



# Functional Genetic Diversity and Culturability of Petroleum-Degrading Bacteria Isolated From Oil-Contaminated Soils

Ji-Quan Sun<sup>1†</sup>, Lian Xu<sup>1†</sup>, Xue-Ying Liu<sup>1</sup>, Gui-Fang Zhao<sup>2</sup>, Hua Cai<sup>2</sup>, Yong Nie<sup>1</sup> and Xiao-Lei Wu<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Energy & Resources Engineering, College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup> School of Environment, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Rajesh K. Sani, South Dakota School of Mines and Technology, United States

### Reviewed by:

Jiandong Jiang, Nanjing Agricultural University, China Binbin Liu, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

> \*Correspondence: Xiao-Lei Wu xiaolei\_wu@pku.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work.

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbiotechnology, Ecotoxicology and Bioremediation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 06 April 2018 Accepted: 31 May 2018 Published: 20 June 2018

#### Citation:

Sun J-Q, Xu L, Liu X-Y, Zhao G-F, Cai H, Nie Y and Wu X-L (2018) Functional Genetic Diversity and Culturability of Petroleum-Degrading Bacteria Isolated From Oil-Contaminated Soils. Front. Microbiol. 9:1332. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01332 In this study, we compared the culturability of aerobic bacteria isolated from long-term oil-contaminated soils via enrichment and direct-plating methods; bacteria were cultured at 30°C or ambient temperatures. Two soil samples were collected from two sites in the Shengli oilfield located in Dongying, China. One sample (S0) was close to the outlet of an oil-production water treatment plant, and the other sample (S1) was located 500 m downstream of the outlet. In total, 595 bacterial isolates belonging to 56 genera were isolated, distributed in Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacterioidetes, and Proteobacteria. It was interesting that Actinobacteria and Firmicutes were not detected from the 16S rRNA gene clone library. The results suggested the activation of rare species during culture. Using the enrichment method, 239 isolates (31 genera) and 96 (22 genera) isolates were obtained at ambient temperatures and 30°C, respectively, from S0 soil. Using the direct-plating method, 97 isolates (15 genera) and 163 isolates (20 genera) were obtained at ambient temperatures and 30°C, respectively, from two soils. Of the 595 isolates, 244 isolates (41.7% of total isolates) could degrade n-hexadecane. A greater number of alkane-degraders was isolated at ambient temperatures using the enrichment method, suggesting that this method could significantly improve bacterial culturability. Interestingly, the proportion of alkane degrading isolates was lower in the isolates obtained using enrichment method than that obtained using direct-plating methods. Considering the greater species diversity of isolates obtained via the enrichment method, this technique could be used to increase the diversity of the microbial consortia. Furthermore, phenol hydroxylase genes (phe/N), medium-chain alkane monooxygenases genes (alkB and CYP153A), and long-chain alkane monooxygenase gene (almA) were detected in 60 isolates (11 genotypes), 91 isolates (27 genotypes) and 93 isolates (24 genotypes), and 34 isolates (14 genotypes), respectively. This study could provide new insights into microbial resources from oil fields or other environments, and this information will be beneficial for bioremediation of petroleum contamination and for other industrial applications.

Keywords: ambient temperature, bacteria, bioremediation, culturability, petroleum degradation

# INTRODUCTION

Microbes not only have crucial roles in global carbon and nitrogen cycles, but also play an important role in industrial processes, such as bioremediation. Culture-independent methods can give us detailed information regarding the diversity of microbial communities across the world, and they can help to define functional genes via metagenomic, metatranscriptomic, and metaproteomic approaches. However, it is still important to study the functions and ecological roles of these microbes in their ecosystems; these studies are usually performed using pure cultures. Currently, the majority of microbes remain uncultivated (Hobbie et al., 1977; Roszak and Colwell, 1987). Therefore, improvements in the culturability of microbes are becoming increasingly important for understanding their functions in the environments, and their potential applications.

Bacterial alkane and aromatic compounds degradation are essential for bioremediation of petroleum-contaminated environments. More than 60 genera of aerobic bacteria and 5 genera of anaerobic bacteria that can degrade n-alkanes and more than 100 microbial genera than can degrade aromatic compounds have been reported. Alkane hydroxylases (AHs), which catalyze the hydroxylation of alkanes, are key enzymes in aerobic degradation of alkanes by bacteria. The integralmembrane alkane monooxygenase (AlkB)-related AHs and the cytochrome P450 CYP153 family are two common AHs in bacteria (Nie et al., 2014a). Furthermore, flavin-binding LCalkane monooxygenase (almA) and thermophilic soluble LCalkane monooxygenase (ladA) genes have also been found to be involved in hydroxylation of alkanes (Wentzel et al., 2007; Wang and Shao, 2012). Phenol hydroxylation is a vital step in biodegradation of phenolics, and is catalyzed by phenol hydroxylase. Among the phenol hydroxylase systems, two main classes have been reported, the "two-gene-component" and the "six-gene-component" systems (Powlowski and Shingler, 1994).

However, compared to known isolates, there are still many more unknown petroleum-degrading bacteria in the environment (Nie et al., 2014a). Culture-independent approaches using both, 16S rRNA genes (Jiao et al., 2016) and functional genes (Yang et al., 2014), have been used for isolation of diverse bacteria from petroleum contaminated soils. There are more studies focusing on the succession of bacterial community structures in oil-contaminated soils during bioremediation (Ai-Kindi and Abed, 2016; Leewis et al., 2016; Pacwa-Plociniczak et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2016, 2017). It has been shown that bacterial compositions differ significantly between culture-dependent and -independent methods (Stefani et al., 2015). After years of enrichment, the diverse microbes in petroleum contaminated soils provide an ideal species pool for isolating petroleumdegrading bacteria (Bhattacharya et al., 2003; M'rassi et al., 2015; El Mahdi et al., 2016). However, compared to the microbial diversity in petroleum contaminated soils estimated using culture-independent studies, the number of isolates obtained from these environments is still limited and the functions of majority of the microbes in such environments are still unknown.

The limitations of culturing such microbes may be due to failure to mimic essential aspects of bacterial environments, such as nutrition, environmental conditions, and microbial interactions (Zengler et al., 2002). Previous studies have tried to improve the culturability of microbes isolated from the environment by varying incubating conditions, such as using different medium (Gartner et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2014b), temperature (Weichart et al., 1992; Junge et al., 2002), pressure (Gartner et al., 2011), as well as adding antioxidants (Muresu et al., 2013). Few studies have focused on the effects of oscillations in ambient-temperature on bacterial culturability. Interestingly, bacterial strains also synchronize their circadian rhythms to day/night cycles (Huang et al., 1990), and oscillations in ambienttemperature in nature may influence the growth of microbes. In this study in order to investigate the functions of microbes in petroleum-contaminated soils, we improved the culturability of microbes by simulating ambient temperatures in nature, and characterized new petroleum-degrading bacteria from two different petroleum contaminated soils. The distribution of genes associated with petroleum degradation was also investigated.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Sample Collection

Two soil samples were collected from two sites in the Shengli oilfield located in Dongying, Shandong Province, China. One sample (S0) was close to the outlet of an oil-production water treatment plant, and the other sample (S1) was located 500 m downstream of the outlet. The soil at these sites had been contaminated by crude oil for more than 10 years. Soil samples were transported to the laboratory at  $4^{\circ}$ C immediately following collection. The characteristics of the soil, analyzed by standard methods, are listed in Table S1.

The oil-production water used for cultivating the bacterial strains was sampled from the Gudao wastewater treatment plant in the same oil field. The physical-chemical properties of the oil-production water were determined using standard methods. The characteristics of the oil-production water were as follows: pH 8.5, 22.82 mg total nitrogen  $l^{-1}$ , 0.18 mg total phosphorous  $l^{-1}$ , 1,640 mg COD<sub>Cr</sub>  $l^{-1}$ . The heavy crude oil used as carbon and energy source was collected directly from the outlet of the production well.

## 16S rRNA Gene Clone Library Analysis

Extraction of DNA from oil-contaminated soil and amplification of 16S rRNA genes were carried out as previously described (Yu et al., 2011). PCR products were purified using the PCR-product purification kit (BioTech, China), and were ligated into pGEM T-easy vectors (Promega, USA) according to manufacturer's instruction. The ligated products were transformed into *Escherichia coli* DH5 $\alpha$ , and ampicillin resistant colonies were picked up. The inserted fragments from each colony were amplified using the primers SP6 (ATT TAG GTG ACA CTA TAG) and T7 (TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GG). Amplified products were separated into different types using restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) analysis with *Rsa*I and *Hha*I in order to pick up clones with different 16S rRNA gene insertion. In total, 55 positive clones were picked up from the clone library; these clones were subdivided into 21 different types according to their RFLP results. Thirty clones were selected for DNA sequencing.

## **Bacteria Isolation**

Aerobic bacteria were isolated from soil sample S0 using two different strategies. In the first method, soil sample S0 was immediately transferred to the appropriate media for enrichment after being transported to the laboratory. Three kinds of media were used for enrichment. The G3 mineral salt medium consisted of 5.0 g NaCl l<sup>-1</sup>, 1.0 g K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> l<sup>-1</sup>, 1.0 g NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> l<sup>-1</sup>, 1.0 g  $\rm NH_4SO_4~l^{-1},~0.2~g~MgSO_4\cdot7H_2O~l^{-1},~and~3.0~g~KNO_3~l^{-1}$  in ddH2O, adjusted to pH 8.0-8.5. The G2 medium was made using oil-production water supplemented with 1.0 g yeast extract 1<sup>-1</sup>. The G5 mineral salt medium had the same mineral salt concentration and pH as the G3 medium, but the salts were dissolved in 0.91 oil-production water and 0.11 ddH<sub>2</sub>O. One gram of sampled soil was added into each medium supplemented with 1% sterilized heavy crude oil. Cultures were then incubated at ambient, or at a constant temperature of 30°C; cultures were shaken at 150 rpm. Cultures were passaged using fresh media supplemented with 2-10% crude oil every 20 days. After three passages, the enriched cultures were serially diluted and plated onto agars. Agar plates were incubated at the same temperatures as the enrichment temperature. After a 14-day incubation period, all colonies on agar plates were collected for further investigation.

