

Right Ventricular Function Evaluated by Tricuspid Annular Plane Systolic Excursion Predicts Cardiovascular Death in the General Population

Daniel Modin, MB, Rasmus Møgelvang, MD, PhD, Ditte Madsen Andersen, MD; Tor Biering-Sørensen, MD, PhD, MPH

Background—Cardiovascular disease remains a leading cause of death. Right ventricular (RV) function is a strong predictor of outcome in many cardiovascular diseases, but its significance is often neglected. Little is known about the prognostic value of RV systolic function in the general population. Therefore, we aimed to determine the prognostic value of RV systolic function, evaluated by tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), in predicting cardiovascular death (CVD) in the general population.

Methods and Results—A total of 1039 participants from the general population without heart failure or atrial fibrillation had an echocardiogram performed and TAPSE measured. The end point was CVD. During a median follow-up of 12.7 years (interquartile range, 12.0-12.9 years), 69 participants (6.6%) experienced CVD, whereas 162 participants (15.6%) experienced non-CVD. Decreasing RV systolic function, assessed as TAPSE, was a univariable predictor of CVD (hazard ratio, 1.13; 95% Cl, 1.07–1.20; P<0.001, per 1-mm decrease). TAPSE remained an independent predictor of CVD after adjusting for clinical and echocardiographic parameters (hazard ratio, 1.08; 95% Cl, 1.01–1.15; P=0.017, per 1-mm decrease). Furthermore, in net reclassification analysis, decreasing RV systolic function, assessed as TAPSE, significantly improved risk classification with respect to CVD when added to established cardiovascular risk factors from the Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation chart or a modified version of the American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology Pooled Cohort Equation. Decreasing RV systolic function, assessed as TAPSE, did not predict non-CVD, indicating specificity for CVD.

Conclusions—RV systolic function, as assessed by TAPSE, is associated with CVD in the general population. In the general population, assessment of RV systolic function may provide novel prognostic information about the risk of CVD. (*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2019;8:e012197. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.119.012197.)

Key Words: cardiovascular death • cardiovascular risk • general population • prognosis • right ventricle • right ventricle echocardiography • tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion

T o this day, cardiovascular disease remains the leading cause of death.¹ Currently, methods for predicting cardiovascular risk in the general population rely on old and

simple risk models.² The European Society of Cardiology has, in a recent position statement, emphasized that current risk scores, such as the SCORE risk chart³ and the American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) Pooled Cohort Equation,⁴ are useful but insufficient for predicting cardiovascular risk in the general population.⁵ One size does not fit all. Personalized medicine in cardiology practice can be viewed as a continuum in which new technologies are continuously incorporated into clinical practice. A feasible method of collecting a large amount of personalized information on cardiac structure and function may be echocardiography. However, before this information can be used to personalize risk prediction in the general population, the incremental prognostic value of individual parameters must be thoroughly tested and validated.²

The right ventricle (RV) has often been coined "the forgotten chamber."⁶ RV dysfunction is an established predictor of morbidity and mortality in both cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, including heart failure (HF),⁷

From the Department of Cardiology, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital (D.M., R.M., D.M.A., T.B.-S.), and Department of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences (T.B.-S.), University of Copenhagen, Denmark; and The Copenhagen City Heart Study, Frederiksberg Hospital, Frederiksberg, Denmark (D.M., R.M., D.M.A., T.B.-S.).

Accompanying Tables S1 through S3 are available at https://www.ahajourna ls.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.119.012197

Correspondence to: Daniel Modin, MB, Cardiovascular Non-Invasive Imaging Research Laboratory, Department of Cardiology, Herlev and Gentofte Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Niels Andersensvej 65, Post 835, DK-2900 Copenhagen, Denmark. E-mail: danielmodin@live.dk

Received January 30, 2019; accepted March 27, 2019.

^{© 2019} The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

- No studies have investigated the prognostic value of right ventricular systolic function, assessed as tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, in a large cohort of individuals from the general population.
- In our study, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion was an independent predictor of cardiovascular death in individuals from the general population; this was true even in people with normal left ventricular ejection fraction (≥60%).

What Are the Clinical Implications?

• Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion may facilitate early identification of individuals at high risk of cardiovascular death, allowing for prompt intervention and intensified follow-up.

myocardial infarction,⁸ primary pulmonary hypertension,⁹ and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.¹⁰ Chronic lung disease, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, can lead to hypoxemic vasoconstriction and destruction of pulmonary capillary beds, which increases pulmonary circulatory resistance and, thus, RV afterload. Consequently, in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, RV systolic dysfunction is a marker of poor prognosis.¹⁰ In addition, the RV is also directly affected by the downstream left ventricular (LV) filling pressure as a result of circulatory coupling and RV function is impaired in conditions of diastolic dysfunction, such as in HF with preserved ejection fraction.¹¹ Diastolic dysfunction is a strong predictor of adverse cardiovascular outcome in the general population.¹² Currently, the prognostic value of RV systolic function, quantified by echocardiography, in predicting cardiovascular death (CVD) and other cardiovascular outcomes in the general population is largely unknown.

This study sought to investigate the prognostic value of an easily obtainable measure of RV systolic function, such as the tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), in predicting cardiovascular mortality in the general population.

Methods

Data Availability

The data, analytic methods, and study materials will not be made available to other researchers for purposes of reproducing the results or replicating the procedure. Because this study uses data from human subjects, the data and everything pertaining to them are governed by the Danish Data Protection Agency and can only be made available to any additional researchers if a formal request is filed with the Danish Authorities.

Study Sample

The present study sample is an echocardiographic substudy of the CCHS (Copenhagen City Heart Study), a longitudinal cohort study designed to study cardiovascular risk factors. The population has previously been described in detail.¹³ The population is based in and around the Copenhagen city area. In the fourth round of examination, participants were allocated for echocardiography in a random manner. At first, 2221 participants had an echocardiogram, including tissue Doppler imaging, performed. For this substudy, 67 participants were excluded because of prevalent HF or atrial fibrillation. Because the echocardiograms were obtained in 2001 to 2003 using a protocol not specifically designed for RV analysis, 1115 participants had to be excluded because of inadequate image quality for measurement of TAPSE. Most of these exclusions were because of the lateral tricuspid annulus area being outside of the imaging plane during part of the cardiac cycle, making tracking of the systolic motion nonfeasible, or because of acoustic shadowing of the lateral tricuspid annulus. A comparison of excluded participants with included participants is available in Table S1. This left 1039 participants for inclusion into the present study.

