# Efficacious Enactment of Ilizarov for Mangled Forearm: A Case Report on Our Resolution for the Revitalization of a "Nearly Lost Limb"

Manish Prasad<sup>1</sup>, Rajiv Kaul<sup>2</sup>, Kamparsh Thakur<sup>3</sup>, Tirthankar Das Gupta<sup>4</sup>, Amir Ratna Shakya<sup>5</sup>

## Learning Point of the Article:

Mangled extremity leads to devastating consequences for the patient with subsequent possibility of loss of limb. Early salvage of the limb with multidisciplinary approach with Ilizarov fixation and meticulous observation can provide an excellent and satisfying outcome.

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Mangled is the evocative term for a grievance caused by crushing, resulting in a limb confiscation. Motor vehicle crashes and industrial/farm accidents are the leading causes of such occurrences. The verdict to reconstruct or to amputate still commonly lies with the surgical skills and experience of the treating surgeon.

**Case Report:** We present a case of 33-year-old male military personnel involved in a road traffic accident who presented to our center with a mangled forearm with segmental fracture radius and fracture shaft of ulna with vascular injury. He was immediately taken up for early debridement, intramedullary fixation, vascular repair, and temporizing skin graft. After definitive soft-tissue flap coverage and a period of convalescence, the patient underwent Ilizarov external fixator application with successful rehabilitation. The patient achieved pain-free limb with functional range of motions of both elbow and wrist with functional capabilities of basic needs and activities of daily living.

**Conclusion:** The combination of osseous, vascular, soft-tissue, and nerve injury after severe trauma to an extremity is a great challenge in the mangled extremity. However, in young patients without significant systemic involvement and borderline injury scores, limb salvage should be attempted.

Keywords: Mangled forearm, Ilizarov, mangled extremity, vascular injury, crush injury forearm, circular fixator, multidisciplinary approach.

## Introduction

The modern era is witnessing a rise in road traffic accidents (RTAs) and as a result the injuries as well. As most of these injuries are caused by high-energy trauma, the incidence of mangled extremity has also increased exponentially. Historically mangled extremities were generally treated with a primary amputation. However, as a consequence of the advancement of surgical repair and reconstruction techniques, they can now be salvaged by multidisciplinary approach. Due to the absence of widely acceptable protocols, the decision to salvage or to amputate still commonly lies within the surgical judgment and

experience of the treating surgeon.

#### **Case Report**

A 33-year-old male, right-hand dominant soldier, was airlifted to our center with a Grade IIIC Gustilo Anderson injury of left forearm with extensive degloving injury following RTA after initial first aid at another center within a time span of 3 h. On evaluation, the patient was found to be hypotensive with ongoing blood loss from injury site. Immediate crystalloid resuscitation was initiated and cross-matched blood was requisitioned while



DOI: https://doi.org/10.13107/jocr.2023.v13.i09.3900

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-ncsa/4.0/, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms





**Figure 1:** Pre-operative clinical picture and X-ray/intraoperative picture.

the patient was promptly shifted to the operation theater. Mangled extremity severity score (MESS) was calculated to be 8 points (age - 1, moderate ischemia - 2, transient hypotension -1, and skeletal and soft-tissue injury - 4). Radiograph of forearm revealed peri-implant fracture shaft radius and ulna at the proximal ends of the plates with a distal radius fracture (Fig. 1). He had sustained fracture both bone forearm of the same limb 3 years back and was managed with plate osteosynthesis with complete functional recovery.

Exploration done under general anesthesia revealed transection of ulnar artery at the level of proximal third forearm with severe crush injury to the superficial and deep flexor compartments and near circumferential devitalization of the skin; however, all major nerves were found to be in continuity. On table, decision to salvage the limb was asserted and planned for two-stage procedure. In the first stage, damage control principles were applied and the patient underwent removal of the plates of the previous surgery, thorough debridement, skeletal stabilization with elastic intra-medullary nails, and K-wires followed by ulnar artery repair and limb revascularization. Soft-tissue coverage was done with temporizing split skin graft from contralateral



**Figure 2:** 7-month post-operative with non-union of both radius and ulna and malunion of distal radius with implant in situ and discharging sinus.

thigh. The limb was protected with a posterior slab.

Subsequently 2-week post-operative, the patient went on to develop an area of flap necrosis over dorsolateral aspect of proximal forearm which was managed with debridement and inferiorly based pedicled abdominal flap. Flap division was done after 4 weeks by the plastic surgery team. After 5 months, allowing soft-tissue sufficient time to heal, the patient had wellhealed flap and grafts except for an inactive sinus on the dorsal aspect of mid-forearm with tenderness and abnormal mobility over fracture sites of both bones. Further evaluation showed flexion at the elbow of 10–70°, wrist palmar flexion of 0–10° with no dorsiflexion with no shortening of the forearm. Range of motion (ROM) of thumb was full and free but extension contractures were present at the 3rd and 4th metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joint. 0-10° flexion was possible at the 3rd and 4th proximal interphalangeal (PIP) joints and the patient had a grip strength of 30% between the thumb, index, and fifth digits.

