

doi: 10.1093/femspd/ftx115 Advance Access Publication Date: 1 November 2017 Shortomics

SHORTOMICS

Draft genome sequence of the Wolbachia endosymbiont of Wuchereria bancrofti wWb

Matthew Chung^{1,2,†,#}, Scott T. Small^{3,4,#}, David Serre^{1,2}, Peter A. Zimmerman^{3,#} and Julie C. Dunning Hotopp^{1,2,*,‡,#}

¹Institute for Genome Sciences, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA, ²Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA, ³Center for Global Health and Diseases, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH 44106, USA and ⁴Eck Institute for Global Health, University of Notre Dame, South Bend, IN 46556, USA

*Corresponding author: Institute for Genome Sciences, University of Maryland, 801 W Baltimore St, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA. Tel: 410-706-5673; E-mail: jdhotopp@som.umaryland.edu

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

One sentence summary: The Wuchereria bancrofti Wolbachia endosymbiont wWb genome provides insight on the Wolbachia core genome from causative agents of lymphatic filariasis and the evolutionary relationship between *Wolbachia* endosymbionts in supergroup D. **Editor:** David Basko

[†]Matthew Chung, http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9545-523X

[‡]Julie C. Dunning Hotopp, http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3862-986X

ABSTRACT

The draft genome assembly of the Wolbachia endosymbiont of Wuchereria bancrofti (wWb) consists of 1060 850 bp in 100 contigs and contains 961 ORFs, with a single copy of the 5S rRNA, 16S rRNA and 23S rRNA and each of the 34 tRNA genes. Phylogenetic core genome analyses show wWb to cluster with other strains in supergroup D of the Wolbachia phylogeny, while being most closely related to the Wolbachia endosymbiont of *Brugia malayi* strain TRS (wBm). The wWb and wBm genomes share 779 orthologous clusters with wWb having 101 unclustered genes and wBm having 23 unclustered genes. The higher number of unclustered genes in the wWb genome likely reflects the fragmentation of the draft genome.

Keywords: Wolbachia; lymphatic filariasis; nematode; endosymbiont; genomics; Wuchereria bancrofti

INTRODUCTION

Lymphatic filariasis afflicts ~120 million individuals worldwide. Wuchereria bancrofti, Brugia malayi and B. timori cause human lymphatic filariasis, with W. bancrofti being responsible for >90% of cases (WHO 2016). Most filarial nematodes have an obligate bacterial Wolbachia endosymbiont that is required for the proper development and reproduction of the nematode (Taylor, Bandi and Hoerauf 2005). Within the group of Wolbachia endosymbionts originating from lymphatic filarial worms, the only sequenced, full-length genome is that of the Wolbachia endosymbiont of B. malayi (wBm) (Foster et al. 2005). While there is an existing sequenced genome available for the Wolbachia endosymbiont of W. bancrofti, that assembly consists of 763 contigs (Desjardins et al. 2013), which equates to ~1 gene per contig. Here, we present an independently sequenced and improved draft genome sequence of the Wolbachia endosymbiont of Wuchereria bancrofti (wWb).

Received: 20 July 2017; Accepted: 31 October 2017

[©] FEMS 2017. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Genome sequencing, assembly and annotation

The wWb sequences used were obtained during whole-genome sequencing of Wuchereria bancrofti, taken from Patient 0022 at the sampling location of Tau, Papua New Guinea: GPS coordinates -3.666163, 142.766774 (Small et al. 2016). Wolbachia contigs were identified by aligning to the wBm genome using MUMmer v3.0 (Kurtz et al. 2004; Foster et al. 2005), discarding contigs with <80% identity across <50% of their length. Reads mapping to these putative Wolbachia contigs were identified using Bowtie 2 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012; Small et al. 2016), extracted and used to construct a new de novo assembly using SPAdes v3.6.2 (Bankevich et al. 2012). The process was repeated iteratively until no further contigs were added to the assembly. The contigs from the de novo assembly were then reordered using Mauve (Rissman et al. 2009), with the wBm genome as the reference. The final assembly consists of 100 scaffolds >500 bp with a scaffold N50 of 19 998 bp. GLIMMER v3.02 (Delcher et al. 2007) and the IGS Prokaryotic Annotation Pipeline (Galens et al. 2011) were used to annotate the wWb assembly.