For the second strategy, bacteria were directly isolated from soil samples without enrichment. Soil samples were diluted with the 10-fold dilution method, and the dilutions were plated on oil-production water agar (PW agar: 1000 ml of oil-production water, 15 g of agar) and mineral salt agar (MSM agar: 0.5 g NaCl  $l^{-1}$ , 1 g NH<sub>4</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>  $l^{-1}$ , 1 g (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>  $l^{-1}$ , 0.2 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O  $l^{-1}$ , 3 g KNO<sub>3</sub>  $l^{-1}$ , 1 g K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>  $l^{-1}$ , 15 g agar  $l^{-1}$ ). The agar plates were incubated at either ambient or constant temperature of 30°C in the dark. After a 1-week incubation period, all colonies present on the plates were collected for further investigation. Bacteria were also directly isolated from soil samples using the same method.

The prefixes of the isolate names were proposed according to sampling sites, the isolation medium, and the isolation temperature (Table S2). Purified isolates were primarily identified via 16S rRNA gene analysis, which was performed according to previously described protocol (Wang et al., 2007b). The 16S rRNA gene sequence obtained was analyzed using the BLAST tool in NCBI (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and Classifier in RDP (http://rdp.cme.msu.edu/classifier/classifier. jsp). Reference sequences were retrieved from GenBank. After multiple sequence alignments using the CLUSTAL\_X tool and manual correction, a phylogenetic tree based on the 16S rRNA gene was constructed using the neighborjoining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) contained in the MEGA 6.0 software (Tamura et al., 2013). Tree topology was evaluated with bootstrap analysis based on 1,000 resampling replicates.

# Amplification of *alkB*, *CYP153A*, *alma*, and *pheN* Genes

Genomic DNA was extracted from the isolates as previously described (Wang et al., 2007b). The phenol hydroxylase genes pheN as well as alkane monooxygenase genes including alkB, CYP153A, and almA were amplified and sequenced as previously described (Sun et al., 2014b). Representative isolates of all phylotypes were selected for target gene detection. The PCR products were purified and directly sequenced using amplification primers (Sun et al., 2014b). The obtained sequences were searched against the NR database using BLAST (http://blast. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), and the nearest reference sequences were retrieved. The derived amino acid sequences were aligned by CLUSTAL\_X and manual correction. The phylogenetic tree was constructed after alignment using the neighbor-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) in the MEGA 6.0 software (Tamura et al., 2013). Tree topology was evaluated with bootstrap analysis based on 1,000 resampling replicates.

# Detection of Phenol- and Alkane-Degrading Abilities

The isolates were first cultured in artificial sea water medium (ASW: 1.0 g yeast extract  $L^{-1}$ , 5.0 g tryptone  $l^{-1}$ , 4.0 g Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>  $l^{-1}$ , 0.68 g KCl  $l^{-1}$ , 0.1 g KBr  $L^{-1}$ , 0.025 g H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>  $l^{-1}$ , 5.4 g  $\begin{array}{l} MgCl_2 \cdot H_2O \ l^{-1}, \ 1.5 \ g \ CaCl_2 \cdot H_2O \ l^{-1}, \ 0.024 \ g \ SrCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O \ l^{-1}, \\ 0.2 \ g \ NaHCO_3 \ l^{-1}, \ 0.2 \ g \ Na_2HPO_4 \ l^{-1}, \ 0.5 \ g \ NH_4Cl \ l^{-1}, \ 0.002 \ g \end{array}$ NaF 1<sup>-1</sup>, 24 g NaCl 1<sup>-1</sup>, 15 g agar 1<sup>-1</sup>; pH 7.0-8.0) at 25°C with shaking at 150 rpm for 5 days. Cells were then harvested by centrifugation (2,000 g at  $4^{\circ}$ C for 10 min). Cell pellets were washed twice using sterile saline, and were suspended in an equal volume of saline. Then, 30 µl cells were inoculated into 3 ml MSM supplemented with  $100 \text{ mg phenol } l^{-1}$  (final concentration) or 100 mg hexadecane  $l^{-1}$  as sole carbon sources. Cell cultures were incubated at 30°C with shaking at 150 rpm in the dark, and cell growth was measured. After 5 days, cell cultures were sampled, and the concentrations of residual phenol and hexadecane were detected, as previously described (Sun et al., 2011).

## **Sequence Accession Numbers**

The 16S rRNA gene sequences of the clone library from contaminated soils were deposited into GenBank under the accession numbers KC763859-KC763888. The 16S rRNA gene sequences of the isolated strains were under the accession numbers KP711432-KP711625; the phenol hydroxylase genes and the flavin-binding monooxygenase gene *almA* were under the numbers KP711626-KP711653; the *alkB* and *CYP153A* genes were deposited into GenBank under the numbers KT160363-KT160415.

# RESULTS

## Isolation of Aerobic Bacterial Strains From Soils Under Ambient and Constant Temperatures

In this work, a total of 595 bacterial isolates were isolated from the soils, including 335 isolates via S0 soil enrichments and 260 isolates via direct isolation from both soil S0 and S1. Among these, 336 and 259 bacterial species were obtained under ambient and constant temperatures, respectively.

The isolates were distributed into four phyla (Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, Bacterioidetes, and Proteobacteria), and belonged to 56 genera; they were classified into 149 phylotypes. Proteobacteria was the most dominant phylum in the isolates. At the genus level, Pseudomonas, Marinobacter, and Bacillus were the dominant genera (Figure 1, Figure S1, Table 2). According to colony morphology and phylogenetic analysis, 335 isolates obtained from "S0" soil using the enrichment method were affiliated into 39 genera and were classified into 109 phylotypes. It was notable that enrichment and isolation at ambient temperatures enhanced bacterial culturability. (i) The number of isolates obtained when incubated at ambient temperatures was much higher as compared with that when incubated at a constant temperature of 30°C. Ambient temperature enrichment yielded 239 isolates that were distributed into 31 genera; 96 isolates distributed into 22 genera were obtained from enrichment under constant temperature. (ii) Isolates cultured in ambient temperatures exhibited higher  $\alpha$ -diversity as compared with those cultured at 30°C. The Shannon-Wiener index values for bacteria cultured at ambient temperature were all higher than 2.0, while those cultured at a constant temperature were < 2.0(Table 2); (iii) Higher number of unique genera (only obtained by one cultivating method) were obtained by incubating at ambient temperatures. We collected 17 unique genera, including Achromobacter, Agromyces, Bordetella, Brachybacterium, Dietzia, Enterobacter, Erythrobacter, Hydrogenophaga, Hyphomonas, Idiomarina, Kocuria, Mycobacterium, Providencia, Pusillimonas, Rheinheimera, Staphylococcus, and Vibrio, by incubating at ambient temperatures. Only seven unique genera were obtained by incubating at a constant temperature of 30°C. In addition, 15 genera were isolated under both culture conditions (Figure 1 and Figure S2). (iv) More novel or potential novel strains with lower 16S rRNA gene identities (<98.6%) were obtained at ambient temperatures as compared with those obtained at 30°C (Table 1); two strains obtained at ambient temperatures have been published as type strains for novel species: Negadavirga shengliensis SLG210A2-5 (Hu et al., 2015) and Glycocaulis albus SLG210-30A1<sup>T</sup> (Lv et al., 2014). These results suggested that ambient temperature cultures improve the culturability of bacteria from environmental samples.

Furthermore, the effects of ambient/constant temperature differ between various taxa. For example, more number of Gammaproteobacteria and Betaproteobacteria were obtained by cultivating under the ambient temperatures: 8 *Betaproteobacteria* phylotypes (35 isolates, 6 genera) were isolated at ambient temperature, while only one phylotype (including 23 isolates) were obtained at 30°C. Similarly, a higher number of Gammaproteobacteria isolates were obtained at ambient temperature as compared with those obtained at a constant temperature. However, at the order level, *Pseudoxanthomonas* belonging to Xanthomonadales was only obtained from cultures incubated at 30°C (Figure S1).

A total of 110 and 150 isolates were obtained from S0 and S1 soils, respectively, using the direct-plating (without

enrichment) method. These 260 isolates (27 genera) were affiliated with Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria, and were classified into 32 genera, and 45 phylotypes. In contrast to the enrichment method, more strains were isolated at constant temperature (163 isolates, 20 genera), rather than at ambient temperatures (97 isolates, 15 genera) using this method. (i) The α-diversities (Simpson index) of isolates cultured under ambient temperatures were lower as compared with those cultured under 30°C constant temperature (Table 1). (ii) More number of novel strains were obtained by culturing at a constant temperature (8 novel species) than were obtained by culturing at ambient temperatures (1 novel species) (Table 1). The strains directly isolated from the soil have been identified as novel taxonomic units, and include Salinarimonas ramus SL014B-41A4<sup>T</sup> (Cai et al., 2011b), *Tessaracoccus oleiagri* SL014B-20A1<sup>T</sup> (Cai et al., 2011b), Halomonas shengliensis SL014B-85<sup>T</sup> (Wang et al., 2007a), Halomonas gudaonensis SL014B-69<sup>T</sup> (Wang et al., 2007b), Marinobacter gudaonensis SL014B-61A<sup>T</sup> (Gu et al., 2007), Rubrimonas shengliensis SL014B-28A2<sup>T</sup> (Cai et al., 2011a), Polymorphum gilvum SL003B-26A1<sup>T</sup> (Cai et al., 2011a) and Nitratireductor shengliensis SL014B-25A<sup>T</sup> (Pan et al., 2014), and Marinobacter shengliensis SL013A-34A2<sup>T</sup> (Luo et al., 2015).

