio of 10 mg once daily oral rivaroxaban compared with conservative treatment in patients with femoral neck fracture. Our primary hypothesis was that preoperative thromboprophylaxis with rivaroxaban reduces the risk of preoperative DVT for these patients compared with those who received conservative treatment. A secondary hypothesis was whether preoperative thromboprophylaxis with rivaroxaban increases the risk of bleeding.

### 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Patients

Between November 2014 and August 2015, 109 consecutive patients with femoral neck fracture were finally recruited for this study. Patients aged at least 60 years and with a body mass index (BMI)  $\leq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$  were considered for inclusion. All patients received a bilateral color Doppler ultrasound scan immediately after admission. Exclusion criteria were as follows: having DVT on admission; presenting with trauma affecting more than 1 organ system; having more than 10 days between the causative trauma and hospital admission; requiring long-term anticoagulant for a chronic comorbidity condition; undergoing any type of anticoagulant or fibrinolytic therapy or dextran therapy; and having severe organ insufficiency such as congestive heart failure, liver insufficiency, renal failure, malignancy or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Twenty-nine patients were not randomized in this study because, among other reasons, 5 patients had confirmed DVT on admission, and there was lack of consent for 6 patients; however, the 2 study groups did not differ significantly with respect to reasons for exclusions. Finally, a total of 80 patients met the selection criteria for randomization and completed the study. Baseline characteristics were measured for each patient, including age, sex, history of thromboembolic disease, any comorbidities, intraoperative blood loss, postsurgical wound drainage, duration of surgery, and length of hospital stay.

### 2.2. Randomization and masking

This was a prospective, randomized, comparative trial. Approval was obtained from our ethics committee. Eligible patients were randomly assigned to 2 groups according to a computergenerated random sequence. Patients were assigned to receive treatment with oral rivaroxaban, 10 mg once daily, or conservative treatment from admission to surgery. The first drug dose was given the night of admission if there was no clinically evident bleeding. Prophylaxis was continued until 1 day before the operation. The patients in the conservative treatment group were instructed to stay in bed and keep mobilization without receiving any thromboprophylaxis drugs. Postoperatively, all patients received rivaroxaban 6 to 8 hours after surgery. The administration of rivaroxaban was scheduled for 7 to 11 days. In case of confirmed VTE, the anticoagulation treatment was discontinued and conventional thrombolysis treatment was then performed. The end point of this study was the moment when the patients finished the treatment. Drains were removed when the drainage volume was less than 50 mL/24 h. Written informed consent was obtained from all individual participants before randomization.

### 2.3. Medications

In the oral rivaroxaban group, patients were treated with oral rivaroxaban, 10 mg once daily. In the conservative treatment group, patients did not receive prophylaxis before surgery. Throughout the study, the following medications that could affect the patients' blood coagulation system were prohibited, including aspirin, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH), antiplatelet agents, antico-agulant, and thrombolytic agents. The use of a pressure pump was started on the first night after surgery.<sup>[1]</sup>

### 2.4. Outcome measures

The primary efficacy outcome was VTE defined as DVT detected by color Doppler ultrasound or pulmonary embolism (PE) recorded before discharge. Secondary efficacy outcomes were total, proximal, distal DVT, and symptomatic VTE.<sup>[1]</sup> All the patients were examined for DVT by color Doppler ultrasound on admission, 1 day before the operation and 3 days after the operation or earlier if thrombosis was clinically suspected, which was diagnosed by 2 experienced doctors. Both of them were blinded to the randomization. DVT was diagnosed according to the Lensing group's criterion,<sup>[24]</sup> and PE was diagnosed by computed tomography (CT) scan for pulmonary artery angiogram.

The primary safety outcome was major bleeding recorded before discharge, including fatal bleeding, retroperitoneal, intracranial, or intraspinal bleeding, bleeding that involved any other critical organ, bleeding associated with severe anemia and surgical bleeding  $\geq$ 1200 mL.<sup>[1]</sup> All the other bleeding events were classified as minor. Secondary safety outcomes were all deaths, any other bleeding events, transfusion requirements, thrombocytopenia, and any other adverse events.

