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#### Abstract

A hotspot of subterranean Collembola in ferruginous rock caves and Mesovoid Shallow Substratum is revealed by the analysis of pseudocryptic diversity. The diversity is accessed by detailed description of chaetotaxy and slight variation in morphology of 11 new species of Trogolaphysa Mills, 1938 (Collembola, Paronellidae, Paronellinae) and the 50 previously recorded species of springtails from caves, using optical and electronic microscopy. When combined with recent subterranean surveys, our results show an important reservoir of cave diversity in the Mesovoid Shallow Substratum. Contrastingly the conservation policy for subterranean fauna in metallogenic areas in Brazil prioritizes the caves instead the cave species, which may be extremely detrimental to the fauna in the shallow subterranean habitats not accessible to humans.


Some areas are subject of intense fauna diversification, the term "hotspot" is used to indicate relatively small areas with high and exclusive diversity, though there are different interpretations about what is the threshold which defines such an area. Hotspots may be defined by combining the richness, endemism, extension, and threats to the area in focus ${ }^{1}$, however some approaches to subterranean fauna use an arbitrary cutoff of 20 restricted endemic species with no regards to environmental threats ${ }^{2}$. More recently two South American subterranean hotspots were defined based in the richness of restricted endemic fauna, and fully addressed the conservation aspects of the surroundings of the cave systems ${ }^{3}$.

The species diversity of cave restricted fauna, with limited subterranean distribution and some degree of troglomorphism, known as troglobites ${ }^{4}$, is positively correlated to the extension of the cave and the presence of perennial pools, and sometimes negatively correlated to the presence of streams, which can cause disturbance in the habitats and import a more diverse troglophile fauna ${ }^{5}$. Therefore, the more diverse troglobitic fauna is supposed to be found in larger caves, which are often formed in limestone rock, this is corroborated by the cave fauna hotspots found in limestone cave systems in Southeastern and Northeastern Brazil ${ }^{3,6}$.

However, there are different shallow subterranean habitats (SSH), which are spaces that extend through and across the soil and weathered rock matrix ${ }^{7}$. The mesovoid shallow substratum (MSS) ${ }^{7-9}$ seems to be the SSH that best fits the characteristics observed in ferruginous subterranean habitats, even though it differs from the exact original definition for MSS ${ }^{8}$. These underground spaces connect, and somehow extends the cave habitat far beyond the human reach and might as well be a climate refuge to epigeic fauna ${ }^{10,11}$.

The Brazilian States of Minas Gerais (Southeast) and Para (North) represent the more important metallogenic areas in the country and concentrate the mining activities and commodities production. The iron ore lithology presents a profusion of small and shallow caves, subterranean spaces and crevices that functions as MSS ${ }^{12}$, providing habitat for a variety of species, including troglobites ${ }^{13,14}$. Previous studies found higher average relative richness, and distinctiveness in ferruginous rock ${ }^{12}$, than in other lithologies.

Unpublished data from caves and MSS in ferruginous rock, brings 87 morphotypes of Collembola with some degree of troglomorphism, most of them potential new species, from Minas Gerais (73) and Para (14). A total of 38 species have been described so far ( 32 from Minas Gerais, six from Para), including 22 troglobites (Table 1). Recent studies in Brazil have surveyed hundreds of cave species, from sponges to vertebrates, more than 250 already described ${ }^{3,14-17}$, great part of it focused on large caves in karstic lithology ${ }^{6,18,19}$.

[^0]| Species | Ecological status* | Lithology | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acherontides eleonorea Palacios-Vargas \& Gnaspini-Netto, 1992 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SP |
| Acherontides serrasapoensis Lima, Stievano \& Zeppelini, 2019 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2,3}$ | MG |
| Arrhopalites mendoncae Brito, Lima \& Zeppelini, 2019 | Troglobite | Ir, $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | MG |
| Arrhopalites alambariensis Zeppelini, 2006 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SP |
| Arrhopalites amorimi Palacios-Vargas \& Zeppelini, 1995a | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SP |
| Arrhopalites botuveraensis Zeppelini, 2006 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SC |
| Arrhopalites glabrofasciatus Zeppelini, Brito \& Lima, 2018 | Troglobite | Ir, $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | MG |
| Arrhopalites gnaspinii Palacios-Vargas \& Zeppelini, 1995a | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SP |
| Arrhopalites heteroculatus Zeppelini, 2006 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SP |
| Arrhopalites lawrencei Palacios-Vargas \& Zeppelini, 1995a | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SP |
| Arrhopalites paranaenses Zeppelini, 2006 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | PR |
| Coecobrya phoenix Brito, Lima \& Zeppelini, 2019 | Troglobite | Ir, $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | MG |
| Cyphoderus caetetus Zeppelini \& Oliveira, 2016 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2,3}$ | MG |
| Cyphoderus mucrominimus Oliveira, Alves \& Zeppelini, 2017 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | PA |
| Cyphoderus mucrostrimenus Oliveira, Alves \& Zeppelini, 2017 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2,3}$ | PA |
| Cyphoderus palaciosi Oliveira, Brito \& Zeppelini, 2021 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2,3}$ | MG |
| Cyphoderus pataxo Oliveira, Brito \& Zeppelini, 2021 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2,3}$ | MG |
| Pararrhopalites papaveroi (Zeppelini \& Palacios-Vargas, 1999) | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | MS |
| Pararrhopalites queirozi Brito, Lima \& Zeppelini, 2019 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1,2}$ | MG |
| Pararrhopalites sideroicus Zeppelini \& Brito, 2014 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2}$ | MG |
| Pararrhopalites ubiquum Zeppelini, Lima \& Brito, 2018 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pararrhopalites wallacei (Palacios-Vargas \& Zeppelini, 1995a) | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SP |
| Pseudosinella acantholabrata Cipola, 2020 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,3}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella alfanjeunguiculata Bellini, Cipola \& Souza, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella ambigua Zeppelini, Brito \& Lima, 2018 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella aphelabiata Bellini, Cipola \& Souza, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella brumadinhoensis Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{2}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella chimerambigua Oliveira, Lima \& Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella diamantinensis Bellini, Cipola \& Souza, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella guanhaensis Zeppelini, Brito \& Lima, 2018 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Gr}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella keni Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella labiociliata Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella labruspinata Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella macrolignicephala Oliveira, Lima \& Cipola, 2020 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2,3}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella marianensis Bellini, Cipola \& Souza, 2020 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,3}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella mitodentunguilata Bellini, Cipola \& Souza, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella neriae Bellini, Cipola \& Souza, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella paraensis Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | PA |
| Pseudosinella parambigua Oliveira, Lima \& Cipola, 2020 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2,3}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella phyllunguiculata Oliveira, Lima \& Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella prelabruscervata Oliveira, Lima \& Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella pusilla Oliveira, Brito \& Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | PA |
| Pseudosinella serpentinensis Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella spurimarianensis Bellini, Cipola \& Souza, 2020 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2,3}$ | MG |
| Pseudosinella taurina Cipola, 2020 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | PA |
| Pseudosinella unimacrochaetosa Cipola, 2020 | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,3}$ | MG |
| Troglobius brasiliensis Palacios-Vargas \& Zeppelini, 1995a | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Sn}^{1}$ | PA |
| Troglobius ferroicus Zeppelini, Silva \& Palacios-Vargas, 2014 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Trogolaphysa aelleni Yosii, 1988 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SP |
| Trogolaphysa barroca sp. nov | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Trogolaphysa bellinii sp. nov | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Trogolaphysa chapelensis sp. nov | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Trogolaphysa crystallensis sp. nov | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Trogolaphysa dandarae sp. nov | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | PA |
| Trogolaphysa epitychia sp. nov | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Trogolaphysa gisbertae sp. nov | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | PA |
| Continued |  |  |  |


| Species | Ecological status | Lithology | State |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Trogolaphysa hauseri Yosii, 1988 | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SP |
| Trogolaphysa lacerta sp. nov | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Trogolaphysa mariecurieae sp. nov | Troglophile | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1,2,3}$ | MG |
| Trogolaphysa sotoadamesi sp. nov | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ | MG |
| Trogolaphysa zampauloi sp. nov | Troglobite | $\mathrm{Li}^{1}$ | SP |

Table 1. Collembola species described from caves and MSS in Brazil. Distributional States-Mato Grosso do Sul, MS. Minas Gerais, MG. Para, PA. Parana, PR. Santa Catarina, SC. São Paulo, SP. Lithology—Granitic rock, Gr. Iron rock, Ir. Limestone rock, Li. Sandstone, Sn. Cave ${ }^{1}$, MSS ${ }^{2}$, Surface ${ }^{3}$. *We consider troglobite all species with some degree of troglomorphism and known distribution restricted to subterranean habitats (for a discussion on troglobite definition see Sket ${ }^{4}$.

Here we present a group of 50 known species of Collembola found in Brazilian caves in different lithologies and add 11 new species of the genus Trogolaphysa Mills, 1938 with some degree of troglomorphism, from caves and MSS in ferruginous and limestone rock.

The genus Trogolaphysa has 69 described species worldwide, only eight have been recorded from Brazil so far: T. aelleni Yoshii, 1988; T. ernesti Cipola \& Bellini, 2017; T. formosensis Silva \& Bellini, 2015; T. hauseri Yoshii, 1988; T. hirtipes (Handschin, 1924), T. millsi Arlé, 1939; T. piracurucaensis Nunes \& Bellini, 2018; and T. tijucana (Arlé \& Guimarães, 1979). Our results depict an important hotspot for cave Collembola in the State of Minas Gerais, also corroborate the expected high species richness in ferruginous rock caves and MSS and shed some light to the impact of the MSS in the conservation policy as a refuge for subterranean diversity.

## Results

This study presents 11 new species of cave Trogolaphysa (Table 1), two new species from Para, eight from Minas Gerais from caves in metallogenic rock, and one new species from Sao Paulo, found in a limestone cave. Species were collected directly from organic debris in caves, the MSS was accessed through samplings in drilling holes. These results represent an increase of $25 \%$ in the previous 32 species of cave Collembola described for the State of Minas Gerais. Data from Para are still scarce, with only five previous records from iron rock caves and one from sandstone cave (Table 1). The species from Sao Paulo is from a different lithology, a much larger cave with narrower connections to the MSS. It represents a new record to add to the 17 known cave springtails from limestone caves in Brazil (Table 1).

There are 50 valid species of cave Collembola previously recorded from Brazil, 38 troglobites and 12 troglophiles. For limestone caves, there are 17 species from five different States at South and Southeastern Regions, all troglobites. There is a troglobitic species from sandstone cave in the State of Para at Northern Region, and one troglobite from granitic caves in Minas Gerais. All the 34 remaining records are from ferruginous rock caves and MSS, 29 from the Southeastern State of Minas Gerais (19 troglobites and 10 troglophiles), and five from Para (three troglobites and two troglophiles).

With the results presented here the total number of cave Collembola recorded for Brazil rises to 61 species, with 18 species from limestone caves, one species from sandstone cave, one from granitic cave, and iron caves and MSS with 44 known subterranean species. The State of Minas Gerais present the highest richness for cave Collembola in Brazil, with five species from limestone and one from granitic caves, and 37 records of species endemic to ferruginous rock shallow caves and MSS in Minas Gerais, this has important implications for the conservation areas policy in Brazil, which may apply to other ferruginous rock subterranean environments in tropical areas in the world.

## Discussion

Ferruginous mesovoid shallow substratum. The iron ore deposits in Brazil present a semi continuous covering layer of fragmented hematite and lesser components cemented by limonite, called Canga. It is formed by weathering and lixiviation, and produce a labyrinthic complex of subterranean spaces, crevices, and tiny underground connections, depicting a habitat that is analogous to the MSS ${ }^{12}$.

In temperate zones the MSS plays a role as refuge for arthropod fauna, mainly at high altitudes where the cold weather can eliminate all the ectothermic fauna from the surface ${ }^{10,11}$. Similarly, seasonal migration movements are observed in the MSS for different taxa as response to hot dry summer ${ }^{20,21}$. When troglobitic fauna is concerned the MSS has a different role, cave restricted Collembola showed higher underground dispersal capacity than troglophiles ${ }^{22}$, therefore, the MSS can connect neighboring caves systems and extend their distribution range.

In Brazilian metallogenic rock, cave species richness is higher than in any other lithology ${ }^{12}$. The cave Collembola found in Brazil corroborates this assumption, from the total of 61 known cave species, one troglobite was recorded from sandstone caves and one from granitic cave, 18 species were recorded from limestone caves (all troglobites), and 44 species from iron caves and MSS ( 31 troglobites). Three troglobitic species were recorded from both limestone and iron caves, in both cases the caves are separated by large distances and the lithologies are disjunct. This incongruent and disjunct distribution is an indication of potentially unrecognized cryptic or overlooked species.

This is more relevant when considered that ferruginous rock represents only $0.15 \%$ of the Brazilian territory (carbonatic rock $3.1 \%$ ), nearly $10,000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (carbonatic rock $260.800 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, Brazilian territory $\left.8.516 .000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)^{23}$, and


Figure 1. Schematic profile of ferruginous rock cave and limestone rock cave. (A) Ferruginous rock-small and shallow caves, abundant roots, reticulated MSS; fauna and energy come mostly from the above ground (solid red arrows), troglobites inhabit the MSS and reach the deep limits of the cave horizontally, and lower limits of the soil vertically; troglophiles inhabit the surrounding and the cave, eventually reaching shortly in the MSS horizontally, but overlapping the troglobitic limits in the MSS and lower limits of soil vertically (dotted red arrows). (B) Limestone rock-large caves, usually not reached by roots, sparse or absent MSS; fauna and energy come largely through the cave entrances (solid red arrows), troglobites inhabit the deep aphotic zone reaching the aphotic intermediary zone horizontally, not reaching the upper MSS and epikarst vertically; troglophiles inhabit the surroundings and the cave, eventually reaching the deep aphotic zone horizontally, sometimes restricted to the MSS and epikarst vertically (dotted red arrows). Yellow to black bar represents the light reach.
that most of the biospeleological research is focused on large caves, usually in limestone ${ }^{6,18,19}$. The high richness of species restricted to small shallow caves, indicates that MSS plays a role as an extension of the cave environment.

