# Genetic Analysis of Fibroblast Growth Factor Signaling in the Drosophila Eye

# T. Mukherjee,\* I. Choi,<sup>†</sup> and Utpal Banerjee<sup>\*,‡,§,\*\*,1</sup>

\*Department of Molecular, Cell and Developmental Biology and <sup>†</sup>Davis School of Gerontology, Ethel Percy Andrus Gerontology Center, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90089-0191, and <sup>‡</sup>Molecular Biology Institute, <sup>§</sup>Department of Biological Chemistry, and <sup>\*\*</sup>Eli and Edythe Broad Center of Regenerative Medicine and Stem Cell Research, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095

**ABSTRACT** The development of eyes in *Drosophila* involves intricate epithelial reorganization events for accurate positioning of cells and proper formation and organization of ommatidial clusters. We demonstrate that Branchless (Bnl), the fibroblast growth factor ligand, regulates restructuring events in the eye disc primordium from as early as the emergence of clusters from a morphogenetic front to the cellular movements during pupal eye development. Breathless (Btl) functions as the fibroblast growth factor receptor to mediate Bnl signal, and together they regulate expression of DE-cadherin, Crumbs, and Actin. In addition, in the eye Bnl regulates the temporal onset and extent of retinal basal glial cell migration by activating Btl in the glia. We hypothesized that the Bnl functions in the eye are Hedgehog dependent and represent novel aspects of Bnl signaling not explored previously.

# **KEYWORDS**

cellular adhesion cadherins fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling morphogenetic furrow glial cell migration

The fibroblast growth factor (FGF) signaling pathway elicits a wide range of cellular processes ranging from mitogenesis, angiogenesis, cell proliferation, differentiation, and migration (Powers et al. 2000). Three FGF ligands (Pyramus, Thisbe, and Bnl) and two FGF receptors (Heartless [Htl] and Btl) (reviewed by Ornitz and Itoh 2001; Szebenyi and Fallon 1999) have been identified in Drosophila. Bnl functions as a chemoattractant to guide branch budding and outgrowth of the Btlexpressing tracheal cells (Klambt et al. 1992; Sutherland et al. 1996). Htl is essential for mesodermal patterning (Gisselbrecht et al. 1996) and during migration and morphogenesis of interface glial cells (Shishido et al. 1997). The ligands Pyramus and Thisbe mediate Htl function (Kadam et al. 2009). In Caenorhabditis elegans, the only FGF receptor, EGL-15, allows migration of sex myoblasts. In vertebrates, FGF promotes branching morphogenesis (Peters et al. 1994). FGF4 and FGF8 are essential for cell migration (reviewed in Huang and Stern 2005).

Cellular adhesion plays an integral role during migration as cells constantly replenish old junctions with new ones. During development of the *Drosophila* eye disc, a precise coordination of morphogenetic processes with other cellular events promotes proper patterning. Here, we show that FGF controls morphogenetic movements during larval and pupal *Drosophila* eye development by maintaining cellular adhesion and adherens junction (AJ) proteins. In addition, we demonstrate that Bnl from the eye controls proper migration of the retinal basal glial (RBG) cells into the eye disc.

Photoreceptor cells in the eye are born as a wave of differentiation called the morphogenetic furrow (MF) sweeps across the eye from the posterior to the anterior. The RBG cells originate from precursors in the optic stalk and migrate into the eye disc. This is closely coordinated with the onset of photoreceptor differentiation, and the RBGs terminate migration 3rd to 4th ommatidial columns posterior to the MF (Choi and Benzer 1994; Rangarajan et al. 1999; Silies et al. 2007). Pyramus, expressed by glia, stimulates glial proliferation and motility, whereas neuronally expressed Thisbe induces glial differentiation and terminates migration (Franzdottir et al. 2009). Htl is involved in mediating these functions (Franzdottir et al. 2009). Here we show nonautonomous Bnl/Btl signaling regulates RBG migration, where the RBGs express Btl and sense Bnl from the eye. Overall, this study indicates a coordinated role for Bnl/Btl signaling in eliciting differential responses during multiple stages of eye development determined by cell autonomy, given its requirement for proper formation of

Copyright © 2012 Mukherjee et al.

doi: 10.1534/g3.111.001495

Manuscript received August 16, 2011; accepted for publication November 2, 2011 This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Unported License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/3.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: Department of Molecular Cell and Developmental Biology, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095. E-mail: banerjee@ mbi.ucla.edu



