



Thrombembolic Events in Hospitalized COVID-19 Patients: What is the Role of the Sex?

Irit Nachtigall^{1,2} Sven Hohenstein² Andreas Bollmann² Marzia Bonsignore³ Daniela Husser²
Ralf Kuhlen⁴ Andreas Meier Hellmann⁵

¹ Helios Kliniken Ost and Klinikum Emil-von-Behring, Berlin, Germany

² Heart Center Leipzig at University of Leipzig and Leipzig Heart Institute, Leipzig Germany

³ Evangelische Kliniken Gelsenkirchen, Zentrum für Krankenhaushygiene und Infektiologie, Gelsenkirchen, Germany

⁴ Helios Health, Berlin, Germany

⁵ Helios Kliniken GmbH, Berlin, Germany

Address for correspondence Irit Nachtigall, MD, Helios Kliniken Ost and Klinikum Emil-von-Behring, Waltherhöfer Str 11, Berlin, Germany (e-mail: irit.nachtigall@helios-gesundheit.de).

TH Open 2021;5:e411–e414.

Over a year ago, the WHO declared COVID-19 a pandemic; from then on, all hopes were on the development of vaccines. So far, 4 vaccines have been approved in Europe. On March 11th, 2021, the European Medicines Agency (EMA) reported ~30 cases of thromboembolic events (TE) that were observed within 2 weeks after vaccinations with the Astra-Zeneca vaccine Vaxzevria, mostly being cerebral venous sinus thromboses in women younger than 60 years. Ca. 5 million people had received Vaxzevria in the EEA by then. Several European countries stopped their vaccinations with Vaxzevria temporarily.

COVID-19 infections increase the risk of developing TE. It has not yet been reported whether women develop more TE under Covid-19 than men. The aim of the present study was to determine the frequency, sex distribution and risk factors of TE among SARS-CoV-2 positive patients.

Methods

We analyzed claims data from 83 hospitals in the Helios Group. All patient 19,501 cases admitted between February 1st, 2020 and February 8th, 2021 with the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) code U07.1 (= PCR-confirmed infection with SARS-CoV-2), were included.

The following ICD-10 codes were used as definitions: Thrombocytopenia: D69.5, D69.6, pulmonary embolism: I26, thrombosis: I80, I81, I82, sinus vein thrombosis: G08, I67.6, I63.6. Only cases which were completed in the hospital, were included for hospital mortality $n=8,533$.

The following ICD-10 codes were used as definitions: Thrombocytopenia: D69.5, D69.6, pulmonary embolism: I26, thrombosis: I80, I81, I82, sinus vein thrombosis: G08, I67.6, I63.6. Only cases which were completed in the hospital, were included for hospital mortality ($n = 8,533$).

We used the R software for statistical programming (version 4.0.2) for all analyses. The multivariable analyses of TE and in-hospital mortality were analyzed via logistic regression with log link function. In these models, we used sex, age (as numerical variable), comorbidities, and the frailty risk score¹ as predictors; in the models for in-hospital mortality, TE was an additional predictor.

Results

19,501 patients aged 0 - 103y (median 74y, Q25 = 59y, Q75 = 83y), 9,537 women (48.91%) and 9,964 men (51.09%) were included for the whole analysis, for calculation of the mortality 8,533 cases (85.64%) were included. Patient characteristics of the total cohort and the subcohort with thromboembolic events are shown in ►Table 1. At least one TE was coded in 963 patients (4.94%) (433 pulmonary embolisms, 371 thrombocytopenias, 249 thromboses and 2 sinus vein thromboses, several events per patient being possible), incidence rate was 4,938 (per 100,000 cases; 95% CI: 4640–5254). TE occurred in 4.94% of all inpatients; men were affected by 5.73% (571 / 9,964) and women by 4.11% (392 / 9,537). The distribution of age and sex in thromboembolic events is shown in ►Figure 1. In the multivariate regression analysis, independent risk factors for developing TE were among others male

DOI <https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1585-9536>.
ISSN 2512-9465.