The State of Minas Gerais is the most diverse with 40 species of cave Collembola, the complex mosaicist lithology and the ecotone Cerrado Forest-Atlantic Forest are the main barriers associated to the richness of species restricted to caves and MSS. In this State, the iron rock subterranean habitats host 29 troglobites and provide habitat and refuge to 11 known troglophiles species.

For caves in non-ferruginous lithologies, the size and number of entrances influence the species richness by giving the surface fauna access to the subterranean environment, and as a sink for organic matter input ${ }^{5}$. Contrastingly the caves in iron rock are small and shallow, often with few meters of horizontal development, the connections to the MSS are conspicuous and abundant, providing a rather continuous subterranean habitat. In this context, instead, the distribution of the troglobitic species suggests that the entrances of iron rock caves are the limits of the available subterranean habitat for troglobites inside-out, and of suitable habitats for troglophiles outside-in (Fig. 1). We can consider the entrances of these caves as windows of the MSS, the spatial limit of the subterranean environment which presents the minimum conditions to the survival of a troglobite, while partially inhibits the dispersion of troglophiles deeper in the MSS. Troglobites can disperse underground more efficiently than troglophiles, however troglophiles are more efficient than troglobites to disperse through the surface ${ }^{22}$. In ferruginous lithology the size of the cave and its entrance influences the species richness ${ }^{5}$, mainly because large iron caves can greatly affect the capacity of collectors and biologists to access the troglobitic fauna in the MSS, as the number of accessible connections to the MSS increases exponentially with the length of the cave in iron rock ${ }^{12}$.

Another contrast of ferruginous rock caves is that the biotrophic flow seems to be inverted (Fig. 1), in limestone caves the energy and fauna come from outside mostly through the cave entrance, and the fauna eventually speciate to become troglobitic, possibly restricted to the depths of a single cave. Despite the demonstrated existence of an epikarst, the particularities of the weathering process, the water percolation ${ }^{24}$, and different subterranean habitats as scree slopes and $\mathrm{MSS}^{7,11}$, limestone caves tend to be large and grow deep through dissolution of the rock by water during the genesis of the cave. The deeper the cave is, the lesser the permeability of the rock, the epikarst usually reaches about 15 m deep ${ }^{24}$.

In iron caves the fauna comes from the above ground through the MSS connections between surface and subterranean environments, the same happens with energy that comes with roots that reach the MSS abundantly ${ }^{12}$. The troglobites develop in the MSS and eventually reach the caves where it can be seen in its distribution limits, and the troglophiles go in the opposite direction, inhabiting the surface and going inside the caves to refuge from climate, but not going too far in the MSS (see Table 1, species marked with ${ }^{1,3}$ ).

Pseudocryptic diversity. Large caves with deep aphotic zones, stable abiotic conditions, water pools, often hosting bat colonies, are correlated to high number of restricted species ${ }^{12}$, usually displaying classic troglomorphism as absence of eyes and body pigments, elongated appendages, increased body size ${ }^{25}$. In the ferruginous rock MSS the same troglomorphisms are present in most species, even though, we observed that some Entomobryoid Collembola are often reduced in size, with normal or shortened (even though always functional) appendages, similar to that of euedaphic fauna.

Cryptic species recognized from a single widespread species complex through barcode sequencing, revealed related morphological differences corresponding to the species separation ${ }^{26}$. To access this information it is necessary to expand the morphological refinement, some cryptic species are grouped together as result of limited selection of diagnostic characters. This is by definition pseudocryptic species, when "individuals can be identified from morphology providing sufficient care is taken, but are so similar that there is a high probability of misidentification, even by a competent scientist" ${ }^{27}$.

The species found in ferruginous caves and MSS are very similar in most of its macro morphology, differences are subtle, species recognition often must rely on minor details of chaetotaxy (Fig. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, $13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32,33,34,35,36,37,38,39,40,41,42,43$, 44) and slight variations of morphological structures, often overlooked, as observed for the genera Arrhopalites, Pararrhopalites, Pseudosinella and Trogolaphysa. Such pseudocryptic diversity can only be accessed by specialized morphological scrutiny, molecular sequencing or a combination of both.

Whether we accept that cryptic diversity in Collembola cannot be explained by accelerated rates of molecular evolution ${ }^{28}$, it is likely that the diversity of subterranean Collembola in ferruginous MSS and caves, results of the combination of the effects of lithology arrangement, phytophysiognomy and climate fluctuation at local scale.

Finally, the recognition of cryptic or pseudocryptic species within presumed widespread allopatric species is crucial to efficiently develop management and conservation plans ${ }^{22}$ and reduce the underestimation of cave Collembola diversity.

Subterranean collembola hotspot. A total of 61 species of cave Collembola were recorded in Brazil so far, 40 are records from the State of Minas Gerais, including 29 troglobites (Table 1), 26 of them are from iron caves and MSS as well as 11 troglophiles. For comparison we can consider two important subterranean hotspots in Limestone caves in the States of Sao Paulo and Bahia (Southeastern and Northeastern regions), which presented an overall species richness of 28 and 22 troglobites, respectively. These two caves are under different impact pressures, the former is in a protected area with controlled access, and the latter is under intense touristic exploitation ${ }^{3}$.

Myers et al. ${ }^{1}$ combined richness, endemism, distribution spam and threats to the area to define places of priority for conservation, called hotspots. The number of troglobites, with a full consideration of the threats or conservation conditions of the caves and surroundings was, also, recently used as criteria for defining hotspot ${ }^{3,6}$.

The ferruginous rock outcrops in Brazil are under a intense economic pressure, the mining industry represents an important part of the production of commodities as iron ore and steel. The high diversity and endemism of cave Collembola found in recent studies (Table 1), affecting directly the beta diversity of the areas considering the species are found nowhere else, and the continuous threat to the subterranean habitats formed in ferruginous rock, justify categorizing the ferruginous subterranean habitats as hotspot for cave Collembola in the State of Minas Gerais. It is important to remark that the diversity considered here is only for Collembola species, and that the studies mentioned above have a much higher phylogenetic diversity.

Conservation policy implications. The ferruginous caves and the MSS represent sites of intense overlooked pseudocryptic diversification. Katz et al. ${ }^{22}$ observed that for Collembola in limestone areas the detection of short-range endemics, genetic isolation, and apparent cryptic diversity has major conservation implications.

The results we present here bring several considerations on conservation strategies and policies. The high diversity and endemism rate observed for cave Collembola, associated to threats to the subterranean environments as mining, deforestation, and urbanization flag these areas as maximum priority and interest for planning putative conservation areas ${ }^{29}$. These areas demand a multi-factor approach to successfully develop policies which optimize the diversity conservation, particularly subterranean diversity.

Brazilian legislation has protective measures for caves, but allows the complete suppression of a cave for mining or other exploratory purpose, under a process for licensing the proposed activities. Even though some criteria are imposed, it fails in considering some important aspects of the cave structure in different lithology ${ }^{12}$. Under this perspective the whole extension of ferruginous (and carbonatic) rock deposits in Brazil are available for exploitation, with irreversible impact on the subterranean fauna. There is over than 9400 companies in activity in the country, producing about 235.000 .000 ton/year of iron ore, the second biggest production in the world. More than $72 \%$ of the Brazilian iron ore reservoirs is located in the state of Minas Gerais, the locality of occurrence of 37 out of the 44 known species of Collembola found in ferruginous subterranean habitats in Brazil (Fig. 2).

Here we observed that the whole process needs a revision when comes to ferruginous rock, where the cave may not be the important spatial unit to preserve, instead, the high subterranean diversity areas must be surveyed, not only in caves but also in the MSS. It is possible that in some cases to protect a hill that harbors a thick layer of Canga with a troglobitic species rich MSS, would result more effective to preserve restricted subterranean fauna, than to protect a small and shallow cave with reduced troglobitic richness.

The state of Minas Gerais has 75 integral conservation units (defined by law), with maximum protection policy, however, these conservation units represent only $1.05 \%(\sim 619,800 \mathrm{ha})$ of the state territory. There are other categories of conservation units, called of "sustainable use", with much less restrictive policies. These categories of conservation units are much less effective to preserve epigean species, due to the diverse usages and practices


Figure 2. Subterranean species distributed in ferruginous rock in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. (A) South America with Brazilian borders and the state of Minas Gerais marked in solid red. (B) Minas Gerais state borders, red box in detail. (C) detail of the species distributed in ferruginous rock in the principal mining areas in the state of Minas Gerais. Ferruginous rock areas marked in bright orange. Urban and metropolitan areas marked in bright gray (note the Belo Horizonte metropolitan area, the state capital, just next to the minerary sites). Created using QGIS [Software GIS] version 3.16. QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. http://qgis.osgeo.org, 2021.
in those areas. Nevertheless, the 19 sustainable use conservation units in the state of Minas Gerais (private conservation units excluded) correspond to $3.01 \%(\sim 1,768,000 \mathrm{ha})$ of the state territory (http://www.ief.mg.gov. br/unidades-de-conservacao-accessed Sep/31/2021). Sustainable use conservation units have some criteria that prevents highly destructive activities, allowing some extractive crops, subsistence agriculture and tourism. These activities may be compatible with subterranean conservation through the MSS, therefore the conservation unit network can get some advantage trying to connect integral conservation units with sustainable use ones. It was proposed that the sampling for subterranean fauna in prospection drilling holes all over the area may


Figure 3. Trogolaphysa sp.: habitus lateral view. (A, B) specimen fixed in ethanol. (C, D) SEM photographs.
bring important information about species richness and distribution, mainly if combined with cave and surface sampling ${ }^{30}$. This procedure, implemented in the process for licensing new high impact exploratory activities, can improve the conservation effectiveness of the conservation units and compensation areas, precisely define the role of the cave in the conservation plan, and shift the focus towards troglobitic species richness.

## Conclusions

Our results depict the ferruginous subterranean environment as an important hotspot for cave Collembola in the state of Minas Gerais, corroborating the expected high species richness in ferruginous rock caves and MSS. We also demonstrate that access pseudocryptic diversity as observed in the genera Arrhopalites, Pararrhopalites, Pseudosinella and Trogolahysa is mandatory for planning the conservation strategies for subterranean Collembola. The distribution of the species through the MSS can be favored by sustainable use conservation units, whether this fauna is surveyed along the licensing process. Finally, we conclude that the conservation planning for future conservation unit establishment must focus not only on caves but also in the MSS, accessing the fauna through sampling in prospection drilling holes. Protecting an area with high richness of endemic troglobites down in the MSS may be more effective than to protect a shallow cave when it comes to preserve troglobitic diversity.

## Methods

Pseudocryptic diversity. The richness was the measure of the subterranean diversity, we surveyed all data about previous records for Brazilian Collembola cave species, ecological status, lithology, and distribution from the literature, and included 11 newly found pseudocryptic species from subterranean habitats in iron and limestone rock. The pseudocryptic species were verified by comparison of chaetotaxy and "micro-morphology" through optic and scanning microscopy of disjunct populations of a widespread morphotype. The imagery was compared under hypotheses of chaetotaxic and morphologic homology, previously defined by different authors. Those populations with consistent discrete chaetotaxic and morphologic patterns were assumed to be independent species, therefore they were taxonomically diagnosed, named, and ordered in a dichotomic identification key with all Brazilian species of the genus.

Microscopy. Specimens were preserved in ethanol 70\% and mounted on slides following Jordana et al. ${ }^{31}$, after clearing using Nesbitt's solution for study under phase contrast microscope, line drawings were made with help of a drawing tube. For scanning electronic microscope (SEM) study, specimens were dehydrated by ethanol, dried in a critical point dryer, and covered in gold.

Homology. The terminology used in the diagnoses for the hypotheses of homology followed: labial chaetotaxy after Gisin ${ }^{32}$ with additions of Zhang and Pan ${ }^{33}$, Fjellberg ${ }^{34}$ for labial palp papillae and maxillary palp; postlabial chaetotaxy after Chen and Christiansen ${ }^{35}$, with adaptations of Cipola et al. ${ }^{36}$ for $\mathbf{J}$ series; clypeal chaetotaxy after Yoshii and Suhardjono ${ }^{37}$; labral chaetotaxy after Cipola et al. ${ }^{38}$; unguiculus lamellae after Hüther ${ }^{39}$; Anterior dens chaetotaxy after Oliveira et al. ${ }^{40}$; Mari-Mutt ${ }^{41}$ for dorsal head chaetotaxy, with additions of Soto-Adames ${ }^{42}$; Szeptycki ${ }^{43}$ and Zhang and Deharveng ${ }^{44}$ for S-chaetotaxy; and Szeptycki ${ }^{45}$ for dorsal chaetotaxy, with additions and modifications provided by Soto-Adames ${ }^{42}$ and Zhang et al. ${ }^{46}$. Symbols used to depict the chaetotaxy are


Figure 4. Trogolaphysa sp. SEM: general body chaetae. (A) Antennal chaetae, sensilla and scales: $1-$ macrochaeta with short ciliation, $2-$ macrochaeta with long ciliation, $3-$ microchaeta with long ciliation, 4 -microchaeta with short ciliation, 5-finger-shaped sens, 6-wrinkly sens, 7 -coffee bean shaped sens, 8 -rod sens, 9 -spine-like sens, 10 -Ant IV subapical-organ, 11-lanceolate scale, 12 -rounded scales. (B) Head chaetae and scales: 1-strait macrochaeta with long ciliation, 2-blunt macrochaeta, 3-smooth chaeta, 4-blunt chaeta, 5-strait microchaeta with long ciliation, 6-labial r microchaeta, 7-cephalic anterior scale, 8 -cephalic posterior scale. (C) Body and appendages chaetae, sens and scales: 1-bothriotrichum, 2blunt macrochaeta, 3 -blunt mesochaeta, 4 -dens external ciliate chaeta, 5 -smooth microchaeta, 6 -blunt microchaeta, 7-fan-shape chaeta, 8-dental spine, 9-al' sens, 10-'ms' sens, 11—lanceolate scale, 12intersegmental scale.


