



Development of an Integrated Reporting System for Verifying Hemolysis, Icterus, and Lipemia in Clinical Chemistry Results

Dong Hoon Shin, M.D.¹, Juwon Kim, M.D.², Young Uh, M.D.^{2,3}, Se Il Lee, B.S.³, Dong Min Seo, B.S.³, Kab Seung Kim, M.T.², Jae Yun Jang, M.T.², Man Hee Lee, M.S.², Kwang Ro Yoon, Ph.D.², and Kap Jun Yoon, M.D.²

Department of Laboratory Medicine¹, Hallym University College of Medicine, Chuncheon; Departments of Laboratory Medicine² and Medical Information Development³, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Korea

Background: Hemolysis, icterus, and lipemia (HIL) cause preanalytical interference and vary unpredictably with different analytical equipments and measurement methods. We developed an integrated reporting system for verifying HIL status in order to identify the extent of interference by HIL on clinical chemistry results.

Methods: HIL interference data from 30 chemical analytes were provided by the manufacturers and were used to generate a table of clinically relevant interference values that indicated the extent of bias at specific index values (alert index values). The HIL results generated by the Vista 1500 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, USA), Advia 2400 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics), and Modular DPE system (Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland) were analyzed and displayed on physicians' personal computers.

Results: Analytes 11 and 29 among the 30 chemical analytes were affected by interference due to hemolysis, when measured using the Vista and Modular systems, respectively. The hemolysis alert indices for the Vista and Modular systems were 0.1-25.8% and 0.1-64.7%, respectively. The alert indices for icterus and lipemia were <1.4% and 0.7% in the Vista system and 0.7% and 1.0% in the Modular system, respectively.

Conclusions: The HIL alert index values for chemical analytes varied depending on the chemistry analyzer. This integrated HIL reporting system provides an effective screening tool for verifying specimen quality with regard to HIL and simplifies the laboratory workflow.

Key Words: Hemolysis, Icterus, Lipemia, Preanalytical interference

Received: June 24, 2013

Revision received: October 18, 2013

Accepted: June 3, 2014

Corresponding author: Young Uh
Department of Laboratory Medicine, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, 20 Ilsan-ro, Wonju 220-701, Korea
Tel: +82-33-741-1592
Fax: +82-33-731-0506
E-mail: u931018@yonsei.ac.kr

© The Korean Society for Laboratory Medicine

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Hemolysis, icterus, and lipemia (HIL) in patient specimens may interfere with the accurate measurement of various analytes. Identification of specimens with HIL helps laboratories to reduce or eliminate these preanalytical interferences. In the past decade, the most common method for detecting and reporting HIL interference was inspecting individual specimens by laboratory personnel [1]. More recently, sophisticated chemical analyzers have automatically detected the HIL status and have reported

HIL index values [2]. The HIL alert index (also known as the threshold level) is defined as the lowest concentration of HIL that interferes with chemical analyses, yielding a bias >10% [2]. However, the methods of reporting HIL status, such as value and index, differ depending on the specific chemical analyzers. For laboratories using multiple chemical analyzers with different HIL reporting systems, it is desirable to report HIL status using a standardized scale. Moreover, the HIL status and comments should be reported as a summary for the rapid and accurate determination of HIL effects on each analyte. HIL flags and

comments should be added to the results only when the HIL index value of each analyte is above the corresponding HIL alert index. Therefore, laboratory application of HIL status verifying the clinically significant HIL index may help reduce laboratory turnaround time, re-tests, and specimen re-collection.

In this study, we developed an integrated reporting system to verify HIL status in order to identify the effects of HIL interference on clinical chemistry results.

