

Research Article

Plasma Markers of Oxidative Stress in Patients with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in the Second and Third Trimester

Hongwei Li,¹ Qian Yin,¹ Ning Li,² Zhenbo Ouyang,³ and Mei Zhong¹

¹Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Nanfang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China

²Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Puyang Oilfield General Hospital, Puyang, China

³Department of Gynecology, Guangdong No. 2 Provincial People's Hospital, Guangzhou, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Mei Zhong; zhongmei_2015@163.com

Received 22 April 2016; Revised 4 August 2016; Accepted 15 August 2016

Academic Editor: Shi-Wen Jiang

Copyright © 2016 Hongwei Li et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Objective. To determine plasma markers of oxidative stress during the second and third trimester of pregnancy in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). **Study Design.** We conducted a prospective nested case-control study involving 400 pregnant women, 22 of whom developed GDM. As control group, 30 normal pregnant women were chosen randomly. Plasma samples were analyzed for 8-iso-prostaglandin F_{2α} (8-iso-PGF_{2α}), advanced oxidative protein products (AOPPs), protein carbonyl (PCO), glutathione peroxidase-3 (GPX-3), and paraoxonase-1 (PON1) at 16–20 weeks, 24–28 weeks, and 32–36 weeks of gestation. **Results.** Compared to control subjects, the plasma levels of PCO, AOPPs, and 8-iso-PGF_{2α} were elevated at 16–20 weeks' and 32–36 weeks' gestation in GDM. There was no significant difference in PCO and 8-iso-PGF_{2α} at 24–28 weeks in GDM. GPX-3 was statistically significantly increased at 16–20 weeks and 32–36 weeks in GDM. PON1 reduced in patients with GDM. No significant differences were found at 24–28 and 32–36 weeks between the GDM and control groups. In GDM, PCO, AOPPs, and 8-iso-PGF_{2α} levels were higher and GPX-3 and PON1 levels were lower in the second than the third trimester. **Conclusion.** Oxidation status increased in GDM, especially protein oxidation, which may contribute to the pathogenesis of GDM.

1. Introduction

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is an idiopathic disease that occurs during pregnancy. Women with GDM have a high risk of developing type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in women with International Association of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Group- (IADPSG-) defined GDM is three times greater than in women with normal glucose tolerance during pregnancy [1]. Gunderson et al. showed that history of GDM may be a useful marker of early atherosclerosis independent of prepregnancy obesity in women who have not developed type 2 diabetes or the metabolic syndrome [2]. The Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) study demonstrated that high maternal blood glucose correlates with increasing fetal morbidity and mortality [3]. The offspring of diabetic mothers are also at high risk of metabolic syndrome and diabetes mellitus in childhood and adulthood [4, 5]. The exact pathogenesis of GDM is

uncertain. Clarifying the pathogenic mechanism is important for early diagnosis and treatment and is helpful in improving maternal and infant prognoses.

Recently, attention has been focused on the association between oxidative stress and GDM. It has been clarified that patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus have severe oxidative stress [6]. Some studies have shown enhanced oxidation products in patients with GDM and reduced antioxidant capacity, suggesting that oxidative stress may contribute to the development and progression of GDM [7–11]. However, the relation between the different levels of various plasma oxidative markers and the development of GDM during pregnancy has not been systematically characterized.

Lipid peroxidation can reflect the level of oxidative damage, which results in damage of the cell membranes. The products of lipid oxidative damage have important roles in various physiological and pathological conditions. It is widely recognized that proteins are the main original targets for oxidative damage. An experimental study indicated that

protein oxidation precedes the oxidative damage of lipids and may represent an independent mechanism of cellular damage in addition to membrane lipid peroxidation [12]. In type 2 diabetes mellitus, the markers of oxidative lipid and protein damage are significantly enhanced compared to those of normal individuals and are even higher in those with diabetic complications [13–15], showing that oxidative lipid and protein damage may contribute to microvascular and macrovascular complications.

A complex and integrated antioxidant system plays a crucial role in protecting cells or tissues from damage as the result of reactive oxygen species (ROS). The expression and activity of antioxidants are changed during oxidative stress. Decreased antioxidant levels have been found in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and its complications [13, 16, 17]. However, there are discrepancies with regard to the antioxidative defense in various diseases.

