# COMMENTARY



# Early relapse of inflammatory breast carcinoma treated with lapatinib and capecitabine: Ten years of complete response

Medical Oncology Department, Ramon y Cajal University Hospital, Madrid, Spain

### Correspondence

Noelia Martínez-Jañez, Oncology Department, Hospital Ramón y Cajal, Ctra. de Colmenar Viejo km. 9,100, 28034 Madrid, Spain. Email: mjnoelia@hotmail.com

Inflammatory breast carcinoma (IBC) is a rare form of breast cancer characterized by a rapid onset of diffuse skin erythema, edema, induration, and warmth of the breast. Biologically, IBC is usually hormone receptor (HR) negative and experience an overexpression of human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2), with a high mitotic index (MIB-1 > 20%). Median overall survival is poor.<sup>1</sup>

Lapatinib is an oral drug that inhibits the tyrosine kinases of HER2 and epidermal growth factor receptor type 1 (EGFR) and has demonstrated clinical activity in trastuzumab-refractory states.<sup>2</sup> Lapatinib has also shown clinical activity in IBC, but evidence in this field is scarce.<sup>3-9</sup>

We present the case of an approximately ten-year maintained complete response using lapatinib and capecitabine to treat an IBC early relapse after trastuzumab treatment.

A 34-year-old woman was diagnosed with multifocal invasive ductal carcinoma of the right breast (grade III). The immunohistochemical study showed the lesion tested negative for HR and positive for HER2 protein expression (3+). MIB-1 expression was high, more than 25%, and a strong nuclear P53 expression was established. The patient was diagnosed with locally advanced breast cancer (cT2cN1M0).

In April 2007, neo-adjuvant chemotherapy was initiated using doxorubicin plus cyclophosphamide during four cycles, followed by

**TABLE 1** Clinical trials of lapatinib in inflammatory breast cancer and its comparison with pivotal trial of lapatinib and capecitabine in HER2 positive advanced breast cancer

| Journal                 | Pub  | Phase | Setting     | N          | Stage IIIB-C | Stage IV | Anthrac |
|-------------------------|------|-------|-------------|------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| NEJM                    | 2006 | III   | R/R LA or M | 163        | 4%           | 96%      | 97%     |
| Lancet Oncol            | 2009 | II    | R/R LA or M | 126        | 22%          | 78%      | 84%     |
| Breast Cancer Res Treat | 2013 | II    | R/R LA or M |            |              |          |         |
|                         |      |       |             | Coh 1 (38) | 16%          | 84%      | 83%     |
|                         |      |       |             | Coh 1 (38) | 16%          | 84%      | 83%     |
|                         |      |       |             | Coh 2 (36) | 19%          | 75%      | 85%     |
|                         |      |       |             | Coh 2 (38) | 34%          | 63%      | 85%     |
| J Clin Oncol            | 2010 | II    | Neoadjuvant |            |              |          |         |
|                         |      |       |             | Coh A (42) | 83%          | 17%      | 0%      |

Note: Journal, abbreviated title of journal; Pub year, year of publication of the article; Phase, clinical trial phase; Setting, R/R LA or M (relapsed/refract ry locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer); N, number of patients included (Coh: Cohort [only cohorts containing monotherapy or combination lapatinib treatment have been included]); Anthrac, previous treatment with anthracyclines; Trast M, previous treatment with trastuzumab for metastatic disease; ORR, objective response rate (complete response rate and partial response rate: combining of clinically evaluable skin disease criteria and RECIST criteria, if applicable); CBR, clinical benefit rate (ORR and stable disease rate); CR, complete response rate; PR, partial response rate; SD, stable disease rate; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; W, weeks; M, months; X, data not available.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2019 The Authors. The Breast Journal published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc

800 wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/tbj Breast J. 2019;26:800–802.

docetaxel administered concurrently with trastuzumab for an additional four cycles. Complete radiological and clinical responses were achieved. A breast-conserving surgery was performed on the right breast in November 2007.

Final pathological results were consistent with multifocal invasive ductal carcinoma. The main focal lesion was 2.2 cm with a high grade (III), intraductal component in the main tumor was between 25% and 75%, and lymphovascular invasion was evident. Histological response was classified as no response or minimal response (Miller-Payne grade 1). Clear margins were obtained, and no affected lymph nodes were noted among the 16 that were removed.

Trastuzumab was given every three weeks for a complete year. During this time, the patient also received radiotherapy by irradiation of the right breast volume and the regional lymph node area, as well as brachytherapy.