Figure 5. Trogolaphysa sp. SEM: antenna: (A) Ant IV dorsal view. (B) Ant IV apex dorsal view, arrow indicates finger-shaped and wrinkly sens. (C) Ant IV apex ventral view, left arrow indicates Ant IV subapical-organ, right arrow point one sensillum type A8. (D) Ant II dorsal view, dashed line indicates rod sens. (E) Detail of the sensilla of the Ant III apical organ (red). (F) Ant I dorsal view spine like sens (arrows indicate the sensilla in red). (G) Detail of the Ant I basal, arrow indicates psp and antenobasal organ (yellow and red respectively).
presented in Fig. 4A-C. Codes will be used in italics along the text to replace the morphological description of each chaeta and sensillum type. Additional information about morphology and chaetotaxy of discussed species was obtained from the literature.

Abbreviations used in the diagnoses. Ant-antennal segment(s); b.c.-basal chaeta(e), t.a.-terminal appendage of the maxillary palp; l.p.-lateral process of labial papilla E, lpc-labial proximal chaeta(e); Th-thoracic segment; Abd-abdominal segment(s); Omt-trochanteral organ; a.e.-antero-external lamella, a.i.-anterointernal lamella, a.t.-unguis apical tooth, b.a.-basal anterior tooth of unguis, b.p.-basal posterior tooth of unguis, m.t.-unguis median tooth, p.i.-postero-internal lamella, p.e.-postero-external lamella; mac-macrochaeta(e), mes-mesochaeta(e), mic-microchaeta(e), ms-specialized microchaeta(e), psp-pseudopore(s), sens-specialized ordinary chaeta(e) (sensillum), MSS-Mesovoid Shallow Substratum.


Figure 6. Trogolaphysa sp. SEM: head and mouthpart chaetotaxy. (A) clypeus, (B) dorsal head, (C) eyes (red) circled by dashed line, arrow indicates antenobasal organ and psp, (D) ventral head, (E) maxillary palp and sublobal plate (right side), (F) detail of maxillary palp.

Ecological status. To avoid subjectivity and ambiguity to determine the ecological status of the species, we assumed to be a troglobite all the species with some degree of troglomorphism exclusively distributed in


Figure 7. Trogolaphysa sp. SEM: thorax and abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy: (A) Th II, (B) Th III, (C) Abd I-II, (D) Abd III.
the subterranean environment, either caves, MSS, or both. Species distributed in the surface and subterranean habitats were assumed to be troglophiles.

## Identification Key for the known and new species of the genus Trogolaphysa recorded in Brazil.

1. $8+8$ eyes, head with M2, $\mathbf{S 3}$ and $\mathbf{S 5}$ mac ..... 2
$-4+4$ eyes or less, head with M2, S3 e S5 mic (Exc. T. dandarae sp. nov. S5 mac) ..... 5
2. unguiculus lanceolate, mucro with 4 teeth, Th III with only mic ..... 3

- unguiculus truncate, mucro with 5 teeth, Th III with 3+3 mac ... T. formosensis (Baía formosa, RN)

3. unguiculus with pe lamela serrate, dens with two rows of spines .....

- unguiculus with pe lamela smooth, dens with only one row of spines .... T. hirtipes (Blumenau, SC)

4. anterior collophore with distal $4+4$ mac, Abd IV with $\mathbf{C 4}$ and $\mathbf{T} 7$ mes, manubrial plate with 2 pspand 6 macT. ernesti (Araripe, CE)

- anterior collophore with distal $3+3 \mathrm{mac}$, Abd IV com C4e T7 mic, manubrial plate with 3 psp and
5 macT. piracurucaensis (Piracuruca, PI)

5. inner lamella of unguis with 1-2 unpaired teeth .....  6

- inner lamella of unguis without unpaired teeth ..... 12

6. Th II p3 complex with $5+5$ or $6+6 \mathrm{mac}$ .....  7

- Th II p3 complex with $4+4$ or $3+3$ mac ..... 10

7. Th II with $5+5$ mac on p 3 complex ..... 8

- Th II with $6+6$ mac on p 3 complex

$\qquad$
T. lacerta sp. nov. (Conceição do Rio Acima, MG)
8. inner lamella of unguis with 1 tooth, $0+0$ eyes ..... 9

- inner lamella of unguis with 2 teeth, $0+0$ or $4+4$ eyes

$\qquad$ T. zampauloi sp. nov. (Ribeira, SP)
9. unguiculus with p.e. lamella serrate, Abd IV with $3+3$ central mac (A3, B4-5)....T. gisbertae sp. nov. (Parauapebas, PA)

- unguiculus com lamela p.e. lisa, $4+4$ central (A3, A5, B4-5) mac on Abd
IVT. crystallensis sp. nov. (Mariana, MG)10. anterior collophore with distal $2+2 \mathrm{mac}$, tenent hair acuminate11
- anterior collophore distal 3+3 mac, tenent hair capitate ...T. bellinii sp. nov. (Barão de Cocais, MG)

11. Th II p3 complex with $4+4$ mac, unguiculus with p.e. lamella serrate, $4+4$ central (A3, A5, B4-
5) mac on Abd IVT. chapelensis sp. nov. (Conceição do Rio Acima, MG)

- Th II p3 complex with $3+3$ mac, unguiculus with p.e. lamella smooth, Abd IV with $3+3$ central mac
(A3, B4-5)T. epitychia sp. nov. (Conceição do Mato Dentro, MG)

12. external row of spines on dens with more than 30 spines ..... 13

- external row of spines on dens with less than 20 spines T. hauseri (Gruta da tapagem, ..... SP)

13. Th II p3 complex with $5+5$ or $6+6$ mac ..... 14

- Th II p3 complex with $3+3$

$\qquad$
T. mariecurieae sp. nov. (Conceição do Mato Dentro, MG)
14. Mucro with 4 teeth, tenent hair acuminate ..... 15

- Mucro with 3 teeth, tenent hair capitate T. dandarae sp. nov. (Parauapebas, PA)

15. unguiculus lanceolate, dens with two rows of spines ..... 16

- unguiculus truncate, dens with one row of spines T. tijucana (Três Rios, RJ)

16. Abd IV with $2+2$ central mac (B4-5), anterior collophore with distal $2+2$macT. sotoadamesi sp. nov. (Mariana, MG)

- Abd IV with 3+3 central mac (A3, B4-5), anterior collophore with distal 3+3mac ............................................................................... barroca sp. nov. (Mariana, MG)* T. aelleni and T. millsi are omitted due to insufficient description of their morphology andchaetotaxic characters.

Taxonomic diagnoses and morphological plates. Type materials are deposited in the Coleção de Referência de Fauna de Solo, Universidade Estadual da Paraíba (CRFS-UEPB) and Museu Nacional Rio de Janeiro, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (MNRJ-UFRJ).
https://zoobank.org:pub:2C8F4446-0869-48A9-ABD7-14D4C4DCB0FA
Additional records in Supplementary Material S1, taxonomic references in S2.


Figure 8. Trogolaphysa sp. SEM: (A) Abd IV dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) Abd V dorsal chaetotaxy, (C) anal pore and male genital papilla.

Family Paronellidae Börner, 1906
Subfamily Paronellinae Börner, 1906
Tribe Paronellini sensu Zhang et al., 2019
Genus Trogolaphysa Mills, 1938
(Figs. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11)

Diagnosis. Habitus typical of this genus (Fig. 3A-D), hyaline scales presents on Ant. I-II, head, body, and ventral face of furcula (Figs. 3C-D, 4A-C, 5D, F, 7, 8, 11C), Ant IV smooth or annulated and never subdivided in two (Fig. 5A); eyes $0-8$ (ex. Fig. 6C); prelabral and labral formula 4/5,5,4 (prelabral smooth or ciliate, pma smooth chaetae) (Fig. 6A); antennobasal-organ present (Fig. 6C); labial chaetae L1-2 not reduced (Fig. 6E); sublobal plate of maxillary palp with 2 chaetae (Fig. 6E); Th II normally with a5 mac and p3 complex with variable number of mac, and Th III with $\mathbf{p} 3$ mac present or abset (Fig. 7A, B), abdominal segments II-IV with 2, 3,


Figure 9. Trogolaphysa. sp. SEM: empodial complex III (A) external lamella of unguis with external teeth (pseudonychia, yellow), (B) unguis and unguiculus lateral view, unguis internal lamella with basal, medial and apical teeth (blue, red and yellow respectively), unguiculus with internal and external teeth, tenent hair capitate (white arrow), (C) lateral view, unguiculus lamellae, tenent hair acuminate (white arrow).


Figure 10. Trogolaphysa sp. SEM: appendages (A) Metatrochanteral organ with pseudopores (alveoli marked in yellow, white arrows indicate pseudopores), (B) ventral tube posterior chaetae, (C) ventral tube anterior chaetae, (D) Tenaculum.


Figure 11. Trogolaphysa sp. SEM: furca. (A) manubrial plate pseudopores (yellow), (B) antero-proximal chaetae of dens, (C) dens anterior view, (D) mucro.

3 bothriotricha (Figs. 7C, D, 8A); unguis with three external lamellae and unguiculus with p.e. lamella serrate or smooth (Fig. 9A-C); trochanteral organ with 2-4 psp (Fig. 10A) collophore anterior side with 2-3 distal mac (Fig. 10C); tenaculum with four teeth on each branch and one anterior chaeta (Fig. 10D); manubrium without spines, manubrial plate with $2-3 \mathbf{p s p}$ (Fig. 11A); anterior proximal dens with b.a., b.m. and i5 chaetae (Fig. 11B); dens with 1-2 rows of spines; mucro square or rectangular but relatively short, with 3-5 teeth (Fig. 11D).

Trogolaphysa bellinii sp. nov. Oliveira, Lima \& Zeppelini
Figures 12, 13 and 14, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype female in slide (15,482/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Barão de Cocais municipality, cave MDIR-0028, next to "Mina de Brucutu", $19^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 48.7^{\prime \prime}$ S, $43^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 13.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 19-23$.viii.2019, Carste team coll. Paratypes in slides ( $15,468,15,483 /$ CRFS-UEPB $): 2$ females, same data as holotype. Paratypes in slides ( $15,519,15,576 /$ CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 2 females, same data as holotype. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens $1.53-1.75 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype 1.70 mm .
Head. Ratio antennae: trunk $=1: 1.29-1.95(n=5)$, holotype $=1: 1.95$; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio as I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.80-2.24, 0.85-2.08, 0.85-2.08, holotype $=1: 1.80,0.85,1.34$. Antennal chaetotaxy: Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with a longitudinal row with about eight rod sens, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about three wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with two mic smooth chaetae externally, two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with four sub-apical finger-shaped sens, one wrinkly sens and two subapical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about six wrinkly sens on longitudinal external row (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes $0+0$, rarely $2+2$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 12A) with 12 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), five M


Figure 12. Trogolaphysa bellinii sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
(M1-5), five S (S2-6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pa5 and Pm3 as mes, An1a-3a with 10 mac plus two mes, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular $\mathbf{p}$ mes present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, reduced (Fig. 12B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 35-38 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1-4; X, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of four to seven mes chaetae distally (Fig. 12B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla $\mathbf{E}$ with 1.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-3) and subequal in length (Fig. 12B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and $1.23 \times$ larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 13A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1-2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1-2, $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p 4 - 6 e ) , ~} \mathbf{p} 3$ complex with three mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Th III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with three mic ( $\mathbf{a 1 - 3}$ ), two mes ( $\mathbf{a 6}-7$ ), three mic ( $\mathbf{m 4} \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{m 6} 6 \mathbf{6}$ ), three mes ( $\mathbf{m 6 e}, \mathbf{m 7} 7$-7e), four mic ( $\mathbf{p 1 - 3}, \mathbf{p 6}$ ) respectively. Ratio Th II: $\mathrm{III}=1.04-1.36: 1(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1.05$ : 1 .

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 13B, C). Abd I a, $\mathbf{m}$ series with one ( $\mathbf{a 5}$ ) and six ( $\mathbf{m} 2-6 e$ ) mic respectively, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd II $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{a 6 - 7}$ ), two $\mathrm{mac}(\mathbf{m} 3, \mathbf{m 5}$ ), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 5} 5$-7) respectively, el mic and as present; a5 and $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ bothriotricha surrounded by five and four fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III a, $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with one mic ( $\mathbf{a} 7$ ), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{a} 2-\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} 3, \mathbf{a m 6}, \mathbf{p m 6}$ ), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 6 e}, \mathbf{p 7 i} \mathbf{i} 7$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{p 6 )}$ ) chaetae respectively; $\mathbf{a 5}, \mathrm{m} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{m 5}$ bothriotricha with six, two and three fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A-Fe series with two mic (A1, A6), two mac (A3, A5), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4-5), four mic (C1-4), three mic (T1, T5-6), one mes (T7), five mic (D1-3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1-3), one mic (Ee12), two mes (Ee10-11), one mac (Ee9), one mic (F1), two mes (F3, F3p), one mac (F2), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3-5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by five and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology 's' (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with four $\mathbf{p s p}$. Abd Va, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mes


Figure 13. Trogolaphysa bellinii sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy: (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III, (C) Abd IV-V.
 chaetae, respectively; as, acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1:3.70-4.37 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype $=1: 4.37$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 20 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 14A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with four teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. present. Unguiculus with lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i.), except p.e. slightly serrate (Fig. 14B); ratio unguis: unguiculus = 1.56-1.79: 1 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype $=1.56$ : 1 . Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $0.9 \times$ smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair capitate and about $0.55 \times$ smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 14C). Anterior side with 12 ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, five proximal, four subdistal (as mes) and three distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 14D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 24 external and 25 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length $=0.29$ (holotype).

