1 A Strategic Blend of Stabilizing Polymers to Control Particle Surface Charge for Enhanced

- 2 Mucus Transport and Cell Binding
- 3
- 4 Corey A. Stevens^{1,2}, Boris Sevarika^{1,3}, Brian K. Wilson⁴, Chia-Ming Wang⁵, Gerardo Cárcamo-
- 5 Oyarce¹, George Degen¹, Timothy Kassis¹, Claus Michael Lehr³, Rebecca Carrier⁵, Katharina
- 6 Ribbeck¹, Robert K. Prud'homme⁴
- Department of Biological Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
 Cambridge, MA 02139
- Department of Biological Engineering, Koch Institute for Integrative Cancer Research,
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139
- Helmholtz Institute for Pharmaceutical Research Saarland (HIPS), Helmholtz Centre
 for Infection Research (HZI), D-66123 Saarbrücken, Germany; Department of
- 13 Pharmacy, Saarland University, D-66123 Saarbrücken, Germany
- Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton,
 NJ 08544
- 16 5. Department of Chemical Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115
- 17 18

19 Abstract

20 Mucus layers, viscoelastic gels abundant in anionic mucin glycoproteins, obstruct therapeutic 21 delivery across all mucosal surfaces. We found that strongly positively charged nanoparticles 22 (NPs) rapidly adsorb a mucin protein corona in mucus, impeding cell binding and uptake. To 23 overcome this, we developed mucus-evading, cell-adhesive (MECS) NPs with variable surface 24 charge using Flash NanoPrecipitation, by blending a neutral poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) corona for mucus transport with a small amount, 5 wt%, of polycationic dimethylaminoethyl 25 26 methacrylate (PDMAEMA) for increased cell targeting. In vitro experiments confirmed rapid 27 mucus penetration and binding to epithelial cells by MECS NPs, suggesting a breakthrough in 28 mucosal drug delivery.

29

30 Introduction

The effective delivery of therapeutics is often hindered by biological barriers, particularly mucus layers found throughout the body's mucosal surfaces^{1,2}. Mucus layers represent a significant barrier to therapeutic drug delivery due to their complex structure and interactions with drug carriers^{3,4}. Ideally, delivery systems should navigate through mucus while still effectively binding to target cells, a balance that has proven difficult to achieve⁵.

36

37 To address this critical need, we developed mucin-evading cell-adhesive nanoparticles (MECS 38 NPs). Our NP design, incorporating these seemingly contrary properties, was informed by 39 examining how mucus interacts with particles and affects their mucosal drug delivery 40 performance. We observed that strongly positively charged NPs form a protein corona within 41 mucus, which inhibits cellular interactions. To address this, we engineered NPs using a blend 42 of positively charged (PDMAEMA) and neutral (PEG) polymers. PDMAEMA facilitates 43 cellular binding and uptake ("targeting"), while PEG enables muco-penetration and prevents mucoadhesion. A rapid precipitation process, Flash NanoPrecipitation, enables the assembly 44 45 of NPs with dense polymer coronas, but variable surface charge by altering the ratio of neutral

to charged stabilizers. By overcoming the long-standing challenge of providing both mucus
transport and epithelial cell targeting, our newly developed NPs have the potential to
significantly advance mucosal drug delivery.

49

50 **Results and Discussion**

51

52 *Positively charged, electrostatically stabilized latex NPs acquire a protein corona in mucin.*

53 Positively charged NPs have garnered significant interest in the field of drug delivery because 54 of their potential for cellular targeting and uptake⁶. The electrostatic attraction between 55 positively charged particles and negatively charged cell membranes facilitates their interaction 56 and subsequent cellular uptake. However, successful drug delivery through mucosal surfaces 57 necessitates traversing the mucus gel layer, which contains negatively charged mucin 58 polymers. Mucins, the main gelling component of mucus, are heavily glycosylated polyanionic 59 proteins that cross-link through a series of covalent and non-covalent bonds, resulting in a 60 mesh-like network⁷. While a positive charge can promote cellular targeting by NPs, 61 traditionally, the interaction between positively charged particles and the negatively charged 62 mucins has been assumed to lead to significant adhesion and reduced diffusion⁸.

