

Research Article

Relevance of Interleukins 6 and 8 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms in Prostate Cancer: A Multicenter Study

Amany A. Ghazy ^{1,2} and Mohammed Jayed Alenzi³

¹Department of Pathology (Microbiology and Immunology Unit), College of Medicine, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

²Departments of Microbiology and Medical Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Kafr El-Sheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt

³Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

Correspondence should be addressed to Amany A. Ghazy; ghazy.amany@yahoo.com

Received 23 May 2021; Revised 15 June 2021; Accepted 29 June 2021; Published 6 July 2021

Academic Editor: Ruhul Kuddus

Copyright © 2021 Amany A. Ghazy and Mohammed Jayed Alenzi. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The diverse roles of cytokines as IL-6 and IL-8 have been studied in terms of their SNPs in many diseases but their role in prostate cancer (PCa) is still uncertain. *Aim.* To determine the relevance of IL-6 rs1800795 SNP and/or IL-8 rs2227306 SNP with prostate cancer's risk. *Subjects and Methods.* 40 PCa patients, 40 benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) patients, and 40-age-matched-control group were enrolled in the study. Genotyping of IL-6 rs1800795 (G/C) SNP and IL-8 rs2227306 (C/T) SNP was determined using real-time PCR. *Results.* High frequency of IL-6 rs1800795GG and IL-8 rs2227306CC genotypes was noticed among PCa patients with associated OR 10.091 and 8.143, respectively. Comparisons based on allele frequencies revealed that IL-6G and IL-8C alleles are more frequent among PCa patients than other groups. Presence of IL-6 rs1800795G and IL-8 rs2227306C alleles in the same patient increase PCa risk by 16.7 times. Statistical correlations between PSA ratio and both of IL-6 and IL-8 SNP did not show any significant relation among PCa patients. *Conclusion.* IL-6 rs1800795G and IL-8 rs2227306C alleles could be considered risk factors for PCa development, particularly if presented together. However, no relation was found between both cytokines SNP and severity of prostate cancer.

1. Background

Benign prostate hyperplasia (BPH) and prostate cancer (PCa) are chronic, age-related, heterogeneous disorders with a wide variety of clinical presentations and high prevalence among men [1–4]. PCa results in high morbidity and mortality due to rapid progression to metastasis and the emergence of therapeutic resistance [5, 6].

According to Globocan, prostate cancer is considered one of the top ten cancers in Saudi Arabia and Egypt with an age-standardized incidence rate (ASR) of 7/100 000 and 13.9/100 000, respectively [2].

Statistics from American Association for Cancer Research (AACR) showed that PCa incidence is increasing annually. This incidence varies significantly between different ethnic groups and regions all over the world [7]. Thus, it is important to identify specific biomarkers associated with

the progression of PCa [4]. Several studies have been conducted to investigate the molecular mechanism underlying PCa pathogenesis; some reported that there are specific genes that contribute to PCa risk [2]; others have implicated inflammation as a driver of PCa development [6]; and others stated that genetic mutation and single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) have a significant role in the progression and severity of PCa but their results were dissimilar in the different populations [2, 8–11].

Some cytokines were found to be involved in the etio-pathogenesis of PCa by inducing growth, proliferation, and metastasis that occur during the course of the disease. Interleukin-6 (IL-6) is a pleiotropic cytokine that has multiple roles as induction and maintenance of inflammation, stimulation of adaptive immunity, and some autoimmune processes [11, 12]. IL-8 is known to have a role in immune surveillance, recruitment, and degranulation of neutrophils,

inflammation, and angiogenesis [13]. It is overexpressed in many types of cancer as it has tumorigenic, and proangiogenic properties [5]. Recently, the diverse roles of IL-6 and IL-8 have been studied in terms of their SNPs in many diseases but their role in PCa is still uncertain.

Thus, the current study aimed to determine the possible association of IL-6 rs1800795 SNP, and/or IL-8 rs2227306 SNP with prostate cancer's risk and/or severity.

2. Subjects and Methods

2.1. Study Design. The current research is a case-control study enrolling 120 participants divided into 3 groups: group 1, 40 patients with pathologically confirmed PCa; group 2, 40 patients with BPH; and group 3, 40 age-matched healthy controls. Participants were attending Urology Department, Prince Mutaib bin Abdulaziz Hospital, Sakaka, and Kafr El-Sheikh University Hospital, Kafr El-Sheikh. Patients with autoimmune disease, renal decompensating, and/or secondary PCa were excluded from the study.

