COVID-19 in Chronic-Phase Chronic Myeloid Leukemia Patients: A Single-Center Survey from Turkey

Kronik Evre Kronik Myeloid Lösemi Hastalarında COVID-19: Tek Merkez Deneyimi

Umut Yılmaz¹, Aslıhan Pekmezci², Yalçın Gül², Ahmet Emre Eşkazan¹

¹İstanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Cerrahpaşa Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology, İstanbul, Turkey ²İstanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Cerrahpaşa Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, İstanbul, Turkey

To the Editor,

The management of patients with hematological malignancies including chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) can be challenging in the era of the coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) pandemic [1]. There are limited data published in the literature reporting the outcomes of CML patients with COVID-19 [2,3], so, herein, we share our experiences with the management of patients with CML with severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection.

The Ministry of Health of the Republic of Turkey has been recording every test for SARS-CoV-2 in the public health records, which are accessible to physicians. We identified 243 adult chronicphase CML patients currently receiving medical care from our institution and acquired their public health records for SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction analysis from nasopharyngeal swab specimens. Sixteen of our patients had undergone testing for SARS-CoV-2 by 7 July 2020, and five patients (5/243; 2%) tested positive. Table 1 shows selected features of these infected patients. The total number of documented COVID-19 infections

Feature	Patient #1	Patient #2	Patient #3	Patient #4	Patient #5
Age/gender	37/Male	32/Female	75/Male	86/Female	33/Male
Sokal score	N/A	Low	Intermediate	High risk	Low risk
CML treatment	Imatinib (400 mg/ day) for 3 years	Nilotinib (800 mg/day) for 8 years	Imatinib (400 mg/ day) for 17 years	Nilotinib (600 mg/day) for 7 years	Imatinib (400 mg/day for 12 years
Response status	MMR	DMR	DMR	DMR	DMR
Co-morbidities	None	None	HT, BPH, IHD	HT, hypothyroidism, IFG	Stage 3 CKD, HT
Co-medication	None	None	Losartan, HCTZ, ASA, terazosin, metoprolol	Telmisartan, HCTZ, levothyroxine, ASA, acetaminophen	Olmesartan, amlodipine
COVID-19 symptoms	Fatigue (tested due to contacts)	Myalgia, sore throat	Asymptomatic (tested due to contacts)	Fever, dyspnea, anorexia, myalgia	Cough, myalgia
COVID-19 lung involvement by thoracic CT	Involved	Not involved	Involved	Involved	Not involved
COVID-19 severity (WHO)	Mild	Mild	Mild	Moderate	Mild
COVID-19 treatment	HCQ, clarithromycin, enoxaparin, ceftriaxone	HCQ, azithromycin	Favipiravir, enoxaparin	HCQ, azithromycin, enoxaparin	Oseltamivir, enoxaparin
Complications	None	None	None	Acute kidney injury	None
TKIs during COVID-19	Continued	Withheld	Continued	Withheld	Withheld
QTc during COVID-19	N/A	430 ms	N/A	420 ms	420 ms
Outcome	Full recovery in 4 days	Full recovery in 7 days	Full recovery in 7 days	Full recovery in 12 days	Full recovery in 10 days

in Turkey at that time was approximately 207,000 (0.25% of Turkey's population). All five patients received a diagnosis of CML in the chronic phase and currently have optimal responses under tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) treatment. Three patients were continuing imatinib treatment since their diagnosis. Two patients were receiving nilotinib, one due to imatinib failure and the other due to imatinib intolerance. The only notable TKI toxicity with the current treatments was QTc prolongation observed in Patient #4 in 2013 under nilotinib, which required a dose reduction. All patients underwent thorax computed tomography (CT), which showed features of pneumonia in three cases. All five patients recovered without the need for oxygen support, and TKI treatment was interrupted in the two patients who used nilotinib due to concerns of drug-drug interactions and QTc prolongation. The other three patients were receiving imatinib, which was continued during the infection in two patients and withheld in one. Four patients received prophylactic enoxaparin (Patients #1, #3, #4, and #5), and three received hydroxychloroquine and macrolide combinations (Patients #1, #2, and #4). Patient #3 received favipiravir. Only one patient was administered an antibacterial other than a macrolide (Patient #1), and only one patient (Patient #5) received antiinfluenza medication.