METHODS

1. Program design

The system was setup in May 2012 at a tertiary-care university hospital in Wonju, Korea. HIL interference data from 30 chemical analytes were provided by the manufacturers of the Vista 1500 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Sacramento, CA, USA), Advia 2400 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics), and Modular DPE system (Roche Diagnostics, Bazel, Switzerland) [3-5]. These data were used to generate a tolerance table that defined the extent of the bias at specific index values (alert index values). The HIL index table was then added to the existing hospital database, which consisted of an order communication system database, laboratory information system (LIS) database, and hospital information system database. Each HIL index record was composed of an HIL alert index and interference effects. HIL results generated by the Vista 1500 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics), Advia 2400 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics), and the Modular DPE system (Roche Diagnostics) were used to generate HIL index criteria (Table 1) recommended by the Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute [2]. These criteria were then transmitted to a computer by an interface program and were saved in the database. Finally,

Table 1. HIL concentration ranges according to HIL index level

Index level	Concentration ranges (mg/dL)		
	Hemoglobin	Icterus	Lipemia
1	H ≤ 10	I ≤ 2	L ≤ 50
2	10 < H ≤ 25	2 < I ≤ 5	50 < L ≤ 100
3	25 < H ≤ 50	5 < I ≤ 10	100 < L ≤ 200
4	50 < H ≤ 200	10 < I ≤ 15	200 < L ≤ 400
5	200 < H ≤ 300	15 < I ≤ 20	400 < L ≤ 600
6	300 < H ≤ 500	20 < I ≤ 40	600 < L ≤ 800
7	500 < H ≤ 1,000	40 < I ≤ 60	800 < L ≤ 1,000
8	H > 1,000	I > 60	L > 1,000

Abbreviations: H, hemolysis; I, icterus; L, lipemia.

the HIL data were displayed on physicians' personal computers. The HIL concentrations and index values were displayed in a column next to the chemical test results for easy comparison. When an HIL index value is above the HIL alert index, a warning comment is displayed on the physician's monitor along with the flagged result. However, if two or more HIL index values were above the HIL alert index, a sum of each flag replaced the up or down marks (Fig. 1).

2. Data analysis

In August 2012, three HIL index results were analyzed according to patient-admission type, age, sex, and proportion of HIL alert indices. The HIL data obtained from the Advia 2400 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) were combined with those from the Modular DPE system (Roche Diagnostics), because the analytes were measured in the Advia 2400 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) using Roche reagents.

3. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Statistical significance of the difference in the frequency of normal HIL index according to patient groups (ward, outpatient department, and emergency room) was determined by the χ^2 test. The *P* value was determined at the 0.05 level of a two-tailed test.

Test	Result	Unit	HL/D/P/I	Reference range
CRP, Quantitative	1.05	mg/dL	▲	(. -<0.30)
Na (Sodium)	138	mmol/L		(136.0-145.0)
K (Potassium)	5.1	mmol/L	+H6	(3.5-5.1)
Cl (Chloride)	97	mmol/L	▼	(98.0-107.0)
CO2 (Carbon Dioxide)	26.1	mmol/L		(22.0-28.0)
Total Protein	6.0	g/dL	▼	-16 (6.4-8.3)
Albumin	3.8	g/dL		(3.3-6.1)
Total Cholesterol	156	mg/dL	-16	(. -<200)
TG (Triglyceride)	339	mg/dL	▲ HI	(. -<200)
AST (SGOT)	67	U/L	▲	+H6 (. -<40)
ALT (SGPT)	28	U/L		+H6 (. -<40)
ALP	116	U/L		(. -<120)
γ-GT	39	U/L		HI (. -<60)
Total Bilirubin	27.33	mg/dL	▲ δ P	(. -<1.3)
Direct Bilirubin	Dilution 19.90	mg/dL	▲	-H6 (. -<0.4)
Glucose	148	mg/dL	▲	(70-110)
BUN	16.4	mg/dL		(. -<20.0)
Cr (Creatinine)	1.21	mg/dL		-16 (. -<1.4)
Ca (Calcium)	9.4	mg/dL		(8.6-10.0)
P (Phosphorus)	3.63	mg/dL	HI	(2.7-4.5)
CK	68	U/L		+H6 (. -<220)
LDH	565	U/L	▲	+H6 (. -<275)
Globulin	2.2	g/dL	▼	(2.3-3.5)
Anion Gap	20.0	mmol/L		(10-20)
Calculated Ccr	68.7	mL/min		(65-.)
Cal. Osmolality	289	mmol/kg		(280-295)
Corrected Calcium	9.1	mg/dL		(8.6-10.0)
De Ritis Ratio (AST/ALT)	2.4			
Hemolysis index	349.6			
Icterus index	30.9.6			
Lipemia index	27.1			

Comments: Test performed on requested specimen may cause under-recovery or over-recovery more than 10% of initial concentration. Please submit recollected specimen within 2 hours if a potential interferent is clinically significant.