# Isolation Conditions Affect the Proportion of Petroleum Degraders

Hexadecane was able to be used as the sole carbon and energy source for growth of 244 isolates (Tables 1, 2). Using the enrichment method, 88 (36.8% of isolates) and 32 (33.0% of isolates) hexadecane-degrading isolates were obtained by incubating at ambient temperatures and a constant temperature, respectively. Specifically, using the G2 medium for enriching strains, 43 and one hexadecane-degrading isolates were obtained by the ambient and constant temperature method; the ratio of the number of hexadecane-degrading isolates obtained under ambient temperatures to that obtained under a constant temperature method were 29:7 and 16:24 for G3 and G5 media, respectively. Using the direct-plating method, 21 and 35 hexadecane-degrading isolates were obtained from S0 soil at ambient and constant temperatures, respectively; 37 and 31 hexadecane-degrading isolates were isolated from S1 soil at ambient and constant temperatures, respectively. It is worth noting that the number of hexadecane-degrading isolates obtained by culturing at ambient temperature were slightly higher than those obtained by culturing at a constant temperature. In addition, the direct plating method led to a higher ratio of hexadecane degraders as compared with that obtained via the enrichment method using G2 and G3 as enrichment media. Specifically, some isolates, such as Pseudomonas spp. SLG510A3-14 and SL014A-33A1-2, Enterobacter sp. SLG510A3-6, Acinetobacter spp. SLG310A2-9 and SL003A-18A1, Nitratireductor sp. SLG510B6-18, Bacillus sp. SLG210A2-18-1, Rhizobium sp. SL014B-37A2, Labrenzia sp. SL014B-4A2, Paracoccus sp. SL013B-8A1, Planococcus sp. SL013A-41A-2, Halomonas sp. SL014B-63A1-2, and Marinobacter sp. SL013A-25A demonstrated robust growth in MSM supplemented with hexadecane as the sole carbon



#### TABLE 1 | Basic information of strains isolated from different enrichment conditions.

	S0									S1				
	G2		G3		G5		PW		MSM		PW		MSM	
	Α	В	Α	В	Α	В	Α	В	Α	В	Α	В	Α	В
Isolates	103	17	88	42	48	37	7	38	31	34	37	9	22	82
Phylotypes	35	7	20	10	22	15	6	22	11	12	21	7	8	38
Genus	17	6	13	8	15	13	4	12	8	8	10	5	5	19
n-Hexadecane degrader	43	1	29	7	16	24	5	22	16	13	22	3	15	28
n-Hexadecane degrader (%)	41.7	5.9	33.0	16.7	33.3	64.9	71.4	57.9	51.6	38.2	59.5	33.3	68.2	34.1
Novel or potential strains	7	1	2	2	6	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	7
Simpson index	0.79	0.79	0.87	0.67	0.85	0.84	0.71	0.76	0.67	0.70	0.76	0.81	0.80	0.91
Shannon-Wiener index	2.08	1.56	2.18	1.43	2.21	1.95	1.15	1.82	1.44	1.50	1.75	0.73	1.45	2.58

source. Among them, nine strains were obtained from ambient-temperature cultures, and only six were obtained from constant-temperature cultures; five strains were obtained via the enrichment method, while eight strains were obtained via the direct-plating method. Furthermore, alkane-degrading ability was first detected in the *Enterobacter* and *Nitratireductor* strains.

Results indicated that 27 isolates were capable of degrading phenols. Among them, 18 isolates were obtained from ambient temperature cultures, and 9 isolates were obtained from constant temperature cultures. All phenol-degrading bacterial strains were assigned to Proteobacteria: one *Brevundimonas* and two *Stappia* isolates belonging to Alphaproteobacteria, three *Thauera* and nine *Achromobacter* isolates belonging to Betaproteobacteria,

as well as five *Marinobacter* and seven *Pseudomonas* isolates belonging to Gammaproteobacteria (**Table 2**). These were some differences in the taxonomy of phenol-degrading strains isolated from oil-production water; all phenol-degrading strains belonged to *Betaproteobacteria* (Sun et al., 2014b).

# Distribution of *pheN*, *alkB*, CYP153A, and *almA* Genes in Isolates

Phenol hydroxylase, which catalyzes the initial step in most phenol catabolic pathway, is usually used to evaluate the phenol-degrading ability of bacterial strains (Qian et al., 1997; Sun et al., 2012, 2014a). The *pheN* gene was detected in 60 isolates, including 35 isolates (6 phylotypes; 10.7% of total TABLE 2 | Degrading characteristics of isolated bacterial strains and the gene amplification of phenol-degrading gene and alkane-degrading genes.

Strain	Closest type strains	Amount	GenBank No.	Identities (%)	Bioc	legradation	Genes			
					Phe	n-Hex <sup>a</sup>	pheN	alkB	P450	almA
SLG510A3-4A2	Pseudomonas songnenensis	1	NR_148295	99.9	_	+	_	_	_	_
SLG210A2-34-3	Pseudomonas songnenensis	1	NR_148295	99.9	_	+	_	_	-	-
SLG310A2-2	- Pseudomonas songnenensis	2	NR_148295	99.9	_	+	-	-	_	_
SLG310A2-40	Pseudomonas songnenensis	13	LT629797	99.0	_	_	-	+	_	_
SLG510A3-14	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2	KT825518	100	_	+++++	_	_	_	_
SLG310A2-6A1-2	Pseudomonas balearica	3	NR 025972	99.7	+	+	_	_	_	_
SLG210B1-9A1	Marinobacter alkaliphilus	1		100	_	_	_	+	+	_
SLG510A10-4	Marinobacter shengliensis	3	KP711478.1	100	_	_	+	+	+	+
SLG210A2-10B2-2	Providencia burhodogranariea	2	NR 104914	99.4	_	++	_	_	_	_
SLG510A3-6	Enterobacter asburiae	- 1	CP011863	99.6	_	·· ++++	_	+	_	_
SI G31042-9		16	NB 042049	100	_	· · · · ·	_	_	т	_
SLG510A10-22B1		1	NR 042049	100	_	++++	_	т 	т 	_
SLG510A2 11A1		0	NR_042049	100		++++		т ,	т ,	
SLG510AS-TIAT		2	NR_042049	100	-	++++	-	+	+	-
SLG510A0-3		2	NR_042049	100	-	++++	-	+	+	-
SLG510B10-21		2	NR_042049	100	-	++++	-	+	+	-
SLG310B1-12	Pseudoxantnomonas mexicana	2	NR_113973	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG310B2-40A1	Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana	1	NR_113973	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG210A2-35-2	Hydrogenophaga palleronii	1	NR_114132	99.1	-	+	-	-	+	-
SLG210A2-28A1	Bordetella bronchiseptica	2	NR_113628	98.1	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG310A2-11A1	Achromobacter pulmonis	7	NR_117644	99.8	+	-	+	-	-	-
SLG510A3-26	Achromobacter pulmonis	2	NR_117644	99.8	+	-	+	-	-	-
SLG210A2-36-1	Tistrella mobilis	1	NR_114036	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG210A3-2-1	Tistrella mobilis	1	NR_114036	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG510A2-12-2	Tistrella mobilis	1	NR_114036	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG510A10-23	Tistrella mobilis	1	NR_114036	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG510B2-10	Tistrella mobilis	8	NR_114036	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG510B10-1	Tistrella mobilis	5	NR_114036	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG310A2-23	Sphingopyxis chilensis	1	NR_024631	99.6	-	-	-	-	+	-
SLG310B1-4-2	Sphingopyxis chilensis	4	NR_024631	99.6	-	-	-	-	+	-
SLG310B2-17	Sphingopyxis chilensis	4	NR_024631	99.6	-	-	-	-	+	-
SLG310A2-18B3	Erythrobacter citreus	2	NR_028741	99.4	-	-	-	-	+	-
SLG210A2-1-1	Hyphomonas polymorpha	3	KF863144	98.6	-	-	-	-	+	-
SLG310B2-7A2-2	Brevundimonas diminuta	1	NR_113602	99.9	+	-	+	-	-	-
SLG510B6-18	Nitratireductor aquibiodomus	1	NR_025262	99.6	-	+ + + + +	-	+	-	-
SLG310A2-16	Nitratireductor indicus	4	NR_117518	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG510B6-1	Nitratireductor indicus	2	NR_117518	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SLG310A2-20	Nitratireductor soli	3	KP639570	99.9	_	+	-	-	-	-
SLG310B1-11	Nitratireductor indicus	1	NR_117518	97.8	_	+	_	_	-	_
SLG310B2-11	Nitratireductor indicus	1	NR_117518	97.8	_	+	-	-	_	_
SLG310B1-40A2	Rhizobium halotolerans	1	NR 125632	99.9	_	+	_	_	_	_
SLG310B2-4-1	Rhizobium halotolerans	1		99.9	_	+	_	_	_	_
SLG510A10-14B1	Rhizobium halotolerans	1	NR 125632	99.9	_	+	_	_	_	_
SLG510A3-33	Rhizobium halotolerans	1	NR 125632	99.9	_	+	_	_	_	_
SLG510A10-12	Rhizobium helanshanense	1	NR 133019	99.6	_	_	_	+	+	_
SLG210A2-38-1	Agrobacterium fabrum	1	NR 074266	100	_	++	_	_	_	_
SI G210B1-12	Agrobacterium fabrum	1	NR 074266	100	_	++	_	_	_	_
SI G510A10-7R2	Aarobacterium fabrum	1	NR 074266	100	_	++	_	_	_	_
SLG510B10-28	Aarobacterium fabrum	י ס	NR 074266	100	_		_	_	_	_
SI G210A6 6	Eviquobacterium postuprii	∠ 1	NR 042005	00 5		тт 1	_	_		
5LGZ 10A0-0	Enguobacienun aestuan	1	1411_043003	33.0	_	т	-	-	-	-

(Continued)

