



Clinical Relevance of Myopenia and Myosteatosis in Colorectal Cancer

Yoshinaga Okugawa ^{1,2,*}, Takahito Kitajima ^{1,2}, Akira Yamamoto ², Tadanobu Shimura ², Mikio Kawamura ², Takumi Fujiwara ¹, Ikuyo Mochiki ¹, Yoshiki Okita ², Masahiro Tsujiura ², Takeshi Yokoe ², Masaki Ohi ² and Yuji Toiyama ^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Department of Genomic Medicine, Mie University Hospital, Tsu 514-8507, Japan; north.at.island@gmail.com (T.K.); t-fujiwara@med.mie-u.ac.jp (T.F.); i-mochiki@doc.medic.mie-u.ac.jp (I.M.)
- ² Department of Gastrointestinal and Pediatric Surgery, Division of Reparative Medicine, Institute of Life Sciences, Mie University Graduate School of Medicine, Tsu 514-8507, Japan; numberbee8@gmail.com (A.Y.); tadanobu189222@gmail.com (T.S.); up2date0521@gmail.com (M.K.); nyokkin@clin.medic.mie-u.ac.jp (Y.O.); masahirotsujiura@med.mie-u.ac.jp (M.T.); takesche@clin.medic.mie-u.ac.jp (T.Y.); mohi1012@med.mie-u.ac.jp (M.O.)
- * Correspondence: yosinaga@med.mie-u.ac.jp (Y.O.); ytoi0725@clin.medic.mie-u.ac.jp (Y.T.); Tel.: +81-59-231-5294 (Y.O. & Y.T.); Fax: +81-59-232-6968 (Y.O. & Y.T.)

Abstract: Sarcopenia was initially described as a decrease in muscle mass associated with aging and subsequently also as a consequence of underlying disease, including advanced malignancy. Accumulating evidence shows that sarcopenia has clinically significant effects in patients with malignancy, including an increased risk of adverse events associated with medical treatment, postoperative complications, and a poor survival outcome. Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common cancers worldwide, and several lines of evidence suggest that preoperative sarcopenia negatively impacts various outcomes in patients with CRC. In this review, we summarize the current evidence in this field and the clinical relevance of sarcopenia in patients with CRC from three standpoints, namely, the adverse effects of medical treatment, postoperative infectious complications, and oncological outcomes.

Keywords: sarcopenia; survival; infectious complications; adverse effects; colorectal cancer

1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common gastrointestinal cancers and continues to be the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide [1]. Although improved surgical techniques, including laparoscopic and robotic-assisted surgery, have emerged and progressed in the last decade, surgical morbidity and mortality remain one of the clinical hurdles to be overcome in patients with CRC undergoing surgery. Furthermore, the survival outcome remains poor, especially for CRC with metastatic disease, despite significant advances in the medical and radiological treatments available for advanced CRC. Different approaches, including nutritional intervention and rehabilitation, are urgently needed to improve the overall health status of patients with CRC, optimize their treatment, and improve their prognosis.

Sarcopenia was initially defined as an age-related loss of skeletal muscle mass and function and reported to be present in approximately 6–22% of older adults [2]. The word comes from the Greek sarx (meaning "flesh") and penia (meaning "loss") and was coined by Rosenberg in 1989 [3]. Sarcopenia may be primary or secondary. Primary sarcopenia is the classical form is understood to be part of the aging process and precedes the onset of frailty. In contrast, secondary sarcopenia is caused by various pathogenic mechanisms that may be disease-related (e.g., inflammatory disease and malignancy), activity-related (e.g., disuse), or nutrition-related (e.g., protein deficiency) [4,5].



Citation: Okugawa, Y.; Kitajima, T.; Yamamoto, A.; Shimura, T.; Kawamura, M.; Fujiwara, T.; Mochiki, I.; Okita, Y.; Tsujiura, M.; Yokoe, T.; et al. Clinical Relevance of Myopenia and Myosteatosis in Colorectal Cancer. J. Clin. Med. 2022, 11, 2617. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jcm11092617

Academic Editors: Nariyoshi Shinomiya and Hironori Tsujimoto

Received: 24 March 2022 Accepted: 4 May 2022 Published: 6 May 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

2. Definition of Sarcopenia

Several international groups, including the Society of Sarcopenia, Cachexia, and Wasting Disorders [6], International Working Group on Sarcopenia [7], Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia [8], European Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition [9], and European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP) [10], have proposed various definitions and diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia. For example, the EWGSOP proposed a definition of sarcopenia in 2010 that aimed to foster advances in identifying and caring for people with sarcopenia and published a revised consensus definition of sarcopenia and updated diagnostic criteria in 2018. According to the updated statement, the most important criterion for a diagnosis of sarcopenia is low muscle strength, which is identified primarily by grip strength or the chair stand test (criterion 1). The diagnosis is confirmed by the finding of low muscle quantity and quality using any validated technique, such as dual x-ray absorptiometry, bioelectric impedance analysis, or computed tomography (CT) (criterion 2). Poor physical performance, as determined by an assessment of gait speed or the chair stand test, is indicative of severe sarcopenia (criterion 3). The clinical algorithm in this revised consensus was updated for case finding, diagnosis, confirmation, and determination of severity. Furthermore, to identify and characterize sarcopenia, optimal cut-off points for the measurement of variables have been proposed based on accumulating evidence. However, there is still no universal definition of sarcopenia, and almost all of the available data in patients with CRC comes from studies in which body composition status was assessed on CT images as a surrogate marker for sarcopenia. Based on the dysregulation patterning of body composition status using CT images, image-based surrogate markers of sarcopenia are currently recognized as belonging to two distinct types, namely, "myopenia" and "myosteatosis". This review article investigates these indices in detail to clarify the clinical relevance of myopenia and myosteatosis in patients with CRC.

3. Pathophysiology of Sarcopenia in Patients with Malignancy

Accumulating data are revealing various mechanisms that may be involved in the onset and progression of low muscularity and sarcopenia in patients with malignancy. In addition to a lack of physical activity as a consequence of aging and chronic disease, muscle wasting may also be caused by the dysregulation of protein synthesis, protein degradation, mitochondrial abnormalities, inflammation, oxidative stress, and the degeneration of muscle tissue as a result of satellite cell activity [11]. One of the major causes of cancerrelated sarcopenia is the degradation of normal tissue function in response to systemic inflammation, which occurs as a result of host-tumor interaction and has been termed the seventh hallmark of cancer [12]. Several studies have demonstrated that tumor-derived inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin (IL)-1-beta, and IL-6, are involved in the pathogenesis of sarcopenia in patients with malignancy [13–15]. Inter-organ communication by these tumor-derived inflammatory cytokines exacerbates metabolic dysfunction in muscle and fat, thereby reducing muscularity and causing sarcopenia [16,17]. Furthermore, IL-1 may stimulate adrenocorticotropic hormone and cortisol via the activation of hypothalamic neurons and corticotrophin-releasing hormone; this link between IL-1 and the hypothalamic-pituitary axis mediates the dysregulation of catabolic effects and promotes muscle wasting and loss of appetite in patients with malignancy [14].

Recent research has highlighted the pivotal role of growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), a member of the transforming growth factor-beta superfamily, in patients with sarcopenia. Several studies have demonstrated significantly increased circulating levels of GDF15 in patients with sarcopenia and malignancy, including CRC [18–21]. Mechanistically, GDF15 could induce weight loss via the GDNF family receptor alpha-like (GFRAL)-Ret proto-oncogene (RET) signaling complex in neurons in the brainstem [22–25], and the antibody-mediated inhibition of GDF15–GFRAL activity has been shown to reverse excessive lipid oxidation and prevent sarcopenia in cancer-bearing mice [26].

Furthermore, there is emerging evidence indicating that circulating microRNAs derived from tumors have a pivotal role in the loss of skeletal muscle mass via the downregulation of antiapoptotic genes in skeletal muscle cells in patients with CRC [27,28].

4. Prevalence of Sarcopenia in Patients with Malignancy

As mentioned earlier, sarcopenia was originally described as an aging-related disease [2]. The prevalence of sarcopenia in healthy populations gradually increases with advancing age, with previous reports suggesting an increase from 9% at 45 years to up to 64% at 85 years [29]. Moreover, malignant disease is more common in the elderly, and sarcopenia may develop as a result of both disease-related and aging-related factors. Therefore, the prevalence of sarcopenia in patients with malignancy is even higher, ranging from 11% to 74% in adults [30–34]. In several studies that used various assessment methods, the prevalence of sarcopenia in patients with CRC ranged widely from 12% to 60% [32,35–39]. Broughman et al. investigated the prevalence of myopenia in 87 patients with stage I–III CRC who were aged older than 70 years by measuring the total amount of muscle on CT images and calculating the skeletal muscle index (SMI) based on patient height [32]. In that study, 60% of men and 56% of women had myopenia. Generally, the prevalence of sarcopenia is high in patients with CRC, and the clinical relevance of low muscularity in this disease has been attracting considerable research attention in the last decade.

5. Clinical Impact of Preoperative Low Muscularity on Perioperative Infectious Complications in Patients with CRC

Infectious complications account for much of the postoperative morbidity in patients with CRC. Surgical site infections, including superficial, deep, and organ space infections, are particularly important because of the discomfort for patients, anxiety for surgeons, costs incurred to health care systems, and their potential to delay the start of adjuvant therapy [40]. Furthermore, there is mounting evidence that postoperative infectious complications have an adverse impact on oncological outcomes in patients with CRC [41–44]. A recent meta-analysis that evaluated 43 original papers clearly demonstrated a statistically significant association between both anastomotic leak and wound/surgical site infections and unfavorable oncological outcomes in patients who had undergone surgery for CRC [44]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to identify surrogate markers that can identify patients with CRC at high risk for postoperative infectious complications.