**Figure 1** Bnl/Btl functions during *Drosophila* eye development. (A-C') Loss of Bnl/Btl signaling affects eye development. Bright field images (A-C) containing somatic clones (marked by the absence of red pigmentation) and (A'-C') the corresponding scanning electron micrographs with (A-A') *bnl*<sup>JR69</sup>/*bnl*<sup>JR69</sup>, (B-B') *bnl*<sup>00857</sup>/ *bnl*<sup>00857</sup>, and (C-C') *btl*<sup>LG19</sup>/*btl*<sup>LG19</sup>. Wild-type facets are red and faceted, and the mutant tissue (white) appears glossy in both light and electron micrographs. Pupal eye disc stained with Bnl (red) protein shows elevated levels in (D) cone and (E) bristle cells, co-stained with anti-Shg (blue) antibody. (F) Somatic clones of *btl*<sup>LG19</sup> (nongreen tissue) in pupal eye discs have reduced Bnl protein (red) expression in the cone cells (marked using Crumbs in blue).

clusters in the larval eye to maintaining tissue architecture in pupal eye discs and its requirement during RBG migration.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The following fly stocks were used:  $y \ w \ ey-flp$ ; FRT82B Ubi-GFP RpS3/TM6B Tb,  $y^+$ ,  $y \ w \ ey-flp$ ; FRT80B Ubi-GFP RpS3/TM6B Tb,  $y^+$ ,  $y^+$ ,  $y \ w \ ey-flp$ ; ey-GAL4; FRT80B Ubi-GFP RpS3/TM6B Tb,  $y^+$ ,  $P\{dpp-lacZ\}$ ,  $y \ w$ ; FRT80B  $btl^{LG19}/TM6B$  Tb,  $y^+$ ,  $y \ w$ ; FRT82B  $bnl^{00857}/TM6B$  Tb,  $y^+$ ,  $hh^{ts2}$ , UAS- $cdc42^{N17}$ , UAS- $\lambda btl$ , and UAS- $\lambda htl$ (Bloomington),  $y \ w$ ; FRT82B  $bnl^{PR69}/TM6B$  Tb,  $y^+$  (T. Liao), w;UAS > CD2 > y+>mCD8GFP/CyO; repo-GAL4, UAS-FLP/Tb (C. Klaembt). RNAi stocks: UAS- $btl^{RNAi}$ , UAS- $dol^{RNAi}$ , and UAS- $htl^{RNAi}$  (Vienna Drosophila Rnai Center [VDRC], Vienna, Austria).

For immunohistochemical analysis, the following primary antibodies were used: rat anti-BrdU (1:100; Abcam, Cambridge, MA), rabbit anti-cleaved Caspase 3 (1:500; Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA), rat anti-Bnl (1:50; M. Krasnow), rat anti-Shg (1:500; V. Hartenstein), mouse anti-Dlg (1:100; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank [DSHB], Iowa City, IA), mouse anti-Crumbs (1:200; DSHB), mouse anti-DN-cadherin (1:200; DSHB), mouse anti-Repo (1:10; DSHB) and mouse anti-Armadillo (1:100; DSHB). The secondary antibodies were obtained from Jackson ImmunoResearch.

Quantification of apical cell surfaces in the MF was performed with the use of a published protocol (Corrigall *et al.* 2007). Mean apical cell surface area ( $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>)/cell for mutant and wild-type tissue are given. The 2-tailed Student's paired *t*-test was applied to all the quantifications.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Bnl/Btl signaling during Drosophila eye development

In a screen to identify mutations with patterning defects in the adult eye (Liao et al. 2006), we identified a loss-of-function allele of bnl, bnl<sup>JR69</sup> that gives a "glossy-eye" phenotype (Figure 1, A and A'). This new allele fails to complement bnl<sup>00857</sup>, a well-characterized loss-of-function allele of bnl (Jarecki et al. 1999; Sutherland et al. 1996). *bnl*<sup>00857</sup> clones in the eye also phenocopy the glossy-eye phenotype (Figure 1, B and B'). Loss of btl receptor function, induced by use of the *btl<sup>LG19</sup>* allele, (Klambt et al. 1992; Reichman-Fried et al. 1994; Sutherland et al. 1996) also results in a similar phenotype (Figure 1, C and C'), whereas loss *htl*, does not phenocopy this effect (not shown). This represents a novel function for Bnl-mediated Btl signaling during Drosophila eye development not explored previously. Immunohistochemical studies reveal uniform low expression of Bnl protein in 3rd instar eye disc (data not shown). Whereas in the pupal eye discs aged approximately 28 hrs after pupal formation (APF), Bnl expression is restricted to the cone cells (Figure 1D) and later (~48 hrs APF) detected in the bristle cells (Figure 1E). btl<sup>LG19</sup> clones in pupal eye disc (~28 hrs APF) have reduced Bnl protein expression (Figure 1F) residual, mislocalized staining is seen away from the tips of the cone cells, suggesting that ligandreceptor interaction promotes proper localization and stabilization of Bnl.