63

64 To investigate the diffusion of NPs within the mucus barrier, we employed a well-defined 65 model system composed of purified mucins from the intestine (MUC2) and lungs/stomach 66 (MUC5AC) that recapitulates the properties of native mucus (Fig. 1a-c, Supplementary Fig. S1). Using single-particle tracking (SPT), we quantified the diffusion of positively and 67 68 negatively charged, electrostatically stabilized polystyrene latex NPs of various sizes (100 nm, 69 200 nm, 500 nm, and 1000 nm) within the mucin gels. As a control, we tracked NPs diffusing 70 within a water-glycerol mixture, and the NPs exhibited the expected inverse correlation 71 between particle size and diffusivity (Fig. 1d). Intriguingly, the diffusivity of positively 72 charged NPs in the mucin gels was not significantly different from that of negatively charged

NPs of the same size (Fig. 1e [MUC2], 1f [MUC5AC]). This observation expands the traditional
understanding of mucoadhesion for positively charged NPs^{8,9}.

75

To further explore the interaction between mucin gels and NPs, we imaged NPs within mucin 76 77 gels by cryo-scanning electron microscopy (cryoSEM). Our imaging reveals distinct 78 interactions based on particle charge. Negatively charged NPs have minimal interaction with 79 mucin chains, with strands seemingly draped across the particle surface (Fig. 2a). In contrast, 80 positively charged NPs are completely enveloped by a dense mucin layer (Fig. 2b). These 81 observations suggest the formation of a "mucin corona," i.e., a coating of mucins adhered to 82 the surface of cationic NPs. This observation mirrors the well-documented protein corona; where proteins in biological fluids adsorb onto particle surfaces, altering their properties and 83 influencing interactions with the surrounding environment¹⁰⁻¹². Zeta potential measurements 84 85 show charge reversal; cationic NPs transition from positive to negative after mucin exposure, 86 which is consistent with their diffusive behavior (Fig. 2c, Supplementary Table S1). The mucin 87 corona masks the charge of the cationic NPs, making them anionic, causing them to diffuse like anionic NPs (Fig. 2d-e)¹³. Thus, cationic NPs are not significantly trapped within the 88 89 mucin gel; instead, they become coated in mucin, which may significantly impact the behavior 90 and fate of NPs within mucus.

91

92 Mucin coronas hinder cellular targeting.

To explore the impact of the mucin corona on cell targeting, we investigated particle adhesion to epithelial cells. We grew a monolayer of HeLa cells overlaid with MUC2 (100 μ L of 1 wt% MUC2; Fig. 2f–h). In the absence of mucin, cationic NPs electrostatically adhere to the negatively charged epithelial cell surface (Fig. 2f), as evidenced by green NP fluorescence colocalizing with nucleus and actin fluorescence. Conversely, anionic NPs do not accumulate on the epithelial cell layers (Fig. 2g), consistent with established principles in drug delivery¹⁴.

99 Crucially, cationic NPs pre-incubated with mucus no longer exhibit electrostatic adhesion to
100 the surface of epithelial cell monolayers due to the mucin corona (Fig. 2h–i).

101

Our data demonstrate that while a positive charge aids in cellular targeting, it also leads to the accumulation of a mucin corona within mucosal tissues, thereby impeding targeting and potentially inhibiting drug release. This underscores the need for innovative NP designs.

105

106 Library of MECS NPs shows tunable mobility through mucins.

107 To design NPs with enhanced mucosal drug delivery capabilities, we designed MECS NPs that 108 combine the cellular targeting benefits of a positive charge with the transport-promoting 109 properties of PEG^{15,16}. PEGylation not only reduces the overall positive charge density on the 110 particle surface but also sterically hinders extensive adherence by mucins, potentially 111 preventing the formation of a thick mucin corona.

