

# 

**Citation:** Weldehaweria NB, Abreha EH, Weldu MG, Misgina KH (2017) Psychosocial correlates of nutritional status among people living with HIV on antiretroviral therapy: A matched case-control study in Central zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. PLoS ONE 12(3): e0174082. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0174082

Editor: Giovanni Maga, Istituto di Genetica Molecolare, ITALY

Received: March 4, 2016

Accepted: March 3, 2017

Published: March 16, 2017

**Copyright:** © 2017 Weldehaweria et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data may be used to identify study participants and may not be publicly shared. Therefore, some restrictions will apply. However, the data can be accessed from the research and development office of Aksum University College of Health Sciences. Contact person: Berihu Gidey, Office Coordinator and member of the IRB of the Aksum University Health Science College Email: berihu.gidey@gmail.com. RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Psychosocial correlates of nutritional status among people living with HIV on antiretroviral therapy: A matched case-control study in Central zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia

Negassie Berhe Weldehaweria<sup>1®</sup>\*, Elsa Hagos Abreha<sup>2®</sup>, Meresa Gebremedhin Weldu<sup>1‡</sup>, Kebede Haile Misgina<sup>1‡</sup>

 Department of Public Health, College of Health Sciences, Aksum University, Aksum, Tigray, Ethiopia,
 Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Semara University, Semara, Afar, Ethiopia

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work.

\* negelsa5960@gmail.com

# Abstract

# Background

Malnutrition hastens progression to Acquired Human Immunodeficiency Syndromes (AIDS) related illnesses; undermines adherence and response to antiretroviral therapy (ART) in resource-poor settings. However, nutritional status of people living with HIV (PLHIV) can be affected by various psychosocial factors which have not been well explored in Ethiopia. Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine psychosocial correlates of nutritional status among people living with HIV (PLHIV) on ART in Central zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia.

### Methods

A matched case-control study design was conducted to assess psychosocial correlates of nutritional status among PLHIV on ART. Data were collected by an interviewer-administered technique using structured pre-tested questionnaire, record review using a checklist and anthropometric measurements. Cases were selected by simple random sampling and controls purposively to match the selected cases. Conditional logistic regression was used to compute relevant associations by STATA version 12.

# Results

The psychosocial factors independently associated with malnutrition were ever consuming alcohol after starting ART [AOR = 4.7, 95% CI: 1.8–12.3], ever smoking cigarette after starting ART [AOR = 7.6, 95% CI: 2.3–25.5], depression [AOR = 2.8, 95% CI: 1.3, 6.1], not adhering to ART [AOR = 6.8,95% CI: 2.0–23.0] and being in the second lowest wealth quintile [AOR = 4.3,95% CI: 1.1–17.7].



**Funding:** Funding body: Aksum University. Grant Amount: 20 000 ETB

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### Conclusion

Ever consuming alcohol and ever smoking cigarette after starting ART, depression, not adhering to ART and being in the second lowest wealth quintile were significantly associated with malnutrition. Therefore; policies, strategies, and programs targeting people living with HIV should consider psychosocial factors that can impact nutritional status of people living with HIV enrolled on ART.

### Introduction

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) / Acquired Human Immunodeficiency Syndromes (AIDS) is one of the most destructive epidemics the world has ever witnessed. The impact of HIV/AIDS goes beyond public health concerns. It primarily affects adult population in the productive and reproductive age groups, as such, in its endemic stage, undermines the social and economic structure of the world in general and of developing countries in particular [1]. Currently, approximately 35 million people live with HIV in the world [2,3]. Sub-Saharan Africa is the most affected region, with 25.8 million people living with HIV in 2014 [2]. Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for almost 70% of the global total of new HIV infections [2]. Ethiopia is one of the sub-Saharan countries most severely affected by the HIV/AIDS pandemic [4]. According to the 2011 demographic health survey (DHS) of Ethiopia, the overall prevalence of HIV is 1.5 nationally and 1.8% in Tigray region [5]. In 2013, there have been 793,700 people living with HIV and approximately 46,000 AIDS-related deaths in Ethiopia [4].

The rapidly expanding access to ART is changing the global HIV epidemic in momentous ways and AIDS-related mortality rates are declining rapidly. So far, the scaling up of ART averted an estimated 6.6 million AIDS-related deaths worldwide, predominantly in low- and middle-income countries [6]. In addition to prolonging life, ART increases productivity and quality of life among people living with HIV, and produce savings to the health care system through reducing the need for hospitalization [7–9]. It also has the potential to significantly reduce the risk of HIV transmission and the spread of tuberculosis [6].

However, the effectiveness of ART in achieving the intended goals can be affected by various factors. Since food is required for processing, absorption, and optimal clinical benefits for ART regimens, poor nutritional status undermines adherence and response to ART, hastens progression to AIDS-related illnesses, lowers survival time and exacerbates socioeconomic impacts [10–24]. Therefore, nutritional status is one of the factors that significantly affect the effectiveness of ART, survival status and quality of life among people living with HIV (PLHIV) [10–25].

Recent studies done in Ethiopia revealed that malnutrition is highly prevalent among people living with HIV enrolled on ART. For instance study in Hossana reported a total prevalence of 31.2%, in Dilla University referral Hospital (12.3%), in Butajira Hospital (25.2%), in Humera Hospital (42.3%), in Gondar University referral Hospital (27.8%), in Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, Bahir Dar (25.5%), and in St. Peter Hospital, Addis Ababa (25%) [26–32].

A number of clinical and dietary factors could contribute to poor nutritional status of PLHIV as indicated by different studies conducted elsewhere [13,25–32]. On the top of clinical and dietary factors, different psychosocial factors like family support and depression and substance use which are very common among PLHIV can also affect nutritional status of PLHIV on ART directly or indirectly [26,33–38]. Therefore, information on psychosocial correlates of nutritional status among PLHIV on ART is urgently needed for prioritizing, designing and initiating intervention programs aimed at HIV care and support. However, the psychosocial correlates of nutritional status among PLHIV on ART have not been well explored in Ethiopia. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine psychosocial correlates of nutritional status among PLHIV on antiretroviral drug treatment in Central zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia.

### Methods and materials

### Study design

The study has employed a matched case-control study design among people living with HIV on ART. For the comparison purpose, malnourished participants (cases) were matched by age and sex with those of well-nourished participants (controls). Age was matched within  $\pm 5$  years interval. This is to mean that if a case of 28 years male was obtained, the control selected would be 28 years  $\pm 5$  years old male.

### Study setting

The study was conducted in the Central zone of Tigray Region, North Ethiopia in March 2014. Tigray regional state is located in north Ethiopia with an estimated total population of 4,314,456 according to the Ethiopian Central Statistics report. According to the 2011 demographic and health survey report of Ethiopia, the estimated prevalence of HIV/AIDS in the region was about 1.8%. Among these people living with HIV, about 28,044 were on ART. Tigray regional state has 14 hospitals and 219 health centers. Central Zone, one of the seven zonal administrative divisions of Tigray regional state, had an estimated total population of 1,283,388 in 2005. Of these, 630,140 were males while the rest 653,248 were women [5]. This zone has three hospitals: St. Marry, Adwa and Abyi Adi hospitals. To get an adequate number of malnourished adults who were on ART with better documentation, two of the three hospitals (St. Marry and Adwa hospitals) were purposely selected for conducting the study considering the patient load. There were a total of 942 and 864 people living with HIV on ART in St. Marry and Adwa hospitals respectively.

# Study population

The study population was people living with HIV greater than 18 years old and who were on ART follow-up for at least one year in the selected hospitals. Cases were malnourished  $(BMI < 18.5 \text{kg/m}^2)$  people living with HIV, whereas controls were defined as people living with HIV greater than 18 years old that were on ART who were well-nourished (BMI = 18.5-25 kg/m<sup>2)</sup>. Participants with incomplete data for the last three visits and participants with nutritional status above optimal (BMI > 25kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were excluded. The sample size was computed using OpenEpi Version 3.03.17 statistical software. The assumptions for the sample size calculation were: a case: control ratio of 1:1, a two-sided significance level (alpha) of 0.05, a power of 80% and 15% difference in food insecurity between the malnourished and well-nourished subjects. The total sample size was 342 (171 cases and 171 controls). In a case-control study done in Pwani Region eastern Tanzania, the difference between the two groups in educational status, income, occupation and other psychosocial factors which also are included in our study was less than 12% [35]. However, considering the cost and the design of the study the aforementioned 15% difference assumption was taken for calculating the sample size. To select study participants, we assessed nutritional status of people living with HIV who fulfill the inclusion criteria to identify cases and controls. We have selected the cases by simple random sampling and the controls purposively to match the selected cases.

### Measurements

Data were collected by reviewing ART registration chart, interview, and anthropometric measurements. A pretested structured questionnaire adapted from different literatures and translated into the local language (Tigrigna) was used for data collection by interview. The content of the questionnaire included: factors such as age, sex, residence, marital status, economic status, occupation, smoking, alcoholism, khat (*Catha edulis*) chewing, depression, disclosing sero-status, living condition, family support, satisfaction with ART outcome, adherence, etc.

Measurement of weight was conducted using a standard beam balance that is used for weight measurement in the medical setup. The scale pointer was checked at zero before taking every measurement. Each participant was asked to remove heavy clothes. He/she stood straight and unassisted on the centre of the balance platform. Weight measurements were taken to the nearest 0.1 kg.

Height was measured using the standard scale. The subjects were asked to remove their shoes, stood erect, and positioned at the Frankfert plane with feet together and knees straight. The heels, buttocks, shoulder blades and the back of the head (occiput) were in touch against the vertical stand of the stadio meter and the values were recorded to the nearest 0.1cm.

The data were collected by two BSc nurses under the supervision of two professionals who have master degree in Health Informatics. Prior to data collection, the questionnaire was pretested on people living with HIV greater than 18 years old who were on ART in Enticho health center found in central zone of Tigray region by taking 5% (9 pairs) of the total sample size. Necessary modifications were made based on the pretest results. Training was also given to both data collectors and supervisors for three days on how to approach the study subjects collect data by the questionnaire, weight, and height measurement tools and collect data from ART registration charts. The collected data were checked out for its completeness, accuracy, and clarity by the principal investigators and supervisors. This quality checking was done daily before and after data collection and amendments were made before the next data collection process was started. Supervision was also done on the spot by principal investigators and supervisors. The overall quality checking was done at the end of the data collection process.

### **Operational definitions**

Nutritional status: It was estimated by computing BMI. BMI is the ratio of weight to height in meters squared. A participant was considered as well-nourished if he/she had a BMI of  $18.5-25 \text{ Kg/m}^2$  and as malnourished if he/she had a BMI< $18.5 \text{ Kg/m}^2$  [39–42].

ART adherence status: It was estimated by percent of missed dose enclosed in the last 2 month follow-up time from patient ART follow-up form combined with self-reported adherence measurement technique by asking each participant about the number of times he/she has missed taking his/her pills each month and recorded. Finally, a participant was considered as adherent if the average adherence is greater than 95% (he/she missed  $\leq 2$  doses of 30 doses or  $\leq 3$  doses of 60 doses) and non-adherent if the average adherence is less than 95% (he/she missed > 2 doses of 30 doses or > 3 doses of 60 doses) [43,44].

Wealth index: Economic status was assessed by using a Weighted Wealth Index incorporating household assets ownership, housing characteristics, land ownership, livestock, and electricity. Dichotomous variables were constructed and factor analysis using principal component analysis (PCA) used to reduce the 16 items. Factor loadings were used as item weights, which were totaled to yield the wealth index for each household. The total Weighted Wealth Index score was then equally divided into 5 quintiles designating fifth (highest economic status), fourth, third, second and first (lowest economic status).

