Data in brief 26 (2019) 104441

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib



Data Article

Dataset for recognition of snail trails and hot spot failures in monocrystalline Si solar panels



Estefanía Alfaro-Mejía^{*}, Humberto Loaiza-Correa^{**}, Edinson Franco-Mejía, Andrés David Restrepo-Girón, Sandra Esperanza Nope-Rodríguez



Escuela de Ingeniería Eléctrica y Electrónica (EIEE), Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad del Valle, Colombia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 28 May 2019 Received in revised form 14 August 2019 Accepted 20 August 2019 Available online 28 August 2019

Keywords: Photovoltaic array inspection Monocrystalline Si panels Snail trails Hot spot defects Thermographic images analysis Unmanned aerial vehicles

ABSTRACT

This article presents a dataset for thermal characterization of photovoltaic systems to identify snail trails and hot spot failures. This dataset has 277 thermographic aerial images that were acquired by a Zenmuse XT IR camera (7–13 μm wavelength) from a DJI Matrice 100 ¹drone (quadcopter). Additionally, our dataset includes the next environmental measurements: temperature, wind speed, and irradiance. The experimental set up consisted in a photovoltaic array of 4 serial monocrystalline Si panels (string) and an electronic equipment emulating a real load. The conditions for images acquisition were stablished in a flight protocol in which we defined altitude, attitude, and weather conditions.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Data

The dataset is generated to thermal characterize snail trails and hot spot failures on solar panels of "monocrystalline Si" and is composed of 277 thermography images. The specifications of the equipment used in this research are presented in Tables 1–6. The information about the dataset is

* Corresponding author.

** Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.104441

2352-3409/© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

E-mail addresses: estefania.alfaro@correounivalle.edu.co (E. Alfaro-Mejía), humberto.loaiza@correounivalle.edu.co (H. Loaiza-Correa), edinson.franco@correounivalle.edu.co (E. Franco-Mejía), andres.david.restrepo@correounivalle.edu.co (A.D. Restrepo-Girón), sandra.nope@correounivalle.edu.co (S.E. Nope-Rodríguez).

Specifications table

Subject area	Computer Science
More specific subject area	Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition
Type of data	Tables IPG files
How data was acquired	Photovoltaic papel FRDM Solar $85W^2$
	IR camera Zenmuse XT on board HAV Matrice 100 ³
	Pyranometer SP110 Apogee ⁴
	Electronic Load B&K Precision 8514 ⁵
	Weather station WS-2090 ⁶
Data format	Raw thermal images (336x256 pixels resolution), ipg format.
	Temperature in °C degrees
	Irradiance in W/m ²
	Wind speed in m/s
Experimental factors	Weather and operation conditions (irradiance, temperature, wind speed, altitude, attitude)
	Geographic location: 3° 22'30' N, 76° 32'04' W
	Time window: 10:00 to 14:00 local time.
Experimental features	Temperature (26–32 °C)
•	Irradiance $(500-1000 \text{ W/m}^2)$
	Wind speed $(3-5 \text{ m/s})$
	IR images (7.5-13.5 µm band)
	A drone with a mid-IR camera was used to inspect photovoltaic (PV) array of 4 serial monocrystalline Si panels (string) supplying an emulated load, in order to identify snail trails and hot spot failures by processing thermographic image sequences. The low height of the UAV stationary position allow inspection of individual cells, and complementary weather information is useful to established experimental conditions.
Data source location	Cali, Valle del Cauca, Colombia, South America
Data accessibility	https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/82vzccxb6y/2
Related research article	[1] S. Gallardo-Saavedra, E. Franco-Mejia, L. Hernández-Callejo, Ó. Duque-Pérez, H. Loaiza- Correa, and E. Alfaro-Mejia, "Aerial thermographic inspection of photovoltaic plants: Analysis and selection of the equipment," in <i>ISES Solar World Congress 2017 - IEA SHC</i> <i>International Conference on Solar Heating and Cooling for Buildings and Industry 2017,</i> <i>Proceedings</i> , 2017

² http://www.erdm-solar.com/.