Several studies have suggested a close association between postoperative infectious complications and preoperative malnutrition in various malignancies, including CRC [45–48]. Several lines of evidence point to a significant association between preoperative low muscularity and postoperative infectious complications after the surgical resection of CRC [35,36,47,49]. Lieffers et al. evaluated CT images for 234 patients who underwent resection of primary CRC and demonstrated that the risk of postoperative infection was greater in patients with a low SMI (myopenia) than in those with a higher SMI (23.7% vs. 12.5%; p = 0.025) and that the negative impact of preoperative myopenia was more pronounced in patients over 65 years of age [35]. Another group of researchers assessed skeletal muscle mass in 302 patients who were undergoing colectomy for colon cancer and demonstrated that those with denser psoas muscle tissue had fewer postoperative infectious complications (odds ratio [OR] 0.95, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.93–0.98, *p* = 0.001) [49]. Our research group also investigated skeletal muscle mass in these patients and established a novel parameter known as "modified intramuscular adipose tissue content" (mIMAC) as a surrogate marker for myosteatosis [50]. We assessed the preoperative mIMAC on CT images for 892 patients, including 471 with CRC who underwent surgery, and found that a decreased preoperative mIMAC (decreased quantity of skeletal muscle mass) was an independent risk factor for remote infection postoperatively (OR 2.56, 95% CI 1.06–6.23, p = 0.038) in patients with CRC. Trejo-Avila et al. recently published a meta-analysis of 23 studies of the impact of preoperative sarcopenia on the overall postoperative complication rate and showed that patients with sarcopenia had increased risks of surgical site infection (OR 1.4, 95% CI 1.12–1.76) and postoperative mortality (OR 3.21, 95% CI 2.01–5.11) [51]. Although there has been considerable variation in the methods used to evaluate body composition status on preoperative CT images in the studies performed to date, all of the evidence indicates an intimate correlation between preoperative low muscularity and postoperative infectious complications in patients with CRC.

6. Clinical Impact of Pretreatment Low Muscularity on the Effects of Medical and Radiological Treatment in Patients with CRC

Several studies have shown that pretreatment malnutrition increases the risk of chemotherapy-related toxicity and adverse events during chemotherapy in patients with malignancy, including CRC [52–57]. Prado et al. prospectively evaluated patients with stage II/III colon cancer treated with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) and leucovorin and found that low lean body mass was a strong predictor of toxicity, especially in female patients receiving 5-FU-based chemotherapy [57]. Jung et al. assessed the cross-sectional area of the psoas muscle at L4 on pretreatment CT images from 229 consecutive patients with stage III colon cancer who received adjuvant chemotherapy consisting of oxaliplatin, 5-FU, and leucovorin and identified a decrease in the psoas mass index (PMI) value to be an independent risk factor for all grade 3–4 toxicities in these patients [55]. In the setting of metastatic CRC, Sasaki et al. evaluated the skeletal muscle area (SMA) on CT images obtained before and 3 and 6 months after treatment and demonstrated that the loss of skeletal muscle mass at 3 months after treatment was significantly correlated with the incidence of adverse events and an independent predictor of a poor objective response (OR 0.2, 95% CI 0.1–0.6; p < 0.01) and poor progression-free survival (PFS; hazard ratio [HR] 1.6, 95% CI 1.0–2.7; p = 0.04).

There is also a body of research on the clinical relevance of pretreatment low muscularity in patients with rectal cancer who receive neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. Almost all of these studies have found that low muscularity has an adverse impact on postoperative complications and the survival outcome [58–64]. Olmez et al. were the first to report a close association between myopenia and the response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in patients with advanced rectal cancer [64]. They retrospectively assessed SMI on CT images obtained at the time of the initial diagnosis from 61 patients who received neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal cancer. Their main finding was that the pathological complete response rate was significantly higher in patients without myopenia than in those with myopenia (21.4% vs. 3.0%; p = 0.025). Although the clinical significance of pretreatment sarcopenia in terms of the impact on outcomes of medical and radiological treatment remains unclear, all the evidence to date suggests that pretreatment low muscularity increases the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome in patients with CRC who receive multimodality therapy.

7. Clinical Impact of Pretreatment Low Muscularity on Oncological Outcomes in Patients with CRC and No Distant Metastasis

Most of the available evidence indicates that preoperative low muscularity has an adverse impact on survival in patients with CRC. The first relevant population-based study was performed by Prado et al. at a cancer treatment center in northern Alberta, Canada [65]. As part of that study, which included 2115 patients with solid respiratory or gastrointestinal tumors, including CRC, the authors measured the total skeletal muscle cross-sectional area in 250 of 325 obese patients with a body mass index \geq 30 for whom CT images were available. They showed that the functional status was poorer in obese patients with myopenia than in those without myopenia and that myopenia was an independent predictor of overall survival (OS; HR 4.2, 95% CI 2.4–7.2, *p* < 0.0001) in these patients.

A cohort study that included 220 patients with stage I–III CRC and no distant metastasis who underwent curative resection found that recurrence-free survival (RFS), OS, and cancer-specific survival (CSS) rates were significantly shorter in patients with a low SMI value on preoperative CT images than in those with a normal SMI value (5-year RFS rate, 56% vs. 79%, p = 0.006; 5-year OS rate, 68% vs. 85%, p = 0.015; 5-year CSS rate, 82% vs. 91%, p = 0.026) [66]. Another population-based cohort study evaluated skeletal muscle mass and

radiodensity on CT images obtained at diagnosis and approximately 14 months later to clarify the impact of a dysregulated body composition pattern on survival in 1924 patients with stage I–III CRC who underwent curative resection [67]. In that study, a marked deterioration in skeletal muscle mass and muscle radiodensity was significantly correlated with poor OS (HR 2.15, 95% CI 1.59–2.92, p < 0.001) and CSS (HR 1.61, 95% CI 1.2–2.15, p = 0.002). Our group also evaluated PMI and intramuscular adipose tissue content (IMAC) on the preoperative CT images of 308 patients with CRC, including 242 with stage I–III disease who underwent curative resection, and identified a low PMI value to be an independent prognostic factor for disease-free survival (HR 3.15, 95% CI 1.8–5.51, p = 0.0001) in these patients. A recent meta-analysis by Sun et al. that included 12 studies in 5337 patients with non-metastatic CRC demonstrated that low muscularity predicted significant decreases in OS (HR 1.63, 95% CI 1.24–2.14, p < 0.01), disease-free survival (HR 1.7, 95% CI 1.24–2.31, p < 0.01), and CSS (HR 1.62, 95% CI 1.16–2.27, p < 0.01) [68].

8. Clinical Impact of Pretreatment Low Muscularity on Oncological Outcomes in Patients with CRC and Distant Metastasis

There is emerging evidence of a close relationship between low muscularity and disease progression in CRC. Park et al. measured skeletal muscle mass using bioelectric impedance analysis in 1270 patients at the time of first colonoscopy and found a significant association between myopenia and an increased risk of advanced colorectal neoplasia (OR 2.347, 95% CI 1.311–4.202, *p* = 0.004) [69]. Furthermore, Okugawa et al. evaluated the PMI and IMAC on preoperative CT images for 308 patients with CRC and also found a significant correlation between a low PMI value and distant metastasis (p = 0.007) [70]. These findings suggest the possibility of biological mechanisms linking sarcopenia and the progression of CRC. In further support of this hypothesis, there is some evidence indicating that various products secreted by metastatic tumors, including cytokines, metabolites, microRNAs, and exosomes, can influence host organs and promote myopenia in patients with malignancy [27,28,71–73]. Okugawa et al. also evaluated the PMI and IMAC on preoperative CT images for 167 patients with CRC and analyzed the correlation between body composition status and preoperative serum miR-21 expression [27]. They found that serum miR-21 expression was higher in patients with CRC and a low PMI than in those with a high PMI. MiR-21 is a representative oncogenic secretory miRNA [74,75], and a recent study showed that it activates toll-like receptor-7 on murine myoblasts and promotes the death of muscle cells via c-Jun N-terminal kinase activity in cancer-related cachexia [76]. Interestingly, a further study by Okugawa et al. showed that circulating miR-203 derived from distant tissues with metastasis caused myopenia by downregulating the expression of BIRC5 (survivin) in a skeletal muscle cell line [28]. Collectively, these studies point to a close association between disease progression and low muscularity via circulating miRNAs in patients with CRC.

In line with the above-mentioned reports, several studies have confirmed the negative burden of preoperative low muscularity in terms of the survival outcome in patients with metastatic CRC. Da Cunha et al. retrospectively assessed body composition status on CT images for 72 patients with stage IV CRC [77] and found that the median PFS and OS were significant shorter in those with myopenia than in those without myopenia (PFS, 7.2 months vs. 15.2 months, HR 1.78, 95% CI 1.00–3.14, p = 0.048; OS, 12.5 months vs. 36.7 months, HR 1.86, 95% CI 1.02–3.38, p = 0.043) after adjustment for the number of metastatic lesions, resection of metastasis, and performance status. Vashi et al. evaluated the SMI value at L3 on initial CT images from 112 consecutive patients with CRC [78]. In that study, the focus was on patients with stage IV CRC (n = 66), and the risk of mortality was found to be four times greater in patients with myopenia than in those without myopenia (HR 4.0, 95% CI 1.7–9.3, p = 0.001) after adjustment for nutrition status, age, and sex. van Vledder et al. evaluated the total cross-sectional area of skeletal muscle and that of intra-abdominal fat on CT images for 196 patients with CRC and hepatic metastasis [37]. They found that the median survival time was significantly shorter in patients with myopenia

than in those without myopenia (23.8 months vs. 59.8 months) and that preoperative myopenia was an independent prognostic factor for OS in patients with CRC (HR 2.69, 95% CI 167–4.43, p < 0.001). Collectively, this evidence is consistent with that for patients with non-metastatic CRC and suggests that low muscularity occurs in patients with CRC, whether non-metastatic or metastatic.