Figure 2 Bnl signaling regulates cell shape changes in the furrow. In all panels, eye discs from 3rd instar larvae are shown. Posterior is to the left. (A-B) Incorporation of 5-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine (BrdU; red) marks cells in S phase in 3rd instar larval eye disc. (A) Normal pattern of BrdU incorporation, where both the green and nongreen tissues are wild type. (B) Somatic clones of bnl<sup>JR69</sup> (nongreen) show no defect in BrdU incorporation. (C-D) Assay for cell death using anti-cleaved Caspase 3 (red) antibody. (C) Wild-type and (D) eye discs containing somatic clones of bnl<sup>JR69</sup> (non-green) show no difference in cell viability. (E-L) Loss of bnl function does not affect cell fate specification as visualized by expression of (E-F) Elav, marks photoreceptor neurons (blue), (G-H) Senseless is a marker for R8 (red), (I-J) Cut, marks cone cells (red), and (K-L) BarH1 is a marker for R1 and R6. Compare wild-type panels (E, G, I, and K) correspondingly with somatic clones of bnl<sup>JR69</sup> (nongreen, F, H, J, and L). bnl<sup>JR69</sup> clones show defects in ommatidial arrangement evident from organization of BarH1 expressing cells (L compared with K). Compared with (M) wild-type pattern of Shg (gray) expression at the MF, (N) bnl<sup>JR69</sup> somatic clones shows loss in ommatidial organization (arrowheads). (O) Graphical representation of the mean surface area ( $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>)/cell (shown on the y-axis) of the cells within the MF from wild-type and bnl<sup>00857</sup> mutant clones (n = 6, P = 0.01). (P) Wild-type expression pattern of Hh reporter (red) is down-regulated (Q) in somatic clones of bnl<sup>JR69</sup> (nongreen).

# Bnl signaling regulates cellular adhesion during *Drosophila* eye development

To investigate the developmental phenotype underlying Bnl dysfunction, somatic clones of mutant tissue were analyzed. *bnl* clones do not exhibit any defect or delay in G1-S transition (Figure 2, A and B) and early viability of the cells is unaffected (Figure 2, C and D). Cell fate specification markers are expressed in mutant cells (Figure 2, E-L). However, defects in ommatidial organization are seen using BarH1 antibody marking R1 and R6 photoreceptors that are normally organized in a linear pattern (Figure 2, K and L).

The earliest events in cluster formation involve morphogenetic changes and constriction of apical cell surfaces (Escudero *et al.* 2007; Ready *et al.* 1976; Wolff and Ready 1991). *bnl* mutant cells lose their ability to constrict their apical surfaces (Figure 2, M-O; numerically, at the morphogenetic furrow, wild-type cell surface/*bnl* mutant cell surface = 0.599, *P* = 0.01) and lose normal contacts between cells and



**Figure 3** Bnl function maintains junctional proteins. In all panels, eye discs from pupal stages (48–50 hr APF) are shown. Compared with Dlg (gray) expression in wild type (A),  $bnl^{JR69}$  clones (B) show defects in cluster organization (arrowheads). Elav (blue) and Cut (red) expression level in wild type (C) and  $bnl^{JR69}$  (D) clones (nongreen) are comparable although cellular arrangement is disrupted in  $bnl^{JR69}$  mutants. (E and E') Compared with DN-cadherin (blue) and Crumbs (red) expression in wild-type tissue (green, arrowhead),  $btl^{LG19}$  clones (nongreen) show defects in cone-cell architecture (arrow, n = 5). Compared with Shg (red) expression in wild-type tissue (F, green),  $btl^{LG19}$  clones (F', nongreen) show disrupted Shg expression at cell–cell junctions (arrowheads, n = 4). (G and G') Crumbs (blue) and Shg (red) expression is lost from  $btl^{LG19}$  mutant rhabdomere adherens junctions (AJ, nongreen, arrow, n = 5). Compare with surrounding wild-type rhabdomere AJ (green, arrowhead). (H and H') Crumbs (blue) expression and phalloidin (red) staining are lost from  $bnl^{JR69}$  mutant rhabdomere AJ (nongreen, arrow, n = 10). Compare with surrounding wild-type rhabdomere AJ (green, arrowhead). (I)  $btl^{LG19}$  adult glossy-eye phenotype is rescued by (J) coexpressing a dominant-negative form of cdc42 ( $cdc42^{N17}$ , n = 4).