Ethics

Written consent was collected from all participants, and the study design was approved by a regional scientific ethics committee. Finally, the study complies with the Second Declaration of Helsinki.

General Health Examination

Participants were subject to a general health examination, consisting of a questionnaire and a physical examination. In addition, participants had their lung function assessed by spirometry. The presence of obstructive lung function at baseline was defined as a forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV1) divided by the forced vital capacity of <0.7.

Definitions of diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and prevalent ischemic heart disease have previously been described.¹³

Echocardiography

All echocardiograms were obtained using Vivid 5 ultrasound machines (GE Healthcare, Horten, Norway) by 3 experienced sonographers with a 2.5-MHz transducer. All examinations underwent offline analysis by another experienced investigator. This investigator was blinded to all outcome and clinical

data. The offline analysis was done using commercially available software (EchoPac, version 8; GE Healthcare).

Conventional Echocardiography

The wall motion score index was assessed using the 16segment model and used to calculate the LV ejection fraction (LVEF).¹⁴ TAPSE was measured in the lateral tricuspid annulus from the apical 4-chamber view using an M-mode cursor. LV hypertrophy was defined as directed in current guideliens.¹⁴ Peak early and late diastolic inflow velocities were derived from mitral inflow patterns using pulsed-wave Doppler between the mitral leaflet tips in the apical position. These were used to calculate early/late diastolic inflow velocity and to measure deceleration time of the E-wave.

Color Tissue Doppler Imaging

Color tissue Doppler imaging velocity tracings, with the range gate positioned in the lateral and septal mitral annulus of the 4-chamber view, were obtained. These were used to determine the peak systolic tissue velocity (s'), the peak early diastolic velocity (e'), and the peak late diastolic velocity. Then, the ratio of the E wave/e' (E/e') was calculated.

Follow-Up and Outcome

The participants were enrolled in 2001 to 2003. They were followed up until time to death or until October 2014. The end point of this study was CVD. When assessing the outcome of CVD, non-CVD (NCD) was treated as a censoring event. A secondary end point was NCD. When assessing the outcome of NCD, CVD was treated as a censoring event. The Danish National Cause of Death Registry and the Danish National Board of Health's National Patient Registry were used to obtain follow-up data. Follow-up data were retrieved using the *International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10)*, codes. CVD was defined as *ICD-10* codes 100 to 199. NCD was defined as *ICD-10* codes not equal to 100 to 199. Follow-up was 100%.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using STATA 13.0 for Mac OS. In Table 1, continuous variables, exhibiting gaussian distribution, were compared using the Student *t* test and reported as mean \pm SD. In the case of a nongaussian distribution, continuous variables were compared using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test and reported as median with interquartile ranges. Proportions were compared using the χ^2 test. In Table 2, linear regression of means was used to analyze trend over tertiles of TAPSE. In Table 3, univariable

and multivariable Cox regressions were used to assess the prognostic value of TAPSE in predicting CVD and NCD. The number of events per adjusting variable was set to \approx 5,¹⁵ leaving room for a maximum of 14 adjusting variables in the final multivariable model (69 CVDs at follow-up). Statistical significance was defined as $P \le 0.05$ in 2-sided t tests. In the Figure, Poisson regression, using robust standard errors to control for overdispersion, was used to estimate incidence rates of CVD as a function of TAPSE. In Table S2, multivariable linear regression analysis, adjusted for established cardiovascular risk factors and comorbidities, was used to determine associations between TAPSE and other echocardiographic parameters (Table S2). In Table S3, net reclassification improvement¹⁶ analysis was used to assess the incremental prognostic value of TAPSE when added to established cardiovascular risk factors in predicting CVD (Table S3). In these analyses, we chose to assess the incremental prognostic value of adding TAPSE to risk factors from the SCORE risk chart³ because this model is used in daily clinical practice in Denmark. In addition, we also considered the AHA/ACC Pooled Cohort equation⁴ (excluding race because the inhabitants of Denmark are mainly white) because this model is recommended in the new 2017 ACC/ AHA guidelines on the management of hypertension¹⁷ and also the Framingham risk score because of its widespread use and credibility.18

Results

Follow-Up and Outcome

The median follow-up time was 12.7 years (interquartile range, 12.0-12.9 years), and follow-up was 100%. The primary end point of CVD was reached by 69 participants (6.6%). A total of 162 participants reached the secondary end point of NCD (15.6%).

Baseline Characteristics, According to Exclusion Status

Excluded participants were older, were more hypertensive, and had a larger body mass index (Table S1). They were also more likely to be men and to have higher heart rates (Table S1).

Baseline Characteristics of the Population, According to CVD

Participants who experienced CVD were older and more hypertensive (Table 1). Furthermore, participants who experienced CVD displayed a higher prevalence of comorbidities (Table 1). With regard to echocardiography, participants who

