

Citation: Su M-I, Liu C-W (2021) Neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio associated with an increased risk of mortality in patients with critical limb ischemia. PLoS ONE 16(5): e0252030. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0252030

Editor: Timir Paul, East Tennessee State University, UNITED STATES

Received: April 5, 2021

Accepted: May 8, 2021

Published: May 27, 2021

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252030

Copyright: © 2021 Su, Liu. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supporting information files.

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio associated with an increased risk of mortality in patients with critical limb ischemia

Min-I. Su^{1,2}, Cheng-Wei Liu^{3,4}*

1 Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Taitung MacKay Memorial Hospital, Taitung City, Taiwan, 2 Department of Medicine, MacKay Medical College, New Taipei City, Taiwan, 3 Department of Internal Medicine, Tri-Service General Hospital Songshan Branch, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, 4 Graduate Institute of Clinical Medicine, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

* issac700319@gmai.com

Abstract

Purpose

Association of the neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) with mortality has not been comprehensively explored in critical limb ischemia (CLI) patients. We investigated the association between the NLR and clinical outcomes in CLI.

Materials and methods

We retrospectively enrolled consecutive CLI patients between 1/1/2013 and 12/31/2018. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis determined NLR cutoffs for 1-year in-hospital, all-cause and cardiac-related mortality; major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs); and major adverse limb events (MALEs).

Results

Among 195 patients (age, 74.0 years, SD: 11.5; 51.8% male; body mass index, 23.4 kg/m², SD: 4.2), 14.4% exhibited acute limb ischemia. After 1 year, patients with NLR>8 had higher in-hospital mortality (21.1% vs. 3.6%, P<0.001), all-cause mortality (54.4% vs. 13.8%, P<0.001), cardiac-related mortality (28.1% vs. 6.5%, P<0.001), MACE (29.8% vs. 13.0%, P = 0.008), and MALE (28.1% vs. 13.0%, P = 0.021) rates than those with NLR<8. In multivariate logistic regression, NLR≥8 was significantly associated with all-cause (P<0.001) and cardiac-related (adjusted HR: 5.286, 95% CI: 2.075–13.47, P<0.001) mortality, and NLR≥6 was significantly associated with MALEs (adjusted HR: 2.804, 95% CI: 1.292–6.088, P = 0.009). Each increase in the NLR was associated with increases in all-cause (adjusted HR: 1.028, 95% CI: 1.008–1.049, P = 0.007) and cardiac-related (adjusted HR: 1.027, 95% CI: 0.998–1.057, P = 0.073) mortality but not in-hospital mortality or MACEs.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusion

CLI patients with high NLRs had significantly higher risks of 1-year all-cause and cardiacrelated mortality and MALEs. The NLR can be used for prognostic prediction in these patients.

Introduction

The neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) is widely used as a prognostic biomarker in various diseases, such as cancer and cardiovascular disease [1, 2]. Both of these disorders have a common pathophysiology involving inflammatory processes that can be roughly represented as the ratio of neutrophils [3, 4]; the proportion of lymphocytes indicates the host immune response and has been associated with mortality in healthy individuals [5]. The NLR combines the properties of the inflammatory and immune responses and thereby enables the prediction of outcomes in patients with diverse atherosclerotic cardiovascular and peripheral vascular diseases [6, 7].

An elevated NLR has been associated with unfavorable neurological outcomes and increased mortality in patients with ischemic stroke [8], with an increased risk of mortality and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in patients with acute myocardial infarction [9], and with the severity of lower extremity artery disease (LEAD) in cohort studies [10, 11]. Other cohort studies have further reported the association between NLR and mortality in patients with critical limb ischemia (CLI) [12, 13]. However, no studies have reported the comprehensive outcomes of all-cause and cardiac-related mortality, MACE, and major adverse limb events (MALE) in patients with CLI. Therefore, we conducted the present study to investigate the association between the NLR and outcomes in patients with CLI.

Materials and methods

We retrospectively and continuously enrolled patients with CLI undergoing percutaneous transluminal angioplasty at our hospital between 2013/1/1 and 2018/12/31. The study patients were all-comers, with the only specific exclusion criterion CLI patients with a nonsalvageable limb who refused amputation surgery. We divided the study patients into higher and lower NLR groups and collected the patients' baseline characteristics, laboratory data, procedural details, and outcomes from medical records. All patients were followed up until 2019/12/31. Given that the present study was a retrospective cohort study with a low risk, no informed consent was needed from the study patients. The study was approved by the MacKay Memorial Hospital with Institutional Review Board number (20MMHIS034e).

Patients who present to our emergency department with CLI routinely receive dual antiplatelet therapy with aspirin plus clopidogrel, and heparinization is loaded according to the guidelines unless contraindicated. We do not routinely prescribe cilostazol to patients with CLI. We measured complete blood count and white blood cell differential at our emergency department before the patients received percutaneous transluminal angioplasty. During this study, patients who presented with acute limb ischemia were treated by emergent percutaneous transluminal angioplasty, and patients with chronic limb ischemia were treated by urgent percutaneous transluminal angioplasty. In our cardiac catheterization laboratory, the physicians decided whether to use an antegrade or retrograde approach depending on a patient's lesion type. Heparin was administered to maintain an active clotting time between 250 and 300 seconds. Because of our national health insurance rules, we generally treat iliac and femoropopliteal lesions with balloon angioplasty, a drug-coated balloon or a stent and below-knee lesions with only balloon angioplasty; use of a drug-coated balloon or stent was left to the physicians' decision and was mainly influenced by the patients' economic status. At discharge, dual anti-platelet agents were continued for at least one month unless clinically significant bleeding complications developed.