Characteristics	Mal	Malnutrition	
	Yes	No	
Sex			1.000
Male	72 (42.4)	72(42.4)	
Female	98(57.6)	98(57.6)	
Residential address			0.454
Urban	130(76.5)	124(72.9)	
Rural	40(23.5)	46(27.1)	
Distance to hospital/clinic (km)			0.021
≤10	60(35.3)	81(47.6)	
>10	110(64.7)	89(52.4)	
Educational status			0.184
No education	45(26.5)	36(21.2)	
Elementary (1–8)	68(40)	67(39.4)	
Secondary (9–12)	44(25.9)	42(24.7)	
12+	13(7.6)	25(14.7)	
Occupation			0.000
Have no job	33 (19.4)	28 (16.5)	
Government employee	37 (21.8)	42 (24.7)	
Business/self employed	53 (31.2)	81 (47.6)	
Daily laborer	47 (27.6)	19 (11.2)	
Marital status			0.022
Single	85(50)	87(51.2)	
Married	58(34.1)	73(42.9)	
Widowed	12(7.1)	5(2.9)	
Divorced	15(8.8)	5(2.9)	
Living condition			0.013
Alone	52(30.6)	36(21.2)	
Family	86(50.6)	98(57.6)	
Parents	13(7.6)	26(15.3)	
Others	19(11.2)	10(5.9)	
Family support			0.559
Yes	140(82.4)	144(84.7)	
No	30(17.6)	26(15.3)	
Wealth index			0.002
1 <sup>st</sup> quintile	30(17.6)	45(26.5)	
2 <sup>nd</sup> quintile	26(15.3)	16(9.4)	
3 <sup>rd</sup> auintile	56(32.9)	40(23.5)	
4 <sup>th</sup> quintile	47(27.6)	40(23.5)	
5 <sup>th</sup> quintile	11(6.5)	29(17.1)	
Ever smoking cigarette after starting ART	()		0.000
Yes	66(38.8)	8(4.7)	
No	104(61.2)	162(95.3)	
Current cigarette smoking (in the last 30 days)			0.000
Yes	24(14.1)	5(2.9)	
No	146(85.9)	165(97.1)	
Ever use of alcohol after starting ART			0.000
Yes	82(48.2)	23(13.5)	0.000
No	88(51.8)	147(86 5)	

# Table 1. Psychosocial characteristics of PLHIV on ART by their nutritional status, Central zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia, March 2014.

(Continued)

Characteristics	Malnutrition		X <sup>2</sup> (P value)
	Yes	No	
Current alcohol consumption(in the last 30 days)			0.001
Yes	32(18.8)	11(6.5)	
No	138(81.2)	159(93.5)	
Ever khat chewing after starting ART			0.006
Yes	24(14.1)	9(5.3)	
No	146(85.9)	161(94.7)	
Current khat chewing (in the last 30 days)			0.027
Yes	16(9.4)	6(3.5)	
No	154(90.6)	164(96.5)	
Depression (based on PHQ score)			0.001
$\geq$ 5 points	83(48.8)	114(67.1)	
<5 points	87(51.2)	56(32.9)	
Disclosure of their HIV sero-status			0.767
Yes	142(83.5)	144(84.7)	
No	28(16.5)	26(15.3)	
ART treatment duration (in months)			0.097
12–36	38(22.4)	51(30.0)	
37–60	84(49.4)	65(38.2)	
>60	48(28.2)	54(31.8)	
Satisfaction by ART outcome			0.244
Yes	121(71.2)	111(65.3)	
No	49(28.8)	59(34.7)	
Adherence to ART at current visit			
Adherent	130(76.5)	162(95.3)	0.000
Non-adherent	40(23.5)	8(4.7)	

Table 1. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174082.t001

Depression: Depression was assessed using a validated depression severity measure of PHQ-9 score for depression. Based on the total PHQ-9 score for depression, a score lower than 5 points was classified as not having depression and a score greater than or equal to 5 points was classified as having depression [45].

### Data processing and analysis

After coding, the data were entered using EpiInfo version 2002 and analyzed by STATA Version 12 using conditional logistic regression (Clogit) model. The association of each variable with malnutrition (BMI < 18.5kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was tested using odds ratio, 95% confidence interval, and p-value. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant association among the different independent variables with the outcome variable.

### Ethical consideration

Ethical approval was obtained from Research and Publication Office of Aksum University and an official letter of permission was written to the respective hospitals from Tigray regional health bureau. Participants were informed about the nature of the study, its objectives, expected outcomes, and the benefits and the risks associated with it. Informed verbal consent was obtained from each study participant before data collection. Confidentiality was also ensured by using questionnaire identification numbers instead of using any personal identifiers.

### Result

### Psychosocial characteristics

A total of 340 study participants (170 pairs) were included in this study. Of the total participants included in this study, 72 (42.7%) pairs were males and 94 (57.3%) pairs were females on ART who were receiving ART care in the respective ART clinics for at least one year prior to the study. The mean age and standard deviation (SD) of the malnourished study participants were  $39.3 \pm 8.2$  years while the mean age and standard deviation of the well-nourished study participants were  $39.2 \pm 7.9$  years. Two-thirds of the study participants (72.9% of the wellnourished and 76.5% of the malnourished) were from urban areas. With regards to distance to ART rendering health facility from their home, 89 (52.4%) of the well-nourished and 110 (64.7%) of the malnourished participants reported that the distance to ART rendering health facility is greater than 10 kilometers from their home. More than one-third of the study participants (42.9% of the well-nourished and 34.1% of the malnourished) were married while 87 (51.2%) of the well-nourished and 85 (50%) of the malnourished participants were single. The rest 10 (5.9%) of the well-nourished and 27 (15.9%) of the malnourished participants were either divorced or widowed. Concerning to educational status, 67 (39.4%) of the well-nourished and 57 (33.5%) of the malnourished attended at least secondary school education. By occupation, nearly two-thirds were government or self-employed (53.0% of the malnourished and 72.3% of the well-nourished), one fifth were daily laborers (27.6% of the malnourished and 11.2% % of the well-nourished) while the rest had no job at all. Moreover, 61 (35.9%) of the well-nourished and 56 (32.9%) of the malnourished participants were in the  $2^{nd}$  or in the 1<sup>st</sup> lowest quintile wealth status (Table 1).

Pertaining to other psychosocial aspects of the study participants, more than one-fourth (30.6% of the malnourished and 21.2% of the well-nourished) were living alone while more than half of the study participants (50.6% of the malnourished and 57.6% of the well-nourished) were living with their family. The rest study participants (26.5% of malnourished and 13.5% of the well-nourished) were living with their parents or with others. Similarly, more than three-fourths (50.6% of the malnourished and 57.6% of the well-nourished) were receiving family support. Of the total study participants included in this study; the great majority (83.5% of the malnourished and 84.7% of the well-nourished) disclosed their sero-status (Table 1).

Regarding cigarette smoking status of the study participants, 8 (4.7%) of the well-nourished and 66 (38.8%) of the malnourished participants have reported that they have history of cigarette smoking, after starting ART. The result also showed that 5 (2.9%) of the well-nourished and 24 (14.1%) of the malnourished participants have reported that they are smoking currently. Similarly, 23 (13.5%) of the well-nourished and 82 (48.2%) of the malnourished participants have reported that they have history of alcohol consumption after starting ART. It is also shown that 32 (18.8%) of the malnourished and 11 (6.5%) of the well-nourished are consuming alcohol currently. Furthermore, 24 (14.1%) of the malnourished and 9 (5.3%) of the wellnourished participants have reported that they have history of khat chewing after starting ART. It is also shown that 16 (9.4%) of the malnourished and 6 (3.5%) of the well-nourished participants are chewing khat currently. Nearly one-third of the well-nourished 56 (32.9%) and more than half of the malnourished 87 (51.2%) participants were depressed (Table 1).

Of the total study participants included in this study, more than two-thirds (65.3% of the malnourished and 65.3% of the well-nourished) were satisfied with ART outcome. Concerning

to the adherence to ART, the results of the current study showed that 40 (23.5%) of the wellnourished and 8 (4.7%) of the malnourished participants were not adherents while the rest were adherents (Table 1).

### Psychosocial correlates of nutritional status

In the multivariate analysis used to determine psychosocial correlates of nutritional status among PLHIV on ART, ever smoking cigarette after starting ART, ever use of alcohol after starting ART, depression, second lowest wealth quintile compared with the fifth quintile (highest) and not adhering to ART were significantly associated with malnutrition. People living with HIV on ART who ever used alcohol after starting ART were 4.7 times more likely malnourished than their matched counterparts [AOR = 4.7, 95% CI: 1.8, 12.3]. Likewise, people living with HIV on ART who ever smoked cigarette after starting ART were 7.6 times more likely malnourished than their matched counterparts [AOR = 7.6, 95% CI: 2.3–25.5]. People living with HIV on ART who were depressed were 2.8 times more likely malnourished than their matched counterparts [AOR = 7.6, 95% CI: 2.3–25.5]. People living with HIV on ART who were depressed were 2.8 times more likely malnourished than their matched counterparts [AOR = 7.6, 95% CI: 2.3–25.5]. People living with HIV on ART who were depressed were 2.8 times more likely malnourished than their matched counterparts [AOR = 7.6, 95% CI: 2.3–25.5]. People living with HIV on ART who were depressed were 2.8 times more likely malnourished than their matched counterparts [AOR = 6.8, 95% CI: 1.3, 6.1]. In addition, people living with HIV who were non-adherent to ART [AOR = 6.8, 95% CI: 2.0–23.0] and those who were in the second lowest wealth quintile [AOR = 4.3, 95% CI: 1.1–17.7] were 6.8 and 4.3 times more likely malnourished than their matched counterparts (Table 2).

### Discussion

This study focused on determining psychosocial correlates of nutritional status of adult people living with HIV taking antiretroviral therapy in health facilities of central zone of Tigray Regional State. A total of 340 (170 malnourished and 170 well-nourished) participants were included in this matched case-control study. Cases (malnourished participants) and controls (well-nourished participants) were matched by age and sex. Of the total matched study participants, 72 (42.7%) pairs were males and 98 (57.3%) pairs were females who were on ART for at least one year prior to the study.

Results from this study showed that ever consumption of alcohol and ever smoking cigarette after starting ART, depression, not adhering to ART and second lowest wealth quintile were significantly associated with malnutrition among people living with HIV enrolled in ART.