Table 1. Population Stratified According to CVD and NCD

| Variable | All Participants | No CVD | CVD | P Value* | NCD | P Value [†] |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|
| Demographics | | | | | | |
| No. | 1039 | 970 | 69 | | 162 | |
| Age, y | 57.3 (16.0) | 56.0 (15.7) | 75.2 (8.3) | < 0.001 | 70.7 (11.2) | < 0.001 |
| Men | 416 (40.0) | 382 (39.4) | 34 (49.3) | 0.11 | 76 (46.9) | 0.042 |
| Clinical characteristics | | | | | | |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 133.6 (22.9) | 132.3 (22.3) | 152.8 (23.3) | <0.001 | 144.6 (21.5) | <0.001 |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 77.6 (12.3) | 77.3 (11.9) | 82.5 (16.1) | <0.001 | 78.0 (11.6) | 0.72 |
| Pulse pressure, mm Hg | 55.9 (18.2) | 54.9 (17.4) | 70.7 (23.1) | <0.001 | 66.7 (18.3) | <0.001 |
| Mean arterial pressure, mm Hg | 96.1 (14.2) | 95.4 (13.9) | 105.8 (15.6) | < 0.001 | 100.0 (13.) | <0.001 |
| Hypertension | 392 (39.0) | 341 (36.3) | 51 (79.7) | <0.001 | 105 (65.6) | <0.001 |
| Smoking | 352 (35.4) | 330 (35.5) | 22 (33.8) | 0.79 | 67 (42.1) | 0.049 |
| Body mass index, kg/m ² | 25.4 (3.9) | 25.3 (3.8) | 26.6 (4.7) | 0.008 | 25.7 (3.9) | 0.39 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 96 (9.6) | 85 (9.0) | 11 (17.5) | 0.028 | 22 (13.8) | 0.050 |
| Heart rate, BPM | 67 (1) | 66 (11) | 68 (12) | 0.45 | 69 (12) | <0.001 |
| lschemic heart disease | 45 (4.5) | 40 (4.3) | 5 (7.8) | 0.02 | 17 (10.6) | 0.008 |
| Acute myocardial infarction | 16 (1.6) | 14 (1.5) | 2 (3.1) | 0.31 | 9 (5.6) | 0.009 |
| FEV1, L | 2.81 (0.98) | 2.87 (0.97) | 2.04 (0.65) | < 0.001 | 2.16 (0.75) | <0.001 |
| FVC, L | 3.65 (1.17) | 3.71 (1.17) | 2.81 (0.81) | <0.001 | 2.98 (0.90) | <0.001 |
| FEV1/FVC | 0.77 (0.08) | 0.77 (0.08) | 0.73 (0.11) | < 0.001 | 0.72 (0.10) | <0.001 |
| Obstructive lung function | 167 (16.1) | 146 (15.1) | 21 (30.4) | <0.001 | 50 (31.0) | <0.001 |
| Laboratory work | | | | | | |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L | 5.58 (1.16) | 5.56 (1.16) | 5.83 (1.06) | 0.07 | 5.75 (0.11) | 0.052 |
| Plasma pro-BNP, pmol/L | 15 (7–28) | 15 (7–26) | 33 (14–64) | <0.001 | 20.5 (8.5–40) | <0.001 |
| eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m ² | 73 (20) | 74 (20) | 65 (21) | <0.001 | 68 (21) | <0.001 |
| Echocardiography | | | | | | |
| TAPSE, mm | 26 (5) | 27 (5) | 24 (5) | 0.001 | 26 (4) | 0.004 |
| LVEF, % | 59.8 (1.3) | 59.8 (1.2) | 59.4 (1.9) | 0.048 | 59.5 (2.2) | 0.01 |
| LV hypertrophy | 248 (23.9) | 218 (22.5) | 30 (43.5) | < 0.001 | 60 (37.0) | <0.001 |
| LVIDd, cm | 4.8 (0.5) | 4.8 (0.5) | 4.7 (0.5) | 0.36 | 4.6 (0.6) | <0.001 |
| LVMI, g/m ² | 84.3 (20.7) | 83.1 (19.3) | 102.4 (29.9) | <0.001 | 88.7 (20.3) | 0.011 |
| Left atrium dimension, cm | 3.4 (.4) | 3.4 (0.4) | 3.6 (0.4) | <0.001 | 3.5 (0.4) | 0.003 |
| E/e' | 10.7 (4.2) | 10.4 (4.0) | 14.9 (50) | < 0.001 | 12.8 (5) | <0.001 |
| E/A | 1.12 (0.43) | 1.13 (0.43) | 0.89 (0.35) | <0.001 | 0.91 (0.37) | <0.001 |
| Deceleration time, ms | 166 (41) | 165 (39) | 186 (56) | <0.001 | 175 (51) | 0.005 |
| s', cm/s | 6.0 (1.2) | 6.0 (1.2) | 5.3 (1.2) | <0.001 | 5.5 (1.1) | < 0.001 |
| e', cm/s | 7.4 (2.7) | 7.6 (2.6) | 4.8 (1.5) | <0.001 | 5.7 (1.9) | < 0.001 |
| a', cm/s | 6.5 (1.9) | 6.5 (1.9) | 6.8 (1.9) | 0.20 | 7.3 (1.7) | < 0.001 |

Data are given as number, number (percentage), or mean (SD). a' Indicates peak late diastolic velocity; BPM, beats per minute; CVD, cardiovascular death; e', peak early diastolic velocity; E/A, early/late diastolic inflow velocity; E/e', ratio of the E wave/e'; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, LV ejection fraction; LVIDd, LV inner diameter at end diastole; LVMI, LV mass index; NCD, non-CVD; pro-BNP, pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; s', peak systolic tissue velocity; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.

*Comparing participants experiencing CVD with participants who did not experience CVD.

[†]Comparing participants who experienced death from noncardiovascular causes with participants who did not experience death from noncardiovascular causes.