## 2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 19.0 system software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Numeric variables were compared by Student t test and categorical variables were compared by the chi-square test. Numeric data are shown as mean±standard deviation, categorical data are shown as numbers with percentages, and continuous skewed data are shown as medians (ranges). A value of P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Study population

There were 52 women and 28 men patients with a mean age of 76.1 years (range, 60-94 years). Baseline characteristics did not differ significantly between the 2 groups (Table 1). Sixty-one patients underwent total hip arthroplasty and 19 patients underwent hemi-arthroplasty. The study groups did not differ in terms of type of surgery. Moreover, the 2 groups did not differ in the number of treatment days from admission to surgery. Details of the operation are summarized in Table 2. The mean duration between admission and surgery was  $3.9 \pm 1.9$  days and  $4.2 \pm 2.2$  days (P=.485) for the oral rivaroxaban group and the conservative treatment group, respectively. The mean duration of surgery was  $87.0 \pm 16.9$  minutes and  $84.1 \pm 18.2$  minutes (P =.467) for the oral rivaroxaban group and the conservative treatment group, respectively. The mean blood loss was estimated to be 178.6±78.1 mL. Mean postsurgical wound drainage, collected from tubes placed according to surgical conventions, amounted to 219.9±121.8mL, and the mean time until drain removal was 2 days. The flowchart of the study is shown in Fig. 1.

# 3.2. Incidence of VTE

All patients had both preoperative and postoperative color Doppler ultrasound screening. The incidence of preoperative DVT was significantly lower in the oral rivaroxaban group than in the conservative treatment group (2.6% vs 19.5%, P=.016). Before the operation, there were 9 incidences of DVT and 0 nonfatal PE. Eight incidences of DVT were detected in the conservative treatment group, and 1 incidence of DVT was detected in the oral rivaroxaban group (Table 3). All 9 cases of DVT were asymptomatic, and 7 of them were isolated muscular calf vein thromboses; in addition, 5 DVT were found in the ipsilateral extremity as the fracture, 3 patients had DVT in both extremities and 1 in the contralateral. All the 9 patients were successfully treated with thrombolysis and supportive measures. Moreover, following surgery, DVT was newly detected in 3 patients in the oral rivaroxaban group and in 4 patients in the conservative treatment group when the routine color Doppler

Table 1		
Baseline	characteristics of the patients randomized.	

Characteristics	Oral rivaroxaban group (n=39)	Conservative treatment group (n=41)	Р
Age, y	75.8±9.1	76.3±8.5	.811
No. of men/women	15/24	13/28	.527
BMI <sup>*</sup>	23.6±2.5	24.7 ± 3.3	.079
History of VTE	3 (7.7%)	5 (12.2%)	.502
Hypertension	11 (28.2%)	13 (31.7%)	.733
Diabetes	5 (12.8%)	7 (17.1%)	.594
Smoking	6 (15.4%)	5 (12.2%)	.679
Delay from injury to admission	$1.8 \pm 1.3$	2.1 ± 1.5	.436
Delay from admission to surgery	$3.9 \pm 1.9$	4.2±2.2	.485
Delay from injury to surgery	$5.7 \pm 2.2$	$6.3 \pm 2.8$	.311
Preoperative DVT incidence	1 (2.6%)	8 (19.5%)	.016

BMI=body mass index, DVT=deep vein thrombosis, VTE=venous thrombosis embolism. \*BMI is calculated as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters.