form aberrant-shaped clusters (Figure 2N). Expression of the Hh activity-dependent reporter (*dpp-lacZ*), seen at high levels in the wild-type MF, is strongly reduced in  $bnl^{JR69}$  clones (Figure 2, P and Q), implying an interaction between Hh and FGF signaling in regulating cellular adhesion and morphogenesis during larval eye development. Hh signaling has been implicated in similar phenotypes and regulates Myosin II activity necessary for cellular rearrangement at the furrow (Corrigall *et al.* 2007, Escudero *et al.* 2007). It is therefore these early defects in proper rosette formation at the furrow (Ready *et al.* 1976; Wolff and Ready 1991) that are responsible for the improper organization of the ensuing cluster (Figure 2, M and N).

The next set of morphogenetic defects caused by FGF signaling is evident during pupal development, where a series of coordinated and precise cell movements lead to a well-patterned pupal epithelium (Cagan 1993; Cagan and Ready 1989; Ready et al. 1976). This regularity in the wild-type pattern is evident upon staining with septate junction marker Discs large (Figure 3A) (Woods and Bryant 1991). In bnl mutants the architecture of the ommatidial clusters is distorted, and instead of the regular hexagonal arrays, they often appear circular (Figure 3B). In addition, the Discs large staining in these clones is cytoplasmic (Figure 3B) and not localized to the membrane as in wild type (Figure 3A). The architectural defect in *bnl* mutants is not attributable to loss in neuronal or non-neuronal differentiation (Figure 3, C and D). However, bnl mutant clusters often contain improper number of cells (Figure 3B). More specifically, staining for DN-cadherin normally expressed at the interface between wild-type cone cells (Hayashi and Carthew 2004) revealed reduced numbers and altered arrangement of cone cells in *btl<sup>LG19</sup>* mutant clusters (Figure 3, E and E'). The expression of Shotgun (DE-cadherin) in btl mutant clones is also fragmented and missing at several cell-cell contact points (Figure 3, F and F'). Crumbs protein, an apical marker found above AJs is observed at high levels in wild-type cells (Figure 3G) (Izaddoost et al. 2002). However, btl and bnl mutant tissues are devoid of Crumbs protein (Figure 3, G' and H') and they also lack Shotgun expression (Figure 3G') and show defective actin organization (Figure 3H'). Small GTPases function downstream of many biological signals to

regulate diverse cellular processes (Kuhn *et al.* 2000), including FGF (Wolf *et al.* 2002). We therefore investigated interactions with various activated and dominant-negative forms of small GTPases in  $btl^{LG19}$  clones. A dominant-negative version of *cdc42* rescues the  $btl^{LG19}$  glossy-eye phenotype (Figure 3, I and J), suggesting that cdc42 functions as a negative downstream effector of Bnl signaling. Overall, the data here strongly suggest a central role for autonomous FGF signaling in the eye for the maintenance of proper cell-cell contact, junction stability and cell shape changes during retinal development which is achieved by modulating the levels of key cell adhesion and junctional proteins: DE-cadherin, Crumbs, and actin.

## FGF signaling regulates RBG cell migration

In contrast to the tissue autonomous defects in patterning discussed previously, loss of *bnl* function in the eye also causes defects in RBG migration (Figure 4, A and B). In eye discs containing *bnl* mutant clones, the RBG cells migrate ectopically to anterior regions of the eye beyond the MF (Figure 4B), not seen in similarly aged wild-type controls (Figure 4A). This migration defect is observed as early as in 2nd instar eye discs lacking *bnl* function (Figure 4C), earlier than RBG migration in wild types. *bnl* clones generated specifically in the glia do not show RBG migration defects (Figure 4, D and E). In contrast, *btl*<sup>RNAi</sup> expressed in the RBG cells causes the ectopic glial migration phenotype (Figure 4F) and when a constitutively active form of the receptor,  $\lambda$ -*btl* is expressed in glial cells, their migration is completely impaired and the RBGs are restricted to the optic stalk (Figure 4G).