2.2. Ethical Considerations. Ethical approval (no. 06–03/41, 2019) was obtained from the Local Committee of Bioethics of Jouf University. The study adopts the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki.

Informed written consent was collected from all participants with guarantees of confidentiality. There was no risk to the participants. Results of biochemical investigation and ultrasound were taken from patients' files. The blood samples used in DNA extraction and PCR were taken from the leftover samples in EDTA tubes.

2.3. Laboratory Investigations. Serum levels of urea, creatinine, total prostate-specific antigen (PSA), and PSA ratio were measured for all participants.

2.4. Genotyping of IL-6 rs1800795 (G/C) SNP and IL-8 rs2227306 (C/T) SNP. Blood samples in EDTA tubes were used for DNA extraction by spin columns of QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Applied Biosystems-Life Technologies), according to the manufacturer instructions [2, 14]. DNA concentration and purity were determined using nanodrop before real-time PCR. IL-6 rs1800795 and IL-8 rs2227306 genotypes were determined using step one real-time PCR (Applied Biosystem-Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, USA). For each SNP, 2 μ l genomic DNA, 1.25 μ l TaqMan SNP genotyping assay (IL-6 rs1800795 SNP kit or IL-8 rs2227306 SNP kit), 12.5 μ l TaqMan PCR master mix, and 9.25 μ l DNase-free water were mixed in PCR tubes. The reaction volume was 25 μ l and the thermal cycler conditions were adjusted as follows: 95°C for 10 minutes for initial denaturation, followed by 40 cycles of amplification (92°C for 15 sec., 60°C for 1 min., and 72°C for 30 sec.), then a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. Results analysis depends on the fluorescence signals emitted from each sample; FAM dye points to homozygosity for the wild alleles (IL-6 rs1800795 CC, IL-8 rs2227306TT), VIC dye specifies homozygosity for

the mutant alleles (IL-6 rs1800795GG, IL-8 rs2227306CC), and if both increase, this indicates heterozygosity (IL-6 rs1800795GC, IL-8 rs2227306 CT) [2, 15].

2.5. Statistical Analysis of the Data. Data were analyzed using version 20.0. of IBM SPSS software package (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). The normality of the distribution of variables was verified by Kolmogorov–Smirnov. Categorical variables of the studied groups were compared using the Chi-square test. Comparisons of normally and abnormally distributed quantitative variables were done using Student's *t*-test and Mann–Whitney *U* test, respectively. Odds ratio (OR) was used to calculate the ratio of the odds and 95% confidence interval of an event occurring in one risk group to that in the nonrisk group. The population of the studied sample was explored to find its equilibrium with the Hardy–Weinberg equation. The significance of the results was judged at the 5% level.

3. Results

3.1. Subjects Demographic Data. The mean age of PCa patients, BPH patients, and controls was 59.9 \pm 9.2, 56 \pm 10.3, and 50 \pm 8, respectively. There was not any statistical difference between the studied groups ($p = 0.1$).

3.2. Biochemical Investigations. The mean serum levels of urea and creatinine among PCa patients were significantly increased among PCa patients in comparison to the control group ($p < 0.001^*$). PCa patients showed a significant increase in total PSA and PSA ratio ($p < 0.001^*$) (Table 1).

3.3. Genotyping of IL-6 and IL-8 SNPs. IL-6 and IL-8 genotypes frequencies were in agreement with the Hardy–Weinberg equation (HWE) in PCa patients and controls because there was an insignificant difference between the observed and expected frequencies ($p > 0.05$, Table 2).

Regarding the distribution of IL-6 rs1800795C/G genotypes, GG genotype was more frequent among PCa patients in comparison with BPH patients and control groups (45% vs. 15% and 7.5%, resp.). Patients carrying GG genotype are at higher risk to develop PCa than those carrying CC genotype (Table 3). Allelic discrimination of IL-8 rs2227306 (C/T) SNP showed a higher frequency of CC genotype among PCa patients in comparison with BPH patients and control groups (30% vs. 7.5% and 5%, resp., $p = 0.010^*$). Patients carrying CC genotype are 8 times at higher risk to develop PCa than those carrying TT genotype (Table 3).