CLI was defined according to the Rutherford classification, including rest pain (stage IV), tissue loss (stage V), and gangrene (stage VI) [14]. The primary study outcomes were all-cause mortality, cardiac-related mortality, MACE, and MALE at the one-year follow-up. MACE was defined as the composite of nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, and cardiac-related death; MALE was defined as an amputation due to a vascular event above the forefoot, acute limb ischemia and clinically driven target vessel revascularization. Secondary outcomes were in-hospital all-cause mortality.

Continuous variables are presented as numbers and standard deviations, and binary variables are presented as numbers and percentages; independent t-tests and chi-squared tests were used to evaluate differences in continuous variables and binary variables, respectively. We used receiver operating characteristic curves to identify NLR cut-off values for each study outcome. We used univariate logistic regression analyses to investigate the associations between NLR and study outcomes and between variables and study outcomes. Variables that were significantly associated with the study outcomes were considered confounders, and they were adjusted in multivariate logistic regression analyses. The presence of acute limb ischemia and Rutherford classification criteria were major risk factors associated with outcomes in patients with CLI and were adjusted in the multivariate logistic regression analyses to determine whether they were significantly associated with the study outcomes identified in the univariate logistic regression analyses. All P values are two-tailed, and P values of 0.05 or lower were considered significant. We performed all statistical analyses with Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS software, version 20.0).

Results

The study consisted of 195 patients with CLI who underwent percutaneous transluminal angioplasty after we excluded three patients with a nonsalvageable limb who refused amputation surgery and two patients with missing data for NLR. The patients had a mean age of 74 years, (SD:12) and a mean NLR of 8.2 (SD:10.0), with 52.3% male and Rutherford stages IV, V, and VI accounting for 27.4%, 66.0%, and 6.6% of the patients, respectively. We used receiver operating characteristic curves to identify cut-off values for NLR; these cut-off values were eight for one-year all-cause and cardiac-related mortality and MACE, six for MALE, and five for in-hospital mortality. Fig 1 shows the area under the curve for the study outcomes. The incidences of the primary outcomes were 25.4% for all-cause mortality, 12.7% for cardiac-related mortality, 17.8% for MACE, and 17.3% for MALE, and the incidence of the secondary outcome was 8.6% for in-hospital all-cause mortality. Regarding the Rutherford classification, in CLI patients with Rutherford stages IV, V, and VI, the incidences of all-cause mortality were 18.9%, 26.4%, and 46.2%, respectively, and those of MALE were 0%, 22.5% and 38.5%, respectively.

With regard for the primary study outcomes, there was no significant difference in baseline characteristics, such as the ratio of smoking and chronic kidney disease, a history of amputation, and heart failure status, between patients with NLR \geq 8 vs. NLR < 8; however, compared with patients with NLR < 8, those with NLR \geq 8 had higher heart rates (93.3 vs. 86.5 beats per minute, P = 0.014), a higher rate of presenting with acute limb ischemia (29.8% vs. 9.2%,





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252030.g001

P = 0.001) and a more severe Rutherford stage (70.2% vs. 65.2% for stage V, 14.0% vs 3.5% for stage VI, P = 0.005). Medication use at baseline did not differ between the two groups except that there was a lower rate of cilostazol use in the patients with NLR > 8 than in those with NLR < 8 (31.6% vs. 51.8%, P = 0.012). We show the patients' baseline characteristics, laboratory data, and medication use by NLR \geq 8 vs. < 8 in relation to one-year all-cause and cardiac-related mortality and MACE in Table 1; by NLR \geq 5 vs. NLR < 5 in relation to inhospital mortality in S1 Table; and by NLR \geq 6 vs. < 6 in relation to MALE in S2 Table. In our study, the mean NLR value was 6.1 (SD:7.4) for Rutherford stage IV, 8.6 (SD:1.07) for Rutherford stage V, and 13.2 (SD: 10.6) for Rutherford stage VI.

The primary outcomes were significantly worse in patients with NLR \geq 8 than in those with NLR < 8 (54.4% vs. 13.8%, P < 0.001 for one-year all-cause mortality; 28.1% vs. 6.5%, P < 0.001 for cardiac-related mortality; 29.8% vs. 13.0%, P = 0.008 for MACE; and 28.1% vs. 13.0%, P = 0.021 for MALE); the secondary outcomes were also significantly worse in patients with NLR \geq 8 than in the patients with NLR < 8 (21.1% vs. 3.6%, P < 0.001). Regarding the primary outcomes in univariate logistic regression analyses, each incremental increase in NLR was associated with increases in all-cause mortality (crude HR: 1.028, 95% CI: 1.013–1.042, P < 0.001) and cardiac-related mortality (crude HR: 1.025, 95% CI: 1.004–1.047, P = 0.021) but not MACE (crude HR: 1.014, 95% CI: 0.990–1.038, P = 0.267) or MALE (crude HR: 1.012, 95% CI: 0.987–1.038, P = 0.346). Incremental increases in NLR were not associated with the secondary outcomes (crude HR: 1.012, 95% CI: 0.965–1.061, P = 0.626). We demonstrate there are associations between NLR and both variables and study outcomes in Table 2. With regard for mortality and MACE, other factors associated with the study outcomes included baseline heart rate and the presence of acute limb ischemia, whereas the Rutherford stage was associated with MALE but not all-cause and cardiac-related mortality and MACE.