The aim of this study was to investigate the oxidative stress status during the second and third trimester of pregnancy in patients with GDM by determining plasma levels of 8-iso-prostaglandin F_{2α} (8-iso-PGF_{2α}) as a marker of lipid peroxidation, advanced oxidative protein products (AOPPs) and protein carbonyl (PCO) as markers of protein oxidation, and plasma glutathione peroxidase-3 (GPX-3) and paraoxonase (PONI) as markers of antioxidative defense, to explore the role of oxidative stress in the development and progression of GDM.

2. Materials and Methods

This was a prospective nested case-control study. A total of 400 pregnant women receiving prenatal care before 20 weeks' gestation at the obstetric clinic of the Nanfang Hospital were recruited between March 2012 and November 2012. Inclusion criteria were as follows: Han ethnicity; singleton pregnancy; being of the age of 18 to 40 years; BMI < 40 Kg/m²; no smoking or drinking; no prepregnancy diabetes or family history of diabetes; absence of hypertension and cardiovascular and other systemic disease; no infection or inflammation; no history of abnormal pregnancy. During follow-up, women who experienced abortion, premature delivery, or stillbirth; had preeclampsia, premature rupture of membranes, and other pregnancy complications, or did not deliver at Nanfang Hospital were excluded. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Nanfang Hospital, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects. There were 22 women who developed GDM. After diagnosis, GDM subjects were treated with dietary therapy and more rigorous glucose monitoring. One of the 22 women diagnosed with GDM was given insulin therapy because glucose did not achieve the target level with only dietary therapy. We randomly chose 30 normal pregnant women as a control group.

Fasting blood glucose was measured (FBG < 7.0 mmol/L) after a period of fasting, usually at least eight hours without food or liquid (except water) in the morning during the first prenatal visit. All subjects had a 75 g oral glucose tolerance test at 24 to 28 weeks' gestation and were screened for GDM

according to the IADPSG criteria (plasma glucose thresholds: fasting 5.1 mmol/L [92 mg/dL], 1 h 10.0 mmol/L [180 mg/dL], and 2 h 8.5 mmol/L [103 mg/dL]) [18].

2.1. Blood Sampling. Venous blood samples were drawn after a 12-hour overnight fast at 16–20 weeks, 24–28 weeks, and 32–36 weeks of gestation. The samples were centrifuged within 30 min at 3000 ×g, 4°C for 15 min. Plasma samples were stored at –80°C until being assayed.

2.2. Assay of Plasma AOPP Levels. Plasma AOPP levels were measured by spectrophotometric assay [19]. The plasma was diluted 1:10 with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and 10 μL of diluted sample, 200 μL of PBS (the blank), and 200 μL of chloramine-T standard solution (0–100 μmol/L) were placed in wells of a 96-well microliter plate. Ten microliters of 1.16 M potassium iodide was added, followed by 10 μL of acetic acid. The absorbance was read immediately at 340 nm. The concentration of AOPPs was expressed in chloramine-T equivalents (μmol/L).

2.3. Assay of Plasma PCO Levels. Plasma levels of PCO were determined by sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using a commercial kit (RUIJI, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's directions. The interassay and intra-assay coefficients of variation were 10%, respectively.

2.4. Assay of Plasma 8-Iso-PGF_{2α}, GPX-3, and PONI Levels. The 8-iso-PGF_{2α}, GPX-3, and PONI levels were determined by sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay using a commercial kit (CUSABIO, Wuhan, China) according to the manufacturer's directions. The interassay and intra-assay coefficients of variation were less than 8% and 10%, respectively.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. The data were analyzed with SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL). All continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Differences between the GDM group and control group were analyzed using the independent *t*-test and the normal distribution of data was checked. A repeated measures ANCOVA was used to compare differences between any two gestational ages in the GDM group. Correlations between quantitative variables were evaluated using Pearson's or Spearman's correlation coefficients. *P* < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

As shown in Table 1, there was no significant difference between the groups in maternal age, BMI, gravidity/parity, triglycerides (TG), cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein (LDL), high-density lipoprotein (HDL), mean HbA_{1C}, and fasting glucose. Compared with the control group, 1 h glucose and 2 h glucose were significantly increased in patients with GDM (*P* < 0.05).