Such difference was more obvious when the comparison was based on allele frequencies as IL-6 rs1800795G allele was more frequent among PCa patients in comparison with BPH patients and control groups (62.5% vs. 46.3% and 22.5%, resp.). Patients carrying IL-6 rs1800795G allele are at 5.7 times more risk to develop PCa than those carrying C allele (Table 4). In addition, IL-8 rs2227306C allele is more frequent among PCa patients when compared to BPH patients

TABLE 1: Comparison of PSA levels of the affected and the control groups.

Prostate-specific antigen (PSA)	PCa patients ($n = 40$)	BPH patients ($n = 40$)	Control ($n = 40$)	p
S. urea (mg/dl)	26.6 ± 5.7	14.3 ± 2.8	12.7 ± 3.1	<0.001*
S. creatinine (mg/dl)	1.2 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.3	<0.001*
Total PSA (ng/ml)	4.8 ± 0.9	2.5 ± 0.9	1.8 ± 0.5	<0.001*
PSA ratio %	37 ± 7.5	17.5 ± 3.4	10.5 ± 3.1	<0.001*

Data are represented by mean ± SD, p : p value for comparing between the studied groups. *Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

TABLE 2: Distribution of genotypes of IL-6 rs1800795 and IL-8 rs2227306 SNPs in the affected and the control groups.

	Cancer ($n = 40$)		BPH ($n = 40$)		Control ($n = 40$)	
	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected
IL-6 rs1800795						
CC	8	5.6	9	11.6	25	24.0
CG	14	18.8	25	19.9	12	14.0
GG	18	15.6	6	8.6	3	2.0
χ^2 (p)	2.567 (0.109)		2.643 (0.104)		0.782 (0.377)	
IL-8 rs2227306						
CC	12	10.5	3	5.6	2	2.5
CT	17	20.0	24	18.8	16	15.0
TT	11	9.5	13	15.6	22	22.5
χ^2 (p)	0.894 (0.344)		3.136 (0.077)		0.178 (0.673)	

TABLE 3: Comparison of genotype ratios of IL-6 rs1800795 and IL-8 rs2227306 SNPs in the affected and the control groups.

	Frequencies of genotypes			Cancer versus control [®]		BPH versus control [®]	
	Cancer ($n = 40$)	BPH ($n = 40$)	Control ($n = 40$)	p_1	OR ₁ (95%CI) (LL-UL)	p_2	OR ₂ (95%CI) (LL-UL)
IL-6 rs1800795							
CC versus (CG + GG)	8 (20%)	9 (22.5%)	25 (62.5%)	<0.001*	0.1500 (0.055–0.410)	<0.001*	0.174 (0.065–0.464)
CG versus (CC + GG)	14 (35%)	25 (62.5%)	12 (30%)	0.633	1.256 (0.492–3.209)	0.004*	3.889 (1.533–9.868)
GG versus (CC + CG)	18 (45%)	6 (15%)	3 (7.5%)	0.001*	10.091 (2.665–38.204)	0.297	2.177 (0.504–9.391)
IL-8 rs2227306							
CC versus (CT + TT)	12 (30%)	3 (7.5%)	2 (5%)	0.009*	8.143 (1.686–39.319)	0.646	1.541 (0.243–9.755)
CT versus (CC + TT)	17 (42.5%)	24 (60%)	16 (40%)	0.820	1.109 (0.455–2.701)	0.076	2.250 (0.920–5.504)
TT versus (CC + CT)	11 (27.5%)	13 (32.5%)	22 (55%)	0.014*	0.310 (0.122–0.789)	0.045*	0.394 (0.159–0.978)

[®]: reference group, OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, UL: upper limit, LL: lower limit. OR₁, p_1 : odds ratio and p value for comparing between cancer and control. OR₂, p_2 : odds ratio and p value for comparing between BPH and control. *Statistically significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

and control groups (51.3% vs. 37.5% and 25%, resp.). Patients carrying IL-8 rs2227306C are 3.154 times at higher risk to develop PCa than those carrying T allele (Table 4).

Determination of the frequency of IL-6 and IL-8 SNPs haplotypes showed that the presence of IL-6 rs1800795G and IL-8 rs2227306C alleles in the same patient increase PCa risk by 17.7 times ($p < 0.001^*$) (Table 5).

3.4. PSA Levels and the Haplotypes of IL-6 rs1800795 and IL-8 rs2227306 SNPs. Statistical correlations between PSA ratio, IL-6rs1800795 SNP, IL-8 rs2227306 SNP and haplotypes of both SNPs among PCa and BPH patients did not show any significant relation (Table 6).

