



Antitumoral Action of Resveratrol Through Adenosinergic Signaling in C6 Glioma Cells

Alejandro Sánchez-Melgar[†], Sonia Muñoz-López[†], José Luis Albasanz^{*} and Mairena Martín

Department of Inorganic, Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Faculty of Chemical and Technological Sciences, School of Medicine of Ciudad Real, Regional Center of Biomedical Research (CRIB), Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Ciudad Real, Spain

Gliomas are the most common and aggressive primary tumors in the central nervous system. The nucleoside adenosine is considered to be one major constituent within the tumor microenvironment. The adenosine level mainly depends on two enzymatic activities: 5'-nucleotidase (5'NT or CD73) that synthesizes adenosine from AMP, and adenosine deaminase (ADA) that converts adenosine into inosine. Adenosine activates specific G-protein coupled receptors named A1, A2A, A2B, and A3 receptors. Resveratrol, a natural polyphenol present in grapes, peanuts, and berries, shows several healthy effects, including protection against cardiovascular, endocrine, and neurodegenerative diseases and cancer. However, the molecular mechanisms of resveratrol actions are not well known. Recently, we demonstrated that resveratrol acts as an agonist for adenosine receptors in rat C6 glioma cells. The present work aimed to investigate the involvement of adenosine metabolism and adenosine receptors in the molecular mechanisms underlying the antitumoral action of resveratrol. Results presented herein show that resveratrol was able to decrease cell numbers and viability and to reduce CD73 and ADA activities, leading to the increase of extracellular adenosine levels. Some resveratrol effects were reduced by the blockade of A1 or A3 receptors by DPCPX or MRS1220, respectively. These results suggest that reduced CD73 activity located in the plasma membrane in addition to a fine-tuned modulatory role of adenosine receptors could be involved, at least in part, in the antiproliferative action of resveratrol in C6 glioma cells.

Keywords: resveratrol, glioma, CD73, adenosine receptor, brain

INTRODUCTION

Gliomas are the most common primary tumors of the central nervous system (Wesseling and Capper, 2018). These types of brain tumors have particularly aggressive behavior with a high recurrence rate. Although the current therapeutic approach combines surgical intervention, irradiation, and adjuvant chemotherapy, the prognosis is still very poor for these tumors. Thus, there is a need to find new strategies to improve glioma treatment and reduce its recurrence rate. Adenosine is a key mediator of several biological functions involving multiple signaling pathways (Borea et al., 2018) and mainly operates through four G-protein coupled receptors named A_1 , A_{2A} , A_{2B} , and A_3 . Adenosine A_1 and A_3 receptors are coupled to Gi/o-proteins and inhibit

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Paula Agostinho, University of Coimbra, Portugal

Reviewed by:

Stefania Gessi, University of Ferrara, Italy Paula M. Canas, University of Coimbra, Portugal

> *Correspondence: José Luis Albasanz jose.albasanz@uclm.es

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neuropharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neuroscience

Received: 29 April 2021 Accepted: 06 August 2021 Published: 01 September 2021

Citation:

Sánchez-Melgar A, Muñoz-López S, Albasanz JL and Martín M (2021) Antitumoral Action of Resveratrol Through Adenosinergic Signaling in C6 Glioma Cells. Front. Neurosci. 15:702817. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2021.702817

1

adenylyl cyclase activity. In turn, adenosine A_{2A} and A_{2B} receptors are coupled to Gs-proteins and stimulate adenylyl cyclase activity. Adenosine can be formed intracellularly and exported via transporters or extracellularly with the participation of CD73 activity as an adenosine-generating enzyme from adenine nucleotides, as ATP, released from cells. Adenosine can be transformed into inosine by adenosine deaminase activity (Fredholm et al., 2011). Because adenosine is considered one of the major constituents within the tumor microenvironment (Di Virgilio and Adinolfi, 2017), adenosinergic signaling has emerged as a potential therapeutic strategy in cancer (Allard et al., 2012). However, the precise procedure to target adenosinemediated signaling remains under discussion as two different tissues may be affected, the tumoral cells and the immune system. Adenosine seems to be involved in tumor generation, growth, invasion, angiogenesis, and metastasis through activation of all four adenosine receptor subtypes (Gessi et al., 2011; Allard et al., 2012). Nevertheless, adenosine appears to have an opposite biological action as tumor-derived adenosine induces A_{2A} receptor activation from immune cells, leading to an immunosuppressive state of the immune system and, thus, facilitating tumor growth (Ohta et al., 2006). In addition, CD73 is suggested as a key enzyme in tumor growth (Zhang, 2012; Yan et al., 2019). In agreement, its inhibition or depletion causes cell growth inhibition in different in vitro (Bavaresco et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2017) and in vivo models (Stagg et al., 2011, 2012). In fact, several drugs and antibodies targeting CD73 are under study in clinical trials due to their potential role in cancer (Buisseret et al., 2018).

Resveratrol (RSV) is a polyphenolic compound present in plants, such as peanuts and grapes, and it shows multiple healthy properties in several diseases, including cancer (Carter et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2017; Ko et al., 2017). This phytochemical emerged as a promising molecule since the first time its effectiveness was reported against cancer in both in vitro and in vivo models (Jang et al., 1997; Kiskova et al., 2020). Unfortunately, the action mechanism by which this polyphenol exerts its antitumoral activity remains not well understood. Recently, we reported that RSV acts as a non-selective agonist for adenosine receptors in rat C6 glioma cells (Sanchez-Melgar et al., 2019). Moreover, RSV induces in vivo changes in adenosinergic signaling by modulating the functionality of A1 and A_{2A} receptors in the brain from SAMP8 mice after longterm RSV supplementation in their diet (Sanchez-Melgar et al., 2018). Therefore, the aim of the present work was to investigate whether RSV treatment is able to modulate adenosine-converting enzymes and whether adenosinergic signaling is somehow involved in the antitumoral action of this polyphenol in C6 glioma cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

Trans-RSV (ref. R5010), caffeine (CAF) (ref. C-0750), N⁶-cyclopentyladenosine (CPA) (ref. C-8031), 4-(3-Butoxy-4-methoxybenzyl)-2-imidazolidinone (Ro-20-1724) (ref. 557502), and adenosine 5'-triphosphate disodium salt hydrate (ATP) (ref. A7699) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich; 2-[p-(2carboxyethyl) phenylamino]-5'-N-ethylcarboxamido adenosine (CGS21680) (ref. 1063), N-[9-Chloro-2-(2-furanyl)[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]quinazolin-5-yl]benzene acetamide (MRS1220) (ref. 1217), 1-[2-Chloro-6-[[(3-iodophenyl)methyl]amino]-9Hpurin-9-yl]-1-deoxy-N-methyl-β-D-ribofuranuronamide (2 -Cl-IB-MECA) (ref. 1104), 4-(2,3,6,7-Tetrahydro-2,6-dioxo-1propyl-1H-purin-8-yl)-benzenesulfonic acid (PSB1115) (ref. 2009), 8-Cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine (DPCPX) (ref. 0439), 2-[[6-Amino-3,5-dicyano-4-[4-(cyclopropylmethoxy)phenyl]-2-pyridinyl]thio]-acetamide (BAY606583) (ref. 4472). 4-(2-[7-Amino-2-(2-furyl)[1,2,4]triazolo[2,3-a][1,3,5]triazin-5ylamino]ethyl)phenol (ZM241385) (ref. 1036), and 2'(3')-O-(4-Benzoylbenzoyl)adenosine-5'-triphosphate tri(triethyla mmonium) salt (BzATP) (ref. 3312) were purchased from Tocris. Calf intestine adenosine deaminase (ADA) (ref. 10102121001) was purchased from Roche. Other used reagents are indicated in their corresponding section.

