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#### Abstract

BH3 mimetics are promising novel anticancer therapeutics. By selectively inhibiting BCL-2, BCL-xL, or MCL-1 (i.e. ABT-199, A1331852, S63845) they shift the balance of pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins in favor of apoptosis. As Bromodomain and Extra Terminal (BET) protein inhibitors promote pro-apoptotic rebalancing, we evaluated the potential of the BET inhibitor JQ1 in combination with ABT-199, A-1331852 or S63845 in rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) cells. The strongest synergistic interaction was identified for JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment, which reduced cell viability and long-term clonogenic survival. Mechanistic studies revealed that JQ1 upregulated BIM and NOXA accompanied by downregulation of BCL-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$, promoting proapoptotic rebalancing of BCL-2 proteins. JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment enhanced this pro-apoptotic rebalancing and triggered BAK- and BAX-dependent apoptosis since a) genetic silencing of BIM, BAK or BAX, b) inhibition of caspase activity with zVAD.fmk and c) overexpression of BCL-2 all rescued JQ1/A-1331852- and JQ1/S63845-induced cell death. Interestingly, NOXA played a different role in both treatments, as genetic silencing of NOXA significantly rescued from JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis but not from JQ1/S63845-mediated apoptosis. In summary, JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment represent new promising therapeutic strategies to synergistically trigger mitochondrial apoptosis in RMS.
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## Introduction

Rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) is a frequent soft-tissue sarcoma in children and adolescents comprising two major subtypes, the alveolar subtype (ARMS) and the embryonal subtype (ERMS), which are classified by specific genetic alterations and phenotypes [1]. While the overall prognosis for RMS has steadily improved over the last years due to multimodal treatment, the prognosis for ARMS and ERMS patients suffering relapses is still poor, highlighting the need to develop new therapies [2].

Bromodomains (BRDs) are important epigenetic regulators of transcription by recognizing acetylated lysines of histones. The bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) proteins consist of BRD2, BRD3, BRD4 and BRDT and belong to the BRD subfamily II. In recent years, especially BRD4 has become a well-characterized protein influencing lineage-specific genes or promoting gene-specific transcription [3]. Some cancer cells are highly dependent on BRD4, since oncogenes such as MYC are regulated
by enhancers and super-enhancers harboring high levels of acetylated histones resulting in BRD4 recruitment and transcriptional activation [4, 5]. Consequently, BET inhibitors (e.g. JQ1) have emerged as potent novel anticancer drugs in various tumor entities. BET inhibitors displace BET proteins from acetylated chromatin, thereby interfering with BET mediated transcriptional activation [3]. So far, results of clinical trials with BET inhibitors show limited efficiency in various cancer types, in particular due to dose limiting toxicities and early onset of resistance. Thus, pan-BET inhibition alone is not sufficient to induce cell death [5-7]. However, BET inhibition has been shown to synergize with various chemotherapeutics to induce apoptosis in many cancer types $[5,6,8]$.

Apoptosis is a form of programmed cell death and can be divided into mitochondrial (intrinsic) and death receptor (extrinsic) apoptosis. The intrinsic apoptotic pathway is tightly regulated by the balance of the BCL2 protein family, which include the pro-apoptotic BH 3 -only proteins (e.g. BIM, NOXA, BMF), the multidomain effector proteins BAK and BAX as well as the anti-apoptotic proteins (e.g. BCL-2, BCL-xL, or MCL-1) [9,10]. In general, BAK and BAX are neutralized by binding to anti-apoptotic BCL2 proteins. BH3-only proteins can activate BAK and BAX either directly or indirectly, by displacing them from the anti-apoptotic BCL-2 proteins. As a result, activated BAK and BAX oligomerize to form pores in the mitochondrial outer membrane resulting in mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization and release of mitochondrial proteins into the cytoplasm including cytochrome c. Upon cytochrome c release, the apoptosome is formed resulting in caspase activation and execution of apoptosis $[9,10]$.

Since the balance of BCL-2 proteins is crucial for the induction of apoptosis [10], BH3 mimetics (i.e. ABT-199, A-1331852, S63845) selectively inhibiting anti-apoptotic BCL-2 proteins (BCL-2, BCL-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$, or MCL-1) have been developed [11-14]. In many cancer types including RMS the effect of BH3 mimetics as single treatment is limited [15, 16]. However, previous studies have highlighted the potential of BH 3 mimetics in combination therapies in RMS in vitro [17, 18]. Since our previous studies highlighted the potential of BET inhibition to rebalance BCL-2 proteins in favor of apoptosis [6, 19], we hypothesized that RMS cells are highly vulnerable to a dual treatment using BET inhibitors and BH3 mimetics.