DNA sequencing reads for BioProject PRJNA275548 have been deposited at NCBI SRA: SRP056161. The whole-genome shotgun project for wWb has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession NJBR00000000. The version described in this paper is version NJBR02000000. The corresponding whole-genome shotgun project for W. bancrofti is available at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession LAQH00000000.

Phylogenetic and comparative genomic analyses

Mugsy v1.2 (Angiuoli and Salzberg 2011) and MOTHUR v1.22 (Schloss et al. 2009) were used to construct a core genome alignment of wWb and 13 other Wolbachia strains, spanning members from five of the Wolbachia supergroups (Table S1, Supporting Information) (Wu et al. 2004; Foster et al. 2005; Klasson et al. 2008, 2009; Darby et al. 2012; Comandatore et al. 2013; Ellegaard et al. 2013; Nikoh et al. 2014; Sutton et al. 2014; Cotton et al. 2016; Lindsey et al. 2016). RAxML v7.3 (Stamatakis 2006) was used to construct a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree (bootstrap number = 1000, substitution mode = GTRGAMMA, default for all other settings) from the core genome alignment. Similarly, a core genome alignment was constructed with wWb and its closest related Wolbachia strain wBm. NUCmer v3.06 and MUMmerplot v3.5 (Kurtz et al. 2004) were used to produce and visualize a synteny plot between wWb and wBm, respectively. Although the wWb contigs were ordered and oriented to the wBm assembly, the mummer plot enables us to visualize any chromosomal rearrangements within a contig. However, rearrangements within the 100 gaps between the contigs cannot be visualized. For the comparative genome analyses, Mugsy clusters (Angiuoli et al. 2011) were used to assign all proteins from wWb and wBm to orthologous clusters. A Sybil instance (Riley et al. 2012) was used to identify shared and unique genes between the two strains, along with pseudogenes in wWb.

Identification of lower confidence positions in wWb

Putative low-confidence positions in the wWb assembly were assessed using high-sequencing depth and highsequence variation. To measure sequencing depth, reads were aligned to the wWb genome with Bowtie2 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012), PCR duplicates were removed with PicardTools (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard), and depth was measured using the depth function of SAMtools v1.1 (Li et al. 2009). Regions with elevated sequencing depth were defined as all \geq 50 bp stretches with a sequencing depth of \geq 4 median absolute deviations from the major mode of the sequencing depth (43.72×) (Fig. S1, Supporting Information). To validate this LGT cutoff method, the sequencing depth thresholds derived from previous studies (Geniez et al. 2012; Ioannidis et al. 2013; Dunning Hotopp Slatko and Foster 2017) were re-examined using this method and were found to be within 1% of the original published cutoff (Fig. S2a and b, Supporting Information).

To assess regions with high-sequence variation, 5423 variant positions were identified as having $\geq 20 \times$ sequencing depth with at least one alternative base call that consisted of >5% of the reads at the position. In order to find regions of the genome with higher sequence variation, the percentage of variant positions in 50-bp sliding windows was calculated throughout the entire assembly. Regions with high-sequence variation were defined as 50-bp windows with >12.73% (4× average absolute deviations) variant positions (Fig. S3, Supporting Information). ANNOVAR (Wang, Li and Hakonarson 2010) was used to assess possible frameshifts caused by variant base calls in the low-confidence regions.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This wWb draft genome consists of 1060 850 bp with an average G + C content of 34.3% in 100 contigs (maximum length = 59 950 bp; average length = 10 609 bp; average sequencing depth = $35 \times$, major mode sequencing depth = $20 \times$). Using a 582 455-bp core genome alignment from the wWb genome and 13 other Wolbachia genomes, with members from 5 of the Wolbachia supergroups (Table S1), we created a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1A) that places wWb within Wolbachia supergroup D subset, with wLs and wBm, while being most closely related to wBm.