112

113 To produce MECS NPs, we used Flash NanoPrecipitation, a kinetically controlled, blockcopolymer-directed assembly process (Fig. 3a)^{17,18}. The resulting NPs consist of a core 114 115 composed of hydrophobic poly(styrene) homopolymer, mixed with the adsorbed hydrophobic 116 portion of the stabilizing polymer, and a dense hydrophilic polymer brush corona surrounding 117 the NP, as depicted in Fig. 3b. The charge of this polymer corona, crucial for targeting, can be 118 tailored by adjusting the ratio of neutral (polystyrene-block-PEG), negatively charged 119 (poly(acrylic acid) [PAA]), or positively charged (PDMAEMA) chains in the stabilizing layer 120 (Fig. 3c–e).

121

We characterized these NPs by transmission electron microscopy, SPT, dynamic light scattering, and zeta potential measurements (Fig. 3f–j). The NPs in our library are approximately 100 nm in diameter and exhibit variable and controlled surface charges, ranging from -38 mV to +40 mV depending on the ratio of PAA:PEG or DMAEMA:PEG stabilizer block copolymers (Fig. 3h–j). Low levels of polyelectrolyte stabilizer addition, C005 and A005, yield NPs with nearly neutral surfaces ($|\zeta| < 10 \text{ mV}$). All NPs were loaded with the fluorescent dye Hostasol Yellow 3G at a concentration of 2 wt%, to facilitate imaging and to mimic encapsulation of a hydrophobic drug. Importantly, the hydrophobic dye is confined within the NP core, eliminating any potential interference with mucous interactions, which can occur with surface-functionalized dyes.

132

133 We investigated the diffusion behavior of seven MECS NP types (A100, A040, A005, B000, 134 C005, C040, C100) in reconstituted MUC2 and MUC5AC gels by SPT. As a control, we 135 measured NP diffusion in polyanionic synthetic carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) hydrogels 136 (Supplementary Fig. S2–S4). As expected, neutral particles coated only with PEG (B000) 137 exhibited high mobility in mucin gels. Conversely, particles with high PDMAEMA content 138 (C100, C040) or high PAA content (A100, A040) displayed lower mobility in both mucin gels. 139 Interestingly, our data show that a minimal amount (5%) of PDMAEMA (C005) resulted in 140 particles with diffusion properties comparable to those of fully PEGylated particles in MUC2 141 and MUC5AC gels (Fig. 3k [MUC2], 3l [MUC5AC]). These polymer-stabilized NPs present a 142 hydrophilic polymer brush independent of the amount or charge of added polyelectrolyte 143 block copolymer, unlike the electrostatically stabilized latex NPs characterized in Fig. 1–2 that 144 present a hydrophobic poly(styrene) surface between singly charged functional groups. This 145 observation hints at the potential for these particles to achieve both efficient muco-transport 146 and targeted epithelial cell interactions.

147

148 *MECS NPs achieve both mucus transport and epithelial cell targeting.*

To determine if 5% PDMAEMA (C005) particles target to epithelial cells, we conducted *in vitro* cell culture experiments. We grew epithelial cell (HeLa) monolayers and overlaid a 1 wt% mucin gel (MUC2). Subsequently, we added C005 particles on top of the mucin layer and incubated for 1 h; for controls, we prepared similar samples with A005 and B000 particles.

153 After thorough washing and fixation, we stained actin (phalloidin) and nuclei (4',6-diamidino-154 2-phenylindole [DAPI]). Confocal microscopy revealed minimal colocalization of green 155 fluorescent NPs with DAPI and phalloidin in the A005 and B000 conditions (Fig. 4a-b). 156 Conversely, the C005 particles displayed extensive colocalization with both nuclei and actin 157 filaments, indicating successful adhesion to epithelial cells (Fig. 4c). Flow cytometry results 158 further confirmed this observation, demonstrating significantly higher green fluorescence 159 intensity in cells exposed to C005 particles compared with those treated with B000 or A005 160 particles (Fig. 4d). Together, these results strongly suggest that the combination of PEG for 161 mucus transport and small amounts of PDMAEMA for positive charge enables these MECS 162 NPs to achieve both efficient mucus penetration yet still show increased epithelial cell 163 targeting.