## Materials \& methods

## Cell culture and chemicals

RMS cell lines were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Manassas, VA, USA) or the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen GmbH (Braunschweig, Germany) and cultivated in DMEM GlutaMAXX medium or RPMI 1640 medium (Life Technologies Inc., Eggenstein, Germany) with addition of $1 \%$ Penicillin/Streptomycin and 1 mM sodium pyruvate $10 \%-20 \%$ fetal calf serum (FCS), and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in air. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were obtained from buffy coat from heathy blood donors by the Deutsches Rotes Kreuz Blutspendedienst Frankfurt. JQ1 was kindly provided by S. Knapp and M. Wanior (Frankfurt, Germany). The BH3 mimetics ABT-199, A-1331852, S63845 selectively targeting BCL-2, BCL-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$, or MCL-1 were obtained from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA) and the broad-range caspase inhibitor N-benzyloxycarbonyl-Val-Ala-Asp-fluoromethylketone (zVAD.fmk) from Bachem (Heidelberg, Germany). All inhibitors were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at variable stock concentrations.

## Determination of metabolic activity, cell death and clonogenic growth

Metabolic activity was measured by MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay as described by manufacturer's instructions (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). Cell death was
either determined by propidium iodide (PI)/Hoechst 33342 double staining (both purchased from Sigma Aldrich) using fluorescence-based microscopic analysis or, alternatively, flow cytometric analysis (FACS Canto II, BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) of PI-stained nuclei. For clonogenic growth assay, cells were seeded in a 24 -well plate at a density of 30000 cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and allowed to attach overnight, treated the next day for 24 hours as indicated and afterwards detached by trypsin and counted. In dependence of the cell line, 100-400 cells/well were reseeded in a six-well plate. Eight days after reseeding, medium was substituted with fresh medium and colonies were stained after 12-14 days with crystal violet solution ( $0.5 \%$ crystal violet, $30 \%$ ethanol, $3 \%$ formaldehyde). The percentage of colonies relative to solventtreated controls was calculated after manual counting of the colonies.

## Determination of caspase-3/-7 activity

To determine activation of caspase-3 and -7 cells at a density of 15000 cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ were seeded in a 96 -well plate and allowed to attach overnight. The next day cells were treated as indicated for 18 hours, followed by addition of Cell Event Caspase-3/-7 Green Detection Reagent (Life Technologies Inc.) to each well at a final concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ followed by incubation for six hours. Next, the cells were stained with Hoechst 33342 and percentage of cells with caspase-3/-7 activation was calculated using fluorescence-based microscopic analysis.

## Transduction

Transduction of RMS cells was performed as described previously [20]. In summary, Phoenix packaging cells were transfected with $20 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ virus using calcium phosphate transfection as described previously [20]. For murine BCL-2 overexpression murine stem cell virus (PMSCV, Clontech, Mountain View, CA, USA) containing mBCL-2 or empty vector (EV) and Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies, Inc.) were used and selected with 0.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ G418 (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany).

For BCL-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ overexpression RH30 and RH36 cells were transiently transfected with $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ pMIG plasmid containing empty vector or BCL-xL (addgene (Plasmid \#8790)) using Lipofectamine 200 (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's instructions.

## $R N A$ interference

For transient siRNA knockdown cells were seeded at a density of 20000 cells $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ and reversely transfected with 20 nM SilencerSelect siRNA (Life Technologies Inc.) using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) and OptiMEM (Life Technologies). BAK was targeted with constructs s1880 and 1881, BAX with s1888 and s1900, BIM with constructs s195012, s223065 and NOXA with constructs s10709 and s10710. Construct s4390843 was used as non-silencing control siRNA. For knockdown, Lipofectamine RNAiMAX and siRNA were separately diluted in OptiMEM and the two dilutions were then combined and incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature and distributed on top of the cells. Eight hours after transfection medium was exchanged.

## Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was conducted as described before [21] using the following antibodies: mouse anti- $\alpha$-Tubulin (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany; Cat. No. CP06-100UG) mouse anti- $\beta$-Actin (Sigma Aldrich, Germany; Cat. No. A5441), mouse anti-GAPDH (HyTest, Turku, Finland; Cat. No. 5G4-6C5), mouse anti-VINCULIN (Sigma Aldrich, Germany, Cat. No. V9131-100UL), rabbit anti-BIM, rabbit anti-BCL-x $x_{L}$, rabbit antiBAX, mouse anti-MCL-1, mouse anti-NOXA, rabbit anti-BAK-NT (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany; Cat. No. 06-599, 06-536) and mouse anti-BCL-2
(Dako, Santa Clara, CA, USA; Cat. No. M088701-2). For detection, goat anti-rabbit, goat anti-mouse and goat anti-rat $\operatorname{IgG}$ conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA; Cat. No. SC2004, SC-2005, SC-2006) and enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham Biosciences, Freiburg, Germany) or infrared dye-labelled donkey anti-rabbit and donkey anti-mouse IgG secondary antibodies and infrared imaging (Odysee Imaging System, LI-COR Biosciences, Bad Homburg, Germany) were used. Representative blots of at least two independent experiments are shown.

## $B A K$ and BAX activation

Immunoprecipitation of active BAK and BAX complexes was performed as previously described [19]. In brief, RMS cells were lysed in CHAPS lysis buffer ( $1 \%$ CHAPS: 10 mM HEPES ( pH 7.4 ); 150 mM NaCl ) with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Grenzach, Germany). For IP $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ mouse antiBAX 6A7 antibody (Sigma, Germany) or $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ mouse anti-BAK antibody (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA) and $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ pan-mouse IgG Dynabeads were added to $1000 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ protein and incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. Next, the precipitate was washed three times with CHAPS buffer. For detection of activated BAX and BAK expression Western blot analysis was performed with either rabbit anti-BAX antibody (Cell Signaling, Beverly; Cat. No. 2772S) or rabbit anti-BAK NT antibody (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany; Cat. No. 06536) as following antibody.

## 3D multicellular tumor spheroid model

Rhabdomyosarcoma cells, RH30 and RH36, were seeded in ultra-low attachment 96 -well plates (Corning, NY, USA) in $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ total volume to generate one spheroid per well, as described previously [22]. The initial spheroids consisted of 2500 cells per well for RH30 and 5000 cells per well for RH36 cells. Cell numbers were empirically determined to achieve similar spheroid size on the day of treatment. After seeding in respective cell culture medium, the plate was centrifuged at 1000 xg for 10 minutes and incubated for 3 days before treatment. On the day of treatment JQ1, A1331852 or S63845 were added alone or in combination to reach a final concentration of $0.5,0.25$ and $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$, in a total volume of $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per well. To assess cell death, tumor spheroids were stained with Hoechst, for 1 hour (final concentration $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and PI for 30 minutes (final concentration 2 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Image acquisition was performed using an ImageXpress Micro XLS Widefield Analysis System (Molecular Devices, Sunnydale, CA) and analysis using MetaXpress with a custom module algorithm (Version 6.5.4.532, Molecular Devices, Sunnydale, CA) and FIJI (ImageJ version 1.53c) [23].

## Statistical analysis

For comparison of two samples calculation of statistical significance twotailed, two sample, equal variance student's t-test was used. To compare more than two samples we performed one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnet's multiple comparisons test using GraphPad Prism (version 7.03, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). To analyze drug interaction of JQ1 with A1331852 or S63845, Bliss synergy score was ascertained using Synergy Finder [24]. For this score values $>1$ signify synergism, whereas values $<-1$ signify antagonism and everything in between additivity [24].

## Data availability

Primary data are available on request from the authors.