In this study alcohol consumption was significantly associated with malnutrition among people living with HIV enrolled on ART. The risk of malnutrition among people living with HIV enrolled in ART who ever used alcohol was almost five times higher when compared to their counterparts. This lends support to a more recent study done by Molina et al [46], indicating that chronic alcohol abuse is associated with immunosuppressive effects that exacerbate the HIV-related immune-suppression. This accelerates disease progression which can eventually result in malnutrition. This is also supported by Gregory et al and other similar studies [46–50]. This could be due to the well-established fact that alcohol use alters the metabolism of vitamins and minerals leading to poor nutritional outcomes [46,48,50]. On top of that, it may be due to the negative effect of alcohol on dietary intake due to reduced appetite [46,47]. Similarly, people living with HIV who drink alcohol have an increased risk of gastrointestinal tract problems like aphthous ulcer and candidiasis that could negatively affect their nutritional status [46-48,50]. On the other way round, it could also be due to the negative effect of alcohol consumption on ART adherence [20,33,46,47,49,51–54], which can ultimately result in bad diseases prognosis and malnutrition [50,54]. The implication of this finding is mainly to implement a strategic intervention toward HIV-infected patients who have an alcohol use

	ONE
--	-----

YearNoNoScalacional star5(26.5)58(21.2)2.8 (1.2-6.3)1.2 (0.3-4.4)Benentry (1-4)6(40.0)67(38.4)2.2 (1.0-5.1)1.0 (0.3-4.4)Benentry (1-4)4(42.5.0)62(47.1)2.2 (1.0-5.2)0.9 (0.2-3.5)12.410.3 (0.7)2.8 (1.5.7)1.0 (0.3-4.4)Decapation30(7.0)2.8 (1.0.5.1)1.0 (0.3-4.4)Benentry (1-8)3.0 (1.0.2)2.1 (1.0-4.1)1.0 (1.0.2)Benentry (1-8)3.0 (1.0.2)1.0 (1.0.2)1.0 (1.0.2)Benetry (1-8)5.0 (1.0.2)3.0 (1.0.2)1.0 (1.0.2)Benetry (1-8)5.0 (1.0.2)3.0 (1.0.2)1.0 (1.0.2)Benetry (1-8)5.0 (1.0.2)3.0 (1.0.2)1.0 (1.0.2)Maride (1-8)5.0 (1.0.2)3.0 (1.0.2)1.0 (1.0.2)Benetry (1-8)<	Characteristic	Malnutrition		COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	
Educational statusEducational statusNo exclusion\$(56.5)\$69(2.1)2.8 (1.2-6.3)1.2 (.0.3-3.4)Secondary (9-1.2)4.4(2.5)&24(2.4.7)2.2 (1.10-5.1)1.9 (.0.3-3.4)Secondary (9-1.2)4.4(2.5)&24(2.4.7)1.2 (.10-5.2)0.9 (0.2-3.5)OrcupationI11OrcupationS3 (1.2, 0.1.1)1.0 (.10.4.1.5)11Businessical employed53 (31.2)81 (47.5)0.8 (0.3-1.1)1Daly labore4.7 (2.7.6)1.9 (1.1.2)2.1 (1.0-4.6)1Maride StatusS5(50, 1.7.1)7.5 (.2.1.1)1.11Maride StatusS5(50, 1.7.1)1.11.1.1Obscreed1.2 (1.1.2, 1.2.1)3.4 (.1.0.7.1)1.1.1Divoreed1.2 (1.1.1, 1.2.1)5.2.9.111Divoreed1.2 (1.1.1, 1.2.1)5.2.9.13.2 (1.4.2.0.3)1.1.1Divoreed1.2 (1.1.1, 1.2.1)5.2.9.13.0 (.0.2.1.0)0.6 (0.3-1.5)Divoreed1.2 (1.1.1, 1.2.1)1.4 (0.5.3.1)1.4 (0.4.5.3)1.1.1Divoreed1.3 (7.6)2.6 (1.5.3.1)0.3 (0.2.2.4.3)0.4 (0.1-1.7)Divoreed1.3 (7.6)2.6 (1.5.3)0.3 (0.2.2.4.3)3.4 (1.1.7.7)Divoreed1.3 (1.6.2,5.1)1.4 (0.5.3.3)1.8 (0.3.1.6.1)Divoreed1.3 (1.6.2,5.1)1.4 (0.5.3.3)1.4 (0.4.5.3)Divoreed1.3 (1.6.1,5.1)1.4 (0.4.5.3)1.1.1Divoreed1.3 (1.6.1,5.1)1.4 (0.4.5.3)<		Yes	No			
No education4(96.8)69(2)2.8(1.2-9.)1.2(0.3-4.6)Benendary (1-5)68(40)67(34)2.2 (1.0-5.2)0.9(0.2-3.5)12-13(7.6)25(1.4.7)111Cocupation2.5(1.4.7)1.0.0.4.2.1.011Have no job3.(19.4)2.8(16.5)111Businesseet employee5.3(1.2)81.42.7.00.6(0.4-1.5)11Businesseet employee5.3(1.2)81.42.7.00.6(0.6-1.5)11Businesseet employee5.8(3.1.2)81.42.7.00.6(0.6-1.6)11Businesseet employee5.8(3.1.2)1.0.1.6.6.5111Married5.8(3.1.7)7.3(4.2.9)0.9(0.5-1.4)111Married5.8(3.1.7)7.3(2.9)5.2(1.4.2.0.3)11 <td>Educational status</td> <td></td> <td>·</td> <td>·</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Educational status		·	·		
Elementary (1-a)         Beld(a)         67(38-4)         2 (1 0-5.1)         1 (0.3-3.4)           Secondary (9-12)         44(25.9)         42(24.7)         2 (1 0-5.2)         0.9 (0.2-3.5)           12-         13(7.6)         25(14.7)         1         1           Cacupation	No education	45(26.5)	36(21.2)	2.8 (1.2-6.9)	1.2 (0.3–4.6)	
Secondary (8-12)         4428.5 (9)         42(24.7)         2.2 (1.0-5.2)         0.3 (0.2-3.5)           12+         13(7.6)         25(14.7)         1         1           Have no job         33 (19.4)         28 (16.5)         1         -           Have no job         33 (19.4)         28 (16.5)         1         -           Buginessieal employee         37 (21.8)         42 (24.7)         0.8 (0.4-1.5)         -           Buginessieal employee         37 (27.6)         18 (11.2)         2.1 (1.0-4.6)         -           Maride datas         -         -         -         -         -           Single         86(50)         87 (51.2)         1         -         -           Michawed         127.1)         56 (2.9)         4.2 (1.4-0.3)         -         -           Michawed         127.1)         56 (2.9)         4.2 (1.4-0.3)         -         -           Michawed         127.1)         56 (2.9)         4.2 (1.4-0.3)         -         -           Alone         52 (30.6)         94 (57.6)         0.6 (0.3-1.0)         0.6 (0.3-1.5)         -           Parents         13 (7.6)         28 (15.3)         1.7 (0.7-4.1)         1.4 (0.4-5.3)         -	Elementary (1–8)	68(40)	67(39.4)	2.2 (1.0-5.1)	1 (0.3–3.4)	
12-         13(76)         25(14.7)         1         1           Occupation	Secondary (9–12)	44(25.9)	42(24.7)	2.2 (1.0-5.2)	0.9 (0.2–3.5)	
OccupationHave no job33 (19.4)28 (18.5)1IHave no job37 (21.8)42 (24.7)0.8 (0.4-1.5)IBusinessSelf employed53 (31.2)18 (147.6)0.6 (0.3-1.1)IBusinesSSelf employed47 (27.6)17 (19.4.6)2.1 (1.0-4.6)IMariad status51 (21.0)1IIMarind58 (34.1)73 (42.9)0.9 (0.5-1.4)IIWidwed12 (7.1)5 (2.9)5.2 (1.4-20.3)IIDivoreed15 (8.8)5 (2.9)5.2 (1.4-20.3)IIDivoreed15 (8.6)96 (7.6)0.6 (0.3-1.01)0.6 (0.3-1.5)Divoreed19 (12.7)105 (5.9)1.4 (0.5-3.8)1.6 (3.6-1.6)Parents19 (17.6)26 (15.3)0.3 (0.2-0.8)0.4 (0.1-1.7)Others19 (17.6)45 (26.5)1.7 (0.7-4.1)1.4 (0.4-6.3)2** quantile26 (15.3)16 (6.4)3.6 (1.4-2.2)4.3 (1.1-17.7)3** quantile (west)10 (15.6)29 (17.1)1.4 (0.4-6.3)3** quantile (west)10 (16.2)29 (17.1)1.4 (0.4-6.3)3** quantile (west)10 (16.2)29 (17.1)1.7 (1.4-24.6)3 (1.0-9.7)3** quantile (west)10 (16.2)29 (17.1)1.7 (1.4-24.6)1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1 (1.1	12+	13(7.6)	25(14.7)	1	1	
Have no pb         33 (19.4)         28 (16.5)         1           Government employee         37 (21.8)         42 (24.7)         0.8 (0.4-1.5)           Daily laborer         47 (27.6)         19 (11.2)         2.1 (1.0-4.6)           Marinel status	Occupation		·	·		
Government employee         57 (21.8)         42 (24.7)         0.8 (0.4-1.5)           Business/self employed         53 (31.2)         81 (47.6)         0.6 (0.3-1.1)           Daly labore         47 (27.6)         19 (11.2)         2.1 (1.0-4.6)           Martid status	Have no job	33 (19.4)	28 (16.5)	1		
Businessignet employed53 (31.2)61 (47.6)(6 (6.0.3-1.1)Indicidial statusBingla85 (50)87 (51.2)1Indicidial statusBingla85 (50)87 (51.2)1Indicidial statusWidowed12 (7.1)52 (2.9)4.3 (1.03-17.9)Indicidial statusUsing condition85 (2.9)5.2 (1.4-20.3)Indicidial statusMone do52 (20.6)96 (27.2)11Parents13 (7.6)26 (15.3)0.3 (0.2-0.8)0.4 (0.1-1.7)Othera19 (11.2)10 (5.9)1.4 (0.5-3.8)1.8 (0.3-10.8)Weath IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexI************************************	Government employee	37 (21.8)	42 (24.7)	0.8 (0.4–1.5)		
Daily aborer47 (27.6)19 (11.2)2.1 (1.0-4.6)Interplay (1.0.0)Marital statusSingle65(50)67 (51.2)1Interplay (1.0.0)Marital (1.0.0)58(34.1)73(42.9)0.9 (0.5-1.4)Interplay (1.0.0)Mored12(7.1)62(2.9)5.2 (1.4-20.3)Interplay (1.0.0)Uving condition52(30.6)62(2.1)11Family86(50.6)96(57.6)0.6 (0.3-1.01)0.6 (0.3-1.5)Parents13(7.5)26(15.3)0.3 (0.2-0.6)0.4 (0.1-1.7)Others19(1.2)10(5.9)1.4 (0.5-3.8)1.8 (0.3-10.8)Weathindox111.8 (0.3-10.8)1.8 (0.3-10.8)Weathindox11.6 (0.5-3.8)1.8 (0.3-10.8)1.8 (0.3-10.8)Weathindox11.4 (0.4-5.3)1.8 (0.3-10.8)1.8 (0.3-10.8)Weathindox11.4 (0.4-5.3)1.6 (0.3-1.5)1.8 (0.3-10.8)Weathindox11.6 (0.3.1.5)1.8 (0.3-10.8)1.8 (0.3-10.8)Weathindox11.4 (0.4-5.3)1.6 (0.3-1.6)1.8 (0.3-10.8)Weathindox11.4 (0.4-5.3)1.6 (0.3.1.5)1.6 (0.3.1.5)Parents14(6.1)2.9 (1.3.6)3.6 (1.4-9.2)3.6 (0.7-9.3)3 <sup>141</sup> quintile(ingest)1.6 (0.5.2)2.9 (1.7.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	Business/self employed	53 (31.2)	81 (47.6)	0.6 (0.3–1.1)		