#### TABLE 2 | Continued

Phe   mHz <sup>2</sup> phel   mHz <sup>3</sup> phel   ph	Strain	Closest type strains	Amount	GenBank No.	Identities (%)	Bioc	Biodegradation		Genes			
SL2110A-39-1 Baoks transheni 11 NR_133704 69.8 - +++ - - -   SL2120A-16-4 Baoks sumsheni 4 NR_103704 99.8 - +++ - - -   SL210A-16-4 Baoks sumsheni 1 NR_102038 99.9 - + - - -   SL210A-22-1 Baoks summaria 1 NR_103015 99.5 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -						Phe	n-Hex <sup>a</sup>	pheN	alkB	P450	almA	
SiACP (Aos)-in-4 Backlas murals 5 NR_1042008 99.9 - +++ - - -   SLG2 (2010-39-1) Backlas murals 1 NR_1042008 99.9 - + - - - -   SLG2 (2010-29-1) Backlas murals 1 NR_1042002 99.1 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<	SLG210A2-29-1	Bacillus tianshenii	11	NR_133704	99.8	_	+++	_	_	_	_	
SLG21042-14C1   Backus murais   5   NR_D42683   99.9   -   +   -   -   -     SLG21042-2.5   Backus chimrasis   1   NR_D42682   90.7   -   +   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	SLG210A3-15-4	Bacillus tianshenii	4	NR_133704	99.8	_	+++	_	_	_	-	
SLG210A2-9-1   Backler mutals   1   N.P. (13965   99.3   -   +   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -  -	SLG210A2-14C1	Bacillus muralis	5	NR 042083	99.9	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SLG210A2 25-1 Bacilla vidnamensis 1 NR_023022 99.1 - + - - - -   SLG210A2-1 Bacilla vidnamensis 1 NR_003022 99.7 - + - - - - -   SLG210A2-1 Bacilla vidnamensis 1 NR_01016 99.5 - +++++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>SLG210A3-9-1</td> <td>Bacillus muralis</td> <td>1</td> <td>_ NR 042083</td> <td>99.9</td> <td>_</td> <td>+</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	SLG210A3-9-1	Bacillus muralis	1	_ NR 042083	99.9	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SLG2102-2   Basilia Juliasacharovans   1   NR_02002   98.7   -   +   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -    SLG210427   Arth	SLG210A2-25-1	Bacillus vietnamensis	1	_ NR 113995	99.1	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SLG210A2-11 Bacilus habsaccharovorans 1 NPL 040115 99.1 - + + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <	SLG210A2-2	Bacillus idriensis	1	_ NR 029022	99.7	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SLG210A2-18-1 Bacillo illoratis 3 NP_043015 98.5 - ++++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SLG210A2-11	Bacillus halosaccharovorans	1		99.1	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SLQ210A3-8 Bacillo liferails 3 NL_043015 96.5 - ++++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -  SLG510610-2-2 Microbacidemini	SLG210A2-18-1	Bacillus litoralis	3	NR 043015	98.5	_	++++	_	_	_	_	
SLG510B10-40B1-2 Mcroacetenium thalessium 2 NP_042481 99.4 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SLG210A3-8	Bacillus litoralis	3	_ NR 043015	98.5	_	++++	_	_	_	_	
SLG31042-4-1 Agronyose italicus 1 NR_043026 99.5 - + - - -   SLG51042-27-2 Kotoria turfarensis 2 DG51584 100 - + - - - -   SLG51042-104-27-2 Attrobacter protophormiae 1 NR_026195 100 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <	SLG510B10-40B1-2	Microbacterium thalassium	2	NR 042481	99.4	_	+	_	+	-	-	
SLG510A2-27-2 Kocura turfanensis 2 DG531634 100 - + - - +   SLG510A2-27-2 Kocura turfanensis 1 NR_026195 100 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>SLG310A2-4-1</td><td>Aaromvces italicus</td><td>1</td><td>NR 043026</td><td>99.5</td><td>_</td><td>+</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></t<>	SLG310A2-4-1	Aaromvces italicus	1	NR 043026	99.5	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SLGS10B2-13A2 Arthrobacter tumbae 1 NR_042078 99.7 - + - - - -   SLG210A2-70 Arthrobacter protophormiae 2 NR_0281965 100 - + - - - -   SLG210A2-39 Arthrobacter protophormiae 1 NR_116649 100 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SLG510A2-27-2	Kocuria turfanensis	2	_ DQ531634	100	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SLG210A6-7 Arthrobacter protophormiae 2 NR_026195 100 - + - - - -   SLG210A2-39-2 Arthrobacter protophormiae 1 NR_026195 100 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SLG510B2-13A2	Arthrobacter tumbae	1	NR 042078	99.7	_	+	_	_	+	_	
SLG210A2-33-2 Arthrobacter protophormile 1 NPL026195 100 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SLG210A6-7	Arthrobacter protophormiae	2	NR 026195	100	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SL0510B10-2-2 Micrococcus ternaus 1 NR_116849 100 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - </td <td>SLG210A2-38-2</td> <td>Arthrobacter protophormiae</td> <td>1</td> <td>NR 026195</td> <td>100</td> <td>_</td> <td>+</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	SLG210A2-38-2	Arthrobacter protophormiae	1	NR 026195	100	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SU03A-1A2 Rhizobium rosettiformans 1 NR_116445 100 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<	SLG510B10-2-2	Micrococcus terreus	1	NR 116649	100	_	+	_	_	_	_	
Subsection   Bit and advances   Figure 1   Figure 1 <td>SL 003A-1A2</td> <td>Rhizobium rosettiformans</td> <td>1</td> <td>NB 116445</td> <td>100</td> <td>_</td> <td>+</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	SL 003A-1A2	Rhizobium rosettiformans	1	NB 116445	100	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SL004A-8A1 Rhizoblum rosettiformans 1 NR_116445 100 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SL003B-10A2	Rhizobium selenitireducens	6	NR 044216	99.0	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SL014A-30A Rhizoblum rosstitionans 1 NR_116445 100 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<	SL004A-8A1	Rhizobium rosettiformans	14	NR 116445	100	_	+	_	_	_	_	
Number of the construction of the construct	SI 014A-30A	Rhizobium rosettiformans	1	NB 116445	100	_	+	_	_	_	_	
Stol 14B-7A2 Rhizobium tarimension 3 NR_117850 98.8 - ++++ - - - -   SL014B-37A3 Stappia indica 1 NR_117850 98.8 - +++ - - - -   SL014B-37A3 Stappia indica 1 NR_117850 99.8 - +++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SI 014B-16A1-1	Rhizobium selenitireducens	1	NR 044216	99.0	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SL014B-67AS Stappla indica 1 NR_116431 99.8 +++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SI 014B-37A2	Rhizobium tarimense	3	NR 117850	98.8	_	++++	_	_	_	_	
SL038-1943 Polymorphum gilvum 4 NR_074240 100 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SI 014B-87A3	Stappia indica	1	NR 116431	99.8	_	++	_	_	_	_	
SL014B-4A2 Labrenzia aggregata 1 NR_11361 99.7 - ++++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - </td <td>SL 003B-19A3</td> <td>Polymorphum ailyum</td> <td>4</td> <td>NR 074240</td> <td>100</td> <td>_</td> <td>+</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	SL 003B-19A3	Polymorphum ailyum	4	NR 074240	100	_	+	_	_	_	_	
Data in the Laboratory of the Properties Laboratory of the Properties INPERTISSING INPERTISSIN	SI 014B-4A2	l abrenzia aggregata	1	NB 113861	99.7	_	' +++	_	_	_	_	
SLO4A-4A Stappia meyerae 1 NR_115040 98.8 + + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SL 014B-40A2	Stappia meverae	1	NR 115949	98.8	+	+	_	_	_	_	
School and Art Department of the paracoccus homiensis 4 NR_043733 99.5 - + + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td< td=""><td>SI 004A-4A</td><td>Stappia meyerae</td><td>1</td><td>NR 115949</td><td>98.8</td><td>+</td><td>+</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>_</td></td<>	SI 004A-4A	Stappia meyerae	1	NR 115949	98.8	+	+	-	-	-	_	
SL013B-8A1 Paracoccus marcusii 1 NR_044922 100 - +++ + - - -   SL013B-8A1 Paracoccus marcusii 1 NR_044922 100 - +++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <	SL014B-56A3-1	Paracoccus homiensis	4	NB 043733	99.5	_	+	_	_	_	_	
Salinarimonas rosea 3 NR_116487 98.6 - ++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SL013B-8A1	Paracoccus marcusii	1	NR 044922	100	_	' +++	+	_	_	_	
SL014B-17A3 Porphyrobacter donghaensis 1 NR_025816 99.8 - - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <	SL014B-90A-2	Salinarimonas rosea	3	NR 116487	98.6	_	++	_	_	_	_	
SL013B-2A1-3 Porphyrobacter donghaensis 1 NR_025816 99.8 - + - - -   SL013A-50A Porphyrobacter colymbi 2 NR_114328 99.4 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>SI 014B-17A3</td> <td>Porphyrobacter donghaensis</td> <td>1</td> <td>NR 025816</td> <td>99.8</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>+</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	SI 014B-17A3	Porphyrobacter donghaensis	1	NR 025816	99.8	_	_	+	_	_	_	
SL013A-50A Porphyrobacter colymbi 2 NR_114328 99.4 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<	SL013B-2A1-3	Porphyrobacter donghaensis	1	NR 025816	99.8	_	_	+	_	_	_	
SL014A-10A1 Porphyrobacter colymbi 9 NR_11428 99.4 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<	SL 013A-50A	Porphyrobacter colymbi	2	NB 114328	99.4	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SL013A-40A1 Arthrobacter tumbae 1 NR_042078 99.9 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>SL 014A-10A1</td> <td>Porphyrobacter colymbi</td> <td>9</td> <td>NB 114328</td> <td>99.4</td> <td>_</td> <td>+</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	SL 014A-10A1	Porphyrobacter colymbi	9	NB 114328	99.4	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SL013A-41A-2 Planococcus antarcticus 3 CP016534 99.0 - +++++ - - - -   SL03B-7A-2 Planococcus antarcticus 1 CP016534 99.0 - +++++ - - - -   SL014B-56A1-1 Planococcus antarcticus 1 CP016534 99.0 - +++++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SL 013A-40A1	Arthrobacter tumbae	1	NR 042078	99.9	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SLOUBLY HALL Finance of the formation of the	SI 013A-41A-2	Planococcus antarcticus	3	CP016534	99.0	_	+++++	_	_	_	_	
SL014B-56A1-1 Planococcus antarcticus 1 CP016534 99.0 - ++++++ - - -   SL014B-4A1 Lysinibacillus alkalisoli 1 KX258757 99.6 - + - - - -   SL003B-20A2 Lysinibacillus fusiformis 1 NR_112569 99.9 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>SL003B-7A-2</td> <td>Planococcus antarcticus</td> <td>1</td> <td>CP016534</td> <td>99.0</td> <td>_</td> <td>+++++</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	SL003B-7A-2	Planococcus antarcticus	1	CP016534	99.0	_	+++++					
SL014B-4A1 Lysinibacillus alkalisoli 1 KX258757 99.6 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SL014B-56A1-1	Planococcus antarcticus	1	CP016534	99.0	_	+++++	_	_	_	_	
SL003B-20A2 Lysinibacillus fusiformis 1 NR_112569 99.9 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <t< td=""><td>SI 014B-4A1</td><td>l vsinibacillus alkalisoli</td><td>1</td><td>KX258757</td><td>99.6</td><td>_</td><td>+</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></t<>	SI 014B-4A1	l vsinibacillus alkalisoli	1	KX258757	99.6	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SL004B-24A Lysinibacillus fusiformis 1 NR_112569 99.9 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td< td=""><td>SL003B-20A2</td><td>Lysinibacillus fusiformis</td><td>1</td><td>NB 112569</td><td>99.9</td><td>_</td><td>' -</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></td<>	SL003B-20A2	Lysinibacillus fusiformis	1	NB 112569	99.9	_	' -	_	_	_	_	
SL004B-4A Bacillus megaterium 5 KJ476721 99.7 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SI 004B-24A	Lysinibacillus fusiformis	1	NB 112569	99.9	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SL014B-7A1-2 Bacillus megaterium 1 KJ476721 99.7 - + - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>SL 004B-4A</td> <td>Bacillus megaterium</td> <td>5</td> <td>K.I476721</td> <td>99.7</td> <td>_</td> <td>+</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td> <td>_</td>	SL 004B-4A	Bacillus megaterium	5	K.I476721	99.7	_	+	_	_	_	_	
SL003B-7B1-1 Bacillus subterraneus 2 NR_104749 99.4 - + - - - -   SL013B-14A3 Bacillus stratosphericus 1 NR_118441 100 - ++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SL014B-741-2	Bacillus megaterium	1	K.1476721	99.7	_	' -	_	_	_	_	
SL013B-14A3 Bacillus stratosphericus 1 NR_118441 100 - ++ - - - -   SL013B-30B1 Bacillus stratosphericus 1 NR_118441 100 - ++ - - - -   SL014B-20A2 Bacillus stratosphericus 1 NR_118441 100 - ++ - - - -   SL003B-19A2 Bacillus mojavensis 5 NR_118290 100 - + - - -	SL003B-7B1-1	Bacillus subterraneus	2	NB 104749	99.4		+ +	_	_	_	_	
SL003B-30B1 Bacillus stratosphericus 1 NR_118441 100 - ++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td< td=""><td>SI 013B-14A3</td><td>Bacillus stratosnhericus</td><td><u>د</u> 1</td><td>NR 118441</td><td>100</td><td>_</td><td>' ++</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></td<>	SI 013B-14A3	Bacillus stratosnhericus	<u>د</u> 1	NR 118441	100	_	' ++	_	_	_	_	
SL014B-20A2 Bacillus stratosphericus 1 NR_118441 100 - ++ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td< td=""><td>SL003B-30B1</td><td>Bacillus stratosphericus</td><td>' 1</td><td>NR 118441</td><td>100</td><td>_</td><td>++</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td><td>_</td></td<>	SL003B-30B1	Bacillus stratosphericus	' 1	NR 118441	100	_	++	_	_	_	_	
SL003B-19A2   Bacillus mojavensis   5   NR_118290   100   -   +   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -   -	SI 014B-2042	Bacillus stratosphericus	' 1	NR 118441	100	_	++	_	_	_	_	
	SI 003B-1942	Bacillus moiavonsis	5	NR 118290	100	_	+ +	_	_	_	_	
SL003B-1182-2 Bacillus aquimaris 1 NB 025241 99.5 – – – – – – – –	SL003B-11B2-2	Bacillus aquimaris	1	NR 025241	99.5	_	-	_	_	_	_	