Etymology. Species named after Dr. Bruno C. Bellini in recognition of his work on Brazilian Collembola.
Remarks. Trogolaphysa bellinii sp. nov. resembles T. bessoni, T. epitychia sp. nov., and T. mariecurieae sp. nov. by $0+0$ eyes (T. bellinii sp. nov. rarely with $2+2$ eyes), Th II with $3+3$ mac, and Th III without mac, but can be distinguished by presenting Abd IV with $4+4$ central mac (A3, A5, B4-5); T. epitychia sp. nov. with $3+3$ central mac on Abd IV, T. mariecurieae sp. nov. with $2+2$ central mac on Abd IV.


Figure 14. Trogolaphysa bellinii sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.

Trogolaphysa lacerta sp. nov. Lima, Oliveira \& Zeppelini
Figures 15, 16 and 17, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype male in slide (10,311/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Conceição do Rio Acima municipality, cave GAND-115, next to "Lapa do Calango", $20^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 08.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 43^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 09.9^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 10 . \mathrm{ii}-20 . \mathrm{iii} .2014$, Carste team coll. Paratypes in slides ( $10,312,10,309 / C R F S-U E P B$ ): 2 males, same data as holotype. Paratypes in slides ( $10,313,10,314 /$ CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 2 females, same data as holotype. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens 1.31-2.43 mm ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype 1.86 mm .
Head. Ratio antennae: trunk $=1: 1.33-1.46(\mathrm{n}=2)$, holotype $=1: 1.46$; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio, I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.78-2.05: 1.5-1.64: 2.64-2.83, holotype = 1: 1.80: 1.64: 2.64. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with a longitudinal row with about five rod sens, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, one apical wrinkly sens on, apical organ with two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three sub-apical finger-shaped sens, one wrinkly sens and two apical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, one longitudinal external row with two subapical wrinkly sens and two medial finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes $0+0$, rarely $3+3$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 15A) with 15 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), four M (M1-4), five S (S2-6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-2, Pa4-5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two Pe(Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pm3, Pa5 and Pp7 as mes, An1a-3a with 11 mac plus four meso, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular $\mathbf{p}$ mes present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, $\mathbf{r}$ reduced (Fig. 15B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 36-38 ciliate chaetae and 1 reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1-4; X, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of three to five mes chaetae distally (Fig. 15B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with 1.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-3) and subequal in length (Fig. 15B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and $1.28 \times$ larger than t.a.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 16A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1-2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1-2, $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p 4 - 6 e}$ ), $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{3}$ complex with six mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Th III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with three mic ( $\mathbf{a} 1-3$ ), two mes ( $\mathbf{a 6 - 7}$ ), three mic ( $\mathbf{m 4} \mathbf{4}, \mathbf{m 6} \mathbf{- 6 p}$ ), three mes ( $\mathbf{m 6 e}, \mathrm{m} 7-7 \mathrm{e}$ ), four mic ( $\mathbf{p 1 - 3}, \mathrm{p} 6$ ) respectively. Ratio Th II: III =1.09-1.46: $1(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1.09$ : 1 .

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 16B, C). Abd I m series with six (m2-6e) mic respectively, ms present. Abd II $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{( 6 - 7}$ ), two mac (m3, m5), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 5} 5$-7) respectively, el mic and as present; $\mathbf{a 5}$ and $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ bothriotricha surrounded by four and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with one mic ( $\mathbf{a}$ ), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{a} 2-\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} 3, \mathbf{a m 6}, \mathbf{p m 6}$ ), four mic

|  | Type locality (country) | Habitat | Eyes | Head Dorsal mac | Th II P3 complex | Th III mac | Abd IV mac | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Abd IV } \\ \text { psp } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Trochanteral organ | Unguis inner teeh | Tenent hair apex | Dens outer row spines | Dens inner row spines | Mucro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T. aelleni Yoshii, 1988 | Br | Cv | 2 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 18 | 3 | A | ? | ? | 4 |
| T. barroca <br> sp. nov | Br | Cv | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} 0, \mathrm{~A} 2, \\ & \mathrm{~Pa} 5 \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 0 | A3, B4-5 | 4 | 16-21 | 2 | A | 37-39 | 21-22 | 4 |
| T. <br> belizeana <br> Palacios- <br>  <br> Thibaud <br> 1997 | Be | Cv | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A0, A2-3, } \\ & \text { M3, S3, } \\ & \text { S5, Pa5, } \\ & \text { Pm3 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 3 | A4-5, B5 | 4 ? | 18 | 2 | A | 30 | 31 | 3 |
| T. bellinii <br> sp. nov | Br | Cv | 0-2 | A0, A2 | 3 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3, A5, } \\ & \text { B4-5 } \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 20 | 3-4 | C | 24 | 25 | 4 |
| T. bessoni Thibaud \& Najt 1988 | Ec | Cv | 0 | ? | 2 | 0 | A5, B4, B5 | ? | 19 | 2 | A | 25 | 20 | 4-5 |
| T. chapelensis sp. nov | Br | Cv | 0 | A0, A2 | 4 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3, A5, } \\ & \text { B4-5 } \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 23 | 3-4 | A | > 70 | 30 | 4 |
| T. caripensis (Gruia, 1987) | Ve | Cv | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A0, A2-3, } \\ & \text { M1-2, } \\ & \text { S2-3, S5, } \\ & \text { Pa5, Pm3 } \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3, A5, } \\ & \text { B4-5 } \end{aligned}$ | ? | 21 | 3 | A | 30-25 | 30-25 | 4 |
| T. crystallensis sp . nov | Br | Cv | 0 | A0, A2 | 5 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3, A5, } \\ & \text { B4-5 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 18 | 3 | A | 58 | 28 | 4 |
| T. dandarae sp. nov | Br | Cv | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A0, A2,S5, } \\ & \text { Pa5, Pm3 } \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 3 | A3, B4-5 | 3 | 19 | 2 | C | 31-39 | 18-21 | 3 |
| T. ecuatorica (PalaciosVargas, Ojeda \& Christiansen, 1985) | Ec | Cv | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 2 | 2 | A | 45 | 45 | 5 |
| T. epit$y c h i a$ sp. nov | Br | Cv | 0 | A0, A2 | 3 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3, A5, } \\ & \text { B5 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 15 | 3 | A | 60 | 34 | 4 |
| T. ernesti Cipola \& Bellini, 2017 | Br | Lt | 8 | A0, A2-3 | 6 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3, A5, } \\ & \text { B4-5 } \end{aligned}$ | ? | 49 | 4 | C | 21-27 | 23-30 | 4 |
| T. formosensis Silva \& Bellini, 2015 | Br | Lt | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A0, A2, } \\ & \text { M2, S3, } \\ & \text { S5, Pa5 } \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 3 | ? | 3 | 12 | 4 | C | ? | ? | 5 |
| T. gisbertae sp. nov | Br | Cv | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A0, A2-3, } \\ & \text { Pa5, Pm3 } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 0 | A3, B4-5 | 1 | 25 | 3 | A | 38 | 21-24 | 4 |
| T. haitica <br> (Palacios- <br> Vargas, <br>  <br> Christian- <br> sen, 1985) | На | Cv | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 22 | 2 | A | 30-38 | 30-38 | 4 |
| T. hauseri Yoshii, 1988 | Br | $\mathrm{Cv}^{\text {? }}$ | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 15 | 2 | A | 14 | 30 | 4 |
| T. hirtipes <br> (Hand- <br> schin, <br> 1924) | Br | Tp | 8? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | $4{ }^{\text {? }}$ | C | ? | ? | 4 |
| T. hondurensis <br> (PalaciosVargas, Ojeda \& Christiansen, 1985) | Ho | Cv | 4 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 2 | A | 30-36 | 30-36 | 4 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { T. jacobyi } \\ \text { Soto- } \\ \text { Adames } \\ \text { \& Taylor } \\ 2013 \end{array}$ | Be | Cv | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A0, A2-3 } \\ & \text {,M2, S3, } \\ & \text { S5, Pa5 } \\ & \text { Pm3 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 1 | A5, B4, B5 | ? | 25 | 3 | A | ? | 36 | 3 |
| Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | Type locality (country) | Habitat | Eyes | Head Dorsal mac | Th II P3 complex | Th III mac | Abd IV mac | Abd IV psp | Trochanteral organ | Unguis inner teeh | Tenent hair apex | Dens outer row spines | Dens inner row spines | Mucro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T. lacerta sp. nov | Br | Cv | 0-3 | A0, A2 | 6 | 0 | A3, B4-5 | 5 | 24 | 4 | A | 50 | 37 | 4 |
| T. mariecurieae sp. nov | Br | Ms | 0 | A0, A2 | 3 | 0 | A4 B5 | 4 | 15 | 3 | C | 40 | 22 | 4 |
| T. <br> marimutti <br> (Palacios- <br> Vargas, Ojeda \& Christiansen, 1985) | Me | Cv | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 15 | 3 | A | 50 | 50 | 4 |
| T. millsi <br> Arlé, 1939 | Br | Lt | 2 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 3 | A ? | ? | ? | 4 |
| T. oztotlica <br>  <br> Palacios- <br> Vargas, <br> 1984) | Me | Cv | 0 | Pa5,Pm3? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 14 | 4 | A | 35-40 | 35-40 | 4 |
| T. piracurucaensis Nunes \& Bellini, 2018 | Br | Lt | 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A0, A2-3, } \\ & \text { M2, S3, } \\ & \text { S5, Pa5, } \\ & \text { Pm3 } \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3, A5, } \\ & \text { B4-5 } \end{aligned}$ | ? | 35-40 | 4 | C | 21-27 | 25-29 | 4 |
| T. sotoadamesi sp. nov | Br | Cv | 0 | A0, A2 | 5 | 0 | B4-5 | 4 | 19-21 | 2 | A | 35 | 21-26 | 4 |
| T. tijucana (Arlé \& Guimarães, 1979) | Br | Lt | 2 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 2 | A? | ? | ? | 4 |
| T. trioculata SotoAdames, 2015 | Me | Lt | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A0, A2, } \\ & \text { Pa5, Pm3 } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} 3, \mathrm{~A} 5, \\ & \mathrm{~B} 5 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | 14 | 3 | A | 12 | 15 | 4 |
| T. xtolokensis (PalaciosVargas, Ojeda \& Christiansen, 1985) | Me | Cv | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} 0, \mathrm{~A} 2, \\ & \mathrm{Pa5} \text { ? } \end{aligned}$ | ? | ? | ? | ? | 20 | 4 | A | 41-69 | 41-69 | 4 |
| T. zampauloi sp. nov | Br | Cv | 0-4 | A0, A2 | 5 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A3, A5, } \\ & \text { B4-5 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 27 | 4 | A | 30 | 23 | 4 |

Table 2. Trogolaphysa species of the Neotropical Region, comparative morphology. Be, Belize; Br, Brazil; Ec, Ecuador; Ha, Haiti; Ho, Honduras; Me, Mexico. Cv, cave; Lt, Leaflitter, Tp, Termitophile, Ms, Mesovoid shallow substratum. ?, lacking or dubious information.
( $\mathbf{p 6 e}, \mathbf{p} \mathbf{7 i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{7 p}$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{p 6 )}$ ) chaetae respectively; $\mathbf{a 5}, \mathrm{m} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{m 5}$ bothriotricha with seven, two and four fanshaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A-Fe series with four mic (A1, A5-6, Ae1), one mac (A3), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4-5), four mic (C1-4), five mic (T1, T3, T5-7), five mic (D1-3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1-3), one mic (Ee12), two mes (Ee10-11), one mac (Ee9), one mic (F1), two mes (F3-3p), one mac (F2), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3-5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and one fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology 's'(Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with five to six $\mathbf{p s p}$. Abd V a, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mes (m5a, m5e), three mac ( $\mathbf{m 2 - 3}, \mathbf{m} 5$ ), five mic ( $\mathbf{p 3 a - 6 a e \text { ), one mic ( } \mathbf { p 6 e } \text { ) two mes ( } \mathbf { a p 6 } 6 \text { -pp6), four mac ( } \mathbf { p 1 } \mathbf { 1 } , \mathbf { p 3 - 5 } \text { ) chaetae, }}$ respectively; as, acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1:3.70-4.37 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype $=1: 4.37$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 24 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 17A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with four teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. present. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 17B); ratio unguis: unguiculus $=1: 1.50-1.79(n=5)$, holotype $=1: 1.75$. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $0.7 \times$ smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair slightly acuminate and about $0.44 \times$ smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 17C). Anterior side with 10 ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, five proximal (thinner); three subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 17D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like


Figure 15. Trogolaphysa lacerta sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
chaetae about 50 external and 37 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length $=0.31(\mathrm{n}=5)$.