MariedSingleB(50)R/51.2)1ISingleB(30,1)7(3(2.9)0.9(0.5-1.4)IMirded12(7.1)5(2.9)4.3(1.03-77.9)IDivored15(8.8)5(2.9)5.2(1.4-20.3)IDivored15(8.8)5(2.9)5.2(1.4-20.3)IAlone52(30.6)36(21.2)111Family86(50.6)96(57.6)0.6(0.3-1.01)0.6(0.3-1.5)Dhene19(1.2)10(5.9)1.4(0.5-3.8)1.8(0.3-1.6)Others19(1.2)10(5.9)1.4(0.5-3.8)1.8(0.3-1.6)Others19(1.2)16(0.4)3.6(1.4-9.2)4.0(1-1.7)Others19(1.2)16(0.4)3.6(1.4-9.2)4.0(1.1-7,7)Others19(1.2)16(0.4)3.6(1.4-9.2)4.0(1.1-7,7)2 <sup>ard</sup> quintle56(2.9)4.0(23.5)3.4(1.4-5.7)3.1(1.9-7,7)2 <sup>ard</sup> quintle56(2.9)4.0(2.5)3.4(1.4-5.7)3.1(1.9-7,7)4 <sup>ard</sup> quintle56(3.8)16(3.4)3.6(1.4-9.2)3.1(1.9-7,7)4 <sup>ard</sup> quintle56(3.8)16(2.5)3.1(1.4-7.8)3.1(0.2-7,7)4 <sup>ard</sup> quintle56(3.8)16(2.5)3.1(1.4-7.8)3.1(1.9-7,7)4 <sup>ard</sup> quintle56(3.8)16(3.6,1)1.11.12 <sup>ard</sup> quintle56(3.8,1)1.0(2.5,1)1.11.12 <sup>ard</sup> quintle56(3.8,1)1.0(2.5,1)1.11.12 <sup>ard</sup> quintle56(3.8,1)1.0(2.5,1)1.11.1 <trr>2<sup>ard</sup> quin</trr>	Daily laborer	47 (27.6)	19 (11.2)	2.1 (1.0–4.6)		
Single8(50)8(7(12)11Married58(34.1)73(42.9)0.9(0.5-1.4)1Miclowed12(7.1)5(2.9)5.2(1.4-20.3)1Divorced15(8.8)52(2.9)5.2(1.4-20.3)1Alone52(30.6)36(21.2)11Family86(50.6)98(57.6)0.6(0.3-1.01)0.6(0.3-1.5)Parents13(7.6)26(15.3)0.3(0.2-0.8)0.4(0.1-1.7)Others19(1.2)10(5.9)1.4(0.5-3.8)1.8(0.3-10.8)Weath17(0.7-4.1)1.4(0.4-5.3)2.8(0.3-10.8)Parents56(02.9)40(23.5)3.4(1.5-7.8)3(1.0-9.7)2 <sup>44</sup> quintile56(02.9)40(23.5)3.4(1.5-7.8)3(1.0-9.7)3 <sup>44</sup> quintile47(27.6)40(23.5)3.4(1.5-7.8)3(1.0-9.7)3 <sup>45</sup> quintile(hynest)11(6.5)29(17.1)11Ever carget moking after starting AFTVVVVYes66(38.0)8(4.7)10.7 (4.6-24.6)7.6 (2.3-25.5)No104(0.12)10.7 (4.6.24.6)7.6 (2.3-25.5)NNo86(51.8)8(4.7)10.7 (4.6-24.6)7.6 (2.3-25.5)No86(51.8)8(4.7)10.7 (4.6-24.6)7.6 (2.3-25.5)No86(51.8)8(4.7)10.7 (4.6-24.6)7.6 (2.3-25.5)No86(51.8)8(4.7)10.7 (4.6-24.6)7.6 (2.3-25.5)No86(51.8)8(4.7)10.7 (4.6-24.6)7.6 (2.3-25.5)No86(51.8)8(4.7)<	Marital status					
Married         SR(4.1)         7(4.2.9)         0.9 (0.5-1.4)           Widowed         12(7.1)         5(2.9)         5.2 (1.4-20.3)           Divorced         15(8.1)         5(2.9)         5.2 (1.4-20.3)           Living condition         52(30.6)         36(21.2)         1         1           Alone         52(30.6)         98(57.6)         0.6 (0.3-1.01)         0.6 (0.3-1.5)           Parents         13(7.6)         26(15.3)         0.3 (0.2-0.8)         0.4 (0.1-1.7)           Others         19(11.2)         10(5.9)         1.4 (0.5-3.8)         1.8 (0.3-1.0.8)           Weath Index	Single	85(50)	87(51.2)	1		
Mdowed         12(7.1)         5(2.9)         4.3(1.037.9)           Divored         15(8.8)         5(2.9)         5.2(1.4-20.3)           Living condition         5.2(1.4-20.3)         1           Alone         52(30.6)         36(21.2)         1         1           Family         86(50.6)         98(57.6)         0.6(0.3-0.1)         0.6(0.3-1.5)           Parents         13(7.6)         26(15.3)         0.3(0.2-0.8)         0.4(0.1-1.7)           Others         19(11.2)         10(5.9)         1.4(0.5-3.8)         1.8(0.3-10.8)           Weatthindex	Married	58(34.1)	73(42.9)	0.9 (0.5–1.4)		
Divored         15(8.9)         5(2.9)         5.2 (1.4-20.3)           Lving condition           Ving condition           Jone         52(30.6)         36(21.2)         1         1           Family         86(50.6)         98(57.6)         0.6 (0.3-1.0)         0.6 (0.3-1.5)           Parents         13(7.6)         20(15.3)         0.3 (0.2-0.8)         0.4 (0.1-1.7)           Others         10(12.2)         10(5.9)         1.4 (0.5-3.8)         0.8 (0.3-10.8)           Weath index	Widowed	12(7.1)	5(2.9)	4.3 (1.03–17.9)		
Living conditionAlone52(30.6)36(21.2)11Alone52(30.6)36(21.2)0.6 (0.3-1.0)0.6 (0.3-1.6)Parents13(7.6)26(15.3)0.3 (0.2-0.8)0.4 (0.1-1.7)Others19(1.2)10(5.9)1.4 (0.5-3.8)1.8 (0.3-10.8)Wealth index111.4 (0.4-5.3)1.22 <sup>nd</sup> quintile30(17.6)45(26.5)1.7 (0.7-4.1)1.4 (0.4-5.3)2 <sup>nd</sup> quintile56(32.9)40(23.5)3.6 (1.6-9.2)3.5 (1.0-9.7)3 <sup>nd</sup> quintile47(27.6)40(23.5)2.9 (1.3-6.9)2.5 (0.7-9.3)5 <sup>ln</sup> quintile(highest)11(6.5)20(17.1)1.4 (0.4-2.6)2.5 (0.7-9.3)5 <sup>ln</sup> quintile(highest)11(6.5)20(17.1)1.01.0Ver11(5.5)20(17.1)1.01.01.0Ver104(61.2)162(95.3)1.01.01.0No104(61.2)162(95.3)1.01.01.0Ver use of alcohal after starting AFTVerVerVerVerYes66(38.1)147(8.5)1.01.01.0No104(61.2)162(9.5)1.01.01.0No104(61.2)162(9.5)1.01.01.0Yes61(36.8)1.47(7.6)6.9 (3.6-13.4)4.7 (1.8-12.3)No1.01.01.01.01.0No1.01.01.01.01.0Yes61(36.1)1.01.01.0 <td>Divorced</td> <td>15(8.8)</td> <td>5(2.9)</td> <td>5.2 (1.4–20.3)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Divorced	15(8.8)	5(2.9)	5.2 (1.4–20.3)		
Alone         52(30.6)         36(21.2)         1         1           Family         86(50.6)         98(57.6)         0.6 (0.3-1.01)         0.6 (0.3-1.5)           Parents         13(7.6)         26(15.3)         0.3 (0.2-0.8)         0.4 (0.1-1.7)           Others         19(11.2)         10(5.9)         1.4 (0.5-3.8)         1.8 (0.3-10.8)           Wealth         T         T         1.4 (0.4-5.3)         1.8 (0.3-10.8)           Wealth         T         T         1.4 (0.4-5.3)         1.8 (0.3-10.8)           Wealth         S6(12.9)         40(23.5)         3.4 (1.5-7.8)         3 (1.0-9.7)           3 <sup>end</sup> quinitile         47(27.6)         40(23.5)         2.9 (1.3-6.9)         2.5 (0.7-0.3           5 <sup>th</sup> quinitile(highest)         11(5.5)         29(17.1)         1         1           Ever cigarette smoking after starting AFT         Yes         66(38.8)         8(4.7)         1.0 (7.46-24.6)         7.6 (2.3-25.5)           No         104(61.2)         162(65.3)         1         1         1           Yes         82(48.2)         23(13.5)         6.9 (3.6-13.4)         4.7 (1.8-12.3)           No         104(61.2)         162(65.3)         1         1         1	Living condition					
Family         86(50.6)         98(57.6)         0.6 (0.3-1.01)         0.6 (0.3-1.5)           Parents         13(7.6)         26(15.3)         0.3 (0.2-08)         0.4 (0.1-1.7)           Others         19(1.2)         10(5.9)         1.4 (0.5-3.8)         1.8 (0.3-10.8)           Weatth index         1************************************	Alone	52(30.6)	36(21.2)	1	1	
Parents         13(7.6)         26(15.3)         0.3 (0.2–0.8)         0.4 (0.1–1.7)           Others         13(11.2)         10(5.9)         1.4 (0.5–3.8)         1.8 (0.3–10.8)           Wealthindex	Family	86(50.6)	98(57.6)	0.6 (0.3–1.01)	0.6 (0.3–1.5)	
Others19(11.2)10(5.9)1.4 (0.5-3.8)1.8 (0.3-10.8)Weathindex $1^{st}$ quintile(lowest)30(17.6)45(26.5)1.7 (0.7-4.1)1.4 (0.4-5.3) $2^{st}$ quintile26(15.3)16(9.4)3.6 (1.4-9.2)4.3 (1.1-17.7) $3^{st}$ quintile56(32.9)40(23.5)3.4 (1.5-7.8)3 (1.0-9.7) $4^{th}$ quintile47(27.6)40(23.5)2.9 (1.3-6.9)2.5 (0.7-9.3) $5^{th}$ quintile(highest)11(6.5)29(17.1)11Ver cigarets smoking after starting ARTEver cigarets smoking after starting ART10.7 (4.6-24.6)7.6 (2.3-25.5)No104(61.2)152(95.3)111Ever use of alcohol after starting ARTEver use of alcohol after starting ART4.7 (1.8-12.3)Yes82(48.2)23(13.5)6.9 (3.6-13.4)4.7 (1.8-12.3)No88(51.8)147(86.5)11Ever khat chewing after starting ARTEver khat chewing after starting ARTYes24(14.1)9(5.3)2.7 (1.2-5.7)0.4 (0.1-1.9)No146(85.9)161(94.7)11Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $\leq$ 5 (53.2.9)2.7 (1.2-6.7)0.4 (0.1-1.9)So points83(48.8)114(67.1)11Clane60(35.3)81(47.1)11So points83(48.8)114(67.1)11So points83(48.8)114(67.1)111010.0(4.7)89(52.4)1.7 (1.2-7.0)2.8 (1.3-6.1) <td>Parents</td> <td>13(7.6)</td> <td>26(15.3)</td> <td>0.3 (0.2–0.8)</td> <td>0.4 (0.1–1.7)</td> <td></td>	Parents	13(7.6)	26(15.3)	0.3 (0.2–0.8)	0.4 (0.1–1.7)	