After we adjusted for confounders in the multivariate logistic regression analyses, each incremental increase in NLR was significantly associated with increased all-cause mortality

	NLR	< 8	NL	Р		
	N = 1	38	N	= 57		
Age (years)	73.6	(11.8)	75.1	(10.9)	0.393	
Male sex	70	50.7%	31	54.4%	0.753	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	23.6	(4.0)	23.0	(4.5)	0.358	
Heart rate at baseline (beats per minute)	86.1	(15.6)	93.3	(21.7)	0.025	
Systolic BP at baseline (mm Hg)	148.6	(31.0)	144.0	(33.1)	0.407	
Diastolic BP at baseline (mm Hg)	74.7	(13.0)	76.3	(17.7)	0.531	
Current/past smoker	35	25.4%	11	19.3%	0.459	
Alcohol intake	38	27.5%	17	29.8%	0.730	
Family history of premature CAD	2	1.4%	0	0%	1.000	
History of hypertension	97	70.3%	32	56.1%	0.068	
History of diabetes mellitus	97	70.3%	37	64.9%	0.499	
History of insulin use	20	14.5%	5	8.8%	0.350	
History of dyslipidemia	22	15.9%	11	19.3%	0.675	
Normal kidney function	89	64.5%	34	59.6%	0.150	
Chronic kidney disease	26	18.4%	7	12.3%		
End-stage renal disease	23	16.7%	16	28.1%		
History of CAD	52	37.7%	23	40.4%	0.748	
History of myocardial infarction	11	8.0%	2	3.5%	0.355	
History of atrial fibrillation	21	15.2%	5	8.8%	0.258	
History of chronic heart failure	29	21.0%	13	22.8%	0.920	
NYHA class I	6	4.3%	4	7.0%		
NYHA class II	8	5.8%	4	7.0%		
NYHA class III	11	8.0%	4	7.0%		
NYHA class IV	4	2.9%	1	1.8%		
History of carotid artery stenosis	3	2.2%	0	0%	0.557	
History of ischemic stroke	24	17.4%	10	17.5%	1.000	
Ongoing cancer	10	7.1%	2	3.5%	0.514	
History of amputation					0.991	
Above-knee amputation	4	2.9%	2	3.5%		
Below-knee amputation	2	1.4%	1	1.8%		
Forefoot amputation	3	2.2%	1	1.8%		
Presented with acute ischemic limb	11	8.0%	17	29.8%	<0.001	
Rutherford classification					0.005	
Class IV	44	31.9%	9	15.8%		
Class V	89	64.5%	40	70.2%		
Class VI	5	3.6%	8	14.0%		
Laboratory data						
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	159.2	(46.8)	148.0	(48.8)	0.160	
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mg/dL)	40.9	(18.6)	38.2	(19.2)	0.639	
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mg/dL)	95.0	(34.9)	83.2	(43.7)	0.257	
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	151.8	(121.7)	117.9	(83.2)	0.205	
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	177.7	(95.0)	184.9	(126.2)	0.663	
Glycosylated hemoglobin (%)	7.4	(1.8)	7.6		0.684	
Creatinine (mg/dL)	3.3	(3.1)	3.8	(3.6)	0.288	
Alanine transaminase (IU/L)	18.5	(10.4)	33.6	(35.2)	0.003	
Uric acid (mg/dL)	5.6	(2.2)	6.1	(2.9)	0.248	

Table 1. Baseline and procedural characteristics and laboratory data for patients with critical limb ischemia.

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

	NL	R < 8	NL	P	
	N	= 138	N		
White blood cell count 10 ³ /µL	7.8	(3.1)	14.4	(5.8)	<0.001
Neutrophil ratio (%)	65.3	(12.2)	84.9	(5.1)	<0.001
Lymphocyte ratio (%)	20.3	(7.8)	5.9	(2.5)	<0.001
NLR	3.9	(1.9)	18.7	(13.5)	<0.001
Medication use at baseline					
Aspirin	49	35.5%	18	31.6%	0.623
Cilostazol	72	52.2%	18	31.6%	0.011
Clopidogrel	35	25.4%	18	31.6%	0.381
Pentoxifylline	8	5.8%	0	0%	0.108
ACEI or ARB	7	5.1%	2	3.5%	1.000
Beta-blocker	26	18.8%	9	15.8%	0.686
Calcium channel blocker	29	21.0%	10	17.5%	0.695
Statin	28	20.3%	9	15.8%	0.550
Urate lowering therapy	3	2.2%	2	3.5%	0.631
Procedure characteristics					
Ischemia-related artery					
Iliac artery involvement	16	11.6%	7	12.3%	1.000
Superficial femoral artery involvement	90	65.2%	33	57.9%	0.415
Below the knee artery involvement	25	18.1%	10	17.5%	1.000
In-stent restenosis	2	1.4%	2	3.5%	0.582

Values are expressed as numbers (standard deviation) or numbers and percentages.