Fig. 1. Example of chemistry test results including the HIL index.

RESULTS

The proportions of specimens exceeding the hemolysis alert index for each analyte as shown by the Vista 1500 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) were, in decreasing order, direct bilirubin (25.8%), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH, 20.2%), AST (19.1%), magnesium (16.7%), potassium (16.1%), and other components ($\leq 0.1\%$). The proportions of specimens exceeding the hemolysis alert index as shown using the Modular DPE system (Roche Diagnostics) were direct bilirubin (64.7%), AST (54.4%), LDH (52.5%), unsaturated iron binding capacity (UIBC, 9.4%), iron (9.3%), creatine kinase (4.6%), gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT, 4.6%), ALT (4.5%), and other components ($\leq 0.3\%$).

The proportions of the specimens that exceeded the icterus alert index as shown by the Vista 1500 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) were, in decreasing order, creatinine (1.3%), total protein (0.6%), and other components ($< 0.1\%$). The proportions of the specimens that exceeded the icterus alert index as shown by the Modular DPE system (Roche Diagnostics) were triglyceride (0.6%), cholesterol (0.5%), creatinine (0.4%), GGT (0.3%), and other components ($\leq 0.2\%$). The proportions of specimens exceeding the lipemia alert index as shown by the Vista 1500 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) were, in decreasing order, glucose (0.6%), AST (0.4%), ALT (0.4%), and other components ($\leq 0.2\%$). The proportions of specimens exceeding the lipemia alert index as shown by the Modular DPE system (Roche Diagnostics) were UIBC (0.9%) and other components ($\leq 0.1\%$). These data are summarized in Table 2.

The frequency of the normal HIL index values of specimens obtained from the outpatient department (OPD) was higher than that of specimens obtained from the general ward or emergency room (ER) ($P < 0.001$, Table 3). Only 0.8% of specimens obtained from the outpatient department had hemolysis indices > 3 , whereas 10.0-11.0% of specimens from the general ward, intensive care unit (ICU), and ER had hemolysis indices > 3 . According to age group, 20.5% of patients < 1 yr, 16.2% of patients 1-18 yr, and 6.0% of patients > 18 yr had specimens with hemolysis indices greater than 3. According to the area of admission, the percentages of specimens with icterus indices > 2 were as follows: ICU (21.4%), ER (8.5%), general ward (7.8%), and OPD (2.0%). According to age group, the percentages of specimens with icterus indices greater than 2 were: < 1 yr (50.0%), > 18 yr (6.3%), and 1-18 yr (1.0%). According to sex, the percentages of specimens with icterus indices greater than 2 were as follows: males (8.1%) and females (4.8%). The per-

centages of samples with lipemia indices of 1, 2, and ≥ 3 were 98.7%, 0.9%, and 0.4%, respectively. Patients < 1 yr of age had the highest percentage of specimens with a lipemia index > 1 (16.0%). These data are summarized in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

Endogenous substances that interfere with chemical analyzers pose a significant source of error in clinical laboratory measurements. These altered results lead to repeated phlebotomy and tests, thus incurring additional costs. Moreover, incorrect interpretation of test results may lead to incorrect diagnoses, unnecessary disputes between the laboratory staff and the primary physicians, and ultimately, a delay in decision-making for the patients. Thus, it is essential to develop effective processes for systematically identifying unsuitable specimens [6, 7].