Etymology. Lacerta from Latin means lizard, in allusion to the name of the cave where this species was found, Lapa do Calango (cave of the Calango), which is a small lizard common in this region.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa lacerta sp. nov. The new species resembles T. caripensis, T. ernesti, T. piracurucaensis, T. formosensis and T. dandarae sp. nov. by the number of mac in Th II p3 complex ( $6+6$ ), but is easily distinguished by the head $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{s} 5 \mathrm{mic}$ (T. caripensis, T. ernesti, T. formosensis, T. piracurucaensis as mac) and Th III without mac (T. dandarae sp. nov. $3+3$ ).

Trogolaphysa chapelensis sp. nov. Lima, Oliveira \& Zeppelini
Figures 18, 19 and 20, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype female in slide (4550/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Rio Acima municipality, cave Gruta-2d7, next to "Morro do Chapéu" $20^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 42.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 43^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 26.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 02-10$.viii.2011, Andrade et al. coll. Paratypes in slides (4551-4553/CRFS-UEPB): 3 females, Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Rio Acima municipality, cave Gruta-7d7, Qd7, 9 d 7 respectively, $20^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 42.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 43^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 26.7^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 29 . i i i-01 . v i .2011$, Andrade et al. coll. Paratype in slide (4603/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 1 female, Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Rio Acima municipality, cave Gruta Qd7, $20^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 46.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 43^{\circ} 49^{\prime} 36.2^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 925 \mathrm{~m}, 29 . \mathrm{iii}-01 . v i .2011$, Andrade et al. Coll. Additional records see S1. Description. Total length (head + trunk) $1.21-2.22 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype 2.22 mm .
Head. Ratio antennae: $\operatorname{trunk}=1: 1.31-1.16(\mathrm{n}=3)$, holotype $=1: 1.16$; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio, I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.66-1.85, 1.65-1.78, 2.95-3.76, holotype = 1: 1.66, 1.65, 2.95. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with about six rod sens on longitudinal row, ventrally with one subapical-organ and about three subapical wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, one apical wrinkly sens, apical organ with two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with about three sub-apical finger-shaped sens and about three apical rod sens, ventrally with


Figure 16. Trogolaphysa lacerta sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III, (C) Abd IV-V.
one apical psp, one longitudinal external row with four wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spinelike sens, about three smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes $0+0$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 18A) with 15 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), four M (M1-4), five S (S2-6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pm3 and Pa5 as mes, An1a-3a with 13 mac plus two mes, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular $\mathbf{p}$ mic present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, $\mathbf{r}$ reduced (Fig. 18B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 29 ciliate chaetae; postlabial G1-4; X, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 18B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with 1.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-3) and subequal in length (Fig. 18B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and $1.17 \times$ larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 19A). Th II a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with two mic (a1-2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1-2, $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p 4 - 6 e}$ ), $\mathbf{p} 3$ complex with four mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Th III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with three mic ( $\mathbf{a 1 - 3}$ ), two mes ( $\mathbf{a 6 - 7}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m 4} 4-\mathbf{6 p}$ ), four mes ( $\mathbf{m 6} \mathbf{- 6 e}, \mathbf{m} 7-7 \mathbf{e}$ ), four mic ( $\mathbf{p 1 - 3}, \mathbf{p 6}$ ) respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 1.10-1.31: $1(\mathrm{n}=4)$, holotype = 1.10: 1 .

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 19B, C). Abd I a, $\mathbf{m}$ series with one ( $\mathbf{a 5}$ ) and six (m2-6e) mic respectively, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd II $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with two mic (a6-7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic ( $\mathbf{p} 5-7$ ) respectively, el mic and as present; $\mathbf{a} 5$ and $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ bothriotricha surrounded by five and four fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{a} 2-\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a m 6}$, pm6), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 6 e}, \mathbf{p} 7 \mathrm{i}-7$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{p 6}$ ) chaetae respectively; $\mathbf{a 5}, \mathrm{m} 2$ and $\mathbf{m} 5$ bothriotricha with six, two and


Figure 17. Trogolaphysa lacerta sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.
three fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd IV A-Fe series with three mic (A1, A6, Ae1), two mac (A3, A5), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4-5), four mic (C1-4), three mic (T1, T5-6), one mes (T7), five mic (D1-3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1-3), one mic (Ee12), two mes (Ee10-11), one mac (Ee9), one mic (F1), two mes (F3-3p), one mac (F2), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3-5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; $\mathbf{p s}$ and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology ' $s^{\prime}$ ' (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with nine $\mathbf{p s p}$. Abd V a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{a} 3$ ), one mes ( $\mathbf{a 6}$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{a 5}$ ), two mes (m5a, m5e), three mac (m2-3, m5), five mic (p3a-6ae), one mic (p6e) two mes (ap6-pp6), four mac (p1, p3-5) chaetae, respectively; as, acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV =1:3.46-5.80 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype $=1: 5.80$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 23 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 20A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with four teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. present. Unguiculus with lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i.), except p.e. slightly serrate (Fig. 20B); ratio unguis: unguiculus $=1: 1.63-1.84(n=5)$, holotype $=1: 1.79$. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $0.8 \times$ smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair capitate and about $0.52 \times$ smaller unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 20C). Anterior side with 13 ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, seven proximal (thinner); four subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 20D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 70 external and 30 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length $=0.33(\mathrm{n}=5)$.

Etymology. Species named after Type locality Morro do Chapeu.
Remarks. Trogolaphysa chapelensis sp. nov. resembles T. jacobyi, T. caripensis, T. bessoni, and T. belizeana by te absence of eyes ( $0+0$ eyes) but is easily distinguished by presenting $4+4$ mac in Th II $\mathbf{p 3}$ complex ( $2-3+2-3$ T. jacobyi; 6+6 T. caripensis; $2+2$ T. bessoni; $2-4+2-4$ T. belizeana), and $9+9$ psp posterior Abd IV $(4+4 \mathrm{~T}$. belizeana).

Trogolaphysa crystallensis sp. nov. Oliveira, Lima \& Zeppelini
Figures 21, 22 and 23, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype female in slide (16,252/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Mariana municipality, cave LOC-0090, next to "Cachoeira Crystal", $20^{\circ} 20^{\prime} 20.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 43^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 44.3^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 11-14 . x i .2019$, Carste team coll. Paratype in slide ( $16,251 /$ CRFS-UEPB) : female, same data as holotype. Paratype in slide ( $16,254 /$ CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): female, same data as holotype. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens $1.40-1.68 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=3)$, holotype 1.68 mm .
Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.24-2.30 $(\mathrm{n}=2)$, holotype $=1: 1.24$; Ant III shorter than Ant II length; Ant segments ratio as I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.72-1.78, 1.58-1.64, 3.11-3.14, holotype $=1: 1.78,1.64,3.14$. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped


Figure 18. Trogolaphysa chapelensis sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
sens, dorsally with about three rod sens on longitudinal row, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about three wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with two rod sens, and one finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three sub-apical finger-shaped sens and one wrinkly sens, ventrally with one apical psp (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about three smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes $0+0$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 21A) with 12-13 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), four M (M1-4), five S (S2-6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pa5, Pm3 and Pp7 as mes, An1a-3a, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular p mes present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 21B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 33-35 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1-4; X, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of four to six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 21B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla $\mathbf{E}$ with 1.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-3) and subequal in length (Fig. 21B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and $1.43 \times$ larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 22A). Th II a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with two mic (a1-2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1-2, $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p} 4-6 \mathbf{e}$ ), $\mathbf{p} 3$ complex with five mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Th III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with
 tively. Ratio Th II: $\mathrm{III}=1.05-1.27: 1(\mathrm{n}=3)$, holotype $=1.05: 1$.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 22B, C). Abd I a, $\mathbf{m}$ series with one ( $\mathbf{a 5 \text { ) and six ( } \mathbf { m } 2 - 6 e \text { ) mic respectively, }}$ $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd II a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{a 6 - 7 \text { ), two mac (m3, m5), three mic ( } \mathbf { p 5 } 5 - 7 \text { ) respectively, el mic }}$ and as present; $\mathbf{a} 5$ and $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ bothriotricha surrounded by four and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with one mic ( $\mathbf{a}$ ), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{a} 2-\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{3}$, am6, pm6), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 6 e}, \mathbf{p 7 i} \mathbf{- 7}$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{p 6 )}$ ) chaetae respectively, $\mathbf{a 5}, \mathbf{m} 2$ and $\mathbf{m} 5$ bothriotricha with six, two


Figure 19. Trogolaphysa chapelensis sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III, (C) Abd IV-V.
and three fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A-Fe series with three mic (A1, A6, Ae1), two mac (A3, A5), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4-5), four mic (C1-4), three mic (T1, T5-7), five mic (D1-1p, D3-3p, De3), one mes (D2), two mes (E4p-4p2), three mac (E1-3), four mes (Ee9-12), one mic (F1), three mes (F2-3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3-5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by three and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; $\mathbf{p s}$ and as present, and at least 14 supernumerary sens with uncertain homology ${ }^{s} ’$ (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with three psp. Abd V $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{a 1}, \mathbf{a 3}$ ), one mes ( $\mathbf{a 6}$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{a}$ ), two mes ( $\mathbf{m} 5 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{m} 5 \mathrm{e}$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} 2-3, \mathbf{m} 5$ ), five mic (p3a-P6ae), three mes (p6e-pp6), four mac (p1, p3-5) chaetae, respectively; as and acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 4.06-4.51 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ), holotype $=1: 4.51$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 18 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 23A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with three teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. little larger, but not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 23B); ratio unguis: unguiculus $=1.48-1.79$ : $1(\mathrm{n}=3)$, holotype $=1.48$ : 1 . Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $0.8 \times$ smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about $0.5 \times$ smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 23C). Anterior side with 10 ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, six proximal, two subdistal (as mes) and two distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 23D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like


Figure 20. Trogolaphysa chapelensis sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.
chaetae about 60 external and 28 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length $=0.31$ (holotype).

Etymology. Species named after Type locality Cachoeira Crystal (Portuguese for Crystal falls).
Remarks. Trogolaphysa crystallensis sp. nov. resembles T. barroca sp. nov., T. gisbertae sp. nov., T. sotoadamesi sp. nov., T. triocelata and T. zampauloi sp. nov. by the absence of eyes ( $0+0$ eyes) (T. triocelata $3+3$ and T. zampauloi sp. nov. eventually $4+4$ ), Th II with $5+5 \mathrm{mac}$, and Th III without mac. Can be distinguished from T. barroca sp. nov., T. gisbertae sp. nov., and T. sotoadamesi sp. nov. by the Abd IV with $4+4$ central mac (A3, A5, B4-5); T. barroca sp. nov., T. gisbertae sp. nov., and T. triocelata, with $3+3$ and T. sotoadamesi sp. nov. $2+2$ central mac on Abd IV. Finally, the new species differentiates from T. zampauloi sp. nov. by unpaired lamella of unguis with one tooth, Omt with about 18 spine-like chaetae, dens external row with about 58 spines on $T$. crystallensis sp. nov. and unpaired lamella of unguis with two teeth, Omt with about 26 spine-like chaetae, dens external row with about 30 spines on T. zampauloi sp. nov.

Trogolaphysa sotoadamesi sp. nov. Ferreira, Oliveira \& Zeppelini
Figures 24, 25 and 26, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype male in slide (13,162/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Mariana municipality, cave ALEA 0003, next to "Mina de Alegria", $20^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 6.81^{\prime \prime}$ S, $43^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 13.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 07 . \mathrm{ii} .2018$, Bioespeloeo team coll. Paratypes in slides ( $13,146,13,153 /$ CRFS-UEPB $): 2$ females, same data as holotype, except 12.vi.2017. Paratype in slide ( $13,173,13,186 /$ CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 2 females, same data as holotype, except 09.vi.2017. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens $1.50-1.81 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype 1.50 mm .
Head. Ratio antennae: trunk =1: 1.26-1.45 ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ), holotype =1: 1.38; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio, I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.78-2.76, 1.52-2.22, 2.61-3.90, holotype $=1: 2.04,1.68,3.16$. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with a longitudinal row with about three rod sens, ventrally with one subapical-organ and with several wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, several wrinkly sens, apical organ with two coffee bean-like sens, one rod sens and one finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with two sub-apical rod sens and two finger-shaped sens, ventrally with one apical psp and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with about seven basal spine-like sens, about three smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 3A). Eyes $0+0$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 24A) with 16 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), four M (M1-4), five S (S2-6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pm3 as mes (rarely mic), Pa5 as mes, An1a-3a, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular $\mathbf{p}$ mes present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, $\mathbf{r}$ reduced (Fig. 24B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 37 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1-4; X, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 24B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with 1.p. finger-shaped and


Figure 21. Trogolaphysa crystallensis sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-p3) and subequal in length (Fig. 24B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and $1.28 \times$ larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 25A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1-2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1-2, $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p 4 - 6 e}$ ), $\mathbf{p} 3$ complex with five mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Th III a, $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with three mic (a1-3, a6), one mes (a7), four mic (m4, m6-7, m6p), two mes (m6e, m7e), four mic (p1-3, p6) respectively. Ratio Th II: $\mathrm{III}=1.17-1.52: 1(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1.03: 1$.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 25B, C). Abd I a, $\mathbf{m}$ series with one (a5) and six (m2-6e) mic respectively, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd II a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with two mic (a6-7), two mac ( $\mathbf{m} 3, \mathbf{m} 5$ ), three mic ( $\mathbf{p} 5-7$ ) respectively, el mic and as present; $\mathbf{a 5}$ and $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ bothriotricha surrounded by five and three fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III a, $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{a} 2-\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} 3, \mathbf{a m 6}, \mathbf{p m 6}$ ), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 6 e}, \mathrm{p} 7 \mathrm{i}-7$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{p 6}$ ) chaetae respectively; $\mathbf{a 5}, \mathrm{m} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{m} 5$ bothriotricha with five, two and three fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A-Fe series with five mic (A1, A3, A5-6, Ae1), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4-5), four mic (C1-4), two mic (T1, T6), two mes (T5, T7), three mic (D1-2), two mes (D3p, De3), two mes (E4p-p2), three mac (E1-3), one mic (Ee12), three mes (Ee9-11), one mic (F1), two mes (F3-3p), one mac (F2), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3-5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and three (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least five supernumerary sens with uncertain homology ' $\mathfrak{s}$ ' (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with four psp. Abd $\mathrm{V} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{a 3}$ ), one mes ( $\mathbf{a 6}$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{a} 5$ ), two mes ( $\mathbf{m} 5 \mathrm{a}, \mathbf{m} 5 \mathbf{e}$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} 2-\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{m} 5$ ), five mic (p3a-p6ae), one mic (P6e) two mes (ap6-pp6), four mac (p1, p3-5) chaetae, respectively; as, acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 5.03-4.42 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype $=1: 4.42$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ triangular shape with about 19-21 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 26A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with two teeth, basal pair unequal, b.p. larger than b.a.; m.t. and a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 26B); ratio unguis: unguiculus $=1$ :


Figure 22. Trogolaphysa crystallensis sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III; (C) Abd IV-V.
1.46-1.91 $(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1: 1.91$. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $0.8 \times$ smaller unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about $0.4 \times$ smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 26C). Anterior side with seven ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, three proximal, two subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with nine chaetae, four ciliate in the proximal row and five smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with five ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 26D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 35 external and 26 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length $=0.39(\mathrm{n}=5)$.