CAD = coronary artery disease; NYHA = New York Heart Association; BP = blood pressure; NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252030.t001

(adjusted HR: 1.028, 95% CI: 1.008–1.049, P = 0.007) and cardiac-related mortality (adjusted HR: 1.027, 95% CI: 0.998–1.057, P = 0.073), but there was no significant association between NLR and either MACE or MALE. NLR \geq 8 and NLR < 8 were significantly associated with all-cause mortality (adjusted HR: 3.599, 95% CI: 1.818–7.123, P < 0.001) and cardiac-related mortality (adjusted HR: 5.286, 95% CI: 2.075–13.47, P < 0.001) but not MACE. NLR \geq 6 and NLR < 6 were significantly associated with MALE (adjusted HR: 2.804, 95% CI: 1.292–6.088, P = 0.009) (Table 2). Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrating the incidence of the study outcomes are shown in Fig 2.

Discussion

In the present study, we show that elevated NLR was associated with one-year all-cause and cardiac-related mortality in patients with CLI but was not associated with one-year MACE or MALE. The associations between the NLR and our study outcomes can be clinically explained by the functions of neutrophils and lymphocytes in the human immune system. The percentage of white blood cells accounted for by neutrophils increases as the immune response activates against bacterial infection in CLI patients with sepsis; a higher neutrophil ratio indicates a stronger inflammatory response and is associated with a poor prognosis in patients with LEAD [15]. Lymphocytes play a role in adaptive immunity, and a lower lymphocyte ratio was found to indicate a lower survival probability in previous studies of patients with sepsis [16]. In our study, patients with a lower lymphocytes ratio were relatively immunocompromised

All-cause mortality at one year NLR as a continuous variable ^a	cHR	959	% CI	P	aHR	959	% CI	Р	AIID	0.50		
NLR as a continuous variable ^a		cHR 95% CI				95% CI		r	aHR	95% CI		P
					1							
$\mathbf{M} \mathbf{D} > 0 = - \mathbf{c} \cdot 0^{\mathbf{a}}$	1.028	1.013	1.042	<0.001	1.028	1.008	1.049	0.007				
$\mathbf{NLR} \geq 8 \ \mathbf{vs.} < 8^{\mathrm{a}}$	5.272	2.972	9.353	<0.001					3.599	1.818	7.123	<0.001
Age (years)	1.031	1.004	1.059	0.023	1.013	0.983	1.044	0.400	1.014	0.983	1.045	0.393
Male sex	0.698	0.399	1.220	0.206	0.487	0.249	0.954	0.036	0.535	0.278	1.028	0.061
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	0.937	0.867	1.012	0.096	0.938	0.862	1.022	0.143	0.939	0.867	1.018	0.126
Smoking (yes or no)	0.979	0.585	1.637	0.935								
Hypertension (yes or no)	0.618	0.353	1.080	0.091								
Heart rate (beats per minute)	1.025	1.009	1.042	0.002	1.016	0.997	1.036	0.095	1.012	0.993	1.032	0.205
Alanine transaminase (IU/L)	1.020	1.012	1.028	<0.001	1.011	1.001	1.022	0.045	1.010	0.999	1.021	0.063
Acute ischemic limb (yes or no)	3.607	1.965	6.620	<0.001	1.773	0.781	4.023	0.171	1.173	0.497	2.773	0.715
Rutherford classification (IV, V or VI)	1.809	1.051	3.114	0.032	1.839	0.978	3.457	0.059	1.537	0.830	2.846	0.172
Cardiac-related mortality at one year												
NLR as a continuous variable ^a	1.025	1.004	1.047	0.021	1.027	0.998	1.057	0.073				
NLR \geq 8 vs. < 8 ^a	5.924	2.609	13.450	<0.001					5.286	2.075	13.47	<0.001
Age (years)	1.031	0.993	1.071	0.107	1.015	0.975	1.056	0.464	1.015	0.973	1.059	0.482
Male sex	0.693	0.315	1.527	0.363	0.539	0.222	1.308	0.172	0.577	0.243	1.370	0.212
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	0.940	0.846	1.044	0.247	0.945	0.845	1.057	0.352	0.942	0.846	1.049	0.277
Smoking (yes or no)	1.113	0.561	2.207	0.759								
Hypertension (yes or no)	0.612	0.278	1.349	0.223								
Heart rate (beats per minute)	1.039	1.017	1.062	0.001	1.030	1.006	1.055	0.014	1.024	1.001	1.049	0.045
Alanine transaminase (IU/L)	1.019	1.007	1.031	0.002	1.009	0.995	1.024	0.199	1.008	0.994	1.022	0.278
Acute ischemic limb (yes or no)	3.367	1.401	8.088	0.007	1.740	0.589	5.139	0.316	1.024	0.327	3.203	0.968
Rutherford classification (IV, V or VI)	1.001	0.475	2.110	0.997	1.108	0.486	2.527	0.807	0.899	0.404	2.012	0.795
Major adverse cardiac events at one year												
NLR as a continuous variable ^a	1.014	0.990	1.038	0.267	1.007	0.974	1.041	0.681				
$NLR \ge 8 \text{ vs.} < 8^{a}$	2.503	1.290	4.858	0.007					1.864	0.858	4.049	0.116
Age (years)	1.012	0.981	1.043	0.459	0.993	0.960	1.026	0.665	0.993	0.960	1.027	0.694
Male sex	0.766	0.394	1.490	0.432	0.628	0.311	1.268	0.194	0.609	0.302	1.230	0.167
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	0.942	0.864	1.027	0.176	0.933	0.851	1.023	0.142	0.933	0.851	1.023	0.139
Smoking (yes or no)	1.472	0.881	2.458	0.140								
Hypertension (yes or no)	0.741	0.377	1.457	0.385								
Heart rate (beats per minute)	1.025	1.007	1.043	0.005	1.027	1.007	1.049	0.009	1.025	1.005	1.046	0.017
Alanine transaminase (IU/L)	1.008	0.997	1.020	0.147								
Acute ischemic limb (yes or no)	2.381	1.115	5.085	0.025	2.324	0.976	5.531	0.057	1.799	0.709	4.566	0.217
Rutherford classification (IV, V or VI)	1.033	0.561	1.903	0.917	1.097	0.577	2.085	0.777	1.015	0.529	1.945	0.965
Major adverse limb events at one year	1	1		1	1	1				1		
NLR as a continuous variable ^a	1.012	0.987	1.038	0.346	0.998	0.965	1.031	0.897				
$\mathbf{NLR} \geq 6 \mathbf{vs.} < 6^{ \mathrm{a}}$	3.286	1.601	6.746	0.001					2.804	1.292	6.088	0.009
Age (years)	0.977	0.950	1.004	0.097	0.952	0.921	0.985	0.004	0.956	0.924	0.990	0.012
Male sex	1.247	0.634	2.455	0.522	1.376	0.672	2.819	0.382	1.350	0.655	2.783	0.416
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	0.955	0.876	1.040	0.288	0.917	0.836	1.007	0.069	0.924	0.840	1.016	0.104
Smoking (yes or no)	1.052	0.570	1.941	0.872								
Hypertension (yes or no)	0.806	0.404	1.610	0.541								
Heart rate (beats per minute)	0.998	0.979	1.017	0.816								
Alanine transaminase (IU/L)	1.001	0.987	1.017	0.852								
Acute ischemic limb (yes or no)	0.830	0.292	2.355	0.726	0.725	0.210	2.498	0.610	0.506	0.143	1.786	0.290