164

165 To further solidify these findings, we used a gut organoid-based intestinal model that offers a 166 controlled environment incorporating mucus-producing goblet cells to recapitulate the *in vivo* 167 mucosal interface¹⁹. Here, intestinal stem cells are seeded upon a gel matrix within a 168 mesofluidic chip, and factors are introduced to stimulate differentiation and mucus production 169 in a neighboring channel, mimicking the gut lumen (Fig. 4e). NPs added to the luminal 170 channel can traverse the mucus layer and interact with underlying epithelial cells. We labeled 171 cell nuclei (Hoechst) and mucus (wheat germ agglutinin [WGA]-Texas Red), followed by 172 incubation with green fluorescent NPs. Confocal microscopy analysis revealed a remarkable 173 difference in colocalization among the three tested NPs (A005, B000, C005) (Fig. 4f-k). 174 Notably, the signal from C005 particles overlapped substantially with the DAPI channel 175 (nuclei), indicating a five-fold increase in colocalization compared with PAA (A005) or PEG 176 (B000) particles (Fig. 4f-h). The enhanced colocalization achieved with 5% PDMAEMA 177 particles ($^{-1.5}$ mV) in both mucin gels and a gut organoid system indicates significantly higher cell targeting, opening exciting avenues to revolutionize mucosal drug delivery. 178

180 Conclusion

181 In conclusion, mucus layers present a formidable obstacle to drug delivery, with positively 182 charged NPs developing a mucin corona, impeding cell targeting. To overcome this, we have 183 developed MECS NPs, blending a neutral polymer for mucus penetration with a polycationic 184 component for increased cell targeting. Our MECS NPs demonstrate mucus penetration while 185 maintaining cell binding interactions, marking a breakthrough in mucosal drug delivery. The effect is observed over a narrow range of compositions with 5% cationic polymer and zeta 186 187 potentials of approximately 1.5 mV. These findings offer the prospect of more efficient drug 188 delivery systems, potentially reducing required dosages and minimizing off-target effects. 189 Moreover, this optimized delivery strategy shows potential for mucosal vaccines and 190 addressing challenges related to mucus-associated diseases like cystic fibrosis and chronic 191 inflammatory bowel disease.

192

193 Author Contributions

194 C.A.S, C.M.L, KR and R.K.P conceived of the project. B.K.W synthesized and characterized 195 the particles as well as carried out experiments. C.A.S and BS performed single-particle 196 tracking experiments and data analysis. BS and G.D.D performed micro- and macro-197 rheological experiments. C.A.S, C.M.W and G.C.O performed gut-on-a-chip organoid 198 experiments and analysis. TK, C.M.W and RC designed, built and characterized the gut-on-a-199 chip organoid system. All authors contributed to the writing and editing of the manuscript.

200

201 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (award no. 6948010). C.A.S
was supported by the Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR) postdoctoral fellowship
(MFE-187894). BS was supported by the DAAD (German Academic Exchange Service) Annual
Scholarship for Study Abroad for Master Students 2021/22 (57555903). G.D. D was funded by
NIH Training Grant # T32-ES007020. We thank Prof. Linda Griffith for her help with the gut-