# Table 2

### Surgical characteristics of the patients<sup>\*</sup>.

Characteristics	Oral rivaroxaban group (n=39)	Conservative treatment group (n=41)	Р
Duration of surgery	87.0±16.9	84.1 ± 18.2	.467
No. of total prosthesis/half prosthesis	30/9	31/10	.890
Blood loss	180.8±66.5	176.6±88.5	.812
Postsurgical wound drainage	230.6 <u>+</u> 128.0	209.8±116.2	.447
Transfusion requirements			
Perioperative	1 (2.6)	2 (4.9)	.586
Postoperative	2 (5.1)	3 (7.3)	.686
Hospital stays	13.2±3.5	$14.4 \pm 4.2$	.198

\* Data are number (percentage) of patients.

ultrasound screening was evaluated 3 days postoperatively. In addition, 1 non-fatal PE occurred in the conservative treatment group, but there was no occurrence in the rivaroxaban-treated patients. Among the 7 incidences of postoperative DVT, 4 DVT were found in the ipsilateral extremity as the fracture, 2 in the contralateral, and there was 1 patient with DVT in both extremities. After including the incidences of DVT that occurred preoperatively, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of DVT with rivaroxaban compared with the conservative treatment (29.3% vs 10.3%, P=.034). If the preoperative DVT were excluded, there was no significant difference in the incidence of postoperative DVT between the 2 groups (7.7% vs 9.8%, P=.744).

### 3.3. Safety outcomes

There was no fatal bleeding or bleeding in a critical organ in either treatment group. In the oral rivaroxaban group, 2 patients suffered from minor wound bleeding, indicated by wound ecchymosis and bleeding. In the conservative treatment group, 1 patient developed minor wound bleeding. No overt bleeding occurred throughout the study. The total primary safety outcome was therefore 2 minor bleeding episodes in oral revaroxaban group (5.1%), compared with 1 in the conservative treatment group (2.4%). The incidence of adverse events, including severe thrombocytopenia, and overall mortality did not differ between groups.

# 4. Discussion

Patients with femoral neck fracture are commonly at an increased risk of VTE.<sup>[1]</sup> In a previous study, Roberts et al<sup>[10]</sup> reported a 9% incidence of DVT in 176 patients with hip fracture prescribed with low-molecular-weight dextran. Smith et al<sup>[25]</sup> reported an incidence of 11.9% of radiologically identifiable DVT in 101 patients who were given subcutaneous injections of heparin. Subsequently, several studies have investigated the incidence of thromboembolic disease in this patient group, the rate of which ranged from 10% to 62%.<sup>[26,27]</sup> In this study, the incidence of DVT was 19.5% in the conservative treatment group, which was higher than that reported by Chan et al<sup>[8]</sup> and by Cho et al.<sup>[9]</sup> Of note however, Chan et al<sup>[8]</sup> only recorded the DVT proximal to the popliteal vein, which could explain the low incidence in their study. Given the high incidence of preoperative DVT in patients without anticoagulation, it has been recommended that routine thromboprophylaxis should be initiated during the time between hospital admission and surgery.<sup>[28]</sup> In addition, Hull et al<sup>[11]</sup>



Figure 1. Flow diagram according to the consolidated standards of reporting trials (CONSORT) statement, showing recruitment, randomization, and patient flow in this study.

found that the initiation timing of LMWH therapy significantly affected the effectiveness of DVT prophylaxis. However, some researchers have recommended against preoperative anticoagulation therapy because of the concern that it may increase the risk of bleeding complications such as the increase of intraoperative and postoperative blood loss<sup>[15,16,29,30]</sup> and the rate of intraspinal hematoma.<sup>[18]</sup> In addition, it has been reported that there was no difference between the preoperative and postoperative initiation of anticoagulation therapy regarding its efficacy and safety.<sup>[11,14,31]</sup> The discrepancy in these studies reflects the need for a well-designed study that should comprehensively evaluate the effectiveness and the risk of initiating early prophylaxis.

For the first time, this study demonstrated that rivaroxaban can effectively prevent VTE in patients with femoral neck fracture when initiated immediately on hospital admission. This study shows that in this high-risk population, the incidence of preoperative DVT was markedly reduced when rivaroxaban was prescribed preoperatively at a dose of 10 mg per day. Previous studies have well documented the relationship between the timing of initiation of LMWH therapy and the effectiveness of

# Table 3

Incidence of venous thrombosis embolism events.