Table 2. Variables associated with study outcomes in patients with critical limb ischemia in logistic regression analyses.

(Continued)

	cHR	95% CI		Р	aHR	95% CI		Р	aHR	95% CI		Р
Rutherford classification (IV, V or VI)	3.938	2.101	7.382	<0.001	5.346	2.531	11.291	<0.001	4.429	2.087	9.400	< 0.001
In-hospital mortality												
NLR as a continuous variable ^a	1.012	0.965	1.061	0.626								
NLR \geq 5 vs. < 5 ^a	0.649	0.174	2.418	0.519								
Age (years)	0.992	0.947	1.040	0.752								
Male sex	0.421	0.147	1.207	0.108								
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	1.050	0.898	1.227	0.542								
Smoking (yes or no)	1.308	0.440	3.892	0.629								
Hypertension (yes or no)	1.074	0.404	2.861	0.886								
Heart rate (beats per minute)	0.999	0.981	1.018	0.954								
Alanine transaminase (IU/L)	0.997	0.985	1.010	0.660								
Acute ischemic limb (yes or no)	0.664	0.253	1.746	0.407								
Rutherford stages (IV, V or VI)	1.374	0.670	2.816	0.386								
White blood count	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.134								
Neutrophils	0.986	0.938	1.036	0.574								
Lymphocytes	1.042	0.958	1.134	0.339								

Table 2. (Continued)

^a NLR as a continuous variable and NLR as a binary variable were not simultaneously adjusted in multivariate logistic regression analyses. cHR = crude hazard ratio; aHR = adjusted hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252030.t002

and predisposed to all-cause mortality resulting from CLI-induced sepsis. In addition, experimental evidence has shown that lymphocytes activate and modify ischemia-reperfusion injury and wound healing [17]. Besides, the patients with severe physiologic stresses such as cardiogenic shock or heart failure would have elevated NLR. As mentioned above, the NLR is an inflammatory biomarker and a biomarker of poor wound healing in patients with CLI that is associated with an increased risk of all-cause mortality. Statistically, the neutrophil ratio was positively associated and the lymphocyte ratio was inversely associated with all-cause mortality. As it considers both the neutrophil and the lymphocyte ratios with regard for all-cause mortality, the NLR is a more powerful predictor of all-cause mortality than using wither the neutrophil or lymphocyte ratio alone. The CLI patients had high mortality, and an NLR ratio can be used as a simple predictor of all-cause mortality independent of the Rutherford stages and the presence of acute limb ischemia. Therefore, we think that the patients with NLR < 8should receive aggressive endovascular treatment, even catheter-directed thrombolysis, to improve survival probability and limb salvage. On the contrary, the patient with NLR ≥ 8 undergoing percutaneous transluminal angioplasty still had high mortality due to inflammation and sepsis, although they received advanced antibiotic treatment and wound debridement. In this condition, surgical intervention such as bypass surgery or amputation might be the other therapeutic choice for patients with NLR \geq 8.