4. Discussion

Prostate cancer is one of the most common tumors among men, with a high mortality rate. Growing evidence incriminates inflammation as a driver of PCa initiation and progression. Environmental exposure to infections, mutagenic agents, and genetic variations predispose to inflammation and increase the expression of inflammatory cytokines as IL-6 and TGF- β [6].

IL-6 and IL-8 were reported to be incriminated in the initiation and progression of prostate tumorigenesis, as IL-6 induces C-reactive protein (CRP) production [12]; promotes PCa cell survival, proliferation, invasion; enhances the progression of PCa to the castration-resistant state; and

TABLE 4: Comparison of allele frequencies of IL-6 rs1800795 and IL-8 rs2227306 SNPs in the three studied groups.

	Allele frequency			Cancer versus control [®]		BPH versus control [®]	
	Cancer (<i>n</i> = 80)	BPH (<i>n</i> = 80)	Control (<i>n</i> = 80)	<i>p</i> ₁	OR ₁ (95%CI) (LL-UL)	<i>p</i> ₂	OR ₂ (95%CI) (LL-UL)
IL-6 rs1800795							
C versus G	30 (37.5%)	43 (53.8%)	62 (77.5%)	<0.001*	0.174 (0.087–0.348)	0.002*	0.337 (0.170–0.669)
G versus C	50 (62.5%)	37 (46.3%)	18 (22.5%)	<0.001*	5.741 (2.871–11.480)	0.002*	2.964 (1.495–5.877)
IL-8 rs2227306							
C versus T	41 (51.3%)	30 (37.5%)	20 (25%)	0.001*	3.154 (1.615–6.161)	0.090	1.800 (0.913–3.549)
T versus C	39 (48.8%)	50 (62.5%)	60 (75%)	0.001*	0.317 (0.162–0.619)	0.090	0.556 (0.282–1.095)

[®]: reference group, OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, UL: upper limit, LL: lower limit. OR₁, *p*₁: odds ratio and *p* value for comparing between cancer and control. OR₂, *p*₂: odds ratio and *p* value for comparing between BPH and control. *Statistically significant at *p* ≤ 0.05.

TABLE 5: Comparison of haplotype frequencies of IL-6 rs1800795 and IL-8 rs2227306 SNPs in the affected and the control groups.

Haplotype IL-6 rs1800795 and IL-8 rs2227306	Haplotype frequencies (%)			Cancer versus control [®]		BPH versus control [®]	
	Cancer (<i>n</i> = 80)	BPH (<i>n</i> = 80)	Control (<i>n</i> = 80)	<i>p</i> ₁	OR ₁ (95%CI) (LL-UL)	<i>p</i> ₂	OR ₂ (95%CI) (LL-UL)
CC versus (CT + GC + GT)	17 (21.3%)	26 (32.5%)	18 (22.5%)	0.848	0.930 (0.439–1.967)	0.158	1.658 (0.821–3.350)
CT versus (CC + GC + GT)	13 (16.3%)	17 (21.3%)	44 (55%)	<0.001*	0.159 (0.076–0.333)	<0.001*	0.221 (0.110–0.442)
GC versus (CC + CT + GT)	24 (30%)	4 (5%)	2 (2.5%)	<0.001*	16.714 (3.794–73.631)	0.414	2.053 (0.365–11.538)
GT versus (CC + CT + GC)	26 (32.5%)	33 (41.3%)	16 (20%)	0.075	1.926 (0.937–3.958)	0.004*	2.809 (1.387–5.689)

[®]: reference group, OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, UL: upper limit, LL: lower limit. OR₁, *p*₁: odds ratio and *p* value for comparing between cancer and control. OR₂, *p*₂: odds ratio and *p* value for comparing between BPH and control. *Statistically significant at *p* ≤ 0.05.

TABLE 6: Relation between PSA ratio with IL-6 rs1800795 and IL-8 rs2227306 in each patient group.