Cell Culture

Rat C6 glioma cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ref-CCL-107) and grown (passages 40-60) in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% non-essential amino acids and antibiotics in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air, 5% CO2 at 37°C. As the potency of adenosinergic ligands depends on the model, species (rat, human, or mouse), tissue, and overall experimental conditions of the assay (Fredholm et al., 2011), C6 cells were subjected to different adenosinergic ligands at concentrations that were selected considering the pharmacological characterization of adenosine receptors (Castillo et al., 2007) and the characterization of RSV as an adenosine receptor agonist in these cells (Sanchez-Melgar et al., 2019). For instance, PSB1115 and CGS21680 used in the range 0.1 nM-1 mM were unable to displace binding of 20 nM ³H]DPCPX to A₁ receptors in intact C6 cells. Similarly, PSB1115 and CPA used in the range 0.1 nM-1 mM were unable to displace binding of 15 nM [³H]ZM241385 to A_{2A} receptors in intact cells (Castillo et al., 2007).

Cell Viability Assays and Cell Counting

Cells were plated in 96-well dishes (10^4 cells/well) and grown overnight before starting treatment. After treatment, cell viability was assessed by the XTT method following the manufacturer's instructions (Roche). Reagents were incubated for 150 min at 37°C, and absorbance was measured at 475 and 690 nm on a Synergy HT (BIO-TEK) plate reader. The results are expressed as percentages relative to the control condition. Cells grown in six-well dishes ($5 \cdot 10^5$ cells/well) were detached and counted on a TC 10^{TM} Automated Cell Counter (BioRad) after treatment and compared with the corresponding controls.

Caspase-3 Activity

Cells from each condition (10^6 cells) were used as indicated by the manufacturer's protocol (Molecular Probes, Barcelona, Spain). Cells were lysed for 30 min at 4°C and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min. Supernatant (50 μ L) was collected, and a mix containing Z-DEVD, DTT, EDTA, PIPES, and CHAPS was added into the P96-black well. After 30 min of incubation at room temperature protected from light, fluorescence was read at Ex/Em of 340/440 nm, respectively, in a kinetic mode for 4 h. Slope value was used to represent the enzymatic activity. Samples from each condition were analyzed in duplicate at the same cell passage.

Cell Cycle Assays

After treatment, cells were washed with phosphate buffer (PB) and incubated with trypsin to detach cells. After trypsinization, cells were centrifuged, and the obtained pellet was carefully resuspended in 100 μ L PB. Cells were then fixed by adding cold ethanol for 4 h at 4°C. After fixation, cells were washed to remove ethanol and staining solution containing 0.1% Triton X-100, 10 μ g/ml of propidium iodide (Molecular Probes, Inc.), and 50 μ g/ml RNase A in PB was added; samples were incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Fluorescence was detected at 488 nm on a MACSQuant[®] 10 flow cytometer.

Nuclei Staining

Cell nuclei were visualized by fluorescence microscopy using Hoechst 33258 (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) as a staining method. Briefly, culture media was removed, and cells were washed with PBS (pH 7.4). Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature. After washing three times for 10 min in PBS, nuclei were stained with 1 μ g/ml Hoechst for 10 min protected from light and mounted with ProLong Gold antifade reagent (Invitrogen, Madrid, Spain). Nuclei were quantified using a DMI6000B microscope and LAS AF software (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany).

Plasma Membrane Isolation

Plasma membrane isolation was performed as previously described (Luis Albasanz et al., 2002). Cells were homogenized in isolation buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, containing 10 mM MgCl₂ and protease inhibitors) with Dounce homogenizer (10XA, 10XB). After homogenization, samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 1,000 × g in a Beckman JA 21 centrifuge. Supernatants were centrifuged again for 30 min at 27,000 × g, and the resulting supernatant was considered to be the cytoplasmic fraction, and the pellet (plasma membrane) was resuspended in isolation buffer. Protein concentration was measured by the Lowry method.

5'-Nucleotidase Activity

Isolated plasma membrane (20 µg) and cytosolic (20 µg) fractions were preincubated in 180 µL of the reaction medium containing 50 mM Tris, MgCl₂ 5 mM, pH 9, at 37°C for 10 min. Then, the reaction was initiated by the addition of 20 µL AMP at a final concentration of 500 µM and stopped 20 min later by adding 200 µL of 10% trichloroacetic acid. The samples were chilled on ice for 10 min and then centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 4 min at 4°C. The supernatants were used to measure the inorganic phosphate released following the protocol described earlier (Leon-Navarro et al., 2015) using KH₂PO₄ as

Pi standard. Non-enzymatic hydrolysis of AMP was used as a blank. Incubation times and protein concentration were selected to ensure the linearity of the reactions. All samples were run in duplicate. 5'-Nucleotidase (CD73) activity is expressed as nmol Pi released/min/mg of protein.

Adenosine Deaminase Activity

An adenosine deaminase (ADA) activity assay (ref. ab204695) was performed in a 96-well plate according to the manufacturer's protocol (Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom). The cytoplasmic fraction was diluted 1:100 in ADA buffer assay and assayed in duplicate. Then, the 96-well plate was read at Ex/Em = 535/587 nm as a kinetic curve for 30 min. ADA activity was obtained by interpolation in an inosine standard curve performed in parallel in the same plate. Enzymatic activity was normalized to the amount of protein.

Adenosine and Related Metabolite Detection by HPLC

Chromatographic analysis was performed with Ultimate 3000U-HPLC, and data peaks were processed with Chromeleon 7 (ThermoFisher, Madrid, Spain) as previously described (Alonso-Andres et al., 2019). An HPLC diode array was used working at 254 nm wavelength. Purine standards and samples (40 µL) were injected into a C18 column of 4.6 \times 250 mm, 5 μ m particle size. Two solvents were used for gradient elution: solvent A, 20 mM phosphate-buffered solution (pH 5.7), and solvent B, 100% methanol. The gradient was 95% (11 min), 80% (9 min), and 95% (2 min) in solvent A. The total run time was 22 min with a constant flow rate of 0.8 mL/min at 25°C. Retention times for inosine and adenosine were 8.4 and 15.5 min, respectively. Each purine level was obtained by interpolation from the corresponding purine standard curve. The standard curves were obtained by using five concentrations of each purine ranging from 0.1 to 500 μ M. Data were then normalized to the protein concentration of each sample.

Cell Microscopy and Population Doubling Time Calculation

C6 glioma cell growth was recorded with a digital camera (Leica DFC350FX) attached to a Leica DMI6000B (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) fluorescent microscope using \times 20 HCX PL FLUOTAR objective. Cells were maintained at 5% CO₂ and 37°C in a stage-top incubation system (PeCon GmbH, Erbach, Germany) during video recording (one image every 2 min). Cells were counted every 3 h, and the relative increase respect time 0 was calculated. Population doubling time was obtained from the fold increase data by non-linear regression fitting curve to exponential growth equation.

Statistical and Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was according to Student's *t*-test. Differences between mean values were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05. GraphPad Prism 6.0 program was used for statistical and data analysis (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, United States). Cell cycle histograms were

analyzed with FlowLogic 7.3 software by Inivai Technologies (Victoria, Australia).

RESULTS

Effect of RSV and the Pharmacological Stimulation/Blockade of Adenosine Receptors in C6 Glioma Cell Growth and Viability

Cells were treated with RSV at different times and concentrations to assess the ability of this polyphenol to cause cell death. Cell viability assays were performed based on the XTT reduction method, and the antitumoral activity of RSV was analyzed. Cell viability was diminished in a time- and concentrationdependent manner (**Figure 1A**), revealing that treatment at 100 μ M RSV for 24 h reduced about 50% of the measurement of cell viability. The proliferation of cells was gradually decreased as RSV concentration was increased from 0.1 to 100 μ M (**Figure 1B** and **Supplementary Video 1**), and the population doubling time (**Figure 1C**) changed from 16.1 \pm 1.7 h in control cells to 85.1 \pm 22.9 h in the 100 μ M RSV treatment. This concentration and time of treatment were used for the next set of experiments with RSV.