(Continued)

#### TABLE 2 | Continued

Strain	Closest type strains	Amount	GenBank No.	Identities (%)	Biodegradation		Genes			
					Phe	n-Hex <sup>a</sup>	pheN	alkB	P450	almA
SL013A-42A1	Thauera selenatis	1	NR_026474	98.5	+	_	_	_	_	_
SL013A-36A-1	Thauera chlorobenzoica	2	CP018839	99.0	+	+	-	_	_	-
SL004B-31A1	Vibrio fluvialis	2	CP014035	99.9	-	+	-	_	_	-
SL014B-21B1-2	Pseudomonas stutzeri	1	CP002881	100	+	++	+	_	_	_
SL004A-44A	Pseudomonas stutzeri	6	CP002881	100	_	_	+	_	_	_
SL004B-21B1-1	Pseudomonas stutzeri	6	CP002881	100	_	_	+	_	_	_
SL003B-18B2-1	Pseudomonas stutzeri	2	CP002881	100	_	_	+	_	_	_
SL003A-2A1-2	Pseudomonas xanthomarina	2	NR 041044	99.1	_	+	_	_	_	_
SL003B-7A-3	Pseudomonas iaponica	1	KT825519	99.9	_	+	+	_	_	_
SL004B-28A-3	Pseudomonas japonica	1	KT825519	99.9	_	+	+	_	+	_
SL014B-42A3	Pseudomonas japonica	2	KT825519	99.9	+	++	+	_	_	_
SL003A-18A1	Acinetobacter indicus	1	NR 117784	100	_	· · + + + + +	_	_	+	_
SL014B-63A1-2	Halomonas ventosae	י 1	NR 042812	99.7	_		_	_	_	_
SL014A-11A2	Halomonas ventosae	3	NR_042812	99.7	_	++++	_	_	_	_
SL013A-32A1	Halomonas ventosae	1	NR_042812	99.9	_	++ +++	_	_	_	_
SL004A-30A	Halomonas ventosae	1	NR_042812	99.9	_	+++	_	_	_	_
SL014A-32A			NR_042012	00.6	_	+++	-	_	-	_
SLU14A-25A	Halomonoo moridiano	1	NR_042137	99.0	-	++	-	-	-	-
SLUTSA-TOA		0	NR_042000	99.3	-	-	+	-	-	-
SLUIJA-7AT		2	NR_120012	99.7	-	++	-	-	-	-
SL004B-23A3-1	Halomonas znaodongensis	1	NR_120012	99.7	-	++	-	-	-	-
SL013B-12A1-3	Halomonas znaodongensis	1	NR_125612	99.7	-	++	-	-	-	-
SL014B-11A2^	Marinobacter gudaonensis	9	DQ414419	100	-	-	-	+	+	+
SL014A-2A1	Marinobacter flavimaris	2	NR_025799	99.5	-	-	-	+	+	+
SL013A-35A	Marinobacter flavimaris	2	NR_025799	99.5	-	-	-	+	+	+
SL014B-80A2	Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus	2	NR_074619	100	-	-	+	+	+	+
SL014A-10A1-2	Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus	2	NR_074619	100	-	-	+	+	+	-
SL013A-7A2	Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus	2	NR_074619	100	-	-	+	+	+	-
SL004A-39A	Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus	1	NR_074619	99.9	-	-	-	+	-	+
SL014B-45A-2	Marinobacter shengliensis	1	KP711478	100	+	-	+	+	+	+
SL013B-15A5	Marinobacter shengliensis	3	KP711478	100	+	-	+	+	+	-
SL013A-34A-2*	Marinobacter shengliensis	1	KP711478	100	-	++	-	+	+	+
SL003A-19A-1	Marinobacter shengliensis	1	KP711478	100	-	+	-	+	+	-
SL013A-38A	Marinobacter alkaliphilus	1	NR_112223	99.7	-	-	-	+	-	+
SL013A-24A	Marinobacter shengliensis	1	KP711478	100	+	-	-	+	+	+
SL013A-25A	Marinobacter shengliensis	10	KP711478	100	-	+ + + +	-	+	+	+
SL004B-10A-2	Donghicola xiamenensis	2	NR_043565	98.1	-	+				
SL014B-22A1	Labrenzia alba	1	NR_042378	99.0	-	+	-	-	-	-
SL014B-55A2	Rhizobium tarimense	2	NR_117850	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SL004B-4A	Bacillus megaterium	1	KJ476721	99.7	-	+	-	-	-	-
SL014B-14A2	Microbacterium saccharophilum	1	NR_114342	99.5	-	+	-	-	-	-
SL003B-19B2-1	Leucobacter komagatae	1	NR_114929	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SL003B-1A8	Pseudoxanthomonas mexicana	1	NR_113973	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SL004B-16A2-3	Pseudomonas stutzeri	1	CP002881	99.7	+	-	+	-	-	-
SL013B-15A2	Marinobacter shengliensis	1	KP711478	99.9	-	-	-	-	+	+
SL014B-81A3-1	Marinobacter segnicrescens	1	NR_044124	100	-	+	-	-	-	-
SL014B-23A1-1	Marinobacter flavimaris	3	NR_025799	99.4	-	-	-	+	-	+
SL014B-22A2-2	Ornithinimicrobium pekingense	1	NR_043886	99.7	-	+++	-	-	-	-
SL014A-33A1-2	Pseudomonas graminis	1	NR_026395	98.9	-	+++++	-	+	+	-

\*strain is the type strain.

isolates) in ambient-temperature cultures and 25 isolates (8 phylotypes; 9.6% of total isolates from constant temperature) in constant-temperature cultures. Conversely, more number of strains harboring the pheN gene were isolated by the direct-plating method (34 isolates, 11 phylotypes; 13.6% of the total strains) as compared with that isolated by the enrichment method (26 isolates, 4 phylotypes; 7.7% of the total strains). These pheN genes were categorized into two clusters according to their phylogenetic distance (Figure 2). Cluster I contained 52 sequences (4 genotypes) from 52 isolates (10 phylotypes) belonging to Marinobacter, Pseudomonas, Achromobacter, and Halomonas. Among these, Achromobacter belonged to Betaproteobacteria, while the others belonged to Gammaproteobacteria. Gene sequences in Cluster I were closely related to pheN from the Pseudomonas strains. Cluster II contained 8 sequences (3 genotypes) from 4 phylotypes (8 isolates) belonging to Pseudomonas, Paracoccus, Porphyrobacter, and Brevundimonas; this cluster was closely related to those belonging to Alphaproteobacteria and Actinobacteria. We noted that different cultivating methods may result in different genes; strains harboring the Cluster II pheN genes in the present study were only obtained from constant temperature cultures, while all strains obtained from ambient temperature cultures and a few strains obtained from constant temperature cultures contained Cluster I pheN genes. Additionally, although the pheN gene was detected in Marinobacter sp. SLG510A10-4, Paracoccus sp. SL013B-8A1, Porphyrobacter sp. SL014B-17A3, and Halomonas sp. SL014B-63A1-2 and SL013A-18A, phenol-degrading abilities were not detected in these strains (Figure 2).

Terminal hydroxylation of alkanes yields the corresponding primary alcohols, and it is a crucial step that significantly enhances the hydrophilicity of substrates (Nie et al., 2011, 2014a,b). In bacteria, this reaction is catalyzed by different enzymes, including those encoded by *almA*, *CYP153A*, and *alkB/alkM*. A total of 27 unique *CYP153A* sequences were derived from 91 bacterial isolates that span over 13 genera; 24 unique *alkB/alkM* sequences were derived from 93 isolates in nine genera, and 14 *almA* sequences were obtained from 34 isolates in two genera.