	<i>N</i>	Min.–Max.	PSA ratio % Mean ± SD	Median	<i>H</i>	<i>p</i>	
Cancer group	IL-6 rs1800795						
	CC	8	28.8–57.5	36.5 ± 8.8	35	2.089	0.352
	CG	14	27.2–46.9	35.1 ± 6.9	31.7		
	GG	18	29.1–56.6	38.7 ± 7.3	37.6		
	IL-8 rs2227306						
	CC	12	27.3–47.4	35.5 ± 7.3	33.6	1.262	0.532
	CT	17	29.1–57.5	37.6 ± 8.4	36		
	TT	11	27.2–46.9	37.6 ± 6.3	40		
	Haplotypes of IL-6 rs1800795 and IL-8 rs2227306						
	CC	17	27.3–57.5	35.1 ± 7.7	32.5	2.873	0.412
	CT	13	27.2–57.5	36.8 ± 8	35		
	GC	24	27.3–56.6	37.2 ± 7.8	35.5		
GT	26	27.2–56.6	38.1 ± 6.8	40			
BPH group	IL-6 rs1800795						
	CC	9	15.8–24	18.2 ± 2.7	17.2	0.578	0.749
	CG	25	10.5–22.4	17.6 ± 3.5	17.2		
	GG	6	10.5–21	16.4 ± 4.2	17.7		
	IL-8 rs2227306						
	CC	3	10.5–16.5	14.3 ± 3.3	16	2.726	0.256
	CT	24	10.5–24	17.7 ± 3.5	17.2		
	TT	13	12.1–22.4	18 ± 3.2	19.2		
	Haplotypes of IL-6 rs1800795 and IL-8 rs2227306						
	CC	26	10.5–24	17.6 ± 3.4	17.2	4.502	0.212
	CT	17	12.5–24	18.3 ± 2.9	19.2		
	GC	4	10.5–16.5	13.5 ± 3.5	13.5		
GT	33	10.5–22.4	17.6 ± 3.5	18.8			

H: Kruskal–Wallis test, *p*: *p* value for association between different categories.

inhibits apoptosis [16, 17]. At the same time, IL-8 was found to enhance androgen independence, tumor growth, angiogenesis, and chemoresistance [13].

Recently, the diverse roles of IL-6 and IL-8 have been studied in terms of their SNPs. Different studies have examined the effect of IL-6 SNP and/or IL-8 SNP on diseases' risk, activity, and progression. Results showed marked ethnicity-associated disparities and diverse findings [12, 16–23]. For instance, a meta-analysis of European and Asian studies on IL-6 SNP and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) risk showed that IL-6 SNP was significantly associated with RA in Asian patients but not in the Caucasian population [20]. Another study has reported a strong association between IL-6 SNP and hand joint damage among Mexican patients [19]. In addition, vitamin D receptor (VDR) rs7975232 SNP was reported to predict PCa risk in African American men [23]. However, Cao et al. [24] have reported that PCa antigen 3 SNP (rs544190G > A) was associated with metastatic prostate cancer among the European descent but has no association in the Eastern Chinese population. These results exposed the possible ethnicity-associated polymorphisms.

Accordingly, the current research intended to appraise the relevance of IL-6 rs1800795 SNP and IL-8 rs2227306 (C/T) SNP with PCa, with some emphases on disease risk and severity. Odds ratio (OR) with its 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated to estimate the association's strength. Results showed that the three genotypes (CC, CG, and GG) of IL-6 rs1800795 SNP were presented in all studied groups with different frequencies where GG genotype was more frequent among PCa patients in comparison with BPH patients and control groups. Patients carrying IL-6 rs1800795GG genotype were at higher risk to develop PCa than those carrying CC genotype [OR1 (95%CI) = 10.091 (2.665–38.204), $p = 0.001^*$]. Such difference was more obvious when comparing allele frequencies where IL-6 rs1800795G allele showed a higher frequency in PCa patients (susceptibility allele) and the associated OR was 5.741 ($p < 0.001^{**}$). This association can be explained by the fact that such IL-6 promoter SNP may influence IL-6 gene expression and serum level [13]. This in turn will promote inflammatory pathways in PCa patients [6].

These results were in agreement with a study on the European American men where the C allele of IL-6 rs1800795 (-174, G/C) SNP, a known functional variant, was associated with increased PCa risk [25]. Moreover, a study on a northwest Iranian population has reported that IL-6 rs1800795 (-174, G/C) SNP represents a good predictor for PCa susceptibility and bone metastasis as it was concomitant with increased IL-6 production and PCa risk. They have explained this by the fact that the promoter SNPs -174G > C (rs1800795) can modify the transcriptional pattern of IL-6 gene affecting its production and in turn inflammatory processes that can predispose to PCa development [11].

Recently, a meta-analysis was performed on 97 original research covering IL-6 promoter SNPs and noticed a significant association with cancer risk and prognosis. Subgroup analysis showed that IL-6 rs1800795 SNP was significantly related to an increased possibility of cancer of

breast, cervix, prostate, colon, and/or lung but not gastric cancer or multiple myeloma [26].