Resveratrol is a non-selective agonist for adenosine receptors, showing a strong influence in A_{2A} -mediated signaling (i.e., G protein coupling switch from Gs to Gi) after acute RSV treatment at high concentrations. Therefore, we target adenosine receptors with selective agonists and antagonists (10 μ M CPA and 1 and 10 μ M DPCX for A₁ receptors; 10 μ M CGS21680 and 100 μ M ZM241385 for A_{2A} receptors; 10 μ M BAY606583 and 100 μ M PSB1115 for A_{2B} receptors; 10 μ M IBMECA and 10 μ M MRS1220 for A₃ receptors) to investigate the role that these receptors play on C6 glioma cell growth. Caffeine (100 μ M), a non-selective antagonist for adenosine receptors, was also

employed. As **Figure 2A** shows, the activation of A_1 (CPA), A_{2A} (CGS21680), A_{2B} (BAY606583), or A_3 (IBMECA) receptors did not induce significant changes in cell viability after 24 h of treatment. In turn, the blockade of A_{2A} (ZM241385), A_{2B} (PSB1115), or A_3 (MRS1220) receptors significantly reduced the cell viability. Similar results were obtained when the number of cells after treatment was analyzed (**Figure 2B**). The blockade of the A_{2A} receptor significantly reduced the number of cells. Interestingly, blockade of A_1 receptor with DPCPX during RSV treatment significantly reduced the RSV effect on cell viability (**Figures 2A,C**) and the number of cells (**Figure 2B**). In turn, the blockade of the A_3 receptor with MRS1220 significantly reduced the decrease in the number of cells elicited by RSV treatment (**Figure 2B**) but was unable to modify the reduction in cell viability elicited by RSV (**Figures 2A,C**).

To assess whether the reduction in cell viability elicited by RSV or other treatments was associated with the induction of apoptosis, the caspase-3 activity was measured. As **Figure 3A** shows, a strong and significant increase in caspase-3 activity was detected in RSV-treated cells when compared with controls, suggesting the induction of apoptosis by RSV. Caspase-3 activity was also increased after selective blockade of A_{2A} receptor with ZM241385, but more modestly as compared with RSV. Interestingly, blockade of the A_1 receptor with DPCPX or, to a lesser extent, of the A_3 receptor with MRS1220 during RSV treatment resulted in lower caspase-3 activation than achieved with RSV alone (**Figure 3B**). However, no apoptotic bodies were found in stained nuclei after RSV treatment (**Figure 3C**).

The cell cycle of C6 cells was analyzed after treatment with agonists and antagonists of A_{2A} , A_{2B} , and A_3 receptors. The histograms of DNA content obtained by propidium iodide staining and flow cytometry (**Figure 4A**) were used to calculate the percentage of cells in each cell cycle phase (**Figure 4B**). This analysis reveals an accumulation of C6 glioma cells in the G₁ phase after RSV exposure when compared to control conditions, whereas the percentage of cells in the S and G₂/M



FIGURE 1 | Effect of RSV on C6 glioma cell growth. (A) Cell viability based on the XTT method was performed after RSV exposure for 1, 3, and 24 h at different concentrations. Data are means ± SEM of three to six independent assays. (B) Cells were treated with different concentrations of RSV as indicated. Cells were counted from phase-contrast images recorded (one frame every 2 min) for 24 h at the indicated interval and relativized to the number of cells at the beginning of treatment. A representative video can be seen in Supplementary Video 1. (C) Population doubling time derived from three to five video recordings as represented in panel (B).



FIGURE 2 Effect of RSV and adenosinergic ligands on C6 glioma cell growth. (A) Cell viability after 24 h of treatment with 100 μ M RSV, 100 μ M CAF, 10 μ M CPA, 10 μ M DPCPX, 10 μ M CGS, 100 μ M ZM, 10 μ M BAY60, 100 μ M PSB, 10 μ M IBMECA, and 10 μ M MRS alone or in combination. (B) Number of cells after 100 μ M RSV, 10 μ M CPA, 10 μ M DPCPX, 10 μ M CGS, 100 μ M ZM, 10 μ M BAY60, 100 μ M PSB, 10 μ M MRS alone or in combination. (B) Number of cells after 24 h of treatment. (C) Cell viability after 24 h treatment with different concentrations of RSV, CAF, DPCPX, ZM, PSB, and MRS alone or in combination. Data are means \pm SEM of 3–10 independent assays. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 and ****p < 0.0001 significantly different from control condition according to Student's *t*-test. #p < 0.05 and #p < 0.01 significantly different from RSV condition. RSV, resveratrol; CAF, caffeine; CPA, N⁶-cyclopentyladenosine; DPCPX, 8-Cyclopentyl-1,3-dipropylxanthine; CGS, CGS21680; ZM, ZM241385; BAY60, BAY606583; PSB, PSB1115; IBMECA, 2-CI-IB-MECA; MRS, MRS1220.

phases was significantly diminished, suggesting that cellular division was inhibited. Neither pharmacological blockade nor activation of A_{2A} , A_{2B} , and A_3 receptors altered the cell cycle when compared with the control condition, suggesting that RSV action on the C6 cell cycle could not be fully mimicked by selectively targeting these receptors. In addition, no differences were observed when the effect of RSV was analyzed in the presence of MRS1220.

RSV Effect on Adenosine Metabolism

Next, we analyzed the enzymatic machinery involved in adenosine production and degradation. We found a significant reduction in 5'-nucleotidase (5'NT or CD73) activity, which catalyzes adenosine synthesis from ATP, localized in the plasma membrane, whereas no changes were observed in the cytosolic fraction (**Figure 5A**). Likewise, ADA activity, which catalyzes the degradation of adenosine to inosine, was lower in homogenates from RSV-treated cells than in controls (**Figure 5B**). As the decrease of CD73 activity could lead to the accumulation of ATP metabolites that could stimulate P2X receptors, cell viability was assayed after 24 h treatment with ATP as a non-selective P2R agonist and BzATP as P2XR agonist. Results show a slight but significant decrease in cell viability elicited by high concentrations of ATP that were unable to mimic the RSV effect. BzATP did not change cell viability. Moreover, the RSV effect was maintained in the presence of this P2X agonist (**Figure 5C**), In addition, extracellular adenosine levels were significantly increased after RSV treatment (**Figure 5D**).

On the other hand, intracellular levels of adenosine, inosine, xanthine, and hypoxanthine were also quantified (**Figure 6**).



Only inosine levels were strongly and significantly reduced by RSV treatment.

Adenosine Effect on C6 Glioma Cells Growth

After confirming that the extracellular adenosine level was increased by RSV treatment, it was analyzed whether this increase has a role in the reduction of C6 cell growth promoted by RSV. **Figure** 7 shows that adenosine is unable to mimic the RSV effect on cell viability. Even at 100 μ M adenosine, the inhibition of cell viability is more discrete than that observed after RSV treatment. Moreover, the removal of adenosine from the culture medium with ADA, at two different concentrations (4 and 8 U/mL) that ensure the adenosine breakdown during RSV treatment, did not modify the RSV effect on cell viability. As the effect of RSV on cell viability could not be reversed by ADA,

direct activation of adenosine receptors by the increased levels of adenosine could be discarded. Instead, a direct action of RSV in adenosine receptors, mainly the A_1 subtype, could contribute to the antiproliferative effect of RSV.

