J. Phycol. 57, 1805–1809 (2021)

© 2021 The Authors. *Journal of Phycology* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Phycological Society of America This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. DOI: 10.1111/jpy.13211

NOTE

THE CELL WALL POLYSACCHARIDES OF A PHOTOSYNTHETIC RELATIVE OF APICOMPLEXANS, $\it CHROMERA~VELIA^1$

Giada Tortorelli \bigcirc^2

School of Biosciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville 3010, Victoria, Australia

Filomena Pettolino

CSIRO Agriculture and Food, Canberra 2601, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

De-Hua Lai

State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol, Center for Parasitic Organisms, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China

Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Aleš Tomčala

Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

Antony Bacic

Department of Animal, Plant & Soil Sciences, La Trobe Institute for Agriculture and Food, La Trobe University, AgriBio Building, Bundoora, Victoria, Australia

Miroslav Oborník, Julius Lukeš

Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre, Czech Academy of Sciences, 370 05 České Budějovice, Czech Republic Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, 37005 České Budějovice, Czech Republic

and Geoffrey I. McFadden

School of Biosciences, The University of Melbourne, Parkville 3010, Victoria, Australia

Chromerids are a group of alveolates, found in corals, that show peculiar morphological and genomic features. These organisms are evolutionary placed inbetween symbiotic dinoflagellates and parasitic apicomplexans. There are two known species of chromerids: Chromera velia and Vitrella brassicaformis. Here, the biochemical composition of the C. velia cell wall was analyzed. Several polysaccharides adorn this structure, with glucose being the most abundant monosaccharide (approx. 80%) and predominantly 4linked (approx. 60%), suggesting that the chromerids cell wall is mostly cellulosic. The presence of cellulose was cytochemically confirmed with calcofluor white staining of the algal cell. The remaining wall polysaccharides, assuming structures are similar to those of higher plants, are indicative of a mixture galactans, xyloglucans, of heteroxylans, and heteromannans. The present work provides, for the first time, insights into the outermost layers of the photosynthetic alveolate *C. velia*.

Key index words: Alveolata; calcofluor white; cell wall; cellulose; *Chromera velia*; chromerids; monosaccharide linkage analysis

Abbreviations: Ara, arabinose; CW, calcofluor white; Fuc, fucose; Gal, galactose; Glc, glucose; Man, mannose; Rha, rhamnose; SEM, standard error of the mean; Xyl, xylose

Chromerids are photosynthetic unicellular eukaryotes of the superphylum Alveolata (Moore et al. 2008, Cavalier-Smith 2018). Originally isolated from Australian scleractinian corals (Moore et al. 2008), the chromerids are also free-living and are now known to be globally distributed (Mathur et al. 2018). There are two described species of chromerids: *Chromera velia* isolated from the coral *Plesiastrea*

¹Received 13 May 2021. Accepted 24 August 2021.

²Author for correspondence: email giadatortorelli@gmail.com. Editorial Responsibility: P. Kroth (Associate Editor)

versipora in Sydney Harbor (Moore et al. 2008), and *Vitrella brassicaformis* from the Great Barrier Reef coral *Leptastrea purpurea* (Oborník et al. 2012).

When first discovered (Moore et al. 2008), Chromera was hailed as a missing link that represents a transition form between symbiotic dinoflagellates (with a photosynthetic plastid) and parasitic apicomplexans (with a relict, non-photosynthetic plastid, referred to as an apicoplast; McFadden and Waller 1997, Okamoto and McFadden 2008, Janouškovec et al. 2010, Weatherby and Carter 2013). Indeed, analysis of C. velia and Vitrella brassicaformis plastid and nuclear genomes confirms a common origin of apicomplexan, chromerid, and dinoflagellate (peridinin) plastids from a complex red algal endosymbiont (Janouškovec et al. 2010, Oborník and Lukeš 2013, Woo et al. 2015). Chromerids, together with colpodellids, constitute the Apicomonada, an important major group of alveolate protists (Cavalier-Smith 2018), and they hold a key position in eukaryotic diversity.

Major groups of algae are characterized by signature morphologies (e.g., flagellar apparatuses and mitotic mechanisms), photosynthetic pigments (unique combinations of chlorophylls and accessory pigments), and their wall materials (e.g., cellulose, agar, carageenans, alginate, fucoidans, glycoproteins, calcium carbonate, silica, and others; Popper et al. 2011, Synytsya et al. 2015). Chromerids exhibit unique combinations of morphology (Oborník et al. 2011, 2016), pigments (Moore et al. 2008), and genome organizations (Janouškovec et al. 2010, Flegontov et al. 2015, Woo et al. 2015), but as yet there is no biochemical description of their cell walls. Here, we provide the first analysis of the chromerid cell walls with a monosaccharide linkage analysis, and cytochemical confirmation for the presence of a predominantly cellulosic wall.