Etymology. Species named after Dr. Felipe N. Soto-Adames for his contribution on Collembola taxonomy and systematics.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa sotoadamesi sp. nov. resembles T. barroca sp. nov., T. crystallensis sp. nov., T. gisbertae sp. nov., T. zampauloi sp. nov. by $0+0$ eyes (T. zampauloi sp. nov. rarely with $4+4$ eyes), Th II $\mathbf{p} 3$ complex with five mac, Th III without mac, manubrial plate with five ciliate chaetae and mucro with four teeth. The new species T. sotoadamesi sp. nov. with $2+2$ central mac on Abd IV differentiates from T. barroca sp. nov., T. gisbertae sp. nov. with $3+3$, and $T$. crystallensis sp. nov., T. zampauloi sp. nov. with $4+4$ central mac.

Trogolaphysa mariecurieae sp. nov. Ferreira, Oliveira \& Zeppelini
Figures 27, 28 and 29, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype female in slide (9109/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Conceição do Mato Dentro municipality, MSS 10/11, next to "Pico do Soldado" $19^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 23.86^{\prime \prime}$ S, $43^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 41.266^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 11-10$. ix.2015, Carste


Figure 23. Trogolaphysa crystallensis sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.
team coll. Paratypes in slides (5888, 5857/CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, same data as holotype, except,19-23.v.2014, Soares et al. coll.

Paratype in slide (9222, 10,760/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 2 females, same data as holotype, except $19^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 18.72^{\prime \prime}$ S, $43^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 30.031^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 14 . x .2015$ and 18-20.iv.2016. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens $1.07-1.49 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype 1.49 mm .
Head. Ratio antennae: trunk $=1: 1.69-1.91(n=2)$, holotype $=1: 1.69$; Ant III shorter than Ant II length; Ant segments ratio, I: II, III, IV = 1: 2.00-2.75, 1.69-2.55, 4.02-5.29, holotype $=1: 2.75,1.69,4.02$. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with one longitudinal row with about four rod sens, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, apical organ with two rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with five apical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about five wrinkly sens on longitudinal external row (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with seven basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic, and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes $0+0$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 27A) with 12 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), four M (M1-4), five S (S2-6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-3, Pa5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pm3 and Pa5 as mes, An1a-3a, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular $\mathbf{p}$ mic present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, $\mathbf{r}$ reduced (Fig. 27B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 34 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1-4; X, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 27B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with l.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-3) and subequal in length (Fig. 27B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and $1.13 \times$ larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 28A). Th II $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with two mic (a1-2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1-2, $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p 4 - 6 e}$ ), $\mathbf{p} 3$ complex with three mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Th III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with three mic (a1-3), two mes (a6-7), three mic ( $\mathbf{m} 4-\mathbf{m} 6 \mathbf{p}$ ), three mes ( $\mathbf{m 6 e}, \mathbf{m} 7-7 \mathrm{e}$ ), four mic ( $\mathbf{p} 1-3, \mathbf{p 6}$ ) respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 0.85-1.02: $1(\mathrm{n}=4)$, holotype $=0.89: 1$. Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 28B, C). Abd I a, $\mathbf{m}$ series with one (a5) and six (m2-6e) mic respectively, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd II $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{a 6 - 7}$ ), two mac (m3, m5), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 5 - 7}$ ) respectively, el mic and as present; a5 and $\mathbf{m} 2$ bothriotricha surrounded by four and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{a} 2-\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i} \mathbf{- 7}$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m 3}$, am6, pm6), two mic ( $\mathbf{p 6 e}, \mathbf{p} 7 \mathbf{i}$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{p 6}$ ) chaetae respectively; $\mathbf{a 5}, \mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{5}$ bothriotricha with five, two and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A-Fe series with three mic (A1, A6, Ae1), one mac (A4), two mic (B1-2), one mes (B6), one mac (B5), four mic (C1-4), three mic (T1, T5, T7), five mic (D1-3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1-3), one mic (Ee12), two mes (Ee10-11), one mac (Ee9), one mic (F1), three mes


Figure 24. Trogolaphysa sotoadamesi sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore, Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
(F2-3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3-5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and three (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; ps and as present, and at least five supernumerary sens with uncertain homology 's' (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with four psp. Abd Va, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), five mac ( $\mathbf{m 2} 2 \mathbf{- 3}, \mathrm{~m} 5-5 \mathrm{e}$ ), five mic ( $\mathbf{p 3 a} \mathbf{- p 6 a e}$ ), two mes ( $\mathbf{a p 6}$ - pp6), four mac (p1, p3-5) chaetae, respectively; as, acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1:4.27-5.91 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype $=1: 5.02$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 15 spine-like chaetae, plus 2-3 psp one external, one on distal vertex and another (present or absent) on top of posterior spines row of Omt (Fig. 29A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with two teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. larger than b.a., inner lamella with unpaired small m.t. between b.a. and b.p. and a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and truncate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 29B); ratio unguis: unguiculus $=1.50-1.95$ : $1(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1.95$ : 1 . Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $0.9 \times$ smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair slightly capitate and about $0.6 \times$ smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 29C). Anterior side with eight ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, six proximal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 13 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and eight smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 29D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 40 external and 22 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length $=0.23$ (holotype).

Etymology. Species named after Dr. Marie Skłodowska-Curie for her enormous contribution to science.
Remarks. Trogolaphysa mariecurieae sp. nov. resembles T. bellinii sp. nov. T. jacobyia and T. epitychia sp. nov. by the absence of eyes (T. bellinii sp. nov. rarely with $2+2$ eyes), Th II p3 complex with three mac and with one unpaired tooth on inner lamella of unguis. The new species T. mariecurieae sp. nov. (Abd IV with $2+2 \mathrm{mac}$ ) differs from T. jacobyia, T. epitychia sp. nov. both with Abd IV 3+3, and T. bellinii sp. nov. with $4+4$ central


Figure 25. Trogolaphysa sotoadamesi sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III, (C) Abd IV-V.
mac. T. mariecurieae sp. nov. and T. bellinii sp. nov. with capitate tenent hair, in contrast with T. jacobyia and T. epitychia sp. nov. with acuminated tenant hair.

Trogolaphysa barroca sp. nov. Brito \& Zeppelini
Figures 30, 31 and 32, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype female in slide ( $13,167 /$ CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Mariana municipality, ALFA-0003 cave, $20^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 06.8^{\prime \prime}$ S, $43^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 13.6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 07-27 . i i .2018$, Bioespeleo team coll. Paratype in slide ( 13,150 / CRFS-UEPB): 1 female, same data as holotype, except 12.vi.2017. Paratype in slide (13,158/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 1 female, same data as holotype. Paratype in slide (13,197/CRFS-UEPB): 1 female, Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Mariana municipality, ALEA-0004 cave, $20^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 00.0^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 43^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 11.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, $07 . \mathrm{ii} .2018$, Bioespeleo team coll. Paratype in slide ( $13,203 /$ CRFS-UEPB): 1 female, Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Mariana municipality, ALEA-0002 cave, $20^{\circ} 08^{\prime} 56.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 43^{\circ} 29^{\prime} 09.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 27 . i i .2018$, Bioespeleo team coll. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens $1.70-2.13 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype 1.81 mm .
Head. Ratio antennae: trunk $=1: 1.27-1.60(n=3)$, holotype $=1: 1.27$; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio as, I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.90-2.41, 1.64-2.02, 2.69-3.64, holotype $=1: 1.90,1.67,2.69$. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with about four rod sens on longitudinal row, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about nine wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with one finger-shaped sens, two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with two sub-apical finger-shaped sens


Figure 26. Trogolaphysa sotoadamesi sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, ((B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.
and two subapical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, and several wrinkly sens on longitudinal external row (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short less ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with about five basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes $0+0$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 30A) with 14-15 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), five M (M1-5), six S (S1-6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-3, Pa5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two $\mathbf{P e}(\mathbf{P e} 4, \mathrm{Pe} 6)$ chaetae; $\mathbf{P m} 3$ as mic, A3 as mes, An1a-3, A0, A2 and Pa5 as mac; interocular $\mathbf{p}$ mic present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 30B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 33 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1-4; X, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of five to six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 30B). Prelabral chaetae weakly ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla E with l.p. finger-shaped and subequal the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-3), and subequal in length (Fig. 30B). Maxillary palp with $\mathbf{t}$.a. smooth and $1.14 \times$ larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 31A). Th II a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with two mic (a1-2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1-2, $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p 4 - 6 e}$ ), $\mathbf{p} 3$ complex with five mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Th III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with three mic (a1-3), two mes ( $\mathbf{a 6 - 7}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m 4} 4, \mathrm{~m} 6 \mathrm{p}$ ), four mes ( $\mathbf{m 6} 6 \mathbf{6 e}, \mathrm{~m} 7-7 \mathrm{e}$ ), and four mic ( $\mathbf{p} 1-3, \mathrm{p} 6$ ), respectively. Ratio Th II: $\mathrm{III}=1.11-1.35: 1(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1.29: 1$.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 31B, C). Abd I a, m series with one (a5) and six (m2-6e) mic, respectively, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd II a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with two mic (a6-7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5-7) respectively, el mic and as present; $\mathbf{a 5}$ and $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ bothriotricha surrounded by four and three fan-shaped chaetae, respectively. Abd III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with one mic (a7), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{( 2 - 3} \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} 3$, am6, pm6), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 6 e}, \mathbf{p 7 i} \mathbf{7}$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{p 6 )}$ chaetae, respectively; $\mathbf{a 5}, \mathrm{m} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{m} 5$ bothriotricha with six, two and three fan-shaped chaetae, respectively; as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A-Fe series with four mic (A1, A5-6, Ae1), one mac (A3), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4-5), four mic (C1-4), three mic (T1, T5-6), one mes (T7), five mic (D1-3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1-3), one mic (Ee12), three mes (Ee9-11), one mic (F1), three mes (F2-3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3-5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae, respectively; ps and as present, and at least seven supernumerary sens with uncertain homology 's'(Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with four to six $\mathbf{p s p}$. Abd V a, m, p series with two mic ( $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{a} 3$ ), one mes ( $\mathbf{a 6}$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{a 5 ) \text { ), two mes ( } \mathbf { m } \mathbf { 5 a } - \mathbf { 5 e } \text { ), }}$ three mac ( $\mathbf{m 2} \mathbf{2 - 3}, \mathbf{m 5}$ ), five mic ( $\mathbf{p 3 a - 6 a e \text { ), one mic ( } \mathbf { p 6 e } \text { ), two mes ( } \mathbf { a p 6 } \text { , pp6), four mac ( } \mathbf { p 1 } \mathbf { 1 } , \mathbf { p 3 - 5 } \text { ) chaetae, }}$ respectively; as and acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV $=1: 3.38-5.55(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1: 5.27$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 16-21 spine-like chaetae, plus 2-3 psp one external, and two (one of them present or absent) on top of posterior spines row of Omt (Fig. 32A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with two teeth, basal pair subequal; b.p. little larger than b.a., m.t. and a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i.,


Figure 27. Trogolaphysa mariecurieae sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore, Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
p.e.) (Fig. 32B); ratio unguis: unguiculus $=1.53-1.67$ : $1(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1.61$ : 1 . Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $0.61 \times$ smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about $0.4 \times$ smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 32C). Anterior side with eight ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, four proximal (thinner), one subdistal and three distal mac; lateral flap with 10 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and five smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with five ciliate chaetae (three inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 32D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spineslike chaetae about 22 external and 37-39 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length $=0.33$ (holotype).

Etymology. Refers to the Baroque art (which is "barroco" noun, in Portuguese) of Mariana, Minas Gerais, type locality.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa barroca sp. nov. resembles T. formosensis by head Pm3 mic (mac in T. piracurucaensis, T. gisbertae sp. nov. and T. dandarae sp. nov.; mes in T. ernesti, T. sotoadamesi sp. nov. and T. mariecurieae sp. nov.); $3+3$ head dorsal mac like T. ernesti, although in the new species it is as A0, A2 and Pa5, and in T. ernesti is A0, A2-3; unguis m.t. and a.t. teeth absent like T. sotoadamesi sp. nov. and T. dandarae sp. nov. (present in T. bellini sp. nov., T. lacerta sp. nov. and T. chapelensis sp. nov.).