The sequences of CYP153A, encoding a medium-chainlength alkane hydroxylase obtained from 91 isolates, were categorized into five clusters (Figure 3). As the biggest cluster, Cluster I contained 43 sequences (6 genotypes) from 36 isolates (11 phylotypes) belonging to Marinobacter and Rhizobium. Among them, three and two CYP153A sequences are present in Marinobacter spp. SL013B-15A2 and SL013A-34A-2, respectively. Cluster II included a unique sequence from 24 Acinetobacter isolates, which is closely related to Acinetobacter sp. NBRC100985 (ZP09221884). Cluster III consisted of two unique sequences from 11 Marinobacter isolates, which were closely related to Alvanivorax sp. DG881 (EDX90518) and Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus ATCC 49840 (YP005431650) (Grimaud et al., 2012). Cluster IV was composed of P450 CYP153A genes from Amycolicicoccus subflavus DQS3-9A1<sup>T</sup> (Nie et al., 2013). This cluster consisted of only two unique sequences from two distinct genera: Nitratireductor belonging to Alphaproteobacteria, and Pseudomonas belonging

to Gammaproteobacteria. Cluster V consisted of seven unique sequences from 25 isolates, which belonged to Hydrogenophaga (Betaproteobacteria), Erythrobacter, Hyphomonas, Sphingopyxis, and Porphyrobacter; these genes clustered with the CYP153 genes from strains belonging to Alphaproteobacteria. The ratio of the number of CYP153A-harboring isolates in ambient-temperature cultures to that in constant-temperature cultures was 24:13 (8:3 phylotypes), 22:2 (2:1), 0:7 (0:2), 1:1 (1:1), and 7:18 (4:3) in Cluster I, II, III, IV, and V, respectively, suggesting that ambient temperature may benefit isolation of stains containing the CYP153A gene. Furthermore, the method of isolation also somewhat affected the type of strains isolated. The ratio of the number of CYP153A-harboring isolates obtained by the enrichment method to that obtained by direct-plating method was 33:4 (8:4), 22:2 (2:1), 1:1 (1:1), 0:7 (0:2), and 14:11 (5:2) in cluster I, II, III, IV, and group II, respectively. Additionally, horizontal gene transfer was found in CYP153A genes. For example, CYP153A sequences from the Rhizobium sp. SLG510A10-12 and Marinobacter sp. SLG210B1-9A1 strains had identical CYP153A genes, and clustered together in the phylogenetic tree; this was inconsistent with the 16S rRNA gene tree (Figure 1). Furthermore, strains harboring Cluster I, Cluster IV, as well as Cluster V CYP153A genes did not grow in MSM supplemented with hexadecane as the sole carbon source. Interestingly, most of strains harboring the Cluster II and IV CYP153A gene were obtained by the enrichment method (Figure 3).

In total, 13 unique medium-length-chain hydroxylase alkB/alkM genes, an integral-membrane non-heme diiron monooxygenase, were obtained from 93 isolates and categorized into four clusters (Figure 4). As the biggest cluster, cluster I comprised of six unique sequences clustered with alkB/alkM genes from Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus VT8 (Singer et al., 2011). Among these sequences, only one sequence was obtained from Rhizobium sp. SLG510A10-12, the others were obtained from Marinobacter strains. Genes in cluster II, which clustered with Acinetobacter sp. ADP1, consisted only of one unique sequence from three distinct genera: Acinetobacter, Bacillus, and Microbacterium, which belonged to Gammaproteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Actinobacteria, respectively. Cluster III contained two unique sequences from 24 isolates belonging to Nitratireductor (Alphaproteobacteria), Microbacterium (Actinobacteria), and Pseudomonas (Gammaproteobacteria) strains. This cluster was usually detected in strains belonging to Actinobacteria, in the Dietzia genus (Sun et al., 2014b). Cluster IV had only a single unique sequence from three Marinobacter isolates obtained at a constant temperature, and it showed the highest identity to the alkB gene in Pseudomonas sp. GPo1 (van Beilen et al., 2001). Cluster V consisted of three unique sequences from 17 isolates belonging to two Gammaproteobacteria genera: Enterobacter and Pseudomonas. Similar to the case of CYP153A gene, cultivation mode also somewhat affected the clusters of *alkB/alkM* genes. (i) The ratio of the number of *alkB/alkM* -harboring isolates by direct-plating method to that by enrichment methods was 42:5 (11:3), 0:24 (0:4), 1:3 (1:3), 3:0 (1:0), and 0:17 (0:3) in Cluster I, II, III, IV, and V, respectively. The direct-plating method resulted







FIGURE 3 | Phylogenetic trees were constructed based on the partial amino sequences of the (A) P450 (CYP153A) and (B) 16S rRNA gene sequences of bacterial strains which were obtained from the oil-contaminated soils. The strains isolated at ambient temperature were indicated by bolded red letters; isolates cultured at 30°C were indicated by bold letters in blue; numbers in square brackets are the number of isolates within this phylotype. The scale bar represents 0.1 substitutions per site.

in more isolates (strains) harboring sequences in Cluster I and IV, while the enrichment method resulted in strains harboring alkB/alkM in Cluster II and IV. (ii) The ratio of the number of strains obtained under ambient temperatures to that obtained under a constant temperature was 27:20 (9:5), 22:5 (3:2), 1:3 (1:2), 0:3 (0:1), and 14:6 (2:2) in Cluster I, II, III, IV, and V, respectively, suggesting that ambient temperatures promote isolation of strains harboring cluster II and Cluster V alkB/alkM genes, while a constant temperature results in higher number of isolates containing alkB/alkM genes in Cluster III and IV. For the first time, an alkane monooxygenase gene and hexadecanedegrading ability were detected in Nitratireductor species. (Figure 4) More interestingly, among the strains harboring Cluster I and V alkB/alkM genes, only strains Marinobacter sp. SL013A-34A-2 harboring alkB gene of Cluster I and Enterobacter sp. SLG510A3-6 could utilize alkanes as the sole carbon source for growth; no obvious growth was detected in other strains. In contrast, four of the six strains harboring Cluster II genes, and all three strains harboring Cluster III alkB/alkM demonstrated significant growth under the same culture conditions. It was interesting to note that most of the strains harboring Cluster II and III alkB/alkM were obtained via the enrichment method (Figure 4).

Another putative monooxygenase of the flavin-binding family AlmA catalyzes the metabolism of long-chain *n*-alkanes. In the present study, a total of 12 unique *almA* sequences were detected in 36 isolates belonging to two genera: *Marinobacter* and *Rhizobium*. These genes were all closely related to those from *Marinobacter* strains regardless of their distinct taxonomic position. We found only 5.5% (19 isolates) of ambienttemperature isolates were contained the *almA* genes, while 6.1% of constant-temperature (17 isolates) isolates harbored the same genes (**Figure 5**).

It was observed that the Marinobacter strains can express multiple alkane hydroxylase genes. For example, three kinds of alkane monooxygenase genes including alkB, CYP153A, alma, and phenol hydroxylase genes were simultaneously detected in Marinobacter spp. SLG510A10-4, SL014B-80A2, and SL014B-45A-2. Marinobacter gudaonensis SL014B-11A2<sup>T</sup>, Marinobacter spp. SL013A-35A, SL004A-39A, SL013A-34A-2, SL013A-38A, SL013A-24A, SL013A-25A, and SL013B-15A2 all expressed alkB, CYP153A, and almA genes. Among them, strain SL013A-34A-2 had two copies of the CYP153A gene. In addition, Marinobacter sp. SLG210B1-9A1 harbored both alkB and CYP153A genes, and CYP153A and almA genes were simultaneously detected in Marinobacter sp. SL014B-23A1-1. Furthermore, three copies of the CYP153A gene were detected in Marinobacter sp. SL013-15A2. However, most of these strains did not grow in the MSM supplemented with hexadecane.

## DISCUSSION

The clone library result showed that the bacterial community in oil-contaminated soils is comprised of species belonging to Proteobacteria (69.1%), Cyanobacteria (1.8%), Bacteroidetes (1.8%), and several unknown bacterial

species (27.0%); no strains belonging to Actinobacteria and Firmicutes were detected. Proteobacteria in oilcontaminated soil consisted of Gammaproteobacteria, Epsilonproteobacteria, Alphaproteobacteria, Betaproteobacteria, and Deltaproteobacteria. Among them, the proportion of Gammaproteobacteria and Epsilonproteobacteria were much greater as compared with that of the three other subdivisions of Proteobacteria (Figure 1D). It is surprising that some popular oil-degrading species in the environment such as Pseudomonas and Dietzia strains (Tang et al., 2012; Gharibzahedi et al., 2014) were not detected with the clone library method. Isolation of bacterial strains from the bacterial community in the soil yielded very different result as compared with that from the cultivated method. For example, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes strains were isolated from the two soil samples, but were not detected in the colony library. It has been reported that the rare biosphere taxa in a given environmental have important ecological roles and are reservoirs of genetic and functional diversity (Lynch and Neufeld, 2015). They may become dominant under optimal conditions. Our study suggested the activation and rapid growth of rare species during culture. Previous studies also demonstrated that the culture-dependent and culture-independent methods provided two extremely divergent microbial communities: nearly 40% isolated strains from oil-contaminated soil were unable to be detected by high-throughput sequencing method (M'rassi et al., 2015). It was found that most of the shared operational taxonomic units (OTUs) between the two isolation methods belonged to the Sphingobium and Sphingomonas (Sphingomonadales), and Pseudomonas (Pseudomonadales) and Arthrobacter (Actinomycetales) genera.

Along with application of culture-independent methods in microbial ecology, it is recognized that most of the strains in the environment are uncultured, and are difficult to culture with the usual methods; these are known as uncultured strains (Zengler et al., 2002). It is believed that cultural media, temperature, pressure, antioxidant, and carbon and nitrogen sources could affect the isolation of microbes from various environments (Gartner et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2014b). Results from the present study also suggested that different isolating conditions, including carbon source, incubation temperature, and concentration of carbon affect the diversities of isolates. Among these factors, temperature is one the most important factors affecting the culturability of bacteria from the environments. According to their optimal temperature, bacteria could be subdivided into psychrophilic, mesophilic, and thermophilic bacteria. In earlier studies, constant temperatures were used to incubate and isolate bacterial strains, such as at low temperature (Song et al., 2009), extreme high temperature (Gonzalez et al., 1996), and mesophilic temperature (Sun et al., 2014b); very few studies used ambient-temperatures. The present study suggested that using ambient-temperature during the enrichment step could significantly enhance bacterial culturability. This may be attributed to the circadian rhythm. Previous studies have demonstrated that prokaryotes also follow circadian rhythms, similar to those experience by eukaryotes (Huang et al., 1990). Furthermore, this rhythm is temperature-dependent (Sweeney and Borgese, 1989). The natural environment shows oscillating



temperatures that are correlated with day/night cycle, such as the temperature of the top layer of soils. Because of this endogenous "circadian clocks," indigenous microbes may have adapted to oscillating temperatures along with day/night cycles, thus forming the special bacterial circadian rhythm found in the natural environment. As a result, incubation at constant temperature may disturb the inherent rhythm of bacterial strains in the environment, which leads to reduced culturability. Additionally, each strain has an inherent optimal temperature for its metabolism. A constant incubation temperature may only be able to provide the optimal temperature for a small number of the strains, while the other strains are kept in the dormant phase or grow at much slower rates due to the unchanging temperature. Therefore, ambient temperatures that change with time may provide a wider growth window for more bacterial strains; however, they may grow slowly under this condition. The above two reasons may explain why using ambient temperatures could enhance culturability of strains isolated from the environment.