Wealth index1** quintile(lowest)30(17.6)45(26.5)1.7 (0.7-4.1)1.4 (0.4-5.3)2 <sup>nd</sup> quintile26(15.3)16(9.4)3.6 (1.4-9.2)4.3 (1.1-17.7)4 <sup>m</sup> quintile56(32.9)40(23.5)3.4 (1.5-7.8)3 (1.0-9.7)4 <sup>m</sup> quintile47(27.6)40(23.5)2.9 (1.3-6.9)2.5 (0.7-9.3)5 <sup>m</sup> quintile(highest)116.5)2.9 (1.7.1)11Ever cigarette smoking after starting ART10.7 (4.6-24.6)7.6 (2.3-25.5)No104(61.2)162(95.3)11Ever use of alcohol after starting ART23(13.5)6.9 (3.6-13.4)4.7 (1.8-12.3)Yes82(48.2)23(13.5)6.9 (3.6-13.4)4.7 (1.8-12.3)No104(61.2)161(94.7)11Ever use of alcohol after starting ART11Yes24(14.1)9(5.3)2.7 (1.2-5.7)0.4 (0.1-1.9)No146(85.9)161(94.7)11Depression (based on PHQ-9 score)222.1 (1.5-1.7)2.8 (1.3-6.1)Distance to hospital/clinic (kms)60(35.3)81(47.6)11>10110(64.7)89(52.4)1.7 (1.1-2.7)2.1 (0.9-4.6)ART treatment duration (in months)11112-3688(22.4)51(30.0)1112-3688(22.4)51(30.0)11.0 (-1.5)>6044(28.2)54(31.8)1.1 (0.7-2.0)0.8 (0.3-1.9)Adherent duration (in months)12-3556(38.2	Others	19(11.2)	10(5.9)	1.4 (0.5–3.8)	1.8 (0.3–10.8)	
1 <sup>st</sup> quintile         30(17.6)         45(26.5)         1.7 (0.7-4.1)         1.4 (0.4-5.3)           2 <sup>nd</sup> quintile         26(15.3)         16(9.4)         3.6 (1.4-9.2)         4.3 (1.1-17.7)           3 <sup>nd</sup> quintile         56(3.9)         40(23.5)         3.4 (1.5-7.8)         3 (1.0-9.7)           4 <sup>th</sup> quintile         47(27.6)         40(23.5)         2.9 (1.3-6.9)         2.5 (0.7-9.3)           5 <sup>th</sup> quintile(highest)         11(6.5)         29(17.1)         1         1           Ever cigarette smoking after starting ART         7.6 (2.3-25.5)         No         104(61.2)         162(95.3)         1         1           Ever use of alcohol after starting ART         Ver         82(48.2)         23(13.5)         6.9 (3.6-13.4)         4.7 (1.8-12.3)           No         82(48.2)         23(13.5)         6.9 (3.6-13.4)         4.7 (1.8-12.3)           No         82(48.2)         147(86.5)         1         1           Ever start         Ver         95(3.3)         2.7 (1.2-5.7)         0.4 (0.1-1.9)           No         146(85.9)         161(94.7)         1         1           Depression (based on PHQ-9 score)         2         2.4 (1.5-4.0)         2.8 (1.3-6.1)           So points         87(51.2)         56(2.9.	Wealth index					
$2^{nd}$ quintile $26(15.3)$ $16(9.4)$ $3.6(1.4-9.2)$ $4.3(1.1-17.7)$ $3^{nd}$ quintile $56(32.9)$ $40(23.5)$ $3.4(1.5-7.8)$ $3(1.0-9.7)$ $4^{ln}$ quintile $47(27.6)$ $40(23.5)$ $2.9(1.3-6.9)$ $2.5(0.7-9.3)$ $5^{m}$ quintile(highest) $11(6.5)$ $29(1.7.1)$ $1$ $1$ Ever cigarette smoking after starting ART $7.6(2.3-25.5)$ $0.7(4.6-24.6)$ $7.6(2.3-25.5)$ No $66(38.8)$ $8(4.7)$ $10.7(4.6-24.6)$ $7.6(2.3-25.5)$ No $104(61.2)$ $23(13.5)$ $6.9(3.6-13.4)$ $4.7(1.8-12.3)$ No $88(51.8)$ $147(86.5)$ $1$ $1$ Ever use of alcohol after starting ART         Yes $24(14.1)$ $9(5.3)$ $2.7(1.2-5.7)$ $0.4(0.1-1.9)$ No $89(51.8)$ $114(96.7)$ $1$ $1$ $1$ Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $2.7(1.2-5.7)$ $0.4(0.1-1.9)$ $0.4(0.1-1.9)$ Spoints $83(48.8)$ $144(67.1)$ $1$ $1$ $1$ <	1 <sup>st</sup> quintile(lowest)	30(17.6)	45(26.5)	1.7 (0.7–4.1)	1.4 (0.4–5.3)	
$3^{eq}$ quintile $56(32.9)$ $40(23.5)$ $3.4(1.5-7.8)$ $3(1.0-9.7)$ $4^m$ quintile $47(27.6)$ $40(23.5)$ $2.9(1.3-6.9)$ $2.5(0.7-9.3$ $5^m$ quintile(highest) $11(6.5)$ $29(7.1)$ $1$ $1$ Ever cigarette smoking after starting ART $Ver$ $02(3.5)$ $10.7(4.6-24.6)$ $7.6(2.3-25.5)$ No $104(61.2)$ $162(95.3)$ $1$ $1$ Ever use of alcohol after starting ART $Ver$ $Ver$ $Ver$ Yes $82(48.2)$ $23(13.5)$ $6.9(3.6-13.4)$ $4.7(1.8-12.3)$ No $88(51.8)$ $147(86.5)$ $1$ $1$ Ever khat chewing after starting ART $Ver$ $Ver$ $Ver$ Yes $24(14.1)$ $9(5.3)$ $2.7(1.2-5.7)$ $0.4(0.1-1.9)$ No $16(85.9)$ $16(94.7)$ $1$ $1$ Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $Ver$ $Se(32.9)$ $2.4(1.5-4.0)$ $2.8(1.3-6.1)$ Distance to hospital/clinic (kms) $Se(32.9)$ $2.4(1.5-4.0)$ $2.8(1.3-6.1)$ $\leq 10$ $60(35.3)$ $81(47.6)$ $1$ $1$ $>10$ $110(64.7)$ $81(62.4)$ $1.7(1.1-2.7)$ $2.1(0.9-4.6)$ ART treatment duration (in months) $Se(32.2)$ $1.9(1.1-3.3)$ $0.3(0.5-1.5)$ $> 60$ $84(28.2)$ $54(38.2)$ $1.9(1.1-3.3)$ $0.3(0.5-1.5)$ $> 60$ $40(28.2)$ $54(3.8)$ $1.1(0.7-2.0)$ $0.8(0.2-1.9)$ Adherence to ART at current visit $Ver$ $Ver$ $Ver$ $Ver$ $Aetrence to ART at curr$	2 <sup>nd</sup> quintile	26(15.3)	16(9.4)	3.6 (1.4–9.2)	4.3 (1.1–17.7)	
$4^{h}$ quintile47(27.6)40(23.5)2.9 (1.3–6.9)2.5 (0.7–9.3 $5^{m}$ quintile(highest)11(6.5)29(17.1)11Ever cigarette smoking after starting ARTYes66(38.8)8(4.7)10.7 (4.6–24.6)7.6 (2.3–25.5)No104(61.2)162(95.3)11Ever use of alcohol after starting ARTYes82(48.2)23(13.5)6.9 (3.6–13.4)4.7 (1.8–12.3)No88(51.8)147(86.5)11Ever khat chewing after starting ARTYes24(14.1)9(5.3)2.7 (1.2–5.7)0.4 (0.1–1.9)No146(85.9)161(94.7)11Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $\geq 5$ points83(48.8)114(67.1)11 $\leq 5$ points83(48.8)114(67.1)11 $< \leq 10^{-1}$ 60(35.3)81(47.6)11 $>10$ 110(64.7)89(52.4)1.7 (1.1–2.7)2.1 (0.9–4.6)ART treatment duration (in months) $1^{-2.36}$ 38(22.4)51(30.0)11 $1^{-2.36}$ 38(22.4)51(30.0)111 $2^{-36}$ 38(22.4)51(30.0)113 $2^{-60}$ 48(28.2)54(3.8)1.1 (0.7–2.0)0.8 (0.5–1.5) $>60$ 48(28.2)54(3.8)1.1 (0.7–2.0)0.8 (0.5–1.5) $>60$ 48(28.2)54(3.8)1.1 (0.7–2.0)0.8 (0.5–1.5) $>60$ 48(28.2)54(3.8)1.1 (0.7–2.0)0.8 (0.5–1.5) $>60$ <	3 <sup>rd</sup> quintile	56(32.9)	40(23.5)	3.4 (1.5–7.8)	3 (1.0–9.7)	
$5^{h}$ quintile(highest)         11(6.5)         29(17.1)         1         1           Ever cigarette smoking after starting ART $4$ $7.6$ $7.6$ $2.3$ - $25.5$ No         104(61.2)         162(95.3) $10.7$ ( $4.6$ - $24.6$ ) $7.6$ $2.3$ - $25.5$ No         104(61.2)         162(95.3) $1$ $1$ Ever use of alcohol after starting ART $4.7$ ( $1.8$ - $12.3$ ) $4.7$ ( $1.8$ - $12.3$ )           No         88(51.8)         147(86.5) $1$ $1$ Ever use of alcohol after starting ART $7$ $9(5.3)$ $2.7$ ( $1.2$ - $5.7$ ) $0.4$ ( $0.1$ - $1.9$ )           Ves         24(14.1)         9(5.3) $2.7$ ( $1.2$ - $5.7$ ) $0.4$ ( $0.1$ - $1.9$ )           No         146(85.9)         161(94.7) $1$ $1$ Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $25$ points         83(48.8)         114(67.1) $1$ $1$ $\leq 5$ points         83(48.8)         114(67.1) $1$ $1$ $2$ $\leq 5$ points         83(48.8)         114(67.1) $1$ $1$ $\leq 5$ points         83(48.8)         114(67.1) $1$	4 <sup>th</sup> quintile	47(27.6)	40(23.5)	2.9 (1.3–6.9)	2.5 (0.7–9.3	
Ever cigarette smoking after starting ART           Yes         66(38.8)         8(4.7)         10.7 (4.6–24.6)         7.6 (2.3–25.5)           No         104(61.2)         162(95.3)         1         1           Ever use of alcohol after starting ART         Verson         82(48.2)         23(13.5)         6.9 (3.6–13.4)         4.7 (1.8–12.3)           No         88(51.8)         147(86.5)         1         1           Ever khat chewing after starting ART         Verson         24(14.1)         9(5.3)         2.7 (1.2–5.7)         0.4 (0.1–1.9)           No         146(85.9)         161(94.7)         1         1           Depression (based on PHQ-9 score)         Verson         24 (15.4)         9(5.3)         2.4 (1.5–4.0)         0.4 (0.1–1.9)           No         146(85.9)         161(94.7)         1         1         1           Depression (based on PHQ-9 score)         Verson         2.4 (1.5–4.0)         2.8 (1.3–6.1)           So points         87(51.2)         56(32.9)         2.4 (1.5–4.0)         2.8 (1.3–6.1)           Distance to hospital/clinic (kms)         Verson         81(47.6)         1         1           <10         60(35.3)         81(47.6)         1         1         2	5 <sup>th</sup> quintile(highest)	11(6.5)	29(17.1)	1	1	
Yes66(38.8)8(4.7)10.7 (4.6–24.6)7.6 (2.3–25.5)No104(61.2)162(95.3)11Ever use of alcohol after starting ART23(13.5)6.9 (3.6–13.4)4.7 (1.8–12.3)Yes82(48.2)23(13.5)6.9 (3.6–13.4)4.7 (1.8–12.3)No88(51.8)147(86.5)11Ever khat chewing after starting ART111Yes24(14.1)9(5.3)2.7 (1.2–5.7)0.4 (0.1–1.9)No146(85.9)161(94.7)11Depression (based on PHQ-9 score)256(32.9)2.4 (1.5–4.0)25 points83(48.8)114(67.1)11<5 points	Ever cigarette smoking after starting ART					