9. Correlation between Low Muscularity and Systemic Inflammatory Reaction in Patients with CRC

There is now evidence of a close relationship between cancer and inflammation [79,80]. The systemic inflammatory reaction (SIR), which occurs via the tumor–host interaction, has been described as one of the hallmarks of cancer [12,81]. Various markers and combinations thereof, including cellular (whole white cell count, neutrophils, lymphocytes, platelets) and humoral (C-reactive protein [CRP], albumin) components, can be used to assess the degree of SIR, and all of the studies consistently suggest the potential of the SIR as a prognostic marker in CRC [48,82–86].

In contrast, cancer cachexia is a multifactorial metabolic syndrome characterized by weight loss due to sarcopenia, and over half of patients with advanced cancer have accompanying cachexia [87–89]. A series of in vitro and in vivo experiments have demonstrated that proinflammatory cytokines, including interleukins 1 and 6 and tumor necrosis factor, are deeply involved in the pathogenesis of sarcopenia via a mediator of the anorexia and proteolysis of skeletal muscle [90,91]. The findings of further studies have reinforced the notion of this intimate correlation, and it is now recognized that the SIR acts as a pivotal mediator of skeletal muscle depletion via the host–tumor interaction in cancer cachexia [92,93]. The Global Leadership Initiative on Malnutrition, which has reached a global consensus concerning the identification and endorsement of diagnostic criteria for malnutrition, includes SIR as one of its etiologic criteria [94,95]. Therefore, SIRs might be involved in malnutrition in various diseases, including malignancy.

Recent studies have gradually clarified the intimate correlation between SIRs and the dysregulation of the body composition status in malignancy, including CRC. Richards et al. evaluated SMI on preoperative CT images from 174 patients with operable CRC and showed a clear linear relationship between SMI and CRP values (r = -0.21, p = 0.005) and between SMI and albumin values (r = 0.31, p < 0.001) [96]. Maliezis et al. assessed the correlationship between preoperative markers of SIR and skeletal muscle parameters on CT images from 763 patients with CRC [97]. In their study, the severity of the SIR was evaluated by the preoperative neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and the albumin level. They showed that a high preoperative NLR and a low preoperative albumin level were independent risk factors for a reduced lumbar SMI value in patients with CRC (NLR, OR 1.78, 95% CI 1.29–2.45, *p* < 0.001; albumin, OR 1.8, 95% CI 1.17–2.74, *p* = 0.007). Another research group in Glasgow quantified the SMI and skeletal muscle density (SMD) in 650 patients with operable primary CRC to determine the relationship between CT-derived body composition and SIR status measured using the modified Glasgow Prognostic Scale (mGPS) score combined with CRP and albumin levels [98]. That study also found that decreased SMI and SMD values were significantly associated with an elevated mGPS score (SMI, p < 0.001; SMD, p = 0.045) and that each of these factors, namely, a low SMI and high mGPS, was an independent prognostic factor for OS in patients with CRC (low SMI, HR 1.5, 95% CI 1.04–2.18, *p* = 0.031; high mGPS, HR 1.44, 95% CI 1.15–1.79, *p* = 0.001). In a study of the correlations of immune/SIR markers with myopenia and myosteatosis in patients with CRC, Okugawa et al. evaluated the preoperative PMI and IMAC on CT images for 308 patients with CRC [99]. They also quantified preoperative levels of various markers of the SIR, including CRP, albumin, the NLR, the platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio (PLR), the neutrophil-to-platelet score (NPS), the prognostic nutrition index (PNI), and the systemic immune-inflammation index (SII), and assessed the correlation between body composition status and several markers of SIRs in these patients. Interestingly, that study showed a significant correlation between a low PMI and elevated CRP (p < 0.0001), SII (p = 0.0027),

and NPS (p = 0.016), and a decreased LMR (p = 0.039), PNI (p = 0.0001), and albumin level (p = 0.0006). Multivariate analysis identified an elevated CRP level to be an independent risk factor for a low PMI (myopenia, OR 2.49, 95% CI 1.31–4.72, p = 0.005) in patients with CRC. Furthermore, the same study performed propensity score matching to attenuate the selection bias arising from differences in patient characteristics and confirmed a close relationship between elevated CRP and a decreased PMI. Although these studies used different markers of the SIR, their findings indicate an intimate correlation between SIR status and low muscularity in patients with CRC. Considering these findings, the SIR via a host-tumor interaction might be one of the major drivers in the pathogenesis of sarcopenia in various malignancies, including CRC.

10. Discussion

Accumulating evidence suggests that low muscle mass has a negative impact on surgical, chemoradiotherapeutic, and survival outcomes in patents with CRC. To reap clinically meaningful benefits from all the recent advances in treatment, a concerted effort must be made to address several key issues that contribute to low muscularity.

One of the major issues to be resolved is that the studies reported to date have used different methods to assess myopenia and myosteatosis, including different types of body composition index (e.g., SMI, PMI, or IMAC), different levels of assessment (e.g., L3 or L4), and different cut-off values, as shown in Table 1. There are still no definitive criteria for the diagnosis of low muscularity in patients with malignancy, including CRC. There is now an urgent need to establish a global consensus regarding the diagnosis of myopenia and myosteatosis using clinically relevant methods with optimal cut-off values before these indices can be used to devise a therapeutic decision-making strategy that allows personalized treatment and improves outcomes in patients with CRC.

Table 1. Association between body composition status and short-term and long-term postoperative outcomes in patients with colorectal cancer.

Study	Туре	Number of Patients	Type of Body Composition	Assessment Level	Type of Muscularity	Clinical Significance	Reference
Prado, et al. van Vledder, et al.	CRC CRC	250 196	TSM SMI	L3 Caudal End of L3	Myopenia Myopenia	Survival Outcome Survival Outcome	[65] [37]
Lieffers, et al.	CRC	234	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Postoperative Infectious Complication	[35]
Martin, et al.	CRC	1473	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[100]
Sabel, et al.	CC	302	PD, SFD, TBF	L4	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Postoperative Infectious Complication Survival Outcome	[49]
Jung et al. Miyamoto, et al.	CC CRC	229 220	PMI SMI	L4 L3	Myopenia Myopenia	Chemotherapeutic Toxicity Survival Outcome	[55] [66]
Malietzis, et al.	CRC	805	SMI, VAT, SMD	L3	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Survival Outcome	[101]
Boer, et al. Black, et al. Choi, et al.	CC CRC RC	91 339 188	TPA, TAMA SMI SMI	L3 L3 L3	Myopenia Myopenia Myopenia	Postoperative Complication Survival Outcome Survival Outcome	[102] [103] [59]
Sueda, et al.	CRC	211	SMI, SMD	L3	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Survival Outcome	[104]
Womer, et al.	RC	180	TPA	Superior Endplate of L3	Myopenia	Postoperative Complication	[60]
Takeda, et al.	RC	144	SMI	Caudal End of L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[61]
Brown, et al.	CRC	1924	SMA, SMD	L3	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Survival Outcome	[67]
Okugawa, et al.	CRC	308	PMI, IMAC	Superior Aspect of L4	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Postoperative Infectious Complication · Survival Outcome	[70]
McSorley, et al.	CRC	322	VFI, SFI, SMI, TFI	L3	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Survival Outcome	[105]
van Vugt, et al.	CRC	816	SMI, SMD	L3	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Postoperative Complication	[106]
Levolger et al.	RC	122	SMA	L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[58]
Berkel, et al.	RC	99	TAMA	3 Anatomical Levels	Myopenia	Postoperative Complication Survival Outcome	[62]
Dolan, et al.	CRC	650	SMI, SMD	L3	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Survival Outcome	[98]
Hopkins, et al.	CRC	968	SMI, SMD	L3	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Survival Outcome	[107]

Study	Туре	Number of Patients	Type of Body Composition	Assessment Level	Type of Muscularity	Clinical Significance	Reference
Okugawa, et al.	CRC	183	PMI, IMAC	Superior Aspect of L4	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Survival Outcome	[28]
Vashi, et al.	CRC	112	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[78]
Da Cunha, et al.	CRC	72	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[77]
Dolan, et al.	CRC	163	TPI	L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[108]
Sasaki et al.	CRC	249	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Chemotherapeutic Toxicity	[109]
Kurk, et al.	CRC	450	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[110]
Olmez, et al.	CRC	209	SMI	-	Myopenia	Does Not Support Prediction for Postoperative Surgical Site Infection	[47]
Aro, et al.	CRC	348	SMI, SMD	L3	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Postoperative Complication Survival Outcome	[111]
Shirdel, et al.	CRC	974	SMI, SMD	L3	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Survival Outcome	[112]
Xie, et al.	CRC	132	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[113]
Wang, et al.	CRC	400	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[114]
Xiao, et al.	CC	1630	SMI, SMD	L3	Myopenia Myosteatosis	Postoperative Complication Survival Outcome	[115]
Lee, et al.	CRC	214	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[116]
Olmez, et al.	RC	61	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Pathological Response for CRT	[64]
Chai, et al.	CRC	228	SMI	L3	Myopenia	Postoperative Complication Survival Outcome	[117]
Kusunoki, et al.	CRC	471	IMAC, mIMAC	Superior Aspect of L4	Myosteatosis	Postoperative Complication Survival Outcome	[50]
Abe, et al.	RC	225	PMI	L3	Myopenia	Survival Outcome	[63]
Uehara et al.	RC	262	PMI	L3	Myopenia	Postoperative Infectious Complication Survival Outcome	[118]

Table 1. Cont.