To obtain a broad view of polysaccharides of cell wall preparations in Chromeravelia, we applied monosaccharide linkage analysis to isolated cell walls (Pettolino et al. 2012). Chromera velia cultures (CCMP2878) were grown in f/2 medium under constant temperature (26°C), 12:12 h light: dark photoperiod cycle, and $30-50 \ \mu mol \ photons \ m^{-2}$ $\cdot s^{-1}$ of light. Two replicates of 3×10^6 cells \cdot mL⁻¹ were used in the study to isolate cell walls. Cell aliquots were suspended in 0.6 M sorbitol, 20 mM Tris HCl pH 7.4, and 2 mM EDTA and processed (three cycles) in a French press at 35,000 psi. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 2,000g, and the supernatant spun at 17,000g on 10-50% Optiprep[™] (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) gradient for 10 min. Specimens for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were prepared and visualized as in Moore et al. 2008, and purity of the C. velia cell walls was confirmed microscopically (Fig. 1, B and C). After washing twice with 80% ethanol, cell walls were analyzed by monosaccharide linkage analysis as described by Pettolino et al. (2012).

The cell wall comprised the following monosaccharides in decreasing abundance: glucose (Glc), galactose (Gal), mannose (Man), xylose (Xyl), fucose (Fuc), rhamnose (Rha), and arabinose (Ara; Table 1). Whereas glucose is overwhelmingly the dominant monosaccharide in the cell wall fraction ($\sim 80\%$), a total sugar analysis of the ethanol extract of whole cells (data not shown) revealed that



FIG. 1. (A) Transmission electron microscopy of a cross-section of *Chromera velia* coccoid stage and zoom in to show the thick cell wall surrounding the cell. a = alveoli; cw = cell wall; mc = microtubules; mt = mitochondrion; n = nucleus; pm = plasma membrane. B) Transmission electron microscopy and C) light microscopy of isolated*C. velia*cell wall residues. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 1.	Monosaccharide lin	kage composition	(Mol %)	of
Chromera	velia cell walls analy	zed in duplicates.		

Monosaccharide	Deduced linkage ^a	Mol%	SD
Araf	5-	1.0	0.3
Fuc <i>p</i>	terminal	1.0	0.1
1	2-	0.4	0
	4-	0.3	0
	Total	1.7	
Gal <i>p</i>	terminal	2.7	0.4
1	2-	2.4	0.8
	4-	2.4	0.3
	6-	0.8	0.1
	Total	8.3	
Glcp	terminal	9.1	0.7
1	2-	0.6	0.1
	3-	0.2	0.1
	4-	60.6	4.1
	2,3-	0.9	0
	2,4-	0.6	0.1
	3,4-	1.0	0.3
	4,6-	4.7	1.1
	3,4,6-	0.5	0.2
	2,3,4,6-	1.7	0.7
	Total	79.7	
Man þ	terminal	0.9	0.3
1	2-	0.5	0
	4-	0.5	0.5
	3.6-	0.5	0.4
	2.4.6-	0.7	0.4
	Total	3.0	
Rha <i>b</i>	2.4-	0.4	0.5
Xvlb	terminal	3.2	1.1
7 F	4-	2.0	0
	2.4-	0.7	0.2
	Total	59	

SD = standard deviation.