## Results

## JQ1 and BH3 mimetics synergize to induce cell death in RMS cells

To characterize the sensitivity of RMS cells towards single treatment with the BH3 mimetics, MCL-1 inhibitor (MCL1i) S63845, the Bcl- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ inhibitor (Bcl- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{i}$ ) A-1331852 and the BCL-2 inhibitor (BCL-2i) ABT-199, we performed dose-dependent experiments in two representative ERMS cell lines (RD, RH36) and two representative ARMS cell lines (RH30, RH41). All tested cell lines showed moderate cell death induction as indicated by PIpositive cells in response to single treatment with the three BH 3 mimetics (Supplementary Figure 1). Encouraged by our previous data that RMS cells are sensitive to combination treatments with the BET inhibitor JQ1 [6, 19], we next treated the RMS cells with the BH 3 mimetics in combination with JQ1 to induce cell death. Combination of JQ1 with either S63845, A1331852 or ABT-199 synergistically induced cell death in a dose-dependent manner, as indicated by PI-positive cells and Bliss synergy score (Figure 1A, Supplementary Table 1). By calculating the Bliss synergy score, the strongest synergism could be identified upon JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 cotreatment (Supplementary Table 1). Interestingly, this synergistic effect points to some tumor selectivity as all three combinations did not induce cell death in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) as indicated by less than 20\% PI-positive cells upon combination treatment (Supplementary Figure 2). Since the combinations of JQ1 with either A-1331852 or S63845 turned out to be most potent in RH36 and RH30 cells, we decided to focus on these combinations in RH36 and RH30 cells. To test both co-treatments in a pre-clinical test model displaying physiologically relevant 3D cell-cell interactions of in vivo tumors, we next assessed the effect of JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment in 3D spheroid models. JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment induced significantly more PI positive cells compared to control in RH36 and RH30 spheroids. Furthermore, both cotreatments induced significantly more PI positive cells compared to the single treatments in RH30 cells (Supplementary Figure 3). In order to investigate the time dependency of JQ1/A-1331852- and JQ1/S63845-mediated cell death induction, we treated RH36 and RH30 cells with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{JQ1}$ and/or $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S63845}$ and/or A-1331852 and measured PI-positive cells from 24 to 96 hours (Figure 1B). Both combinations potently induced cell death in a time-dependent manner as indicated by more than $60 \%$ PI-positive cells after 72 and 96 hours, while single treatment with S63845 resulted in $18 \%$ PI-positive cells and single treatment with A-1331852 36\% PI-positive cells after 96 hours (Figure 1B). In summary, JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S638450 co-treatment induced cell death in a time- and dose-dependent manner.

## JQ1 and S63845 or A-1331852 cooperate to reduce cell viability and inhibit colony formation

The effect of JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment on RMS cells was further investigated in cell viability experiments measuring the metabolic activity after 72 hours. Importantly, JQ1 cooperated with A1331852 and S63845 to reduce cell viability (Figure 2A). To study the long-term effects of JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatments, we next performed colony formation assays (Figure 2B). JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment both significantly reduced colony formation in RH36 and RH30 cells compared to the untreated control and JQ1-treated RMS cells (Figure 2B).

## JQ1 and S63845 or A-1331852 cooperate to induce caspase activation and caspase-dependent apoptosis

Since we wanted to characterize the molecular mechanisms of JQ1/A-1331852- and JQ1/S63845-mediated cell death, we next analyzed DNA


Fig. 1. JQ1 and BH3 mimetics synergize to induce cell death in RMS cells. RMS cell lines (RD, RH36, RH30 and RH41) were treated with the indicated concentrations of JQ1 and/or S63845 (MCL1i), A-1331852 (Bcl-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ i) or ABT-199 (BCL-2i) for 72h. (A) Cell death was determined by analysis of PI/Hoechst staining using the ImageXpress Micro XLS system. Data are shown as mean and SD of at least three independent experiments performed in triplicate. (B) RMS cell lines were treated with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ JQ1 and/or $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S63845}$ (MCL1i) and/or A-1331852 (Bcl- $-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{i}$ ) for the indicated time points and cell death was determined by analysis of PI/Hoechst staining using the ImageXpress Micro XLS system Data are shown as mean and SD of at least three independent experiments performed in triplicate.


Fig. 2. JQ1 and S63845 or A-1331852 cooperate to reduce cell viability and inhibit colony formation. (A) Metabolic activity of RMS cells was detected by MTT assay. (B) RMS cells were treated with indicated concentrations of JQ1 and S63845 or A-1331852 for 24 hours and colony formation was assessed after 12-14 days by manual counting of crystal violet stained colonies. The number of colonies is displayed as percentage of solvent-treated controls (left panels) and representative images are shown (right panels). ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.1,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$ comparing JQ1/S63845 or JQ1/A-1331852 co-treated cells to either single treatments or control.
fragmentation and caspase activation, which are typical hallmarks of caspasedependent apoptosis. JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment induced significantly more DNA fragmentation in comparison to single treatments after 72 hours (Figure 3A). In addition, JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment significantly increased caspase-3/-7 activation compared to single treatments after 24 hours (Figure 3B). The functional relevance of caspase activation for JQ1/A-1331852- and JQ1/S63845induced cell death is underlined by experiments with the broad range caspase inhibitor zVAD.fmk significantly rescued RH36 and RH30 cells from JQ1/A-1331852- and JQ1/S63845-induced DNA fragmentation (Figure 3C). Taken together, these data show that JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment induced caspase-dependent apoptosis.

## JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-induced cell death is dependent on $B A K$ and BAX

Mitochondrial apoptosis is tightly regulated by the pro-apoptotic multidomain proteins BAK and BAX that control mitochondrial membrane
permeabilization by forming pores in the outer mitochondrial membrane. Therefore, we assessed BAK and BAX activation upon treatment with JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment, using conformation-specific antibodies. While JQ1, A-1331852 or S63845 resulted in minor activation of BAX both co-treatments resulted in pronounced increase of activated BAX protein levels. In contrast, A-1331852 and S63845 single treatment as well as JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment resulted in increase of activated BAK protein levels. Besides, A-1331852 and JQ1/A-1331852 co-treatment resulted in higher levels of activated BAK levels compared to S63845 or JQ1/S63845 (Figure 4A). To investigate the functional relevance of BAK and BAX activation, we performed individual knockdown of either BAK or BAX, which was confirmed by Western blotting (Figure 4B, 4D). Individual knockdown of either BAK or BAX both significantly rescued from JQ1/S63845- or JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis (Figure 4C, 4E). Of note, JQ1/S63845-induced cell death was rescued more efficiently by genetic silencing of BAK as indicated by a significant reduction of RH36 and RH30 PI-positive cells from $60 \%$ to $23 \%$ and $59 \%$ to $22 \%$. In contrast, upon JQ1/A-1331852 treatment, BAK knockdown only reduced cell death


Fig. 3. JQ1 and S63845 or A-1331852 cooperate to induce caspase activation and caspase-dependent apoptosis. (A) RMS cell lines were treated with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{JQ1}$ and/or $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S63845}$ (MCL1i) and/or A-1331852 (Bcl- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{i}$ ) for 72 h and apoptosis was determined by analysis of DNA fragmentation of PI-stained nuclei using flow cytometry. Mean and SD of three experiments performed in triplicate are shown. ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$ comparing JQ1/S63845 or JQ1/A-1331852 co-treated cells to either single treatments or control. (B). RMS cell lines were treated with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{JQ1}$ and/or $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S63845}$ (MCL1i) and/or A-1331852 (Bcl- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{i}$ ). Caspase activation was determined by Cell Event Caspase-3/-7 Green Detection Reagent and ImageXpress Micro XLS system. Data represent the mean $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments, performed in triplicates; ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05$; ** $\mathrm{p}<0.01$ comparing JQ1/S63845 or JQ1/A-1331852 co-treated cells. (C) RMS cell lines were treated with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ JQ1 and/or $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S} 63845$ (MCL1i) and/or A-1331852 (Bcl-xLi) in the absence and presence of $50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{zVAD}$.fmk for 72 h . Apoptosis was determined by analysis of DNA fragmentation of PI-stained nuclei using flow cytometry. Data represent the mean $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments, performed in triplicates; ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$ comparing JQ1/S63845 or JQ1/A-1331852 co-treated cells in the absence and presence of $50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ zVAD.fmk.
of RH36 and RH30 cells to $41 \%$ or $48 \%$ PI-positive cells, respectively (Figure 4C). BAX knockdown turned out to be more efficient in rescuing JQ1/A-1331852-mediated cell death compared to JQ1/S63845-mediated cell death in RH36 and RH30 cells (Figure 4E). However, the differences in the rescue effect of BAX knockdown upon both treatments were slightly less pronounced compared to the effect seen after BAK knockdown (Figure 4C, 4E). Taken together, these results display that JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A1331852 -mediated cell death is dependent on BAK and BAX.

## JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment differently regulate BIM and NOXA expression

To further investigate the molecular mechanisms of JQ1/A-1331852and JQ1/S63845-mediated apoptosis, we next investigated the effects of both treatment combinations on the BCL-2 protein family. JQ1 upregulated the pro-apoptotic BCL-2 proteins BIM and NOXA, while downregulating anti-apoptotic $\mathrm{BCL}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$, thereby rebalancing the ratio of pro- and antiapoptotic BCL-2 proteins towards apoptosis (Figure 5A, 5B). Interestingly,

S63845 as well as JQ1/S63845 co-treatment reduced NOXA protein levels already after 6 hours (Figure 5B) and BIM proteins levels after 16 hours (Figure 5A). Furthermore, S63845 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment resulted in slightly increased protein levels of MCL-1 in RH36 cells. A-1331852 single treatment decreased BCL-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ protein levels after 16 hours, but did not affect NOXA protein levels (Figure 5A, 5B). In summary, the most prominent differences between both treatments are the observations that, S63845 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment decreased NOXA protein levels, whereas JQ1 and JQ1/A-1331852 co-treatment increased NOXA protein levels after 6 hours (Figure 5A, 5B).

## JQ1/A-1331852- and JQ1/S63845-mediated apoptosis is dependent on proapoptotic rebalancing of BCL-2 proteins

Next, we investigated the functional relevance of BIM and NOXA for JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis, since both cotreatments displayed different effects on BIM and NOXA protein expression. To this end, we performed siRNA knockdown experiments using two distinct


Fig. 4. JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-induced cell death is dependent on BAK and BAX. (A) RH30 and RH36 cells were treated with JQ1 ( 0.5 $\mu \mathrm{M})$, A133 $(0.25 \mu \mathrm{M})$ and/or S63845 ( $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) for 6 h . Activation of BAX and BAK was detected by immunoprecipitation using active conformation specific antibodies for BAK and BAX and protein expression was analyzed by Western blotting. $\beta$-Actin served as loading control. Representative blots of two experiments are shown. (B-E) RMS cell lines were transiently transfected with non-silencing siRNA (siCtrl) or with one construct targeting BAK (siBAK-1, siBAK-2) (B, C) and one construct targeting BAX (siBAX-1, siBAX-2) (D, E). Expression levels of BAX and BAK were analyzed by Western blotting, $\beta$-Actin served as loading control. Representative blots of at least two independent experiments are shown (B, D). Transiently transfected RMS cell lines were treated with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{JQ1}$ and/or $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S} 63845$ (MCL1i) and/or A-1331852 (Bcl- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{i}$ ) for 72 h and apoptosis was determined by analysis of PI/Hoechst staining using the ImageXpress Micro XLS system (C, E). Data represent the mean and SD of three independent experiments, performed in triplicates; *p $<0.05$, ${ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$.
constructs for targeting BIM and NOXA (Figure 6A, 6D). Interestingly, knockdown of BIM significantly rescued RH36 and RH30 cells from JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis (Figure 6A, 6B). Genetic silencing of NOXA had no significant effect on JQ1/S63845mediated apoptosis in RH36 and RH30 cells (Figure 6C, 6D), whereas JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis was significantly rescued by genetic silencing of NOXA (Figure 6C, 6D). Taken together, BIM is functionally relevant for JQ1/A-1331852- and JQ1/S63845-mediated apoptosis while NOXA is important for JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis, but not for JQ1/S63845-mediated apoptosis.

To further explore the relevance of the mitochondrial pathway for JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-induced apoptosis, we generated RH36 and RH30 cells stably overexpressing murine BCL-2 protein, known to impair mitochondrial apoptosis (Figure 6E, 6F). Overexpression of murine BCL-2 significantly protected RMS cells against JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-induced apoptosis as observed by PI positive cells, highlighting the relevance of the mitochondrial pathway (Figure 6F). Of note, overexpression of BCL-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ slightly rescued from JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852induced apoptosis. However, this effect was not significant (Supplementary Figure 4).


Fig. 5. JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment differently regulate BIM and NOXA expression. (A+B) RMS cells were treated with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ JQ1 and/or $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S} 63845$ (MCL1i) and/or A-1331852 (Bcl-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ) for $6 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~A})$ or $16 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~B})$ and protein expression was analyzed by Western blotting. GAPDH, Vinculin or $\beta$-Actin served as loading control. Representative blots of two experiments are shown.

## Discussion

In recent years, BH 3 mimetics have gained interest as novel cancer therapeutics [15, 25, 26]. BH3 mimetics selectively inhibit BCL-2, BCL$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$, or MCL-1 (ABT-199, A-1331852, S63845), thereby rebalancing proand anti-apoptotic proteins in favor of mitochondrial apoptosis. While BH3 mimetics alone had low efficiency to induce cell death in RMS, several studies have highlighted the potential of BH 3 mimetics in combination treatments for treatment of RMS $[15,17]$.