³ https://www.dji.com/matrice100.

⁴ https://www.apogeeinstruments.com/sp-110-ss-self-powered-pyranometer/.

⁵ http://www.bkprecision.com/products/dc-electronic-loads/8514-1200-w-programmable-dc-electronic-load.html.

⁶ https://www.ambientweather.com/amws2090ip.html

Value of the data

- The dataset of images can be used to classify hot spots, snail trails and sound cells in solar panels.
- The dataset can be used for image processing to implement different techniques of filter and segmentation.
- The resolution of images is high enough for cells segmentation on panels, allowing classification of different conditions of each cell.
- The dataset can be used to thermally characterize solar cells inside panels regarding weather and flight conditions.

summarized in Table 7. This dataset is organized in folders named according to the acquisition date. Folders between April 28th to May 4th contain two subfolders: "Images" and "Irradiance_Hour"; the subfolder "Images" has only images from the left panel. However, the folder of May 4th contain a third subfolder named: "Temperature_WindSpeed". Folders between December 20th to January 11th contain three subfolders: "Images", "Irradiance_Hour" and "Temperature_WindSpeed"; the subfolder "Images" has the subfolders "Panels_right" and "Panels_left" containing images labeled as illustrated in Fig. 1; "Panels_right" correspond to panels (1,2), "Panels_left" correspond to panels (3,4) of the Fig. 1(a). All raw data are presented with the ".csv" extension. The "Irradiance_Hour" subfolder contains a vector with information of the irradiance measurement, and the "Temperature_WindSpeed" sub-folder contains the wind speed measurement as well as the external and internal temperature.

For its part, in Fig. 1(a) the conditions of the cells for the 4 panels inspected are highlighted by colors; in Fig. 1(b) a real thermal image of the panels is shown. In Fig. 2 the image acquisition protocol used is schematized. In Fig. 3 the relative position between UAV and solar panels is illustrated.



Fig. 1. Four monocrystalline Si panels used for experiment: (a) scheme of panels with 9×4 cells in different conditions: hot spot (red) and snail trails (orange) failures, and sound cells (white), labeling of the panels, (b) Thermal image of the real solar panels.

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

2.1. Materials

For acquisition of the database the next materials and equipment were needed:

- String of 4 ERDM Si monocrystalline solar panel connected in series, with 85 W maximum power for each panel (Table 1).
- B&K Precision 8514 electronic load at 1200 W maximum power, to emulate a real load behavior (Table 2).
- SP110 Apogee pyranometer, placed besides the solar panels string, to measure irradiance (Table 3).
- Ambient Weather SW-2090 weather station, placed at 3 m height from solar panels surface, to measure temperature and wind speed (Table 4).
- Zenmuse XT thermal camera, to acquire thermographic images (Table 5).

Table 1

Solar panel specifications.

1 1				
Number of cells	Dimensions (mm)	Weight (kg)	Open Circuit Voltage (V)	Short circuit current (A)
36	$1186\times551\times35$	9	21.78	5.13
Table 2 Electronic load specif	ications.			
Resolution (mV/mA	A)	Minimum opera	ating voltage (V)	Voltage Range (V)
1/0.1		0.1		0-120
Table 3 Pyranometer specific	ations.			
Spectral range (nm) Sensitivity (mV W/m ²)	Calibratio (W/m ² n	on FactorField of vieNV)(degrees)	w Operation Environment (°C)
360-1120	0.2	5	180	-40 a 70

,	1	
	T	

Table 4	
Weather station specifications.	

Measurement	Range	Accuracy	Resolution
Outdoor Temperature	–10 to 149 °F (-23.3 to 65 °C)	± 2 °F (± 1.1 °C)	0.1 °F (0.06 °C)
Indoor Temperature	32 to 140 °F (0 to 60 °C)	± 2 °F (± 1.1 °C)	0.1 °F (0.06 °C)
Wind Direction	0-360°	22.5°	22.5°
Wind Speed	0 to 112 mph (0 to 180.3 km/h)	± 2.2 mph (± 3.5 km/h)	0.1 mph (0.16 km/h)

Table 5

Thermal camera specifications.