No104(61.2)162(95.3)11Ever use of alcohol after starting ARTYes82(48.2)23(13.5)6.9 (3.6–13.4)4.7 (1.8–12.3)No88(51.8)147(86.5)11Ever khat chewing after starting ARTYes24(14.1)9(5.3)2.7 (1.2–5.7)0.4 (0.1–1.9)No146(85.9)161(94.7)11Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $\geq$ 5 points83(48.8)114(67.1)11<5 points	Yes	66(38.8)	8(4.7)	10.7 (4.6–24.6)	7.6 (2.3–25.5)	
Ever use of alcohol after starting ARTYes82(48.2)23(13.5)6.9 (3.6–13.4)4.7 (1.8–12.3)No88(51.8)147(66.5)11Ever khat chewing after starting ART9(5.3)2.7 (1.2–5.7)0.4 (0.1–1.9)No146(85.9)161(94.7)11Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $\geq$ 5 points83(48.8)114(67.1)11 $\geq$ 5 points83(48.8)114(67.1)111<5 points	No	104(61.2)	162(95.3)	1	1	
Yes         82(48.2)         23(13.5)         6.9 (3.6–13.4)         4.7 (1.8–12.3)           No         88(51.8)         147(86.5)         1         1           Ever khat chewing after starting ART          1         1           Yes         24(14.1)         9(5.3)         2.7 (1.2–5.7)         0.4 (0.1–1.9)           No         146(85.9)         161(94.7)         1         1           Depression (based on PHQ-9 score)          ≥5 points         83(48.8)         114(67.1)         1         1           <5 points	Ever use of alcohol after starting ART					
No88(51.8)147(86.5)11Ever khat chewing after starting ARTYes24(14.1)9(5.3)2.7 (1.2–5.7)0.4 (0.1–1.9)No146(85.9)161(94.7)11Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $\geq 5$ points83(48.8)114(67.1)11<5 points	Yes	82(48.2)	23(13.5)	6.9 (3.6–13.4)	4.7 (1.8–12.3)	
Ever khat chewing after starting ARTYes24(14.1)9(5.3)2.7 (1.2–5.7)0.4 (0.1–1.9)No146(85.9)161(94.7)11Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $\geq 5$ points83(48.8)114(67.1)11<112.8 (1.3–6.1)Distance to hospital/clinic (kms) $\leq 10$ $60(35.3)$ $81(47.6)$ 11 $\leq 10$ $60(35.3)$ $81(47.6)$ 111>10110(64.7) $89(52.4)$ 1.7 (1.1–2.7)2.1 (0.9–4.6)ART treatment duration (in months) $12-36$ $38(22.4)$ $51(30.0)$ 11 $12-36$ $38(22.4)$ $51(30.0)$ 11.3 (0.5–1.5)>60 $48(28.2)$ $54(31.8)$ 1.1 (0.7–2.0) $0.8 (0.3–1.9)$ Adherence to ART at current visit $40(23.5)$ $162(95.3)$ 111Mon-adherent $40(23.5)$ $8(4.7)$ $5.6 (2.5–12.5)$ $6.8 (2.0–23.0)$	No	88(51.8)	147(86.5)	1	1	
Yes24(14.1)9(5.3)2.7 (1.2–5.7)0.4 (0.1–1.9)No146(85.9)161(94.7)11Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $\geq$ 5 points83(48.8)114(67.1)11<5 points	Ever khat chewing after starting ART					
No146(85.9)161(94.7)11Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $\geq$ 5 points83(48.8)114(67.1)11<5 points	Yes	24(14.1)	9(5.3)	2.7 (1.2–5.7)	0.4 (0.1–1.9)	
Depression (based on PHQ-9 score) $\geq 5$ points83(48.8)114(67.1)11 $< 5$ points87(51.2)56(32.9)2.4 (1.5–4.0)2.8 (1.3–6.1)Distance to hospital/clinic (kms) $\leq 10$ 60(35.3)81(47.6)11>10110(64.7)89(52.4)1.7 (1.1–2.7)2.1 (0.9–4.6)ART treatment duration (in months)12–3638(22.4)51(30.0)1137–6084(49.4)65(38.2)1.9 (1.1–3.3)1.3 (0.5–1.5)>6048(28.2)54(31.8)1.1 (0.7–2.0)0.8 (0.3–1.9)Adherence to ART at current visitAdherent130(76.5)162(95.3)11Non-adherent40(23.5)8(4.7)5.6 (2.5–12.5)6.8 (2.0–23.0)	No	146(85.9)	161(94.7)	1	1	
≥5 points         83(48.8)         114(67.1)         1         1           <5 points	Depression (based on PHQ-9 score)					
<5 points         87(51.2)         56(32.9)         2.4 (1.5–4.0)         2.8 (1.3–6.1)           Distance to hospital/clinic (kms)          1         1           ≤10         60(35.3)         81(47.6)         1         1           >10         110(64.7)         89(52.4)         1.7 (1.1–2.7)         2.1 (0.9–4.6)           ART treatment duration (in months)         1         1         1           12–36         38(22.4)         51(30.0)         1         1           37–60         84(49.4)         65(38.2)         1.9 (1.1–3.3)         1.3 (0.5–1.5)           >60         48(28.2)         54(31.8)         1.1 (0.7–2.0)         0.8 (0.3–1.9)           Adherence to ART at current visit         1         1         1           Adherent         130(76.5)         162(95.3)         1         1           Non-adherent         40(23.5)         8(4.7)         5.6 (2.5–12.5)         6.8 (2.0–23.0)	$\geq$ 5 points	83(48.8)	114(67.1)	1	1	
Distance to hospital/clinic (kms)           ≤10         60(35.3)         81(47.6)         1         1           >10         110(64.7)         89(52.4)         1.7 (1.1–2.7)         2.1 (0.9–4.6)           ART treatment duration (in months)         1         1         1           12–36         38(22.4)         51(30.0)         1         1           37–60         84(49.4)         65(38.2)         1.9 (1.1–3.3)         1.3 (0.5–1.5)           >60         48(28.2)         54(31.8)         1.1 (0.7–2.0)         0.8 (0.3–1.9)           Adherence to ART at current visit         J         J         J           Adherent         130(76.5)         162(95.3)         1         1           Non-adherent         40(23.5)         8(4.7)         5.6 (2.5–12.5)         6.8 (2.0–23.0)	<5 points	87(51.2)	56(32.9)	2.4 (1.5–4.0)	2.8 (1.3–6.1)	
≤10         60(35.3)         81(47.6)         1         1           >10         110(64.7)         89(52.4)         1.7 (1.1–2.7)         2.1 (0.9–4.6)           ART treatment duration (in months)         1         1         1           12–36         38(22.4)         51(30.0)         1         1           37–60         84(49.4)         65(38.2)         1.9 (1.1–3.3)         1.3 (0.5–1.5)           >60         48(28.2)         54(31.8)         1.1 (0.7–2.0)         0.8 (0.3–1.9)           Adherence to ART at current visit         4dherent         130(76.5)         162(95.3)         1         1           Non-adherent         40(23.5)         8(4.7)         5.6 (2.5–12.5)         6.8 (2.0–23.0)	Distance to hospital/clinic (kms)				1	
>10         110(64.7)         89(52.4)         1.7 (1.1–2.7)         2.1 (0.9–4.6)           ART treatment duration (in months)         1         1         1           12–36         38(22.4)         51(30.0)         1         1           37–60         84(49.4)         65(38.2)         1.9 (1.1–3.3)         1.3 (0.5–1.5)           >60         48(28.2)         54(31.8)         1.1 (0.7–2.0)         0.8 (0.3–1.9)           Adherence to ART at current visit	<u>≤</u> 10	60(35.3)	81(47.6)	1	1	
ART treatment duration (in months)         12-36       38(22.4)       51(30.0)       1       1         37-60       84(49.4)       65(38.2)       1.9 (1.1–3.3)       1.3 (0.5–1.5)         >60       48(28.2)       54(31.8)       1.1 (0.7–2.0)       0.8 (0.3–1.9)         Adherence to ART at current visit         Adherent       130(76.5)       162(95.3)       1       1         Non-adherent       40(23.5)       8(4.7)       5.6 (2.5–12.5)       6.8 (2.0–23.0)	>10	110(64.7)	89(52.4)	1.7 (1.1–2.7)	2.1 (0.9–4.6)	
12–36         38(22.4)         51(30.0)         1         1           37–60         84(49.4)         65(38.2)         1.9 (1.1–3.3)         1.3 (0.5–1.5)           >60         48(28.2)         54(31.8)         1.1 (0.7–2.0)         0.8 (0.3–1.9)           Adherence to ART at current visit	ART treatment duration (in months)					
37-60         84(49.4)         65(38.2)         1.9 (1.1-3.3)         1.3 (0.5-1.5)           >60         48(28.2)         54(31.8)         1.1 (0.7-2.0)         0.8 (0.3-1.9)           Adherence to ART at current visit	12–36	38(22.4)	51(30.0)	1	1	
>60         48(28.2)         54(31.8)         1.1 (0.7–2.0)         0.8 (0.3–1.9)           Adherence to ART at current visit         Adherent         130(76.5)         162(95.3)         1         1           Non-adherent         40(23.5)         8(4.7)         5.6 (2.5–12.5)         6.8 (2.0–23.0)	37–60	84(49.4)	65(38.2)	1.9 (1.1–3.3)	1.3 (0.5–1.5)	
Adherence to ART at current visit         130(76.5)         162(95.3)         1         1           Non-adherent         40(23.5)         8(4.7)         5.6 (2.5–12.5)         6.8 (2.0–23.0)	>60	48(28.2)	54(31.8)	1.1 (0.7–2.0)	0.8 (0.3–1.9)	
Adherent         130(76.5)         162(95.3)         1         1           Non-adherent         40(23.5)         8(4.7)         5.6 (2.5–12.5)         6.8 (2.0–23.0)	Adherence to ART at current visit				1	
Non-adherent         40(23.5)         8(4.7)         5.6 (2.5–12.5)         6.8 (2.0–23.0)	Adherent	130(76.5)	162(95.3)	1	1	
	Non-adherent	40(23.5)	8(4.7)	5.6 (2.5–12.5)	6.8 (2.0–23.0)	