Table 2. Population Stratified According to Tertiles of TAPSE

| | | Worse | \rightarrow | Better | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | First Tertile, | Second Tertile, | Third Tertile, | P Value for |
| Variable | All Participants | TAPSE <24 mm | 24 mm < TAPSE <28 mm | TAPSE >28 mm | Trend |
| Demographics | | | | | |
| No. | 1039 | 349 | 347 | 343 | |
| Age, y | 57.3 (16.0) | 61.0 (16.1) | 56.0 (15.6) | 54.9 (15.8) | <0.001 |
| Men | 416 (40.0) | 137 (39.3) | 130 (38.0) | 149 (42.8) | 0.34 |
| Clinical characteristics | | | | | |
| Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 133.6 (22.9) | 135.9 (22.9) | 132.9 (23.5) | 132.1 (22.2) | 0.029 |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg | 77.6 (12.3) | 78.6 (12.3) | 77.2 (11.6) | 77.2 (12.9) | 0.14 |
| Pulse pressure, mm Hg | 55.9 (18.2) | 57.2 (18.5) | 55.8 (19.5) | 54.8 (16.6) | 0.09 |
| Mean arterial pressure, mm Hg | 96.1 (14.2) | 97.4 (14.2314) | 95.6 (13.7) | 95.2 (14.6) | 0.046 |
| Hypertension | 392 (39.0) | 148 (44.4) | 131 (39.3) | 112 (33.4) | 0.003 |
| Smoking | 352 (35.4) | 125 (37.8) | 114 (34.9) | 113 (33.5) | 0.25 |
| Body mass index, kg/m ² | 25.4 (3.9) | 25.3 (4.0) | 25.5 (4.0) | 25.5 (3.7) | 0.32 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 96 (9.6) | 33 (9.9) | 44 (13.3) | 19 (5.6) | 0.06 |
| Heart rate, BPM | 67 (1) | 69 (12) | 66 (11) | 65 (11) | <0.001 |
| Ischemic heart disease | 45 (4.5) | 28 (8.4) | 4 (1.2) | 13 (3.8) | 0.004 |
| Acute myocardial infarction | 16 (1.6) | 11 (3.3) | 2 (0.6) | 3 (0.9) | 0.013 |
| FEV1, L | 2.81 (0.98) | 2.55 (0.91) | 2.88 (0.96) | 3.02 (0.99) | < 0.001 |
| FVC, L | 3.65 (1.17) | 3.34 (1.07) | 3.71 (1.19) | 3.90 (1.19) | <0.001 |
| FEV1/FVC | 0.77 (0.08) | 0.76 (0.09) | 0.77 (0.08) | 0.77 (0.08) | 0.09 |
| Obstructive lung function | 167 (16.1) | 64 (18.3) | 54 (15.8) | 49 (14.1) | 0.31 |
| Laboratory work | | - | - ' | | |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L | 5.58 (1.16) | 5.6 (1.2) | 5.6 (1.2) | 5.5 (1.1) | 0.22 |
| Plasma pro-BNP, pmol/L | 15 (7–28) | 17 (8–30) | 15 (8–29) | 14 (7–25.5) | 0.041 |
| eGFR, mL/min per 1.73 m ² | 73 (20) | 72 (20) | 72 (22) | 75 (18) | 0.043 |
| Echocardiography | | _ | | | |
| TAPSE, mm | 26 (5) | 22 (2) | 26 (1) | 32 (3) | N/A |
| LVEF, % | 59.8 (1.3) | 59.7 (1.5) | 59.8 (1.5) | 59.9 (0.7) | 0.039 |
| LV hypertrophy | 248 (23.9) | 93 (26.6) | 81 (23.7) | 74 (21.3) | 0.10 |
| LVIDd, cm | 4.8 (0.5) | 4.6 (0.5) | 4.8 (0.5) | 4.8 (0.5) | < 0.001 |
| LVMI, g/m ² | 84.3 (20.7) | 85.0 (22.4) | 82.7 (18.5) | 85.2 (20.8) | 0.89 |
| Left atrium dimension, cm | 3.4 (0.4) | 3.4 (0.4) | 3.4 0.4) | 3.4 (0.4) | 0.10 |
| E/e' | 10.7 (4.2) | 11.6 (4.7) | 10.3 (3.7) | 10.1 (4.1) | <0.001 |
| E/A | 1.12 (0.43) | 1.05 (0.43) | 1.15 (0.41) | 1.15 (0.44) | 0.002 |
| Deceleration time, ms | 166 (41) | 170 (43) | 162 (38) | 166 (41) | 0.29 |
| s', cm/s | 6.0 (1.2) | 5.7 (1.2) | 6.0 (1.1) | 6.2 (1.3) | <0.001 |
| e', cm/s | 7.4 (2.7) | 6.6 (2.5) | 7.6 (2.6) | 8.0 (2.8) | <0.001 |
| a', cm/s | 6.5 (1.9) | 6.6 (2.0) | 6.4 (1.8) | 6.5 (1.9) | 0.28 |

Data are given as number, number (percentage), or mean (SD). a' Indicates peak late diastolic velocity; BPM, beats per minute; e', peak early diastolic velocity; E/A, early/late diastolic inflow velocity; E/e', ratio of the E wave/e'; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; LV, left ventricular; LVEF, LV ejection fraction; LVIDd, LV inner diameter at end diastole; LVMI, LV mass index; N/A, not applicable; pro-BNP, pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; s', peak systolic tissue velocity; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.

 Table 3. Univariable and Multivariable Cox Regression to Assess the Prognostic Value of TAPSE in Predicting Cardiovascular

 Outcomes in the General Population

| | CVD (n=69) | | NCD (n=162) | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|--|
| TAPSE, per 1-mm Decrease | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P Value | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) | P Value | |
| Unadjusted | | | | | |
| | 1.13 (1.07–1.20) | <0.001 | 1.06 (1.03–1.10) | <0.001 | |
| Model 1 | | | | | |
| | 1.08 (1.02–1.14) | 0.005 | 1.04 (1.00–1.07) | 0.051 | |
| Model 2 | | | | | |
| | 1.08 (1.01–1.15) | 0.017 | 1.03 (0.98–1.07) | 0.19 | |

Model 1 is adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, hypertension, cholesterol levels, smoking, and diabetes mellitus. Model 2 is adjusted for the same variables as model 1 with the addition of left ventricular mass index, left ventricular internal diameter at end diastole, left ventricular ejection fraction, left atrium dimension, pro-B-type natriuretic peptide, ratio of the E wave/peak early diastolic velocity, and prevalent ischemic heart disease. CVD indicates cardiovascular death; NCD, non-CVD; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.

experienced CVD displayed lower values of TAPSE, LVEF, early/late diastolic inflow velocity, s', and e' (Table 1). They also displayed higher values of LV mass index, left atrium dimension, E/e', and deceleration time of the E-wave (Table 1). Finally, participants who experienced CVD had lower rates of FEV1, forced vital capacity, FEV1/forced vital capacity and a higher prevalence of obstructive lung function at baseline (Table 1).

Baseline Characteristics, According to Tertiles of TAPSE

At baseline, decreasing RV systolic function, assessed as TAPSE, was associated with older age, higher systolic blood pressure, higher heart rate, higher pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (pro-BNP), and decreasing values of estimated glomerular filtration rate (Table 2). Decreasing RV systolic function was also associated with increasing prevalence of hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and previous acute myocardial infarction (Table 2). Furthermore, decreasing RV systolic function, as determined by TAPSE, was associated with decreasing values of LVEF, LV inner diameter at end diastole, early/late diastolic inflow velocity, s', and e' (Table 2). Decreasing RV systolic function was also associated with increasing values of E/e' (Table 2). Last, decreasing RV systolic function was associated with decreasing values of FEV1 and forced vital capacity (Table 2).