Trogolaphysa epitychia sp. nov. Oliveira, Lima \& Zeppelini
Figures 33, 34 and 35, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype male in slide (10,578/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Minas Gerais State, Conceição do Mato Dentro municipality, cave CSS-0118, next to "São Sebastião do Bom Sucesso", $18^{\circ} 56^{\prime} 14.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 43^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 43.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 21.xi-15.xii.2016, Carste team coll. Paratypes in slides (10,580, 10,585/CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, same data as holotype. Paratypes in slides ( $10,653,10,692 /$ CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 1 female and 1 male, same data as holotype, except 22.xi-15.xii. 2016 and 31.v-12.vi.2016, respectively. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) $1.13-1.35 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype 1.13 mm .


Figure 28. Trogolaphysa mariecurieae sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III, (C) Abd IV-V.

Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.29-1.95 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype = 1: 1.95; Ant III shorter than Ant II; Ant segments ratio as I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.69-2.20, 1.14-1.86, 2.37-3.52, holotype $=1: 1.71,1.14,2.37$. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with one longitudinal row with about six rod sens, ventrally with one subapical-organ and one longitudinal row with about four wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical $\mathbf{p s p}$, about three wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with two coffee bean-like sens, one rod sens and one smooth mic (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with about six sub-apical finger-shaped sens and one wrinkly sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about three wrinkly sens on longitudinal external row (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about three smooth mic, several fingershaped sens, and two wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes $0+0$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 33A) with 12 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), four M (M1-4), five S (S2-6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pm3 and Pa5 as mes, An1a-3a, A0 and A2 as mac; interocular p mes present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, $\mathbf{r}$ reduced (Fig. 33B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 31-32 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1-4; X, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of five to six mes chaetae distally (Fig. 33B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla $\mathbf{E}$ with l.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-3) and subequal in length (Fig. 33B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and $1.26 \times$ larger than b.c.


Figure 29. Trogolaphysa mariecurieae sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 34A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1-2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1-2, $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p 4 - 6 e}$ ), $\mathbf{p} 3$ complex with three mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Th III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series
 respectively. Ratio Th II: $\mathrm{III}=1.05-1.21: 1(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1.18: 1$.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 34B, C). Abd I a, $\mathbf{m}$ series with one ( $\mathbf{a 5 \text { ) and six ( } \mathbf { m } 2 - 6 e \text { ) mic respectively, }}$ $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd II a, m, p series with two mic (a6-7), two mac (m3, m5), three mic (p5-7) respectively, el mic and as present; $\mathbf{a 5}$ and $\mathbf{m 2}$ bothriotricha surrounded by four and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III a, $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{a} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{( 2} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{- 3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} 3$, am6, pm6), three mic (p6e, p7i-7), one mac (p6) chaetae, respectively; a5, m 2 and $\mathbf{m} 5$ bothriotricha with five, two and one fan-shaped chaetae, respectively; as sens elongated, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd IV A-Fe series with three mic (A1, A6, Ae1), two mac (A3, A5), two mic (B1, B4), one mes (B6), one mac (B5), four mic (C1-4), four mic (T1, T3, T5-6), one mac (T7), six mic (D1-3p, De3), two mic (E4p-4p2), three mac (E1-3), one mic (Ee11), three mes (Ee9-10, Ee12), one mic (F1), three mes (F2-3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3-5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by five and two fan-shaped chaetae, respectively; ps and as present, and at least seven supernumerary sens with uncertain homology 's' (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with three psp. Abd V a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with three mic (a1, a3, a6), one mac (a5), two mic (m3, me5), three mac (m2, m5-5a), two mic ( $\mathbf{p 3 a} \mathbf{- 4 a}$ ), one mes ( $\mathbf{p 5 a}$ ) two mac ( $\mathbf{p 6 a i}-\mathbf{6 a e}$ ), four mes ( $\mathbf{p 5} 5$-pp6), three mac ( $\mathbf{p 1}, \mathbf{p 3} \mathbf{- 4}$ ) chaetae, respectively; as, acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 4.69-5.55 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype $=1: 4.88$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ in V-shape with about 15 spine-like chaetae, plus $4 \mathbf{p s p}$ one external, one on distal vertex and another two on top of posterior spines row of Omt (Fig. 35A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with four teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. little larger, not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and slightly truncate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 35B); ratio unguis: unguiculus $=1.17-1.98: 1(n=5)$, holotype $=1.17: 1$. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $0.8 \times$ smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about $0.53 \times$ smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 35C). Anterior side with nine ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, five proximal, two subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 10 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and five smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with five ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 35D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 60 external and 34 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length $=0.30$ (holotype).

Etymology. Epitychia from Greek means success, in allusion to the collection site where the species was found São Sebastião do Bom Sucesso.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa epitychia sp. nov. resembles T. bellinii sp. nov., T. bessoni, and T. mariecurieae sp. nov. by the absence of eyes (T. bellinii sp. nov. rarely with $2+2$ eyes), Th II with $3+3$ mac, and Th III without mac.


Figure 30. Trogolaphysa barroca sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.

Differentiates from T. bellinii sp. nov. and T. mariecurieae sp. nov. by Abd IV with $3+3$ (A3, A5, B5), 4 4 , and $2+2$ mac on Abd IV respectively; on T. bellinii sp. nov. and can be distinguished from T. bessoni by the absence of unpaired tooth on inner lamella of unguis, external row of dens with 25 spines, inner row of dens with 20 spines (T. epitychia sp. nov. with one unpaired tooth m.t. on inner lamella of unguis, external row of dens with about 60 spines and inner row of dens with about 34 spines).

Trogolaphysa zampauloi sp. nov. Lima, Oliveira \& Zeppelini
Figures 36, 37 and 38, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype female in slide (11,851/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, São Paulo State, Ribeira municipality, cave MTD-13, nexto to "Serra Pontalhão", $24^{\circ} 38^{\prime} 47.4^{\prime \prime}$ S, $48^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 52.6^{\prime \prime}$ W, 08-20.iii.2016, Carste team coll. Paratypes in slides ( $11,875-11,878 /$ CRFS-UEPB): 2 males and 2 females, Brazil, São Paulo State, Ribeira municipality, cave MTD-02, $24^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 27.3^{\prime \prime}$ S, $48^{\circ} 57^{\prime} 35.8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 08-20$. iii.2016. Paratype in slide (11,876/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR). Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens $1.35-1.91 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype 1.35 mm .
Head. Ratio antennae: trunk = 1: 1.35-1.55 ( $\mathrm{n}=2$ ), holotype = 1: 1.55; Ant III smaller than Ant II length; Ant segments ratio as I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.71-2.38, 1.60-1.88, 2.85-3.61, holotype $=1: 2.38,1.88,3.61$. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with about three rod sens on longitudinal row, ventrally with one subapical-organ, and about three wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, one apical wrinkly sens, apical organ with two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with about three sub-apical finger-shaped sens and two apical rod sens, ventrally with one apical $\mathbf{p s p}$, one longitudinal external row with two subapical finger-shaped sens and two medial wrinkly sens (Fig. 4A);


Figure 31. Trogolaphysa barroca sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III, (C) Abd IV-V.
and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about four smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes $0+0$ to $4+4$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 36A) with 14 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), four M (M1-4), five $\mathbf{S}$ (S2-6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-3, Pa5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; Pe4, Pe6, Pm3 and Pa5 as mes, An1a-3a as mac, A0 and A2 as mac, A3-5 as mes; interocular $\mathbf{p}$ mes present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 36B). Ventral chaetotaxy with about 37 ciliate chaetae, plus one reduced lateral spine; postlabial $\mathbf{G 1 - 4 ;} \mathbf{X}$, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of eight mes chaetae distally (Fig. 36B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla $\mathbf{E}$ with l.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-3) and subequal in length (Fig. 36B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and $1.17 \times$ smaller than b.a.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 37A). Th II a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with two mic (a1-2), one mac (a5), three mic (m1-2, $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p} 4-6 \mathbf{e}$ ), $\mathbf{p} 3$ complex with five mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Th III a, $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with
 respectively. Ratio Th II: $\mathrm{III}=1.02-1.48: 1(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1.21: 1$

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 37B, C). Abd I a, $\mathbf{m}$ series with one (a5) and six (m2-6e) mic respectively, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd II $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{6}-7$ ), two mac ( $\mathrm{m} 3, \mathrm{~m} 5$ ), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 5} \mathbf{- 7}$ ) respectively, el as mic and as present; $\mathbf{a 5}$ and $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ bothriotricha surrounded by three and two fan-shaped chaetae respectively. Abd III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with one mic ( $\mathbf{a}$ ), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{( 2 - 3} \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} 3$, am6, pm6), three mic (p6e, p7i-7), one mac (p6) chaetae respectively; $\mathbf{a 5}, \mathrm{m} 2$ and $\mathbf{m} 5$ bothriotricha with five, two


Figure 32. Trogolaphysa barroca sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.
and three fan-shaped chaetae respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A-Fe series with three mic (A1, A6, Ae1), two mac (A3, A5), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4-5), four mic (C1-4), three mic (T1, T5-6), one mes (T7), five mic (D1-3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1-3), one mic (Ee12), one mes (Ee11), two mac (Ee9-10), one mic (F1), two mes (F3-3p), one mac (F2), one mic ( Fe 2 ), two mes ( $\mathrm{Fe} 3, \mathrm{Fe} 5$ ), one mac ( Fe 4 ) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and four (T3) fan-shaped chaetae respectively; $\mathbf{p s}$ and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology $\mathfrak{s}$ ' (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with three psp. Abd V a, m, p series with two mic (a1, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mes (m5a, m5e), three mac (m2-3, m5), five mic (p3a-6ae), one mic (p6e) two mes (ap6-pp6), four mac (p1, p3-5) chaetae, respectively; as, acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1 : 3.29-4.28 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype $=1: 4.10$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 27 spine-like chaetae, plus 3-4 psp one external, one on distal vertex and another two (one of them present or absent) on top of posterior spines row of Omt (Fig. 38A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with four teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. present. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 38B); ratio unguis: unguiculus $=1.63-1.84$ $(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1: 1.79$. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $0.8 \times$ smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about $0.39 \times$ smaller than unguis outer edge.

Collophore (Fig. 38C). Anterior side with five ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, two proximal (thinner); one subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 38D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 30 external and 23 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length $=0.29(\mathrm{n}=5)$.

Etymology. Species named after the field biologist MSc. Robson de Almeida Zampaulo for his contribution to Brazilian biospeleology.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa zampauloi sp. nov. resembles T. caripensis; T. ernesti; T. piracurucaensis by Th III without mac, and $4+4$ central mac (A3, A5, B4-5) in Abd IV, but is easily distinguished from these species by the presence of Th II with $4+4$ mac in $\mathbf{p} 3$ complex ( $6+6$ T. caripensis, T. ernesti, T. piracurucaensis). For more comparisons see remarks in T. crystallensis sp. nov.

Trogolaphysa gisbertae sp. nov. Brito \& Zeppelini
Figures 39, 40 and 41, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype female in slide (6668/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Pará State, Parauapebas municipality, cave N1N8-N8-017, next to "Serra Norte", $06^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 05.9^{\prime \prime}$ S, $50^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 25.6^{\prime \prime}$ W, 02-29.iv.2015, Carste team coll. Paratype in


Figure 33. Trogolaphysa epitychia sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
slide (6669/CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 1 female, same data as holotype, except 04.ix-06.x.2014. Paratype in slide (6973/CRFS-UEPB): 1 female, same data as holotype, except 04.ix-06.x.2014. Paratypes in slides (6657, 7138/CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, Brazil, Pará State, Parauapebas municipality, N1N8-N8-020 cave, $06^{\circ} 10^{\prime} 07.8^{\prime \prime}$ S, $50^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 25.4^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 17 . \mathrm{vii}-04 . v i i i .2014$, Carste team coll. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens $1.10-1.23 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype 1.15 mm .
Head. Ratio antennae: trunk $=1: 1.44-1.55(\mathrm{n}=3)$; Ant segments ratio as I: II, III, IV = 1: 1.67-2.43, 1.58-2.63, 2.91-5.46, holotype $=1: 2.03,-, 3.90$. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with about five rod sens in row, ventrally with one subapical-organ and several wrinkly sens row (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about four wrinkly sens on external longitudinal row, apical organ with one finger-shaped sens, two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with four finger-shapedd sens in row and two subapical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, and about five wrinkly sens on longitudinal external row (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several fniger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes $0+0$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 39A) with 11 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), four M (M1-4), five S (S1-5), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; An1a-3a, A0, A2-3, Pa5 and Pm3 as mac; interocular pabsent. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, r reduced (Fig. 39B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 20 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1-4; X, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of three to four mes chaetae distally (Fig. 39B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla $\mathbf{E}$ with 1.p. finger-shaped and surpassing the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-3) and subequal in length (Fig. 39B). Maxillary palp with t.a. smooth and $1.32 \times$ larger than b.c.