#### Table 2. Psychosocial correlates of nutritional status among adult PLHIV on ART in Central zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia, March 2014.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0174082.t002

background in order to provide them appropriate health care, psychological support and improve ART outcomes.

The odds of malnutrition after starting ART among people living with HIV who ever smoked cigarette was nearly eight-fold higher when compared to their matched counterparts. This is in line with the finding of a study conducted by Petrosillo and Cicalini in Rome [55], indicating that smoking confers different mortality risks in HIV-positive patients which can result in significantly increased mortality among HIV-positive patients who smoke than their counterparts as evidenced by several studies [56–60]. Malnutrition may be one of the risks that cause greater morbidity and mortality risk among HIV-positive patients who smoke. Malnutrition among HIV-positive patients who smoke may be related to the fact that smokers encounter reduced appetite and disrupted body's natural energy balance [46,47]. Similarly, HIV-positive patients who smoke have an increased risk of different gastrointestinal tract problems that can lower dietary intake and eventually result in malnutrition [56,61]. This is also supported by the finding from a study among HIV-infected Japanese men which identified reducing smoking as an imperative strategy in enhancing disease management efforts by reducing co-morbidities like malnutrition that increase mortality risk [60]. It could be also explained due to the association of smoking and other substance use such as alcohol, khat, cocaine, heroin marijuana etc [36-38,47], which can have synergetic effect on nutritional status of people living with HIV on ART. On the other way round, smoking in HIV-infected patients increase risk of getting bacterial pneumonia, pulmonary tuberculosis, pneumocystis carinii pneumonia (PCP), oral and esophageal candidiasis and cardiovascular disorders [56,58,61–63], which in turn may result in malnutrition. This suggests that HIV care and support programs should address psychosocial factors.

Depression was significantly associated with malnutrition among people living with HIV enrolled on ART in this study. Among those people living with HIV enrolled on ART who were depressed, the risk of malnutrition was almost three-folds higher compared to their matched counterparts. According to Leserman J et al depression accelerates disease progression among people living with HIV [64], which can affect their appetite, food security status and eventually results in malnutrition in particular and lower quality of life in general [65–69]. It may be also due to the association of depression and substance use such as alcohol, khat, cigarette smoking, etc [36–38], which can have synergetic effect of on nutritional status of people living with HIV on ART. On the other way round, malnutrition may also result in depression which in turn can exacerbate the nutritional status. This finding suggests a need to establish and strengthen programs that target psychosocial problems and nutritional status among people living with HIV enrolled on ART.

Furthermore, people living with HIV enrolled on ART who were non-adherent to ART were about 6.8 times at risk of malnutrition compared to their matched counterparts. This may be due to the negative impact of poor ART adherence on viral suppression and disease progression which may eventually result in malnutrition [10,20,47]. On the other way round, results from other similar studies also indicated that malnutrition is associated with non-adherence to ART among HIV-infected individuals on ART [18]. Another similarly matched case-control study by Berhe et al in Ethiopia, showed that people living with HIV enrolled on ART who were malnourished were far more likely to be non-adherent to ART compared to their matched counterparts [13]. This is strengthened further by findings a of study from Zambia which indicated that clinic-based food assistance is associated with increased medication adherence among HIV-infected adults on long-term antiretroviral therapy [19,20]. So, both malnutrition and poor adherence can affect one another and their synergetic effect may result in poor viral suppression [10,20]. The implication is adherence to ART and nutritional status of people living with HIV enrolled on ART should be considered in the HIV care and support programs.

Those people living with HIV enrolled on ART in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quintile wealth status were 4.3 times more likely malnourished than those in the 5<sup>th</sup> quintile wealth status. This is supported by the study from Democratic Republic of Congo which indicated poor social class was associated with malnutrition among people living with HIV enrolled on antiretroviral treatment [70]. Evidence obtained from a clinical cohort in Uganda also indicated that socioeconomic factors are determinants of poverty and then mortality among people living with HIV enrolled on ART [71]. Similarly, very poor economic status was significantly associated with malnutrition in a study done in Dilla University Referral Hospital and in Gondar Referral Hospital, Ethiopia [27,30]. This may be due to the fact that poor socioeconomic status leads to lack of access to food resulting in malnutrition.

Generally, in addition to the negative consequences of clinical and dietary factors on nutritional status of people living with HIV enrolled on ART shown in other studies, this study elucidated psychosocial correlates of nutritional status among people living with HIV enrolled on ART care. However, this study has its own drawbacks. Our study design which is observational design provides statistical associations of psychosocial factors with nutritional status which cannot establish whether low BMI followed or preceded psychosocial factors studied. Since the study addressed some socially sensitive questions, in order to obtain a reliable data, respondents were well informed about the purpose of the study and they were reassured about confidentiality and anonymity. However, this study is still not free from perceived social desirability of responses and recall biases. Moreover, even if efforts were made to address important psychosocial aspects, it was not possible to gather comprehensive data which include all relevant factors exhaustively like the amount of alcohol and number of cigarettes taken in a specified period.

# Conclusion

This study determined ever consuming alcohol and ever smoking cigarette after starting ART, depression, not adhering to ART and being in the second lowest wealth quintile as significantly associated with malnutrition among people living with HIV enrolled on ART. Therefore Policies, strategies, and programs targeting people living with HIV should consider psychosocial factors that can impact nutritional status of people living with HIV enrolled on ART. Short and long term nutritional interventions targeting people living with HIV enrolled on ART also should focus on those with psychosocial problems especially in food and nutrition insecure areas. Another study that can address all relevant dimensions of the psychosocial issue is recommended.

# Acknowledgments

Aksum University is acknowledged for funding this research work. We are also grateful for the hospital administrations, ART clinics in the respective hospitals and the study participants for providing us the necessary information.

# **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: NBW. Formal analysis: NBW EHA MGW KHM. Funding acquisition: NBW. Investigation: NBW EHA. Methodology: NBW EHA. Project administration: NBW.

Supervision: NBW EHA MGW KHM.

Validation: NBW EHA MGW KHM.

Visualization: NBW EHA MGW KHM.

Writing – original draft: NBW EHA MGW KHM.

Writing - review & editing: NBW EHA MGW KHM.

#### References

- 1. Public Health Aspects of HIV/AIDS in Low and Middle Income Countries—Springer [Internet]. [cited 2016 Feb 12]. http://link.springer.com/book/10.1007%2F978-0-387-72711-0
- WHO/HIV/AIDS [Internet]. WHO. 2015 [cited 2015 Nov 1]. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/ fs360/en/
- 3. UNAIDS UN. The gap report. Geneva, Switzerland; 2014.
- Country Progress Report on the HIV Response, 2014—ETH\_narrative\_report\_2014.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2015 Nov 2]. http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/country/documents/ETH\_narrative\_report\_ 2014.pdf
- Ministry of Health, ICF International. Ethiopian demographic and health survey 2011 report. Central Statistical Agency;
- WHO U. Global update on HIV treatment 2013: results, impact and opportunities. Geneva, Switzerland; 2013.
- Braitstein P, Brinkhof MWG, Dabis F, Schechter M, Boulle A, Miotti P, et al. Mortality of HIV-1-infected patients in the first year of antiretroviral therapy: comparison between low-income and high-income countries. Lancet. 2006 Mar 11; 367(9513):817–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68337-2 PMID: 16530575
- Chan KCW, Wong KH, Lee SS. Universal decline in mortality in patients with advanced HIV-1 disease in various demographic subpopulations after the introduction of HAART in Hong Kong, from 1993 to 2002. HIV Med. 2006 Apr; 7(3):186–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1293.2006.00352.x</u> PMID: 16494633
- Coetzee D, Hildebrand K, Boulle A, Maartens G, Louis F, Labatala V, et al. Outcomes after two years of providing antiretroviral treatment in Khayelitsha, South Africa. AIDS. 2004 Apr 9; 18(6):887–95. PMID: 15060436
- Ivers LC, Cullen KA, Freedberg KA, Block S, Coates J, Webb P. HIV/AIDS, undernutrition, and food insecurity. Clin Infect Dis. 2009 Oct 1; 49(7):1096–102. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/605573</u> PMID: 19725790
- 11. WFP, WHO, UNAIDS. HIV, Food Security and Nutrition: Policy Brief. UNAIDS; 2008.
- Custodio JM, Yin X, Hepner M, Ling KHJ, Cheng A, Kearney BP, et al. Effect of food on rilpivirine/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, an antiretroviral single-tablet regimen for the treatment of HIV infection. J Clin Pharmacol. 2014 Apr; 54(4):378–85. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcph.210 PMID: 24142299
- Berhe N, Tegabu D, Alemayehu M. Effect of nutritional factors on adherence to antiretroviral therapy among HIV-infected adults: a case control study in Northern Ethiopia. BMC Infect Dis. 2013 May 23; 13 (1):1–9.
- van Lettow M, Fawzi WW, Semba RD. Triple trouble: the role of malnutrition in tuberculosis and human immunodeficiency virus co-infection. Nutr Rev. 2003 Mar; 61(3):81–90. PMID: 12723640
- Palermo T, Rawat R, Weiser SD, Kadiyala S. Food Access and Diet Quality Are Associated with Quality of Life Outcomes among HIV-Infected Individuals in Uganda. PLoS ONE. 2013 Apr 18; 8(4):e62353. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062353 PMID: 23638049
- FANTA, WFP. Food Assistance Programming in context of HIV. FANTA Project: Academy for Educational Development; 2007.
- Bukusuba J, Kikafunda JK, Whitehead RG. Food security status in households of people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) in a Ugandan urban setting. Br J Nutr. 2007 Jul; 98(1):211–7. https://doi.org/10. 1017/S0007114507691806 PMID: 17381879
- 18. Musumari PM, Wouters E, Kayembe PK, Kiumbu Nzita M, Mbikayi SM, Suguimoto SP, et al. Food insecurity is associated with increased risk of non-adherence to antiretroviral therapy among HIV-infected

adults in the Democratic Republic of Congo: a cross-sectional study. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9(1):e85327. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085327 PMID: 24454841