The HIL alert index specifies the concentration range that may cause interference. In event of HIL interference in a particular method, the recommended HIL alert indices are automatically displayed on the monitor of the chemical analyzer. However, these values are also shown on the physician's personal computer after their customization using a software interface that communicates with the hospital LIS. Our laboratory used three types of chemical analyzers: the Modular DPE system (Roche Diagnostics) and Advia 2400 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) were used for specimens obtained from the OPD and general ward, and two Vista 1500 systems (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) were used for specimens obtained from the ER. The HIL alert index of the Modular DPE system (Roche Diagnostics) for each analyte must be set up on the basis of HIL concentration, because the system does not report the HIL index value [4]. The Vista 1500 system (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics) reports the HIL status as both, the HIL index and the HIL value, and its HIL alert index for an analyte value is based on the HIL index [5]. To standardize the HIL indices across the three chemical analyzers, each with a different HIL reporting method, we established an integrated management system for the HIL reporting system. In this system, the HIL warning comments were automatically compiled by user-defined command sentences and displayed when any of the measured HIL index values were \geq the corresponding alert index value.

Before instituting this reporting system, our laboratory personnel detected hemolysis by visual inspection of the individual specimens. The medical staff was then notified of the results by phone. After instituting the reporting system, the HIL interference comment was as follows: "Test performed on requested

Table 2. Chemical analytes exceeding the HIL threshold according to chemical analyzers

Analytes	H						I						L					
	Vista 1500 system			Modular DPE system			Vista 1500 system			Modular DPE system			Vista1500 system			Modular DPE system		
	T		%*	T		%	T		%	T		%	T		%	T		%
	C	S†		C	S		C	S		C	S		C	S		C	S	
Albumin	NA		0	7	V	0.1	NA		0	7	V	<0.1	NA		0	7	V	0
ALP	NA		0	6	↓	0.2	NA		0	8	V/↓	0	NA		0	8	V	0
ALT	NA		0	4	↑	4.5	7	↓	<0.1	7	V	<0.1	4	↑	0.4	5	↓	<0.1
Amylase	7	↓	0.1	6	↓	NT	NA		0	7	V	NT	8	↑	0	8	V	NT
AST	3	↑	19.1	2	↑	54.4	7	↑	<0.1	7	V	<0.1	4	↑	0.4	5	V	<0.1
BUN	NA		0	7	↑	<0.1	NA		0	7	V	<0.1	8	↓	0	7	V	0
Calcium	NA		0	7	↑	<0.1	NA		0	7	V	<0.1	5	↓	0.1	7	↑	<0.1
Cholesterol	NA		NT	7	↑	0.1	3	↓	NT	4	↓	0.5	8	↓	NT	8	V	0
CK	7	↑	0.1	4	↑	4.6	NA		0	7	V	<0.1	8	↑	0	7	V	0
Chloride	NA		0	7		0.1	NA		0	7			NA		0	8		0
CO ₂	NA		0	7	V	0.1	NA		0	7	↓		8	↓	<0.1	8	↑	0
Creatinine	NA		0	7	↑	<0.1	4	↓	1.3	3	↓	0.4	NA		0	7	V	0
CRP	NA		0	7	V	0.1	NA		0	7	V	0	NA		0	8	V	0
Direct bilirubin	3		25.8	2	↓	64.7	NA		0	NE			8	↑	0	3	↑	0.1
GGT	7	↑	0.1	4	↓	4.6	NA		0	5	V/↓	0.3	8	↑	<0.1	7	V	0
Glucose	NA		0	7	V	0	NA		0	7	V	<0.1	3	↑	0.6	7	V	0
HDL-C	NA		NT	8	V	0	NA		0	7	↓/V	<0.1	8	↑	NT	8	V	0
Iron	3	↑	NT	4	↑	9.3	NA		NT	7	V	0	NA		0	7	V	0
K	3	↑	16.1	4	↑	5.1	NA		0	7		0	NA		0	8		0
LDH	3	↑	20.2	2	↑	52.5	NA		0	7	V	<0.1	7	↑	0	7	V	0
LDL-C	NA		NT	8	V	0	7	↓	NT	6/8	V/+	0	8	↓	NT	3	↓	0
Lipase	NA		0	NT		NT	NA		0			NT	NA		0			
Magnesium	3	↑	16.7	6	↑	0.3	NA		0	8/6	V/↑	0.2	NA		0	4	↑	<0.1
Sodium	NA		0	7		0.1	NA		0	7		0	NA		0	8		0
Phosphorus	7	↑	0.1	5	↑	0.3	7	↓	<0.1	6/8	↑/V	0.1	5	↓	0.2	8	V	0
Total protein	NA		0	7	↑	0.1	6	↓	0.6	6	↓	0.1	8	↑	<0.1	7	↓	0
Total bilirubin	NA		0	7	↑	0.1	NT		0	NE			2	↓	<0.1	7	↓	0
Triglyceride	NA		NT	6	↑	0.2	3	↑	NT	3/6	↓/↑	0.6	NA		0	NA		
Uric acid	NA		NT	7	↓	0.1	NA		0	6	↓	0.1	NA		0	7	V	0
UIBC	4	↑	NT	4	↓	9.4	NA		0	7	↓	0	7	↑	NT	2	↑	0.9