CRC: colorectal cancer; CRT: chemoradiotherapy; IMAC: intramuscular adipose tissue content; mIMAC: modified IMAC; PD: psoas density; CC: colon cancer; RC: rectal cancer; SFD: subcutaneous fat distance; SFI: subcutaneous fat index; SMD: skeletal muscle density, SMI: skeletal muscle index; SSI: surgical site infection; TSM: total skeletal muscle; TBF: total body fat; TPA: total psoas area; TAMA: total abdominal muscle area; PMI: psoas muscle index; SMA: skeletal muscle area; TFI: total fat index; TPI: total psoas muscle index; VAT: visceral adipose tissue; VFI: visceral fat index.

Furthermore, when assessing the evidence, we may need to take the biological differences between colon cancer and rectal cancer into consideration. Colon cancer and rectal cancer have traditionally been recognized as clinically equivalent types of cancer for treatment purposes, and almost all of the evidence on myopenia and myosteatosis mentioned in this review comes from patients with either colon cancer or rectal cancer, as described in Table 1. However, a proposed consensus molecular subtypes revealed distinguished features of primary CRC in a location-dependent pattern [119]. Furthermore, additional analysis of clinical trial data has revealed that the effectiveness of molecular targeted agents, such as anti-epidermal growth factor receptor and anti-vascular endothelial growth factor, depends on whether CRC is right-sided or left-sided [120,121]. Considering the evidence for embryological differences between the colon and rectum, it has been gradually recognized that colon cancer and rectal cancer require different treatment strategies. Although several studies have assessed the clinical impact of myopenia and myosteatosis in colon cancer and rectal cancer, there is still not enough evidence in this regard, and further studies are needed to elucidate these issues.

Finally, we need to understand the pathogenesis of the intimate correlations between sarcopenia and oncological outcome, effect of chemoradiotherapy, and perioperative infectious complications in patients with CRC. Several cofounding factors in the cancer-harboring host, including diabetes, cardiovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, aging, frailty, injury, obesity, colitis, and other chronic inflammatory disease, could also be drivers of sarcopenia and could in themselves increase susceptibility to several comorbidities during perioperative and postoperative treatment of CRC. Perioperative infectious complications and adverse events stemming from chemotherapy or radiotherapy could also have a negative effect on the survival outcome in patients with CRC. These cofounding factors in the host could reduce muscularity further as a consequence of the adverse effects of chemoradiotherapy and the progression of cancer and contribute to a poor survival outcome in these patients. In contrast, several factors that can cause sarcopenia

also have a pivotal role in the progression of cancer, and cancer-induced inflammation is a well-known factor in the pathogenesis of both oncogenesis and sarcopenia in patients with malignancy. Sarcopenia-induced factors related to the cancer, host, cancer–host interaction, and sarcopenia itself might be bidirectionally correlated, thereby exacerbating low muscularity and increasing the likelihood of a poor survival outcome in patients with CRC.

11. Conclusions

The evidence presented here indicates that low muscularity is associated with various negative outcomes in patients with CRC. However, there are still no definitive criteria for the diagnosis of myopenia or myosteatosis using CT imaging in patients with malignancy, including CRC. Nevertheless, there is a significant body of literature on exercise and dietary strategies to improve sarcopenia and cachexia with the aim of improving functional quality of life [92,122–127]. One of the potential benefits of the CT assessment of low muscularity is that it would allow for the objective quantification of muscle loss at sequential time points during the treatment of CRC. Multiple CT assessments using optimal cut-off values could allow timely nutritional intervention and exercise therapy to improve outcomes in patients with CRC.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.O. (Yoshinaga Okugawa) and Y.T.; investigation, Y.O. (Yoshinaga Okugawa), T.K., A.Y., T.S., M.K., T.F., I.M., Y.O. (Yoshiki Okita), M.T., T.Y. and M.O.; resources, Y.O. (Yoshinaga Okugawa), T.K., A.Y., T.S., M.K., T.F., I.M., Y.O. (Yoshiki Okita), M.T., T.Y. and M.O.; data curation, T.K., T.S., M.K., T.F., I.M., Y.O. (Yoshiki Okita), M.T., T.Y. and M.O.; data curation, T.K., T.S., M.K., T.F., I.M., Y.O. (Yoshiki Okita), M.T., T.Y. and M.O.; data curation, T.K., T.S., M.K., T.F., I.M., Y.O. (Yoshiki Okita), M.T. and T.Y.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.O. (Yoshinaga Okugawa) and T.K.; writing—review and editing, M.O. and Y.T.; supervision, Y.T.; funding acquisition, Y.O. (Yoshinaga Okugawa) and Y.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported in part by a Grant in Aid for Scientific Research (19K09119, 19K11665) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: None of the authors have any potential conflict to disclose.

References

- Sung, H.; Ferlay, J.; Siegel, R.L.; Laversanne, M.; Soerjomataram, I.; Jemal, A.; Bray, F. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2021, 71, 209–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dent, E.; Morley, J.E.; Cruz-Jentoft, A.J.; Arai, H.; Kritchevsky, S.B.; Guralnik, J.; Bauer, J.M.; Pahor, M.; Clark, B.C.; Cesari, M.; et al. International Clinical Practice Guidelines for Sarcopenia (ICFSR): Screening, Diagnosis and Management. J. Nutr. Health Aging 2018, 22, 1148–1161. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Rosenberg, I.H. Sarcopenia: Origins and clinical relevance. J. Nutr. 1997, 127, 990s–991s. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cederholm, T.; Barazzoni, R.; Austin, P.; Ballmer, P.; Biolo, G.; Bischoff, S.C.; Compher, C.; Correia, I.; Higashiguchi, T.; Holst, M.; et al. ESPEN guidelines on definitions and terminology of clinical nutrition. *Clin. Nutr.* 2017, *36*, 49–64. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cruz-Jentoft, A.J.; Baeyens, J.P.; Bauer, J.M.; Boirie, Y.; Cederholm, T.; Landi, F.; Martin, F.C.; Michel, J.P.; Rolland, Y.; Schneider, S.M.; et al. Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis: Report of the European Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age Ageing* 2010, *39*, 412–423. [CrossRef]
- Morley, J.E.; Abbatecola, A.M.; Argiles, J.M.; Baracos, V.; Bauer, J.; Bhasin, S.; Cederholm, T.; Coats, A.J.; Cummings, S.R.; Evans, W.J.; et al. Sarcopenia with limited mobility: An international consensus. *J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc.* 2011, 12, 403–409. [CrossRef]
- Fielding, R.A.; Vellas, B.; Evans, W.J.; Bhasin, S.; Morley, J.E.; Newman, A.B.; Abellan van Kan, G.; Andrieu, S.; Bauer, J.; Breuille, D.; et al. Sarcopenia: An undiagnosed condition in older adults. Current consensus definition: Prevalence, etiology, and consequences. International working group on sarcopenia. J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc. 2011, 12, 249–256. [CrossRef]
- 8. Chen, L.K.; Lee, W.J.; Peng, L.N.; Liu, L.K.; Arai, H.; Akishita, M. Recent Advances in Sarcopenia Research in Asia: 2016 Update from the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia. *J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc.* 2016, *17*, 767.e1–767.e7. [CrossRef]