On the other hand, some studies could not find any link between IL-6 rs1800795 (-174, G/C) SNP and PCa risk [18, 27]. A study in Han people (Hubei region) has reported that PCa development and progression was not linked to rs1800795 (-174, G/C) SNP of IL-6 promoter region [27]. In a study in Eastern Croatia, no association was noticed between IL-6 rs1800795 (-174, G/C) SNP and PCa risk. They suggested that the distribution of IL-6-174 SNP may vary between the different ethnic groups [18].

Regarding IL-8, it was reported that IL-8 levels are significantly upregulated in PCa tissues. It is suggested to exhibit direct oncogenicity via induction of tumor cells proliferation, invasion, and attenuation of apoptosis [5]. IL-8 secretion from prostate epithelial cells is strongly related to the aggressiveness of the tumor [6]. Thus, analysis of IL-8 gene polymorphisms could be valuable in affecting its expression level.

In the current study, allelic discrimination of IL-8 rs2227306 (C/T) SNP revealed a higher frequency of CC genotype among PCa patients in comparison with the other groups and the associated OR was 8.143 ($P = 0.009^*$). This difference was more obvious when comparing allele frequencies. IL-8 rs2227306C allele showed higher frequency in PCa patients compared to BPH patients and control groups (51.3% vs. 37.5% and 25%, resp.). These findings suggest that IL-8 rs2227306T allele could be a protective allele [OR (95% CI) = 0.317 (0.162–0.619), $p = 0.001^*$], while IL-8 rs2227306C allele might be a risk allele [OR (95%CI) = 3.154 (1.615–6.161), $p = 0.001^*$]. Statistical analysis of associated IL-6 and IL-8 SNPs haplotypes frequency showed that the presence of IL-6 rs1800795G and IL-8 rs2227306C alleles in the same patient increase PCa risk by 16.7 times ($p < 0.001^*$). However, correlation analysis between PSA ratio and both of IL-6 and IL-8 SNP did not show any significant relation among PCa patients. This indicates that these genotypes or haplotypes did not have any effect on the severity of PCa.

In partial agreement with our results, a meta-analysis has explored the roles of IL-8 rs2227306 SNP and cancer risk in 22 case-control studies; they identified a significant decrease of hepatocellular carcinoma risk with IL-8 rs2227306T/C polymorphism (OR = 0.72, 95% CI = 0.56–0.91, $p = 0.007^*$) [28]. This indicates the protective effect of T allele. Others have evaluated the association between IL-8 rs4073 SNP and PCa risk and could not find any association [29, 30]. Franz et al. [30] have reported that IL-8 SNP could influence its production. They have investigated the influence of the IL-8-251T/A SNP on PCa susceptibility and clinicopathological characteristics in Brazilian patients but did not find any association. These divergences in results could be related to the heterogeneity of PCa [24], location of the SNP on the gene [31], and/or ethnic differences [24].

Finally, the main limitations in our study are the inability to screen larger panels of alleles and we could not relate our results with the family cancer history of patients. Thus, further large-scale studies concerning genomic sequencing, gene-environment, and/or gene-gene interaction are recommended, particularly in families with a history of PCa.

5. Conclusion

IL-6 rs1800795G and IL-8 rs2227306C alleles may be considered risk factors for PCa, particularly if presented together. However, no relation was found between both cytokines SNP and the severity of PCa.

Data Availability

All the data are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Additional Points

Research highlights are as follows: (1) IL-6 rs1800795 G and IL-8 rs2227306 C alleles could be considered risk factors for PCa development, particularly if presented together. (2) Patients carrying IL-6 rs1800795G allele are 5.741 times at higher risk to develop PCa than those carrying C allele. (3) Patients carrying IL-8 rs2227306 C are 3.154 times at higher risk to develop PCa than those carrying T allele. (4) Association of IL-6 rs1800795G and IL-8 rs2227306C alleles in the same patient increase PCa risk by 16.7 times. (5) Correlation analysis between PSA ratio, and both of IL-6 and IL-8 SNP did not show any significant relation among PCa patients.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval (no. 06–03/41, 2019) was obtained from the Local Committee of Bioethics of Jouf University. The study adopts the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent

Informed written consent was collected from all participants with guarantees of confidentiality. There was no risk to the participants.