In this study, we identified a novel synthetic lethal interaction of BH3 mimetics selectively inhibiting the proteins BCL-2, BCL- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$, or MCL-1 (ABT-199, A-1331852, S63845) and the BET inhibitor JQ1 to induce mitochondrial apoptosis in RMS cells by cooperatively rebalancing of proand anti-apoptotic proteins. While former studies were restricted to the investigation of BET inhibition in combination with one BH3 mimetic [27,28], this is the first study to compare three different BH 3 mimetics in combination with JQ1 in RMS cells. The potency of all three combinations was determined by calculation of the Bliss synergy score identifying the combinations of JQ1/S63845 and JQ1/A-1331852 as most synergistic cotreatments. Of note, these combinations exhibited some tumor selectivity, since they have shown negligible effects on non-malignant cells in vitro. The potency of JQ1/S63845 and JQ1/A-1331852 co-treatment was further underlined by JQ1/S63845 and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated cooperative inhibition of cell viability, reduction of colony formation and cell death induction in 3D spheroid models.

Next, we identified mitochondrial apoptosis as molecular mechanism of JQ1/S63845 and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated cell death induction as shown by the following experimental results: First, JQ1/S63845 and JQ1/A-1331852 co-treatment rebalanced pro- and anti-apoptotic

BCL-2 proteins tightly controlling mitochondrial apoptosis. JQ1 alone upregulated pro-apoptotic BIM and NOXA while downregulating BCL$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$, thereby rebalancing pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins in favor of apoptosis without inducing cell death in RMS. Additional inhibition of BCL-x $x_{L}$ or MCL-1 enhanced pro-apoptotic rebalancing, resulting in apoptosis. This model is supported by genetic knockdown experiments targeting the pro-apoptotic BIM, significantly rescuing RMS cells from JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated cell death or, vice versa, overexpression of anti-apoptotic BCL-2 significantly rescued RMS cells from JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated cell death. Second, BAK and BAX are crucial for JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated cell death, since genetic silencing of BAK and BAX significantly rescued RMS cells from JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis. Third, caspase activation is necessary for JQ1/S63845 and JQ1/A-1331852mediated apoptosis, since inhibition of caspases by the broad range caspase inhibitor zVAD.fmk significantly reduced JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated DNA fragmentation. Taken together, these results indicate a crucial role of the mitochondrial pathway for JQ1/S63845and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis in RMS cells. These results go in line with previous studies characterizing the mitochondrial pathway as mechanism for cell death execution upon the combination of JQ1 with BH3 mimetics [27, 28].

Moreover, we identify JQ1/S63845 and JQ1/A-1331852 co-treatment as the most potent combinations and highlighted different contributions of NOXA, BAK and BAX for JQ1/S63845- and JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis for the first time. S63845 or JQ1/S63845 co-treatment increased MCL-1 and decreased BIM and NOXA protein levels. Increased MCL-1 levels upon S63845 treatment can be explained by binding of S63845 to MCL-1 stabilizing MCL-1 [18]. Binding of BIM to BCL-2, BCL-x $x_{L}$ and

B





Fig. 6. JQ1/A-1331852- and JQ1/S63845-mediated apoptosis is dependent on proapoptotic rebalancing of BCL-2 proteins. (A-D) RMS cell lines were transiently transfected with non-silencing siRNA (siCtrl) or with two constructs targeting BIM (siBIM-1, siBIM-2) and two constructs targeting NOXA (siNOXA-1, siNOXA-2). (A, C) Expression levels of BIM and NOXA were analyzed by Western blotting, GAPDH or $\beta$-Actin served as loading controls. Representative blots of at least two independent experiments are shown. (B, D) Transiently transfected RMS cell lines were treated with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ JQ1 and/or $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{S63845}$ (MCL1i) and/or A-1331852 (Bcl- $\left.\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{i}\right)$ for 72 h and apoptosis was determined by analysis of PI/Hoechst staining and ImageXpress Micro XLS system. (E, F) RMS cells were transfected with murine BCL-2 or EV and expression of BCL-2 was assessed by Western blotting, GAPDH served as loading controls. (E) RMS cells were treated with $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{JQ1}$ and/or $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ S63845 (MCL1i) and/or A-1331852 (Bcl- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{i}$ ) for 72 h and apoptosis was determined by analysis of PI/Hoechst staining using the ImageXpress Micro XLS system. Data represent the mean $\pm$ SD of three independent experiments, performed in triplicates; ${ }^{*} \mathrm{p}<0.05,{ }^{* *} \mathrm{p}<0.01,{ }^{* * *} \mathrm{p}<0.001$.

MCL-1 as well as binding of NOXA to MCL-1 results in stabilization of BIM and NOXA [12, 15, 29]. Upon S63845 or JQ1/S63845 treatment, BIM and NOXA are displaced from MCL-1 and degraded, explaining the decreased BIM levels after 16 hours and decreased NOXA protein levels after 6 hours upon S63845 and JQ1/S63845 treatment. The effect of MCL1 inhibition on protein degradation of NOXA appears earlier compared to BIM, since NOXA is exclusively bound to MCL-1 and a short-life protein being rapidly degraded [29]. Since NOXA is already degraded upon JQ1/S63845 co-treatment, siRNA-mediated knockdown of NOXA was not able to rescue from JQ1/S63845-mediated apoptosis. By comparison, A1331852 and JQ1/A-1331852 co-treatment reduced BCL-x $x_{\text {L }}$ protein levels after 16 hours, but did not affect JQ1-mediated NOXA induction since NOXA is still bound to MCL-1. In consequence, NOXA contributed to JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis as indicated by siRNA knockdown of NOXA, significantly rescuing RMS cells from JQ1/A-1331852-mediated apoptosis. The cooperative effect of JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845 co-treatment on increasing protein levels of activated BAX as well as the significant effect of BAX knockdown rescuing JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845-induced apoptosis underline the relevance of BAX for apoptosis induction upon both co-treatments. By comparison, BAK knockdown was more effective rescuing JQ1/S63845 compared to JQ1/A-1331852-induced apoptosis. Differences among the binding interactions of BAK and BAX to BCL- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and MCL-1 may also explain why genetic silencing of BAK was more efficient rescuing JQ1/S63845-mediated apoptosis compared to BAX. Since MCL-1 preferably binds BAK, which is no longer neutralized by MCL-1 upon S63845 treatment, JQ1/S63845-mediated apoptosis is more dependent on BAK compared to BAX [30-32]. The minor effects of BCL- $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ overexpression on rescuing JQ1/A-1331852 and JQ1/S63845mediated apoptosis could be caused by limited overexpression efficiency and relatively high intrinsic BCL-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ levels resulting in minor effects of additionally expressed $\mathrm{BCL}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ or by a cell line dependent difference in the effectiveness of BCL-2 and BCL-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ rescuing apoptosis as previously described for other tumor entities [33]. Previous studies have elucidated BH3 mimetics as efficient drugs in combination therapies in RMS [15, 17]. However, platelet toxicity limited the use of BCL-x $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ inhibitors [34]. MCL-1 inhibitors appeared in first instance to be better tolerated [12], however, the efficiency of all BH3 mimetics is limited by resistance mechanisms such as compensatory upregulation of other anti-apoptotic BCL-2 proteins [35]. This aquired chemoresistance is frequently mediated by transcriptional plasticity and generation of de novo super enhancers, which are highly dependent on BRD4 [36, 37]. By inhibiting BRD4, BET inhibitors disrupt transcriptional adaptive responses and overcome chemoresistance [38]. Besides, BET inhibition rebalances BCL-2 proteins in favor of apoptosis thereby sensitizing cells towards apoptosis [6, 28]. Here, we show that JQ1 and BH3 mimetics potently synergize to induce mitochondrial apoptosis in RMS cells.

BET inhibitors (NCT03936465) as well as the MCL-1 and BCL$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{L}}$ inhibitors have entered clinical trials (NCT02979366, NCT02992483, NCT01633541), highlighting their potential for clinical usage. With regard to the limited therapeutic window of BH 3 mimetics and the risk of fast adaptive responses, combination of BH 3 mimetics and BET inhibitors occurs as a compelling new strategy for the treatment of RMS patients. Taken together, this study highlights the potential of BH 3 mimetics in combination with the BET inhibitor JQ1 inducing mitochondrial apoptosis in RMS cells providing an important rationale to transfer combinations of BH 3 mimetics and BET inhibitors into clinical application for the treatment of RMS.
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