Associations Between Components of the Adenosinergic System

Considering the link between levels of adenosine and related metabolites and their enzymatic machinery, we compared their mean \pm SEM values obtained in control and RSV-treated cells. In this sense, both 5'NT and ADA activities are reduced by RSV treatment, achieving activities of 73% \pm 4% and 32% \pm 9% of control values, respectively (**Figure 8A**). RSV treatment leads to higher levels of extracellular adenosine that seem not to be attributed to higher 5'NT activity, which is reduced by RSV treatment (**Figure 8B**). Thus, lower inosine levels seem



FIGURE 4 Cell cycle analysis in C6 glioma cells. Cells were analyzed by flow cytometry after 24 h of treatment with 100 μ M RSV, 10 μ M CGS, 10 μ M MRS, 10 μ M IBMECA, 100 μ M ZM, or 100 μ M PSB. (A) Representative histogram of cell cycle analysis performed with propidium iodide staining of DNA showing the number of cells versus DNA content (PI-A B3-A). (B) Percentage of cells in each cell cycle phase. Data are means \pm SEM of 3–15 independent experiments. *p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.001 significantly different from the control condition according to Student's *t*-test. RSV, resveratrol; CGS, CGS21680; IBMECA, 2-CI-IB-MECA; MRS, MRS1220; PSB, PSB1115; ZM, ZM241385.



to be associated with lower ADA activity (**Figure 8C**) in RSV-treated cells. Therefore, the decrease in 5'NT and ADA activities after RSV treatment results in lower inosine (9 \pm 6% of control cells) and higher adenosine levels (128 \pm 1% of control cells) (**Figure 8D**).

Effect of the Inhibition of Phosphodiesterase on C6 Glioma Cells Growth

It is reported that elevation of intracellular cAMP levels through inhibition of phosphodiesterase (PDE) positively correlates with reduced cell proliferation of C6 glioma cells. Therefore, we treated C6 glioma cells with 100 μ M RO-20-1724, a selective PDE-IV inhibitor. Our results show that PDE-IV inhibition did not cause a change in the number of cells (**Figure 9A**), the caspase-3 activity (**Figure 9B**), or the cell cycle (**Figure 9C**).

DISCUSSION

Results presented herein indicate that exposure of C6 glioma cells to RSV caused cell growth inhibition in a time- and concentration-dependent manner by accumulating cells in the

 G_1 phase. Caspase-3 activity was increased after treatment. Furthermore, adenosine-converting enzyme activities (i.e., CD73 and ADA) were significantly reduced in RSV-treated cells. In agreement, increased levels of extracellular adenosine were detected, whereas intracellular adenosine remained unaltered. Interestingly, adenosine A_1 and A_3 receptors seem to contribute in part to the antiproliferative effect of RSV because the blockade of these receptors partially ameliorates the effect of RSV.

Resveratrol is attracting attention in the prevention of several diseases, including cancer (Jang et al., 1997). However, the precise molecular mechanisms behind its antiproliferative action remain to be clarified. Our results show that RSV induced reduction in cell viability in a time- and concentration-dependent manner and a higher activity of caspase-3, an early apoptosis marker. The absence of apoptotic bodies in the nuclei and a cell cycle arrest in the G₁ phase, together with a lower percentage of cells in the S and G₂/M phases, suggests an antiproliferative effect of RSV through cell cycle arrest. A limitation of the cell cycle analysis we performed is that only a single parameter was used for DNA content analysis, and it would be interesting to use an additional specific marker to distinguish between the cell cycle phase. Anyway, we found an IC₅₀ value of 78.0 μ M (95% CI: 33.2–167.4) for 24 h RSV treatment, very similar to the



85.26 \pm 2.14 μM previously reported in C6 cells by Zielinska-Przyjemska et al. (2017). However, these authors report a cell cycle arrest in the S phase after 100 μM RSV exposure for 24 h. Cycle arrest in the S phase is also reported after 100 μM RSV exposure for 48 h (Wang et al., 2015) or 210 μM RSV for 24 h (Zhang et al., 2007). In agreement with our results, it is reported that 100 μM RSV induced cell cycle arrest in the G₁ phase in breast cancer cells after 24 h but not 48 h treatment (Medina-Aguilar et al., 2016) and in human melanoma cells (Wu et al., 2015) after 48 h. Thus, RSV inhibition of cell cycle progression seems to be cell line specific.

Purinergic signaling is involved in cancer cell proliferation (Di Virgilio and Adinolfi, 2017), and both P2 (Di Virgilio et al., 2018) and P1 (Allard D. et al., 2017) receptors as well as CD39 and CD73 enzymes (Allard B. et al., 2017) could be new targets in cancer. The role of adenosine signaling in cancer is still under debate although it is well accepted that adenosine can promote cancer cell proliferation in several tumors through its receptors (Ohta, 2016; Kazemi et al., 2018). It is reported that adenosine A_{2B} receptors (Sepulveda et al., 2016) and CD73 (Zhang, 2012) are overexpressed in many cancer types. Moreover, adenosine has been found at higher levels in the tumor microenvironment when compared with normal tissue (Ohta et al., 2006) even at a range of 50-100 µM (Vaupel and Mayer, 2016). This tumorderived adenosine seems to promote cancer cell growth in a receptor-dependent manner as reviewed elsewhere (Ohta, 2016), but it also facilitates immune escape by activating A_{2A} receptors in T cells (Ohta et al., 2006), suggesting a protumor effect of adenosine. However, other authors report a cytotoxic action of adenosine in human cervical cancer cells (Mello Pde et al., 2014), indicating that adenosine might exert a differential action depending on the type of cancer. This cytotoxic effect was also observed in our study when C6 cells were treated with 100 μ M adenosine for 24 h. In contrast, it is reported that 100 µM adenosine increased cell proliferation by 36% in U138MG glioma



cells (Bavaresco et al., 2008), which might indicate that adenosine action depends not only on the type of cancer (e.g., glioma) but also on the cancer cell line.

Despite the well-known antitumor effect of A2A receptor depletion or pharmacologic inhibition by enhancing the antitumor immune response in mice (Ohta et al., 2006), the specific role of adenosine receptors in the tumor itself remains under debate (Gessi et al., 2011; Di Virgilio and Adinolfi, 2017; Borea et al., 2018; Gorain et al., 2019). Adenosine receptors could display an important action on cancer cell growth, invasion, angiogenesis, and even metastasis (Ohta, 2016; Kazemi et al., 2018). Our work reveals that prolonged pharmacologic blockade of A_{2A} receptors with ZM241385 results in a discrete but significant reduction in the cell viability in conjunction with higher caspase-3 activity, suggesting an antiproliferative effect in cancer cells for A_{2A} receptor antagonists. Other authors report similar data in lung adenocarcinoma tumor cells (Mediavilla-Varela et al., 2013). In a previous study, our group discovered that RSV binds and acts as a non-selective adenosine receptor agonist in C6 glioma cells and that acute RSV treatment altered the A_{2A} receptor/Gs-protein coupling, leading to the inhibition of the cAMP generation upon pharmacologic stimulation of the A_{2A} receptor with CGS21680. Moreover, adenylyl cyclase (AC), PKA protein levels, and basal AC activity were significantly increased after 100 µM RSV treatment for 24 h (Sanchez-Melgar et al., 2019). This dramatic alteration of A_{2A} receptor signaling after RSV treatment makes it difficult to analyze the possible contribution of these receptors to the antiproliferative effect of RSV at least by combining RSV with agonists or antagonists for