A strength of our study is that we report comprehensive outcomes, including MACE. Allcause mortality has been reported in many studies [12, 18–21], cardiac morality in only a few studies [12, 19], and amputation in only a few studies [12, 18, 20, 21]; however, no study has previously reported MACE and MALE in patients with CLI or stable LEAD with regard for the NLR. The best cut-off for NLR, as determined by receiver operating characteristic curves, was eight in the present study, but this cut-off for NLR is not consistent with previous studies, in which it has also not been universal and ranges from three to five [12, 13, 18–21]. Spark et al. [12] previously reported that NLR \geq 5.25 vs. NLR < 5.25 was associated with all-cause



Fig 2. Kaplan-Meier curves show that the risks of one-year all-cause (A) and cardiac-related (B) mortality, MACE (C), MALE (D), and in-hospital mortality were significantly higher in CLI patients with NLR \geq 8 than in those with NLR < 8.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252030.g002

mortality, and an NLR cut-off of 5.25 was further validated in the study by Chan et al. [19] Notably, the study by Spark et al. [12] enrolled patients with Rutherford stages IV and V but not Rutherford stage VI, and Chan et al. [19] enrolled only one patient (less than 1%) with Rutherford stage VI. In the study by Erturk et al. [13], the NLR cut-off was even lower, at three, because more than sixty percent of the patients were at Rutherford stages III and IV. The major differences between our and other studies are related to the study populations; compared to previous investigators who enrolled patients with peripheral artery diseases and weaker inflammatory responses, we enrolled CLI patients with stronger inflammatory responses [13, 20]. In other words, the neutrophil ratio in our patients indicates a stronger inflammatory response, whereas patients in the other study had weaker inflammation [12]. In studies enrolling patients with either CLI or stable LEAD [20], the cut-off for NLR may be reduced by patients with stable LEAD, and the resulting lower NLR threshold may be unable to adequately stratify CLI patients with higher cardiovascular risks. We conducted the present study by enrolling only CLI patients while excluding patients with stable LEAD to make our study population homogeneous, and we therefore suggest that an NLR cut-off of eight could be used in CLI patients to evaluate the risk of all-cause mortality in future studies.

The NLR value increased with higher Rutherford stages in the present study, consistent with a previous study by Bath et al. [20]. Another study also showed that disease severity and the values of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein significantly increased across tertiles of NLR in patients with lower limb arteriosclerosis obliterans [21]. NLR, as a biomarker of the inflammatory response and disease severity, has been proposed to contribute to mortality in CLI patients, but NLR as a continuous variable was not associated with MALE in the present study. The NLR was also associated with the complexity of CLI-related arteries, and atherothrombosis has also been shown to be an additional risk factor for MALE in patients with CLI [22, 23]. Because CLI patients had increased platelet activation at baseline than was found in healthy subjects [24], the platelet to lymphocyte ratio may be a better predictor of incident MALEs in CLI patients [18].

A weakness of our study is that we found that the prescription of medication for CLI patients was relatively low at baseline, including the use of statin and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers. Additionally, the all-cause mortality rate was as high at approximately 25.4%, and the rate of MALE was 17.3%. A meta-analysis that included thirteen studies showed that the rates of all-cause mortality and MALE were both 22% in the natural history of untreated CLI patients [25]. Another retrospective cohort study showed that four-year mortality was significantly higher among the subgroups at 37.7%, 52.2%, and 63.5% in Rutherford stages IV, V, and VI, respectively; while the four-year rates of amputation were 12.1%, 35.3%, and 67.3% for Rutherford classification IV, V, and VI, respectively [26]. The REACH registry showed that statin use was significantly associated with a decreased risk of mortality in patients with artherothrombosis [23]. Although the present study was an all-comer study, the guideline-direct medical therapy to patients at the stage of symptomatic or asymptomatic LEAD could be enhanced to improve clinical outcomes before these patients present with CLI. Given that statins have anti-inflammatory properties and pleiotropic effects on reducing mortality and MALE, future studies should investigate the effect of statin intensity on changes in NLR. Second, the prescription rate of anti-platelet agents was sixty percent at baseline in the CLI patients, while the rate at discharge was 97%. The contemporary guidelines suggest that an anti-platelet, such as aspirin or clopidogrel, is strongly recommended in patients with LEAD [27]. Although we prescribed anti-platelet agents to CLI patients according to the affordable rule of the National Health Insurance program, mortality and MALE remained high. The high incidence of mortality and MALE in the CLI patients in the present and previous studies indicates that the use of only anti-platelet

agents might not be good enough to prevent future cardiovascular events. A previous double randomized controlled trial enrolled patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases and showed that compared to aspirin alone, low-dose rivaroxaban plus aspirin reduced MALE with the trade-off of bleeding complications [28]. The VOYAGER study randomized patients with LEAD undergoing revascularization to a low-dose rivaroxaban plus aspirin group or an aspirin alone group and found that randomization to low-dose rivaroxaban plus aspirin was associated with the significantly lower incidence of MACE and MALE than randomization to aspirin alone [28]; the trade-off of an increased risk of major bleeding was also reported in the VOYAGER study. Regarding the net clinical benefit of reduced MALE and increased bleeding complications, we propose that NLR \geq 8 may be useful for stratifying CLI patients with a high risk of mortality who may benefit from additional low-dose rivaroxaban in addition to aspirin. Another limitation is that we investigated the association between NLR and several outcomes, but the best cut-off of NLR was not consistent across all study outcomes in our own study. NLR > 8 vs. < 8 was associated with an increased risk of mortality but not MALE, whereas $NLR \ge 6$ vs. NLR < 6 was associated with the incidence of MALE. Given that it would be difficult to apply multiple cut-off values in a clinical setting and difficult to validate them externally in other studies, we suggest that a cut-off of NLR ≥ 8 vs. < 8 may be adequate for identifying CLI patients at a risk of high mortality. Other predictors, such as the platelet-lymphocyte ratio, should also be investigated regarding the incidence of MALE in CLI patients. In addition, a systematic review and meta-analysis could be performed to determine the best cut-off value for NLR among patients with LEAD with various risks.