- Muscaritoli, M.; Anker, S.D.; Argilés, J.; Aversa, Z.; Bauer, J.M.; Biolo, G.; Boirie, Y.; Bosaeus, I.; Cederholm, T.; Costelli, P.; et al. Consensus definition of sarcopenia, cachexia and pre-cachexia: Joint document elaborated by Special Interest Groups (SIG) "cachexia-anorexia in chronic wasting diseases" and "nutrition in geriatrics". *Clin. Nutr.* 2010, 29, 154–159. [CrossRef]
- 10. Cruz-Jentoft, A.J.; Bahat, G.; Bauer, J.; Boirie, Y.; Bruyère, O.; Cederholm, T.; Cooper, C.; Landi, F.; Rolland, Y.; Sayer, A.A.; et al. Sarcopenia: Revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. *Age Ageing* **2019**, *48*, 16–31. [CrossRef]
- 11. Bowen, T.S.; Schuler, G.; Adams, V. Skeletal muscle wasting in cachexia and sarcopenia: Molecular pathophysiology and impact of exercise training. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2015, *6*, 197–207. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Colotta, F.; Allavena, P.; Sica, A.; Garlanda, C.; Mantovani, A. Cancer-related inflammation, the seventh hallmark of cancer: Links to genetic instability. *Carcinogenesis* **2009**, *30*, 1073–1081. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Argilés, J.M.; Busquets, S.; Toledo, M.; López-Soriano, F.J. The role of cytokines in cancer cachexia. *Curr. Opin. Supportive Palliat. Care* **2009**, *3*, 263–268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Laird, B.J.; McMillan, D.; Skipworth, R.J.E.; Fallon, M.T.; Paval, D.R.; McNeish, I.; Gallagher, I.J. The Emerging Role of Interleukin 1β (IL-1β) in Cancer Cachexia. *Inflammation* 2021, 44, 1223–1228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Scheede-Bergdahl, C.; Watt, H.L.; Trutschnigg, B.; Kilgour, R.D.; Haggarty, A.; Lucar, E.; Vigano, A. Is IL-6 the best proinflammatory biomarker of clinical outcomes of cancer cachexia? *Clin. Nutr.* **2012**, *31*, 85–88. [CrossRef]
- 16. Fearon, K.; Arends, J.; Baracos, V. Understanding the mechanisms and treatment options in cancer cachexia. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* **2013**, *10*, 90–99. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ezeoke, C.C.; Morley, J.E. Pathophysiology of anorexia in the cancer cachexia syndrome. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2015, *6*, 287–302. [CrossRef]
- Johnen, H.; Lin, S.; Kuffner, T.; Brown, D.A.; Tsai, V.W.; Bauskin, A.R.; Wu, L.; Pankhurst, G.; Jiang, L.; Junankar, S.; et al. Tumor-induced anorexia and weight loss are mediated by the TGF-beta superfamily cytokine MIC-1. *Nat. Med.* 2007, 13, 1333–1340. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lerner, L.; Hayes, T.G.; Tao, N.; Krieger, B.; Feng, B.; Wu, Z.; Nicoletti, R.; Chiu, M.I.; Gyuris, J.; Garcia, J.M. Plasma growth differentiation factor 15 is associated with weight loss and mortality in cancer patients. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* **2015**, *6*, 317–324. [CrossRef]
- 20. Li, C.; Wang, X.; Casal, I.; Wang, J.; Li, P.; Zhang, W.; Xu, E.; Lai, M.; Zhang, H. Growth differentiation factor 15 is a promising diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in colorectal cancer. *J. Cell. Mol. Med.* **2016**, *20*, 1420–1426. [CrossRef]
- Suzuki, H.; Mitsunaga, S.; Ikeda, M.; Aoyama, T.; Yoshizawa, K.; Yoshimatsu, H.; Kawai, N.; Masuda, M.; Miura, T.; Ochiai, A. Clinical and Tumor Characteristics of Patients with High Serum Levels of Growth Differentiation Factor 15 in Advanced Pancreatic Cancer. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 4842. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hsu, J.Y.; Crawley, S.; Chen, M.; Ayupova, D.A.; Lindhout, D.A.; Higbee, J.; Kutach, A.; Joo, W.; Gao, Z.; Fu, D.; et al. Non-homeostatic body weight regulation through a brainstem-restricted receptor for GDF15. *Nature* 2017, 550, 255–259. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mullican, S.E.; Lin-Schmidt, X.; Chin, C.N.; Chavez, J.A.; Furman, J.L.; Armstrong, A.A.; Beck, S.C.; South, V.J.; Dinh, T.Q.; Cash-Mason, T.D.; et al. GFRAL is the receptor for GDF15 and the ligand promotes weight loss in mice and nonhuman primates. *Nat. Med.* 2017, 23, 1150–1157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, L.; Chang, C.C.; Sun, Z.; Madsen, D.; Zhu, H.; Padkjær, S.B.; Wu, X.; Huang, T.; Hultman, K.; Paulsen, S.J.; et al. GFRAL is the receptor for GDF15 and is required for the anti-obesity effects of the ligand. *Nat. Med.* 2017, 23, 1158–1166. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Emmerson, P.J.; Wang, F.; Du, Y.; Liu, Q.; Pickard, R.T.; Gonciarz, M.D.; Coskun, T.; Hamang, M.J.; Sindelar, D.K.; Ballman, K.K.; et al. The metabolic effects of GDF15 are mediated by the orphan receptor GFRAL. *Nat. Med.* 2017, 23, 1215–1219. [CrossRef]
- Suriben, R.; Chen, M.; Higbee, J.; Oeffinger, J.; Ventura, R.; Li, B.; Mondal, K.; Gao, Z.; Ayupova, D.; Taskar, P.; et al. Antibodymediated inhibition of GDF15-GFRAL activity reverses cancer cachexia in mice. *Nat. Med.* 2020, 26, 1264–1270. [CrossRef]
- Okugawa, Y.; Yao, L.; Toiyama, Y.; Yamamoto, A.; Shigemori, T.; Yin, C.; Omura, Y.; Ide, S.; Kitajima, T.; Shimura, T.; et al. Prognostic impact of sarcopenia and its correlation with circulating miR-21 in colorectal cancer patients. *Oncol. Rep.* 2018, 39, 1555–1564. [CrossRef]
- Okugawa, Y.; Toiyama, Y.; Hur, K.; Yamamoto, A.; Yin, C.; Ide, S.; Kitajima, T.; Fujikawa, H.; Yasuda, H.; Koike, Y.; et al. Circulating miR-203 derived from metastatic tissues promotes myopenia in colorectal cancer patients. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2019, *10*, 536–548. [CrossRef]
- 29. Cherin, P.; Voronska, E.; Fraoucene, N.; de Jaeger, C. Prevalence of sarcopenia among healthy ambulatory subjects: The sarcopenia begins from 45 years. *Aging Clin. Exp. Res.* **2014**, *26*, 137–146. [CrossRef]
- Shachar, S.S.; Williams, G.R.; Muss, H.B.; Nishijima, T.F. Prognostic value of sarcopenia in adults with solid tumours: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Eur. J. Cancer* 2016, 57, 58–67. [CrossRef]
- Dunne, R.F.; Roussel, B.; Culakova, E.; Pandya, C.; Fleming, F.J.; Hensley, B.; Magnuson, A.M.; Loh, K.P.; Gilles, M.; Ramsdale, E.; et al. Characterizing cancer cachexia in the geriatric oncology population. *J. Geriatr. Oncol.* 2019, 10, 415–419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Broughman, J.R.; Williams, G.R.; Deal, A.M.; Yu, H.; Nyrop, K.A.; Alston, S.M.; Gordon, B.B.; Sanoff, H.K.; Muss, H.B. Prevalence of sarcopenia in older patients with colorectal cancer. *J. Geriatr. Oncol.* **2015**, *6*, 442–445. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 33. Kazemi-Bajestani, S.M.; Mazurak, V.C.; Baracos, V. Computed tomography-defined muscle and fat wasting are associated with cancer clinical outcomes. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2016**, *54*, 2–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rier, H.N.; Jager, A.; Sleijfer, S.; Maier, A.B.; Levin, M.D. The Prevalence and Prognostic Value of Low Muscle Mass in Cancer Patients: A Review of the Literature. *Oncologist* 2016, 21, 1396–1409. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Lieffers, J.R.; Bathe, O.F.; Fassbender, K.; Winget, M.; Baracos, V.E. Sarcopenia is associated with postoperative infection and delayed recovery from colorectal cancer resection surgery. *Br. J. Cancer* **2012**, *107*, 931–936. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Reisinger, K.W.; van Vugt, J.L.; Tegels, J.J.; Snijders, C.; Hulsewé, K.W.; Hoofwijk, A.G.; Stoot, J.H.; Von Meyenfeldt, M.F.; Beets, G.L.; Derikx, J.P.; et al. Functional compromise reflected by sarcopenia, frailty, and nutritional depletion predicts adverse postoperative outcome after colorectal cancer surgery. *Ann. Surg.* 2015, 261, 345–352. [CrossRef]
- 37. Van Vledder, M.G.; Levolger, S.; Ayez, N.; Verhoef, C.; Tran, T.C.; Ijzermans, J.N. Body composition and outcome in patients undergoing resection of colorectal liver metastases. *Br. J. Surg.* **2012**, *99*, 550–557. [CrossRef]
- Peng, P.D.; van Vledder, M.G.; Tsai, S.; de Jong, M.C.; Makary, M.; Ng, J.; Edil, B.H.; Wolfgang, C.L.; Schulick, R.D.; Choti, M.A.; et al. Sarcopenia negatively impacts short-term outcomes in patients undergoing hepatic resection for colorectal liver metastasis. *HPB Off. J. Int. Hepato Pancreato Biliary Assoc.* 2011, 13, 439–446. [CrossRef]
- Huang, D.D.; Wang, S.L.; Zhuang, C.L.; Zheng, B.S.; Lu, J.X.; Chen, F.F.; Zhou, C.J.; Shen, X.; Yu, Z. Sarcopenia, as defined by low muscle mass, strength and physical performance, predicts complications after surgery for colorectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis. Off. J. Assoc. Coloproctology Great Br. Irel.* 2015, 17, O256–O264. [CrossRef]
- 40. Badia, J.M.; Casey, A.L.; Petrosillo, N.; Hudson, P.M.; Mitchell, S.A.; Crosby, C. Impact of surgical site infection on healthcare costs and patient outcomes: A systematic review in six European countries. *J. Hosp. Infect.* **2017**, *96*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Artinyan, A.; Orcutt, S.T.; Anaya, D.A.; Richardson, P.; Chen, G.J.; Berger, D.H. Infectious postoperative complications decrease long-term survival in patients undergoing curative surgery for colorectal cancer: A study of 12,075 patients. *Ann. Surg.* 2015, 261, 497–505. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Klaver, C.E.L.; Wasmann, K.; Verstegen, M.; van der Bilt, J.D.W.; Nagtegaal, I.D.; van Ramshorst, B.; Tanis, P.J.; Wolthuis, A.M.; van Santvoort, H.C.; de Wilt, J.H.W.; et al. Postoperative abdominal infections after resection of T4 colon cancer increase the risk of intra-abdominal recurrence. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol. J. Eur. Soc. Surg. Oncol. Br. Assoc. Surg. Oncol.* 2018, 44, 1880–1888. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Akabane, S.; Egi, H.; Takakura, Y.; Sada, H.; Kochi, M.; Taguchi, K.; Nakashima, I.; Sumi, Y.; Sato, K.; Yoshinaka, H.; et al. The prognostic value of organ/space surgical site infection in stage I colorectal cancer recurrence. *Int. J. Colorectal Dis.* 2020, 35, 1689–1694. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Lawler, J.; Choynowski, M.; Bailey, K.; Bucholc, M.; Johnston, A.; Sugrue, M. Meta-analysis of the impact of postoperative infective complications on oncological outcomes in colorectal cancer surgery. *BJS Open* **2020**, *4*, 737–747. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moyes, L.H.; Leitch, E.F.; McKee, R.F.; Anderson, J.H.; Horgan, P.G.; McMillan, D.C. Preoperative systemic inflammation predicts postoperative infectious complications in patients undergoing curative resection for colorectal cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 2009, 100, 1236–1239. [CrossRef]
- Toiyama, Y.; Shimura, T.; Yasuda, H.; Fujikawa, H.; Okita, Y.; Kobayashi, M.; Ohi, M.; Yoshiyama, S.; Hiro, J.; Araki, T.; et al. Clinical Burden of C-Reactive Protein/Albumin Ratio Before Curative Surgery for Patients with Gastric Cancer. *Anticancer Res.* 2016, 36, 6491–6498. [CrossRef]
- Olmez, T.; Karakose, E.; Keklikkiran, Z.Z.; Ofluoglu, C.B.; Bas, T.; Uzun, O.; Duman, M.; Polat, E. Relationship between Sarcopenia and Surgical Site Infection in Patients Undergoing Colorectal Cancer Surgical Procedures. *Surg. Infect.* 2020, 21, 451–456. [CrossRef]
- Okugawa, Y.; Toiyama, Y.; Yamamoto, A.; Shigemori, T.; Ide, S.; Kitajima, T.; Fujikawa, H.; Yasuda, H.; Hiro, J.; Yoshiyama, S.; et al. Lymphocyte-C-reactive Protein Ratio as Promising New Marker for Predicting Surgical and Oncological Outcomes in Colorectal Cancer. *Ann. Surg.* 2020, 272, 342–351. [CrossRef]
- Sabel, M.S.; Terjimanian, M.; Conlon, A.S.; Griffith, K.A.; Morris, A.M.; Mulholland, M.W.; Englesbe, M.J.; Holcombe, S.; Wang, S.C. Analytic morphometric assessment of patients undergoing colectomy for colon cancer. *J. Surg. Oncol.* 2013, 108, 169–175. [CrossRef]
- 50. Kusunoki, Y.; Okugawa, Y.; Toiyama, Y.; Kusunoki, K.; Ichikawa, T.; Ide, S.; Shimura, T.; Kitajima, T.; Imaoka, H.; Fujikawa, H.; et al. Modified intramuscular adipose tissue content as a feasible surrogate marker for malnutrition in gastrointestinal cancer. *Clin. Nutr.* **2021**, *40*, 2640–2653. [CrossRef]
- Trejo-Avila, M.; Bozada-Gutiérrez, K.; Valenzuela-Salazar, C.; Herrera-Esquivel, J.; Moreno-Portillo, M. Sarcopenia predicts worse postoperative outcomes and decreased survival rates in patients with colorectal cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Colorectal Dis.* 2021, 36, 1077–1096. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Prado, C.M.; Antoun, S.; Sawyer, M.B.; Baracos, V.E. Two faces of drug therapy in cancer: Drug-related lean tissue loss and its adverse consequences to survival and toxicity. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* **2011**, *14*, 250–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Prado, C.M.; Baracos, V.E.; McCargar, L.J.; Reiman, T.; Mourtzakis, M.; Tonkin, K.; Mackey, J.R.; Koski, S.; Pituskin, E.; Sawyer, M.B. Sarcopenia as a determinant of chemotherapy toxicity and time to tumor progression in metastatic breast cancer patients receiving capecitabine treatment. *Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* 2009, 15, 2920–2926. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Antoun, S.; Baracos, V.E.; Birdsell, L.; Escudier, B.; Sawyer, M.B. Low body mass index and sarcopenia associated with doselimiting toxicity of sorafenib in patients with renal cell carcinoma. *Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol.* 2010, 21, 1594–1598. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jung, H.W.; Kim, J.W.; Kim, J.Y.; Kim, S.W.; Yang, H.K.; Lee, J.W.; Lee, K.W.; Kim, D.W.; Kang, S.B.; Kim, K.I.; et al. Effect of muscle mass on toxicity and survival in patients with colon cancer undergoing adjuvant chemotherapy. *Supportive Care Cancer Off. J. Multinatl. Assoc. Supportive Care Cancer* 2015, 23, 687–694. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Cousin, S.; Hollebecque, A.; Koscielny, S.; Mir, O.; Varga, A.; Baracos, V.E.; Soria, J.C.; Antoun, S. Low skeletal muscle is associated with toxicity in patients included in phase I trials. *Investig. New Drugs* **2014**, *32*, 382–387. [CrossRef]
- Prado, C.M.; Baracos, V.E.; McCargar, L.J.; Mourtzakis, M.; Mulder, K.E.; Reiman, T.; Butts, C.A.; Scarfe, A.G.; Sawyer, M.B. Body composition as an independent determinant of 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy toxicity. *Clin. Cancer Res. Off. J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res.* 2007, 13, 3264–3268. [CrossRef]
- 58. Levolger, S.; van Vledder, M.G.; Alberda, W.J.; Verhoef, C.; de Bruin, R.W.F.; JNM, I.J.; Burger, J.W. Muscle wasting and survival following pre-operative chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal carcinoma. *Clin. Nutr.* **2018**, *37*, 1728–1735. [CrossRef]
- 59. Choi, M.H.; Oh, S.N.; Lee, I.K.; Oh, S.T.; Won, D.D. Sarcopenia is negatively associated with long-term outcomes in locally advanced rectal cancer. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2018, *9*, 53–59. [CrossRef]
- Womer, A.L.; Brady, J.T.; Kalisz, K.; Patel, N.D.; Paspulati, R.M.; Reynolds, H.L.; Pawlik, T.M.; Steele, S.R. Do psoas muscle area and volume correlate with postoperative complications in patients undergoing rectal cancer resection? *Am. J. Surg.* 2018, 215, 503–506. [CrossRef]
- Takeda, Y.; Akiyoshi, T.; Matsueda, K.; Fukuoka, H.; Ogura, A.; Miki, H.; Hiyoshi, Y.; Nagasaki, T.; Konishi, T.; Fujimoto, Y.; et al. Skeletal muscle loss is an independent negative prognostic factor in patients with advanced lower rectal cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. *PLoS ONE* 2018, 13, e0195406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Berkel, A.E.M.; Klaase, J.M.; de Graaff, F.; Brusse-Keizer, M.G.J.; Bongers, B.C.; van Meeteren, N.L.U. Patient's Skeletal Muscle Radiation Attenuation and Sarcopenic Obesity are Associated with Postoperative Morbidity after Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation and Resection for Rectal Cancer. *Dig. Surg.* 2019, *36*, 376–383. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Abe, S.; Nozawa, H.; Kawai, K.; Sasaki, K.; Murono, K.; Emoto, S.; Kishikawa, J.; Ozawa, T.; Yokoyama, Y.; Nagai, Y.; et al. Poor nutrition and sarcopenia are related to systemic inflammatory response in patients with rectal cancer undergoing preoperative chemoradiotherapy. *Int. J. Colorectal Dis.* 2022, *37*, 189–200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Olmez, T.; Ofluoglu, C.B.; Sert, O.Z.; Keser, S.H.; Gulmez, S.; Senger, A.S.; Uzun, O.; Duman, M.; Polat, E. The impact of sarcopenia on pathologic complete response following neoadjuvant chemoradiation in rectal cancer. *Langenbeck's Arch. Surg.* 2020, 405, 1131–1138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Prado, C.M.; Lieffers, J.R.; McCargar, L.J.; Reiman, T.; Sawyer, M.B.; Martin, L.; Baracos, V.E. Prevalence and clinical implications of sarcopenic obesity in patients with solid tumours of the respiratory and gastrointestinal tracts: A population-based study. *Lancet Oncol.* **2008**, *9*, 629–635. [CrossRef]
- Miyamoto, Y.; Baba, Y.; Sakamoto, Y.; Ohuchi, M.; Tokunaga, R.; Kurashige, J.; Hiyoshi, Y.; Iwagami, S.; Yoshida, N.; Yoshida, M.; et al. Sarcopenia is a Negative Prognostic Factor After Curative Resection of Colorectal Cancer. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2015, 22, 2663–2668. [CrossRef]
- 67. Brown, J.C.; Caan, B.J.; Meyerhardt, J.A.; Weltzien, E.; Xiao, J.; Cespedes Feliciano, E.M.; Kroenke, C.H.; Castillo, A.; Kwan, M.L.; Prado, C.M. The deterioration of muscle mass and radiodensity is prognostic of poor survival in stage I–III colorectal cancer: A population-based cohort study (C-SCANS). *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2018, 9, 664–672. [CrossRef]
- 68. Sun, G.; Li, Y.; Peng, Y.; Lu, D.; Zhang, F.; Cui, X.; Zhang, Q.; Li, Z. Can sarcopenia be a predictor of prognosis for patients with non-metastatic colorectal cancer? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Colorectal Dis.* **2018**, *33*, 1419–1427. [CrossRef]
- 69. Park, Y.S.; Kim, J.W.; Kim, B.G.; Lee, K.L.; Lee, J.K.; Kim, J.S.; Koh, S.J. Sarcopenia is associated with an increased risk of advanced colorectal neoplasia. *Int. J. Colorectal Dis.* **2017**, *32*, 557–565. [CrossRef]
- Okugawa, Y.; Toiyama, Y.; Yamamoto, A.; Shigemori, T.; Yin, C.; Narumi, A.; Omura, Y.; Ide, S.; Kitajima, T.; Fujikawa, H.; et al. Clinical Impact of Muscle Quantity and Quality in Colorectal Cancer Patients: A Propensity Score Matching Analysis. *JPEN J. Parenter. Enter. Nutr.* 2018, 42, 1322–1333. [CrossRef]
- 71. Waning, D.L.; Mohammad, K.S.; Reiken, S.; Xie, W.; Andersson, D.C.; John, S.; Chiechi, A.; Wright, L.E.; Umanskaya, A.; Niewolna, M.; et al. Excess TGF-β mediates muscle weakness associated with bone metastases in mice. *Nat. Med.* 2015, 21, 1262–1271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Becker, A.; Thakur, B.K.; Weiss, J.M.; Kim, H.S.; Peinado, H.; Lyden, D. Extracellular Vesicles in Cancer: Cell-to-Cell Mediators of Metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 2016, *30*, 836–848. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. McAllister, S.S.; Weinberg, R.A. The tumour-induced systemic environment as a critical regulator of cancer progression and metastasis. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2014**, *16*, 717–727. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Toiyama, Y.; Takahashi, M.; Hur, K.; Nagasaka, T.; Tanaka, K.; Inoue, Y.; Kusunoki, M.; Boland, C.R.; Goel, A. Serum miR-21 as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in colorectal cancer. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **2013**, *105*, 849–859. [CrossRef]
- Yamada, A.; Horimatsu, T.; Okugawa, Y.; Nishida, N.; Honjo, H.; Ida, H.; Kou, T.; Kusaka, T.; Sasaki, Y.; Yagi, M.; et al. Serum miR-21, miR-29a, and miR-125b Are Promising Biomarkers for the Early Detection of Colorectal Neoplasia. *Clin. Cancer Res. Off.* J. Am. Assoc. Cancer Res. 2015, 21, 4234–4242. [CrossRef]