A_{2A} receptors as employed here. Diet supplementation with RSV also caused the desensitization of A2A receptors in the brain from SAMP8 mice (Sanchez-Melgar et al., 2018). These results might support that the alteration of A2A receptor signaling could be involved in the antiproliferative action of RSV. It is reported that elevation of intracellular cAMP levels through either activation of AC or inhibition of PDEs leads to PKA activation and positively correlates with reduced cell proliferation of C6 glioma cells. Interestingly, the elevation of cAMP levels with forskolin induces cell cycle arrest of C6 glioma cells in the G₂/M phase. In comparison, inhibition of PDEs not only inhibits cell growth via the cAMP/PKA cascade, but also triggers cell death through caspase-3/-7 activation (Safitri et al., 2020). It is described that anticancer agents, such as RSV, may act by modulating cell cycleassociated proteins, such as cyclins, cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK), and CDK inhibitors (Wolter et al., 2001). CDK inhibitors are shown to be the downstream targets of caspase-3 activation, and loss of these inhibitors can result in the aberrant upregulation of CDKs that have been associated with apoptotic cell death (Jin et al., 2000). Therefore, RSV-induced G₁-phase cell cycle arrest could be mediated through the caspase/cyclin-CDK pathways. In agreement, the protein content of the cycle arrest proteins CDK2, CDK4, cyclin D1, PCNA, and P21 is reported to be decreased in a concentration-dependent manner in RSV-treated (100 µM, 24 h) HCT116 and Caco-2 cells compared with control cells (Liu et al., 2014). Moreover, RSV inhibits human U251 glioma cell proliferation and induces G₀/G₁ growth arrest, and these effects are reduced by the CDK inhibitor olomoucine (Jiang et al., 2005). These RSV effects on cell cycle and viability via caspase-3 activation could be modulated by different adenosine receptors after their activation by binding of adenosine or even RSV. In fact, treatment of C6 glioma cells with 25 μ M Cl-IB-MECA reduced Bcl-2 expression and increased caspase-3 activity after 24 h of treatment. This apoptotic effect was observed only with activation of the A3 receptor, whereas activation of the A1 or A2A receptors did not induce significant apoptotic effects (Appel et al., 2001). However, activation of A₁ receptors with CPA increased the cell viability and reduced apoptosis, and the antagonist DPCPX significantly induced apoptosis and caspase-3 expression in MCF-7 cells (Dastjerdi et al., 2016).

Among the molecular targets of RSV reported to date, the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) can be found (Kulkarni and Canto, 2015). The activation of AMPK is reported to suppress the proliferation of various cancers *via* the regulation



of cell cycle progression, apoptosis, autophagy, inhibition of protein synthesis, and de novo fatty acid synthesis. AMPK causes G1 cell cycle arrest via upregulation of the tumor suppressor protein p53, which upregulates p21, a CDK inhibitor (Motoshima et al., 2006). AMPK is a trimer with α -, β -, and γ -subunits. The α -subunit contains the kinase domain, and its Thr¹⁷² residue is phosphorylated (p-AMPK) by an upstream kinase and determines its regulation. The binding of AMP and, to a lesser extent, ADP to the γ -subunit stimulates AMPK activity. Thus, changes in the ATP/ADP or ATP/AMP ratio lead to the allosteric activation of AMPK (Herzig and Shaw, 2018). Therefore, enzymes involved in the modulation of AMP levels due to the conversion of AMP to adenosine (i.e., 5'NT) or adenosine to AMP (i.e., adenosine kinase) could control AMPK activation. Extracellular adenosine activates AMPK (Aymerich et al., 2006); however, PKA-mediated inhibition of AMPK via increased inhibitory phosphorylation of AMPK^{Ser173} and reduced activating phosphorylation of AMPK^{Thr172} is reported (Djouder et al., 2010; Aw et al., 2014). This PKA-mediated inhibition of AMPK could take place in C6 glioma cells as cAMP/PKA signaling is significantly increased, and the AMPK activation (measured as the p-AMPK/AMPK ratio) is not modified in these cells after 100 μM RSV treatment for 24 h (Sanchez-Melgar et al., 2019). Therefore, the cell cycle arrest elicited by RSV in C6 glioma cells seems to be independent of the AMPK/p53/p21 mediated inhibition of CDKs.

The enzyme CD73 has gained attention since it was discovered that adenosine is one of the major constituents in the tumor microenvironment (Di Virgilio and Adinolfi, 2017) and that this tumor-derived adenosine facilitates the immune escape by activating the A2A receptor in T and NK cells (Ohta and Sitkovsky, 2014). CD73 overexpression in tumor cells is associated with the pathogenesis (Yan et al., 2019), progression (Yu et al., 2018), and poor prognosis in several types of cancers, including HNSCC (Ren et al., 2016a), triple-negative breast cancer (Loi et al., 2013), oral squamous cell carcinoma (Ren et al., 2016b), and high-grade serous ovarian cancer (Turcotte et al., 2015), among others. Therefore, targeting CD73 with selective inhibitors or antibodies is being considered as a promising therapeutic strategy against cancer (Stagg et al., 2010). Our data indicate a significant reduction in the CD73 activity located in the plasma membrane fraction after RSV exposure, suggesting that RSV might affect adenosine production in the pericellular space. Nevertheless, a lower enzymatic activity of ADA was also found after RSV treatment, leading to lower deamination of adenosine into inosine and significantly decreased inosine levels. A limitation of the technique employed here to measure CD73 activity could be that we cannot rule out the contribution of alkaline phosphatase in the conversion of AMP to adenosine (Zimmermann, 2021). However, the presence of 100 μ M levamisole, a selective alkaline phosphatase inhibitor, during the assay determining CD73 activity in the human cerebral cortex modified the activity of CD73 in neither membranes nor cytosolic fraction by using the same assay conditions as here (Alonso-Andres et al., 2018). This reduced CD73 activity could promote an increased level of ATP. It is well known that extracellular ATP may exhibit a cytotoxic effect in cancer cells depending on the concentration (Vultaggio-Poma et al., 2020). Among P2 receptors, the P2 \times 7 receptor subtype seems to be the main player in ATP-dependent biological actions. Prolonged activation



of P2 \times 7, *via* high levels of extracellular ATP over an extended time period, can lead to the formation of a macropore, leading to depolarization of the plasma membrane and, ultimately, to cell death (Lara et al., 2020). However, our results show that, after 24 h of P2X stimulation with BzATP, C6 cell viability did not change, and this prolonged stimulation was unable to modify the RSV effect.

A highly active CD73 enzyme has been detected in glioblastoma (Ludwig et al., 1999) and glioma (Bavaresco et al., 2008). Independently of its enzymatic role, CD73 can mediate cell-cell adhesion being a coreceptor in T cell activation or regulate cell interaction with ECM components and migration on them. Acting as a docking molecule, CD73 mediates migration and invasion of A375 melanoma cells (Sadej and Skladanowski, 2012) and glioblastoma invasiveness (Fenoglio et al., 1997) through focal adhesion kinase activation. Interestingly, RSV was

able to regulate the invasion of cancer cells by modulating such focal adhesion kinase (Buhrmann et al., 2017), which could be mediated by the RSV effect on the CD73 enzyme.

The precise molecular mechanism by which RSV modulates CD73 activity is not clarified yet. It is described a possible link between hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha (HIF-1 α) and CD73 (Sotnikov and Louis, 2010). Hypoxic conditions in the tumor trigger HIF-1 α activation and, in turn, an upregulation of the CD73-adenosine pathway (Li et al., 2017), which is able to promote tumor growth and metastasis (Zhang, 2012). RSV treatment reduced HIF-1 α in cancer cells *in vitro* (Zhang et al., 2005), which might explain the reduction in the CD73 activity observed in RSV-treated cells in our study. Of interest, moderate hypoxia (24 h at 5% O₂) produces increased endogenous adenosine levels in C6 glioma cells and the downregulation and upregulation of A₁ and A_{2A} receptors, respectively. However,

HIF-1 α was not modulated by moderate hypoxia, and C6 cells were resistant to cell death elicited by hypoxic insult (Castillo et al., 2008).