- Tirivayi N, Koethe JR, Groot W. Clinic-Based Food Assistance is Associated with Increased Medication Adherence among HIV-Infected Adults on Long-Term Antiretroviral Therapy in Zambia. J AIDS Clin Res. 2012; 3(7):171. PMID: 23227443
- 20. Kalichman SC, Washington C, Grebler T, Hoyt G, Welles B, Kegler C, et al. Medication Adherence and Health Outcomes of People Living with HIV Who Are Food Insecure and Prescribed Antiretrovirals That Should Be Taken with Food. Infect Dis Ther. 2015 Jan 23;
- Koethe JR, Heimburger DC. Nutritional aspects of HIV-associated wasting in sub-Saharan Africa. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010 Apr 1; 91(4):1138S–1142S. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2010.28608D PMID: 20147470
- 22. Sieleunou I, Souleymanou M, Schönenberger A-M, Menten J, Boelaert M. Determinants of survival in AIDS patients on antiretroviral therapy in a rural centre in the Far-North Province, Cameroon. Trop Med Int Health. 2009 Jan; 14(1):36–43. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2008.02183.x PMID: 19017309
- Zachariah R, Fitzgerald M, Massaquoi M, Pasulani O, Arnould L, Makombe S, et al. Risk factors for high early mortality in patients on antiretroviral treatment in a rural district of Malawi. AIDS. 2006 Nov 28; 20(18):2355–60. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e32801086b0 PMID: 17117022
- Etard J-F, Ndiaye I, Thierry-Mieg M, Guèye NFN, Guèye PM, Lanièce I, et al. Mortality and causes of death in adults receiving highly active antiretroviral therapy in Senegal: a 7-year cohort study. AIDS. 2006 May 12; 20(8):1181–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.aids.0000226959.87471.01 PMID: 16691070
- 25. Thapa R, Amatya A, Pahari DP, Bam K, Newman MS. Nutritional status and its association with quality of life among people living with HIV attending public anti-retroviral therapy sites of Kathmandu Valley, Nepal. AIDS Research and Therapy. 2015 May 3; 12(1):14.
- Mekuria Asnakew CH. Malnutrition and Associated Factors among Adult Individuals Receiving Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy in Health Facilities of Hosanna Town, Southern Ethiopia. OALib. 2015; 2 (1):1–12.
- Hailemariam S, Bune GT, Ayele HT. Malnutrition: Prevalence and its associated factors in People living with HIV/AIDS, in Dilla University Referral Hospital. Archives of Public Health. 2013; 71(1):13. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1186/0778-7367-71-13 PMID: 23759075
- Gedle D, Gelaw B, Muluye D, Mesele M. Prevalence of malnutrition and its associated factors among adult people living with HIV/AIDS receiving anti-retroviral therapy at Butajira Hospital, southern Ethiopia. BMC Nutrition. 2015 Feb 17; 1(1):5.
- Hadgu TH, Worku W, Tetemke D, Berhe H. Undernutrition among HIV positive women in Humera hospital, Tigray, Ethiopia, 2013: antiretroviral therapy alone is not enough, cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2013 Oct 9; 13(1):943.
- Wasie B, Kebede Y, Yibrie A. NUTRITIONAL STATUS OF ADULTS LIVING WITH HIV/AIDS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF GONDAR REFERRAL HOSPITAL, NORTHWEST ETHIOPIA. Ethiopian Journal of Health and Biomedical Science [Internet]. 2014 Jul 2 [cited 2015 Nov 4]; 3(1). http://www.uog.edu.et/ journals/index.php/ejhms/article/view/48
- Fufa H, Umeta M, Taffesse S, Mokhtar N, Aguenaou H. Nutritional and immunological status and their associations among HIV-infected adults in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Food Nutr Bull. 2009 Sep; 30 (3):227–32. https://doi.org/10.1177/156482650903000303 PMID: 19927602
- Daniel M, Mazengia F, Birhanu D. Nutritional status and associated factors among adult HIV/AIDS clients in Felege Hiwot Referral Hospital, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. http://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/j/ sjph. 2013 1): 24–31; 1(1):24–31.
- Demeke B, Chanie T. Adherence to antiretroviral therapy and associated factors among patients living with HIV/AIDS in Dessie Referral Hospital, Northern Ethiopia. International Journal of Pharma Sciences and Research. 2014; 5(9):572–81.
- Tiyou A, Belachew T, Alemseged F, Biadgilign S. Predictors of adherence to antiretroviral therapy among people living with HIV/AIDS in resource-limited setting of southwest ethiopia. AIDS Res Ther. 2010; 7:39. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-6405-7-39 PMID: 21034506
- **35.** Idindili B, Jullu B, Mugusi F, Tanner M. A case-control study of factors associated with non-adherent to antiretroviral therapy among HIV infected people in Pwani Region, eastern Tanzania. Tanzania Journal of Health Research. 2012; 14(3).
- Soboka M, Tesfaye M, Feyissa GT, Hanlon C. Alcohol use disorders and associated factors among people living with HIV who are attending services in south west Ethiopia. BMC Research Notes. 2014 Nov 24; 7(1):828.
- Soboka M, Tesfaye M, Feyissa GT, Hanlon C. Khat use in people living with HIV: a facility-based crosssectional survey from South West Ethiopia. BMC Psychiatry. 2015 Apr 3; 15(1):69.

- Shuter J, Bernstein SL, Moadel AB. Cigarette smoking behaviors and beliefs in persons living with HIV/ AIDS. Am J Health Behav. 2012 Jan; 36(1):75–85. PMID: 22251785
- Ethiopian Ministry of Agriculture and rural development, FAO. Nutrition care and support for PLHIV a training course for use in Ethiopia. 2005.
- Godfrey X. Healthy Eating for Better Living: A Manual on Nutrition and HIV/AIDS for Healthcare Workers in the Caribbean. Cajanus; 2004.
- Ferro-Luzzi A, Sette S, Franklin M, James WP. A simplified approach of assessing adult chronic energy deficiency. Eur J Clin Nutr. 1992 Mar; 46(3):173–86. PMID: 1559522
- Bailey KV, Ferro-Luzzi A. Use of body mass index of adults in assessing individual and community nutritional status. Bull World Health Organ. 1995; 73(5):673–80. PMID: 8846494
- 43. Ethiopian Federal Ministry Of Health (FMOH). National Guidelines for HIV/AIDS and Nutrition. 2008.
- 44. Amico KR, Simoni J, Wilson I, Malow RM, Devieux J, Deschamps A. Monitoring Patient Adherence: Recommendations from and for Practice. In 2009.
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med. 2001 Sep; 16(9):606–13. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x PMID: 11556941
- Molina PE, Bagby GJ, Nelson S. Biomedical Consequences of Alcohol Use Disorders in the HIV-Infected Host Invited Review. Curr HIV Res. 2014; 12(4):265–75. PMID: 25053365
- Agudelo M, Khatavkar P, Yndart A, Yoo C, Rosenberg R, Dévieux JG, et al. Alcohol Abuse and HIV Infection: Role of DRD2. Curr HIV Res. 2014; 12(4):234–42. PMID: 25053368
- Amedee AM, Nichols WA, Robichaux S, Bagby GJ, Nelson S. Chronic alcohol abuse and HIV disease progression: studies with the non-human primate model. Curr HIV Res. 2014; 12(4):243–53. PMID: 25053367
- Azar MM, Springer SA, Meyer JP, Altice FL. A systematic review of the impact of alcohol use disorders on HIV treatment outcomes, adherence to antiretroviral therapy and health care utilization. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2010 Dec 1; 112(3):178–93. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2010.06.014</u> PMID: 20705402
- Bagby GJ, Amedee AM, Siggins RW, Molina PE, Nelson S, Veazey RS. Alcohol and HIV Effects on the Immune System. Alcohol Res. 2015; 37(2):287–97. PMID: 26695751
- Wasti SP, Simkhada P, Randall J, Freeman JV, van Teijlingen E. Factors Influencing Adherence to Antiretroviral Treatment in Nepal: A Mixed-Methods Study. PLoS ONE. 2012 May 1; 7(5):e35547. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035547 PMID: 22563464
- Hendershot CS, Stoner SA, Pantalone DW, Simoni JM. Alcohol use and antiretroviral adherence: review and meta-analysis. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2009 Oct 1; 52(2):180–202. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0b013e3181b18b6e</u> PMID: 19668086
- Jaquet A, Ekouevi DK, Bashi J, Aboubakrine M, Messou E, Maiga M, et al. Alcohol use and non-adherence to antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected patients in West Africa. Addiction. 2010 Aug; 105(8):1416– 21. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2010.02978.x PMID: 20528816
- 54. Yaya I, Landoh DE, Saka B, Patchali PM, Wasswa P, Aboubakari A, et al. Predictors of adherence to antiretroviral therapy among people living with HIV and AIDS at the regional hospital of Sokodé, Togo. BMC Public Health. 2014; 14:1308. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-1308 PMID: 25526773
- 55. Petrosillo N, Cicalini S. Smoking and HIV: time for a change? BMC Medicine. 2013 Jan 22; 11(1):16.
- Lifson AR, Neuhaus J, Arribas JR, van den Berg-Wolf M, Labriola AM, Read TRH, et al. Smokingrelated health risks among persons with HIV in the Strategies for Management of Antiretroviral Therapy clinical trial. Am J Public Health. 2010 Oct; 100(10):1896–903. <u>https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2009</u>. 188664 PMID: 20724677
- Crothers K, Goulet JL, Rodriguez-Barradas MC, Gibert CL, Oursler KAK, Goetz MB, et al. Impact of cigarette smoking on mortality in HIV-positive and HIV-negative veterans. AIDS Educ Prev. 2009 Jun; 21 (3 Suppl):40–53. https://doi.org/10.1521/aeap.2009.21.3\_supp.40 PMID: 19537953
- Helleberg M, May MT, Ingle SM, Dabis F, Reiss P, Fätkenheuer G, et al. Smoking and life expectancy among HIV-infected individuals on antiretroviral therapy in Europe and North America. AIDS. 2015 Jan 14; 29(2):221–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.000000000000540 PMID: 25426809
- Valiathan R, Miguez MJ, Patel B, Arheart KL, Asthana D. Tobacco smoking increases immune activation and impairs T-cell function in HIV infected patients on antiretrovirals: a cross-sectional pilot study. PLoS ONE. 2014; 9(5):e97698. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097698 PMID: 24842313
- Oka F, Naito T, Oike M, Saita M, Inui A, Uehara Y, et al. Influence of smoking on HIV infection among HIV-infected Japanese men. J Infect Chemother. 2013 Jun; 19(3):542–4. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10156-012-0489-1</u> PMID: 23073649

- 61. Soysa NS, Ellepola ANB. The impact of cigarette/tobacco smoking on oral candidosis: An overview. Oral Diseases. 2005 Aug 31; 11(5):268–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-0825.2005.01115.x PMID: 16120112
- van Zyl Smit RN, Pai M, Yew WW, Leung CC, Zumla A, Bateman ED, et al. Global lung health: the colliding epidemics of tuberculosis, tobacco smoking, HIV and COPD. Eur Respir J. 2010 Jan; 35(1):27–33. https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00072909 PMID: 20044459
- Miguez-Burbano MJ, Ashkin D, Rodriguez A, Duncan R, Pitchenik A, Quintero N, et al. Increased risk of Pneumocystis carinii and community-acquired pneumonia with tobacco use in HIV disease. Int J Infect Dis. 2005 Jul; 9(4):208–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2004.07.010 PMID: 15916913
- Leserman J, Jackson ED, Petitto JM, Golden RN, Silva SG, Perkins DO, et al. Progression to AIDS: the effects of stress, depressive symptoms, and social support. Psychosom Med. 1999 Jun; 61(3):397– 406. PMID: 10367622
- Vogenthaler NS, Hadley C, Rodriguez AE, Valverde EE, del Rio C, Metsch LR. Depressive symptoms and food insufficiency among HIV-infected crack users in Atlanta and Miami. AIDS Behav. 2011 Oct; 15 (7):1520–6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-010-9668-1 PMID: 20099017
- Weiser SD, Bangsberg DR, Kegeles S, Ragland K, Kushel MB, Frongillo EA. Food insecurity among homeless and marginally housed individuals living with HIV/AIDS in San Francisco. AIDS Behav. 2009 Oct; 13(5):841–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-009-9597-z PMID: 19644748
- 67. Riley ED, Neilands TB, Moore K, Cohen J, Bangsberg DR, Havlir D. Social, structural and behavioral determinants of overall health status in a cohort of homeless and unstably housed HIV-infected men. PLoS ONE. 2012; 7(4):e35207. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035207 PMID: 22558128
- Reis RK, Haas VJ, Santos CB dos, Teles SA, Galvão MTG, Gir E. Symptoms of depression and quality of life of people living with HIV/AIDS. Rev Lat Am Enfermagem. 2011 Aug; 19(4):874–81. PMID: 21876938
- 69. Charles B, Jeyaseelan L, Pandian AK, Sam AE, Thenmozhi M, Jayaseelan V. Association between stigma, depression and quality of life of people living with HIV/AIDS (PLHA) in South India—a community based cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2012 Jun 21; 12(1):463.
- 70. Tshingani K. S C. Vulnerability factors for malnutrition among people living with HIV under antiretroviral treatment in an outpatient clinic: Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo. HIV & AIDS Review. 2013; 13(1).
- Burkey MD, Weiser SD, Fehmie D, Alamo-Talisuna S, Sunday P, Nannyunja J, et al. Socioeconomic determinants of mortality in HIV: evidence from a clinical cohort in Uganda. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2014 May 1; 66(1):41–7. https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.00000000000094 PMID: 24378727