207	on	a-chip organoid design and construction. We thank the Koch Institute's Robert A. Swanson								
208	(19	69) Biotechnology Center for technical support, specifically The Peterson (1957)								
209	Na	Nanotechnology Materials Core Facility, David Mankus and Abigail Lytton-Jean for assistance								
210	wi	with CryoSEM imaging.								
211										
212	Co	mpeting interests								
213	CA	AS, BS, BKW, KR and RKP are co-inventors on a patent disclosure MBHB 24-0253-US-PRO								
214	_									
215	Re	terences								
216	1.	Vargason, A. M., Anselmo, A. C. & Mitragotri, S. The evolution of commercial drug								
217		delivery technologies. Nat. Biomed. Eng. 5, 951–967 (2021).								
218	2.	2. Mitchell, M. J. et al. Engineering precision nanoparticles for drug delivery. Nat. Rev.								
219		<i>Drug Discov.</i> 20 , 101–124 (2021).								
220	3.	Wagner, C. E., Wheeler, K. M. & Ribbeck, K. Mucins and Their Role in Shaping the								
221		Functions of Mucus Barriers. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 34, 189–215 (2018).								
222	4.	Johansson, M. E. V. & Hansson, G. C. Immunological aspects of intestinal mucus and								
223		mucins. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 16, 639–649 (2016).								
224	5.	Lai, S. K., Wang, YY. & Hanes, J. Mucus-penetrating nanoparticles for drug and gene								
225		delivery to mucosal tissues. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 61, 158–171 (2009).								
226	6.	Gratton, S. E. A. et al. The effect of particle design on cellular internalization pathways.								
227		<i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</i> 105 , 11613–11618 (2008).								

- 228 7. Wang, C.-M., Fernez, M. T., Woolston, B. M. & Carrier, R. L. Native gastrointestinal
- 229 mucus: Critical features and techniques for studying interactions with drugs, drug
- 230 carriers, and bacteria. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **200**, 114966 (2023).
- 8. Crater, J. S. & Carrier, R. L. Barrier Properties of Gastrointestinal Mucus to Nanoparticle
- 232 Transport. *Macromol. Biosci.* **10**, 1473–1483 (2010).
- 233 9. Lieleg, O., Vladescu, I. & Ribbeck, K. Characterization of Particle Translocation through
- 234 Mucin Hydrogels. *Biophys. J.* **98**, 1782–1789 (2010).
- 235 10. Cedervall, T. *et al.* Understanding the nanoparticle–protein corona using methods to
- quantify exchange rates and affinities of proteins for nanoparticles. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
- **104**, 2050–2055 (2007).
- 238 11. Mahmoudi, M., Landry, M. P., Moore, A. & Coreas, R. The protein corona from
- nanomedicine to environmental science. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **8**, 422–438 (2023).
- 240 12. Docter, D. *et al.* The nanoparticle biomolecule corona: lessons learned challenge
- accepted? Chem. Soc. Rev. 44, 6094–6121 (2015).
- 13. Feng, L., Stuart, M. C. & Adachi, Y. Dynamics of polyelectrolyte adsorption and colloidal
- flocculation upon mixing studied using mono-dispersed polystyrene latex particles. *Adv.*
- 244 *Colloid Interface Sci.* **226**, 101–114 (2015).
- 245 14. Blanco, E., Shen, H. & Ferrari, M. Principles of nanoparticle design for overcoming
- biological barriers to drug delivery. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 941–951 (2015).
- 247 15. Lu, H. D. et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa pyocyanin production reduced by quorum-
- sensing inhibiting nanocarriers. *Int. J. Pharm.* **544**, 75–82 (2018).

245 10. Dually A, & Ely 1, 1 illy stepenetical characteristics of Hamoparticles function of the state of t	249	16. Duan, X. & L	i, Y. Ph	vsicochemical	Characteristics	of Nano	particles Affect	Circulatio
---	-----	------------------	----------	---------------	-----------------	---------	------------------	------------

- Biodistribution, Cellular Internalization, and Trafficking. *Small* **9**, 1521–1532 (2013).
- 251 17. Johnson, B. K. & Prud'homme, R. K. Flash NanoPrecipitation of Organic Actives and
- Block Copolymers using a Confined Impinging Jets Mixer. *Aust. J. Chem.* **56**, 1021–1024
- 253 (2003).
- 254 18. Wilson, B. K., Yang, H. & Prud'homme, R. K. Polyelectrolyte-Doped Block Copolymer-
- 255 Stabilized Nanocarriers for Continuous Tunable Surface Charge. ACS Appl. Nano Mater.
- 256 (2024) doi:10.1021/acsanm.3c02277.
- 257 19. Dutton, J. S., Hinman, S. S., Kim, R., Wang, Y. & Allbritton, N. L. Primary Cell-Derived
- Intestinal Models: Recapitulating Physiology. *Trends Biotechnol.* **37**, 744–760 (2019).
- 259