A growing body of evidence indicates that dual blockade of CD73 and A_{2A} receptors could enhance the antitumor response (Beavis et al., 2015; Young et al., 2016). In this sense, RSV induces the reduction in CD73 activity as observed in our study and changes the A_{2A} receptor downstream signaling from activation to inhibition of adenylyl cyclase as we reported previously (Sanchez-Melgar et al., 2019).

Reduced CD73 and ADA activities as reported herein result in increased extracellular levels of adenosine after RSV exposure. However, RSV-induced cell growth inhibition seems to be independent of the activation of adenosine receptors by extracellular adenosine because of (1) an adenosine concentration of 50 µM, similar to that achieved after RSV treatment, and 75 μ M were unable to reduce cell viability, (2) 100 µM adenosine treatment slightly decreased cell viability but to a lesser extent than observed with RSV treatment, and (3) ADA presence during RSV treatment did not impede the RSV effect on these cells. Instead, direct activation of adenosine receptors by RSV itself acting as a non-selective agonist seems to be involved as we recently suggested (Sanchez-Melgar et al., 2019). Pharmacological inhibition of the A1 receptor with DPCPX or the A₃ receptor with MRS1220 during RSV treatment resulted in a significantly reduced RSV effect, suggesting possible participation of reduced levels of cAMP after RSV binding to these Gi-protein coupled receptors (i.e., A1 and A3). Moreover, prolonged pharmacologic inhibition of the A2A receptor with ZM241385 or the A_{2B} receptor with PSB1115 partially mimicked the RSV-induced effect on C6 cell viability. As mentioned, RSV treatment altered the A_{2A} receptor/Gs-protein coupling, leading to the inhibition of the cAMP generation upon pharmacologic stimulation of the A2A receptor with CGS21680 after RSV treatment (Sanchez-Melgar et al., 2019). All these data might indicate that the reduction of C6 cell growth upon RSV treatment could be related to the inhibition of cAMP levels through adenosine receptor modulation, mainly A1 and A3 receptors.

In summary, our study suggests that a reduced CD73 activity located in the plasma membrane in addition to a fine-tuned modulatory role of adenosine receptors could be involved, at

REFERENCES

- Allard, B., Longhi, M. S., Robson, S. C., and Stagg, J. (2017). The ectonucleotidases CD39 and CD73: novel checkpoint inhibitor targets. *Immunol. Rev.* 276, 121– 144. doi: 10.1111/imr.12528
- Allard, B., Turcotte, M., and Stagg, J. (2012). CD73-generated adenosine: orchestrating the tumor-stroma interplay to promote cancer growth. J. Biomed. Biotechnol. 2012:485156. doi: 10.1155/2012/48 5156
- Allard, D., Turcotte, M., and Stagg, J. (2017). Targeting A2 adenosine receptors in cancer. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* 95, 333–339. doi: 10.1038/icb. 2017.8
- Alonso-Andres, P., Albasanz, J. L., Ferrer, I., and Martin, M. (2018). Purine-related metabolites and their converting enzymes are altered in frontal, parietal and temporal cortex at early stages of Alzheimer's disease pathology. *Brain Pathol.* 28, 933–946. doi: 10.1111/bpa.12592

least in part, in the antiproliferative action of RSV in C6 glioma cells (**Figure 10**).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MM and JA: conceptualization, writing—review and editing. AS-M, MM, and JA: formal analysis. MM: funding acquisition. AS-M and SM-L: investigation. AS-M and MM: writing—original draft. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

FUNDING

This research was funded by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (grant PID2019-109206GB-I00), by UCLM (grant 2020-GRIN-29108 cofinanced with the European Union FEDER), and by Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha (JCCM) (grant SBPLY/19/180501/000251) to MM. AS-M was the recipient of a postdoctoral grant (PRE-8002/2014) from JCCM. SM-L was the recipient of a predoctoral fellowship (CONV180383) from Fundación Científica Asociación Española Contra el Cancer (AECC).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins. 2021.702817/full#supplementary-material

Supplementary Video 1 | Growth of C6 glioma cells in control conditions or in the presence of 0.1–100 μ M RSV. Time-lapse movie (one frame/2 min) of cells growing for 24 h since the start of treatment. Bar size: 100 μ m.

- Alonso-Andres, P., Martin, M., and Albasanz, J. L. (2019). Modulation of adenosine receptors and antioxidative effect of beer extracts in in vitro models. *Nutrients* 11:1258. doi: 10.3390/nu11061258
- Appel, E., Kazimirsky, G., Ashkenazi, E., Kim, S. G., Jacobson, K. A., and Brodie, C. (2001). Roles of BCL-2 and caspase 3 in the adenosine A3 receptor-induced apoptosis. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* 17, 285–292. doi: 10.1385/JMN:17:3:285
- Aw, D. K., Sinha, R. A., Xie, S. Y., and Yen, P. M. (2014). Differential AMPK phosphorylation by glucagon and metformin regulates insulin signaling in human hepatic cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 447, 569–573. doi: 10. 1016/j.bbrc.2014.04.031
- Aymerich, I., Foufelle, F., Ferre, P., Casado, F. J., and Pastor-Anglada, M. (2006). Extracellular adenosine activates AMP-dependent protein kinase (AMPK). J. Cell Sci. 119, 1612–1621. doi: 10.1242/jcs.02865
- Bavaresco, L., Bernardi, A., Braganhol, E., Cappellari, A. R., Rockenbach, L., Farias, P. F., et al. (2008). The role of ecto-5'-nucleotidase/CD73 in glioma cell line proliferation. *Mol. Cell Biochem.* 319, 61–68. doi: 10.1007/s11010-008-9877-3