TABLE 1: Clinical and metabolic characteristics of study subjects.

	GDM (<i>n</i> = 22)	Controls (<i>n</i> = 30)	<i>P</i> value
Maternal age, years	28.82 ± 0.67	27.37 ± 0.70	0.15
BMI before gestation, Kg/m ²	20.98 ± 0.51	19.74 ± 0.42	0.07
Gravidity	2.18 ± 0.27	1.64 ± 0.19	0.10
Parity	1.14 ± 0.14	1.20 ± 0.20	0.82
Triglycerides (mg/dL)			
16–20 weeks	1.71 ± 0.11	1.77 ± 0.13	0.72
24–28 weeks	2.10 ± 0.12	2.32 ± 0.12	0.21
32–36 weeks	3.33 ± 0.24	3.29 ± 0.14	0.88
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)			
16–20 weeks	5.54 ± 0.16	5.31 ± 0.13	0.28
24–28 weeks	6.39 ± 0.20	6.38 ± 0.16	0.97
32–36 weeks	6.33 ± 0.29	6.18 ± 0.24	0.68
LDL (mg/dL)			
16–20 weeks	2.89 ± 0.17	2.82 ± 0.10	0.69
24–28 weeks	3.28 ± 0.16	3.35 ± 0.19	0.79
32–36 weeks	3.56 ± 0.21	3.15 ± 0.17	0.14
HDL (mg/dL)			
16–20 weeks	1.98 ± 0.08	1.91 ± 0.06	0.50
24–28 weeks	2.02 ± 0.06	1.88 ± 0.05	0.62
32–36 weeks	1.94 ± 0.11	2.07 ± 0.06	0.26
HbA _{1c} (%)	5.15 ± 0.69	5.02 ± 0.05	0.11
OGTT fasting glucose (mmol/L)	4.25 ± 0.09	4.10 ± 0.08	0.22
OGTT 1-hour glucose (mmol/L)	8.94 ± 0.32	7.35 ± 0.25	0.00*
OGTT 2-hour glucose (mmol/L)	9.01 ± 0.16	6.81 ± 0.22	0.00*

Data are mean ± SD. * *P* < 0.001.

TABLE 2: Markers of oxidative stress and antioxidants in women with GDM and without GDM (controls).

	GDM			Controls		
	16–20 weeks	24–28 weeks	32–36 weeks	16–20 weeks	24–28 weeks	32–36 weeks
PCO (ng/mL)	172.77 ± 13.59*	200.77 ± 19.23	232.48 ± 21.93*	136.82 ± 10.05	169.09 ± 13.10	180.81 ± 11.57
AOPPs (μmol/L)	64.74 ± 1.39*	74.92 ± 1.67 ^Δ	83.59 ± 1.87 ^Δ	59.98 ± 1.54	65.83 ± 1.57	72.86 ± 1.34
8-iso-PGF2α (ng/mL)	196.14 ± 16.78*	356.96 ± 40.10	538.72 ± 67.36*	154.82 ± 9.89	289.74 ± 22.68	381.07 ± 37.26
GPX-3 (μIU/mL)	160.42E3 ± 13.76E3*	68.29E3 ± 8.50E3	35.65E3 ± 2.90E3 [#]	83.71E3 ± 25.58E3	44.590E3 ± 3.49E3	26.46E3 ± 1.46E3
PON1 (mIU/mL)	162.88E3 ± 22.12E3*	136.18E3 ± 20.98E3	97.00E3 ± 5.51E3	260.20E3 ± 28.89E3	165.77E3 ± 18.92E3	115.59E3 ± 25.73E3

Data are means ± SD. [#]*P* < 0.01 versus controls. * *P* < 0.05 versus controls. ^Δ*P* < 0.001 versus controls. There was no significance in PCO (*P* = 0.12), 8-iso-PGF2α (*P* = 0.09), GPX-3 (*P* = 0.23), and PON1 (*P* = 0.35) in 24–28 weeks compared to the control group. Meanwhile, in 32–36 weeks, no significance was found in PON1 (*P* = 0.56) compared to the controls.