Association of RV Systolic Function With Other Echocardiographic Parameters

After adjusting for differences in clinical risk factors and comorbidities, decreasing RV function, as determined by TAPSE, was significantly associated with decreasing s', e', and left atrium dimension (Table S2).

Prognostic Value of RV Function, Determined by TAPSE, in Predicting CVD

The risk of CVD increased significantly with decreasing RV function, as assessed by TAPSE (Table 3, Figure). Participants in the first (worst) tertile of RV function, as determined by TAPSE, displayed an \approx 3.5 times greater risk of CVD when compared with participants in the third (best) tertile (first versus third tertile: hazard ratio [HR], 3.49; 95% Cl, 1.87–6.53; *P*<0.001). A nonlinear relationship between decreasing RV systolic function, determined by TAPSE, and the risk of CVD was found (Figure). TAPSE values above \approx 24 mm did not appear to be associated with an increased risk of experiencing CVD (Figure). However, the risk of CVD appeared to increase with decreasing values of TAPSE for TAPSE values below \approx 24 mm (Figure).

In a multivariable model adjusting for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, hypertension, cholesterol, smoking, and diabetes mellitus, decreasing RV function, as determined by TAPSE, was an independent predictor of CVD (Table 3, model 1). In a final multivariable model adjusting for the same variables as in model 1 with the addition of LV mass index, LV inner diameter at end diastole, LVEF, left atrium dimension, pro-BNP, E/e', and prevalent ischemic heart disease, RV function by TAPSE remained an independent predictor of CVD (Table 3, model 2). This relationship persisted with additional adjustment for obstructive lung function (HR, 1.08; 95% Cl, 1.01-1.14; P=0.017 per 1-mm decrease). Similarly, TAPSE remained an independent predictor of outcome when the final multivariable model was additionally adjusted for estimated glomerular filtration rate (HR, 1.08; 95% Cl, 1.01-1.15; P=0.017 per 1-mm decrease). Even when confining our final multivariable model to participants with normal RV systolic function (TAPSE \geq 17 mm), RV function by TAPSE remained an independent predictor of CVD (model 2: HR, 1.07; 95% Cl, 1.00-1.15; P=0.046 per 1-mm decrease). Similar results were





found when confining our analysis to participants with normal RV systolic function (TAPSE \geq 17 mm) and normal LV systolic function (LVEF \geq 60%) (model 2: HR, 1.08; 95% Cl, 1.01–1.16; P=0.031 per 1-mm decrease).

Incremental Prognostic Value of RV Function in Predicting CVD in the General Population

Adding the presence of decreased RV systolic function (defined as the lower tertile of TAPSE values, TAPSE <24 mm) to established cardiovascular risk factors from the SCORE risk chart, a modified version of the AHA/ACC Pooled Cohort Equation, or a modified version of the Framingham Risk Score significantly improved risk classification with respect to CVD (Table S3). In contrast, LVEF, E/e', and pro-BNP did not significantly improve risk classification of individuals from the general population with respect to CVD (Table S3).

Discussion

In this study, we found RV systolic function, as assessed by TAPSE, to be an independent predictor of CVD in the general population. Furthermore, assessing RV systolic function

added incremental prognostic value in predicting CVD in the general population in addition to established cardiovascular risk factors from the SCORE risk chart and a modified version of the AHA/ACC Pooled Cohort Equation. In contrast, LVEF, E/e', and pro-BNP levels did not contribute with incremental prognostic information. To our knowledge, this is the largest study to date assessing the prognostic value of RV systolic function in predicting cardiovascular outcomes in the general population with long-term follow-up.

TAPSE and RV Systolic Function

Assessment of the RV systolic function may be beneficial for risk stratification of individuals from the general population. This may be because of TAPSE's simplicity, ease of measurement, and good reproducibility.¹⁹ It does not require state-of-the art image quality or high-frame rate conditions for optimal measurement,¹⁹ as is the case for other measures of RV systolic function, such as 2-dimensional speckle tracking of the RV free wall.¹⁹ In addition, it can often be hard to acquire high-quality images of the entire RV free wall, whereas imaging only the RV base and tricuspid annular plane is much more feasible. Despite its simplicity, TAPSE correlates well

with RV ejection fraction determined by radionucleotide angiography.^{19,20}

Normal RV Systolic Function in the General Population

Current guidelines define the normal range of RV systolic function determined by TAPSE in the general population as a mean \pm SD of 24 \pm 3.5 mm.¹⁴ In our sample from the general population, values of TAPSE were higher (mean, 26 mm; SD, 5 mm). The reason for the higher values of TAPSE seen in this study is unclear. In current guidelines, abnormal RV systolic function is defined as TAPSE <17 mm.¹⁴ In our study, a TAPSE of \leq 17 mm was significantly associated with a higher risk of CVD, as shown by the Figure. However, the Figure also revealed that the risk of CVD increases with decreasing values of TAPSE already once TAPSE is below \approx 24 mm, which is currently considered within the normal range.¹⁴ Furthermore, we show that participants in the lower tertile of RV systolic function, as determined by TAPSE (TAPSE <24 mm), are at an increased risk of experiencing CVD. Also, in our final multivariable model adjusting for clinical and echocardiographic parameters, RV systolic function by TAPSE remained an independent predictor of CVD, even when confining our analysis to participants with a normal TAPSE (TAPSE \geq 17 mm) and a normal LV systolic function (LVEF \geq 60%). These findings suggest that defining abnormal RV systolic function as TAPSE <17 mm may be conservative. However, more research is needed to validate our findings.