The eleven patients who tested negative for COVID-19 were contacted by telephone. Five of them had been asymptomatic and were tested due to contacts. Two patients were tested during the evaluation of symptoms of the upper respiratory tract, from which they recovered without a complicated course. One patient was tested while being evaluated for diarrhea and another while being prepared for a cystoscopy. Two patients were tested before travel. All of these eleven patients were in good health when they were interviewed.

CML can present unique problems during a SARS-CoV-2 infection [1]. Drug-drug interactions between TKIs and COVID-19 treatments can be hazardous and require careful monitoring. The chronic side effects of TKIs, including myelosuppression, fluid retention, pulmonary toxicity (dasatinib) [4], and increased risk of thrombosis (nilotinib, ponatinib) [5], tolerated in an otherwise healthy state, may become intolerable during SARS-CoV-2 infection. Disease-specific factors of CML that influence the course of COVID-19 also await identification.

Three (60%) of our five patients had lung involvement according to a chest CT scan. This rate seems to be high. However, two of these patients underwent chest CT only because they were assumed to be in a high-risk group due to CML and had no signs or symptoms related to the lungs. Thus, comparing the rate of lung involvement in our CML cohort with the rate of pneumonia in the general population may be misleading as the imaging indications are biased and the number of infected patients in our cohort is small. The papers by Li et al. [2] and Breccia et al. [3] report a total of 17 CML patients who contracted COVID-19, and the prevalence in their respective cohorts was 0.9% and 0.17%. Three of these 17 patients died during SARS-CoV-2 infection. We found a prevalence of 2% with no fatalities in our cohort. These values are difficult to compare as the numbers are low and the methods and the timings are different. TKIs are proposed to be protective against COVID-19 [3]; however, some consequences of continuing TKIs during COVID-19 also raise concerns. When our data are included, seven patients (five imatinib [2], one dasatinib [6], one flumatinib [2]) continued TKI treatment during COVID-19 with one fatality. Four patients who discontinued TKIs recovered uneventfully [2]. Another recent paper from the Netherlands found no alarming events in a CML cohort of 148 patients regarding the COVID-19 pandemic [7]. Thus, the decision to withhold or continue TKIs during COVID-19 is difficult and requires careful consideration of multiple factors, including the patient's co-morbidities, co-medications, TKIs, CML response status, previous adverse events, COVID-19 treatments, and the severity of the infection.

In conclusion, we report five CML patients with COVID-19, all of whom recovered without the need for intensive care. CML patients may have disease-related or treatment-related factors that place them at a higher risk of complications during SARS-CoV-2 infection. A diligent and individualized approach is necessary. Documentation of this growing experience is necessary to optimize the care for these patients.

Keywords: Chronic myeloid leukemia, COVID-19, Drug-drug interaction, QTc prolongation, SARS-CoV-2, Tyrosine kinase inhibitor

Anahtar Sözcükler: Kronik myeloid lösemi, COVID-19, İlaç-ilaç etkileşimleri, QTc uzaması, SARS-CoV-2, Tirozin kinaz inhibitörü

Ethics

Informed Consent: All five patients approved the use of their medical data for this article.

Authorship Contributions

Data Collection or Processing: U.Y., A.P., Y.G.; Writing: U.Y., A.E.E.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

Acknowledge

A.E.E. has received an advisory board honorarium from Novartis, and he also received speaker bureau honoraria from Novartis, Bristol Myers Squibb, and Pfizer outside of the present study.

5. Hochhaus A, Baccarani M, Silver RT, Schiffer C, Apperley JF, Cervantes F,

Clark RE, Cortes JE, Deininger MW, Guilhot F, Hjorth-Hansen H, Hughes

TP, Janssen JJWM, Kantarjian HM, Kim DW, Larson RA, Lipton JH, Mahon

FX, Mayer J, Nicolini F, Niederwieser D, Pane F, Radich JP, Rea D, Richter J,

Rosti G, Rousselot P, Saglio G, Saußele S, Soverini S, Steegmann JL, Turkina

A, Zaritskey A, Hehlmann R. European LeukemiaNet 2020 recommendations

Abruzzese E, Luciano L, D'Agostino F, Trawinska MM, Pane F, De Fabritiis P.

SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) and chronic myeloid leukemia (CML): A case report

and review of ABL kinase involvement in viral infection. Mediterr J Hematol

Ector GICG, Huijskens EGW, Blijlevens NMA, Westerweel PE. Prevalence of

COVID-19 diagnosis in Dutch CML patients during the 2020 SARS-CoV2

pandemic. A prospective cohort study. Leukemia 2020;34:2533-2535.

for treating chronic myeloid leukemia. Leukemia 2020;34:966-984.