Levels of antioxidative enzymes in the plasma of patients with GDM are shown in Table 2. The activity of GPX-3 was statistically significantly increased at 16–20 weeks and 32–36 weeks of gestation in GDM patients when compared to control subjects (both *P* < 0.05). There was no significant difference at 24–28 weeks' gestation (*P* = 0.23). Plasma PON1 was reduced in patients with GDM. However, no significant differences between the groups were found at 24–28 (*P* = 0.35) and 32–36 weeks (*P* = 0.56).

Concentrations of plasma products of oxidative stress were increased. Plasma AOPPs at different gestational ages were significantly higher in the GDM group compared to the control group (*P* < 0.05). Levels of plasma PCO (*P* = 0.12) and 8-iso-PGF2α (*P* = 0.09) were increased in patients with GDM compared to those of the control group, although there was no significant difference at 24–28 weeks.

In the GDM group, plasma AOPPs were higher at 24–28 weeks than at 16–20 weeks (*P* < 0.05), and AOPPs and




FIGURE 1: The level of PCO (a), AOPP (b), 8-iso-PGF2 α (c), GPX-3 (d), and PON1 (e) at 16–20 weeks, at 24–28 weeks, and at 32–36 weeks in GDM.

plasma 8-iso-PGF2 α were higher at 32–36 weeks than at 24–28 weeks (both $P < 0.05$). Increased PCO levels were found at 32–36 weeks compared to levels at 16–20 weeks ($P < 0.05$). However, no significant difference was found between 24–28 weeks and 16–20 weeks and the same between 32–36 weeks and 24–28 weeks. On the other hand, GPX-3 was decreased at 24–28 weeks compared to 16–20 weeks ($P < 0.05$) and lower at 32–36 weeks than at 24–28 weeks. The activity of plasma PON1 was lower at 32–36 weeks than at 16–20 weeks and 24–28 weeks ($P < 0.05$) and was decreased at 24–28 weeks compared to 16–20 weeks and was decreased at 32–36 weeks compared to 24–28 weeks, but there were no significant differences (Figure 1).

Correlation analysis was performed to assess the association between variables of oxidative stress and glucose and HbA_{1C} in the GDM group. The plasma level of AOPPs at 16–20 weeks was positively correlated with the OGTT 1h glucose level and HbA_{1C} ($R = 0.435$, $P < 0.05$; $R = 0.655$, $P = 0.00$, resp.). Moreover, the AOPP level at 24–28 weeks was positively correlated with the OGTT 1h and 2h glucose level and HbA_{1C} ($R = 0.529$, $P < 0.05$; $R = 0.524$, $P < 0.05$; $R = 0.546$, $P < 0.01$, resp.). At 32–36 weeks, there was a significant relationship between AOPPs and the fasting glucose level ($R = 0.670$, $P = 0.00$). Plasma PCO at 16–20 weeks was positively correlated with the OGTT 1h glucose level ($R = 0.444$, $P < 0.05$).




FIGURE 2: Relationships between PCO (a), AOPP (b), 8-iso-PGF2 α (c), GPX-3 (d), PON1 (e), and A1C and OGTT glucose level in GDM. FBG: fasting blood glucose.

While plasma GPX-3 at 24–28 weeks was negatively correlated with the OGTT 1h glucose level ($R = -0.441$, $P < 0.05$), at 32–36 weeks, a significant negative correlation was found between the GPX-3 level and HbA_{1C} ($R = -0.429$, $P < 0.05$). There was no significant correlation between 8-iso-PGF2 α and glucose level or PON1 and HbA_{1C}. No correlation was found between AOPPs, PCO, 8-iso-PGF2 α , GPX-3, and PON1 (Figure 2).

4. Discussion

Recently, the role of oxidative stress in GDM has attracted the attention of investigators. However, the relation between the different levels of various plasma oxidative markers and the development of GDM during pregnancy has not been systematically characterized. In the present study, higher levels of oxidative stress markers were found in patients with GDM than in normal pregnant women. We found that markers of oxidative stress were increased and antioxidants were decreased with the progress of gestation in GDM, suggesting that there was increased oxidative protein and lipid damage and that the oxidation status was increased with the progression of gestation in GDM.