These results suggest that Bnl expressed by the eye tissue activates nonautonomous Btl signaling in the glial cells to regulate the temporal onset and extent of RBG migration. Of importance, loss of *bnl* or *btl* function did not affect RBG proliferation or survival (Figure 4J). When analyzed for R cell axon migration in *bnl* mutant clones, no obvious defect in their growth and projection was apparent (not shown). Downstream-of-FGF (Dof) functions as a positive effector of FGF signaling (Battersby *et al.* 2003) and is expressed at high levels in RBG cells (Rangarajan *et al.* 1999). As reported previously, *dof* 



**Figure 4** Bnl/Btl signaling regulates RBG migration. In all panels except panel C, eye discs are from wandering 3rd instar larvae. Posterior is to the left. In panel C, the eye disc is from a mid 2nd instar

mutants generated in the glia causes reduction in RBG cell number, loss of differentiation, and lack of migration (Figure 4, H and J) (Franzdottir *et al.* 2009). These phenotypes are strikingly different from that observed in *btl* mutants (Figure 4F).

Given that htl functions as a FGF receptor and can activate signaling via *dof*, *htl* mutant clones generated in the glia phenocopied all dof mutant phenotypes (Figure 4, I and J) and expression of a constitutively active form *htl* ( $\lambda$ -*htl*) in the glial cells resulted in extensive proliferation of the RBG cells, without affecting their migration (Figure 4K) (Franzdottir et al. 2009). Given the phenotypic differences between *bnl/btl* and *htl/dof* backgrounds, we conclude that *htl*mediated dof function is required earlier in RBG development to regulate proliferation, differentiation, and ability to initiate migration, whereas bnl/btl signaling functions later to limit RBGs from migrating precociously into the eye disc. This could be achieved by either functioning as an antagonist to an attractive signal or by directly signaling to inhibit their migration. A noncanonical mechanism of Hh function in the eve also renders similar, albeit weaker, glial migration defects (Figure 4, L and M) as observed in *bnl* mutants (Hummel et al. 2002) and in addition to the loss of hh reporter in bnl mutant clones (Figure 2Q), Bnl functions either upstream or in parallel to Hh in regulating RBG migration. Interestingly, eye discs containing hh and bnl doublemutant clones show ectopically migrating RBG cells (Figure 4N) with long cytoplasmic extensions not seen in wild-type RBG (Figure 4L) as observed by DN-cadherin expression.

Drosophila compound eye formation, comprising neuronal and non-neuronal cells in the eye disc proper and glia at the basal layer, is achieved by closely coordinating multiple developmental events. An important process involved is the migration of the RBG cells into the eye disc efficiently synchronized with the onset of differentiation and movement of the MF. The glial cells do not outpace the furrow. The

