

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/01663542)

## Antiviral Research



journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/antiviral](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/antiviral) 

# Different efficacies of neutralizing antibodies and antiviral drugs on SARS-CoV-2 Omicron subvariants, BA.1 and BA.2

Hirofumi Ohashi $^{a,1}$ , Takayuki Hishiki $^{a,1}$ , Daisuke Akazawa $^{a,1}$ , Kwang Su Kim $^{b,c,1}$ , Joohyeon Woo $^{\rm b,1}$ , Kaho Shionoya $^{\rm d,e}$ , Kana Tsuchimoto $^{\rm a}$ , Shoya Iwanami $^{\rm b}$ , Saya Moriyama $^{\rm a}$ , Hitomi Kinoshita f, Souichi Yamada f, Yudai Kuroda <sup>g</sup>, Tsukasa Yamamoto <sup>g</sup>, Noriko Kishida h, Shinji Watanabe $^{\rm h}$ , Hideki Hasegawa $^{\rm h}$ , Hideki Ebihara $^{\rm f}$ , Tadaki Suzuki $^{\rm i}$ , Ken Maeda $^{\rm g}$ , Shuetsu Fukushi <sup>f</sup>, Yoshimasa Takahashi <sup>a</sup>, Shingo Iwami <u>b,j,k,l,m,n,\*\*,</u> Koichi Watashi <sup>a,d,e,\*</sup>

<sup>b</sup> *Interdisciplinary Biology Laboratory (iBLab), Division of Biological Science, Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan* 

<sup>g</sup> *Department of Veterinary Science, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, 162-8640, Japan* 

<sup>j</sup> *Institute of Mathematics for Industry, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan* 

<sup>l</sup> *Interdisciplinary Theoretical and Mathematical Sciences Program (iTHEMS), RIKEN, Saitama, Japan* 

<sup>n</sup> *Science Groove Inc., Fukuoka, 8100041, Japan* 

### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:*  SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.2 BA.1 Casirivimab Imdevimab Sotrovimab Molnupiravir Nirmatrelvir Antiviral

ABSTRACT

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Omicron subvariant BA.2 has spread in many countries, replacing the earlier Omicron subvariant BA.1 and other variants. Here, using a cell culture infection assay, we quantified the intrinsic sensitivity of BA.2 and BA.1 compared with other variants of concern, Alpha, Gamma, and Delta, to five approved-neutralizing antibodies and antiviral drugs. Our assay revealed the diverse sensitivities of these variants to antibodies, including the loss of response of both BA.1 and BA.2 to casirivimab and of BA.1 to imdevimab. In contrast, EIDD-1931 and nirmatrelvir showed a more conserved activities to these variants. The viral response profile combined with mathematical analysis estimated differences in antiviral effects among variants in the clinical concentrations. These analyses provide essential evidence that gives insight into variant emergence's impact on choosing optimal drug treatment.

The severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Omicron variant (lineage B.1.1.529) has rapidly spread worldwide and become the most prevalent SARS-CoV-2 in many countries ([Elliott et al.,](#page-4-0)  [2022;](#page-4-0) [Viana et al., 2022](#page-4-0)). Of the identified Omicron subvariants, the subvariant BA.1 was dominantly prevalent in the early days after

Omicron emerged from November 2021. However, replacement with BA.1 with another subvariant, BA.2, has grown in prevalence in several countries, including Denmark, UK, and South Africa, alerting a higher transmission of this new subvariant worldwide that can prolong the current wave of COVID-19 [\(UK Health Security Agency, 2022\)](#page-4-0). The BA.1

Available online 4 July 2022 0166-3542/© 2022 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2022.105372> Received 8 March 2022; Received in revised form 13 June 2022; Accepted 24 June 2022

<sup>a</sup> *Research Center for Drug and Vaccine Development, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, 162-8640, Japan* 

<sup>c</sup> *Department of Science System Simulation, Pukyong National University, Busan, Republic of Korea* 

<sup>d</sup> *Department of Virology II, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, 162-8640, Japan* 

<sup>e</sup> *Department of Applied Biological Science, Tokyo University of Science, Noda, 278-8510, Japan* 

<sup>f</sup> *Department of Virology I, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, 162-8640, Japan* 

<sup>h</sup> *Center for Influenza and Respiratory Virus Research, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, 208-0011, Japan* 

<sup>i</sup> *Department of Pathology, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, 162-8640, Japan* 

<sup>k</sup> *Institute for the Advanced Study of Human Biology (ASHBi), Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan* 

<sup>m</sup> *NEXT-Ganken Program, Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research (JFCR), Tokyo, Japan* 

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Research Center for Drug and Vaccine Development, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, 162-8640, Japan.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author. Interdisciplinary Biology Laboratory (iBLab), Division of Biological Science, Graduate School of Science, Nagoya University, Nagoya,

Japan.