- Beavis, P. A., Milenkovski, N., Henderson, M. A., John, L. B., Allard, B., Loi, S., et al. (2015). Adenosine receptor 2A blockade increases the efficacy of anti-PD-1 through enhanced antitumor T-cell responses. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 3, 506–517. doi: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0211
- Borea, P. A., Gessi, S., Merighi, S., Vincenzi, F., and Varani, K. (2018). Pharmacology of adenosine receptors: the state of the art. *Physiol. Rev.* 98, 1591–1625. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00049.2017
- Buhrmann, C., Shayan, P., Goel, A., and Shakibaei, M. (2017). Resveratrol regulates colorectal cancer cell invasion by modulation of focal adhesion molecules. *Nutrients* 9:1073. doi: 10.3390/nu9101073
- Buisseret, L., Pommey, S., Allard, B., Garaud, S., Bergeron, M., Cousineau, I., et al. (2018). Clinical significance of CD73 in triple-negative breast cancer: multiplex analysis of a phase III clinical trial. *Ann. Oncol.* 29, 1056–1062. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx730
- Carter, L. G., D'orazio, J. A., and Pearson, K. J. (2014). Resveratrol and cancer: focus on in vivo evidence. *Endocr. Relat. Cancer* 21, R209–R225. doi: 10.1530/ERC-13-0171
- Castillo, C. A., Albasanz, J. L., Fernandez, M., and Martin, M. (2007). Endogenous expression of adenosine A1, A2 and A3 receptors in rat C6 glioma cells. *Neurochem. Res.* 32, 1056–1070. doi: 10.1007/s11064-006-9273-x
- Castillo, C. A., Leon, D., Ruiz, M. A., Albasanz, J. L., and Martin, M. (2008). Modulation of adenosine A1 and A2A receptors in C6 glioma cells during hypoxia: involvement of endogenous adenosine. *J. Neurochem.* 105, 2315–2329. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2008.05314.x
- Dastjerdi, M. N., Rarani, M. Z., Valiani, A., and Mahmoudieh, M. (2016). The effect of adenosine A1 receptor agonist and antagonist on p53 and caspase 3, 8, and 9 expression and apoptosis rate in MCF-7 breast cancer cell line. *Res. Pharm. Sci.* 11, 303–310. doi: 10.4103/1735-5362.189301
- Di Virgilio, F., and Adinolfi, E. (2017). Extracellular purines, purinergic receptors and tumor growth. *Oncogene* 36, 293–303. doi: 10.1038/onc.2016.206
- Di Virgilio, F., Sarti, A. C., Falzoni, S., De Marchi, E., and Adinolfi, E. (2018). Extracellular ATP and P2 purinergic signalling in the tumour microenvironment. *Nat. Rev. Cancer.* 18, 601–618. doi: 10.1038/s41568-018-0037-0
- Djouder, N., Tuerk, R. D., Suter, M., Salvioni, P., Thali, R. F., Scholz, R., et al. (2010). PKA phosphorylates and inactivates AMPKalpha to promote efficient lipolysis. *EMBO J.* 29, 469–481. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2009.339
- Fenoglio, C., Necchi, D., Civallero, M., Ceroni, M., and Nano, R. (1997). Cytochemical demonstration of nitric oxide synthase and 5' nucleotidase in human glioblastoma. *Anticancer Res.* 17, 2507–2511.
- Fredholm, B. B., Ap, I. J., Jacobson, K. A., Linden, J., and Muller, C. E. (2011). International union of basic and clinical pharmacology. LXXXI. Nomenclature and classification of adenosine receptors–an update. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 63, 1–34. doi: 10.1124/pr.110.003285
- Gessi, S., Merighi, S., Sacchetto, V., Simioni, C., and Borea, P. A. (2011). Adenosine receptors and cancer. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1808, 1400–1412. doi: 10.1016/j. bbamem.2010.09.020
- Gorain, B., Choudhury, H., Yee, G. S., and Bhattamisra, S. K. (2019). Adenosine receptors as novel targets for the treatment of various cancers. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 25, 2828–2841. doi: 10.2174/1381612825666190716102037
- Herzig, S., and Shaw, R. J. (2018). AMPK: guardian of metabolism and mitochondrial homeostasis. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 19, 121–135. doi: 10.1038/ nrm.2017.95
- Jang, M., Cai, L., Udeani, G. O., Slowing, K. V., Thomas, C. F., Beecher, C. W., et al. (1997). Cancer chemopreventive activity of resveratrol, a natural product derived from grapes. *Science* 275, 218–220. doi: 10.1126/science.275.5297.218
- Jiang, H., Zhang, L., Kuo, J., Kuo, K., Gautam, S. C., Groc, L., et al. (2005). Resveratrol-induced apoptotic death in human U251 glioma cells. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 4, 554–561. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-04-0056
- Jiang, Z., Chen, K., Cheng, L., Yan, B., Qian, W., Cao, J., et al. (2017). Resveratrol and cancer treatment: updates. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1403, 59–69. doi: 10.1111/ nyas.13466
- Jin, Y. H., Yoo, K. J., Lee, Y. H., and Lee, S. K. (2000). Caspase 3-mediated cleavage of p21WAF1/CIP1 associated with the cyclin A-cyclin-dependent kinase 2 complex is a prerequisite for apoptosis in SK-HEP-1 cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 30256–30263. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M001902200
- Kazemi, M. H., Raoofi Mohseni, S., Hojjat-Farsangi, M., Anvari, E., Ghalamfarsa, G., Mohammadi, H., et al. (2018). Adenosine and adenosine receptors in the

immunopathogenesis and treatment of cancer. J. Cell Physiol. 233, 2032–2057. doi: 10.1002/jcp.25873

- Kiskova, T., Kubatka, P., Busselberg, D., and Kassayova, M. (2020). The plantderived compound resveratrol in brain cancer: a review. *Biomolecules* 10:161. doi: 10.3390/biom10010161
- Ko, J. H., Sethi, G., Um, J. Y., Shanmugam, M. K., Arfuso, F., Kumar, A. P., et al. (2017). The role of resveratrol in cancer therapy. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 18:2589. doi: 10.3390/ijms18122589
- Kulkarni, S. S., and Canto, C. (2015). The molecular targets of resveratrol. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1852, 1114–1123. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2014.10.005
- Lara, R., Adinolfi, E., Harwood, C. A., Philpott, M., Barden, J. A., Di Virgilio, F., et al. (2020). P2X7 in cancer: from molecular mechanisms to therapeutics. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11:793. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2020.00793
- Leon-Navarro, D. A., Albasanz, J. L., and Martin, M. (2015). Hyperthermiainduced seizures alter adenosine A1 and A2A receptors and 5'-nucleotidase activity in rat cerebral cortex. J. Neurochem. 134, 395–404. doi: 10.1111/jnc. 13130
- Li, J., Wang, L., Chen, X., Li, L., Li, Y., Ping, Y., et al. (2017). CD39/CD73 upregulation on myeloid-derived suppressor cells via TGF-beta-mTOR-HIF-1 signaling in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Oncoimmunology* 6:e1320011. doi: 10.1080/2162402X.2017.1320011
- Liu, B., Zhou, Z., Zhou, W., Liu, J., Zhang, Q., Xia, J., et al. (2014). Resveratrol inhibits proliferation in human colorectal carcinoma cells by inducing G1/Sphase cell cycle arrest and apoptosis through caspase/cyclinCDK pathways. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 10, 1697–1702. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2014.2406
- Loi, S., Pommey, S., Haibe-Kains, B., Beavis, P. A., Darcy, P. K., Smyth, M. J., et al. (2013). CD73 promotes anthracycline resistance and poor prognosis in triple negative breast cancer. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 11091–11096. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1222251110
- Ludwig, H. C., Rausch, S., Schallock, K., and Markakis, E. (1999). Expression of CD 73 (ecto-5'-nucleotidase) in 165 glioblastomas by immunohistochemistry and electronmicroscopic histochemistry. *Anticancer Res.* 19, 1747–1752.
- Luis Albasanz, J., Fernandez, M., and Martin, M. (2002). Internalization of metabotropic glutamate receptor in C6 cells through clathrin-coated vesicles. *Brain Res. Mol. Brain Res.* 99, 54–66. doi: 10.1016/S0169-328X(02)00103-1
- Mediavilla-Varela, M., Luddy, K., Noyes, D., Khalil, F. K., Neuger, A. M., Soliman, H., et al. (2013). Antagonism of adenosine A2A receptor expressed by lung adenocarcinoma tumor cells and cancer associated fibroblasts inhibits their growth. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 14, 860–868. doi: 10.4161/cbt.25643
- Medina-Aguilar, R., Marchat, L. A., Arechaga Ocampo, E., Gariglio, P., Garcia Mena, J., Villegas Sepulveda, N., et al. (2016). Resveratrol inhibits cell cycle progression by targeting aurora kinase A and polo-like kinase 1 in breast cancer cells. Oncol. Rep. 35, 3696–3704. doi: 10.3892/or.2016.4728
- Mello Pde, A., Filippi-Chiela, E. C., Nascimento, J., Beckenkamp, A., Santana, D. B., Kipper, F., et al. (2014). Adenosine uptake is the major effector of extracellular ATP toxicity in human cervical cancer cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 25, 2905–2918. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e14-01-0042
- Motoshima, H., Goldstein, B. J., Igata, M., and Araki, E. (2006). AMPK and cell proliferation–AMPK as a therapeutic target for atherosclerosis and cancer. *J. Physiol.* 574, 63–71. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2006.108324
- Ohta, A. (2016). A metabolic immune checkpoint: adenosine in tumor microenvironment. Front. Immunol. 7:109. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00109
- Ohta, A., Gorelik, E., Prasad, S. J., Ronchese, F., Lukashev, D., Wong, M. K., et al. (2006). A2A adenosine receptor protects tumors from antitumor T cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 13132–13137. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0605251103
- Ohta, A., and Sitkovsky, M. (2014). Extracellular adenosine-mediated modulation of regulatory T cells. *Front. Immunol.* 5:304. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00304
- Ren, Z. H., Lin, C. Z., Cao, W., Yang, R., Lu, W., Liu, Z. Q., et al. (2016a). CD73 is associated with poor prognosis in HNSCC. *Oncotarget* 7, 61690–61702. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.11435
- Ren, Z. H., Yuan, Y. X., Ji, T., and Zhang, C. P. (2016b). CD73 as a novel marker for poor prognosis of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oncol. Lett.* 12, 556–562. doi: 10.3892/ol.2016.4652
- Sadej, R., and Skladanowski, A. C. (2012). Dual, enzymatic and non-enzymatic, function of ecto-5'-nucleotidase (eN, CD73) in migration and invasion of A375 melanoma cells. Acta Biochim. Pol. 59, 647–652. doi: 10.18388/abp.2012_2105
- Safitri, D., Harris, M., Potter, H., Yan Yeung, H., Winfield, I., Kopanitsa, L., et al. (2020). Elevated intracellular cAMP concentration mediates growth