260

261

262 263

264

274 Figure Legends

275

Figure 1. NP transport across the mucus barrier. (a) Schematic representation of mucosal tissue
and NPs for drug delivery across the mesh-like mucus network. (b) CryoSEM image of a
reconstituted 1 wt% MUC2 gel. (c) Two-dimensional pore analysis of cryoSEM images of 1
wt% MUC2 gels. Counts represent the number of pores with the corresponding radius. (d)
SPT-determined diffusivity of polystyrene NPs in a 1:1 water:glycerol mixture (anionic
particles in blue, cationic particles in red). (e–f) Diffusivity of positively (red) and negatively
(blue) charged NPs in 1 wt% (e) MUC2 and (f) MUC5AC gels.

283

284 Figure 2. Formation of a mucin corona on cationic NPs. (a–b) CryoSEM images of 1 µm (a) 285 anionic and (b) cationic particles in 1 wt% MUC2 gels. (c) Zeta potential measurements of 286 particles pre- and post-incubation with MUC2. (d) Schematic representation of anionic NPs diffusing in mucin gel. (e) Schematic representation of cationic NPs with a mucin corona 287 288 diffusing in a mucin gel. (f–h) Confocal images depicting the influence of the mucin corona on 289 NP adhesion to epithelial cells: (f) cationic NPs adhering to HeLa cell monolayers, (g) anionic 290 NPs incubated with HeLa cell monolayers, and (h) cationic NPs pretreated with MUC2 prior 291 to incubation with a HeLa cell monolayer (green: particles, blue: nuclei [DAPI], red: actin 292 [phalloidin]). (i) Quantification of microscopy images shown in f–h.

293

294 Figure 3. Production of MECS NPs by Flash NanoPrecipitation. (a) Schematic representation of the Flash NanoPrecipitation procedure used to produce an MECS NP library. (b) 295 296 Representation of NPs tested for mucosal transport and cell targeting. (c-e) Diagrams of (c) negatively charged PAA, (d) polystyrene-block-PEG, and (e) positively charged PDMAEMA. 297 (f) SPT-determined diffusivity of NPs produced by Flash NanoPrecipitation in a 1:1 298 299 water:glycerol mixture (left), with individual particle kappa values (middle) and kappa values 300 averaged over the entire population of tracked particles (right). (g) Transmission electron microscopy images of B000 particles, showing polymers brushed about the particle surfaces. 301 302 (h) Dynamic light scattering size measurement of each NP. (i–j) Zeta potential measurements of each particle in solutions of different (i) pH and (j) ionic strength. (k–l) Histogram showing 303 304 the diffusivity of every tracked particle in 1 wt% (k) MUC2 or (l) MUC5AC.

305

306 Figure 4. Superior epithelial cell targeting of MECS NPs. (a-c) Confocal microscopy images of 307 HeLa cells overlaid with 100 µL of 1 wt% MUC2 and A005 (a), B000 (b), or C005 (c). (d) Flow 308 cytometry quantification of HeLa cells coated with MUC2 and incubated with NPs. (e) 309 Schematic representation of the mesofluidic intestinal chip (right) and an image of the mucosal 310 interface within the system (left). (f-h) Quantification of confocal images of organoid systems 311 incubated with equivalent amounts of A005, B000, and C005 showing the (f) total remaining fluorescence, (g) NP green fluorescence overlapped with nuclei (DAPI), and (h) NP green 312 fluorescence overlapped with mucus (WGA-Texas Red). (i-k) Volumetric analysis of (i) A005, 313 314 (j) B000, and (k) C005 particles incubated with gut-on-a-chip organoid. Z-stack confocal

- 315 images were converted into volumes for each fluorescent channel (blue: Hoechst; red: WGA-
- 316 Texas Red; green: NPs). Hostasol Yellow 3G.