*E-mail addresses:* [iwami.iblab@bio.nagoya-u.ac.jp](mailto:iwami.iblab@bio.nagoya-u.ac.jp) (S. Iwami), [kwatashi@niid.go.jp](mailto:kwatashi@niid.go.jp) (K. Watashi). 1 These authors equally contributed to this work.

and BA.2 have more than 30 shared amino acid substitutions from the Wuhan strain, especially with approximately 20 shared mutations in the Spike protein. They also have some unique mutations (Fig. 1). For example, the S1 69–70 deletion as a hallmark of BA.1, associated with S-gene target failure in PCR tests, is unconserved in BA.2 (Majumdar and [Sarkar, 2021](#page-4-0); [WHO, 2021\)](#page-4-0). BA.2 also has four unique substitutions (S371F, T376A, D405N, and R408S) compared with BA.1, with lacking three mutations (S371L, G446S, and G496S) in the receptor-binding domain of the S1, which is involved in vaccine and antibody responses ([Majumdar and Sarkar, 2021](#page-4-0)). Such unique mutation patterns in BA.1 and BA.2 possibly affect their sensitivities to approved drugs/antibodies against COVID-19. Therefore, we quantified such drug/antibody responses of BA.1 and BA.2 compared to other variants of concern (Alpha, Gamma, Delta) and a Wuhan strain in cell culture infection assays. Furthermore, most reports have so far evaluated only 50% (or 90%) inhibitory concentrations to quantify the drug activity. Yet, these concentrations are pharmacologically not the sole factor that determines antiviral efficacy. Thus, we also estimated the slopes of dose-response sigmoid curves to quantitatively discuss their drug effects at clinical drug concentrations [\(Koizumi et al., 2017](#page-4-0); [Shen et al., 2008\)](#page-4-0).

We evaluated the intrinsic sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2 variants (Wuhan, Alpha, Gamma, Delta, Omicron-BA.1, and Omicron-BA.2) to the approved antibodies/drugs [casirivimab, imdevimab, S309 (the prototype antibody of sotrovimab), EIDD-1931 (the active form of molnupiravir), and nirmatrelvir]. Each SARS-CoV-2 strain was inoculated and cultivated in VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells upon treatment with varying concentrations of antibodies/drugs (up to 4–10 μM or μg/mL) to measure viral RNA in the culture supernatant, as well as cell viability at 24 h postinoculation [\(Matsuyama et al., 2020\)](#page-4-0). [Fig. 2](#page-3-0) shows the dose-response curve of each variant against tested antibodies/drugs ([Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). No cytotoxicity induced by antibody/drug was observed in all tested concentrations ( $Fig. S1$ ). Overall, inhibition potency of the three tested antibodies, casirivimab, imdevimab, and S309 to Omicron subvariants BA.1 and BA.2, were severely impaired, in contrast to their outstanding activities against the Wuhan strain and Alpha, Gamma, and

Delta variants ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)A–C). Casirivimab did not show any antiviral activity to BA.1 and BA.2 up to 10 μg/ml [\(Fig. 2A](#page-3-0)). Also, imdevimab lost its activity to BA.1, but retained a minor antiviral activity to reduce BA.2 infections [\(Fig. 2B](#page-3-0)). S309's antiviral activity to BA.1 was more modest than that of other variants, and that to BA.2 was even weaker ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)C). These tendencies of the  $IC_{50}$  shifts between BA.1 and other variants ([Table 1](#page-4-0)) are overall consistent with the previous reports ([Cameroni](#page-4-0)  [et al., 2021](#page-4-0); [Cao et al., 2021;](#page-4-0) [Liu et al., 2021;](#page-4-0) [Planas et al., 2021](#page-4-0)). Additionally, our dose-response curves clearly show the impaired potency of all three antibodies against BA.2. As a possible mechanistic explanation, a class 2 antibody, casirivimab, completely lost its antiviral activity to both BA.1 and BA.2, probably because of the mutations at K417N, S477N, T478K, E484A, Q493R, Q498R, and N501Y (Fig. 1, black), contained in the reported epitope footprints of casirivimab ([VanBlargan et al., 2022](#page-4-0)). The class 3 imdevimab showed the reduced activity to BA.2 compared with the Wuhan and other variants, which can be explained by the N440K, Q498R, and N501Y mutations (Fig. 1, black) within the imdevimab epitope amino acids, and were inactive to BA.1, carrying a further mutation at G446S (Fig. 1, blue) within the imdevimab epitope. Another class 3 S309 also showed a reduced antiviral activity against BA.1 and BA.2 ( $>1 \mu$ g/mL of IC<sub>90</sub>) as compared to other variants, containing G339D and N440K substitutions specifically in Omicron variants from the Wuhan strain (Fig. 1, black) within the S309 epitope footprint. Additionally, it is possible that the phenylalanine mutation at S371 could affect the response of BA.2, based on the report showing this mutation disturbed the glycan at N343, which is on the footprint of S309 ([Nutalai et al., 2022](#page-4-0)). In contrast to antibodies, a polymerase inhibitor, EIDD-1931, and a main protease inhibitor, nirmatrelvir, dose-dependently reduced the viral RNA of all variants and showed no resistance ([Fig. 2](#page-3-0)D and E), consistent with the previous reports showing no remarkable  $IC_{50}$  differences among BA.1 and other variants [\(Li et al., 2022; Takashita et al., 2022; Vangeel et al., 2022](#page-4-0)).