F2-isoprostanes are a family of prostaglandin isomers produced by peroxidation of cell-membrane phospholipids or circulating LDL [20]. 8-iso-PGF2 α is considered to be a sensitive and stable biomarker of lipid peroxidation. There was a significant increase in 8-iso-PGF2 α in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus compared with the control group [21, 22]. It has been reported that levels of 8-iso-PGF2 α are significantly higher in serum or urine in diabetic patients than in healthy control subjects, and a positive correlation

was found between 8-iso-PGF2 α and both fasting glucose and HbA_{1C} in diabetic patients with vascular complications [14, 23]. An experiment in rats showed that 24 h urinary excretion of 8-iso-PGF2 α was increased in diabetic rats compared to a normal control group, and this difference was more marked in pregnancy [24]. Moreover, an increased 8-iso-PGF2 α level has been found in patients with both types of diabetes, suggesting that it may be a useful marker for assessing the association between lipid oxidation damage and hyperglycemia. 8-iso-PGF2 α from placenta, adipose tissue, and skeletal muscle is greater in women with GDM than in healthy pregnant women [25, 26].

AOPPs are the final products of various protein oxidation formed by oxidative stress and are considered novel markers of oxidative protein damage. Elevation of the AOPPs level has been found to play an important role in many diseases. Increased AOPPs may be an independent risk factor for atherosclerotic disease [27]. Numerous studies indicate that AOPPs are significantly increased in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and its complications, and AOPPs have been recognized as useful markers to estimate the degree of oxidative protein damage [28, 29]. A clinical study has found that AOPPs could serve as an early marker of vasculopathy in individuals with type 2 diabetes [30]. Karacay et al. reported that circulating levels of AOPPs were increased at 24–36 weeks of gestation in GDM comparing to normal pregnancies [31].

PCO is a sensitive, stable marker of oxidant-mediated protein damage and is the most widely used. There is evidence of a close relationship between PCO and impaired glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus [32]. Gelisgen et al. conducted a study of 23 women with GDM and 22 women

without GDM and determined that plasma AOPPs and PCO levels were significantly increased [8].

In our study, elevated concentrations of 8-iso-PGF 2α , AOPPs, and PCO were observed, suggesting that oxidative damage may be enhanced in patients with GDM compared to normal subjects. Moreover, we found that 8-iso-PGF 2α , AOPPs, and PCO levels were significantly increased at 16–20 weeks, before diagnosis of GDM, suggesting that increased oxidative stress may occur before the onset of GDM and increases with the progression of gestation. We speculate that increased oxidative stress may contribute to the development and progression of GDM.

PON1 is an antioxidant enzyme that can protect LDL and HDL from oxidation, but also it plays a key antiatherosclerotic role. Decreased PON1 has been found in patients with type 2 diabetes and diabetic macrovascular complications [33, 34]. Compared to normal pregnant women, the activity of PON1 was decreased in patients with GDM, and it has been shown that reduced PON1 may be due to increased plasma protein oxidative damage [8, 35]. In our study, plasma PON1 levels were lower in patients with GDM compared with the control group, although there was no significant difference at 24–28 weeks and 32–36 weeks.

GPX-3 is the only extracellular isoform of the glutathione peroxidase family and is also a major antioxidative enzyme. The data reported in a former study on GPX-3 levels in different diseases remain controversial. A number of studies have shown that GPX-3 levels are decreased in women with papillary serous ovarian cancer in a stage-dependent manner and also found decrease in women with gastric cancer [36, 37]. However, there was an increase in serum GPX-3 in overweight and obese subjects [38]. An experimental study revealed that expression of the GPX-3 gene was increased in the hearts of diabetic mice and concluded that increased GPX-3 may play a significant role in protecting cardiomyocytes from oxidative stress [39]. Conversely, in our study the patients with GDM were found to have an increase of GPX-3 in plasma. We think that the increase of GPX-3 in patients with well-controlled GDM may be described as compensation for the excessive generation of ROS.