Pixels	Spectral Range (µm)	Angular vibration range	Thermal sensitivity (mK)	Weight (g)
336x256	7.5–13	± 0.03°	<50	270

Table 6

UAV specifications.

Туре	Hovering time full payload	Max speed of Ascent (m/ Max speed of Descent (m/ Operating Temperature		
	(min)	s)	s)	(°C)
Quadcopte	r 20	5	4	-10 to 40

• Matrice 100 drone, for aerial inspection of solar panels (Table 6).

• DJI Go application software, to visualize flight variables

2.2. Method

An experimental protocol with 5 stages was designed to acquire the thermal images, as shown in Fig. 2.





2.2.1. STAGE 1

According to [2,3] the suggested irradiance level to acquire thermal images of photovoltaic systems (PV) should be at least $500W/m^2$. Hence, images acquisition was performed in sunny days since clouds decrease the irradiance levels and rain is a flight restriction for UAV. Images were captured between 10:00 to 11:30 and 13:00 to 14:00 hours, in order to use the maximum peak of irradiance levels (~ 800 W/m²) at Cali-Colombia. The interval between 11:30 to 13:00 hours was excluded to avoid shadows on the solar panels.

2.2.2. STAGE 2

After checking the weather conditions, we set up the value of voltage at the B&K Precision 8514 electronic load (constant voltage operation mode) to 80% of the open-circuit voltage delivered by the solar panel string, in order to approximate the operation point of maximum power [4,5].

2.2.3. STAGE 3

It is necessary to verify that environmental variables are within suitable ranges, thus:

⁷ https://www.dji.com/goapp.

- Wind speed at 3–5 m/s, to guarantee the precision specification in temperature measurement calculated by the thermal camera sensor in this type of experiments [6].
- Ambient temperature at a range of 26-30 °C, as suggested in [7].

2.2.4. STAGE 4

At this stage, irradiance measurement is verified to be within the range: $500-1000 \text{ W/m}^2$, as suggested in similar experiments [8].

2.2.5. STAGE 5

Once environmental conditions are verified to be adequate for thermographic inspection, the UAV was positioned horizontally 2.0 m far apart the lowest side of panels, and at 2.3-2.7 m height from the base of the panels, as indicated by Fig. 3. Aiming to do the camera IFOV (1.889 mrad) to cover 2 times the area of a panel cell, an ideal height up to 2.3 m was established, similar as done in [9], although they did not consider the IFOV camera. In consequence, the thermograms resolution (336 × 256 pixels) is high enough to get information about cells condition, unlike other similar works [10,11] where only global damages of panels can be detected because reported heights are greater than 20 m.



Fig. 3. Positioning of UAV with respect to solar panels.

Additionally, inclination of the solar panels is 8° , which is possible due to geographical position of Cali, Colombia, close to equatorial line. This condition and a drone attitude (pitch angle) around 45° make the observation angle (between optical axis of camera and normal vector of the panel surface) be greater than 0° and smaller than 60° (45° approximately), in order to assure that emissivity variations are negligible [11].

Finally, because the drone is placed at a distance of up 2 m besides the panel, the cooling effect of the rotors blades of the UAV is negligible, and in consequence it is not taken into account.