As planned, the patient was worked up for the second stage of definitive fixation with Ilizarov fixator 5-month post-injury, and the patient and his relatives were counseled about steps with the



Figure 3: Post-operative picture and X-rays after Ilizarov application.

**Figure 4:** 3-month follow-up shows consolidation at non-union site.





Figure 5: Functional ability of the patient.

help of photographs and sketches. The pre-surgery patient had no change in complaints or physical examination findings; disabilities of shoulder and hand (DASH) score of 82.5 and elevated inflammatory markers. Radiographs of the left forearm were suggestive of non-union of the diaphyseal fracture sites and malunion of the distal radius (Fig. 2). X-ray sinogram showed a sinus tract extending to the fracture site.

Seven months from injury, the patient underwent implant removal (Titanium Elastic Nailing System ), debridement, and excision of sinus and Ilizarov fixator application. Four carbon rings Ilizarov constructed were fixed to radius and ulna independently with one wire and one 2.5 mm half pin at each ring level to each bone through the safe zones. Acute docking was achieved in the proximal fracture site over both bones by moving the rings together causing compression (Fig. 3). The distal radius fracture site was found to be malunited and an osteotomy was done and acute correction was attempted using an olive wire to cause translation of the distal radius end. The edges of the incision could be approximated without any tension. Post-operative period was uneventful. From the 3rdpost-operative day, physiotherapy was started and the patient was discharged at the 3rd week with 4 weekly follow-up plan.

Dynamization of Ilizarov frame was done by loosening the connecting rods after 16 weeks and no abnormal mobility was found. The Ilizarov fixator was removed 6 days later when complete radiographic evidence of consolidation was evident (Fig. 4). At this time, the patient also reported significant reduction in pain and improvement in ROM of the elbow, wrist, and fingers. The patient DASH score improved to 65.5 and grip strength to 50%. The patient's forearm was protected in a removable rigid above-elbow splint which was removed for physiotherapy only, for a period of 8 weeks.

At the final examination, 16 weeks after the Ilizarov fixator removal, ROM at the elbow was full and free, dorsiflexion  $0-10^{\circ}$ , and  $0-20^{\circ}$  palmar flexion at the wrist with  $0-10^{\circ}$  of prono- supination. Grip strength improved to 70% allowing



Figure 6: X-ray after Ilizarov removal.

patient to pick up and hold objects like a glass of water using his thumb, index, and fifth fingers but continued to have extension contractures of the 3rd and 4th MTP joints with slight improvement of flexion in PIP joints (Fig. 5). The DASH score of the patient further improved to 46. The radiograph showed good consolidation of all fracture sites (Fig. 6).

#### Discussion

Destruction or severe damage caused by tearing or crushing of tissues in different ways leading to a complex injured limb subsequently becoming unrecognizable is described as Mangled. Gregory et al. defined a mangled extremity as an injury which usually results from major trauma and often combines significant injuries to various tissue [1].

With the advancement of trauma resuscitation, microvascular tissue transfer, and fracture fixation, there is increase in attempt to salvage these injuries, which were earlier not attempted; however, there still remains an ambiguity on the decision [2]. In cases where preserving a mangled limb however is a technical possibility, it often requires multidisciplinary approach with multiple surgeries, prolonged rehabilitation, patient compliance, and huge financial costs. Nevertheless, in some instances despite every effort, there are likely chances of morbidity and delayed amputation or mortality.

Multiple scoring systems help to guide the management of



complex extremity trauma but there is much debate over the criteria that can help in predicting limb salvage or better off with early amputation. Many of these predictive indices are criticized for being too subjective, complex, and difficult to apply universally. Mostly, these indices were retrospectively derived with a small patient series and no functional outcome validation [3]. The MESS was introduced by Johansen et al. in 1990 [4]. Four different factors, skeletal and soft-tissue injury, ischemia, shock, and patient age, were scored with a maximum score of 15 and a score of <7 was shown to be predictive of salvage. The proposed advantages of this predictive index were that the information required for scoring is readily available upon presentation, its relative simplicity, and reproducibility, which lead it to be the most utilized indices [5]. Rush et al. showed that small group of a combat setting the MESS was a sensitive predictor for amputation [6]. In contrast, a larger study by Brown et al. in British military patients with mangled extremity ballistic injuries found that the MESS score between 7 and 9 did not help to decide for amputation [7,8].

In another study using the MESS system in upper-limb injuries, some cases with MESS scores >7 were successfully salvaged as published by Prichayudh et al. [9] In our case, we applied MESS score of 8, keeping in mind, that upper-limb crush injuries differ from lower limbs in terms of more muscle coverage leading to longer tolerance for ischemia and that upper limbs are responsible for a variety of complex functions that cannot be replaced by artificial limbs, the verdict for limb salvage was taken in view of young age, short duration since injury, absence of any other injury or systemic comorbidity, and the availability of specialist trauma team with orthopedic surgeon, plastic surgeon, anesthetist, and intensivist.