Prognostic Value of RV Systolic Function in the General Population

At the current moment, little evidence exists on the prognostic value of RV systolic function in the general population. Kawut et al evaluated RV systolic function in the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis), assessed as RV ejection fraction by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging, and found that RV systolic function was not significantly associated with a composite outcome of HF and CVD.²¹ However, differences in study populations and follow-up duration make direct comparisons with our study difficult. Kawut et al²¹ studied a sample composed of \approx 40% whites, 30% blacks, 20% Hispanics, and 10% Chinese, whereas our study sample was composed almost entirely of whites. In addition, in their study, all participants with cardiovascular disease were excluded, whereas we only excluded participants with HF; and the prevalence of cigarette smoking at baseline was much lower in their study compared with ours (12% versus 35%). Thus, when compared with our study sample, Kawut et al²¹ studied an ethnically diverse, healthier population, and this may partly explain the differences between our results. In addition, our follow-up duration was over twice the follow-up duration in the study by Kawut et al²¹ (mean, 5.8 years, versus median, 12.7 years). This could also contribute to the difference between our results because it is possible that RV systolic function may be particularly associated with long-term outcome in the general population.

In our study, RV function, determined by TAPSE, was superior to E/e', pro-BNP, and LVEF in predicting CVD in the general population. Decreased RV systolic function is often a marker of advanced and progressed disease in HF. In the absence of cardiovascular disease, with normal pulmonary vasculature, the LV is capable of sustaining the circulatory needs of the body, even without RV function.²² As the LV diastolic or systolic function becomes impaired, increasing levels of RV compensation are needed to sustain cardiac output and minimize venous congestion.²³ Thus, right-sided heart function is adversely affected by deteriorating LV function through circulatory coupling. Accordingly, it is known that LV diastolic dysfunction may cause pulmonary hypertension.^{11,24} RV systolic function, assessed by TAPSE, is decreased in pulmonary hypertension and is a powerful predictor of outcome.²⁵ Hence, it is possible that RV systolic function, assessed as TAPSE, is an integrated marker of LV diastolic dysfunction, a condition that is relatively common in the aging general population²⁶ and is associated with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.¹² This is supported by our results because TAPSE was significantly associated with e' and left atrial size, both of which reflect diastolic function. Also, LV systolic function is a significant determinant of RV systolic function through mechanical interventricular dependence.²⁷ In our study, decreasing RV systolic function, as determined by TAPSE, was significantly associated with decreasing systolic LV function, as determined by s' after adjustment for differences in clinical risk factors. Because s' has been previously shown to predict CVD in the general population,²⁸ some of the prognostic value of RV function with respect to CVD may also be because of its relation to LV systolic performance through interventricular dependence. However, although we adjusted for systolic function as determined by LVEF, RV function, determined by TAPSE, remained a strong predictor of CVD.

HF is a significant contributor to CVD.²⁹ Interestingly, RV systolic dysfunction has been found to be more frequent in idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy when compared with ischemic cardiomyopathy.³⁰ This indicates that RV systolic dysfunction may be associated with generalized myocardial disease, independent of ischemic disease. Thus, some of the prognostic value of RV function, determined by TAPSE, in predicting CVD in the general population may be because of its ability to detect early cardiomyopathy independent of LV dysfunction attributable to ischemic and hypertensive heart disease. This may also explain part of why RV systolic function

remained an independent predictor of CVD, even after adjusting for diastolic function (as determined by E/e', pro-BNP, and left atrial size) in our final multivariable model.

Future Perspectives and Limitations

Little evidence about the prognostic value of RV systolic function in predicting CVD in the general population with longterm follow-up exists. Our results should be considered exploratory and hypothesis generating. Strengths of the present study are a large sample of individuals from the general population and long-term complete follow-up. A limitation of the present study is the lack of information on RV systolic pressure. It would be interesting to assess whether the prognostic value of RV systolic function is independent of RV afterload. Unfortunately, information on RV systolic pressure was not available in this study. Another limitation is the large number of patients who had to be excluded because of inadequate image quality for measuring TAPSE, although measurement of TAPSE is highly feasible in most patients.¹⁹ Because the echocardiograms were obtained in 2001 to 2003 using less sophisticated hardware than what is available today, and because the original image protocol was not specifically designed to assess RV function, the number of patients who had to be excluded was significantly higher than what would be expected using contemporary equipment and a protocol designed to optimize RV imaging. Because excluded participants were significantly older, had a higher blood pressure, and had a higher body mass index, this may potentially have introduced a selection bias. However, although many high-risk patients were excluded because of inadequate images for the measurement of TAPSE, we still found significant associations between TAPSE and CVD, despite rigorous adjustment for clinical, echocardiographic, and lung function parameters. Nonetheless, if a selection bias was introduced, this could affect the generalizability of our results and, therefore, our results should be confirmed in future studies.

Conclusion

RV systolic function, as assessed by TAPSE, is associated with CVD in the general population. In the general population, assessment of RV systolic function may provide novel prognostic information about the risk of CVD.

Disclosures

Modin was supported by a scholarship from the Danish Heart Association "Hjerteforeningen" during the preparation of this article. Biering-Sørensen was supported by the Fondsbørsvekselerer Henry Hansen og Hustrus Hovedlegat 2016. The sponsors had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the article. The remaining authors have no disclosures to report.