^aLinkages were deduced from 1,5-di-O-acetyl-6-deoxy-2,3,4tri-O-methyl fucitol; 1,2,5-tri-O-acetyl-6-deoxy-3,4-di-O-methyl fucitol: 1,4,5-tri-O-acetyl-6-deoxy-2,3-di-O-methyl fucitol: 1,2,4,5-tri-O-acetyl-6-deoxy-3-O-methyl rhamnitol; 1,4,5-tri-Oacetyl-2,3-di-*O*-methyl arabinitol; 1,5-di-O-acetyl-2,3,4-tri-Omethyl xylitol; 1,4,5-tri-O-acetyl-2,3-di-O-methyl xylitol; 1,2,4,5tetra-O-acetyl-3-O-methyl xylitol; 1,5-di-O-acetyl-2,3,4,6-tetra-Omethyl mannitol; 1,2,5-tri-O-acetyl-3,4,6-tri-O-methyl mannitol; 1,4,5-tri-O-acetyl-2,3,6-tri-O-methyl mannitol; 1,3,5,6-tetra-Oacetyl- 2,4-di-O-methyl mannitol; 1,2,4,5,6-penta-O-acetyl-3-Omethyl mannitol; 1,5-di-O-acetyl-2,3,4,6-tetra-O-methyl galactitol; 1,2,5-tri-O-acetyl-3,4,6-tri-O-methyl galactitol; 1,4,5-tri-Oacetyl-2,3,6-tri-O-methyl galactitol; 1,5,6-tri-O-acetyl-2,3,4-tri-Omethyl galactitol; 1,5-di-O-acetyl-2,3,4,6-tetra-O-methyl glucitol; 1,2,5-tri-O-acetyl-3,4,6-tri-O-methyl glucitol; 1,3,5-tri-O-acetyl-2,4,6-tri-O-methyl glucitol; 1,4,5-tri-O-acetyl-2,3,6-tri-O-methyl glucitol; 1,2,3,5-tetra-O-acetyl-4,6-di-O-methyl glucitol; 1,2,4,5tetra-O-acetyl-3,6-di-O-methyl glucitol; 1,3,4,5-tetra-O-acetyl-2,6di-O-methyl glucitol; 1,4,5,6-tetra-O-acetyl-2,3-di-O-methyl glucitol; 1,3,4,5,6-penta-O-acetyl-2-O-methyl glucitol; and hexa-Oacetyl glucitol.

glucose constituted just 12% of *Chromeravelia* soluble total sugars. This suggests that polymeric glucose is preferentially accumulated in the *C. velia* cell wall.

The monosaccharide linkage composition of *Chromeravelia* cell wall, deduced from the linkage analysis, is reported in Table 1, with 4-Glc being the predominant linkage type (61% of 80% total), which is indicative of a cell wall of *C. velia* is composed predominantly of cellulose, a β -1,4-linked-Glc

polymer that is also the predominant fibrillar polysaccharide of all plant species and some bacteria and fungi. To further confirm the cellulosic nature of C. velia cell wall, we used calcofluor white, a fluorescent stain indicative for β -1,4 linkages, to probe the cell walls of intact cells. Chromerid cells were visualized with a Nikon A1R confocal laser scanning microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) with a 489 nm laser to detect chlorophyll autofluorescence, and a 409 nm laser to detect calcofluor white stained cell walls. The calcofluor white positive material surrounds the entire chromerid cell (Fig. 2), further suggesting the presence of cellulose in the C. velia wall, which is consistent with the thick cell wall shown by electron microscopy (Fig. 1A; Oborník et al. 2011). No cellulose synthase genes are currently annotated in the C. velia genome (www.cryptodb.org), but a thorough phylogenetic analysis of the genome for members of the cellulose synthesis machinery should be undertaken based on our biochemical evidence for a cellulosic cell wall.

Cellulose is the main component of so-called armored (walled) dinoflagellates, so identification of cellulose in the related Chromera velia is not surprising. Dinoflagellate cellulose is deposited as ornate thecal plates (Fritz and Triemer 1985) within the alveolar sacs (variously known as amphiesma or alveolae or inner membrane complex) that are a defining feature of the superphylum Alveolata (Gould et al. 2008) and also characteristic of chromerids (Oborník et al. 2012). However, the thick cell wall of C. velia is clearly extracellular and not within its alveolae (Fig. 1), so clear differences in cell wall architecture and location are already apparent between dinoflagellates and C. velia. It will now be interesting to analyze the cell surface structures of the other chromerid V. brassicaformis and determine how cellulose has been utilized as a cell wall material in this branch of the eukaryotic tree.

This work was supported by the ERDF/ESF (No. CZ.02.1.01/ $0.0/0.0/16_019/0000759$ to JL and MO) and the Czech Science Foundation (21-03224S to MO). GMcF is grateful for Australian Research Council support (DP210100639).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

G. Tortorelli: Data curation (equal); Methodology (equal); Visualization (lead); Writing-original draft (lead); Writing-review & editing (lead). **F. Pettolino:** Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (lead); Writing-review & editing (equal). **D.H. Lai:** Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Methodology (equal); Methodology (equal); Methodology (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). **A. Tomčala:** Conceptualization (equal); Investigation (equal); Investigation (equal); Investigation (equal); Investigation (equal); Investigation (equal); Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal).