Figure 34. Trogolaphysa epitychia sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III, (C) Abd IV-V.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 40A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1-2), one mac ( $\mathbf{a} 5$ ), three mic (m1-2, $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p 4 - 6 e}$ ), $\mathbf{p} 3$ complex with five mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ presents. Th III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with three mic ( $\mathbf{( 1 - 3 ) \text { ), two mes ( } \mathbf { a 6 } - 7 \text { ), three mic ( } \mathbf { m 4 } \mathbf { 4 } , \mathbf { m 6 } \mathbf { - 6 p } \text { ), three mes ( } \mathbf { m 6 e } , \mathbf { m 7 - 7 e } \text { ), and four mic ( } \mathbf { p } \mathbf { 1 - 3 } , \mathbf { p 6 } \text { ), }}$ respectively. Ratio Th II: $\mathrm{III}=1.00-2.60: 1(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype $=1.28: 1$.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 40B, C). Abd I a, m series with one (a5) and six (m2-6e) mic, respectively, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd II a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{a 6 - 7}$ ), two mac ( $\mathbf{m} 3, \mathbf{m} 5$ ), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 5 - 7}$ ) respectively, el mic and as present; $\mathbf{a 5}$ and $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ bothriotricha surrounded by four and two fan-shaped chaetae, respectively. Abd III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with one mic ( $\mathbf{a} 7$ ), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{a} 2-\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{3}$, am6, pm6), three mic ( $\mathbf{p 6 e}, \mathbf{p 7 i} \mathbf{i} \mathbf{- 7}$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{p 6 )}$ ) chaetae, respectively; $\mathbf{a 5}, \mathrm{m} 2$ and $\mathbf{m 5}$ bothriotricha with six, two and three fan-shaped chaetae, respectively, as sens elongated, ms present. Abd IV A-Fe series with four mic (A1, A5-6, Ae1), one mac (A3), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4-5), four mic (C1-4), four mic (T1, T5-7), five mic (D1-3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1-3), one mic (Ee12), three mes (Ee9-11), one mic (F1), three mes (F2-3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3-5) chaetae, respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae, respectively; $\mathbf{p s}$ and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology 's' (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with one to three psp. Abd V a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with three mic ( $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{a} 3$ ), one mes ( $\mathbf{a 6}$ ), one mac ( $\mathbf{a} 5$ ), two mes ( $\mathbf{m} 5 \mathrm{a}-\mathbf{5 e}$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}-\mathbf{3}$, m5), five mic ( $\mathbf{p 3 a - 6 a e}$ ), one mic ( $\mathbf{p 6 e}$ ), two mes (ap6, pp6), four mac ( $\mathbf{p 1} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{p 3 - 5}$ ) chaetae, respectively; as and acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV = 1: 3.29-4.90 ( $\mathrm{n}=5$ ), holotype $=1: 3.29$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 25 spine-like chaetae, plus two psp one external, and one on distal vertex of Omt (Fig. 41A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on


Figure 35. Trogolaphysa epitychia sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.
proximal third; inner lamella wide with three teeth, basal pair subequal, b.p. not reaching the m.t. apex, m.t. just after the distal half, a.t. absent. Unguiculus with lamellae smooth and slightly truncate (a.i., a.e., p.i.), except p.e. slightly serrate (Fig. 41B); ratio unguis: unguiculus $=1.59-2.05: 1(n=5)$, holotype $=1.62: 1$. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $0.9 \times$ smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair acuminate and about $0.53 \times$ smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 41C). Anterior side with five ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, one proximal (thinner); two subdistal and two distal mac; lateral flap with 10 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and five smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with five ciliate chaetae (three inner mac) and three $\mathbf{p s p}$ (Fig. 41D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 18 external and 24 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with four teeth, ratio width: length $=0.26$ (holotype).

Etymology. Honor to Gisberta Salce Júnior, Brazilian woman, murdered in 2006 (Porto, Portugal) in a transphobia crime.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa gisbertae sp. nov. differs from T. ernesti and T. formosensis (with $0+0$ head dorsal mac ), T. piracurucaensis, and T. barroca sp. nov. ( $1+1$ head dorsal mac); and resembles T. dandarae sp. nov. (with $5+5$ head dorsal mac), but it is easily distinguishable by Th II p3 complex and Th III mac ( $5+5$ and $0+0,6+6$ and $3+3$, respectively); and unguis with m.t. present (absent in T. sotoadamesi sp. nov., T. barroca sp. nov.).

Trogolaphysa dandarae sp. nov. Brito \& Zeppelini
Figures 42, 43 and 44, Tables 1 and 2
Type material. Holotype female in slide (12,775/CRFS-UEPB): Brazil, Pará State, Parauapebas municipality, cave N4WS-0018/48, next to "Serra Norte", $06^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 34.5^{\prime \prime}$ S, $50^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 37.7^{\prime \prime}$ W, $21-30$ vii.2018, Brandt Meio Ambiente team coll. Paratype in slide ( $12,776 /$ CRFS-UEPB donated to MNJR): 1 female, same data as holotype. Paratypes in slides ( $12,777,12,778 /$ CRFS-UEPB): 2 females, same data as holotype. Paratypes in slides (12,772, 12,773/CRFSUEPB): 2 females, Brazil, Pará State, Parauapebas municipality, N4WS-0016 cave, $06^{\circ} 04^{\prime} 35.5^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S}, 50^{\circ} 11^{\prime} 37.1^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$, 21-30.vii.2018, Brandt Meio Ambiente team coll. Additional records see S1.

Description. Total length (head + trunk) of specimens $1.43-1.75 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{n}=5)$, holotype 1.58 mm .
Head. Ratio antennae: trunk $=1: 0.83-0.98(n=4)$, holotype $=1: 0.83$; Ant III larger than Ant II; Ant segments ratio as I: II: III: IV = 1: 1.36-1.77: 1.65-2.03: 2.84-3.27, holotype = 1: 1.72: 1.99: 3.21. Antennal chaetotaxy (no represented): Ant IV dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally with about two rod sens sub-apical on longitudinal row, ventrally with one subapical-organ and about three wrinkly sens on longitudinal row (Fig. 4A); Ant III dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, and finger-shaped sens, dorsally without modified sens, ventrally with one apical psp, about three wrinkly sens and three smooth mic on external longitudinal row, apical organ with one finger-shaped sens, two coffee bean-like sens, and one rod sens (Fig. 4A); Ant II dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac,


Figure 36. Trogolaphysa zampauloi sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.
dorsally with about four sub-apical finger-shaped sens and two subapical rod sens, ventrally with one apical psp, and several wrinkly sens on longitudinal external row (Fig. 4A); and Ant I dorsally and ventrally with several short ciliate mic and mac, dorsally with three basal spine-like sens, ventrally with four basal spine-like sens, about five smooth mic and several finger-shaped sens (Fig. 4A). Eyes $0+0$. Head dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 42A) with 12 An (An1a-3), six A (A0-5), four M (M1-4), six S (S1-6), two Ps (Ps2, Ps5), four Pa (Pa1-5), two Pm (Pm1, Pm3), seven Pp (Pp1-7), and two Pe (Pe4, Pe6) chaetae; A1 as mes, An1a-3, A0, A2, S5, Pa5 and Pm3 as mac; interocular $\mathbf{p}$ mic present. Basomedian and basolateral labial fields with a1-5 smooth, M, Me, E and L1-2 ciliate, $\mathbf{r}$ reduced (Fig. 42B). Ventral chaetotaxy with 28 ciliate chaetae and one reduced lateral spine; postlabial G1-4; X, X4; H1-4; J1-2, chaetae b.c. present and a collar row of five chaetae distally (Fig. 42B). Prelabral chaetae ciliate. Labral chaetae smooth, no modifications. Labial papilla $\mathbf{E}$ with 1.p. finger-shaped and subequal the base of apical appendage. Labial proximal chaetae smooth (an1-3, p2-3) and subequal in length (Fig. 42B). Maxillary palp with $\mathbf{t . a}$. smooth and $1.58 \times$ larger than b.c.

Thorax dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 43A). Th II a, m, p series with two mic (a1-2), one mac ( $\mathbf{a 5 \text { ), three mic (m1-2, }}$ $\mathbf{m 4}$ ) and four mic ( $\mathbf{p} 4-6 \mathbf{e}$ ), $\mathbf{p} \mathbf{3}$ complex with six mac, respectively, al and $\mathbf{m s}$ presents. Th III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with three mic (a1-3), two mes (a6-7), two mic (m6-6p), three mes (m6e, m7-7e), and one mic (p6), respectively. Ratio Th II: III = 0.82-1.13: $1(\mathrm{n}=6)$, holotype $=1.13: 1$.

Abdomen dorsal chaetotaxy (Fig. 43B, C). Abd I a, m series with one (a5) and six (m2-6e) mic, respectively, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd II a, m, $\mathbf{p}$ series with two mic ( $\mathbf{a 6 - 7 \text { ), two mac (m3, m5), three mic ( } \mathbf { p 5 } 5 \text { -7) respectively, el mic }}$ and as present; $\mathbf{a 5}$ and $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{2}$ bothriotricha surrounded by four and four fan-shaped chaetae, respectively. Abd III $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ series with one mic ( $\mathbf{a} 7$ ), three fan-shaped chaetae ( $\mathbf{( 2 - 3} \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{a 6}$ ), two mic ( $\mathbf{m} 7 \mathbf{i}-7$ ), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} \mathbf{3}$, am6, pm6) and three mic (p6e-7), one mac (p6) chaetae respectively; a5, m 2 and m 5 bothriotricha with five, two and two fan-shaped chaetae, respectively, as sens elongated, $\mathbf{m s}$ present. Abd IV A-Fe series with four mic (A1, A5-6, Ae1), one mac (A3), one mic (B1), one mes (B6), two mac (B4-5), four mic (C1-4), three mic (T1, T5-6), one mes (T7), five mic (D1-3, De3), one mes (D3p), one mic (E4p2), one mes (E4p), three mac (E1-3), one mic (Ee12), three mes (Ee9-11), one mic (F1), three mes (F2-3p), one mic (Fe2), three mes (Fe3-5) chaetae,


Figure 37. Trogolaphysa zampauloi sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III, (C) Abd IV-V.
respectively; T2, T4 and E4 bothriotricha surrounded by four and two (T3) fan-shaped chaetae, respectively; ps and as present, and at least six supernumerary sens with uncertain homology 's' (Fig. 8A); Abd. IV posteriorly with three psp. Abd V a, m, p series with three mic (al, a3), one mes (a6), one mac (a5), two mic (m5a-5e), three mac ( $\mathbf{m} 2-3$, m5), five mic ( $\mathbf{p 3 a - 6 a e \text { ), one mic ( } \mathbf { p 6 e } \text { ), two mes (ap6, pp6), four mac ( } \mathbf { p } 1 , \mathbf { p } 3 - 5 \text { ) chaetae, }}$ respectively; as and acc.p4-5 present. Ratio Abd III: IV $=1: 2.98-4.82(\mathrm{n}=6)$, holotype $=1: 3.81$.

Legs. Trochanteral organ diamond shape with about 19 spine-like chaetae, plus 2-3 psp one external, one on distal vertex and another (present or absent) on top of posterior spines row of Omt (Fig. 44A). Unguis outer side with one paired tooth straight and not developed on proximal third; inner lamella wide with two teeth, basal pair subequal, m.t. and a.t. absent. Unguiculus with all lamellae smooth and lanceolate (a.i., a.e., p.i., p.e.) (Fig. 44B); ratio unguis: unguiculus $=1.49-1.80: 1(n=6)$, holotype $=1.80: 1$. Tibiotarsal smooth chaetae about $1.25 \times$ smaller than unguiculus; tenent hair slightly capitate and about $0.54 \times$ smaller than unguis outer lamella.

Collophore (Fig. 44C). Anterior side with 11 ciliate, apically acuminate chaetae, six proximal (thinner); two subdistal and three distal mac; lateral flap with 11 chaetae, five ciliate in the proximal row and six smooth in the distal row.

Furcula. Covered with ciliate chaetae, spine-like chaetae and scales. Manubrial plate with four ciliate chaetae (two inner mac) and three psp (Fig. 44D). Dens posterior face with two or more longitudinal rows of spine-like chaetae about 31-39 external and 18-21 internal, external spines larger and thinner than internal ones. Mucro with three teeth (Fig. 44E), ratio width: length $=0,28$ (holotype).

Etymology. Honor to Dandara Kettley, Brazilian man, transvestite, murdered in 2017 (Ceará, Brazil) in a homophobia crime.


Figure 38. Trogolaphysa zampauloi sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.

Remarks. Trogolaphysa dandarae sp. nov. resembles T. ernesti, T. formosensis and T. piracurucaensis by chaetae head S5 mac (all other Brazilian cave species with S5 mic); head Pm3 mac as in T. gisbertae sp. nov., but they are different in terms of head ventral proximal collar mac, unguiculus, tenent hair and collophore anterior distal chaetae ( $5+5$, smooth pe, capitate, $3+3$ and $4+4$, serrate pe, acuminate, $2+2$, respectively); Th II P3 complex with $6+6$ and Th III with $3+3$ mac ( $6+6$ and $0+0$ in T. lacerta sp. nov., T. piracurucaensis, T. ernesti and T.


Figure 39. Trogolaphysa gisbertae sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.


Figure 40. Trogolaphysa gisbertae sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy: (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III, (C) Abd IV-V.


Figure 41. Trogolaphysa gisbertae sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy.


Figure 42. Trogolaphysa dandarae sp. nov.: (A) Head dorsal chaetotaxy, (B) labial proximal chaetae, basomedial and basolateral labial fields and postlabial chaetotaxy. Black cut circle, pseudopore; Gray cut circle pseudopore at the under surface.


Figure 43. Trogolaphysa dandarae sp. nov.: Dorsal chaetotaxy. (A) Th II-III, (B) Abd I-III, (C) Abd IV-V.


Figure 44. Trogolaphysa dandarae sp. nov.: (A) Trochanteral organ, (B) Distal tibiotarsus and empodial complex III (anterior view), (C) Manubrial plate, (D) Antero-lateral view of collophore chaetotaxy, (E) Mucro.
caripensis); T. dandarae sp. nov., T. belizeana and T. jacobyi are the only cave species with $3+3$ teeth in the mucro. See the comparison among them in remarks of the late species.
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