2.3. Experimental design

We considered the images in dataset are spatially static because the drone was placed in fixed georeferenced coordinates, the pitch angle of the IR camera was constant, and height fluctuations with respect to the base of solar panels (2.3-2.7 m) were low, caused by gimbal vibrations or drone instability. However, acquisition was distributed in 7 experimental sessions, leading to important variations in irradiance, wind speed and ambient temperature, though all of them within allowable

Acquisition date	Number of images	Environmental variables	Altitude (m)	Attitude (°)	Reference file images
27-April-2018	13	Irradiance and Temperature.	2.3-2.7	45-60	27-April-2018
28-April-2018	13	Irradiance and Temperature	2.3 - 2.7	45-60	28-April-2018
04-May-2018	29	Irradiance and Temperature, wind speed	2.3 - 2.7	45-60	04-May-2018
20-December-2018	60	Irradiance and Temperature, wind speed	2.3 - 2.7	45-60	20-December-2018
21-December-2018	48	Irradiance and Temperature, wind speed	2.3-2.7	45-60	21-December-2018
16-January-2019	48	Irradiance and Temperature, wind speed	2.3-2.7	45-60	16-January-2019
19-January-2019	66	Irradiance and Temperature, wind speed	2.3-2.7	45-60	19-January-2019

 Table 7

 Information about different groups of images conforming the Dataset.

ranges. This situation aimed to recreate a similar uncontrolled environment than that found at outdoor solar panel installations. Table 7 specifies the values of flight and environmental variables for each group of thermal images captured during independent experimental sessions.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank to Universidad del Valle for financing the project "Detection of faults in solar panels from thermographic images taken by a drone with autonomous navigation" 2919, VAPS laboratories, PSI (Percepción y Sistemas Inteligentes) and GICI (Grupo de Investigación en Control Industrial) research groups, for their support to this work.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] S. Gallardo-Saavedra, E. Franco-Mejia, L. Hernández-Callejo, Ó. Duque-Pérez, H. Loaiza-Correa, E. Alfaro-Mejia, Aerial thermographic inspection of photovoltaic plants: analysis and selection of the equipment, in: ISES Solar World Congress 2017 - IEA SHC International Conference on Solar Heating and Cooling for Buildings and Industry 2017, Proceedings, 2017.
- [2] F. Grimaccia, M. Aghaei, M. Mussetta, S. Leva, P.B. Quater, Planning for PV plant performance monitoring by means of unmanned aerial systems (UAS), Int. J. Energy Environ. Eng. 6 (1) (2015) 47–54.
- [3] P.B. Quater, F. Grimaccia, S. Leva, M. Mussetta, M. Aghaei, Light unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) for cooperative inspection of PV plants, IEEE J. Photovoltaics 4 (4) (2014) 1107–1113.
- [4] M. Kontges, S. Kurtz, J. Ulrike, K.A. Berger, K. Kato, T. Friesen, in: Review of Failures of Photovoltaic Modules, vol. 1911, 2015, 2016.
- [5] J.D. Bastidas-Rodriguez, E. Franco, G. Petrone, C.A. Ramos-Paja, G. Spagnuolo, Quantification of photovoltaic module degradation using model based indicators, Math. Comput. Simulat. 131 (2017) 101–113.
- [6] S. Leva, M. Aghaei, F. Grimaccia, PV Power Plant Inspection by UAS: Correlation between Altitude and Detection of Defects on PV Modules, 2015.
- [7] T. Kauppinen, P.E. Panouillot, S. Siikanen, E. Athanasakou, P. Baltas, B. Nikopoulous, in: About Infrared Scanning of Photovoltaic Solar Plant, vol. 9485, 2015, 948517.
- [8] S. Dotenco et al., "Automatic Detection and Analysis of Photovoltaic Modules in Aerial Infrared Imagery.".
- [9] G. Álvarez-Tey, R. Jiménez-Castañeda, J. Carpio, Analysis of the configuration and the location of thermographic equipment for the inspection in photovoltaic systems, Infrared Phys. Technol. 87 (2017) 40–46.
- [10] P. Zhang, L. Zhang, T. Wu, H. Zhang, X. Sun, Detection and location of fouling on photovoltaic panels using a dronemounted infrared thermography system, J. Appl. Remote Sens. 11 (1) (2017), 016026.
- [11] C. Buerhop, H. Scheuerpflug, R. Weissmann, According to Fresnel's law of glass optimal conditions for IR- imaging Of PVplants, in: 26th Eur. Photovolt. Sol. Energy Conf. Exhib., 2011, pp. 6–9.