FIG. 2. Bar plot of the glucose linkages (Glc*p*) in the cell wall of *Chromera velia*. Each bar represents the relative abundance molar percentage (Mol%) \pm SEM of a glucosyl linkage. Microscopy images of control and calcofluor white (CW) staining of *C. velia* cell wall. TM = transmitted light microscopy. Chl *a* = algal chlorophyll autofluorescence in red. CW stain of the cellulosic *C. velia* wall is in blue. Chl *a* + CW = merged channels. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

A. Bacic: Conceptualization (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (lead); Methodology (equal); Project administration (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). M. Oborník: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (lead); Methodology (equal); Project administration (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal). J. Lukeš: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (lead); Methodology (equal); Project administration (equal); Supervision Writing-review & editing (equal): (equal). G.I. McFadden: Conceptualization (equal); Data curation (equal); Formal analysis (equal); Funding acquisition (equal); Investigation (lead); Methodology (equal); Project administration (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing-review & editing (equal).

- Cavalier-Smith, T. 2018. Kingdom Chromista and its eight phyla: a new synthesis emphasising periplastid protein targeting, cyto-skeletal and periplastid evolution, and ancient divergences. *Protoplasma* 255:297–357.
- Flegontov, P., Michálek, J., Janouškovec, J., Lai, D. H., Jirků, M., Hajdušková, E., Tomčala, A. et al. 2015. Divergent mitochondrial respiratory chains in phototrophic relatives of apicomplexan parasites. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 32:1115–31.

- Fritz, L. & Triemer, R. E. 1985. A rapid simple technique utilizing calcofluor white M2R for the visualization of dinoflagellate thecal plates. J. Phycol. 21:662–4.
- Gould, S. B., Tham, W. H., Cowman, A. F., McFadden, G. I. & Waller, R. F. 2008. Alveolins, a new family of cortical proteins that define the protist infrakingdom Alveolata. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 25:1219–30.
- Janouškovec, J., Horák, A., Oborník, M., Lukeš, J. & Keeling, P. J. 2010. A common red algal origin of the apicomplexan, dinoflagellate, and heterokont plastids. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 107:10949–54.
- Mathur, V., Del Campo, J., Kolisko, M. & Keeling, P. J. 2018. Global diversity and distribution of close relatives of apicomplexan parasites. *Environ. Microbiol.* 20:2824–33.
- McFadden, G. I. & Waller, R. F. 1997. Plastids in parasites of humans. *BioEssays* 19:1033–40.
- Moore, R. B., Oborník, M., Janouškovec, J., Chrudimský, T., Vancová, M., Green, D. H., Wright, S. W. et al. 2008. A photosynthetic alveolate closely related to apicomplexan parasites. *Nature* 451:959–63.
- Oborník, M., Kručinská, J. & Esson, H. 2016. Life cycles of chromerids resemble those of colpodellids and apicomplexan parasites. *Perspect. Phycol.* 3:21–7.
- Oborník, M. & Lukeš, J. 2013. Cell biology of chromerids: autotrophic relatives to apicomplexan parasites. Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol. 306:333–69.
- Oborník, M., Modrý, D., Lukeš, M., Černotíková-Stříbrná, E., Cihlář, J., Tesařová, M., Kotabová, E., Vancová, M., Prášil, O. & Lukeš, J. 2012. Morphology, ultrastructure and life cycle of Vitrella brassicaformis n. sp., n. gen., a novel chromerid from the Great Barrier Reef. Protist 163:306–23.
- Oborník, M., Vancová, M., Lai, D. H., Janouškovec, J., Keeling, P. J. & Lukeš, J. 2011. Morphology and ultrastructure of multiple life cycle stages of the photosynthetic relative of apicomplexa, *Chromera velia. Protist* 162:115–30.

Okamoto, N. & McFadden, G. I. 2008. The mother of all parasites. *Future Microbiol.* 3:391–5.

- Pettolino, F. A., Walsh, C., Fincher, G. B. & Bacic, A. 2012. Determining the polysaccharide composition of plant cell walls. *Nat. Protoc.* 7:1590–607.
- Popper, Z. A., Michel, G., Hervé, C., Domozych, D. S., Willats, W. G., Tuohy, M. G., Kloareg, B. & Stengel, D. B. 2011. Evolution and diversity of plant cell walls: from algae to flowering plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 62:567–90.
- Synytsya, A., Čopíková, J., Kim, W. J. & Park, Y. I. 2015. Cell wall polysaccharides of marine algae. *In Kim, S. K.* [Ed.] *Springer Handbook of Marine Biotechnology*. New York, NY: Springer, pp. 543–90.
- Weatherby, K. & Carter, D. 2013. Chromera velia: the missing link in the evolution of parasitism. Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 85:119–44.
- Woo, Y. H., Ansari, H., Otto, T. D., Klinger, C. M., Kolisko, M., Michálek, J., Saxena, A. et al. 2015. Chromerid genomes reveal the evolutionary path from photosynthetic algae to obligate intracellular parasites. *eLife* 4:e06974.