In earlier studies, bacterial strains harboring the *alkB/alkM* gene were found to affected by the isolation medium (Sun et al., 2014b). In the present study, isolation of bacteria containing various phenol and alkane hydroxylase genes was also affected by the isolating condition, namely the cultivating mode and temperature. The different kinds of alkane or phenol hydroxylase genes may bestow the host with different alkane- or phenol-degrading abilities (Nie et al., 2014b; Sun et al., 2014a,b). In

fact, enrichment using specific carbon sources is a selective process of survival of the fittest, during which a large number of strains without alkane-degrading abilities or with inefficient alkane-degrading abilities are eliminated. The different alkanehydroxylase catalysis ability could ultimately determine the survival of bacterial strains. More investigations need to be made on the mechanisms that underlie the relationship between the temperature and bacterial genes clusters.

Results from the present study also suggested that the directplating method could result in higher percentage of hexadecaneand phenol-degrading isolates as compared with that obtained via enrichment using mineral salt medium and oil-production water. The lower number of alkane- or phenol-degrading isolates in the enrichment method may be due to the abundance of rare microorganism that could not degrade crude oil. In this system, metabolites from crude oil degraders provided other bacteria with essential nutrients. In addition, crude oil contains diverse carbon sources, which can be easily used by many bacterial strains for growth. Because of the existence of the easily degradable carbon source, strains that are unable to degrade AHs also could grow vigorously in the enrichment culture. Therefore, the process of enrichment with crude oil may decline the percentage of n-hexadecane degraders.

It has been shown that *pheN*, *CYP153A*, *alkB*, and *almA* genes correlated with the phylogeny of their host. For example, *CYP153A*, *alkB*, and *almA* genes from *Marinobacter* strains



were often tightly clustered (**Figures 3–5**). In contrast, many diverse strains also contained near similar genes. For example, the *alkB* genes from *Acinetobacter* sp. SLG310A2-9, *Rhizobium* sp. SLG510A10-12, and *Microbacterium* sp. SLG510B10-40B1-2 were found to be highly similar to those from *Acinetobacter venetianus* (AEW67043) (Mara et al., 2012). This may be attributed to horizontal gene transfer (HGT), which has been shown to be ubiquitous in the environment (de la Cruz and Davies, 2000). More interestingly, the less-oil-contaminated soil (S1) also possessed numerous alkane-degrading bacterial strains; some bacterial strains exhibited three different types of alkane-degrading genes. This suggested that alkane-degrading strains are ubiquitously distributed in the natural environments regardless of oil contamination.

It is worth noting that the occurrence of the multiple *alkB*, *CYP153A*, and *almA* alkane hydroxylases in one bacterium is quite frequent in *Marinobacter* strains. The coexistence of multiple alkane hydroxylases was also observed in *Dietzia* sp. DQ12-45-1b (Nie et al., 2011), *Amycolicicoccus subflavus* DQS3-9A1<sup>T</sup> (AEF42720) (Nie et al., 2013), *Acinetobacter* sp. ADP1 (Barbe et al., 2004), and many *Alcanivorax* isolates (Wang et al., 2010; Wang and Shao, 2012). These three alkane hydroxylases demonstrated complementary substrate ranges: CYP153A usually catalyzed the hydroxylation of the alkane with C5-C10, AlkB catalyzed C5-C16, while AlmA catalyzed C32-C36. The coexistence of alkane hydroxylase genes undoubtedly

broadened the substrate range, thereby enhancing the adaptive ability of the host. While many strains showed tremendous growth in MSM containing *n*-hexadecane as the sole carbon and energy source, none of three abovementioned genes were amplified from them, including *Rhizobium* sp. SL014B-37A2, *Stappia* sp. SL014B-87A3, *Labrenzia* sp. SL014B-4A2, *Paracoccus* sp. SL013B-8A1, *Planococcus* sp. SL013A-41A-2, *Pseudomonas* spp. SLG510A3-14, *Enterobacter* sp. SLG510A3-6, and *Bacillus* spp. SLG210A2-18-1 and SLG210A2-29A1. It is possible that these isolates harbored other alkane hydroxylases, which should be investigated in the future.

In summary, under different incubation conditions, 595 bacterial strains were isolated from oil contaminated soils. These strains were affiliated into 56 genera belonging to Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, and Bacteroidetes. Using the enrichment method, 239 isolates distributed into 31 genera, and 96 isolates distributed into 22 genera were obtained by culturing at ambient temperatures and a constant temperature, respectively, from a single soil sample. With the direct-plating method, 97 isolates affiliated with 15 genera and 163 isolates affiliated with 20 genera were obtained by culturing under ambient temperatures and a constant temperature, respectively, from two soil samples. Furthermore, phenol hydroxylase genes, short- and medium-chain alkane monooxygenase AlkB and CYP153A, and long-chain alkane monooxygenase AlmA were detected in numerous bacterial

strains, including some genera for the first time. We found that several strains could utilize phenol and *n*-alkanes as the sole carbon source for growth, but no known related genes were found in these strains, suggesting the existence of novel genes in these strains that need to be further studied in the future.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

J-QS and X-LW designed the research. J-QS and LX performed the research. X-YL analyzed the 16S rRNA sequences. G-FZ and HC participated in isolation of bacterial strains. J-QS and YN wrote the paper.

### REFERENCES

- Ai-Kindi, S., and Abed, R. M. (2016). Effect of biostimulation using sewage sludge, soybean meal, and wheat straw on oil degradation and bacterial community composition in a contaminated desert soil. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:240. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00240
- Barbe, V., Vallenet, D., Fonknechten, N., Kreimeyer, A., Oztas, S., Labarre, L., et al. (2004). Unique features revealed by the genome sequence of *Acinetobacter* sp. ADP1, a versatile and naturally transformation competent bacterium. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 5766–5779. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkh910
- Bhattacharya, D., Sarma, P. M., Krishnan, S., Mishra, S., and Lal, B. (2003). Evaluation of genetic diversity among *Pseudomonas citronellolis* strains isolated from oily sludge-contaminated sites. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69, 1435–1441. doi: 10.1128/aem.69.3.1435-1441.2003
- Cai, M., Wang, L., Cai, H., Li, Y., Tang, Y. Q., and Wu, X. L. (2011a). Rubrimonas shengliensis sp. nov. and *Polymorphum gilvum* gen. nov., sp. nov., novel members of *Alphaproteobacteria* from crude oil contaminated saline soil. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 34, 321–327. doi: 10.1016/j.syapm.2011.0 3.004
- Cai, M., Wang, L., Cai, H., Li, Y., Wang, Y. N., Tang, Y. Q., et al. (2011b). Salinarimonas ramus sp nov and Tessaracoccus oleiagri sp nov., isolated from a crude oil-contaminated saline soil. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 61, 1767–1775. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.025932-0
- de la Cruz, F., and Davies, J. (2000). Horizontal gene transfer and the origin of species: lessons from bacteria. *Trends Microbiol.* 8, 128–133. doi: 10.1016/S0966-842x(00)01703-0
- El Mahdi, A. M., Aziz, H. A., Abu Amr, S. S., El-Gendy, N. S., and Nassar, H. N. (2016). Isolation and characterization of *Pseudomonas* sp NAF1 and its application in biodegradation of crude oil. *Environ. Earth Sci.* 75:380. doi: 10.1007/s12665-016-5296-z
- Gärtner, A., Blümel, M., Wiese, J., and Imhoff, J. F. (2011). Isolation and characterisation of bacteria from the Eastern Mediterranean deep sea. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 100, 421–435. doi: 10.1007/s10482-011-9599-5
- Gharibzahedi, S. M. T., Razavi, S. H., and Mousavi, S. M. (2014). Characterization of bacteria of the genus *Dietzia*: an updated review. *Ann. Microbiol.* 64, 1–11. doi: 10.1007/s13213-013-0603-3
- Gonzalez, J. M., Kato, C., and Horikoshi, K. (1996). Culturability and survival of an extreme thermophile from deep-sea hydrothermal vents. *Arch. Microbiol.* 166, 64–67. doi: 10.1007/s002030050356
- Grimaud, R., Ghiglione, J. F., Cagnon, C., Lauga, B., Vaysse, P. J., Rodriguez-Blanco, A., et al. (2012). Genome sequence of the marine bacterium *Marinobacter hydrocarbonoclasticus* sp17, which forms biofilms on hydrophobic organic compounds. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 3539–3540. doi: 10.1128/Jb.00500-12
- Gu, J., Cai, H., Yu, S. L., Qu, R., Yin, B., Guo, Y. F., et al. (2007). Marinobacter gudaonensis sp nov., isolated from an oil-polluted saline soil in a Chinese oilfield. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 250–254. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64522-0
- Hobbie, J. E., Daley, R. J., and Jasper, S. (1977). Use of nuclepore filters for counting bacteria by fluorescence microscopy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 33, 1225–1228.

## FUNDING

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31200100 and 31225001), the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program, 2014CB846002), and the Inner Mongolia Science & Technology Plan (20130101).