Events	Oral rivaroxaban group (n=39)	Conservative treatment group (n=41)	
Preoperatively			
Any deep vein thrombosis	1 (2.6)	8 (19.5)	
Any proximal deep vein thrombosis	0 (0.0)	2 (4.9)	
Distal deep vein thrombosis	1 (2.6)	6 (14.6)	
Non-fatal pulmonary embolism	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Fatal pulmonary embolism	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
Postoperatively			
Any deep vein thrombosis	4 (10.3)	12 (29.3)	
Any proximal deep vein thrombosis	1 (2.6)	3 (7.3)	
Distal deep vein thrombosis	3 (7.7)	9 (22.0)	
Non-fatal pulmonary embolism	0 (0.0)	1 (2.6)	
Fatal pulmonary embolism	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	

Data are number (percentage) of patients.

DVT prophylaxis.<sup>[11,32]</sup> However, some studies have observed limited effectiveness of anticoagulation therapy in preventing DVT.<sup>[16,17]</sup> Liu et al<sup>[17]</sup> retrospectively evaluated 222 patients with hip fracture, who were allocated to 2 groups receiving either preoperative or postoperative initiation of LMWH therapy. They concluded that preoperative anticoagulation therapy may not increase intraoperative and postoperative blood loss, and the risk of postoperative DVT or PE. We noted that in these studies, however, the authors identified DVT primarily based on clinical symptoms without performing routine venography or color Doppler ultrasound for patients, thereby reducing the accuracy of their outcome data. By contrast, in the current study, color Doppler ultrasound was performed for every single patient, which added to the accuracy of the diagnosis of early asymptomatic DVT.

As a complication of chemoprophylaxis, the incidence of bleeding was also of concern to surgeons when deciding on the initiation time of anticoagulation therapy.<sup>[31,33]</sup> Some authors have observed a risk of bleeding and an increase of intraoperative and postoperative blood loss.<sup>[15,16,29,30]</sup> In this study, preoperative anticoagulation therapy with rivaroxaban did not appear to increase the risk of minor and major bleeding events. Moreover, no significant differences were detected in terms of intraoperative blood loss and postoperative wound drainage in the 2 groups, which was similar to the results found by previous studies.<sup>[16,17]</sup>

In this study, 9 patients with preoperative DVT were treated without using the filter. None of them showed any sign of PE and the thrombosis resolved spontaneously later during their care. To our knowledge, retrievable inferior vena cava (IVC) filters were previously frequently used in patients with DVT undergoing orthopedic surgeries.<sup>[25,34]</sup> However, a recent randomized clinical trial with a 6-month follow-up demonstrated that patients with acute VTE did not benefit from the use of a retrievable IVC filter.<sup>[35]</sup> Thus, for patients with preoperative DVT who are at the risk of an intraoperative pulmonary embolism, further studies are needed to investigate whether the IVC filter should be applied.

Despite the fact that our data were derived from a randomized controlled trial, several limitations still existed in our study. First, the patient sample of this study was relatively small, which could lead to insufficient statistical power to detect small difference in clinical outcomes. Second, the average time period before surgery in both groups was between 3 and 4 days, which is longer than that of most US hospitals, and this prolonged immobility probably predisposed these patients to developing DVT. However, some necessary delays of surgery are common in tertiary care facilities caused by transferring from community hospitals and by preoperative assessment and optimization. Third, only early postoperative incidences of DVT were tested by using color Doppler ultrasound, which may lead to missing patients with DVT after discharge. However, it has been reported that more than 85% of documented DVTs occur within 1 day after surgery.<sup>[36]</sup> Moreover, once patients were diagnosed with negative DVT following early color Doppler ultrasound in our study, they would subsequently receive an aggressive rehabilitation program, which might have reduced the risk of DVT substantially.