In our study, AOPPs and PCO levels were positively correlated with HbA $_{1C}$ and the OGTT 1h glucose level at 16–20 weeks in the GDM group. Moreover, we found that AOPPs at 24–28 weeks had a more significant positive correlation with HbA $_{1C}$ and the OGTT glucose level. Additionally, a negative correlation was only found between GPX-3 and the OGTT 1h glucose and HbA $_{1C}$ at 24–28 weeks. There was no correlation between PON1, 8-iso-PGF 2α , HbA $_{1C}$, and the OGTT glucose level. According to the results of correlation analyses, we concluded that protein oxidation may play a key role in impaired glycemic equilibrium in GDM. We speculate that the measurement of protein oxidation markers may have a certain predictive value for GDM.

Normal human pregnancy is considered as a state of enhanced oxidative stress, while pathologic pregnancies, including GDM, are associated with a heightened level of oxidative stress, owing to both overproduction of free radicals and a defect in the antioxidant defenses [40], which has also been validated by our study. However, no systematic

research on the relation between plasma oxidative markers in different gestation and the development or the progression of GDM has been done before. Our team focused on the fluctuation of these markers in plasma during pregnancy and tried to confirm the association with GDM. In the following investigation, we will look deep into the detailed mechanism under GDM, especially how the oxidative stress works on the pathogenesis of GDM.

There are some limitations in the present study. First, because of time constraints and capital limitations, the number of study subjects was small. Second, the study was limited to the second and third trimester of gestation, with no follow-up either in the first trimester of gestation or postpartum. Third, the study did not analyze the difference between subjects with good glycemic control and those with poor glycemic control because there were only a few cases of poor glycemic control in the GDM group.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, increased lipid peroxidation and protein oxidative damage in GDM patients compared to normal pregnant women was found before the onset of GDM. With the progression of gestation, the oxidation status was enhanced in GDM. There was a close association between protein oxidation and impaired glucose metabolism. The expression of antioxidants in plasma in GDM was altered, but we cannot evaluate the overall tendency of antioxidative defense. We can conclude that oxidative stress is enhanced in GDM. Increased oxidative stress, especially protein oxidation, may contribute to the pathogenesis of GDM and may have predictive value. Further studies with a larger sample size should be performed to confirm the role of oxidative stress in GDM.

Disclosure

Qian Yin is co-first author. Inc/Corporation did not have any influence on the study design, analysis, or preparation of the manuscript, and they did not have access to any of the trial data. Qian Yin is the guarantor of this work and as such had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

Authors' Contributions

Qian Yin contributed to study design, gathered and analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. Hongwei Li contributed to gathering and analyzing the data and wrote the manuscript. Zhenbo Ouyang and Ning Li contributed to gathering clinical data. Mei Zhong contributed to discussion and reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by Guangdong Science and Technology Project (no. 2013B021800142). The authors thank Professor Hou Fanfan and Professor Tian Jianwen from Guangdong Provincial Institute of Nephrology for providing technical support.