References

- Eşkazan AE. Chronic myeloid leukaemia and the use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors in the days of COVID-19 pandemic. Br J Clin Pharmacol 2020;86:1790-1792.
- Li W, Wang D, Guo J, Yuan G, Yang Z, Gale RP, You Y, Chen Z, Chen S, Wan C, Zhu X, Chang W, Sheng L, Cheng H, Zhang Y, Li Q, Qin J; Hubei Anti-Cancer Association, Meng L, Jiang Q. COVID-19 in persons with chronic myeloid leukaemia. Leukemia 2020;34:1799-1804.
- Breccia M, Abruzzese E, Bocchia M, Bonifacio M, Castagnetti F, Fava C, Galimberti S, Gozzini A, Gugliotta G, Iurlo A, Latagliata R, Luciano L, Pregno P, Rege-Cambrin G, Rosti G, Stagno F, Tiribelli M, Foà R, Saglio G; Campus CML Working Group. Chronic myeloid leukemia management at the time of the COVID-19 pandemic in Italy. A campus CML survey. Leukemia 2020;34:2260-2261.
- Eskazan AE, Soysal T, Ongoren S, Gulturk E, Ferhanoglu B, Aydin Y. Pleural and pericardial effusions in chronic myeloid leukemia patients receiving low-dose dasatinib therapy. Haematologica 2011;96:e15-e17.

©Copyright 2021 by Turkish Society of Hematology Turkish Journal of Hematology, Published by Galenos Publishing House



Address for Correspondence/Yazışma Adresi: Ahmet Emre Eşkazan, M.D., İstanbul University-Cerrahpaşa,
Cerrahpaşa Faculty of Medicine, Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, İstanbul, TurkeyReceived/Geliş tarihi: August 13, 2020
Accepted/Kabul tarihi: September 18, 2020Phone : +90 533 722 73 76
E-mail : emreeskazan@hotmail.com ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0001-9568-0894DOI: 10.4274/tjh.galenos.2020.2020.00472

6

7.

Infect Dis 2020:12:e2020031.

Ibrutinib-Induced Skin Rash

Ibrutinib ile Tetiklenen Deri Döküntüsü

🖸 Sudhir Kirar¹, 🛈 Ajay Gogia², 🕩 Ritu Gupta³, 🕩 Saumyaranjan Mallick⁴

¹New Delhi, India ²All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Medical Oncology, New Delhi, India ³Laboratory Oncology Unit, New Delhi, India ⁴All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Department of Pathology, New Delhi, India

To the Editor,

Ibrutinib is an oral irreversible inhibitor of Bruton's tyrosine kinase (BTK), a B-cell receptor kinase that has been approved for use in chronic lymphocytic lymphoma (CLL), mantle cell lymphoma, and Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia. Cutaneous side effects of ibrutinib have been rarely reported and the most common presentation is skin rash [1]. We report an elderly patient with relapsed CLL who developed a severe skin rash within a week from the start of ibrutinib, which reappeared after the introduction of lower doses and required further discontinuation of drug.

A 66-year-old obese male, previously diagnosed with CLL (RAI stage II), presented with rapid doubling of absolute lymphocyte count and fatigue after observation of 3 years. He had 13q deletion on fluorescent in situ hybridization. He also had comorbidities of hypothyroidism and idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy with baseline left ventricular ejection fraction

of 35%. He was started on ibrutinib at 420 mg once daily. He was concurrently receiving levothyroxine, aspirin, atorvastatin, and furosemide tablets for the last 10 years. On the fourth day after the start of ibrutinib, he developed severely itchy grade 3 maculopapular rash involving the nape of the neck, trunk, axilla, limbs, and groin area without any fever or symptoms of systemic allergy (Figures 1a and 1b). We attributed the rash as a side effect of ibrutinib because there were no confounding factors explaining the cutaneous findings. Ibrutinib was stopped and he was referred to a dermatologist. The skin rash responded to oral steroids and antihistamines with complete resolution by day 14. A punch biopsy specimen was taken and histopathological examination revealed features suggestive of leukocytoclastic vasculitis (perivascular inflammatory exudates with extravasation of red blood cells) with elevated eosinophils consistent with drug eruption (Figures 1c and 1d). Ibrutinib re-challenge was attempted with a dose of 140 mg once daily; however, he developed a similar grade 3 rash after 3 days. The rash