- 76. He, W.A.; Calore, F.; Londhe, P.; Canella, A.; Guttridge, D.C.; Croce, C.M. Microvesicles containing miRNAs promote muscle cell death in cancer cachexia via TLR7. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2014, *111*, 4525–4529. [CrossRef]
- Da Cunha, L.P.; Silveira, M.N.; Mendes, M.C.S.; Costa, F.O.; Macedo, L.T.; de Siqueira, N.S.; Carvalheira, J.B.C. Sarcopenia as an independent prognostic factor in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: A retrospective evaluation. *Clin. Nutr. ESPEN* 2019, 32, 107–112. [CrossRef]
- Vashi, P.G.; Gorsuch, K.; Wan, L.; Hill, D.; Block, C.; Gupta, D. Sarcopenia supersedes subjective global assessment as a predictor of survival in colorectal cancer. *PLoS ONE* 2019, 14, e0218761. [CrossRef]
- 79. Balkwill, F.; Mantovani, A. Inflammation and cancer: Back to Virchow? Lancet 2001, 357, 539–545. [CrossRef]
- 80. Coussens, L.M.; Werb, Z. Inflammation and cancer. Nature 2002, 420, 860–867. [CrossRef]
- 81. Hanahan, D.; Weinberg, R.A. Hallmarks of cancer: The next generation. Cell 2011, 144, 646–674. [CrossRef]
- Chiang, S.F.; Hung, H.Y.; Tang, R.; Changchien, C.R.; Chen, J.S.; You, Y.T.; Chiang, J.M.; Lin, J.R. Can neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio predict the survival of colorectal cancer patients who have received curative surgery electively? *Int. J. Colorectal Dis.* 2012, 27, 1347–1357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- He, W.; Yin, C.; Guo, G.; Jiang, C.; Wang, F.; Qiu, H.; Chen, X.; Rong, R.; Zhang, B.; Xia, L. Initial neutrophil lymphocyte ratio is superior to platelet lymphocyte ratio as an adverse prognostic and predictive factor in metastatic colorectal cancer. *Med. Oncol.* 2013, 30, 439. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koike, Y.; Miki, C.; Okugawa, Y.; Yokoe, T.; Toiyama, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Inoue, Y.; Kusunoki, M. Preoperative C-reactive protein as a prognostic and therapeutic marker for colorectal cancer. J. Surg. Oncol. 2008, 98, 540–544. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Ishizuka, M.; Nagata, H.; Takagi, K.; Horie, T.; Kubota, K. Inflammation-based prognostic score is a novel predictor of postoperative outcome in patients with colorectal cancer. *Ann. Surg.* **2007**, *246*, 1047–1051. [CrossRef]
- Okugawa, Y.; Toiyama, Y.; Fujikawa, H.; Ide, S.; Yamamoto, A.; Omura, Y.; Yin, C.; Kusunoki, K.; Kusunoki, Y.; Yasuda, H.; et al. Prognostic Potential of Lymphocyte-C-Reactive Protein Ratio in Patients with Rectal Cancer Receiving Preoperative Chemoradiotherapy. J. Gastrointest. Surg. Off. J. Soc. Surg. Aliment. Tract 2021, 25, 492–502. [CrossRef]
- Fearon, K.; Strasser, F.; Anker, S.D.; Bosaeus, I.; Bruera, E.; Fainsinger, R.L.; Jatoi, A.; Loprinzi, C.; MacDonald, N.; Mantovani, G.; et al. Definition and classification of cancer cachexia: An international consensus. *Lancet Oncol.* 2011, 12, 489–495. [CrossRef]
- Argilés, J.M.; Busquets, S.; Stemmler, B.; López-Soriano, F.J. Cancer cachexia: Understanding the molecular basis. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2014, 14, 754–762. [CrossRef]
- 89. von Haehling, S.; Anker, S.D. Prevalence, incidence and clinical impact of cachexia: Facts and numbers-update 2014. J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2014, 5, 261–263. [CrossRef]
- 90. Plata-Salamán, C.R. Central nervous system mechanisms contributing to the cachexia-anorexia syndrome. *Nutrition* **2000**, *16*, 1009–1012. [CrossRef]
- Enomoto, A.; Rho, M.C.; Fukami, A.; Hiraku, O.; Komiyama, K.; Hayashi, M. Suppression of cancer cachexia by 20S,21-epoxyresibufogenin-3-acetate-a novel nonpeptide IL-6 receptor antagonist. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2004, 323, 1096–1102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Shirai, Y.; Okugawa, Y.; Hishida, A.; Ogawa, A.; Okamoto, K.; Shintani, M.; Morimoto, Y.; Nishikawa, R.; Yokoe, T.; Tanaka, K.; et al. Fish oil-enriched nutrition combined with systemic chemotherapy for gastrointestinal cancer patients with cancer cachexia. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 4826. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Argilés, J.M.; López-Soriano, F.J.; Busquets, S. Mediators of cachexia in cancer patients. Nutrition 2019, 66, 11–15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cederholm, T.; Jensen, G.L.; Correia, M.; Gonzalez, M.C.; Fukushima, R.; Higashiguchi, T.; Baptista, G.; Barazzoni, R.; Blaauw, R.; Coats, A.; et al. GLIM criteria for the diagnosis of malnutrition—A consensus report from the global clinical nutrition community. *Clin. Nutr.* 2019, 38, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 95. Jensen, G.L.; Cederholm, T.; Correia, M.; Gonzalez, M.C.; Fukushima, R.; Higashiguchi, T.; de Baptista, G.A.; Barazzoni, R.; Blaauw, R.; Coats, A.J.S.; et al. GLIM Criteria for the Diagnosis of Malnutrition: A Consensus Report from the Global Clinical Nutrition Community. JPEN J. Parenter. Enter. Nutr. 2019, 43, 32–40. [CrossRef]
- Richards, C.H.; Roxburgh, C.S.; MacMillan, M.T.; Isswiasi, S.; Robertson, E.G.; Guthrie, G.K.; Horgan, P.G.; McMillan, D.C. The relationships between body composition and the systemic inflammatory response in patients with primary operable colorectal cancer. *PLoS ONE* 2012, 7, e41883. [CrossRef]
- Malietzis, G.; Johns, N.; Al-Hassi, H.O.; Knight, S.C.; Kennedy, R.H.; Fearon, K.C.; Aziz, O.; Jenkins, J.T. Low Muscularity and Myosteatosis Is Related to the Host Systemic Inflammatory Response in Patients Undergoing Surgery for Colorectal Cancer. *Ann.* Surg. 2016, 263, 320–325. [CrossRef]
- Dolan, R.D.; Almasaudi, A.S.; Dieu, L.B.; Horgan, P.G.; McSorley, S.T.; McMillan, D.C. The relationship between computed tomography-derived body composition, systemic inflammatory response, and survival in patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer. J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2019, 10, 111–122. [CrossRef]
- Okugawa, Y.; Toiyama, Y.; Yamamoto, A.; Shigemori, T.; Kitamura, A.; Ichikawa, T.; Ide, S.; Kitajima, T.; Fujikawa, H.; Yasuda, H.; et al. Close Relationship Between Immunological/Inflammatory Markers and Myopenia and Myosteatosis in Patients with Colorectal Cancer: A Propensity Score Matching Analysis. *JPEN J. Parenter. Enter. Nutr.* 2019, 43, 508–515. [CrossRef]