© 2021. The Author(s).

This is an open access article published by Thieme under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, permitting unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction so long as the original work is properly cited. (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

Georg Thieme Verlag KG, Rüdigerstraße 14, 70469 Stuttgart, Germany

Table 1 Patient characteristics of the total cohort and the subcohort of patients with thromboembolic events (TE)

Group	Total cohort	TE cohort
	Proportion (n)	
Sex		
Male	51.1% (9,964)	59.3% (571)
Female	48.9% (9,537)	40.7% (392)
Age		
Mean (SD)	69.2 ± 18.7	69.9 ± 14.4
≤ 17 years	1.5% (290)	0.2% (2)
18 – 29 years	3.2% (616)	0.8% (8)
30 – 39 years	3.9% (762)	3.2% (31)
40 – 49 years	5.6% (1,099)	4.5% (43)
50 – 59 years	11.5% (2,238)	13.0% (125)
60 – 69 years	15.1% (2,952)	21.8% (210)
70 – 79 years	21.7% (4,229)	26.8% (258)
80 – 89 years	30.2% (5,894)	24.8% (239)
≥ 90 years	7.3% (1,421)	4.9% (47)
Elixhauser comorbidity index		
Mean (SD)	10.6 ± 11.2	21.3 ± 12.8
< 0	13.3% (2,586)	2.5% (24)
0	17.3% (3,365)	1.8% (17)
1–4	5.4% (1,050)	1.5% (14)
≥ 5	64.1% (12,500)	94.3% (908)
Congestive heart failure		
no	76.2% (14,863)	68.0% (655)
yes	23.8% (4,638)	32.0% (308)
Cardiac arrhythmias		
no	74.1% (14,443)	68.8% (663)
yes	25.9% (5,058)	31.2% (300)
Valvular disease		
no	92.6% (18,052)	89.5% (862)
yes	7.4% (1,449)	10.5% (101)
Pulmonary circulation disorders		
no	95.3% (18,594)	52.6% (507)
yes	4.7% (907)	47.4% (456)
Peripheral vascular disorders		
no	92.6% (18,054)	90.4% (871)
yes	7.4% (1,447)	9.6% (92)
Hypertension, uncomplicated		
no	56.2% (10,955)	59.4% (572)
yes	43.8% (8,546)	40.6% (391)
Hypertension, complicated		
no	88.5% (17,265)	85.9% (827)
yes	11.5% (2,236)	14.1% (136)

Table 1 (Continued)

Group	Total cohort	TE cohort
	Proportion (n)	
Paralysis		
no	95.1% (18,552)	95.2% (917)
yes	4.9% (949)	4.8% (46)
Other neurological disorders		
no	91.2% (17,785)	89.9% (866)
yes	8.8% (1,716)	10.1% (97)
Chronic pulmonary disease		
no	88.5% (17,267)	89.8% (865)
yes	11.5% (2,234)	10.2% (98)
Diabetes, uncomplicated		
no	83.2% (16,221)	80.9% (779)
yes	16.8% (3,280)	19.1% (184)
Diabetes, complicated		
no	88.4% (17,231)	88.7% (854)
yes	11.6% (2,270)	11.3% (109)
Hypothyroidism		
no	87.7% (17,109)	88.6% (853)
yes	12.3% (2,392)	11.4% (110)
Renal failure		
no	69.0% (13,460)	70.6% (680)
yes	31.0% (6,041)	29.4% (283)
Liver disease		
no	96.0% (18,725)	87.7% (845)
yes	4.0% (776)	12.3% (118)
Peptic ulcer disease excluding bleeding		
no	99.9% (19,487)	99.8% (961)
yes	0.1% (14)	0.2% (2)
AIDS/HIV		
no	100.0% (19,494)	99.9% (962)
yes	0.0% (7)	0.1% (1)
Lymphoma		
no	99.3% (19,358)	97.0% (934)
yes	0.7% (143)	3.0% (29)
Metastatic cancer		
no	97.7% (19,044)	95.2% (917)
yes	2.3% (457)	4.8% (46)
Solid tumor without metastasis		
no	95.1% (18,547)	92.3% (889)
yes	4.9% (954)	7.7% (74)
Rheumatoid arthritis/collagen vascular disease		
no	98.2% (19,157)	97.6% (940)
yes	1.8% (344)	2.4% (23)