We previously reported that elevated serum uric acid was associated with the prevalence of metabolic syndrome, left ventricular hypertrophy, left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in various study populations such as apparently healthy individuals, patients with cardiometabolic abnormalities, and patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction [29–32]. However, we did not find a significant association between hyperuricemia and MACE and MALE in the present study. The possible explanation may be that serum uric acid serves as weaker inflammation, and it, therefore, did not play a role in CLI patients with stronger inflammatory responses.

Conclusions

We show that CLI patients with a higher NLR have significantly higher incidences of all-cause and cardiac-related mortality and MALE at one year. Each increment in NLR was associated with an increased risk of all-cause and cardiac-related mortality but not MALE. NLR \geq 8 vs. NLR < 8 can be used to predict mortality in CLI patients.

Supporting information

S1 Table. Baseline and procedural characteristics and laboratory data in patients with critical limb ischemia. Values were expressed as number and (standard deviation) or number and percentage. CAD = coronary artery disease; NYHA = New York Heart Association; BP = blood pressure; NLR = neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB = angiotensin receptor blockers. (TIF)

S2 Table. Baseline and procedural characteristics and laboratory data in patients with critical limb ischemia. Values were expressed as number and (standard deviation) or number and percentage. CAD = coronary artery disease; NYHA = New York Heart Association; BP = blood pressure; NLR = neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio; ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ARB = angiotensin receptor blockers. (TIF)

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Min-I. Su.

Data curation: Min-I. Su.

Formal analysis: Cheng-Wei Liu.

Investigation: Cheng-Wei Liu.

Methodology: Cheng-Wei Liu.

Writing - original draft: Cheng-Wei Liu.

Writing - review & editing: Cheng-Wei Liu.

References

- Faria SS, Fernandes PC Jr., Silva MJ, Lima VC, Fontes W, Freitas-Junior R, et al. The neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio: a narrative review. Ecancermedicalscience. 2016; 10: 702. https://doi.org/10.3332/ ecancer.2016.702 PMID: 28105073
- Li X, Dai D, Chen B, Tang H, Xie X, Wei W. The value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio for response and prognostic effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in solid tumors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Cancer. 2018; 9: 861–871. https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.23367 PMID: 29581764
- Silvestre-Roig C, Braster Q, Ortega-Gomez A, Soehnlein O. Neutrophils as regulators of cardiovascular inflammation. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2020; 17: 327–340. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-019-0326-7</u> PMID: 31996800
- Albrengues J, Shields MA, Ng D, Park CG, Ambrico A, Poindexter ME, et al. Neutrophil extracellular traps produced during inflammation awaken dormant cancer cells in mice. Science. 2018; 361: eaao4227. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao4227 PMID: 30262472
- Izaks GJ, Remarque EJ, Becker SV, Westendorp RG. Lymphocyte count and mortality risk in older persons. The Leiden 85-plus study. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2003; 51: 1461–1465. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j. 1532-5415.2003.51467.x PMID: 14511169</u>
- Angkananard T, Anothaisintawee T, McEvoy M, Attia J, Thakkinstian A. Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio and cardiovascular disease risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BioMed Res Int. 2018; 2018: 2703518. https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2703518 PMID: 30534554
- Bhat TM, Afari ME, Garcia LA. Neutrophil lymphocyte ratio in peripheral vascular disease: a review. Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther. 2016; 14: 871–875. <u>https://doi.org/10.1586/14779072.2016.1165091</u> PMID: 26967241
- Song SY, Zhao XX, Rajah G, Hua C, Kang RJ, Han YP, et al. Clinical significance of baseline neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with ischemic stroke or hemorrhagic stroke: an updated meta-analysis. Front Neurol. 2019; 10: 1032. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2019.01032</u> PMID: 31636598
- Zhang S, Diao J, Qi C, Jin J, Li L, Gao X, et al. Predictive value of neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio in patients with acute ST segment elevation myocardial infarction after percutaneous coronary intervention: a meta-analysis. BMC Cardiovasc Disord. 2018; 18: 75. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12872-018-0812-6 PMID: 29716535
- Teperman J, Carruthers D, Guo Y, Barnett MP, Harris AA, Sedlis SP, et al. Relationship between neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and severity of lower extremity peripheral artery disease. Int J Cardiol. 2017; 228: 201–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.11.097 PMID: 27865186
- Aykan AC, Hatem E, Kalaycioglu E, Karabay CY, Zehir R, Gokdeniz T, et al. Neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio may be a marker of peripheral artery disease complexity. Anatol J Cardiol. 2016; 16: 497–503. https://doi.org/10.5152/AnatolJCardiol.2015.6240 PMID: 27004700
- Spark JI, Sarveswaran J, Blest N, Charalabidis P, Asthana S. An elevated neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio independently predicts mortality in chronic critical limb ischemia. J Vasc Surg. 2010; 52: 632–636. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2010.03.067 PMID: 20573475