# 5. Conclusions

Thromboprophylaxis with rivaroxaban prior to surgery can effectively reduce the risk of DVT for patients with femoral neck fracture without increasing the bleeding rates. We recommend routine thromboprophylaxis with rivaroxaban on the first day of the patients' admission.

## References

- [1] Eriksson BI, Lassen MR. Duration of prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism with fondaparinux after hip fracture surgery: a multicenter, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study. Arch Int Med 2003;163:1337–42.
- [2] Geerts WH, Pineo GF, Heit JA, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolism: the Seventh ACCP Conference on Antithrombotic and Thrombolytic Therapy. Chest 2004;126(Suppl):338S–400S.
- [3] Agnelli G, Cosmi B, Di FP, et al. A randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial of dermatan sulphate for prevention of deep vein thrombosis in hip fracture. Thromb Haemost 1992;67:203.
- [4] Lowe G DO, Meek DR, Campbell AF, et al. Subcutaneous ancrod in prevention of deep-vein thrombosis after operation for fractured neck of femur. Lancet 1978;2:698.
- [5] Powers PJ, Gent M, Jay RM, et al. A randomized trial of less intense postoperative warfarin or aspirin therapy in the prevention of venous thromboembolism after surgery for fractured hip. Arch Inter Med 1989;149:771.
- [6] Rogers PH, Walsh PN, Marder VJ, et al. Controlled trial of low-dose heparin and sulfinpyrazone to prevent venous thromboembolism after operation on the hip. J Bone Joint Surg 1978;60:758.
- [7] Falckytter Y, Francis CW, Johanson NA, et al. Prevention of VTE in orthopedic surgery patients. Chest 2012;141(Part):400–12.
- [8] Chan YK, Chiu KY, Cheng SW, et al. The incidence of deep vein thrombosis in elderly Chinese suffering hip fracture is low without prophylaxis: a prospective study using serial duplex ultrasound. J Orthop Surg 2005;12:178.
- [9] Cho YH, Byun YS, Jeong DG, et al. Preoperative incidence of deep vein thrombosis after hip fractures in Korean. Clin Orthop Surg 2015;7:298.
- [10] Roberts TS, Nelson CL, Barnes CL, et al. The preoperative prevalence and postoperative incidence of thromboembolism in patients with hip fractures treated with dextran prophylaxis. Clin Orthop Relat R 1990; 255:198.
- [11] Hull RD, Pineo GF, Stein PD, et al. Timing of initial administration of low-molecular-weight heparin prophylaxis against deep vein thrombosis in patients following elective hip arthroplasty: a systematic review. Arch Inter Med 2001;161:1952.
- [12] Sharnoff JG, Deblasio G. Prevention of fatal postoperative thromboembolism by heparin prophylaxis. Lancet 1970;2:1006.
- [13] Gallus AS, Hirsh J, Tutle RJ, et al. Small subcutaneous doses of heparin in prevention of venous thrombosis. N Engl J Med 1973;288:545.