Table 1 (Continued)

Group	Total cohort	TE cohort
	Proportion (n)	
Coagulopathy		
no	95.5% (18,625)	57.7% (556)
yes	4.5% (876)	42.3% (407)
Obesity		
no	88.3% (17,216)	85.6% (824)
yes	11.7% (2,285)	14.4% (139)
Weight loss		
no	89.4% (17,436)	80.0% (770)
yes	10.6% (2,065)	20.0% (193)
Fluid and electrolyte disorders		
no	57.4% (11,198)	42.8% (412)
yes	42.6% (8,303)	57.2% (551)
Blood loss anemia		
no	99.5% (19,403)	98.5% (949)
yes	0.5% (98)	1.5% (14)
Deficiency anemia		
no	96.6% (18,845)	94.7% (912)
yes	3.4% (656)	5.3% (51)
Alcohol abuse		
no	98.1% (19,134)	96.2% (926)
yes	1.9% (367)	3.8% (37)
Drug abuse		
no	99.6% (19,430)	99.9% (962)
yes	0.4% (71)	0.1% (1)
Psychoses		
no	98.7% (19,256)	99.1% (954)
yes	1.3% (245)	0.9% (9)
Depression		
no	94.0% (18,334)	94.6% (911)
yes	6.0% (1,167)	5.4% (52)

sex, lymphomas, liver diseases and congestive heart failure (► **Table 2**). TE were associated with an increased risk of death; the mortality rate was 20.7% in the group without TE and 39.8% in the group with such an event. (OR 2.28; 95% CI 1.93–2.70).

Discussion

In our cohort of Covid-19 inpatients, TE occurred in approx. 5%; involved mostly pulmonary embolisms and affected mainly men in their 60ies. In addition to various pre-existing conditions, we found the male sex to be a major independent risk factor for the development of TE. TE are a common complication of COVID-19 and have been reported to occur in ca. 7% of inpatients treated with thromboembolism prophylaxis.²

Table 2 Results of multivariable analyses of thromboembolic complications

Variable	OR (95% CI)	P value
Age	1.00 (0.99 – 1.00)	0.10
Female sex	0.73 (0.63 – 0.83)	< 0.01
Frailty risk score	1.04 (1.02 – 1.05)	< 0.01
Congestive heart failure	1.40 (1.17 – 1.68)	< 0.01
Cardiac arrhythmias	1.06 (0.90 – 1.24)	0.51
Valvular disease	1.22 (0.96 – 1.54)	0.10
Peripheral vascular disorders	1.07 (0.84 – 1.36)	0.59
Hypertension, uncomplicated	0.81 (0.69 – 0.94)	< 0.01
Hypertension, complicated	0.87 (0.69 – 1.11)	0.26
Paralysis	0.67 (0.48 – 0.93)	0.02
Other neurological disorders	0.92 (0.73 – 1.16)	0.47
Chronic pulmonary disease	0.75 (0.60 – 0.93)	< 0.01
Diabetes, uncomplicated	1.05 (0.89 – 1.26)	0.55
Diabetes, complicated	0.85 (0.67 – 1.07)	0.18
Hypothyroidism	0.93 (0.75 – 1.15)	0.48
Renal failure	0.66 (0.56 – 0.78)	< 0.01
Liver disease	2.94 (2.33 – 3.70)	< 0.01
AIDS/HIV	2.36 (0.27 – 20.89)	0.44
Lymphoma	5.19 (3.36 – 8.00)	< 0.01
Metastatic cancer	1.65 (1.07 – 2.55)	0.02
Solid tumor without metastasis	1.22 (0.86 – 1.71)	0.26
Rheumatoid arthritis/collaged vascular disease	1.43 (0.92 – 2.21)	0.11
Obesity	1.24 (1.02 – 1.51)	0.03
Weight loss	1.57 (1.32 – 1.88)	< 0.01
Fluid and electrolyte disorders	1.43 (1.23 – 1.67)	< 0.01
Deficiency anemia	1.27 (0.93 – 1.72)	0.13
Alcohol abuse	0.96 (0.65 – 1.41)	0.84

Quality of regression model tested with the Hosmer-Lemeshow test, indicating good calibration ($\chi^2 = 23.556$; $p = 0.428$).