References

- [1] E. Noctor, C. Crowe, L. A. Carmody et al., "ATLANTIC-DIP: prevalence of metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in women with previous gestational diabetes mellitus by International Association of Diabetes in Pregnancy Study Groups criteria," *Acta Diabetologica*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 153–160, 2015.
- [2] E. P. Gunderson, V. Chiang, M. J. Pletcher et al., "History of gestational diabetes mellitus and future risk of atherosclerosis in mid-life: the coronary artery risk development in young adults study," *Journal of the American Heart Association*, vol. 3, no. 2, Article ID e000490, 2014.
- [3] B. E. Metzger, L. P. Lowe, A. R. Dyer et al., "Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 358, no. 19, pp. 1991–2002, 2008.
- [4] P. Damm, "Future risk of diabetes in mother and child after gestational diabetes mellitus," *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics*, vol. 104, supplement 1, pp. S25–S26, 2009.
- [5] C. Nilsson, A. Carlsson, and M. Landin-Olsson, "Increased risk for overweight among Swedish children born to mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus," *Pediatric Diabetes*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 57–66, 2014.
- [6] H. Z. Pan, L. Zhang, M. Guo et al., "The oxidative stress status in diabetes mellitus and diabetic nephropathy," *Acta Diabetologica*, vol. 47, supplement 1, pp. 71–76, 2010.
- [7] X. Cheng, S. J. Chapple, B. Patel et al., "Gestational diabetes mellitus impairs Nrf2-mediated adaptive antioxidant defenses and redox signaling in fetal endothelial cells in utero," *Diabetes*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 4088–4097, 2013.
- [8] R. Gelisgen, H. Genc, R. Kayali et al., "Protein oxidation markers in women with and without gestational diabetes mellitus: a possible relation with paraoxonase activity," *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, vol. 94, no. 3, pp. 404–409, 2011.
- [9] K. E. Boyle, S. A. Newsom, R. C. Janssen, M. Lappas, and J. E. Friedman, "Skeletal muscle MnSOD, mitochondrial complex II, and SIRT3 enzyme activities are decreased in maternal obesity during human pregnancy and gestational diabetes mellitus," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 98, no. 10, pp. E1601–E1609, 2013.
- [10] M. T. Coughlan, M. Permezel, H. M. Georgiou, and G. E. Rice, "Repression of oxidant-induced nuclear factor- κ B activity mediates placental cytokine responses in gestational diabetes," *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 89, no. 7, pp. 3585–3594, 2004.
- [11] C. M. John, R. Ramasamy, G. Al Naqeeb, A. H. Dhiab Al-Nuaimi, and A. Adam, "Enhanced CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells with splenic proliferation and protection against oxidative stress by nicotinamide in gestational diabetes," *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, In press.
- [12] T. Reinheckel, B. Nedelev, J. Prause et al., "Occurrence of oxidatively modified proteins: an early event in experimental acute pancreatitis," *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 393–400, 1998.
- [13] K. B. Pandey, N. Mishra, and S. I. Rizvi, "Protein oxidation biomarkers in plasma of type 2 diabetic patients," *Clinical Biochemistry*, vol. 43, no. 4-5, pp. 508–511, 2010.
- [14] O. Tabak, R. Gelisgen, H. Erman et al., "Oxidative lipid, protein, and DNA damage as oxidative stress markers in vascular complications of diabetes mellitus," *Clinical and Investigative Medicine*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. E163–E171, 2011.
- [15] G. Baskol, K. Gumus, A. Oner, H. Arda, and S. Karakucuk, "The role of advanced oxidation protein products and total thiols in diabetic retinopathy," *European Journal of Ophthalmology*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 792–798, 2008.
- [16] M. E. Hartnett, R. D. Stratton, R. W. Browne, B. A. Rosner, R. J. Lanham, and D. Armstrong, "Serum markers of oxidative stress and severity of diabetic retinopathy," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 234–240, 2000.
- [17] D. Ziegler, C. G. H. Sohr, and J. Nourooz-Zadeh, "Oxidative stress and antioxidant defense in relation to the severity of diabetic polyneuropathy and cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 27, no. 9, pp. 2178–2183, 2004.
- [18] B. E. Metzger, S. G. Gabbe, B. Persson et al., "International association of diabetes and pregnancy study groups recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy," *Diabetes Care*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 676–682, 2010.
- [19] V. Witko-Sarsat, M. Friedlander, C. Capeillère-Blandin et al., "Advanced oxidation protein products as a novel marker of oxidative stress in uremia," *Kidney International*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 1304–1313, 1996.
- [20] N. Vazzana, A. Ganci, A. B. Cefalù et al., "Enhanced lipid peroxidation and platelet activation as potential contributors to increased cardiovascular risk in the low-HDL phenotype," *The Journal of the American Heart Association*, vol. 2, no. 2, Article ID e000063, 2013.
- [21] L. Flores, S. Rodela, J. Abian, J. Clària, and E. Esmatjes, "F₂ isoprostane is already increased at the onset of type 1 diabetes mellitus: effect of glycemic control," *Metabolism*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 1118–1120, 2004.
- [22] A. L. Răchışan, A. Hrușcă, S. Căinap et al., "The activity of 8-iso-prostaglandin F₂ α as an oxidative stress marker in vivo in paediatric patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus and associated autoimmunities," *Clinical Laboratory*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 253–259, 2014.
- [23] R. De Cristofaro, B. Rocca, E. Vitacolonna et al., "Lipid and protein oxidation contribute to a prothrombotic state in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus," *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 250–256, 2003.
- [24] J. Cederberg, S. Basu, and U. J. Eriksson, "Increased rate of lipid peroxidation and protein carbonylation in experimental diabetic pregnancy," *Diabetologia*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 766–774, 2001.
- [25] M. Lappas, M. Permezel, and G. E. Rice, "Release of proinflammatory cytokines and 8-isoprostane from placenta, adipose tissue, and skeletal muscle from normal pregnant women and women with gestational diabetes mellitus," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 89, no. 11, pp. 5627–5633, 2004.
- [26] M. T. Coughlan, P. P. Vervaart, M. Permezel, H. M. Georgiou, and G. E. Rice, "Altered placental oxidative stress status in gestational diabetes mellitus," *Placenta*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 78–84, 2004.
- [27] H. Kaneda, J. Taguchi, K. Ogasawara, T. Aizawa, and M. Ohno, "Increased level of advanced oxidation protein products in