Conflicts of Interest

None of the contributing authors has any conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Dr. Amany A. Ghazy and Dr. Mohamed J. Alenezi planned the study. Dr. Mohamed J. Alenezi collected the samples. Dr. Amany A. Ghazy performed laboratory work. The authors contributed to writing and revising the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Diaa Abdelfatah, Lecturer of Urology, Kafr El-Sheikh University, for assisting in collecting samples from Kafr El-Sheikh University Hospital. They also thank the EPCRS Excellence Center (certified according to ISO 9001, ISO 14001, and OHSAS 18001), Faculty of Agriculture, Kafr El-Sheikh University, Egypt. The center gave them

access to perform real-time PCR work there. This study was funded by Jouf University (Project no. 40/95), Saudi Arabia, as a part of the authors' employment duties.

References

- [1] F. M. B. Zambra, V. Biolchi, I. S. Brum, and J. A. B. Chies, "CCR2 and CCR5 genes polymorphisms in benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer," *Human Immunology*, vol. 74, no. 8, pp. 1003–1008, 2013.
- [2] M. J. Alenzi, A. A. Ghazy, and D. E. Taha, "The weight of HLA-DPA1 rs3077 single nucleotide polymorphism in prostate cancer, a multicenter study," *Prostate Cancer*, vol. 2021, Article ID 5539851, 5 pages, 2021.
- [3] Y. Pang, H. Li, Y. Gong et al., "Association of CCL2, CCR2 and CCL5 genetic polymorphisms with the development and progression of benign prostatic hyperplasia," *Oncology Reports*, vol. 41, 2019.
- [4] M. Taheri, R. Noroozi, A. Dehghan, G. A. Roozbahani, M. D. Omrani, and S. Ghafouri-Fard, "Interleukin (IL)-8 polymorphisms and risk of prostate disorders," *Gene*, vol. 692, pp. 22–25, 2019.
- [5] Y. Guo, Y. Zang, L. Lv et al., "IL-8 promotes proliferation and inhibition of apoptosis via STAT3/AKT/NF- κ B pathway in prostate cancer," *Molecular Medicine Report*, vol. 16, pp. 9035–9042, 2017.
- [6] M. Archer, N. Dogra, and N. Kyprianou, "Inflammation as a driver of prostate cancer metastasis and therapeutic resistance," *Cancers*, vol. 12, no. 10, 2984 pages, 2020.
- [7] M. Hashemi, N. Moradi, S. A. M. Ziaee et al., "Association between single nucleotide polymorphism in miR-499, miR-196a2, miR-146a and miR-149 and prostate cancer risk in a sample of Iranian population," *Journal of Advanced Research*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 491–498, 2016.
- [8] M. Hashemi, H. Danesh, F. Bizhani et al., "Pri-miR-34b/c rs4938723 polymorphism increased the risk of prostate cancer," *Cancer Biomarkers*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 155–159, 2017.
- [9] J. Jurecekova, E. Babusikova, M. Kmetova-Sivonova et al., "Association between interleukin-18 variants and prostate cancer in Slovak population," *Neoplasma*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 148–155, 2017.
- [10] X. Qi, Y. Wang, J. Hou, and Y. Huang, "A single nucleotide polymorphism in HPGD gene is associated with prostate cancer risk," *Journal of Cancer*, vol. 8, no. 19, pp. 4083–4086, 2017.
- [11] G. Dargahi-Abbasabad, S. M. Banan-Khojasteh, H. Eskandari-Naji, M. R. Zamani, H. Hajipour, and H. Serati-Nouri, "An interleukin-6 single nucleotide polymorphism and susceptibility to prostate adenocarcinoma and bone metastasis in an Iranian population," *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 1717–1720, 2018.
- [12] A. H. Ad'hiah, A. S. Mahmood, A. A. Al-kazaz, and K. K. Mayouf, "Gene expression and six single nucleotide polymorphisms of interleukin-6 in rheumatoid arthritis: a case-control study in Iraqi patients," *Alexandria Journal of Medicine*, vol. 54, no. 4, pp. 639–645, 2018.
- [13] S. Araki, Y. Omori, D. Lyn et al., "Interleukin-8 is a molecular determinant of androgen independence and progression in prostate cancer," *Cancer Research*, vol. 67, no. 14, pp. 6854–6862, 2007.
- [14] S. A. Greenspoon, M. A. Scarpetta, M. L. Drayton, and S. A. Turek, "QIAamp spin columns as a method of DNA isolation for forensic casework," *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 1024–1030, 1998.