Several possible pathomechanisms have been discussed, including a direct endothelial damage³ as well as an antibody-mediated activation of platelets via the Fcγ-IIa receptor.⁴ Men are at an increased risk of a severe course of Covid-19⁵; TE are presumably part of this multifactorial, gender-specific risk.

The antibody-mediated activation of platelets via the Fcγ-IIa receptor has also been suggested as pathomechanism for TE after Vaxzevria.⁶ It is unclear, why younger women seem




Fig. 1 Proportion of patients with at least one thromboembolic event in Covid-19 inpatients by age and sex.

to be affected more often by this complication. In general, sinus vein thromboses occur mostly in younger women.⁷ The EMA reviewed 62 cases of cerebral venous sinus and 24 of splanchnic vein thromboses reported until March 22th, 2021. Although most of the cases reported have occurred in women <60y, no specific risk factors like sex or age were confirmed; the risk for TE after the vaccinations was estimated at 1: 100 000.

In summary, we found that a large number of Covid-19 inpatients have thromboembolic complications, at a frequency that is 5000 times higher than the one of TE after Vaxzevria. Although similar pathomechanisms have been discussed for both, the development of TE in Covid-19 and after vaccination, these two phenomena differ clearly in the type of thromboses that occur and in the sex distribution. The observational design of our study based on claims data are a strong limitation; at best, the results can provide a signal, especially information on medications and their different impact on both sexes need to be addressed. To our opinion, the sex aspect of thromboembolic events in Covid-19 and after Vaxzevria has not been adequately addressed so far and needs further investigation.

Conflict of Interest

RK declares to hold shares of Fresenius, all other authors declare not to have any conflicts of interest.

References

- 1 Gilbert T, Neuburger J, Kraindler J, et al. Development and validation of a Hospital Frailty Risk Score focusing on older people in acute care settings using electronic hospital records: an observational study. *Lancet* 2018;391(10132):1775–1782. Doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30668-8
- 2 Aktaa S, Wu J, Nadarajah R, et al. Incidence and mortality due to thromboembolic events during the COVID-19 pandemic: Multi-sourced population-based health records cohort study. *Thromb Res* 2021;202:17–23. Doi: 10.1016/j.thromres.2021.03.006
- 3 Harenberg J, Violi F. Waves of SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Blood Coagulation-A Link and Beyond. *Thromb Haemost* 2021;121(01): 4–6
- 4 Althaus K, Marini I, Zlamal J, et al. Antibody-induced procoagulant platelets in severe COVID-19 infection. *Blood* 2021;137(08): 1061–1071. Doi: 10.1182/blood.2020008762
- 5 Nachtigall I, Lenga P, Józwiak K, et al. Clinical course and factors associated with outcomes among 1904 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in Germany: an observational study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020;26(12):1663–1669. Doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2020.08.011
- 6 Greinacher A, Thiele T, Warkentin TE, Weisser K, Kyrle PA, Eichinger S. Thrombotic Thrombocytopenia after ChAdOx1 nCov-19 Vaccination. *N Engl J Med* 2021;384(22):2092–2101. Doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2104840
- 7 Ferro JM, Canhão P, Stam J, Bousser MG, Barinagarrementeria FISCVT Investigators. Prognosis of cerebral vein and dural sinus thrombosis: results of the International Study on Cerebral Vein and Dural Sinus Thrombosis (ISCVT). *Stroke* 2004;35(03): 664–670