Based on the dose-response curves, we quantified the concentrations that achieved 50% and 90% of the maximal effect (IC $_{50}$ , IC $_{90}$ ). Additionally, we estimated the Hill coefficient (m) ([Koizumi et al., 2017](#page-4-0);



**Fig. 1. Schematic representations for amino acid substitutions within the B.1.1.7, P.1, B.1.617.2, BA.1, and BA.2 lineage in NSP5, NSP12, and Spike proteins.** Upper boxes show coding regions for NSP5 (the target of nirmatrelvir), NSP12 (the target of EIDD-1931), and Spike (the target of imdevimab, casirivimab, and S309) in the SARS-CoV-2 genome RNA. Mutated amino acids from the Wuhan strain in B.1.1.7 (Alpha, orange), P.1 (Gamma, green), B.1.617.2 (Delta, yellow), BA.1 (Omicron), and BA.2 (Omicron) are shown. Shared BA.1 and BA.2 mutations are indicated in black, and those unique to BA.1 and BA.2 are shown in blue and red, respectively.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

**Fig. 2. Dose-response curves for each SARS-CoV-2 variant propagation upon antibody or drug treatment.** Relative SARS-CoV-2 RNAs were plotted in log-scale against the concentrations of approved antibodies/drugs [A: casirivimab, B: imdevimab, C: S309 (a parent antibody of sotrovimab), D: EIDD-1931 (the active form of molnupiravir), and E: nirmatrelvir]. Gray: Wuhan strain, orange: Alpha, green: Gamma, yellow: Delta, blue: Omicron-BA.1, and red: Omicron-BA.2. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SD across the three replicate experiments. Relative values are shown as percentages of viral RNA in culture supernatants to the control wells incubated without antibodies/drugs. Values less than 0.1% are shown as 0.1% in these graphs.

[Shen et al., 2008](#page-4-0)), showing the steepness of the sigmoid curve, given by the equation for a fraction of infection events unaffected by drugs  $(f_u)$ ,

## $f_u = 1/{1+(D/IC_{50})^m}$

Although  $IC_{50}$  (or  $IC_{90}$ ) is frequently used to evaluate the "potency" of drugs, the "efficacy" of drugs is determined by both m and  $IC_{50}$ : The inhibition of viral propagation ("drug efficacy") at any given drug concentration (D) can be expressed as the instantaneously inhibitory potential (IIP) ([Koizumi et al., 2017; Shen et al., 2008](#page-4-0)).

## $IIP = log [1 + (D/IC_{50})^m]$

Here IIP indicates the log of viral reduction. Since antiviral drugs are usually at far higher concentrations than  $IC_{50}$  in clinical settings, the high steepness of the sigmoid curve (high m) achieves a much higher IIP than those having low steepness (low m) even if  $IC_{50}$  is the same. Hence, since sequence substitutions in the drug target [Spike, main protease (NSP5), or polymerase (NSP12)] can change  $IC_{50}$  and m, we estimated these values based on the dose-response curve for each variant through the fitting of  $f_u$  with nonlinear least squared regression ([Table 1](#page-4-0)). To evaluate the antiviral effect in clinical drug concentrations, we calculated IIP at maximum drug concentrations  $[III(C<sub>max</sub>)]$  for each antibody/drug against each variant [\(Table 1](#page-4-0) and Table S1) based on available pharmacokinetics in patients. We further estimated IIP [IIP (AUC)], based on the clinical drug concentrations for area under the curve (AUC). As shown in [Table .1,](#page-4-0) the calculated IIP( $C_{\text{max}}$ )s were roughly parallel to IIP(AUC)s among the variants for each drug.