- Martin, L.; Birdsell, L.; Macdonald, N.; Reiman, T.; Clandinin, M.T.; McCargar, L.J.; Murphy, R.; Ghosh, S.; Sawyer, M.B.; Baracos, V.E. Cancer cachexia in the age of obesity: Skeletal muscle depletion is a powerful prognostic factor, independent of body mass index. J. Clin. Oncol. Off. J. Am. Soc. Clin. Oncol. 2013, 31, 1539–1547. [CrossRef]
- 101. Malietzis, G.; Currie, A.C.; Athanasiou, T.; Johns, N.; Anyamene, N.; Glynne-Jones, R.; Kennedy, R.H.; Fearon, K.C.; Jenkins, J.T. Influence of body composition profile on outcomes following colorectal cancer surgery. *Br. J. Surg.* 2016, 103, 572–580. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 102. Boer, B.C.; de Graaff, F.; Brusse-Keizer, M.; Bouman, D.E.; Slump, C.H.; Slee-Valentijn, M.; Klaase, J.M. Skeletal muscle mass and quality as risk factors for postoperative outcome after open colon resection for cancer. *Int. J. Colorectal Dis.* 2016, *31*, 1117–1124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Black, D.; Mackay, C.; Ramsay, G.; Hamoodi, Z.; Nanthakumaran, S.; Park, K.G.M.; Loudon, M.A.; Richards, C.H. Prognostic Value of Computed Tomography: Measured Parameters of Body Composition in Primary Operable Gastrointestinal Cancers. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2017, 24, 2241–2251. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 104. Sueda, T.; Takahasi, H.; Nishimura, J.; Hata, T.; Matsuda, C.; Mizushima, T.; Doki, Y.; Mori, M. Impact of Low Muscularity and Myosteatosis on Long-term Outcome After Curative Colorectal Cancer Surgery: A Propensity Score-Matched Analysis. *Dis. Colon Rectum* 2018, 61, 364–374. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- McSorley, S.T.; Black, D.H.; Horgan, P.G.; McMillan, D.C. The relationship between tumour stage, systemic inflammation, body composition and survival in patients with colorectal cancer. *Clin. Nutr.* 2018, 37, 1279–1285. [CrossRef]
- 106. Van Vugt, J.L.A.; Coebergh van den Braak, R.R.J.; Lalmahomed, Z.S.; Vrijland, W.W.; Dekker, J.W.T.; Zimmerman, D.D.E.; Vles, W.J.; Coene, P.L.O.; JNM, I.J. Impact of low skeletal muscle mass and density on short and long-term outcome after resection of stage I–III colorectal cancer. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol. J. Eur. Soc. Surg. Oncol. Br. Assoc. Surg. Oncol.* 2018, 44, 1354–1360. [CrossRef]
- 107. Hopkins, J.J.; Reif, R.L.; Bigam, D.L.; Baracos, V.E.; Eurich, D.T.; Sawyer, M.B. The Impact of Muscle and Adipose Tissue on Long-term Survival in Patients with Stage I to III Colorectal Cancer. *Dis. Colon Rectum* **2019**, *62*, 549–560. [CrossRef]
- Dolan, D.R.; Knight, K.A.; Maguire, S.; Moug, S.J. The relationship between sarcopenia and survival at 1 year in patients having elective colorectal cancer surgery. *Tech. Coloproctol.* 2019, 23, 877–885. [CrossRef]
- 109. Sasaki, S.; Oki, E.; Saeki, H.; Shimose, T.; Sakamoto, S.; Hu, Q.; Kudo, K.; Tsuda, Y.; Nakashima, Y.; Ando, K.; et al. Skeletal muscle loss during systemic chemotherapy for colorectal cancer indicates treatment response: A pooled analysis of a multicenter clinical trial (KSCC 1605-A). *Int. J. Clin. Oncol.* 2019, 24, 1204–1213. [CrossRef]
- 110. Kurk, S.A.; Peeters, P.H.M.; Dorresteijn, B.; de Jong, P.A.; Jourdan, M.; Creemers, G.M.; Erdkamp, F.L.G.; de Jongh, F.E.; Kint, P.A.M.; Poppema, B.J.; et al. Loss of skeletal muscle index and survival in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: Secondary analysis of the phase 3 CAIRO3 trial. *Cancer Med.* 2020, *9*, 1033–1043. [CrossRef]
- 111. Aro, R.; Mäkäräinen-Uhlbäck, E.; Ämmälä, N.; Rautio, T.; Ohtonen, P.; Saarnio, J.; Meriläinen, S. The impact of sarcopenia and myosteatosis on postoperative outcomes and 5-year survival in curatively operated colorectal cancer patients—A retrospective register study. *Eur. J. Surg. Oncol. J. Eur. Soc. Surg. Oncol. Br. Assoc. Surg. Oncol.* 2020, 46, 1656–1662. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Shirdel, M.; Andersson, F.; Myte, R.; Axelsson, J.; Rutegård, M.; Blomqvist, L.; Riklund, K.; van Guelpen, B.; Palmqvist, R.; Gylling, B. Body composition measured by computed tomography is associated with colorectal cancer survival, also in early-stage disease. *Acta Oncol.* 2020, 59, 799–808. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Xie, H.; Gong, Y.; Kuang, J.; Yan, L.; Ruan, G.; Tang, S.; Gao, F.; Gan, J. Computed Tomography-Determined Sarcopenia Is a Useful Imaging Biomarker for Predicting Postoperative Outcomes in Elderly Colorectal Cancer Patients. *Cancer Res. Treat.* 2020, 52, 957–972. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 114. Wang, S.; Xie, H.; Gong, Y.; Kuang, J.; Yan, L.; Ruan, G.; Gao, F.; Gan, J. The value of L3 skeletal muscle index in evaluating preoperative nutritional risk and long-term prognosis in colorectal cancer patients. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 8153. [CrossRef]
- 115. Xiao, J.; Caan, B.J.; Cespedes Feliciano, E.M.; Meyerhardt, J.A.; Peng, P.D.; Baracos, V.E.; Lee, V.S.; Ely, S.; Gologorsky, R.C.; Weltzien, E.; et al. Association of Low Muscle Mass and Low Muscle Radiodensity with Morbidity and Mortality for Colon Cancer Surgery. JAMA Surg. 2020, 155, 942–949. [CrossRef]
- Lee, C.S.; Won, D.D.; Oh, S.N.; Lee, Y.S.; Lee, I.K.; Kim, I.H.; Choi, M.H.; Oh, S.T. Prognostic role of pre-sarcopenia and body composition with long-term outcomes in obstructive colorectal cancer: A retrospective cohort study. *World J. Surg. Oncol.* 2020, 18, 230. [CrossRef]
- 117. Chai, V.W.; Chia, M.; Cocco, A.; Bhamidipaty, M.; D'Souza, B. Sarcopenia is a strong predictive factor of clinical and oncological outcomes following curative colorectal cancer resection. *ANZ J. Surg.* **2021**, *91*, E292–E297. [CrossRef]
- 118. Uehara, H.; Yamazaki, T.; Iwaya, A.; Kameyama, H.; Utsumi, S.; Harada, R.; Komatsu, M.; Hirai, M.; Kubota, A.; Katada, T.; et al. Is radiological psoas muscle area measurement a predictor of postoperative complications after rectal resection for rectal cancer? A retrospective study. *Surg. Today* 2022, *52*, 306–315. [CrossRef]
- 119. Guinney, J.; Dienstmann, R.; Wang, X.; de Reyniès, A.; Schlicker, A.; Soneson, C.; Marisa, L.; Roepman, P.; Nyamundanda, G.; Angelino, P.; et al. The consensus molecular subtypes of colorectal cancer. *Nat. Med.* **2015**, *21*, 1350–1356. [CrossRef]
- 120. Venook, A.P.; Niedzwiecki, D.; Lenz, H.J.; Innocenti, F.; Fruth, B.; Meyerhardt, J.A.; Schrag, D.; Greene, C.; O'Neil, B.H.; Atkins, J.N.; et al. Effect of First-Line Chemotherapy Combined with Cetuximab or Bevacizumab on Overall Survival in Patients with KRAS Wild-Type Advanced or Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2017, 317, 2392–2401. [CrossRef]