Additionally, a core genome alignment between only wWb and wBm reveals a sequence identity of 96.9%, differing by 31 907 SNPs in the 1046 453 bp genome shared between them, which comprises 98.2% and 96.9% of their total genome lengths, respectively. Synteny between the 100 contigs of wWb and the wBm genome was assessed using NUCmer v3.06 and visualized with MUMmerplot v3.5 (Fig. 1B) (Delcher *et al.* 2002). The synteny plot shows that the wWb contigs are largely syntenic to the wBm genome. However, given that Wolbachia endosymbionts have one circular chromosome and the assembly has 100 gaps, there is the potential for synteny changes in these gaps. Furthermore, synteny changes are more likely to occur between similar sequences in a genome, such as duplicated genes, which can result in gaps in the assembly. Therefore, synteny analysis in any draft genome has limitations.

The wWb genome contains 961 ORFs, one copy of each of the 5S rRNA, 16S rRNA and 23S rRNA as well as one copy of each of the 34 tRNA genes. Given that GLIMMER is known to inflate the number of small ORFs in a genome, we removed all ORFs <60 aa and all ORFs coding for hypothetical proteins <100 aa with no ortholog in wBm (Skovgaard *et al.* 2001). Using Sybil (Riley *et al.* 2012) to visualize and interrogate orthologous proteins between wWb and wBm, the two genomes were found to share 779 orthologous clusters, with wWb having 101 unclustered genes and wBm having 23 unclustered genes. While 20 of the 23 unclustered genes in wBm were identified as hypothetical proteins, the other 3 genes were found to code for a RadC-like DNA repair





Figure 1. Phylogeny and synteny. (A) A RAXML maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of 14 Wolbachia genomes was constructed based on a 582 455-bp core genome alignment using 1000 bootstraps. The five Wolbachia supergroups present in the core genome alignment are denoted by the circles (red, supergroup A; blue, supergroup B; green, supergroup C; orange, supergroup D; and violet, supergroup F). The wWb genome clusters with the genomes of other strains of supergroup D, wBm and wLs, while being most closely related to wBm. (B) Synteny between wWb and wBm was compared using NUCMER. Red lines with a slope of 1 are indicative of conserved regions between the two strains, while blue lines with a slope of –1 are indicative of inverted conserved regions. The black dotted horizontal lines represent the boundaries of each of the 100 contigs of wWb. The contigs of wWb cover the entirety of the wBm genome apart from the 100 small breaks between the 400 contigs. While only four small inversions were identified, it is important to consider that more such inversions may occur in the physical gaps between the 100 contigs.



Figure 2. Circos plot of NUCmer linkages between W. *bancrofti* and *wWb*, *wWb* sequencing depth, and *wWb* SNPs and indels. The innermost ring illustrates the concatenated *wWb* contigs delineated by tick marks (orange) alongside the concatenated W. *bancrofti* contigs (black). The W. *bancrofti* contigs are scaled to 1/1000 the size of *wWb* contigs and are not delineated by tick marks for visualization purposes, given that there are 5105 W. *bancrofti* contigs. The orange links between the *wWb* and W. *bancrofti* genomes are indicative of genomic positions present in both the nematode and Wolbachia assemblies as determined using MUMmer. The second track, counting outward from the center, contains an inward-facing histogram that indicates the percentage of variant positions in 100 bp bins (blue). Areas with histogram bars that reach the light blue background are indicative of windows with a percentage of variant positions >4 average absolute deviations from the major mode (>12.73%). The third track, flanked by the two histograms, indicates low-confidence regions in the *wWb* genome, with black indicating regions that fulfill any of our low-confidence criteria and orange indicating normal regions. The fourth track, and outermost track, shows an outward-facing, log2-transformed sequencing depth histogram bins that have >43.72× sequencing depth are depicted in white, while positions with $\geq 20\times$ sequencing depth are depicted in orange background.

protein, an ankyrin repeat-containing protein and elongation factor Tu. All three of these latter genes are duplicated in wBm. Since the wWb genome is incomplete and the library insert size is less than the length of these genes, the assembly is likely to have collapsed in these regions with identical genes being assembled together in one contig instead of separately. Therefore, these genes should not be considered unique to wBm, thus highlighting one of the many nuances of orthologous gene predictions in draft genomes. In the wWb genome, we identified 10 unique ORFs that coded for proteins \geq 200 aa, including a bacterial type II and III secretion system protein, 3-dehydroquinate synthase and a pyridoxamine 5'-phosphate synthase. However, differences in the annotation methods for the wBm and wWb genomes could negatively impact the calculation of orthologs between the two organisms. Additionally, the wWb genome has numerous pseudogenes that will need to be assessed in future research; these could be of interest or could be an artifact in the assembly from inclusion of reads from Wolbachia-Wuchereria lateral gene transfers (LGTs), a Wolbachia sequencing dilemma (Dunning Hotopp et al. 2017).