- Erturk M, Cakmak HA, Surgit O, Celik O, Aksu HU, Akgul O, et al. Predictive value of elevated neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio for long-term cardiovascular mortality in peripheral arterial occlusive disease. J Cardiol. 2014; 64: 371–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjcc.2014.02.019 PMID: 24685686
- Shishehbor MH, White CJ, Gray BH, Menard MT, Lookstein R, Rosenfield K, et al. Critical limb ischemia: an expert statement. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016; 68: 2002–2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2016. 04.071 PMID: 27692726
- Signorelli SS, Fiore V, Malaponte G. Inflammation and peripheral arterial disease: the value of circulating biomarkers (Review). Int J Mol Med. 2014; 33: 777–783. https://doi.org/10.3892/ijmm.2014.1657 PMID: 24535646
- De Pablo R, Monserrat J, Prieto A, Alvarez-Mon M. Role of circulating lymphocytes in patients with sepsis. BioMed Res Int. 2014; 2014: 671087. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/671087 PMID: 25302303
- Hofmann U, Frantz S. Role of lymphocytes in myocardial injury, healing, and remodeling after myocardial infarction. Circ Res. 2015; 116: 354–367. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.304072 PMID: 25593279
- Tasoglu I, Sert D, Colak N, Uzun A, Songur M, Ecevit A. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and the plateletlymphocyte ratio predict the limb survival in critical limb ischemia. Clin Appl Thromb Hemost. 2014; 20: 645–650. https://doi.org/10.1177/1076029613475474 PMID: 23393289
- Chan C, Puckridge P, Ullah S, Delaney C, Spark JI. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio as a prognostic marker of outcome in infrapopliteal percutaneous interventions for critical limb ischemia. J Vasc Surg. 2014; 60: 661–668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.2014.03.277 PMID: 24816510
- Bath J, Smith JB, Kruse RL, Vogel TR. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio predicts disease severity and outcome after lower extremity procedures. J Vasc Surg. 2020; 72: 622–631. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.</u> 2019.10.094 PMID: 31882318
- Ye M, Qian X, Guo X, Wang H, Ni Q, Zhao Y, et al. Neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio and platelet-lymphocyte ratio predict severity and prognosis of lower limb arteriosclerosis obliterans. Ann Vasc Surg. 2020; 64: 221–227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avsg.2019.09.005 PMID: 31655109
- Kinlay S. Management of critical limb ischemia. Circ Cardiovasc Interv. 2016; 9: e001946. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCINTERVENTIONS.115.001946 PMID: 26858079</u>
- Bhatt DL, Eagle KA, Ohman EM, Hirsch AT, Goto S, Mahoney EM, et al. Comparative determinants of 4-year cardiovascular event rates in stable outpatients at risk of or with atherothrombosis. JAMA. 2010; 304: 1350–1357. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2010.1322 PMID: 20805624
- 24. Wisman PP, Teraa M, De Borst GJ, Verhaar MC, Roest M, Moll FL. Baseline platelet activation and reactivity in patients with critical limb ischemia. PLoS One. 2015; 10: e0131356. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131356</u> PMID: 26148006
- Dabrh AMA, Steffen MW, Undavalli C, Asi N, Wang Z, Elamin MB, et al. The natural history of untreated severe or critical limb ischemia. J Vasc Surg. 2015; 62: 1642–1651.e1643. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvs.</u> 2015.07.065 PMID: 26391460
- Reinecke H, Unrath M, Freisinger E, Bunzemeier H, Meyborg M, Luders F, et al. Peripheral arterial disease and critical limb ischaemia: still poor outcomes and lack of guideline adherence. Eur Heart J. 2015; 36: 932–938. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv006 PMID: 25650396
- 27. Kithcart AP, Beckman JA. ACC/AHA versus ESC guidelines for diagnosis and management of peripheral artery disease: JACC guideline comparison. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018; 72: 2789–2801. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jacc.2018.09.041</u> PMID: 30497565
- Anand SS, Bosch J, Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Diaz R, Widimsky P, et al. Rivaroxaban with or without aspirin in patients with stable peripheral or carotid artery disease: an international, randomised, doubleblind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2018; 391: 219–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17) 32409-1 PMID: 29132880
- Liu CW, Chang WC, Lee CC, Chen KH, Wu YW, Hwang JJ. Hyperuricemia is associated with a higher prevalence of metabolic syndrome in military individuals. Mil Med. 2018; 183: e391–e395. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usy097 PMID: 29750266</u>
- Liu CW, Chen KH, Tseng CK, Chang WC, Wu YW, Hwang JJ. The dose-response effects of uric acid on the prevalence of metabolic syndrome and electrocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy in healthy individuals. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2019; 29: 30–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd. 2018.10.001 PMID: 30545672
- Liu CW, Lin TC. Serum uric acid was an independent predictor of mortality in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients with Killip I other than Killip II-IV. J Cardiovasc Thorac Res. 2017; 9: 62– 63. https://doi.org/10.15171/jcvtr.2017.10 PMID: 28451091

32. Liu CW, Chen JC, Tseng GS, Chen KH, Hwang JJ, Yang WS, et al. Association between low-grade inflammation and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in patients with metabolic syndrome and hyperuricemia. Acta Cardiol Sin. 2020; 36: 483–492. https://doi.org/10.6515/ACS.202009_36(5).20200406A PMID: 32952358