- 121. Yoshino, T.; Argilés, G.; Oki, E.; Martinelli, E.; Taniguchi, H.; Arnold, D.; Mishima, S.; Li, Y.; Smruti, B.K.; Ahn, J.B.; et al. Pan-Asian adapted ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for the diagnosis treatment and follow-up of patients with localised colon cancer. Ann. Oncol. Off. J. Eur. Soc. Med. Oncol. 2021, 32, 1496–1510. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 122. Beaudart, C.; Dawson, A.; Shaw, S.C.; Harvey, N.C.; Kanis, J.A.; Binkley, N.; Reginster, J.Y.; Chapurlat, R.; Chan, D.C.; Bruyère, O.; et al. Nutrition and physical activity in the prevention and treatment of sarcopenia: Systematic review. *Osteoporos. Int.* 2017, 28, 1817–1833. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 123. Montero-Fernández, N.; Serra-Rexach, J.A. Role of exercise on sarcopenia in the elderly. *Eur. J. Phys. Rehabil. Med.* 2013, 49, 131–143. [PubMed]
- Bullo, V.; Bergamin, M.; Gobbo, S.; Sieverdes, J.C.; Zaccaria, M.; Neunhaeuserer, D.; Ermolao, A. The effects of Pilates exercise training on physical fitness and wellbeing in the elderly: A systematic review for future exercise prescription. *Prev. Med.* 2015, 75, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. Allan, J.; Buss, L.A.; Draper, N.; Currie, M.J. Exercise in People with Cancer: A Spotlight on Energy Regulation and Cachexia. *Front. Physiol.* **2022**, *13*, 836804. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Gunadi, J.W.; Welliangan, A.S.; Soetadji, R.S.; Jasaputra, D.K.; Lesmana, R. The Role of Autophagy Modulated by Exercise in Cancer Cachexia. *Life* **2021**, *11*, 781. [CrossRef]
- 127. Okugawa, Y.; Shirai, Y.; Toiyama, Y.; Saigusa, S.; Hishida, A.; Yokoe, T.; Tanaka, K.; Tanaka, M.; Yasuda, H.; Fujikawa, H.; et al. Clinical Burden of Modified Glasgow Prognostic Scale in Colorectal Cancer. *Anticancer Res.* **2018**, *38*, 1599–1610. [CrossRef]