Due to the widespread occurrence of Wolbachia-nematode LGT events and the possibility of collapsed repeats in the assembly, we sought to identify lower confidence regions in the wWb genome, where the sequence supports some sequence variation based on three criteria: sequencing depth, sequence variation and the presence of the sequence in the W. bancrofti assembly indicative of a putative LGT. Regions with abnormally high-sequencing depth were defined as \geq 50 bp stretches with a sequencing depth of \geq 4 median absolute deviation from the major mode of the sequencing depth (43.72 \times), while regions with high-sequence variation were defined as 50-bp windows with \geq 12.73% (4× average absolute deviations from the major mode) variant positions. A total of 75 702 and 3144 positions were identified using these criteria respectively, and an additional 26 832 positions were identified as shared between the wWb and W. bancrofti assemblies. Integrating all three criteria, a total of 92 821 low-confidence genome sequence positions (8.75% of the wWb genome) spanning 69 contigs were identified, with 12 119 positions being supported by two criteria and 738 positions being supported by all three (Fig. 2, Table S2 and Fig. S4, Supporting Information). Such regions could indicate (i) Wolbachia-Wuchereria LGT, (ii) collapsed repeats, (iii) population-level variation in the endosymbiont since multiple nematodes were sequenced or (iv) some combination thereof.

To determine whether or not alternative base calls in lowconfidence regions could have possibly altered the consensus base call in the wWb assembly, we sought to identified 1974 variant positions with $\geq 20 \times$ sequencing depth and <90% of reads supporting the consensus base call. Of these positions, alternative base calls with >5% read support were identified and analyzed with ANNOVAR (Wang et al. 2010) to determine whether these alternative base calls resulted in the possibility of a frameshifted gene call. Using this method, alternative base calls can be differentiated from sequencing errors since this requires at least 1 read to support the alternative base call. Within these 1974 positions, 2234 variant calls were identified with 1891 being SNPs (993 transitions and 898 transversions) and 343 being indels/substitutions. A total of 1335 variants were found in genic regions, with 182 of the variants having the potential to generate a frameshift within gene calls (stop gains, stop losses, frameshift insertions and frameshift deletions) across 67 genes (Table S3). We also identified 3449 variant positions located outside of the low-confidence regions. Despite our ability to identify these variants, we have no means of determining the source of the sequence variation.

SUMMARY

The sequencing and characterization of the wWb genome adds more insight on the evolutionary relationships between the different Wolbachia supergroups, specifically supergroup D. The addition of another supergroup D Wolbachia genome should aid in future studies delineating core Wolbachia supergroup D genome characteristics. However, we continue to demonstrate that the presence of LGTs in the nematode genome has the potential to confound the accurate sequencing of Wolbachia endosymbiont genomes.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at FEMSPD online.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the National Institutes of Health (AI103263) to PAZ and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (U19AI110820) to JCDH. STS received support through a T32 Postdoctoral Fellowship in Geographic Medicine and Infectious Disease (AI007024).