*% of chemical analyte exceeding the threshold value of HIL; †↑, Over-recovery exceeded 10% of the initial concentration; ↓, Under-recovery exceeded 10% of the initial concentration; V, variable recovery; V/↓, V in conjugated bilirubin interference and ↓ in unconjugated bilirubin.

Abbreviations: H, hemolysis; I, icterus; L, lipemia; T, threshold of HIL; C, criteria; S, sign; NA, no interference; NT, not tested; V, variable; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CK, creatine kinase; CRP, C-reactive protein; NE, not evaluated; GGT, gamma-glutamyl transferase; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein cholesterol; K, potassium; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; UIBC, unsaturated iron binding capacity.

specimen may cause under-recovery or over-recovery more than 10% of initial concentration. Please submit re-collected specimen within 2 hr if a potential interferent is clinically significant.” The maximum effect of a potential interferent may be

complex and vary depending on both the concentration and the particular analyte present. The laboratory’s individual patient population should be considered when determining the clinical significance of a potential interference.

Table 3. Specimens categorized by HIL index and patient characteristics

HIL type	Characteristics	% of specimen according to HIL index level							Total N
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Hemolysis	Type of admission								
	Ward	48.8	26.2	14.5	9.5	0.6	0.3	0.1	12,718
	ICU	50.8	24.0	14.3	9.8	0.7	0.4	0.1	2,274
	Non-ICU	48.4	26.7	15.5	9.4	0.6	0.3	0.1	10,444
	ER	47.6	28.2	14.2	9.1	0.4	0.3	0.2	3,081
	OPD*	64.8	31.1	3.3	0.7	0	<0.1	0	11,247
	Age (yr)								
	<1	36.0	25.1	18.3	17.5	1.5	1.3	0.3	394
	1-18	47.9	32.5	11.5	7.8	0.2	0.1	0	1,517
	>18	56.0	28.3	9.6	5.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	25,135
	Sex								
	Female	58.7	26.8	8.7	5.2	0.4	0.2	0	11,988
	Male	52.6	29.9	10.7	6.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	15,058
	Total	55.3	28.5	9.8	5.8	0.3	0.2	0.1	27,046
Icterus	Type of admission								
	Ward	89.8	6.2	2.4	0.8	0.4	0.4	<0.1	12,718
	ICU	78.6	10.9	6.0	1.9	1.1	1.4	0.1	2,274
	Non-ICU	92.2	5.2	1.6	0.6	0.2	0.2	0	10,444
	ER	91.5	6.7	1.2	0.2	<0.1	0.4	<0.1	3,081
	OPD*	98.0	1.8	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0	11,247
	Age (yr)								
	<1	50.0	19.3	22.6	5.8	2.0	0.3	0	394
	1-18	99.0	0.8	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	1,517
	>18	93.7	4.4	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.3	<0.1	25,135
	Sex								
	Female	95.2	3.2	1.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0	11,988
	Male	91.9	5.4	1.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	<0.1	15,058
	Total	93.4	4.4	1.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	<0.1	27,046
Lipemia	Type of admission								
	Ward	98.2	1.2	0.4	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1 [†]	12,718
	ICU	96.0	2.2	1.0	0.6	0.1	0.1	0	2,274
	Non-ICU	98.7	1.0	0.3	<0.1	<0.1	0	0	10,444
	ER	98.9	0.9	0.2	<0.1	0	0	0	3,081
	OPD*	99.4	0.5	0.1	<0.1	0	0	0	11,247
	Age (yr)								
	<1	84.0	7.6	4.1	3.0	0.8	0.5	0	394
	1-18	99.1	0.8	0	0.1	0	0	0	1,517
	>18	99.0	0.8	0.2	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	25,135
	Sex								
	Female	98.9	0.8	0.2	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0	11,988
	Male	98.6	1.0	0.3	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	15,058
	Total	98.7	0.9	0.3	0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	27,046