- patients with coronary artery disease," *Atherosclerosis*, vol. 162, no. 1, pp. 221–225, 2002.
- [28] A. Piwowar, M. Knapik-Kordecka, and M. Warwas, "AOPP and its relations with selected markers of oxidative/antioxidative system in type 2 diabetes mellitus," *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 188–192, 2007.
- [29] D. Gradinaru, C. Borsa, C. Ionescu, and D. Margina, "Advanced oxidative and glycoxidative protein damage markers in the elderly with type 2 diabetes," *Journal of Proteomics*, vol. 92, pp. 313–322, 2013.
- [30] M. Liang, J. Wang, C. Xie et al., "Increased plasma advanced oxidation protein products is an early marker of endothelial dysfunction in type 2 diabetes patients without albuminuria," *Journal of Diabetes*, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 417–426, 2014.
- [31] Ö. Karacay, A. Sepici-Dincel, D. Karcaaltincaba et al., "A quantitative evaluation of total antioxidant status and oxidative stress markers in preeclampsia and gestational diabetic patients in 24–36 weeks of gestation," *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, vol. 89, no. 3, pp. 231–238, 2010.
- [32] U. Çakatay, "Protein oxidation parameters in type 2 diabetic patients with good and poor glycaemic control," *Diabetes and Metabolism*, vol. 31, no. 6, pp. 551–557, 2005.
- [33] S. K. Patra, K. Singh, and R. Singh, "Paraoxonase 1: a better atherosclerotic risk predictor than HDL in type 2 diabetes mellitus," *Diabetes and Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research and Reviews*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 108–111, 2013.
- [34] V. Sozer, S. Himmetoglu, G. G. Korkmaz et al., "Paraoxonase, oxidized low density lipoprotein, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 and adhesion molecules are associated with macrovascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus," *Minerva Medica*, vol. 105, no. 3, pp. 237–244, 2014.
- [35] H. Camuzcuoglu, H. Toy, H. Cakir, H. Celik, and O. Erel, "Decreased paraoxonase and arylesterase activities in the pathogenesis of future atherosclerotic heart disease in women with gestational diabetes mellitus," *Journal of Women's Health*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 1435–1439, 2009.
- [36] D. Agnani, O. Camacho-Vanegas, C. Camacho et al., "Decreased levels of serum glutathione peroxidase 3 are associated with papillary serous ovarian cancer and disease progression," *Journal of Ovarian Research*, vol. 4, no. 1, article 18, 2011.
- [37] D.-F. Peng, T.-L. Hu, B. G. Schneider, Z. Chen, Z.-K. Xu, and W. El-Rifai, "Silencing of glutathione peroxidase 3 through DNA hypermethylation is associated with lymph node metastasis in gastric carcinomas," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 7, no. 10, Article ID e46214, 2012.
- [38] B. G. Baez-Duarte, I. Zamora-Ginez, F. Mendoza-Carrera et al., "Serum levels of glutathione peroxidase 3 in overweight and obese subjects from central Mexico," *Archives of Medical Research*, vol. 43, no. 7, pp. 541–547, 2012.
- [39] K. Iwata, T. Nishinaka, K. Matsuno, and C. Yabe-Nishimura, "Increased gene expression of glutathione peroxidase-3 in diabetic mouse heart," *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 1042–1045, 2006.
- [40] M. Lappas, U. Hiden, G. Desoye, J. Froehlich, S. H.-D. Mouzon, and A. Jawerbaum, "The role of oxidative stress in the pathophysiology of gestational diabetes mellitus," *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 3061–3100, 2011.