In January 2009, six months after completing trastuzumab therapy, the patient presented a local recurrence in the right breast. The recurrence was diagnosed as inflammatory carcinoma (dermal lymphatic invasion) with infiltrating ductal carcinoma histology (grade III, HR negative, HER2 positive, p53 positive, MIB-1 > 40%). Carboplatin, paclitaxel, and trastuzumab combination therapy was initiated. After four cycles, partial clinical response was achieved and a right mastectomy was performed. Pathological results indicated multifocal infiltrating ductal carcinoma and were consistent with the previous biopsy.

In September 2009, one month after surgery, recurrence of carcinoma was identified both at the base of the mastectomy and in contralateral lymph nodes, but no distant metastases were found in extensive studies.

First-line treatment for advanced and trastuzumab-resistant disease using capecitabine (1000 mg/m<sup>2</sup> PO BID on days 1-14)

plus lapatinib (1250 mg PO on days 1-21) every three weeks was proposed. After six cycles, lesion in left lymph node and skin lesions on the base of the mastectomy scar disappeared, achieving a complete response. Therefore, treatment was continued until present time, May 2019, for a total of 144 cycles of treatment. The patient achieved excellent tolerance, except a grade II hand-foot syndrome at various occasions that required a capecitabine dose reduction and a delay in its administration (every 28 days). After approximately 10 years of treatment, no evidence of regional or systemic disease has been observed and the patient has a good quality of life.

Compared with capecitabine alone, lapatinib in combination with capecitabine increases time to progression (TTP) in patients who have progressed after/on trastuzumab, anthracyclines or taxanes. In one study, the median TTP was eight months in the combination arm and four months in the capecitabine arm (HR 0.49; P < .001). However, the evidence supporting the efficacy of lapatinib or its combinations in IBC is scarce.<sup>2</sup>

Lapatinib has shown remarkable activity in IBC in two phase II trials. The response rates are varied, which range from 39% to no complete response, in one study, and from 47% to a single complete response, in the other. Median progression-free survival in both studies was similar, 15 and 16 weeks, respectively. A Lapatinib and chemotherapy combination has also been studied in neo-adjuvant setting in IBC. In a phase II trial, treatment-naive patients received lapatinib for 14 days and then lapatinib with weekly paclitaxel for 12 weeks. In HER2 positive patients, combined clinical response rate (clinically evaluable skin disease and RECIST criteria) was 78% and pathological response rate was 18% (Table 1).

Lapatinib and capecitabine for IBC were used in two case reports. In one of the case reports, a heavily pretreated patient had

| Trast M | Lapatinib dose | Combination treatment               | ORR | CBR | CR  | PR  | SD  | PFS    | os     |
|---------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|--------|
| 96%     | 1250 mg daily  | Capecitabine 2000 mg/m <sup>2</sup> | 22% | 27% | 1%  | 21% | 5%  | 8,4 m  | х      |
| 75%     | 1500 mg daily  | No                                  | 39% | 65% | 0%  | 39% | 26% | 14,6 w | 11,2 m |
|         |                |                                     |     |     |     |     |     |        |        |
| 55%     | 1500 mg daily  | No                                  | 29% | 66% | 3%  | 26% | 37% | 16,1 w | 14,7 m |
| 58%     | 1500 mg daily  | Pazopanib 800 mg daily              | 45% | 61% | 11% | 34% | 16% | 14,3 w | 16,2 m |
| 50%     | 1500 mg daily  | No                                  | 47% | 80% | 3%  | 44% | 33% | 16 w   | 15,9 m |
| 50%     | 1000 mg daily  | Pazopanib 400 mg daily              | 58% | 84% | 0%  | 58% | 26% | 16 w   | х      |
|         |                |                                     |     |     |     |     |     |        |        |
| 0%      | 1500 mg daily  | Weekly paclitaxel                   | 79% | 86% | 0%  | 79% | 7%  |        | x      |