*The frequency of the normal HIL index values of specimens obtained from the OPD was higher than that of specimens obtained from the general ward or ER ($P < 0.001$); [†]Lipemia index is 8.

Abbreviations: H, hemolysis; I, icterus; L, lipemia; ICU, intensive care unit; ER, emergency room; OPD, outpatient department.

Although chemical analyzers and their reagents have been improved to minimize HIL interferences, none has provided satisfying results to date. Typically, a sample should be rejected when there is a high risk of reporting an unreliable result. Educating personnel who collect the samples may minimize the frequency of unsuitable specimens. An alternative solution for mitigating pre-analytical interference effects is re-testing the specimens using another chemical analyzer unaffected by the HIL interferences according to the flagged HIL status. Another approach for minimizing the hemolysis and icterus interference effects, such as in specimens with hyperbilirubinemia or derived from difficult blood sampling (e.g., newborns, patients with poor vascular integrity, or underlying severe liver disease), is to use an analytical methodology with a higher HIL threshold [8, 9].

The system described herein has the potential to give quick results about decreased or increased HIL analyte values in the specimen. This integrated HIL reporting system provides an effective screening method that verifies specimen quality based on HIL status and simplifies the laboratory workflow.

Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

REFERENCES

1. Vermeer HJ, Thomassen E, de Jonge N. Automated processing of serum indices used for interference detection by the laboratory information system. *Clin Chem* 2005;51:244-7.
2. Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute. Hemolysis, icterus, and lipemia/turbidity indices as indicators of interference in clinical laboratory analysis. Approved guideline, C56-A. Wayne, PA: Clinical Laboratory and Standards Institute, 2012.
3. ADVIA Chemistry Systems-Customer bulletin. New serum indices normalized for ADVIA chemistry systems. Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics Inc., Tarrytown, NY, USA, 2008.
4. Roche global package inserts and application reports. Reagents on Roche/Hitachi Systems: List of interferences based on serum indices for serum and plasma. Version 12.0. Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland, 2010.
5. Dimension Vista® system-technical bulletin. Sample quality assessment for hemolysis (H), icterus (I), lipemia. Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Sacramento, CA, USA, 2009.
6. Lippi G, Plebani M, Di Somma S, Cervellin G. Hemolyzed specimens: a major challenge for emergency departments and clinical laboratories. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci* 2011;48:143-53.
7. Plebani M and Lippi G. Hemolysis-resistant reagent: another part of the puzzle for preventing errors in laboratory testing. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 2013;51:1339-41.
8. Ji JZ and Meng QH. Evaluation of the interference of hemoglobin, bilirubin, and lipids on Roche Cobas 6000 assays. *Clin Chim Acta* 2011; 412:1550-3.
9. Szoke D, Braga F, Valente C, Panteghini M. Hemoglobin, bilirubin, and lipid interference on Roche Cobas 6000 assays. *Clin Chim Acta* 2012; 413:339-41.