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2018.01332/full#supplementary-material

- Hu, B., Yang, Q., Cai, M., Tang, Y. Q., Zhao, G. F., and Wu, X. L. (2015). Negadavirga shengliensis gen. nov., sp nov., a novel member of the family *Cyclobacteriaceae* isolated from oil-contaminated saline soil. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 107, 663–673. doi: 10.1007/s10482-014-0361-7
- Huang, T. C., Tu, J., Chow, T. J., and Chen, T. H. (1990). Circadianrhythm of the prokaryote *Synechococcus* sp. RF-1. *Plant Physiol.* 92, 531–533. doi: 10.1104/pp.92.2.531
- Jiao, S., Liu, Z. S., Lin, Y. B., Yang, J., Chen, W. M., and Wei, G. H. (2016). Bacterial communities in oil contaminated soils: biogeography and co-occurrence patterns. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 98, 64–73. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.04.005
- Junge, K., Imhoff, F., Staley, T., and Deming, J. W. (2002). Phylogenetic diversity of numerically important arctic sea-ice bacteria cultured at subzero temperature. *Microb. Ecol.* 43, 315–328. doi: 10.1007/s00248-001-1026-4
- Leewis, M. C., Uhlik, O., Fraraccio, S., McFarlin, K., Kottara, A., Glover, C., et al. (2016). Differential impacts of willow and mineral fertilizer on bacterial communities and biodegradation in diesel fuel oil-contaminated soil. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:837. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00837
- Luo, Y. J., Xie, B. S., Lv, X. L., Cai, M., Wang, Y. N., Cui, H. L., et al. (2015). *Marinobacter shengliensis* sp nov., a moderately halophilic bacterium isolated from oil-contaminated saline soil. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 107, 1085–1094. doi: 10.1007/s10482-015-0401-y
- Lv, X. L., Xie, B. S., Cai, M., Geng, S., Tang, Y. Q., Wang, Y. N., et al. (2014). *Glycocaulis albus* sp nov., a moderately halophilic dimorphic prosthecate bacterium isolated from petroleum-contaminated saline soil. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 64, 3181–3187. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.063537-0
- Lynch, M. D., and Neufeld, J. D. (2015). Ecology and exploration of the rare biosphere. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 13, 217–229. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3400
- Mara, K., Decorosi, F., Viti, C., Giovannetti, L., Papaleo, M. C., Maida, I., et al. (2012). Molecular and phenotypic characterization of *Acinetobacter* strains able to degrade diesel fuel. *Res. Microbiol.* 163, 161–172. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2011.12.002
- Guermouche M'rassi, A., Bensalah, F., Gury, J., and Duran, R. (2015). Isolation and characterization of different bacterial strains for bioremediation of *n*alkanes and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 22, 15332–15346. doi: 10.1007/s11356-015-4343-8
- Muresu, R., Tondello, A., Polone, E., Sulas, L., Baldan, B., and Squartini, A. (2013). Antioxidant treatments counteract the non-culturability of bacterial endophytes isolated from legume nodules. *Arch. Microbiol.* 195, 385–391. doi: 10.1007/s00203-013-0886-6
- Nie, Y., Chi, C. Q., Fang, H., Liang, J. L., Lu, S. L., Lai, G. L., et al. (2014a). Diverse alkane hydroxylase genes in microorganisms and environments. *Sci. Rep.* 4:4968. doi: 10.1038/srep04968
- Nie, Y., Fang, H., Li, Y., Chi, C. Q., Tang, Y. Q., and Wu, X. L. (2013). The genome of the moderate halophile *Amycolicicoccus subflavus* DQS3-9A1<sup>t</sup> reveals four alkane hydroxylation systems and provides some clues on the genetic basis for its adaptation to a petroleum environment. *PLoS ONE* 8:e70986. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0070986
- Nie, Y., Liang, J., Fang, H., Tang, Y. Q., and Wu, X. L. (2011). Two novel alkane hydroxylase-rubredoxin fusion genes isolated from a *Dietzia* bacterium and

the functions of fused rubredoxin domains in long-chain *n*-alkane degradation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 7279–7288. doi: 10.1128/Aem.00203-11

- Nie, Y., Liang, J. L., Fang, H., Tang, Y. Q., and Wu, X. L. (2014b). Characterization of a CYP153 alkane hydroxylase gene in a Gram-positive *Dietzia* sp. DQ12-45-1b and its "team role" with *alkW1* in alkane degradation. *Appl. Microb. Biotechnol.* 98, 163–173. doi: 10.1007/s00253-013-4821-1
- Pacwa-Plociniczak, M., Plaza, G. A., and Piotrowska-Seget, Z. (2016). Monitoring the changes in a bacterial community in petroleum-polluted soil bioaugmented with hydrocarbon-degrading strains. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 105, 76–85. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2016.04.005
- Pan, X. C., Geng, S., Mei, R., Wang, Y. N., Cai, H., Liu, X. Y., et al. (2014). Nitratireductor shengliensis sp nov., isolated from an oil-polluted saline Soil. Curr. Microbiol. 69, 561–566. doi: 10.1007/s00284-014-0624-6
- Powlowski, J., and Shingler, V. (1994). Genetics and biochemistry of phenol degradation by *Pseudomonas* sp. CF600. *Biodegradation* 5, 219–236.
- Qian, H., Edlund, U., Powłowski, J., Shingler, V., and Sethson, I. (1997). Solution structure of phenol hydroxylase protein component P2 determined by NMR spectroscopy. *Biochemistry* 36, 495–504. doi: 10.1021/bi9619233
- Roszak, D. B., and Colwell, R. R. (1987). Metabolic activity of bacterial cells enumerated by direct viable count. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 53, 2889–2893.
- Saitou, N., and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406–425.
- Singer, E., Webb, E. A., Nelson, W. C., Heidelberg, J. F., Ivanova, N., Pati, A., et al. (2011). Genomic potential of marinobacter aquaeolei, a biogeochemical "opportunitroph". *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 2763–2771. doi: 10.1128/aem.01866-10
- Song, J., Oh, H. M., and Cho, J. C. (2009). Improved culturability of SAR11 strains in dilution-to-extinction culturing from the East Sea, West Pacific Ocean. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 295, 141–147. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01623.x
- Stefani, F. O., Bell, T. H., Marchand, C., de la Providencia, I. E., El Yassimi, A., St-Arnaud, M., et al. (2015). Culture-dependent and -independent methods capture different microbial community fractions in hydrocarbon-contaminated soils. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0128272. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0128272
- Sun, J. Q., Xu, L., Tang, Y. Q., Chen, F. M., and Wu, X. L. (2012). Simultaneous degradation of phenol and n-hexadecane by Acinetobacter strains. Bioresour. Technol. 123, 664–668. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2012.06.072
- Sun, J. Q., Xu, L., Tang, Y. Q., Chen, F. M., Liu, W. Q., and Wu, X. L. (2011). Degradation of pyridine by one *Rhodococcus* strain in the presence of chromium (VI) or phenol. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 191, 62–68. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.04.034
- Sun, J. Q., Xu, L., Tang, Y. Q., Chen, F. M., Zhao, J. J., and Wu, X. L. (2014a). Bacterial pyridine hydroxylation is ubiquitous in environment. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 98, 455–464. doi: 10.1007/s00253-013-4818-9
- Sun, J. Q., Xu, L., Zhang, Z., Li, Y., Tang, Y. Q., and Wu, X. L. (2014b). Diverse bacteria isolated from microtherm oil-production water. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 105, 401–411. doi: 10.1007/s10482-013-0088-x
- Sweeney, B. M., and Borgese, M. B. (1989). A circadian-rhythm in cell-division in a prokaryote, the *Cyanobacterium synechococcus* Wh7803. *J. Phycol.* 25, 183–186. doi: 10.1111/j.0022-3646.1989.00183.x
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 2725–2729. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Tang, Y. Q., Li, Y., Zhao, J. Y., Chi, C. Q., Huang, L. X., Dong, H. P., et al. (2012). Microbial communities in long-term, water-flooded petroleum reservoirs with different *in situ* temperatures in the huabei oilfield, China. *PLoS ONE* 7:e33535. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0033535
- Throne-Holst, M., Markussen, S., Winnberg, A., Ellingsen, T. E., Kotlar, H. K., and Zotchev, S. B. (2006). Utilization of n-alkanes by a newly isolated strain of

Acinetobacter venetianus: the role of two AlkB-type alkane hydroxylases. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 72, 353–360. doi: 10.1007/s00253-005-0262-9

- van Beilen, J. B., Panke, S., Lucchini, S., Franchini, A. G., Rothlisberger, M., and Witholt, B. (2001). Analysis of *Pseudomonas putida* alkane-degradation gene clusters and flanking insertion sequences: evolution and regulation of the alk genes. *Microbiology* 147, 1621–1630. doi: 10.1099/00221287-147-6-1621
- Wang, L., Wang, W., Lai, Q., and Shao, Z. (2010). Gene diversity of CYP153A and AlkB alkane hydroxylases in oil-degrading bacteria isolated from the Atlantic Ocean. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12, 1230–1242. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02165.x
- Wang, W., and Shao, Z. (2012). Diversity of flavin-binding monooxygenase genes (almA) in marine bacteria capable of degradation long-chain alkanes. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 80, 523–533. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01322.x
- Wang, Y. N., Cai, H., Chi, C. Q., Lu, A. H., Lin, X. G., Jiang, Z. F., et al. (2007a). *Halomonas shengliensis* sp nov., a moderately halophilic, denitrifying, crude-oil-utilizing bacterium. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 1222–1226. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64973-0
- Wang, Y. N., Cai, H., Yu, S. L., Wang, Z. Y., Liu, J., and Wu, X. L. (2007b). *Halomonas gudaonensis* sp nov., isolated from a saline soil contaminated by crude oil. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 911–915. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64826-0
- Weichart, D., Oliver, J. D., and Kjelleberg, S. (1992). Low-temperature induced nonculturability and killing of Vibrio vulnificus. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 100, 205–210. doi: 10.1016/0378-1097(92)90210-F
- Wentzel, A., Ellingsen, T. E., Kotlar, H. K., Zotchev, S. B., and Throne-Holst, M. (2007). Bacterial metabolism of long-chain *n*-alkanes. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 76, 1209–1221. doi: 10.1007/s00253-007-1119-1
- Wu, M. L., Dick, W. A., Li, W., Wang, X. C., Yang, Q., Wang, T. T., et al. (2016). Bioaugmentation and biostimulation of hydrocarbon degradation and the microbial community in a petroleum-contaminated soil. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation* 107, 158–164. doi: 10.1016/j.ibiod.2015. 11.019
- Wu, M. L., Ye, X. Q., Chen, K. L., Li, W., Yuan, J., and Jiang, X. (2017). Bacterial community shift and hydrocarbon transformation during bioremediation of short-term petroleum-contaminated soil. *Environ. Pollut.* 223, 657–664. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.079
- Yang, Y., Wang, J., Liao, J., Xie, S., and Huang, Y. (2014). Distribution of naphthalene dioxygenase genes in crude oil-contaminated soils. *Microb. Ecol.* 68, 785–793. doi: 10.1007/s00248-014-0457-7
- Yu, S., Li, S., Tang, Y., and Wu, X. (2011). Succession of bacterial community along with the removal of heavy crude oil pollutants by multiple biostimulation treatments in the Yellow River Delta, China. J. Environ. Sci. 23, 1533–1543. doi: 10.1016/S1001-0742(10)60585-2
- Zengler, K., Toledo, G., Rappe, M., Elkins, J., Mathur, E. J., Short, J. M., et al. (2002). Cultivating the uncultured. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 15681–15686. doi: 10.1073/pnas.252630999

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Sun, Xu, Liu, Zhao, Cai, Nie and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.