Although casirivimab conserved  $IC_{50}$  and m (within two folds) among the Wuhan, Alpha, Gamma, and Delta strains, with profound effects to reduce viral propagation at  $C_{\text{max}}$  (3.67–7.00 log), its activity was lost to BA.1 and BA.2. While imdevimab also had a conserved antiviral effect at  $C_{\text{max}}$  (4.52–7.97 log) to the variants other than Omicron, its effect was lost on BA.1, and was retained moderately on BA.2 (2.17 log). S309's dose response curves were more diverse among strains, with apparently high maximum effect in Alpha, Gamma, and Delta, middle in Wuhan, and low in BA.1 and BA.2. Based on the dose-response curves, its effect at Cmax on these variants other than BA.2 was high (*>*3 log), but that on BA.2 was estimated to be lower than others (1.15 log). In contrast, the IC50 and m for EIDD-1931 against each variant were less diverse (within two folds) to conserve strong antiviral effects at  $C_{\text{max}}$  (1.97–3.53 log). Nirmatrelvir had an even more conserved  $IC_{50}$  and m, with similar IIP at  $C_{\text{max}}$  (0.753–0.986). These analyses suggest that while the three approved-antibodies were less active to BA.1 and BA.2, EIDD-1931 and nirmatrelvir had conserved antiviral effects on variants. Our analysis suggests that the emergence of SARS-CoV-2 variants narrowed the options for efficient antibody treatments.

This study was limited to cell culture infection assays, in which cell types and other conditions reflected drug sensitivities. However, viral targeting agents examined in this study (casirivimab, imdevimab, and S309 target Spike, EIDD-1931 target polymerase, and nirmatrelvir target main protease) were much less governed through cellular backgrounds, compared to host-targeting antivirals, and instead are more affected by viral factors such as sequence changes in the viral genome. Our assay at least compared the intrinsic antibody/drug sensitivity of SARS-CoV-2

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1**

Estimated parameters for the antiviral effect of drugs.



-: The value cannot be estimated because of the low antiviral activity.

variants side by side, helpful in discussing the impact of sequence substitutions on antibody/drug activities. Additionally, the analyses of animal and patient infections under treatment were further desired to understand drug efficacy. Yet, given the Omicron BA.2 wave's urgency and the need for the scientific evidence to better combat this infectious disease, our data significantly present the potential diversity of drug/ antibody efficacies among SARS-CoV-2 variants.

#### **Author contributions**

H.O., T.H., D.A., K.S., K.T., and K.W. performed experiments. K.S.K., J.W., S.Iwanami, and S.Iwami performed mathematical analysis. S.M., H.K., S.Y., Y.K., T.Y., N.K., S.W., H.H., H.E., T.S., K.M., S.F., and Y.T. contributed materials. S.Iwami and K.W. prepared manuscripts. K.W. supervised project.

#### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Acknowledgments**

VeroE6/TMPRSS2 cells were kindly provided by Dr. Makoto Takeda at Department of Virology III, National Institute of Infectious Diseases. This study was supported by an AMED grant JP20fk0108411 (to K.W.), JP22fk0108645j0001 (to H.O.), Moonshot R&D Grant JPMJMS2021 (to S.I.), JPMJMS2025 (to S.I.), and JST MIRAI (to S.I. and K.W.)

#### **Appendix A. Supplementary data**

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2022.105372)  [org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2022.105372.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2022.105372)