The fact that we were dealing with a limb which had a history of previously operated both bone fractures with peri-implant fractures in a setting of ulnar artery transection made the job more complex. Early temporary fixation was based on principles of damage control orthopedics (DCO) which is a staged approach for the management of multiple injured patients presenting in an unstable state.

The choice of initial intramedullary fixation and subsequent Ilizarov fixation at a later setting was also based on the fact that the patient had plate fixation on both bones earlier and periimplant fractures were in turn due to stress riser effect of the same. The other option of primary bridge plating with longer plate was rejected as it would lead to increased soft-tissue damage, chances of infection, more weakening of bone, and increase in operative time. Similarly, soft-tissue coverage was also done by debridement and split skin graft to reduce operative time. Ilizarov fixator application was used in this case to achieve stable fixation in the presence of infection and to cause minimal damage to the already compromised soft-tissue envelope.

Favorable result of the surgery in this case was achieved due to (i) prevention of systemic complications by dedicated team, (ii) Early debridement and temporary fixation and soft-tissue coverage as per DCO with the availability of well-trained trauma team, (iii) well-planned and minimal number of surgical interventions, (iv) dedicated and untiring physiotherapy, and (v) deliberate and time-to-time counseling of the patient and his family.

The limitations of the treatment were the long duration of the treatment period and inability to correct the distal radius malunion completely.

## Conclusion

Multiple tissue injuries after severe trauma to an extremity are a great challenge for any trauma center. Keeping in mind the anatomical, physiological, and functional differences between mangled injuries of upper and lower extremities, a simple scoring system that will allow a clear cut-off point for amputation versus salvage is needed. Management decisions in such cases should be patient-centric and multidisciplinary approach with additional involvement of vascular and plastic surgeon with effective planning with good post-operative rehabilitation with physiotherapy shall result in favorable and optimal outcome.

## **Clinical Message**

Although the literature favors amputation in severely crush extremity with MESS score of >7, an attempt to salvage the limb using multidisciplinary approach should be considered. Ilizarov circular fixator is an effective tool that can be game changing for the revival of both the soft-tissue component and bony structure.

**Declaration of patient consent:** The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent forms. In the form, the patient has given the consent for his/ her images and other clinical information to be reported in the journal. The patient understands that his/ her names and initials will not be published and due efforts will be made to conceal their identity, but anonymity cannot be guaranteed.

Conflict of interest: Nil Source of support: None



#### References

1. Ring D, Jupiter JB. Mangling upper limb injuries in industry. Injury 1999;30:B5-13.

2. Prasarn ML, Helfet DL, Kloen P. Management of the mangled extremity. Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr 2012;7:57-66.

3. Schirò GR, Sessa S, Piccioli A, Maccauro G. Primary amputation vs limb salvage in mangled extremity: A systematic review of the current scoring system. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2015;16:372.

4. Johansen K, Daines M, Howey T, Helfet D, Hansen ST Jr. Objective criteria accurately predict amputation following lower extremity trauma. J Trauma 1990;30:568-72, discussion 572-3.

5. Togawa S, Yamami N, Nakayama H, Mano Y, Ikegami K, Ozeki S. The validity of the mangled extremity severity score in the assessment of upper limb injuries. J Bone Joint Surg Br

2005;87:1516-9.

6. Rush RM Jr., Kjorstad R, Starnes BW, Arrington E, Devine JD, Andersen CA. Application of the mangled extremity severity score in a combat setting. Mil Med 2007;172:777-81.

7. Brown KV, Ramasamy A, McLeod J, Stapley S, Clasper JC. Predicting the need for early amputation in ballistic mangled extremity injuries. J Trauma 2009;66:S93-8, discussion S97-8.

8. Loja MN, Sammann A, DuBose J, Li CS, Liu Y, Savage S, et al. The mangled extremity score and amputation: Time for a revision. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2017;82:518-23.

9. Prichayudh S, Verananvattna A, Sriussadaporn S, Sriussadaporn S, Kritayakirana K, Pak-art R, et al. Management of upper extremity vascular injury: Outcome related to the mangled extremity severity score. World J Surg 2009;33:857-63.

Conflict of Interest: Nil	How to Cite this Article
Source of Support: Nil	Prasad M, Kaul R, Thakur K, Gupta TD, Shakya AR. Efficacious
	Enactment of Ilizarov for Mangled Forearm: A Case Report on Our
onsent: The authors confirm that informed consent was tained from the patient for publication of this case report	Resolution for the Revitalization of a "Nearly Lost Limb". Journal of Orthopaedic Case Reports 2023 September; 13(9): 122-126.