**TABLE 2** Factors associated with long-term survival with trastuzumab anti-HER2 therapy for metastatic disease

| First author<br>(Journal) | Pub year | Long-term survival-associated factors            |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Gamez-Pozo A              | 2014     | No trastuzumab as adjuvant therapy               |  |  |  |  |
| (PLoS One)                |          | No alterations in PI3K-mTOR pathway              |  |  |  |  |
| Yardley DA (Br            | 2014     | HR positivity                                    |  |  |  |  |
| J Cancer)                 |          | Mtx to bone or bone + breast or node/local sites |  |  |  |  |
|                           |          | First-line trastuzumab plus taxane use           |  |  |  |  |
| Harano K                  | 2016     | HR positivity                                    |  |  |  |  |
| (Breast<br>Cancer Res     |          | Low burden of disease                            |  |  |  |  |
| Treat)                    |          | Mtx to bone and soft tissues                     |  |  |  |  |
|                           |          | Resection of the mtx site and primary tumour     |  |  |  |  |
| Murthy P                  | 2016     | Younger age at diagnosis                         |  |  |  |  |
| (Breast<br>Cancer Res     |          | Lower stage                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Treat)                    |          | HR positivity                                    |  |  |  |  |
|                           |          | Only one organ involved at diagnosis             |  |  |  |  |
| Omarini C                 | 2018     | No central nervous system spread                 |  |  |  |  |
| (Cancer Biol<br>Ther)     |          | Low burden of disease                            |  |  |  |  |
| 11101/                    |          | No trastuzumab as adjuvant therapy               |  |  |  |  |

*Note*: Journal, abbreviated title of journal; Pub year, year of publication of the article; HR, hormone receptor; Mtx, metastasis.

a local progression after 6 months of receiving 1250 mg per day of lapatinib and 1000 mg of capecitabine per square meter days 1-14. Metronomic capecitabine was then started, 500 mg thrice daily, with the same dose of lapatinib, and disease control was achieved for 20 additional weeks. In another case, double blockade with lapatinib and trastuzumab has been reported to be as effective as maintenance therapy in stage IV IBC, after initial treatment with chemotherapy and trastuzumab combination. The patient achieved stable metastatic disease during four years, and she is still in treatment.

Some studies have addressed the issue of long-term survival with anti-HER2 therapy, although most were based on trastuzumab (Table 2), not lapatinib, and none was specifically directed at IBC.

About toxicity, our patient had limitant dose toxicity. In some studies, lapatinib-associated mucocutaneous toxicities have been proposed as predictors of improved progression-free survival in HER2-positive IBC patients, but we do not know the repercussion of this aspect yet.<sup>8</sup>

Some difficulties stand out in the management of IBC, particularly the definition of it which is usually based on clinical criteria, dermal lymphatic invasion is not mandatory, as well as in defining

response, since a clinical response may or may not be accompanied by a radiological response at the same time.<sup>9</sup>

The improvement of response and survival outcomes in IBC involves investigating new pathways and molecular targets, as well as identifying biomarkers that determine which patient will benefit of a drug already studied like lapatinib.<sup>10</sup>

## ORCID

Roberto Martin Huertas https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4623-7236

### REFERENCES

- van Uden D, van Laarhoven H, Westenberg AH, de Wilt J, Blanken-Peeters C. Inflammatory breast cancer: an overview. Crit Oncol Hematol. 2015;93(2):116-126.
- Geyer CE, Forster J, Lindquist D, et al. Lapatinib plus capecitabine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(26):2733-2743.
- Kaufman B, Trudeau M, Awada A, et al. Lapatinib monotherapy in patients with HER2-overexpressing relapsed or refractory inflammatory breast cancer: final results and survival of the expanded HER2+ cohort in EGF103009, a phase II study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009:10(6):581-588.
- Cristofanilly M, JohnstonSR, et al. A randomized phase II study of lapatinib + pazopanib versus lapatinib in patients with HER2+ inflammatory breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2013;137(2):471-482.
- Boussen H, Cristofanilli M, Zaks T, DeSilvio M, Salazar V, Spector N. Phase II study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant lapatinib plus paclitaxel in patients with inflammatory breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28(20):3248-3255.
- Montagna E, Cancello G, Torrisi R, Rizzo S, Scarano E, Colleoni M. Lapatinib and metronomic capecitabine combination in an HER2positive inflammatory breast cancer patient: a case report. Ann Oncol. 2010;21(3):667-668.
- Ogawa L, Lindquist D. Dual HER2 suppression with Lapatinib plus Trastuzumab for metastatic inflammatory breast cancer: a case report of prolonged stable disease. Case Rep Oncol. 2018;11(3):855-860.
- 8. Araki K, Fukada I, Horii R, et al. Lapatinib-associated mucocutaneous toxicities are clinical predictors of improved progression-free survival in patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER2)-positive advanced breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2014;148(1):197-209.
- Hall PS, Hanby A, Cameron DA. Lapatinib for inflammatory breast cancer. Lancet Oncol. 2009;10(6):538-539.
- Johnston S, Trudeau M, Kaufman B, et al. Phase II study of predictive biomarker profiles for response targeting human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER-2) in advanced inflammatory breast cancer with lapatinib monotherapy. J Clin Oncol. 2008;26(7):1066-1072.