#### **References**

- Cameroni, E., Bowen, J.E., Rosen, L.E., Saliba, C., Zepeda, S.K., Culap, K., Pinto, D., VanBlargan, L.A., De Marco, A., di Iulio, J., et al., 2021. Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron antigenic shift. Nature. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04386-2)  [10.1038/s41586-021-04386-2.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04386-2)
- Cao, Y., Wang, J., Jian, F., Xiao, T., Song, W., Yisimayi, A., Huang, W., Li, Q., Wang, P., An, R., et al., 2021. Omicron escapes the majority of existing SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies. Nature. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04385-3.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04385-3)
- Elliott, P., Bodinier, B., Eales, O., Wang, H., Haw, D., Elliott, J., Whitaker, M., Jonnerby, J., Tang, D., Walters, C.E., et al., 2022. Rapid increase in Omicron infections in England during December 2021: REACT-1 study. Science, eabn8347. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abn8347>.
- Koizumi, Y., Ohashi, H., Nakajima, S., Tanaka, Y., Wakita, T., Perelson, A.S., Iwami, S., Watashi, K., 2017. Quantifying antiviral activity optimizes drug combinations against hepatitis C virus infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 114, 1922–1927. [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1610197114.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1610197114)
- Li, P., Wang, Y., Lavrijsen, M., Lamers, M.M., de Vries, A.C., Rottier, R.J., Bruno, M.J., Peppelenbosch, M.P., Haagmans, B.L., Pan, Q., 2022. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant is highly sensitive to molnupiravir, nirmatrelvir, and the combination. Cell Res. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-022-00618-w.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-022-00618-w)
- Liu, L., Iketani, S., Guo, Y., Chan, J.F., Wang, M., Liu, L., Luo, Y., Chu, H., Huang, Y., Nair, M.S., et al., 2021. Striking antibody evasion manifested by the Omicron variant of SARS-CoV-2. Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04388-0>.
- Majumdar, S., Sarkar, R., 2021. Mutational and phylogenetic analyses of the two lineages of the Omicron variant. J. Med. Virol. https://doi.org/10.1002/imv.27558. of the Omicron variant. J. Med. Virol. https:/
- Matsuyama, S., Nao, N., Shirato, K., Kawase, M., Saito, S., Takayama, I., Nagata, N., Sekizuka, T., Katoh, H., Kato, F., et al., 2020. Enhanced isolation of SARS-CoV-2 by TMPRSS2-expressing cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 117, 7001–7003. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002589117) [doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002589117.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002589117)
- Nutalai, R., Zhou, D., Tuekprakhon, A., Ginn, H.M., Supasa, P., Liu, C., Huo, J., Mentzer, A.J., Duyvesteyn, H.M.E., Dijokaite-Guraliuc, A., et al., 2022. Potent crossreactive antibodies following Omicron breakthrough in vaccinees. Cell. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.05.014)  [org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.05.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.05.014)
- Planas, D., Saunders, N., Maes, P., Guivel-Benhassine, F., Planchais, C., Buchrieser, J., Bolland, W.H., Porrot, F., Staropoli, I., Lemoine, F., et al., 2021. Considerable escape of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron to antibody neutralization. Nature. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04389-z) [10.1038/s41586-021-04389-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04389-z).
- Shen, L., Peterson, S., Sedaghat, A.R., McMahon, M.A., Callender, M., Zhang, H., Zhou, Y., Pitt, E., Anderson, K.S., Acosta, E.P., et al., 2008. Dose-response curve slope sets class-specific limits on inhibitory potential of anti-HIV drugs. Nat. Med. 14, 762-766. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1
- Takashita, E., Kinoshita, N., Yamayoshi, S., Sakai-Tagawa, Y., Fujisaki, S., Ito, M., Iwatsuki-Horimoto, K., Chiba, S., Halfmann, P., Nagai, H., et al., 2022. Efficacy of antibodies and antiviral drugs against Covid-19 Omicron variant. N. Engl. J. Med. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2119407>.
- [UK Health Security Agency \(UKSH\), 2022. SARS-CoV-2 Variants of Concern and Variants](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-3542(22)00141-3/sref13)  [under Investigation in England. Technical briefing 35. 28 January 2022.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-3542(22)00141-3/sref13)
- VanBlargan, L.A., Errico, J.M., Halfmann, P.J., Zost, S.J., Crowe Jr., J.E., Purcell, L.A., Kawaoka, Y., Corti, D., Fremont, D.H., Diamond, M.S., 2022. An infectious SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.529 Omicron virus escapes neutralization by therapeutic monoclonal antibodies. Nat. Med. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01678-y>.
- Vangeel, L., Chiu, W., De Jonghe, S., Maes, P., Slechten, B., Raymenants, J., Andre, E., Leyssen, P., Neyts, J., Jochmans, D., 2022. Remdesivir, Molnupiravir and Nirmatrelvir remain active against SARS-CoV-2 Omicron and other variants of concern. Antivir. Res. 198, 105252 [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2022.105252)  [antiviral.2022.105252.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2022.105252)
- Viana, R., Moyo, S., Amoako, D.G., Tegally, H., Scheepers, C., Althaus, C.L., Anyaneji, U. J., Bester, P.A., Boni, M.F., Chand, M., et al., 2022. Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern Africa. Nature. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04411-0079) [s41586-022-04411-0079](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04411-0079).
- [World Health Organization \(WHO\), 2021. Classification of Omicron \(B.1.1.529\): SARS-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-3542(22)00141-3/sref17)[CoV-2 Variant of Concern](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0166-3542(22)00141-3/sref17).