Figure 1. NP transport across the mucus barrier. (a) Schematic representation of mucosal tissue and NPs for drug delivery across the mesh-like mucus network. (b) CryoSEM image of a reconstituted 1 wt% MUC2 gel. (c) Two-dimensional pore analysis of cryoSEM images of 1 wt% MUC2 gels. Counts represent the number of pores with the corresponding radius. (d) SPT-determined diffusivity of polystyrene NPs in a 1:1 water:glycerol mixture (anionic particles in blue, cationic particles in red). (e–f) Diffusivity of positively (red) and negatively (blue) charged NPs in 1 wt% (e) MUC2 and (f) MUC5AC gels.

- 340
- 341
- 342





Figure 2. Formation of a mucin corona on cationic NPs. (a–b) CryoSEM images of 1 µm (a) 345 anionic and (b) cationic particles in 1 wt% MUC2 gels. (c) Zeta potential measurements of 346 347 particles pre- and post-incubation with MUC2. (d) Schematic representation of anionic NPs 348 diffusing in mucin gel. (e) Schematic representation of cationic NPs with a mucin corona 349 diffusing in a mucin gel. (f-h) Confocal images depicting the influence of the mucin corona on NP adhesion to epithelial cells: (f) cationic NPs adhering to HeLa cell monolayers, (g) anionic 350

- 351 NPs incubated with HeLa cell monolayers, and (h) cationic NPs pretreated with MUC2 prior
- to incubation with a HeLa cell monolayer (green: particles, blue: nuclei [DAPI], red: actin
- 353 [phalloidin]). (i) Quantification of microscopy images shown in f–h.
- 354



Figure 3. Production of MECS NPs by Flash NanoPrecipitation. (a) Schematic representation
of the Flash NanoPrecipitation procedure used to produce an MECS NP library. (b)
Representation of NPs tested for mucosal transport and cell targeting. (c–e) Diagrams of (c)

360 negatively charged PAA, (d) polystyrene-block-PEG, and (e) positively charged PDMAEMA. 361 (f) SPT-determined diffusivity of NPs produced by Flash NanoPrecipitation in a 1:1 362 water:glycerol mixture (left), with individual particle kappa values (middle) and kappa values 363 averaged over the entire population of tracked particles (right). (g) Transmission electron 364 microscopy images of B000 particles, showing polymers brushed about the particle surfaces. (h) Dynamic light scattering size measurement of each NP. (i-j) Zeta potential measurements 365 366 of each particle in solutions of different (i) pH and (j) ionic strength. (k–l) Histogram showing the diffusivity of every tracked particle in 1 wt% (k) MUC2 or (l) MUC5AC. 367 368 369



Figure 4. Superior epithelial cell targeting of MECS NPs. (a-c) Confocal microscopy images of 372 373 HeLa cells overlaid with 100 µL of 1 wt% MUC2 and A005 (a), B000 (b), or C005 (c). (d) Flow 374 cytometry quantification of HeLa cells coated with MUC2 and incubated with NPs. (e) 375 Schematic representation of the mesofluidic intestinal chip (right) and an image of the mucosal 376 interface within the system (left). (f-h) Quantification of confocal images of organoid systems 377 incubated with equivalent amounts of A005, B000, and C005 showing the (f) total remaining 378 fluorescence, (g) NP green fluorescence overlapped with nuclei (DAPI), and (h) NP green 379 fluorescence overlapped with mucus (WGA-Texas Red). (i–k) Volumetric analysis of (i) A005, 380 (j) B000, and (k) C005 particles incubated with gut-on-a-chip organoid. Z-stack confocal

images were converted into volumes for each fluorescent channel (blue: Hoechst; red: WGA-

382 Texas Red; green: NPs). Hostasol Yellow 3G.