larva. Yellow arrows mark the MF. Compared with RBG (Repo: blue and Dof: red) in (A) wild-type (green and nongreen tissues are normal), (B) eye discs containing bnl<sup>00857</sup> clones have ectopically migrating RBGs (arrowhead B, compare with panel A). (C) 2nd instar eye discs containing bnl<sup>00857</sup> somatic clones (nongreen) show precocious RBG (Repo: red) migration. (D) Control eye disc expressing GFP in the RBG cells (green; UAS > CD2 > y+>mCD8GFP/CyO; repo-GAL4, UAS-FLP/Tb; UAS-GFP; Repo: red) costained with Elav (blue) to mark photoreceptor cluster. RBG cell (Repo: red) clones expressing (E) bnl<sup>RNAi</sup> (green; UAS > CD2 > y+>mCD8GFP/CyO; repo-GAL4, UAS-FLP/Tb; UAS-bnl<sup>RNAi</sup>) show no defect in glial migration, (F) btl<sup>RNAi</sup> (green; UAS > CD2 > y+>mCD8GFP/CyO; repo-GAL4, UAS-FLP/Tb; UAS-btl<sup>RNAi</sup>) causes ectopic RBG migration (marked by arrowheads, n = 7) and (G) constitutively active btl (green; UAS > CD2 > y+>mCD8GFP/CyO; repo-GAL4, UAS-FLP/Tb; UAS- $\lambda$ -btl) inhibits RBG migration (n = 5). Elav (blue) marks the photoreceptor clusters. RBG cells (Repo: red) clones expressing (H)  $dof^{RNAi}$  (green; UAS > CD2 > y+>mCD8GFP/CyO; repo-GAL4, UAS-FLP/Tb; UAS-dof<sup>RNAi</sup>) or (I) htl<sup>DN</sup> (UAS > CD2 > y+>mCD8GFP/CyO; repo-GAL4, UAS-FLP/Tb; UAS-htl<sup>DN</sup>) show reduction in RBG number. (J) Quantification of RBG cell counts from control (n = 12),  $btI^{RNAi}$  (n = 12),  $dof^{RNAi}$  (n = 5, \* indicates P < 0.001) and  $HtI^{DN}$  (n = 12, \* indicates P < 0.001). (K) Expressing constitutively active Htl (green; UAS > CD2 > y+>mCD8GFP/CyO; repo-GAL4, UAS-FLP/Tb; UAS- $\lambda$ -Htl) causes expansion of RBG cell numbers. Elav (blue) marks photoreceptor clusters. Compared to RBG cells (Repo: blue and DN-cadherin: red) in (L) wild type (green and nongreen tissue are normal), eye discs from (M) hh mutants and (N) containing bnl<sup>JR69</sup> and hh double mutant clones (nongreen) show ectopically migrating glia with long cytoplasmic extensions (small inset in N compared with control L).

coordination between the two independent processes, the initiation of neuronal development and glial migration, is intriguing. This study establishes that a single pathway involving Bnl and Btl is implicated in the emergence of clusters from the MF in the larval eye, controls the formation and stability of AJ, and as well controls the temporal onset and extent of RBG migration. In addition, the ligands Pyramus and Thisbe are also important for glial development, where Pyrmaus/Htl activation promotes proliferation and motility and Thisbe/Htl activation inhibits migration and promotes differentiation (Franzdottir et al. 2009). It is interesting how the relatively simple FGF/FGF receptor system in Drosophila, operating in a cell at a specific time, can generate differential responses determined by cell autonomy and ligand/ receptor combinations. This study further highlights the importance of sequential receptor tyrosine kinase activation to achieve coordinated migration of all glial cells, as abnormal glial migration is a feature of many human diseases.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Krasnow C. Klaembt, VDRC, Flybase, Bloomington, and DSHB for stocks and reagents and R. Nagaraj for helpful discussions. Supported by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant R01-EY08152.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Battersby, A., A. Csiszar, M. Leptin, and R. Wilson, 2003 Isolation of proteins that interact with the signal transduction molecule Dof and identification of a functional domain conserved between Dof and vertebrate BCAP. J. Mol. Biol. 329: 479–493.
- Cagan, R., 1993 Cell fate specification in the developing Drosophila retina. Dev. Suppl. 19–28.
- Cagan, R. L., and D. F. Ready, 1989 The emergence of order in the Drosophila pupal retina. Dev. Biol. 136: 346–362.
- Choi, K. W., and S. Benzer, 1994 Migration of glia along photoreceptor axons in the developing Drosophila eye. Neuron 12: 423–431.
- Corrigall, D., R. F. Walther, and L. Rodriguez, Fichelson, P., and F. Pichaud, 2007 Hedgehog signaling is a principal inducer of Myosin-II-driven cell ingression in Drosophila epithelia. Dev. Cell 13: 730-742.
- Escudero, L. M., M. Bischoff, and M. Freeman, 2007 Myosin II regulates complex cellular arrangement and epithelial architecture in Drosophila. Dev. Cell 13: 717–729.
- Franzdottir, S. R., D. Engelen, Y. Yuva-Aydemir, I. Schmidt, A. Aho *et al.*, 2009 Switch in FGF signalling initiates glial differentiation in the Drosophila eye. Nature 460: 758–761.
- Gisselbrecht, S., J. B. Skeath, C. Q. Doe, and A. M. Michelson, 1996 heartless encodes a fibroblast growth factor receptor (DFR1/DFGF-R2) involved in the directional migration of early mesodermal cells in the *Drosophila* embryo. Genes Dev. 10: 3003–3017.
- Hayashi, T., and R. W. Carthew, 2004 Surface mechanics mediate pattern formation in the developing retina. Nature 431: 647–652.
- Huang, P., and M. J. Stern, 2005 FGF signaling in flies and worms: more and more relevant to vertebrate biology. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 16: 151–158.
- Hummel, T., S. Attix, D. Gunning, and S. L. Zipursky, 2002 Temporal control of glial cell migration in the Drosophila eye requires gilgamesh, hedgehog, and eye specification genes. Neuron 33: 193–203.