References

- World Health Organization (WHO). Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) [Internet]. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/en/. Accessed November 1, 2017.
- Damen JAAG, Hooft L, Schuit E, Debray TPA, Collins GS, Tzoulaki I, Lassale CM, Siontis GCM, Chiocchia V, Roberts C, Schlüssel MM, Gerry S, Black JA, Heus P, van der Schouw YT, Peelen LM, Moons KGM. Prediction models for cardiovascular disease risk in the general population: systematic review. *BMJ*. 2016;353:i2416.
- Conroy RM, Pyorala K, Fitzgerald AP, Sans S, Menotti A, De Backer G, De Bacquer D, Ducimetiere P, Jousilahti P, Keil U, Njolstad I, Oganov RG, Thomsen T, Tunstall-Pedoe H, Tverdal A, Wedel H, Whincup P, Wilhelmsen L, Graham IM. Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: the SCORE project. *Eur Heart J*. 2003;24:987–1003.
- 4. Goff DCJ, Lloyd-Jones DM, Bennett G, Coady S, D'Agostino RBS, Gibbons R, Greenland P, Lackland DT, Levy D, O'Donnell CJ, Robinson JG, Schwartz JS, Shero ST, Smith SCJ, Sorlie P, Stone NJ, Wilson PWF. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the assessment of cardiovascular risk: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;63:2935–2959.
- Kirchhof P, Sipido KR, Cowie MR, Eschenhagen T, Fox KAA, Katus H, Schroeder S, Schunkert H, Priori S, Alonso A, Chezaubernard C, Doevendans P, Eschenhagen T, Fox K, Katus H, Khder Y, Kirchhof P, Kramer F, Kristensen S, Maitland-Van der Zee A-H, Oertelt-Prigione S, Pinto F, Pocock S, Priori SG, Sartorius A, Schott D, Schroeder S, Schunkert H, Schwab M, Sipido K, Svensson A, Swedberg K, Wallentin L, Weimers M, Herttuala SY. The continuum of personalized cardiovascular medicine: a position paper of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:3250–3257.
- Marwick TH, Chandrashekhar Y. The right ventricle: unforgettable with imaging. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2017;10:1289–1290.
- Gulati A, Ismail TF, Jabbour A, Alpendurada F, Guha K, Ismail NA, Raza S, Khwaja J, Brown TDH, Morarji K, Liodakis E, Roughton M, Wage R, Pakrashi TC, Sharma R, Carpenter J-P, Cook SA, Cowie MR, Assomull RG, Pennell DJ, Prasad SK. The prevalence and prognostic significance of right ventricular systolic dysfunction in nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy. *Circulation*. 2013;128: 1623–1633.
- Antoni ML, Scherptong RWC, Atary JZ, Boersma E, Holman ER, van der Wall EE, Schalij MJ, Bax JJ. Prognostic value of right ventricular function in patients after acute myocardial infarction treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2010;3:264–271.
- Mauritz G-J, Kind T, Marcus JT, Bogaard H-J, van de Veerdonk M, Postmus PE, Boonstra A, Westerhof N, Vonk-Noordegraaf A. Progressive changes in right ventricular geometric shortening and long-term survival in pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Chest.* 2012;141:935–943.
- Tanaka Y, Hino M, Mizuno K, Gemma A. Evaluation of right ventricular function in patients with COPD. *Respir Care*. 2013;58:816–823.
- Melenovsky V, Hwang S-J, Lin G, Redfield MM, Borlaug BA. Right heart dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:3452–3462.
- Kuznetsova T, Thijs L, Knez J, Herbots L, Zhang Z, Staessen JA. Prognostic value of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in a general population. J Am Heart Assoc. 2014;3:e000789. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.114.000789.
- Mogelvang R, Goetze JP, Schnohr P, Lange P, Sogaard P, Rehfeld JF, Jensen JS. Discriminating between cardiac and pulmonary dysfunction in the general population with dyspnea by plasma pro-B-type natriuretic peptide. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2007;50:1694–1701.
- 14. Lang RM, Badano LP, Mor-Avi V, Afilalo J, Armstrong A, Ernande L, Flachskampf FA, Foster E, Goldstein SA, Kuznetsova T, Lancellotti P, Muraru D, Picard MH, Rietzschel ER, Rudski L, Spencer KT, Tsang W, Voigt J-U. Recommendations for cardiac chamber quantification by echocardiography in adults: an update from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;16:233–271.
- Vittinghoff E, McCulloch CE. Relaxing the rule of ten events per variable in logistic and Cox regression. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;165:710–718.
- Incrisk.pdf. http://research.fhcrc.org/content/dam/stripe/diagnostic-bioma rkers-statistical-center/files/incrisk.pdf. Accessed July 21, 2017.

- 17. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE, Collins KJ, Dennison Himmelfarb C, DePalma SM, Gidding S, Jamerson KA, Jones DW, MacLaughlin EJ, Muntner P, Ovbiagele B, Smith SC, Spencer CC, Stafford RS, Taler SJ, Thomas RJ, Williams KA, Williamson JD, Wright JT. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and management of high blood pressure in adults: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension*. 2018;71:1269–1324.
- D'Agostino RB, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Wolf PA, Cobain M, Massaro JM, Kannel WB. General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2008;117:743–753.
- Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, Hua L, Handschumacher MD, Chandrasekaran K, Solomon SD, Louie EK, Schiller NB. Guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr. 2010;23:685–713; quiz 786–788.
- Ueti OM, Camargo EE, Ueti Ade A, de Lima-Filho EC, Nogueira EA. Assessment of right ventricular function with Doppler echocardiographic indices derived from tricuspid annular motion: comparison with radionuclide angiography. *Heart*. 2002;88:244–248.
- 21. Kawut SM, Barr RG, Lima JAC, Praestgaard A, Johnson WC, Chahal H, Ogunyankin KO, Bristow MR, Kizer JR, Tandri H, Bluemke DA. Right ventricular structure is associated with the risk of heart failure and cardiovascular death: the MESA-right ventricle study. *Circulation*. 2012;126:1681–1688.
- Haddad F, Doyle R, Murphy DJ, Hunt SA. Right ventricular function in cardiovascular disease, part II: pathophysiology, clinical importance, and management of right ventricular failure. *Circulation*. 2008;117:1717–1731.

- Testani JM, Khera AV, St John Sutton MG, Keane MG, Wiegers SE, Shannon RP, Kirkpatrick JN. Effect of right ventricular function and venous congestion on cardiorenal interactions during the treatment of decompensated heart failure. *Am J Cardiol.* 2010;105:511–516.
- Perez VA, Haddad F, Zamanian RT. Diagnosis and management of pulmonary hypertension associated with left ventricular diastolic dysfunction. *Pulm Circ.* 2012;2:163–169.
- 25. Forfia PR, Fisher MR, Mathai SC, Housten-Harris T, Hemnes AR, Borlaug BA, Chamera E, Corretti MC, Champion HC, Abraham TP, Girgis RE, Hassoun PM. Tricuspid annular displacement predicts survival in pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2006;174:1034–1041.
- 26. Fischer M, Baessler A, Hense HW, Hengstenberg C, Muscholl M, Holmer S, Döring A, Broeckel U, Riegger G, Schunkert H. Prevalence of left ventricular diastolic dysfunction in the community: results from a Doppler echocardiographic-based survey of a population sample. *Eur Heart J.* 2003;24:320– 328.
- López-Candales A, Rajagopalan N, Saxena N, Gulyasy B, Edelman K, Bazaz R. Right ventricular systolic function is not the sole determinant of tricuspid annular motion. *Am J Cardiol.* 2006;98:973–977.
- Mogelvang R, Biering-Sorensen T, Jensen JS. Tissue Doppler echocardiography predicts acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, and cardiovascular death in the general population. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015;16: 1331–1337.
- 29. Roger VL. Epidemiology of heart failure. Circ Res. 2013;113:646-659.
- Vecchia LL, Zanolla L, Varotto L, Bonanno C, Spadaro GL, Ometto R, Fontanelli A. Reduced right ventricular ejection fraction as a marker for idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy compared with ischemic left ventricular dysfunction. *Am Heart J.* 2001;142:181–189.