- [15] A. Callegaro, R. Spinelli, L. Beltrame et al., "Algorithm for automatic genotype calling of single nucleotide polymorphisms using the full course of Taq Man real-time data," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 14, 2006.
- [16] D. P. Nguyen, J. Li, and A. K. Tewari, "Inflammation and prostate cancer: the role of interleukin 6 (IL-6)," *BJU International*, vol. 113, no. 6, pp. 986–992, 2014.
- [17] E. H. Cheteh, V. Sarne, S. Ceder et al., "Interleukin-6 derived from cancer-associated fibroblasts attenuates the p53 response to doxorubicin in prostate cancer cells," *Cell Death Discovery*, vol. 6, no. 42, 42 pages, 2020.
- [18] S. Mandić, B. Sudarević, S. Marci et al., "Interleukin-6 polymorphism and prostate cancer risk in population of Eastern Croatia," *Collegium Antropologicum*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 907–911, 2013.
- [19] S. A. Zavaleta-Muñiz, L. Gonzalez-Lopez, J. D. Murillo-Vazquez et al., "Association between -174G/C and -572G/C interleukin 6 gene polymorphisms and severe radiographic damage to the hands of Mexican patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a preliminary report," *Genetics and Molecular Research*, vol. 15, no. 4, 2016.
- [20] S. A. Dar, S. Haque, R. K. Mandal et al., "Interleukin-6-174G > C (rs1800795) polymorphism distribution and its association with rheumatoid arthritis: a case-control study and meta-analysis," *Autoimmunity*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 158–169, 2017.
- [21] M. Di Salvatore, F. Pietrantonio, A. Orlandi et al., "IL-8 and eNOS polymorphisms predict bevacizumab-based first line treatment outcomes in RAS mutant metastatic colorectal cancer patients," *Oncotarget*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 16887–16898, 2017.
- [22] J. Jurečeková, H. Drobková, M. Šarlinová et al., "The role of interleukin-6 polymorphism (rs1800795) in prostate cancer development and progression," *Anticancer Research*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 3663–3667, 2018.
- [23] M. R. Daremipouran, D. Beyene, V. Apprey et al., "The association of a novel identified VDR SNP with prostate cancer in african American men," *Cancer Genomics-Proteomics*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 245–255, 2019.
- [24] D. Cao, C. Gu, D. Ye, B. Dai, and Y. Zhu, "PCA3 rs544190G>A and prostate cancer risk in an eastern Chinese population," *International Brazilian Journal of Urology*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 500–505, 2018.
- [25] B. L. Pierce, M. L. Biggs, M. DeCambre et al., "C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, and prostate cancer risk in men aged 65 years and older," *Cancer Causes & Control*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1193–1203, 2009.
- [26] X. Peng, J. Shi, W. Sun et al., "Genetic polymorphisms of IL-6 promoter in cancer susceptibility and prognosis: a meta-analysis," *Oncotarget*, vol. 9, no. 15, pp. 12351–12364, 2018.
- [27] S. Bao, W. Yang, S. Zhou, and Z. Ye, "Relationship between single nucleotide polymorphisms in -174G/C and -634C/G promoter region of interleukin-6 and prostate cancer," *Journal of Huazhong University of Science and Technology [Medical Sciences]*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 693–696, 2008.
- [28] M. Zhang, T. Fang, K. Wang et al., "Association of polymorphisms in interleukin-8 gene with cancer risk: a meta-analysis of 22 case-control studies," *OncoTargets and Therapy*, vol. 9, pp. 3727–3737, 2016.
- [29] Y. Chen, H. Zhong, J. G. Gao, J. E. Tang, and R. Wang, "A systematic review and meta-analysis of three gene variants association with risk of prostate cancer: an update," *Urology Journal*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 2138–2147, 2015.
- [30] J. M. Franz, P. Portela, P. H. Salim et al., "CXCR2 +1208 CT genotype may predict earlier clinical stage at diagnosis in patients with prostate cancer," *Cytokine*, vol. 97, pp. 193–200, 2017.
- [31] Y. Qian, L. Zhang, M. Cai et al., "The prostate cancer risk variant rs55958994 regulates multiple gene expression through extreme long-range chromatin interaction to control tumor progression," *Science Advances*, vol. 5, no. 7, Article ID eaaw6710, 2019.