- Izaddoost, S., S. C. Nam, M. A. Bhat, H. J. Bellen, and K. W. Choi, 2002 Drosophila Crumbs is a positional cue in photoreceptor adherens junctions and rhabdomeres. Nature 416: 178–183.
- Jarecki, J., E. Johnson, and M. A. Krasnow, 1999 Oxygen regulation of airway branching in Drosophila is mediated by branchless FGF. Cell 99: 211–220.
- Kadam, S., A. McMahon, P. Tzou, and A. Stathopoulos, 2009 FGF ligands in Drosophila have distinct activities required to support cell migration and differentiation. Development 136: 739–747.
- Klambt, C., L. Glazer, and B. Z. Shilo, 1992 breathless, a Drosophila FGF receptor homolog, is essential for migration of tracheal and specific midline glial cells. Genes Dev. 6: 1668–1678.
- Kuhn, T. B., P. J. Meberg, M. D. Brown, B. W. Bernstein, L. S. Minamide et al., 2000 Regulating actin dynamics in neuronal growth cones by ADF/cofilin and rho family GTPases. J. Neurobiol. 44: 126–144.
- Liao, T. S., G. B. Call, P. Guptan, A. Cespedes, J. Marshall *et al.*, 2006 An efficient genetic screen in Drosophila to identify nuclear-encoded genes with mitochondrial function. Genetics 174: 525–533.
- Ornitz, D. M., and N. Itoh, 2001 Fibroblast growth factors. Genome Biol. 2: REVIEWS3005.
- Peters, K., S. Werner, X. Liao, S. Wert, J. Whitsett *et al.*, 1994 Targeted expression of a dominant negative FGF receptor blocks branching morphogenesis and epithelial differentiation of the mouse lung. EMBO J. 13: 3296–3301.
- Powers, C. J., S. W. McLeskey, and A. Wellstein, 2000 Fibroblast growth factors, their receptors and signaling. Endocr. Relat. Cancer 7: 165– 197.
- Rangarajan, R., Q. Gong, and U. Gaul, 1999 Migration and function of glia in the developing Drosophila eye. Development 126: 3285–3292.
- Ready, D. F., T. E. Hanson, and S. Benzer, 1976 Development of the Drosophila retina, a neurocrystalline lattice. Dev. Biol. 53: 217–240.
- Reichman-Fried, M., B. Dickson, E. Hafen, and B. Z. Shilo,
  1994 Elucidation of the role of breathless, a Drosophila FGF receptor homolog, in tracheal cell migration. Genes Dev. 8: 428–439.
- Shishido, E., N. Ono, T. Kojima, and K. Saigo, 1997 Requirements of DFR1/ Heartless, a mesoderm-specific Drosophila FGF-receptor, for the formation of heart, visceral and somatic muscles, and ensheathing of longitudinal axon tracts in CNS. Development 124: 2119–2128.
- Silies, M., Y. Yuva, D. Engelen, A. Aho, T. Stork *et al.*, 2007 Glial cell migration in the eye disc. J. Neurosci. 27: 13130–13139.
- Sutherland, D., C. Samakovlis, and M. A. Krasnow, 1996 branchless encodes a Drosophila FGF homolog that controls tracheal cell migration and the pattern of branching. Cell 87: 1091–1101.
- Szebenyi, G., and J. F. Fallon, 1999 Fibroblast growth factors as multifunctional signaling factors. Int. Rev. Cytol. 185: 45–106.
- Wolf, C., N. Gerlach, and R. Schuh, 2002 Drosophila tracheal system formation involves FGF-dependent cell extensions contacting bridge-cells. EMBO Rep. 3: 563–568.
- Wolff, T., and D. F. Ready, 1991 The beginning of pattern formation in the Drosophila compound eye: the morphogenetic furrow and the second mitotic wave. Development 113: 841–850.
- Woods, D. F., and P. J. Bryant, 1991 The discs-large tumor suppressor gene of *Drosophila* encodes a guanylate kinase homolog localized at septate junctions. Cell 66: 451–464.

Communicating editor: H. D. Lipshitz