- [14] Strebel N, Prins M, Agnelli G, et al. Preoperative or postoperative start of prophylaxis for venous thromboembolism with low-molecular-weight heparin in elective hip surgery? Arch Inter Med 2002;162:145.
- [15] Dahl OE, Aspelin T, Lyberg T. The role of bone traumatization in the initiation of proximal deep vein thrombosis during cemented hip replacement surgery in pigs. Blood Coagul Fibrin 1996;6:709.
- [16] Perka C. Preoperative versus postoperative initiation of thromboprophylaxis following major orthopedic surgery: safety and efficacy of postoperative administration supported by recent trials of new oral anticoagulants. Thromb J 2011;9:17.
- [17] Liu Z, Na H, Xu H, et al. Incidence of venous thromboembolism and hemorrhage related safety studies of preoperative anticoagulation therapy in hip fracture patients undergoing surgical treatment: a case–control study. BMC Musculoskel Dis 2016;17:1.
- [18] Kirazli Y, Akkoc Y, Kanyilmaz S. Spinal epidural hematoma associated with oral anticoagulation therapy. Am J Phys Med Rehab 2004; 83:220.
- [19] Tran T, Delluc A, Wit CD, et al. The impact of oral anticoagulation on time to surgery in patients hospitalized with hip fracture. Thromb Res 2015;136:962.
- [20] Eriksson BI, Borris LC, Friedman RJ, et al. Rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after hip arthroplasty. N Engl J Med 2008;358:2765.
- [21] Agnelli G, Gallus A, Goldhaber SZ. Treatment of proximal deep-vein thrombosis with the oral direct factor Xa inhibitor Rivaroxaban (BAY 59-7939): The ODIXa-DVT (oral direct factor Xa inhibitor BAY 59-7939 in patients with acute symptomatic deep-vein thrombosis) study. Dig World Core Med J 2007;116:180–7.
- [22] Kakkar AK, Brenner B, Dahl OE, et al. Extended duration rivaroxaban versus short-term enoxaparin for the prevention of venous thromboembolism after total hip arthroplasty: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2008;372:31.
- [23] Turpie AG, Lassen MR, Davidson BL, et al. Rivaroxaban versus enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis after total knee arthroplasty (RECORD4): a randomised trial. Lancet 2009;373:1673–80.
- [24] Lensing AW, Doris CI, Mcgrath FP, et al. A comparison of compression ultrasound with color Doppler ultrasound for the diagnosis of symptomless postoperative deep vein thrombosis. Arch Int Med 1997; 157:765–8.
- [25] Smith EB, Parvizi J, Purtill JJ. Delayed surgery for patients with femur and hip fractures-risk of deep venous thrombosis. J Trauma 2011; 70:113.
- [26] Hefley FGJr, Nelson CL, Puskarich-May CL. Effect of delayed admission to the hospital on the preoperative prevalence of deep-vein thrombosis associated with fractures about the hip. J Bone Joint Surg 1996;78:581.
- [27] Zahn HR, Skinner JA, Porteous MJ. The preoperative prevalence of deep vein thrombosis in patients with femoral neck fractures and delayed operation. Injury 1999;30:605.
- [28] Geerts WH, Bergqvist D, Pineo GF, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolism: American College of chest physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (8th Edition). Chest 2008;133:381S.
- [29] Borgen PO, Dahl OE, Reikeras O. Preoperative versus postoperative initiation of dalteparin thromboprophylaxis in THA. Hip Int 2010; 20:301.
- [30] Knesek D, Peterson TC, Markel DC. Thromboembolic prophylaxis in total joint arthroplasty. Thrombosis 2012;2012:837896.
- [31] Hull RD, Brant RF, Pineo GF, et al. Preoperative vs postoperative initiation of low-molecular-weight heparin prophylaxis against venous thromboembolism in patients undergoing elective hip replacement. Arch Int Med 1999;159:137.
- [32] Jørgensen PS, Strandberg C, Wille-Jørgensen P, et al. Early preoperative thromboprophylaxis with Klexane<sup>®</sup> in hip fracture surgery: a placebocontrolled study. Clin Appl Thromb-Hem 1998;4:140.
- [33] Warwick D. New concepts in orthopaedic thromboprophylaxis. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2004;86:788.
- [34] Rd LE, Miller RS, Rd CW, et al. Prophylactic inferior vena cava filters in trauma patients at high risk: follow-up examination and risk/benefit assessment. J Vasc Surg 1999;30:484.
- [35] Mismetti P, Laporte S, Pellerin O. Effect of a retrievable inferior vena cava filter plus anticoagulation vs anticoagulation alone on risk of recurrent pulmonary embolism: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2015;313:1627.
- [36] Singhal R, Brimble KS. Thromboembolic complications in the nephrotic syndrome: pathophysiology and clinical management. Thromb Res 2006;118:397.