suppression in glioma cells. Biochem. Pharmacol. 174:113823. doi: 10.1016/j. bcp.2020.113823

- Sanchez-Melgar, A., Albasanz, J. L., Guixa-Gonzalez, R., Saleh, N., Selent, J., and Martin, M. (2019). The antioxidant resveratrol acts as a non-selective adenosine receptor agonist. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 135, 261–273. doi: 10.1016/ j.freeradbiomed.2019.03.019
- Sanchez-Melgar, A., Albasanz, J. L., Palomera-Avalos, V., Pallas, M., and Martin, M. (2018). Resveratrol modulates and reverses the age-related effect on adenosinemediated signalling in SAMP8 mice. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 56, 2881–2895. doi: 10. 1007/s12035-018-1281-8
- Sepulveda, C., Palomo, I., and Fuentes, E. (2016). Role of adenosine A2b receptor overexpression in tumor progression. *Life Sci.* 166, 92–99. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs. 2016.10.008
- Sotnikov, I., and Louis, N. A. (2010). CD73-dependent regulation of interferon alphaA and interleukin-10 in the inflamed mucosa. *Sci. World J.* 10, 2167–2180. doi: 10.1100/tsw.2010.203
- Stagg, J., Beavis, P. A., Divisekera, U., Liu, M. C., Moller, A., Darcy, P. K., et al. (2012). CD73-deficient mice are resistant to carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res.* 72, 2190–2196. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-0420
- Stagg, J., Divisekera, U., Duret, H., Sparwasser, T., Teng, M. W., Darcy, P. K., et al. (2011). CD73-deficient mice have increased antitumor immunity and are resistant to experimental metastasis. *Cancer Res.* 71, 2892–2900. doi: 10.1158/ 0008-5472.CAN-10-4246
- Stagg, J., Divisekera, U., Mclaughlin, N., Sharkey, J., Pommey, S., Denoyer, D., et al. (2010). Anti-CD73 antibody therapy inhibits breast tumor growth and metastasis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 1547–1552. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0908801107
- Turcotte, M., Spring, K., Pommey, S., Chouinard, G., Cousineau, I., George, J., et al. (2015). CD73 is associated with poor prognosis in high-grade serous ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res.* 75, 4494–4503. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-3569
- Vaupel, P., and Mayer, A. (2016). Hypoxia-driven adenosine accumulation: a crucial microenvironmental factor promoting tumor progression. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 876, 177–183. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-3023-4_22
- Vultaggio-Poma, V., Sarti, A. C., and Di Virgilio, F. (2020). Extracellular ATP: a feasible target for cancer therapy. *Cells* 9:2496. doi: 10.3390/cells9112496
- Wang, G., Dai, F., Yu, K., Jia, Z., Zhang, A., Huang, Q., et al. (2015). Resveratrol inhibits glioma cell growth via targeting oncogenic microRNAs and multiple signaling pathways. *Int. J. Oncol.* 46, 1739–1747. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2015.2863
- Wesseling, P., and Capper, D. (2018). WHO 2016 classification of gliomas. Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol. 44, 139–150. doi: 10.1111/nan.12432
- Wolter, F., Akoglu, B., Clausnitzer, A., and Stein, J. (2001). Downregulation of the cyclin D1/Cdk4 complex occurs during resveratrol-induced cell cycle arrest in colon cancer cell lines. J. Nutr. 131, 2197–2203. doi: 10.1093/jn/131.8.2197
- Wu, Z., Liu, B., E, C., Liu, J., Zhang, Q., Liu, J., et al. (2015). Resveratrol inhibits the proliferation of human melanoma cells by inducing G1/S cell cycle arrest and apoptosis. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 11, 400–404. doi: 10.3892/mmr.2014.2716
- Yan, A., Joachims, M. L., Thompson, L. F., Miller, A. D., Canoll, P. D., and Bynoe, M. S. (2019). CD73 promotes glioblastoma pathogenesis and enhances

its chemoresistance via A2B adenosine receptor signaling. J. Neurosci. 39, 4387-4402. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1118-18.2019

- Young, A., Ngiow, S. F., Barkauskas, D. S., Sult, E., Hay, C., Blake, S. J., et al. (2016). Co-inhibition of CD73 and A2AR adenosine signaling improves anti-tumor immune responses. *Cancer Cell* 30, 391–403. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2016.06.025
- Yu, J., Wang, X., Lu, Q., Wang, J., Li, L., Liao, X., et al. (2018). Extracellular 5'-nucleotidase (CD73) promotes human breast cancer cells growth through AKT/GSK-3beta/beta-catenin/cyclinD1 signaling pathway. *Int. J. Cancer* 142, 959–967. doi: 10.1002/ijc.31112
- Zhang, B. (2012). CD73 promotes tumor growth and metastasis. *Oncoimmunology* 1, 67–70. doi: 10.4161/onci.1.1.18068
- Zhang, Q., Tang, X., Lu, Q. Y., Zhang, Z. F., Brown, J., and Le, A. D. (2005). Resveratrol inhibits hypoxia-induced accumulation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha and VEGF expression in human tongue squamous cell carcinoma and hepatoma cells. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 4, 1465–1474. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163. MCT-05-0198
- Zhang, W., Fei, Z., Zhen, H. N., Zhang, J. N., and Zhang, X. (2007). Resveratrol inhibits cell growth and induces apoptosis of rat C6 glioma cells. *J. Neurooncol.* 81, 231–240. doi: 10.1007/s11060-006-9226-x
- Zhu, J., Zeng, Y., Li, W., Qin, H., Lei, Z., Shen, D., et al. (2017). CD73/NT5E is a target of miR-30a-5p and plays an important role in the pathogenesis of non-small cell lung cancer. *Mol. Cancer* 16:34. doi: 10.1186/s12943-017-0591-1
- Zielinska-Przyjemska, M., Kaczmarek, M., Krajka-Kuzniak, V., Luczak, M., and Baer-Dubowska, W. (2017). The effect of resveratrol, its naturally occurring derivatives and tannic acid on the induction of cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in rat C6 and human T98G glioma cell lines. *Toxicol. In Vitro* 43, 69–75. doi: 10.1016/j.tiv.2017.06.004
- Zimmermann, H. (2021). History of ectonucleotidases and their role in purinergic signaling. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 187:114322. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2020.114322

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Sánchez-Melgar, Muñoz-López, Albasanz and Martín. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.