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

STS, DS and PAZ conceived the study. STS sequenced and assembled the genome, and edited the manuscript. MC annotated the genome, conducted analyses and generated figures. MC and JCDH drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Angiuoli SV, Dunning Hotopp JC, Salzberg SL et al. Improving pan-genome annotation using whole genome multiple alignment. BMC Bioinformatics 2011;12:272.
- Angiuoli SV, Salzberg SL. Mugsy: fast multiple alignment of closely related whole genomes. Bioinformatics 2011;27:334–42.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 2012;19:455–77.
- Comandatore F, Sassera D, Montagna M et al. Phylogenomics and analysis of shared genes suggest a single transition to mutualism in Wolbachia of nematodes. *Genome Biol Evol* 2013;5:1668–74.
- Cotton JA, Bennuru S, Grote A et al. The genome of Onchocerca volvulus, agent of river blindness. Nat Microbiol 2016;2:16216.
- Darby AC, Armstrong SD, Bah GS et al. Analysis of gene expression from the Wolbachia genome of a filarial nematode supports both metabolic and defensive roles within the symbiosis. *Genome Res* 2012;**22**:2467–77.
- Delcher AL, Bratke KA, Powers EC et al. Identifying bacterial genes and endosymbiont DNA with Glimmer. Bioinformatics 2007;23:673–9.
- Delcher AL, Phillippy A, Carlton J et al. Fast algorithms for largescale genome alignment and comparison. Nucleic Acids Res 2002;30:2478–83.
- Desjardins CA, Cerqueira GC, Goldberg JM et al. Genomics of Loa loa, a Wolbachia-free filarial parasite of humans. Nat Genet 2013;**45**:495–500.
- Dunning Hotopp JC, Slatko BE, Foster JM. Targeted enrichment and sequencing of recent endosymbiont-host lateral gene transfers. Sci Rep 2017;7:857.
- Ellegaard KM, Klasson L, Naslund K et al. Comparative genomics of Wolbachia and the bacterial species concept. PLoS Genet 2013;9:e1003381.
- Foster J, Ganatra M, Kamal I et al. The Wolbachia genome of Brugia malayi: endosymbiont evolution within a human pathogenic nematode. PLoS Biol 2005;**3**:e121.

- Galens K, Orvis J, Daugherty S et al. The IGS standard operating procedure for automated prokaryotic annotation. Stand Genomic Sci 2011;4:244–51.
- Geniez S, Foster JM, Kumar S *et al*. Targeted genome enrichment for efficient purification of endosymbiont DNA from host DNA. Symbiosis 2012;**58**:201–7.
- Ioannidis P, Johnston KL, Riley DR et al. Extensively duplicated and transcriptionally active recent lateral gene transfer from a bacterial Wolbachia endosymbiont to its host filarial nematode Brugia malayi. BMC Genomics 2013;14:639.
- Klasson L, Walker T, Sebaihia M et al. Genome evolution of Wolbachia strain wPip from the Culex pipiens group. Mol Biol Evol 2008;25:1877–87.
- Klasson L, Westberg J, Sapountzis P et al. The mosaic genome structure of the Wolbachia wRi strain infecting Drosophila simulans. P Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106:5725–30.
- Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL et al. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome* Biol 2004;5:R12.
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 2012;9:357–9.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A et al. The sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 2009;25: 2078–9.
- Lindsey AR, Werren JH, Richards S et al. Comparative genomics of a parthenogenesis-inducing Wolbachia symbiont. G3 (Bethesda) 2016;6:2113–23.
- Nikoh N, Hosokawa T, Moriyama M et al. Evolutionary origin of insect-Wolbachia nutritional mutualism. P Natl Acad Sci USA 2014;111:10257–62.
- Riley DR, Angiuoli SV, Crabtree J et al. Using Sybil for interactive comparative genomics of microbes on the web. Bioinformatics 2012;28:160–6.

- Rissman AI, Mau B, Biehl BS et al. Reordering contigs of draft genomes using the Mauve aligner. Bioinformatics 2009; 25:2071–3.
- Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T et al. Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, communitysupported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microb 2009;75: 7537–41.
- Skovgaard M, Jensen LJ, Brunak S et al. On the total number of genes and their length distribution in complete microbial genomes. Trends Genet 2001;17:425–8.
- Small ST, Reimer LJ, Tisch DJ et al. Population genomics of the filarial nematode parasite Wuchereria bancrofti from mosquitoes. Mol Ecol 2016;25:1465–77.
- Stamatakis A. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics 2006;22:2688–90.
- Sutton ER, Harris SR, Parkhill J et al. Comparative genome analysis of Wolbachia strain wAu. BMC Genomics 2014;15:928.
- Taylor MJ, Bandi C, Hoerauf A. Wolbachia bacterial endosymbionts of filarial nematodes. Adv Parasitol 2005;60: 245–84.
- Wang K, Li M, Hakonarson H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res 2010;38:e164.
- WHO. Global programme to eliminate lymphatic filariasis: progress report, 2015. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2016;91: 441–55.
- Wu M, Sun LV, Vamathevan J et al. Phylogenomics of the reproductive parasite Wolbachia pipientis wMel: a streamlined genome overrun by mobile genetic elements. PLoS Biol 2004;2:E69.