#### ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# Relationship altered between functional T1 $\rho$ and BOLD signals in bipolar disorder

Joseph J. Shaffer Jr<sup>1</sup> | Casey P. Johnson<sup>1</sup> | Jeffrey D. Long<sup>2,3</sup> | Jess G. Fiedorowicz<sup>2,4,5</sup> | Gary E. Christensen<sup>6,7</sup> | John A. Wemmie<sup>2,8,9,10,11</sup> | Vincent A. Magnotta<sup>1,2,12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Radiology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
<sup>3</sup>Department of Biostatistics, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
<sup>4</sup>Department of Epidemiology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
<sup>5</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
<sup>6</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
<sup>7</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
<sup>8</sup>Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Iowa City, IA, USA
<sup>9</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
<sup>10</sup>Department of Neurosurgery, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA
<sup>11</sup>Iowa Neuroscience Institute, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

#### Correspondence

Joseph J. Shaffer Jr., Department of Radiology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA. Email: joseph-shaffer@uiowa.edu

#### **Funding information**

This study was supported by a generous donation by Roger Koch to the University of Iowa Foundation. Archive and storage of imaging data was supported by the University of Iowa Institute for Clinical and Translational Science (U54TR001013). J.A.W. was supported by the Department of Veterans Affairs (Merit Award), NIMH (5R01MH085724), NHLBI (R01HL113863), and a NARSAD Independent Investigator Award, LG.F. was supported by the NIMH (K23MH083695) and NHLBI (P01HL014388). C.P.J. was supported in part by a NARSAD Young Investigator Award. V.A.M. was supported in part by a NARSAD Independent Investigator Award

#### Abstract

**Introduction**: Functional neuroimaging typically relies on the blood-oxygen-leveldependent (BOLD) contrast, which is sensitive to the influx of oxygenated blood following neuronal activity. A new method, functional T1 relaxation in the rotating frame (fT1<sub>p</sub>) is thought to reflect changes in local brain metabolism, likely pH, and may more directly measure neuronal activity. These two methods were applied to study activation of the visual cortex in participants with bipolar disorder as compared to controls. **Methods**: Thirty-nine participants with bipolar disorder and 32 healthy controls underwent functional neuroimaging during a flashing checkerboard paradigm. Functional images were acquired in alternating blocks of BOLD and fT1<sub>p</sub>. Linear mixed-effect models were used to examine the relationship between these two functional imaging modalities and to test whether that relationship was altered in bipolar disorder.

**Results**: BOLD and  $fT1\rho$  signal were strongly related in visual and cerebellar areas during the task in controls. The relationship between these two measures was reduced in bipolar disorder within the visual areas, cerebellum, striatum, and thalamus.

**Conclusions**: These results support a distinct mechanisms underlying BOLD and  $fT1\rho$  signals. The weakened relationship between these imaging modalities may provide a novel tool for measuring pathology in bipolar disorder and other psychiatric illnesses.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2017 The Authors. Brain and Behavior published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

**ΚΕΥWORDS** bipolar disorder, BOLD, fMRI, T1ρ

#### 1 | INTRODUCTION

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) has relied predominantly on the blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signal to measure changes in brain activation during the completion of behavioral tasks and during resting state. Functional BOLD images are typically acquired using T2\*-weighted imaging, and data analysis involves measuring the fit between the data and an estimated hemodynamic response generated by convolving a canonical hemodynamic response function with the paradigm. However, the BOLD signal is not a direct measure of neuronal activity and is instead dependent on localized changes in blood oxygenation that occurs approximately 4 s after neuronal activation, often detected downstream from the true site of neural activity (Baumann et al., 2010). As a result, the BOLD signal is limited in its temporal and spatial resolution.

An alternative fMRI approach to BOLD was recently proposed based on T1 relaxation in the rotating frame (T1 $\rho$ ), called functional T1 $\rho$ mapping (fT1p) (Jin & Kim, 2013; Johnson, Heo, Thedens, Wemmie, & Magnotta, 2014; Magnotta et al., 2012). This technique aims to quantitatively map the spin-lock-based T1p relaxation time temporally and is sensitive to chemical exchange of protons between water and amide, hydroxyl, and/or amine groups (Jin, Autio, Obata, & Kim, 2011). This chemical exchange is influenced by pH and metabolite concentration (e.g., glucose and glutamate) (Jin et al., 2011; Kettunen, Gröhn, Silvennoinen, Penttonen, & Kauppinen, 2002), which have been shown to change in response to neural activation prior to changes in blood flow (Belanger, Allaman, & Magistretti, 2011). T1p relaxation is also sensitive to stimulus-induced rotary saturation (SIRS), which may directly measure neuronal currents (Witzel, Lin, Rosen, & Wald, 2008). Thus, quantification of T1p relaxation temporally is hypothesized to provide a means to detect an early, localized, and nonhemodynamic tissue response to brain activation. In addition to pH, fT1p is sensitive to cerebral blood volume, which may contribute a significant hemodynamic signal to the fT1p response (Heo, Wemmie, Thedens, & Magnotta, 2014; Hulvershorn et al., 2005; Jin & Kim, 2013; Johnson et al., 2014). However, studies that have masked this hemodynamic contribution to fT1p have found that tissue provides the majority of the signal (Heo, Wemmie, Johnson, Thedens, & Magnotta, 2015; Heo et al., 2014; Jin & Kim, 2013). Despite the hemodynamic contribution,  $fT1\rho$  mapping provides a potentially complementary method to traditional BOLD fMRI to study brain activation. The potential uniqueness of these two methods have recently been demonstrated in experiments of their timing of functional response (Heo et al., 2015) as well as in a preliminary study in panic disorder (Magnotta, Johnson, Follmer, & Wemmie, 2014).

 $fT1\rho$  mapping is of particular interest to study in bipolar disorder because the illness has been associated with metabolic abnormalities,

in particular mitochondrial dysfunction (Clay, Sillivan, & Konradi, 2011; Kato, 2007; Stork & Renshaw, 2005). MR spectroscopy studies have shown that baseline pH is reduced (i.e., more acidic) in the anterior cingulate in people with bipolar disorder in the euthymic state compared to normal controls (Kato, Kunugi, Nanko, & Kato, 2000; Kato et al., 1998). Furthermore, a recent study using static, whole-brain, high-resolution quantitative T1 $\rho$  mapping detected elevated T1 $\rho$  relaxation times in the cerebellum and cerebral white matter consistent with reduced basal pH in the euthymic state of people with bipolar disorder compared to healthy controls (Johnson et al., 2015a; Johnson et al., 2015b). These baseline differences in pH and metabolic state may therefore result in differences in functional activity.

It has previously been shown that both BOLD and fT1 $\rho$  signals reflect functional activity in vivo (Hulvershorn et al., 2005; Jin & Kim, 2013; Magnotta et al., 2012), however, it remains unclear how these two imaging methods relate to each other (Magnotta et al., 2014). In this exploratory study, we investigated the relationship between BOLD and fT1 $\rho$  activation in response to a flashing checkerboard stimulus in participants with bipolar disorder and in matched healthy controls. We expect that differences between these two signals may reflect the distinct sources of fT1 $\rho$  and BOLD (i.e., tissue metabolism vs. vascular response). Therefore, we tested whether an altered relationship between these imaging modalities was present in bipolar disorder, which could reflect disease-related processes.

#### 2 | METHODS

#### 2.1 | Participants

A total of 39 participants with bipolar I disorder (23 males; 16 females; mean age 39 ± 14 years) and 32 healthy control participants with no history of psychiatric illness (19 males; 13 females; mean age 42 ± 13 years) underwent functional neuroimaging. Twelve of the participants with bipolar disorder underwent multiple imaging sessions in different mood states (nine participants in two states and three participants in three states), resulting in a total of 54 studies (30 males; 24 females; mean age  $41 \pm 13$  years) consisting of 23, 15, and 16 participants in euthymic, depressed, and manic mood states, respectively. Detailed demographic information is shown in Table 1. Participants were excluded for a history of head trauma, neurological illness, heart disease, or substance abuse as well as contraindications for MRI. Healthy controls were also excluded for a history of psychiatric illness. Clinical diagnosis of bipolar I disorder was confirmed by psychiatric evaluation based on DSM-IV-TR criteria (JGF). All participants provided written informed consent according to guidelines established by the University of Iowa Institutional Review Board.

#### **TABLE 1** Demographics of imaging sample

	HC	BD		BD v. HC
# Scans	32	54		
Age				
Mean	42.1	41.1	t (df)	-0.34(84)
Std. Dev.	12.5	12.5	р	.74
Gender				
Male	19	30	$\chi^2$ (df)	0.12(1)
Female	13	24	р	.73
Race				
American Indian	0	4	$\chi^2$ (df)	4.14(3)
Asian	0	1	р	.25
African American	0	1		
White	32	44		
Ethnicity				
Hispanic	0	1	$\chi^2$ (df)	0.72(1)
Not Hispanic	32	44	р	.40
Education				
Mean	16.6	13.8	t (df)	-5.94(84)
Std. Dev.	2.1	2.1	р	<.01*
Handedness				
Right	28	44	$\chi^2$ (df)	1.87(2)
Left	4	7	р	.39
Ambidextrous	0	3		
MADRS				
Mean	0.41	11.80		
Std. Dev	0.67	11.78		
YMRS				
Mean	0.06	9.74		
Std. Dev.	0.35	11.71		
Medication class				
Lithium	0	24 (44.4%)	$\chi^2$ (df)	19.73(1)
			р	<.01*
Anti-Convulsants	0	17 (31.5%)	χ <sup>2</sup> (df)	12.56(1)
			р	<.01*
Anti-Depressants	0	23 (42.6%)	$\chi^2$ (df)	18.61(1)
			р	<.01*
Anti-Psychotics	0	24 (44.4%)	$\chi^2$ (df)	19.73(1)
			р	<.01*
Sedative-	0	26 (48.1%)	$\chi^2$ (df)	22.08(1)
Hypnotics			р	<.01*

HC, healthy control group; BD, bipolar disorder group; \*p < .05.

#### 2.2 | Flashing checkerboard task

During the flashing checkerboard task, participants were presented with alternating blocks of either a black screen (four blocks) or a black and white checkerboard pattern that "flashed" by inverting the colors at a rate of 4 Hz (three blocks). Each block lasted for 40 s and \_Brain and Behavior

WILEY

a total of seven blocks were presented during each run of the flashing checkerboard task. To confirm that participants were attending to the stimuli, a red square was presented every 4 s during the flashing checkerboard blocks, to which the participants responded by pressing a button. Participants completed a total of five identical runs of the task, which alternated between fT1 $\rho$  (three runs) and BOLD (two runs) sequences.

#### 2.3 | Image acquisition

All participants were imaged using a 3T MRI system (Magnetom Tim Trio; Siemens Healthcare; Erlangen, Germany) with a vendorprovided 12-channel receiver head coil. First, high-resolution T1- and T2-weighted anatomical images were acquired to align the participants' fT1 $\rho$  and BOLD data to a common atlas space for voxel-wise comparison. The T1-weighted sequence parameters were as follows: coronal 3D MP-RAGE; field of view = 256 mm<sup>3</sup>; sampling matrix = 256 × 256 × 256; resolution = 1.0 mm<sup>3</sup>; TR = 2,530 ms; TE = 2.8 ms; TI = 909 ms; flip angle = 10°; BW = 180 Hz/px; and R = 2 GRAPPA. The T2-weighted sequence parameters were as follows: sagittal 3D SPACE; field of view = 260 × 228 × 176 mm<sup>3</sup>; sampling matrix = 256 × 230 × 176; resolution = 1.0 mm<sup>3</sup>; TR = 4,000 ms; TE = 406 ms; BW = 592 Hz/px; turbo factor = 121; slice turbo factor = 2; and R = 2 GRAPPA.

Next, fT1p and BOLD time series were acquired in conjunction with the flashing checkerboard stimulus. The  $fT1\rho$  sequence used a previously described technique to acquire quantitative T1p relaxation maps very rapidly using a spin-echo echo-planar imaging (SE-EPI) sequence with a very short time interval between spin-lock preparation blocks (Johnson et al., 2014). In this study, two spinlock-weighted images at 10 imaging slices were acquired every 4.0 s. Spin-lock times (TSLs) were 10 and 50 ms and spin-lock amplitude  $(\gamma B_1/2\pi)$  was 213 Hz. Other sequence parameters were as follows: field of view =  $240 \times 240$  mm<sup>2</sup>; sampling matrix =  $64 \times 64$  (single shot); slice thickness/gap = 5.0/1.25 mm; TR = 2,000 ms; TE = 15 ms; BW = 1,954 Hz/px; partial Fourier = 5/8; fat saturation; and 140 measurements. The imaging slices were acquired in an axial-oblique orientation and angled such that the most inferior slice was positioned just below the base of the frontal and occipital lobes (Fig. S1). The BOLD sequence used a standard T2\*-weighted gradient-echo echoplanar imaging (GRE-EPI) imaging sequence with parameters: field of view =  $220 \times 220$  mm<sup>2</sup>; sampling matrix =  $64 \times 64$  (single shot); 30 slices; slice thickness/gap = 4.0/1.0 mm; TR = 2,000 ms; TE = 30 ms; BW = 2,004 Hz/px; fat saturation; and 140 measurements. The slices were oriented to match that of the  $fT1\rho$  acquisition.

#### 2.4 | Image analysis

For each participant,  $fT1\rho$  and BOLD time series were processed using Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI) (Cox, 1996). Images from the three  $fT1\rho$  runs were registered, skull stripped, and spatially smoothed with a 5.0 mm FWHM Gaussian filter. Prior to smoothing, the first two of the 10 acquired slices were removed to reduce the WILEY\_Brain and Behavior

influence of steady-state effects (Johnson et al., 2014). Next, the time series of T1 $\rho$  maps was calculated by fitting the 10 ms and temporally interpolated 50 ms spin-lock time images to a mono-exponential signal decay model, as previously described (Johnson et al., 2014). The average percent change in T1 $\rho$  values at each voxel in response to the flashing checkerboard stimulus was then calculated using a general linear model with the timing of the task's block paradigm as the assumed response profile, second-order baseline correction, and regression of motion nuisance parameters. Images from the two BOLD runs were de-spiked and similarly registered and spatially smoothed. The same general linear model was used as for fT1 $\rho$  to calculate the average percent change in BOLD signal activation at each voxel during the flashing checkerboard stimulus.

Anatomical T1- and T2-weighted images from each participant were processed using BRAINS AutoWorkup (Pierson et al., 2011) and Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTS) to generate a deformable transformation to a common atlas space. This transform was applied to register each functional run to the common atlas space (Halle et al., 2015), resulting in voxel-wise alignment of all participants' data. To reduce the influence of spatial variability in the placement of functional imaging slices between participants, all voxels that did not have at least 95% overlap of both fT1 $\rho$  and BOLD data from all participants were masked and removed from subsequent analysis. Additionally, voxels were masked to only include brain tissue voxels as defined by the common atlas (Halle et al., 2015). Images were transformed to the Montreal Neurological Imaging (MNI) atlas space (Evans et al., 1993) after analyses were completed to provide a standard coordinate system for publication.

#### 2.5 | Statistical analysis

The relationship among BOLD activation,  $fT1\rho$  activation, and group (bipolar disorder vs. healthy control) was measured for each voxel using multiple linear regression. As noted, 12 of the same participants were imaged in different mood states resulting in the nesting of scans within persons. To account for repeated measurements, linear mixed model (LMM) regression was performed using the fitlme function in MATLAB (R2015a; Mathworks; Natick, Massachussetts). Two separate models were tested. In the null model (Equation 1),  $fT1\rho$  and group (0 = healthy control, 1 = bipolar) were used as fixed variables to predict BOLD, while participant age and gender were included as covariates (Equation 1). The experimental model (Equation 2) was identical to the null model with the addition of an interaction between Group and fT1p. An individual-specific random effect (intercept only) was included to account for the dependency due to nesting. Suppose that BOLD; is the BOLD signal for the *i*<sup>th</sup> individual (i = 1, ..., 39) and the  $j^{th}$  measurement ( $j = 1, ..., T_i$ ). The LMM models were as follows:

$$BOLD_{ij} = \alpha_i + \alpha + \beta \cdot Group_i + \gamma \cdot fT1\rho_i + \delta \cdot Age_i + \pi \cdot Sex_i + e_{ij}, \qquad (1)$$

 $BOLD_{ij} = \alpha_i + \alpha + \beta \cdot Group_i + \gamma \cdot fT1\rho_i + \delta \cdot Age_i + \pi \cdot Sex_i + \psi \cdot (Group_i \cdot fT1\rho_i) + e_{ij}$ 

The Greek letters are fixed effects, with representing the Group by fT1 $\rho$  interaction of interest;  $a_i$  is the individual-specific random effect, which is assumed to have a zero-mean normal distribution: and e is random error, assumed to have a zero-mean normal distribution and constant over the repeated measurements (where applicable). Parameters were estimated using maximum likelihood methods (Verbeke & Molenberghs, 2000), and the likelihood ratio test (LRT) was used to test the null hypothesis of no group  $\times$  fT1 $\rho$  difference (i.e.,  $H_0: \psi = 0$ ). Only voxels where the LRT was significant (p < .05) were included in the results. This mask is shown in Fig. S2. We then extracted the *t*-statistic for the group  $\times$  fT1<sub>0</sub> interaction from the experimental model (w from Equation 2). A cluster-based approach was used for correcting for multiple comparisons. The 3dClustSim function from AFNI (version AFNI\_2011\_12\_21\_1014, compiled Sept., 2015) was used to simulate the necessary number of contiguous significant voxels needed to maintain alpha = 0.05. The required cluster size was calculated to be 1.44 cm<sup>3</sup>.

#### 2.6 | Post hoc analysis

In order to help us interpret the results of the LMM analysis, we performed a post hoc comparison of mean activations within each cluster that was significantly related to the interaction of group and fT1 $\rho$  effects on the BOLD signal. BOLD and fT1 $\rho$  images were normalized for each participant by converting percent signal change into a z-statistic. Mean activation was then calculated in each cluster for each participant. Means were compared between groups (Bipolar, Healthy Control) within each imaging modality (BOLD, fT1 $\rho$ ), between groups across imaging modalities, between imaging modalities within groups, and between imaging modalities across groups using a two-sample *t*-test.

#### 3 | RESULTS

## 3.1 | Effect of bipolar disorder on the relationship between BOLD and fT1 $\rho$

A weakened relationship (i.e., decoupling) between the fT1 $\rho$  signal and BOLD signal was present in bipolar disorder in several regions (Table 2, Figure 1) including the left caudate, left thalamus, bilateral visual cortex, left occipital pole, right lateral occipital cortex, bilateral cerebellum, right inferior temporal gyrus, and left middle and superior temporal gyri (note that a negative *t*-value reflects BOLD-fT1 $\rho$ decoupling in the bipolar group due to the way that the groups were entered into the LMM model, with bipolar group = 1 and healthy control group = 0). Conversely, coupling between BOLD and fT1 $\rho$  was enhanced in bipolar disorder in the left inferior and middle temporal gyri.

#### 3.2 | Post hoc analysis

In order to rule out the possibility that the observed decoupling between BOLD and fT1 $\rho$  in bipolar disorder was due to a failure of one

#### **TABLE 2**Spatial locations of significant clusters

		Cluster		Peak				
Cluster	Size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Mean t	SD	x	Y	Z	t	Regions
fT1ρ								
1	7,140	3.64	0.91	-17	86	-35	8.81	R visual areas & R cerebellum
2	3,344	3.66	0.74	10	96	4	6.48	L visual areas
3	1,572	4.23	1.12	33	85	-17	8.53	L Cerebellum
Group × fT1	ρ							
1	18,837	-2.94	0.61	11	-17	13	-7.10	L Caudate, L Thalamus
2	7,127	-3.18	0.72	-17	86	-35	-9.55	R Cerebellum & R Visual Areas
3	3,288	-2.99	0.56	19	92	13	-5.45	L Visual Areas
4	2,670	3.01	0.56	42	16	-19	5.32	L mid & L inf Temporal Gyri
5	2,616	-2.67	0.35	53	8	4	-4.20	L sup & mid Temporal Gyri
6	2,364	-2.77	0.42	-45	-46	4	-4.69	R inf & mid frontal gyrus
7	1,696	-2.87	0.60	-48	17	-27	-5.92	R inf Temporal Gyrus
8	1,623	-2.86	0.49	-49	67	1	-5.21	R lateral Occipital
9	1,533	-3.17	0.71	33	85	-17	-6.04	L Cerebellum & L Occipital Pole

R, right; L, left; inf, inferior; mid, middle; sup, superior.

**FIGURE 1** Clusters where there was a significant effect of the interaction between Group and T1 $\rho$  on BOLD signal. Clusters where the relationship between T1 $\rho$  and BOLD were reduced in bipolar disorder are shown in blue and clusters where the relationship between T1 $\rho$  and BOLD was increased in bipolar disorder are shown in red/yellow. MNI coordinates are provided for the Z plane in axial images and X plane in sagittal images. Left (L) and right (R) are indicated as well



Brain and Behavior

imaging modality to identify group differences that were present in the other imaging modality, we performed a series of post hoc tests where we compared the normalized means of functional activity within the nine clusters identified by the LMM analysis. The anatomical locations of the clusters are described in Table 2, and the results of the contrasts are presented in Table 3.

When we compared the bipolar and healthy control groups within each imaging modality, we found no group differences in  $fT1\rho$  and only found group differences in the BOLD data for Cluster 5 (left superior and middle temporal gyri). When we combined the BOLD and  $fT1\rho$  data, we also found that activity in Cluster 5 was significantly

increased in bipolar disorder. These findings suggest that neither BOLD nor fT1 $\rho$  alone is particularly sensitive to group differences within these regions.

When we compared the BOLD and fT1 $\rho$  data within each group and combined across all groups, we found that significant differences were present in Clusters 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 9 in the bipolar group, healthy control group, and when both groups were combined. When both groups were combined, significant differences between BOLD and T1 $\rho$  were also present in Cluster 1. These comparisons suggest that decoupling between the BOLD and fT1 $\rho$  responses is likely to underlie the results of the LMM analysis.

5 of 9

WILEY

BD         HC		BOLD				$T1_{ m  ho}$				BOLD		$T1_{ m  ho}$		Both		BD		НС		Both	
MSDMSDMSDMSDMSDMSDTPPPP </th <th></th> <th>BD</th> <th></th> <th>HC</th> <th></th> <th>BD</th> <th></th> <th>НС</th> <th></th> <th>BD v HC</th> <th></th> <th>BD v HC</th> <th></th> <th>BD v HC</th> <th></th> <th>BOLD v 1</th> <th>Γ1ρ</th> <th>BOLD v T</th> <th>1ρ</th> <th>BOLD v 1</th> <th>-1ρ</th>		BD		HC		BD		НС		BD v HC		BD v HC		BD v HC		BOLD v 1	Γ1ρ	BOLD v T	1ρ	BOLD v 1	-1ρ
1-0.100.21-0.100.14-0.050.15-0.040.12-0.11.92-0.1687-0.1886-1.58121.770.942.230.3422.160.730.120.200.120.27-0.35.730.93.36-0.04.9719.410.0*12.580.0*22.830.0*31.510.791.810.780.120.230.150.171.710.9713.70.0*13.770.0*23.830.0*4-0.190.18-0.240.11-0.030.150.171.292.060.152.080.0*2.1370.0*13.770.0*2.3830.0*5-0.150.320.240.11-0.030.150.120.252.660.1*0.973.32.420.2*2.280.0*2.390.0*60.020.310.010.250.030.190.250.030.043.70.0*4.390.0*2.390.0*70.020.380.010.310.010.250.030.120.10.10.12.040.17.047.047.047.0470.020.310.010.250.030.100.250.010.252.660.1*0.952.260.049.70.049.79.70.0*2.30.0*70.03 </th <th></th> <th>Σ</th> <th>SD</th> <th>Σ</th> <th>SD</th> <th>Σ</th> <th>SD</th> <th>Σ</th> <th>SD</th> <th>t</th> <th>d</th> <th>t</th> <th>d</th> <th>t</th> <th>d</th> <th>t</th> <th>d</th> <th>t</th> <th>d</th> <th>t</th> <th>d</th>		Σ	SD	Σ	SD	Σ	SD	Σ	SD	t	d	t	d	t	d	t	d	t	d	t	d
22.1.60.732.220.910.160.200.120.27-0.357.30.933.6-0.049.719.410.0*12.580.0*22.830.0*31.510.791.810.780.120.230.160.171.710.91.6.770.0*16.770.0*4-0.190.180.740.120.230.160.171.290.01.710.0*1.770.0*16.770.0*5-0.190.180.200.150.150.171.29200.55581.15252.4.90.0*5.230.0*7.042.090.0*60.020.320.030.150.050.171.29200.150.152.050.017.102.097.042.092.0970.020.380.000.310.010.250.030.100.202.40.142.120.0*7.042.040.0*70.020.380.000.310.010.250.030.100.202.60.142.122.04<	7	-0.10	0.21	-0.10	0.14	-0.05	0.15	-0.04	0.12	-0.11	.92	-0.16	.87	-0.18	.86	-1.58	.12	-1.75	60.	-2.23	.03*
31.510.791.810.780.120.230.160.17-1.710.9540-1.14261.2370.0**1.770.0**16.770.0**4-0.190.18-0.020.15-0.050.171.29200.55581.1525-4.9900**5.2300**7.040.0*5-0.120.220.240.000.35-0.070.222.6601**0.97332.4202*2.43900**-5.2300**-3.9900**60.020.320.320.030.310.010.222.6601**0.97332.4202*2.2803*-4.3900**3.9900**70.030.310.010.250.030.100.222.6601**0.97332.420.903*-4.3900**3.9900**70.030.310.010.250.030.100.200.200.100.170.48570.04372.0400**70.030.120.140.190.170.180.190.100.170.141.201.200.141.200.141.200.141.201.240.041.271.290.04*1.291.04*70.030.120.140.120.120.120.120.120.120.120.120.14 <td>2</td> <td>2.16</td> <td>0.73</td> <td>2.22</td> <td>0.91</td> <td>0.16</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>0.12</td> <td>0.27</td> <td>-0.35</td> <td>.73</td> <td>0.93</td> <td>.36</td> <td>-0.04</td> <td>.97</td> <td>19.41</td> <td>**00.</td> <td>12.58</td> <td>**00.</td> <td>22.83</td> <td>**00.</td>	2	2.16	0.73	2.22	0.91	0.16	0.20	0.12	0.27	-0.35	.73	0.93	.36	-0.04	.97	19.41	**00.	12.58	**00.	22.83	**00.
4         -0.19         0.18         -0.24         0.11         -0.03         0.15         -0.15         1.15         5.5         -4.99         00**         -5.23         00**         -7.04         00**           5         -0.15         0.32         -0.32         0.05         0.55         2.06         01**         0.97         33         2.42         0.7         -5.33         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.99         00**         -7.93         00**         -7.93         00**         -7.93         00**         -7.93         00**         -7.93         00**         -7.93         00**         -7.93         00**         -7.93         00**         -7.93         00**         -7.93         00***         -7.93         00**	с	1.51	0.79	1.81	0.78	0.12	0.23	0.16	0.17	-1.71	60.	-0.85	.40	-1.14	.26	12.37	**00.	11.77	**00.	16.77	**00.
5         -0.15         0.32         -0.32         0.20         0.35         -0.07         0.22         2.66         01*         0.97         33         2.42         0.2*         -2.28         0.3*         -4.39         0.0*         -3.99         0.0*           7         0.02         0.38         0.00         0.31         0.01         0.20         85         -0.43         67         -0.04         97         -0.57         57         -0.24         81           7         0.03         0.31         0.01         0.26         0.10         0.20         85         -0.43         67         -0.04         97         -0.57         57         -0.24         81           7         -0.39         0.25         -0.14         0.17         0.48         .64         1.20         23         0.96         .34         -7.17         .00*         -6.98         .00*         9.63         .00*           8         0.00         0.53         0.14         0.03         0.24         .03         .02         .02         .03         .03         .04         .04         .05         .02         .02         .02         .02         .03         .04         .04         .05 <td>4</td> <td>-0.19</td> <td>0.18</td> <td>-0.24</td> <td>0.11</td> <td>-0.03</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>-0.05</td> <td>0.17</td> <td>1.29</td> <td>.20</td> <td>0.55</td> <td>.58</td> <td>1.15</td> <td>.25</td> <td>-4.99</td> <td>**00.</td> <td>-5.23</td> <td>**00.</td> <td>-7.04</td> <td>**00.</td>	4	-0.19	0.18	-0.24	0.11	-0.03	0.15	-0.05	0.17	1.29	.20	0.55	.58	1.15	.25	-4.99	**00.	-5.23	**00.	-7.04	**00.
6         0.02         0.38         0.00         0.31         0.01         0.25         0.03         0.10         0.20         85         -0.43         67         -0.04         97         0.57         57         -0.24         81           7         -0.39         0.25         -0.41         0.19         -0.04         0.17         0.48         64         1.20         23         0.96         34         -7.17         0.0*         -6.98         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         -9.63         .00*         9.01         .90*         .90         .91	Ŋ	-0.15	0.32	-0.32	0.24	0.00	0.35	-0.07	0.22	2.66	.01**	0.97	.33	2.42	.02*	-2.28	.03*	-4.39	**00.	-3.99	**00.
7         -0.39         0.25         -0.41         0.19         -0.04         0.26         -0.10         0.17         0.48         54         1.20         23         0.96         34         -7.17         0.0**         -6.98         0.0**         -9.63         0.0**           8         0.00         0.53         0.12         0.54         0.03         0.22         -0.97         .33         0.48         .63         -0.78         .57         0.89         .38         0.11         .91           9         2.09         1.16         2.12         1.27         0.26         0.32         -0.09         .93         -0.03         .98         -0.07         .95         10.67         .03         .00**         13.42         .00**	9	0.02	0.38	0.00	0.31	0.01	0.25	0.03	0.10	0.20	.85	-0.43	.67	-0.04	.97	0.04	.97	-0.57	.57	-0.24	.81
8 0.00 0.53 0.12 0.54 0.05 0.14 0.03 0.22 -0.97 .33 0.48 .63 -0.78 .44 -0.58 .57 0.89 .38 0.11 .91 9 2.09 1.16 2.12 1.27 0.26 0.50 0.26 0.32 -0.09 .93 -0.03 .98 -0.07 .95 10.67 .00** 8.03 .00** 13.42 .00**	7	-0.39	0.25	-0.41	0.19	-0.04	0.26	-0.10	0.17	0.48	.64	1.20	.23	0.96	.34	-7.17	**00.	-6.98	**00.	-9.63	**00.
9 2.09 1.16 2.12 1.27 0.26 0.50 0.26 0.32 -0.09 .93 -0.03 .98 -0.07 .95 10.67 .00** 8.03 .00** 13.42 .00**	00	0.00	0.53	0.12	0.54	0.05	0.14	0.03	0.22	-0.97	.33	0.48	.63	-0.78	.44	-0.58	.57	0.89	.38	0.11	.91
	6	2.09	1.16	2.12	1.27	0.26	0.50	0.26	0.32	-0.09	.93	-0.03	.98	-0.07	.95	10.67	**00.	8.03	**00.	13.42	**00.

#### 4 DISCUSSION

We compared the relationship between fT1p and BOLD imaging between participants with bipolar disorder and healthy controls. We found that the relationship between these two imaging modalities was altered in bipolar disorder, suggesting that differences between  $fT1\rho$  and BOLD signals may reflect the underlying pathophysiology of the illness.

The physiological source of BOLD signal has been wellcharacterized by previous research and it is known to reflect changes in blood oxygenation that occur following coherent neuronal activity (Baumann et al., 2010; Logothetis, Pauls, Augath, Trinath, & Oeltermann, 2001; Magri, Schridde, Murayama, Panzeri, & Logothetis, 2012). However, a major limitation of BOLD imaging is that changes in blood flow are not a direct measurement of neural activity, likely requiring the involvement of astrocyte-mediated signaling pathways (Rossi, 2006; Takano et al., 2006) and occurring approximately 4-6 s after neuronal activity (Baumann et al., 2010). In contrast, the physiological source of  $T1\rho$  is less well-understood. Prior studies have shown that  $T1\rho$  is sensitive to changes in pH, with signal increasing with acidity (Heo et al., 2014; Kettunen et al., 2002). Because of this, fT1p signal may reflect increases in acidic metabolites such as H<sup>+</sup>, glutamate, and lactate in tissue following neuronal activation (Belanger et al., 2011). We would then expect that neuronal activation would result in an increase in both BOLD and  $fT1\rho$  signal.

However, when we explored the interactions between group and imaging modality, we found that the relationship between  $fT1\rho$  and BOLD differed between participants with bipolar disorder and healthy controls in a number of brain regions. For the most part, these differences took the form of a weaker relationship between fT1p and BOLD in the bipolar group versus the healthy control group (i.e., the imaging modalities were decoupled). These regions included the visual cortex, cerebellum, striatum, thalamus, medial prefrontal, and temporal cortex regions. However, there were also two regions that had a stronger relationship between these imaging modalities in the bipolar versus healthy control group (i.e., coupling was enhanced), the left temporal pole and left inferior temporal gyrus. Many of these regions have been previously implicated by functional imaging studies as having a role in bipolar disorder (Cerullo, Adler, Delbello, & Strakowski, 2009; Gruber, Rogowska, & Yurgelun-Todd, 2004; Keener & Phillips, 2007; Maletic & Raison, 2014; Strakowski et al., 2011; Townsend et al., 2012; Whitton, Treadway, & Pizzagalli, 2015; Yoshimura et al., 2014), which suggests that the altered relationship between fT1 $\rho$  and BOLD is related to the illness. For instance, numerous studies have shown that functional activity in the striatum is altered in bipolar disorder during the completion of reward tasks (Caseras, Lawrence, Murphy, Wise, & Phillips, 2013; Whitton et al., 2015; Yip, Worhunsky, Rogers, & Goodwin, 2014) and reduced during fear perception tasks (Killgore, Gruber, & Yurgelun-Todd, 2008). Similarly, functional activity in the anterior cingulate was also reduced during the same fear perception task (Killgore et al., 2008) and during attention tasks (Gruber et al., 2004). Likewise, reduced thalamic volume (Radenbach et al., 2010) and reduced connectivity between the thalamus and striatum (Teng et al., 2014) have been identified in bipolar disorder. However, the results of our post hoc analysis show that neither BOLD nor fT1p showed group differences within these regions, suggesting that our findings are primarily driven by differences between the imaging modalities (i.e., decoupling between BOLD and fT1<sub>0</sub>) rather than group differences being present in one imaging modality and absent in the other (i.e., a failure of one method to find group differences). The absence of group differences in these areas may be explained by the fact that the flashing checkerboard task does not directly interrogate these networks, however, the altered coupling between BOLD and  $fT1\rho$  suggests that dysfunction may be present in these regions even when they are not specifically activated by a task. For example, through the accumulation of metabolites that  $fT1\rho$  is sensitive to, but that are relatively independent from neuronal activation in bipolar disorder, which may be related to group differences in quantitative T1p found in previous work by our group in this population (Johnson et al., 2015a).

The mechanism for decoupling between these imaging modalities, and furthermore, how it relates to bipolar disorder, is currently unclear. One possibility is that this altered coupling occurs because  $fT1\rho$  and BOLD signal vary in terms of either their temporal or spatial distribution. However, in this study, both the temporal (40s blocks) and spatial resolution (>2.2 cm<sup>3</sup> of tissue) of our significant findings are quite coarse and are likely greater than any expected variations differences between the two imaging modalities.

Another possibility is that disease-related changes in the relationship between fT1p and BOLD occur due to altered signaling pathways. The BOLD signal relies on the recruitment of blood flow following neuronal activation. This recruitment involves a multi-step signaling pathway that is dependent on astrocyte activity. If, as we expect, fT1p reflects changes in acidic metabolites (and therefore pH) in tissue that occur directly as a result of neuronal activation while BOLD relies on a less direct signaling pathway, then a disease-related disruption in this pathway may explain the decoupling that we are seeing between the two imaging modalities in bipolar disorder.

A third possibility is that this decoupling is due to metabolic abnormalities. Numerous studies have identified disruptions in metabolic pathways in participants with bipolar disorder compared to healthy controls (Cecil, DelBello, Morey, & Strakowski, 2002; Dusi, Cecchetto, & Brambilla, 2016; Sikoglu et al., 2013; Stork & Renshaw, 2005; Yuksel et al., 2015). These disruptions seem to be focused on mitochondrial mechanisms for generating ATP including oxidative metabolism (Dusi et al., 2016; Stork & Renshaw, 2005) and the use of phosphocreatine as an energy source (Sikoglu et al., 2013; Yuksel et al., 2015). Collectively, these deficits suggest that in bipolar disorder, neurons are more heavily reliant on glycolysis for the creation of ATP (Stork & Renshaw, 2005). Likewise, PET studies have shown that flashing checkerboard stimuli result in an increase in glucose usage, but not oxygen usage in visual cortex (Belanger et al., 2011). This increased reliance on glycolysis for energy may result in increased oxidative stress (Belanger et al., 2011; Dusi et al., 2016), which is known to occur in bipolar disorder (Brown, Andreazza, & Young, 2014; Salim, 2014; Tang & Wang, 2012), and may result in a number of other brain changes including changes in neuroplasticity and functional activation (Berk et al., 2011; Tang & Wang, 2012). Such abnormalities in glucose consumption, metabolism, and oxidative stress in bipolar patients might differentially affect the mechanisms underlying fT1 $\rho$  and BOLD responses. In order to pinpoint the cause(s) of the abnormal relationship between fT1 $\rho$ and BOLD in bipolar disorder patients, more work is needed to better understand the fT1 $\rho$  response and the differences between fT1 $\rho$ and BOLD.

#### 4.1 | Limitations

This study was carried out using participants in several mood states and on different medications. Each of these subgroups may have a unique response and it would be interesting to study each of these mood states separately in a larger study.

Because of acquisition time constraints, there was limited brain coverage of fT1 $\rho$  mapping. This also required the use of a block-based design, whereas an event-based design would provide better temporal resolution for comparing the BOLD and fT1 $\rho$  signal during the flashing checkerboard. Other limitations of this sequence and potential options to improve imaging efficiency are discussed in detail in Johnson et al. (Johnson et al., 2014). It would also be interesting to compare eccentricity mapping between fT1 $\rho$  mapping and BOLD as previously studied in healthy participants using data from participants with bipolar disorder (Heo et al., 2015).

#### 5 | CONCLUSION

We explored the relationship between a novel functional imaging technique,  $T1\rho$ , and the more traditional  $T2^*$ -based BOLD imaging response during a flashing checkerboard paradigm and compared that relationship between participants with bipolar disorder and healthy controls. Overall, our results support the use of  $T1\rho$  as a functional neuroimaging method for use in clinical populations, as there was a strong positive relationship between fT1p and BOLD activity in visual areas thought to be involved in the flashing checkerboard paradigm. Importantly, we identified a decoupling of functional BOLD and  $T1\rho$ signal in participants with bipolar disorder, which may reflect a differential sensitivity between these imaging modalities to the pathophysiology of bipolar disorder. These findings suggest that fT1p may provide a unique tool for measuring other aspects of functional activity; and, when used in conjunction with BOLD imaging,  $fT1\rho$  may help to elucidate disease mechanisms that are not reflected in BOLD signal alone.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Janie Myers, Ashley Schumacher, Robin Follmer, Lois Warren, Autumn Craig, and Marla Kleingartner for their help in recruiting and assessing study participants.

### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors do not have any conflicts of interest to disclose.

#### REFERENCES

- Baumann, S., Griffiths, T. D., Rees, A., Hunter, D., Sun, L., & Thiele, A. (2010). Characterisation of the BOLD response time course at different levels of the auditory pathway in non-human primates. *NeuroImage*, 50, 1099–1108.
- Belanger, M., Allaman, I., & Magistretti, P. (2011). Brain energy metabolism: Focus on astrocyte-neuron metabolic cooperation. *Cell Metabolism*, 14, 724–738.
- Berk, M., Kapczinski, F., Andreazza, A., Dean, O., Giorlando, F., Maes, M., ... Malhi, G. (2011). Pathways underlying neuroprogression in bipolar disorder: Focus on inflammation, oxidative stress and neurotrophic factors. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 35, 804–817.
- Brown, N. C., Andreazza, A. C., & Young, L. T. (2014). An updated metaanalysis of oxidative stress markers in bipolar disorder. *Psychiatry Research*, 218, 61–68.
- Caseras, X., Lawrence, N. S., Murphy, K., Wise, R. G., & Phillips, M. L. (2013). Ventral striatum activity in response to reward: Differences between bipolar I and II disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 170, 533–541.
- Cecil, K. M., DelBello, M. P., Morey, R., & Strakowski, S. M. (2002). Frontal lobe differences in bipolar disorder as determined by proton MR spectroscopy. *Bipolar Disorders*, 4, 357–365.
- Cerullo, M. A., Adler, C. M., Delbello, M. P., & Strakowski, S. M. (2009). The functional neuroanatomy of bipolar disorder. *International Review* of Psychiatry, 21, 314–322.
- Clay, H. B., Sillivan, S., & Konradi, C. (2011). Mitochondrial dysfunction and pathology in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *International Journal of Developmental Neuroscience*, 29, 311–324.
- Cox, R. W. (1996). AFNI: Software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages. *Computers and Biomedical Research*, 29, 162–173.
- Dusi, N., Cecchetto, F., & Brambilla, P. (2016). Magnetic resonance spectroscopy studies in bipolar disorder patients: Focus on the potential role of oxidative stress. In D. Armstrong (Ed.), *Studies on psychiatric disorders* (pp. 171–195). New York: Springer.
- Evans, A., Collins, D., Mills, S., Brown, E., Kelly, R., & Peters, T. (1993). 3D statistical neuroanatomical models from 305 MRI volumes. Nuclear Science Symposium and Medical Imaging Conference, 1993., 1993 IEEE Conference Record. p 1813–1817.
- Gruber, S. A., Rogowska, J., & Yurgelun-Todd, D. A. (2004). Decreased activation of the anterior cingulate in bipolar patients: An fMRI study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 82, 191–201.
- Halle, M., Talos, I.-F., Jakab, M., Makris, N., Meier, D., Wald, L., ... Kikinis, R. (2015). Multi-modality MRI-based Atlas of the Brain: SPL.
- Heo, H. Y., Wemmie, J. A., Johnson, C. P., Thedens, D. R., & Magnotta, V. A. (2015). Eccentricity mapping of the human visual cortex to evaluate temporal dynamics of functional T1<sub>ρ</sub> mapping. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism*, 35, 1213–1219.
- Heo, H. Y., Wemmie, J., Thedens, D., & Magnotta, V. A. (2014). Evaluation of activity-dependent functional pH and T1ρ response in the visual cortex. *NeuroImage*, 95, 336–343.
- Hulvershorn, J., Borthakur, A., Bloy, L., Gualtieri, E. E., Reddy, R., Leigh, J. S., & Elliott, M. A. (2005). T1rho contrast in functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 54, 1155–1162.
- Jin, T., Autio, J., Obata, T., & Kim, S. G. (2011). Spin-locking versus chemical exchange saturation transfer MRI for investigating chemical exchange process between water and labile metabolite protons. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 65, 1448–1460.
- Jin, T., & Kim, S. G. (2013). Characterization of non-hemodynamic functional signal measured by spin-lock fMRI. *NeuroImage*, 78, 385–395.

- Johnson, C. P., Follmer, R. L., Oguz, I., Warren, L. A., Christensen, G. E., Fiedorowicz, J. G., ... Wemmie, J. A. (2015a). Brain abnormalities in bipolar disorder detected by quantitative T1ρ mapping. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 20, 201–206.
- Johnson, C. P., Follmer, R. L., Oguz, I., Warren, L. A., Christensen, G. E., Fiedorowicz, J. G., ... Wemmie, J. A. (2015b). Quantitative T1p mapping links the cerebellum and lithium use in bipolar disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 20, 149.
- Johnson, C. P., Heo, H. Y., Thedens, D. R., Wemmie, J. A., & Magnotta, V. A. (2014). Rapid acquisition strategy for functional T1ρ mapping of the brain. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, *32*, 1067–1077.
- Kato, T. (2007). Mitochondrial dysfunction as the molecular basis of bipolar disorder: Therapeutic implications. CNS Drugs, 21, 1–11.
- Kato, T., Kunugi, H., Nanko, S., & Kato, N. (2000). Association of bipolar disorder with the 5178 polymorphism in mitochondrial DNA. American Journal of Medical Genetics, 96, 182–186.
- Kato, T., Murashita, J., Kamiya, A., Shioiri, T., Kato, N., & Inubushi, T. (1998). Decreased brain intracellular pH measured by 31P-MRS in bipolar disorder: A confirmation in drug-free patients and correlation with white matter hyperintensity. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*, 248, 301–306.
- Keener, M. T., & Phillips, M. L. (2007). Neuroimaging in bipolar disorder: A critical review of current findings. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 9, 512–520.
- Kettunen, M. I., Gröhn, O. H., Silvennoinen, M. J., Penttonen, M., & Kauppinen, R. A. (2002). Effects of intracellular pH, blood, and tissue oxygen tension on T1rho relaxation in rat brain. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 48, 470–477.
- Killgore, W. D. S., Gruber, S. A., & Yurgelun-Todd, D. A. (2008). Abnormal cortico-striatal activity during fear perception in bipolar disorder. *NeuroReport*, 19, 1523–1527.
- Logothetis, N. K., Pauls, J., Augath, M., Trinath, T., & Oeltermann, A. (2001). Neurophysiological investigation of the basis of the fMRI signal. *Nature*, 412, 150–157.
- Magnotta, V. A., Heo, H. Y., Dlouhy, B. J., Dahdaleh, N. S., Follmer, R. L., Thedens, D. R., ... Wemmie, J. A. (2012). Detecting activity-evoked pH changes in human brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the United States of America, 109, 8270–8273.
- Magnotta, V. A., Johnson, C. P., Follmer, R., & Wemmie, J. A. (2014). Functional  $t1\rho$  imaging in panic disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*, 75, 884–891.
- Magri, C., Schridde, U., Murayama, Y., Panzeri, S., & Logothetis, N. K. (2012). The amplitude and timing of the BOLD signal reflects the relationship between local field potential power at different frequencies. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 32, 1395–1407.
- Maletic, V., & Raison, C. (2014). Integrated neurobiology of bipolar disorder. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 5, 98.
- Pierson, R., Johnson, H., Harris, G., Keefe, H., Paulsen, J. S., Andreasen, N. C., & Magnotta, V. A. (2011). Fully automated analysis using BRAINS: AutoWorkup. *NeuroImage*, 54, 328–336.
- Radenbach, K., Flaig, V., Schneider-Axmann, T., Usher, J., Reith, W., Falkai, P., ... Scherk, H. (2010). Thalamic volumes in patients with bipolar disorder. European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience, 260, 601–607.
- Rossi, D. J. (2006). Another BOLD role for astrocytes: Coupling blood flow to neural activity. *Nature Neuroscience*, *9*, 159–161.
- Salim, S. (2014). Oxidative stress and psychological disorders. Current Neuropharmacology, 12, 140–147.
- Sikoglu, E. M., Jensen, J. E., Vitaliano, G., Liso Navarro, A. A., Renshaw, P. F., Frazier, J. A., & Moore, C. M. (2013). Bioenergetic measurements in children with bipolar disorder: A pilot 31P magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *PLoS ONE*, 8(1), e54536.
- Stork, C., & Renshaw, P. F. (2005). Mitochondrial dysfunction in bipolar disorder: Evidence from magnetic resonance spectroscopy research. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 10, 900–919.

- Strakowski, S. M., Eliassen, J. C., Lamy, M., Cerullo, M. A., Allendorfer, J. B., Madore, M., ... Adler, C. M. (2011). fMRI brain activation in bipolar mania: Evidence for disruption of the ventrolateral prefrontalamygdala emotional pathway. *Biological Psychiatry*, *69*, 381–388.
- Takano, T., Tian, G. F., Peng, W., Lou, N., Libionka, W., Han, X., & Nedergaard, M. (2006). Astrocyte-mediated control of cerebral blood flow. *Nature Neuroscience*, 9, 260–267.
- Tang, V., & Wang, J.-F. (2012). Oxidative stress in bipolar disorder. Biochemistry and analytical biochemistry, S2.
- Teng, S., Lu, C., Wang, P., Li, C., Tu, P., Hung, C.-I., ... Wu, Y.-T. (2014). Altered resting-state functional connectivity of striatal-thalamic circuit in bipolar disorder. *PLoS ONE*, 9(5), e96422.
- Townsend, J. D., Bookheimer, S. Y., Foland-Ross, L. C., Moody, T. D., Eisenberger, N. I., Fischer, J. S., ... Altshuler, L. L. (2012). Deficits in inferior frontal cortex activation in euthymic bipolar disorder patients during a response inhibition task. *Bipolar Disorders*, 14, 442–450.
- Verbeke, G., & Molenberghs, G. (2000). Linear mixed models for longitudinal data. New York: Springer.
- Whitton, A. E., Treadway, M. T., & Pizzagalli, D. A. (2015). Reward processing dysfunction in major depression, bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 28, 7–12.
- Witzel, T., Lin, F. H., Rosen, B. R., & Wald, L. L. (2008). Stimulus-induced Rotary Saturation (SIRS): A potential method for the detection of neuronal currents with MRI. *NeuroImage*, 42, 1357–1365.
- Yip, S. W., Worhunsky, P. D., Rogers, R. D., & Goodwin, G. M. (2014). Hypoactivation of the ventral and dorsal striatum during reward and

loss anticipation in antipsychotic and mood stabilizer-naive bipolar disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 40, 658–666.

- Yoshimura, Y., Okamoto, Y., Onoda, K., Okada, G., Toki, S., Yoshino, A., ... Yamawaki, S. (2014). Psychosocial functioning is correlated with activation in the anterior cingulate cortex and left lateral prefrontal cortex during a verbal fluency task in euthymic bipolar disorder: A preliminary fMRI study. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 68, 188–196.
- Yuksel, C., Du, F., Ravichandran, C., Goldbach, J. R., Thida, T., Lin, P., ... Cohen, B. M. (2015). Abnormal high-energy phosphate molecule metabolism during regional brain activation in patients with bipolar disorder. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 20, 1079–1084.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Shaffer JJ Jr, Johnson CP, Long JD, et al. Relationship altered between functional T1ρ and BOLD signals in bipolar disorder. *Brain Behav*. 2017;7:e00802. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.802