Supplemental Material

Table S1. Comparison of participants included in the study with participants excluded due to either heart failure, atrial fibrillation or suboptimal image quality for measurement of tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion.

| Demographics | Study sample | Excluded participants | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| Ν | 1039 | 1182 | |
| Age (years) | 57.2 (16.1) | 60.3 (15.9) | < 0.001 |
| Male | 398 (39.6%) | 535 (45.0%) | 0.010 |
| Clinical Characteristics | · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHG) | 133.5 (23.0) | 138.1 (22.2) | < 0.001 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHG) | 77.6 (12.3) | 78.8 (12.0) | 0.023 |
| Pulse Pressure (mmHG) | 55.9 (18.2) | 59.3 (18.2) | < 0.001 |
| Mean arterial pressure (mmHG) | 96.1 (14.2) | 98.4 (13.7) | < 0.001 |
| Hypertension | 392 (39.0%) | 579 (49.1%) | < 0.001 |
| Smoking | 352 (35.6%) | 314 (31.7%) | 0.07 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 25.3 (3.8) | 25.8 (4.1) | 0.008 |
| Diabetes Mellitus | 96 (9.6%) | 141 (11.9%) | 0.08 |
| Heart rate (BPM) | 66.5 (11.2) | 68.1 (11.8) | 0.001 |

Table S2. Multivariable linear regression analysis to determine associations between TAPSE and other echocardiographic parameters in the general population.

| | Univariable | | Multivariable* | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|
| | Standardized ß- | | Standardized ß- | |
| Parameter | coefficient (std error) | P-Value | coefficient (std error) | P-Value |
| LVEF (%) | 0.06 (0.01) | 0.044 | 0.05 (0.01) | 0.10 |
| Hypertrophy | -0.05 (0.03) | 0.08 | -0.01 (0.4) | 0.79 |
| LVIDd/BSA (cm) | 0.01 (0.06) | 0.71 | 0.02 (0.06) | 0.57 |
| LVMI (g/m ²) | 0.02 (0.14) | 0.59 | 0.07 (0.01) | 0.09 |
| LAd (cm) | 0.07 (0.04) | 0.025 | 0.11 (0.04) | 0.002 |
| E/e' | -0.13 (0.36) | <0.001 | -0.06 (0.45) | 0.15 |
| E/A | 0.11 (0.03) | 0.001 | 0.01 (0.05) | 0.76 |
| DT (ms) | -0.03 (0.37) | 0.30 | 0.03 (0.40) | 0.43 |
| s' (cm/s) | 0.15 (0.01) | < 0.001 | 0.11 (0.01) | 0.005 |
| e' (cm/s) | 0.21 (0.01) | < 0.001 | 0.26 (0.01) | <0.001 |
| a' (cm/s) | -0.03 (0.01) | 0.33 | 0.05 (0.01) | 0.22 |

*The multivariable model is adjusted for age, sex, cholesterol, smoking, systolic blood pressure, hypertension, diabetes, prevalent ischemic heart disease.

TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LVIDd, left ventricular inner diameter at end diastole; LVMI ,left ventricular mass index; LAd, left atrium dimension; DT, deceleration time.

Table S3. Net Reclassification Improvement analysis.

| SCORE risk chart | Net Reclassification Improvement |
|---|--|
| Decreased RV systolic function (TAPSE<24mm) | Continuous NRI 0.522, 95% CI 0.072-0.765* |
| LVEF | Continuous NRI 0.185, 95% CI -0.353-0.528 |
| E/e' | Continuous NRI -0.078, 95% CI -0.385-0.495 |
| pro-BNP | Continuous NRI -0.186, 95% CI -0.542-0.239 |
| Modified ACC/AHA Pooled Cohort Equation | Net Reclassification Improvement |
| Decreased RV systolic function (TAPSE<24mm) | Continuous NRI 0.509, 95% CI 0.026-0.757* |
| LVEF | Continuous NRI 0.236, 95% CI -0.369-0.528 |
| E/e' | Continuous NRI -0.160, 95% CI -0.416-0.503 |
| pro-BNP | Continuous NRI -0.129, 95% CI -0.498-0.212 |
| Framingham risk score | Net Reclassification Improvement |
| Decreased RV systolic function (TAPSE<24mm) | Continuous NRI 0.496, 95% CI 0.016-0.757* |
| LVEF | Continuous NRI 0.265, 95% CI -0.335-0.573 |
| E/e' | Continuous NRI -0.185, 95% CI -0.451-0.510 |
| pro-BNP | Continuous NRI -0.235, 95% CI -0.519-0.228 |

Net Reclassification Improvement analysis to evaluate incremental prognostic value of adding the presence of decreased RV systolic function to established cardiovascular risk factors. Decreased RV systolic function is defined as a TAPSE of less than the cutoff-value for the lower tertile of TAPSE values (TAPSE<24mm). SCORE risk chart cardiovascular risk factors are age, sex, cholesterol levels, systolic blood pressure and smoking status. The modified ACC/AHA Pooled Cohort Equation risk factors are age, sex, cholesterol levels, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, hypertension status and diabetes status (race was not included, since the inhabitants of Denmark are mainly white). Framingham risk score risk factors are age, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, blood pressure medication, smoking and diabetes (HDL cholesterol was not included since this information was not available). RV, right ventricle; TAPSE, tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction.