# **Right-to-left Ventricular Diameter Ratio At Computed Tomographic Pulmonary Angiography** in Patients with Acute Pulmonary Embolism and Obstructive Sleep Apnea

Thomas M Berghaus<sup>1,2</sup>, Fabian Geissenberger<sup>1</sup>, Dinah Konnerth<sup>1</sup>, Michael Probst<sup>3</sup>, Thomas Kröncke<sup>3</sup> and Florian Schwarz<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, Respiratory Medicine and Intensive Care, University Hospital Augsburg, University of Augsburg, Germany. <sup>2</sup>Ludwig-Maximilians-University Munich, Germany. <sup>3</sup>Department of Radiology, University Hospital Augsburg, University of Augsburg, Germany.

Clinical Medicine Insights: Circulatory, Respiratory and Pulmonary Medicine Volume 14: 1-7 © The Author(s) 2020 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/1179548420976430



#### ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: Right ventricular (RV) dysfunction in acute pulmonary embolism (PE) is a critical determinant of outcome. Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a common comorbidity of PE and might also affect RV function. Therefore, we sought to investigate RV dysfunction in PE patients in proportion to the severity of OSA by evaluating the right-to-left ventricular (RV/LV) diameter ratio on computed tomographic pulmonary angiography (CTPA).

MATERIALS AND METHODS: 197 PE patients were evaluated for sleep-disordered breathing by portable monitoring and nocturnal polysomnography. RV dilatation was defined as an RV/LV diameter ratio of  $\ge$  1.0.

RESULTS: RV dilatation was significantly more frequent in OSA patients compared to study participants without OSA (66.4% vs 49.1%, P = .036). Elevated troponin I values, indicating myocardial injury due to acute, PE-related RV strain, were significantly more frequent in OSA patients with an apnea-hypopnea index (AHI)  $\ge$  15/h compared to those with an AHI < 15/h (62.1% vs 45.8%, P = .035). However, RV dysfunction documented by the RV/LV diameter ratio on CTPA was not significantly associated with the severity of OSA in multivariable regression analysis.

CONCLUSION: Patients with moderate or severe OSA might compensate acute, PE-related RV strain better, as they are adapted to repetitive right heart pressure overloads during sleep.

KEYWORDS: Pulmonary embolism, obstructive sleep apnea, right-to-left ventricular diameter ratio, computed tomographic pulmonary angiography, risk stratification

RECEIVED: June 22, 2020. ACCEPTED: November 4, 2020.

TYPE: Original Research

FUNDING: The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

DECLARATION OF CONFLICTING INTERESTS: The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Thomas M Berghaus, Department of Cardiology, Respiratory Medicine and Intensive Care, University Hospital Augsburg, University Hospital Augsburg, Stenglinstrasse 2, Augsburg D-86156, Germany. Email: thomas. berghaus@uk-augsburg.de

# Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a breathing disorder, which is characterised by recurrent upper-airway obstructions during sleep, resulting in repetitive episodes of hypopnea or apnea.<sup>1</sup> It is known that OSA is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and death.<sup>2</sup>

Pathophysiologically, OSA is associated with oxygen desaturation, intermittent hypoxia, hypercapnia, intrathoracic pressure swings, and an increase of pulmonary arterial pressure during sleep.3 All these mechanisms affect right ventricular (RV) function. Decreased right heart performance has been repeatedly described in OSA patients.4-7 RV function seems to be impaired in proportion to the severity of OSA<sup>4,5</sup> and has been shown to be reversible by continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) therapy.<sup>6,7</sup>

In acute pulmonary embolism (PE), RV dysfunction is a critical determinant of outcome and is associated with a poor short- and long-term prognosis.8 Although OSA is a common comorbidity of PE,9 it is unknown if OSA patients have a higher prevalence of RV dysfunction when presenting with acute PE than patients without relevant sleep disordered breathing (SDB). We therefore sought to investigate signs of RV dysfunction in patients suffering from acute PE according to the presence and the severity of OSA.

# **Materials and Methods**

# Study design, imaging tests and clinical data

This observational cohort study was conducted at a tertiary academic teaching hospital, where patients with acute PE were enrolled between July 2011 and March 2016. Data were collected prospectively.

In all patients, the diagnosis of PE was established by multidetector computed tomographic pulmonary angiography (CTPA), performed using the routine scan protocols of our institution. RV dysfunction was assessed by calculating the

 $(\mathbf{\hat{n}})$ 

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).



**Figure 1.** Comparison of a patient with signs of RV dysfunction manifesting as a pathological increase of the RV-LV-ratio: (A) maximal transversal diameter of the right ventricle, (B) maximal transverse diameter of the left ventricle with a patient without signs of RV dysfunction, (C) maximal transversal diameter of the right ventricle and (D) maximal transverse diameter of the left ventricle. In panel (B) parts of the underlying pulmonary embolism can be appreciated in the left lower lobe (red arrow head).

right-to-left ventricular (RV/LV) diameter ratio on standard CTPA images. RV/LV ratios were determined in consensus by 2 radiologists with extensive experience in cardiovascular CT using standard axial plane reconstructions. Both readers were blinded towards sleep study results. Maximum RV and LV diameters were defined manually as the maximum distance of the endocardial border of the right or left ventricle from the interventricular septum; thereby, the largest RV and LV diameters were typically observed at different craniocaudal positions of the heart. RV dilatation was defined as a RV/LV diameter ratio of  $\geq$  1.0 (Figure 1).

Patients' histories were taken by study nurses who were blinded for sleep study results and were directed towards comorbidity including concomitant lung diseases (CLD), congestive left heart failure (CHF), cerebrovascular diseases (CVD) and a history of active cancer. Biomarkers indicating ventricular pressure overload [N-terminal pro-brain-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP)] and myocardial injury due to acute RV strain [(troponin I (TNI)] were measured on admission. PE patients were screened for SDB by portable monitoring (PM) during hospitalisation as soon as they became clinically stable for the performance of sleep studies. As we planned to perform nocturnal polysomnography (PSG) in case of a positive PM result, only patients who were suitable for potential over-night PSG were recruited. Subjects under CPAP treatment, severe mental or physical impairment or a life-expectancy of less than 1 year were excluded from the

study. Sleep assessment was performed and validated as previously described.<sup>9</sup> All study participants filled out an Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS) questionnaire.<sup>10</sup> Nocturnal PSG was performed in all patients with an apnea-hypopnea index (AHI)  $\geq$  15/h documented by PM and in all subjects with a PM-AHI < 15/h but evidence of increased daytime sleepiness, defined as an ESS score > 10.<sup>10</sup> In patients who received both PM and PSG, PSG was performed within 30 days after PM and only PSG-derived sleep parameters were analysed. According to international standards, OSA was declared to be mild when the AHI was 5 to 14/h, moderate when the AHI was 15 to 29/h, and severe when the AHI was  $\geq$  30/h.<sup>11</sup>

# Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 25. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test for the normality of distribution in continuous variables. Continuous variables were given as means  $\pm$  standard deviations and they were analysed by the T-Test and ANOVA. Categorical variables were specified as amounts (with percentages of total) and their distribution was further analysed by the Chi-Square test. For binary variables logistic regression analysis was performed whereas continuous parameters were tested by linear regression analysis. The level of significance was chosen at  $\alpha = 0.05$  and the probability values were given 2-tailed. In case of multiple testing, the level of significance was adapted using the Bonferroni-adjustment.

## Results

The clinical characteristics, the results of the laboratory tests, and the radiological findings of the study population were summarized in Tables 1 and 2. A total of 197 patients could be enrolled. During the recruitment period, 495 patients with acute PE were treated at our department. Out of these 495 patients, 86 died during hospitalisation. From the remaining 409 patients, 59 did not receive CTPA at our institution (either due to high-risk PE, external CTPA diagnosis or renal impairment) and 63 patients were excluded from the trial. Thus, 90 patients were missed for screening due to other reasons during the whole study period. The 30 days mortality rate of the study cohort was 0.5%.

In comparison with patients with no or only mild OSA, subjects with an AHI  $\ge$  15/h were significantly older (71 ± 11 years vs 55 ± 18 years, P = <.001). sPESI scores  $\ge$  1 and elevated TNI values were significantly more frequent in moderate to severe OSA (86.4% vs 63.4%, P = .001 and 62.1% vs 45.8%, P = .035, respectively), and the frequencies were increasing depending on the severity of OSA. For NT-proBNP levels, a similar trend could be documented, but the differences failed to be significant. Concomitant diseases were equally distributed in all different study subgroups. CHF as comorbidity was very rare in the entire study population (2.0%).

#### Table 1. Characteristics of the study cohort depending on the AHI.

|                                      | TOTAL        | AHI <15/H    | AHI≥15/H     | <i>P</i> VALUE |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
|                                      | N=197        | N=131        | N=66         |                |
| Demographics                         |              |              |              |                |
| Age (years [±SD])                    | 60 (±18)     | 55 (±18)     | 71 (±11)     | <.001          |
| Female (n [%])                       | 104 (52.8)   | 73 (55.7)    | 31 (47.0)    | .290           |
| Clinical findings                    |              |              |              |                |
| Hypotension (n [%])                  | 19 (9.6)     | 12 (9.2)     | 7 (10.6)     | .800           |
| HR≥110/min ( <i>n</i> [%])           | 73 (37.1)    | 51 (38.9)    | 22 (33.3)    | .532           |
| SO <sub>2</sub> <90% ( <i>n</i> [%]) | 71 (36.0)    | 43 (32.8)    | 28 (42.4)    | .210           |
| Imaging findings                     |              |              |              |                |
| RV/LV ratio ≥1 ( <i>n</i> [%])       | 121 (61.4)   | 80 (61.1)    | 41 (62.1)    | 1.000          |
| RV diameter (mm [±SD])               | 51 (±8)      | 51 (±8)      | 52 (±8)      | .252           |
| LV diameter (mm [±SD])               | 42 (±8)      | 41 (±7)      | 43 (±9)      | .173           |
| RV/LV ratio (±SD)                    | 1.27 (±0.33) | 1.27 (±0.33) | 1.27 (±0.35) | .998           |
| Comorbidity                          |              |              |              |                |
| CLD (n [%])                          | 19 (9.6)     | 10 (7.6)     | 9 (13.6)     | .205           |
| CHF (n [%])                          | 4 (2.0)      | 1 (0.8)      | 3 (4.5)      | .110           |
| CVD (n [%])                          | 11 (5.6)     | 7 (5.4)      | 4 (6.1)      | 1.000          |
| Active cancer (n [%])                | 21 (10.7)    | 14 (10.7)    | 7 (10.6)     | 1.000          |
| Laboratory tests                     |              |              |              |                |
| NT-proBNP (pg/ml [±SD])              | 2618 (±3087) | 2438 (±2669) | 2971 (±3782) | .413           |
| Elevated TNI (n [%])                 | 101 (51.3)   | 60 (45.8)    | 41 (62.1)    | .035           |
| Risk stratification                  |              |              |              |                |
| sPESI≥1 ( <i>n</i> [%])              | 140 (71.1)   | 83 (63.4)    | 57 (86.4)    | .001           |

Abbreviations: AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; CHF, congestive left heart failure; CLD, concomitant lung disease; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; HR, heart rate; LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle; SO<sub>2</sub>, oxygen saturation; sPESI, simplified pulmonary embolism severity index; TNI, troponin I.

In 61.4% of the entire study cohort the RV/LV diameter ratio was  $\geq 1$  on CTPA. RV/LV ratios  $\geq 1$  could be identified in 49.1% of PE patients with no OSA, in 70.3% of subjects with mild OSA, in 57.1% of PE patients with moderate OSA and in 65.8% of subjects with an AHI  $\geq$  30/h. RV diameters were significantly larger in PE patients with mild or severe OSA compared to study participants with an AHI < 5/h (P =.002 and P = .012, respectively). RV/LV diameter ratios  $\geq 1$ were significantly more frequent in OSA patients compared to study participants with an AHI < 5/h (66.4% vs 49.1%, P =.036). However, the AHI was not an independent predictor for the size of the RV ( $\pounds = 0.092$ , P = .230), the RV/LV diameter ratio ( $\pounds = -0.013$ , P = .868), or an RV/LV diameter ratio  $\geq 1$ (OR (95% CI) = 1.006 (0.985-1.027), P = .591) when tested in a multivariable regression analysis adjusted for age, gender and comorbidity. Correspondingly, the prevalence of RV/LV diameter ratios  $\geq 1$  did not significantly change in proportion to the severity of OSA (Figure 2).

#### Discussion

In the present study, we investigated RV dysfunction in patients presenting with acute PE in proportion to the severity of OSA by evaluating the RV/LV diameter ratio on CTPA. We found that RV dilatation was significantly more frequent in PE patients with OSA compared to study participants with an AHI < 5/h. However, RV dysfunction documented by the RV/LV diameter ratio on CTPA was not associated with the severity of OSA.

The RV/LV diameter ratio assessment on CTPA has been proven to be accurate and reproducible in identifying RV

|                                      | NO OSA            | MILD OSA              |                    | MODERATE OSA                  | SEVERE OSA               | P VALUE                      |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
|                                      | AHI<5/H           |                       |                    | AHI 15 TO 29/H                | AHI≥30/H                 | -                            |
|                                      | N=57              | N=74                  |                    | N=28                          | N=38                     |                              |
| Demographics                         |                   |                       |                    |                               |                          |                              |
| Age (years [ $\pm$ SD])              | 49 (±19)          | 60 (±16)              |                    | 71 (±12)                      | 71 (±10)                 | <.001                        |
| Female ( <i>n</i> [%])               | 30 (52.6)         | 43 (58.               | .1)                | 15 (53.6)                     | 16 (42.1)                | .462                         |
| Clinical findings                    |                   |                       |                    |                               |                          |                              |
| Hypotension (n [%])                  | 7 (12.3)          | 5 (6.8                | 3)                 | 1 (3.6)                       | 6 (15.8)                 | .286                         |
| HR≥110/min ( <i>n</i> [%])           | 22 (38.6)         | 29 (39.               | 2)                 | 9 (32.1)                      | 13 (34.2)                | .899                         |
| SO <sub>2</sub> <90% ( <i>n</i> [%]) | 17 (29.8)         | 26 (35.               | .1)                | 12 (42.9)                     | 16 (42.1)                | .548                         |
| Imaging findings                     |                   |                       |                    |                               |                          |                              |
| RV/LV ratio ≥1 ( <i>n</i> [%])       | 28 (49.1)         | 52 (70.               | .3)                | 16 (57.1)                     | 25 (65.8)                | .087                         |
| RV diameter (mm [±SD])               | 48 (±8)           | 52 (±8                | )                  | 51 (±8)                       | 53 (±8)                  | .011                         |
| LV diameter (mm [±SD])               | 41 (±7)           | 42 (±7                | )                  | 43 (±8)                       | 43 (±9)                  | .443                         |
| RV/LV ratio (±SD)                    | 1.23 (±0.34)      | 1.31 (±0.31)          |                    | 1.25 (±0.39)                  | 1.28 (±0.33)             | .589                         |
| Comorbidity                          |                   |                       |                    |                               |                          |                              |
| CLD (n [%])                          | 4 (7.0)           | 6 (8.1)               |                    | 3 (10.7)                      | 6 (15.8)                 | .499                         |
| CHF ( <i>n</i> [%])                  | 1 (1.8)           | 0 (0.0)               |                    | 1 (3.6)                       | 2 (5.3)                  | .170                         |
| CVD ( <i>n</i> [%])                  | 4 (7.0)           | 3 (4.1)               |                    | 1 (3.6)                       | 3 (7.9)                  | .734                         |
| Active cancer (n [%])                | 4 (7.0)           | 10 (13.               | 5)                 | 3 (10.7)                      | 4 (10.5)                 | .719                         |
| Laboratory tests                     |                   |                       |                    |                               |                          |                              |
| NT-proBNP (pg/ml [±SD])              | 1962 (±2343)      | 2794 (±2              | 862)               | 2810 (±4520)                  | 3076 (±3306)             | .495                         |
| Elevated TNI (n [%])                 | 20 (35.1)         | 40 (54.1)             |                    | 16 (57.1)                     | 25 (65.8)                | .020                         |
| Risk stratification                  |                   |                       |                    |                               |                          |                              |
| sPESI≥1 ( <i>n</i> [%])              | 36 (63.2)         | 47 (63.               | .5)                | 22 (78.6)                     | 35 (92.1)                | .003                         |
|                                      | P VALUE           |                       |                    |                               |                          |                              |
|                                      | NO VERSUS<br>MILD | NO VERSUS<br>MODERATE | NO VERSU<br>SEVERE | IS MILD<br>VERSUS<br>MODERATE | MILD<br>VERSUS<br>SEVERE | MODERATE<br>VERSUS<br>SEVERE |
| Demographics                         |                   |                       |                    |                               |                          |                              |
| Age (years [±SD])                    | <.001*            | <.001*                | <.001*             | .002*                         | <.001*                   | .983*                        |
| Female ( <i>n</i> [%])               | .596*             | 1.000*                | .403*              | .823*                         | .116*                    | .456*                        |
| Clinical findings                    |                   |                       |                    |                               |                          |                              |
| Hypotension (n [%])                  | .363*             | .262*                 | .762*              | 1.000*                        | .179*                    | .224*                        |
| HR≥110/min ( <i>n</i> [%])           | 1.000*            | .636*                 | .828*              | .647*                         | .683*                    | 1.000*                       |
| SO <sub>2</sub> <90% ( <i>n</i> [%]) | .576*             | .330*                 | .273*              | .498*                         | .538*                    | 1.000*                       |

| Table 2. | Characteristics | according t | o the | severity | of | obstructive | sleep | apnea | (OSA). |
|----------|-----------------|-------------|-------|----------|----|-------------|-------|-------|--------|
|----------|-----------------|-------------|-------|----------|----|-------------|-------|-------|--------|

(Continued)

#### Table 2. (Continued)

|                                | P VALUE           |                       |                     |                            |                          |                              |  |  |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
|                                | NO VERSUS<br>MILD | NO VERSUS<br>MODERATE | NO VERSUS<br>SEVERE | MILD<br>VERSUS<br>MODERATE | MILD<br>VERSUS<br>SEVERE | MODERATE<br>VERSUS<br>SEVERE |  |  |
| Imaging findings               |                   |                       |                     |                            |                          |                              |  |  |
| RV/LV ratio ≥1 ( <i>n</i> [%]) | .019*             | .500*                 | .141*               | .243*                      | .670*                    | .609*                        |  |  |
| RV diameter (mm [ $\pm$ SD])   | .002*             | .089*                 | .012*               | .485*                      | .980*                    | .535*                        |  |  |
| LV diameter (mm [±SD])         | .464*             | .145*                 | .233*               | .330*                      | .491*                    | .811*                        |  |  |
| RV/LV ratio (±SD)              | .169*             | .742*                 | .418*               | .484*                      | .735*                    | .734*                        |  |  |
| Comorbidity                    |                   |                       |                     |                            |                          |                              |  |  |
| CLD (n [%])                    | 1.000*            | .679*                 | .191*               | .703*                      | .333*                    | .722*                        |  |  |
| CHF ( <i>n</i> [%])            | .435*             | 1.000 *               | .562*               | .275*                      | .113*                    | 1.000*                       |  |  |
| CVD ( <i>n</i> [%])            | .463*             | .661*                 | 1.000*              | 1.000*                     | .406*                    | .631*                        |  |  |
| Active cancer (n [%])          | .268*             | .679*                 | .709*               | 1.000*                     | .769*                    | 1.000*                       |  |  |
| Laboratory tests               |                   |                       |                     |                            |                          |                              |  |  |
| NT-proBNP (pg/ml [±SD])        | .159*             | .475*                 | .126*               | .987*                      | .703*                    | .825*                        |  |  |
| Elevated TNI (n [%])           | .035*             | .064*                 | .006*               | .826*                      | .312*                    | .609*                        |  |  |
| Risk stratification            |                   |                       |                     |                            |                          |                              |  |  |
| sPESI≥1 ( <i>n</i> [%])        | 1.000*            | .216*                 | .002*               | .164*                      | .001*                    | .153*                        |  |  |

Abbreviations: AHI, apnea-hypopnea index; CHF, congestive left heart failure; CLD, concomitant lung disease; CVD, cerebrovascular disease; HR, heart rate; LV, left ventricle; RV, right ventricle; SO<sub>2</sub>, oxygen saturation; sPESI, simplified pulmonary embolism severity index; TNI, troponin I. \*In case of multiple testing, the level of significance was adapted to  $\alpha = 0.008$  using the Bonferroni-adjustment.



Figure 2. Right-to-left ventricular (RV/LV) diameter ratio ≥1 according to the AHI.

dysfunction<sup>12</sup> and has been evaluated to be effective in predicting short-term mortality in acute PE.<sup>13,14</sup> Meanwhile, our findings have been confirmed by a recent study.<sup>15</sup>

These observations might be explained by several circumstances: on the one hand, it has been postulated that the elevation in pulmonary arterial pressure seems to be generally mild in OSA and severe RV dysfunction only appears in the presence of other underlying diseases, such as CHF with an impaired cardiac reserve, for example Sajkov and McEvoy<sup>3</sup> and Furlan et al.<sup>14</sup> In our study population, such comorbidities were rare, possibly explaining the fact that we didn`t observe any association between the severity of OSA and the prevalence of RV dysfunction.

However, other investigators found the prevalence of pulmonary hypertension (PH) among OSA patients to be between 20% and 40% even in the absence of other known cardiopulmonary disorders, suggesting that episodic sleep apnea and intermittent nocturnal hypoxemia are sufficient to cause PH.<sup>3</sup> In our study, we were able to demonstrate that RV dilatation was significantly more frequent in PE patients with OSA compared to study participants with an AHI < 5/h. In addition, biomarker levels indicating acute RV strain were higher in PE patients suffering from OSA, especially severe OSA. In a mouse model, intermittent hypoxia mimicking OSA results in sustained PH, vascular remodelling and RV hypertrophy.16 Furthermore, a reduction in pulmonary artery pressure has been reported in OSA patients after initiation of nocturnal CPAP treatment,<sup>17</sup> suggesting a pathophysiological association between these 2 conditions. However, the prevalence of RV dysfunction documented by the RV/LV diameter ratio on CTPA did not increase in proportion to the severity of OSA in our study. A possible explanation for this observation might be that patients with relevant OSA might compensate acute, PE-related RV strain better compared to PE patients without SDB, as they may be adapted to repetitive RV pressure overload during sleep. Intermittent nocturnal hypoxia, oxidative stress, systemic inflammation and sympathetic overactivation may cause PH, resulting in repetitive RV pressure overloads during sleep in OSA patients.<sup>18</sup> Animal studies have shown that the right heart can adapt fairly rapidly to increased RV pressure over the course of a couple of weeks.<sup>19</sup> Thus, PE patients with relevant OSA might compensate acute, PE-related RV strain better compared to PE patients with no or only mild OSA, as they are 'trained' for recurrent RV pressure overloads. This theory might even explain the highest prevalence of RV/LV diameter ratios  $\geq 1$  in the mild OSA cohort.

Our trial has several strengths like the prospective enrolment, the representative sample size and the high-quality sleepstudies. However, we admit that our study has also some limitations. First, we did not enrol consecutive patients. All our study participants qualified for sleep studies and might therefore be less severely affected by comorbid diseases. This fact might result in a selection bias and explain the very low shortterm mortality rate of our study population. Moreover, although the total study population has a representative sample size, our study might be statistically underpowered to analyse possible confounding effects of comorbid diseases on our study results. Second, our CT imaging studies were not correlated with echocardiographic findings. However, earlier studies have shown that the CT assessment of right heart strain in acute PE correlates well with echocardiographic findings.<sup>20</sup> Third, we are not able to report follow-up data like PE recurrence or long-term survival. Thus, we cannot draw any prognostic conclusions from our observations. However, as we have demonstrated in another study that OSA might affect long-term prognosis in acute PE,<sup>21</sup> investigating the RV/LV diameter ratio alone might not be sufficient in predicting survival of OSA patients suffering from acute PE. As the prevalence and severity of OSA is increasing with age, our findings might, at least in part, explain results of another study indicating a lower prognostic value of the RV/LV diameter ratio in elderly patients with acute PE.<sup>22</sup>

# Conclusion

In summary, RV dilatation was significantly more frequent in PE patients with OSA compared to PE patients without SDB. However, RV dysfunction documented by the RV/LV diameter ratio on CTPA was not associated with the severity of OSA. We conclude that patients with moderate or severe OSA might compensate acute, PE-related RV strain better, as they are adapted to repetitive right heart pressure overloads during sleep.

## Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to Mrs. Yvonne Eglinger for proof reading the manuscript.

# Authors' contributions

**TMB:** conception and design, interpretation of data, drafting the article, final approval of the version to be published. **FG:** analysis and interpretation of data, revising it critically for important intellectual content; final approval of the version to be published. **DK:** analysis and interpretation of data, revising it critically for important intellectual content; final approval of the version to be published. **MP:** analysis and interpretation of data, revising it critically for important intellectual content; final approval of the version to be published. **TK:** revising it critically for important intellectual content; final approval of the version to be published. **FS:** conception and design, analysis and interpretation of data, final approval of the version to be published, agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

# Availability of data and material

Data are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

# Ethics approval and consent to participate and for publication

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. The study was conducted with the approval of the Institution's Ethics Committee (project number 080-11 with an amendment BKF 2017-73). All patients gave written informed consent to participate in the trial and for the publication of the study results.

# **ORCID iD**

Thomas M Berghaus D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8551 -6190

#### REFERENCES

- Park JG, Ramar K, Olson EJ. Updates on definition, consequences, and management of obstructive sleep apnea. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2011;86:549-554.
- Bradley TD, Floras JS. Obstructive sleep apnoea and its cardiovascular consequences. *Lancet*. 2009;373:82-93.
- Sajkov D, McEvoy RD. Obstructive sleep apnea and pulmonary hypertension. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2009;51:363-370.
- Romero-Corral A, Somers VK, Pellikka PA, et al. Decreased right and left ventricular myocardial performance in obstructive sleep apnea. *Chest.* 2007;132: 1863-1870.
- Akyol S, Cortuk M, Baykan AO, et al. Biventricular myocardial performance is impaired in proportion to severity of obstructive sleep apnea. *Tex Heart Inst J.* 2016;43:119-125.
- Shivalkar B, Van de Heyning C, Kerremans M, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: more insights on structural and functional cardiac alterations, and the effects of treatment with continuous positive airway pressure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2006;47:1433-1439.
- García-Ortega A, Mañas E, López-Reyes R, et al. Obstructive sleep apnoea and venous thromboembolism: pathophysiological links and clinical implications. *Eur Respir J.* 2019;53:1800893.
- Konstantinides SV, Meyer G, Becattini C, et al. ESC Scientific Document Group. 2019 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism developed in collaboration with the European Respiratory Society (ERS). *Eur Heart J.* 2020;41:543-603.

- Berghaus TM, Faul C, von Scheidt W, Schwaiblmair M. The prevalence of sleep-disordered breathing among survivors of acute pulmonary embolism. *Sleep Breath.* 2016;20:213-218.
- Johns MW. A new method for measuring daytime sleepiness: the Epworth sleepiness scale. Sleep. 1991;14:540-545.
- American Academy of Sleep Medicine. The AASM Manual for Scoring of Sleep and Associated Events: Rules, Terminology and Technical Specifications 2012, Version 2.1, Westchester, IL; 2012.
- 12. Ende-Verhaar YM, Kroft LJM, Mos ICM, Huisman MV, Klok FA. Accuracy and reproducibility of CT right-to-left ventricular diameter measurement in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *PLoS One*. 2017;12:e0188862.
- van der Meer RW, Pattynama PM, van Strijen MJ, et al. Right ventricular dysfunction and pulmonary obstruction index at helical CT: prediction of clinical outcome during 3-month follow-up in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Radiology*. 2005;235:798-803.
- Furlan A, Aghayev A, Chang CC, et al. Short-term mortality in acute pulmonary embolism: clot burden and signs of right heart dysfunction at CT pulmonary angiography. *Radiology*. 2012;265:283-293.
- Toledo-Pons N, Alonso-Fernández A, de la Peña M, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea is associated with worse clinical-radiological risk scores of pulmonary embolism. J Sleep Res. Published online June 10, 2019. doi:10.1111/jsr.12871
- Campen MJ, Shimoda LA, O'Donnell CP. Acute and chronic cardiovascular effects of intermittent hypoxia in C57BL/6J mice. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2005;99:2028-2035.
- Arias MA, García-Río F, Alonso-Fernández A, Martínez I, Villamor J. Pulmonary hypertension in obstructive sleep apnoea: effects of continuous positive airway pressure: a randomized, controlled cross-over study. *Eur Heart J.* 2006;27:1106-1113.
- Kasai T, Bradley TD. Obstructive sleep apnea and heart failure: pathophysiologic and therapeutic implications. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011;57:119-127.
- Pokreisz P, Marsboom G, Janssens S. Pressure overload-induced right ventricular dysfunction and remodelling in experimental pulmonary hypertension: the right heart revisited. *Eur Heart J Suppl.* 2009;9:H75-H84.
- Doğan H, Kroft LJ, Bax JJ, et al. MDCT assessment of right ventricular systolic function. Am J Roentgenol. 2006;186:S366-S370.
- Geissenberger F, Schwarz F, Probst M, et al. Obstructive sleep apnea is associated with pulmonary artery thrombus load, disease severity and survival in acute pulmonary embolism. *Clin Res Cardiol*. 2020;109:13-21.
- Méan M, Tritschler T, Limacher A, et al. Association between computed tomography obstruction index and mortality in elderly patients with acute pulmonary embolism: a prospective validation study. *PLoS One.* 2017;12: e0179224.